Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ann-Marie Lobo-Chan, University of Illinois Chicago, United States

REVIEWED BY

Masaru Takeuchi, National Defense Medical College, Japan Antonio Balas, Transfusion Center of the Community of Madrid. Spain

*CORRESPONDENCE Michal Kramer Michalkr98@gmail.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 25 December 2022 ACCEPTED 07 June 2023 PUBLISHED 03 July 2023

CITATION

Saban T, Shargian L, Eiger-Moscovitch M, Prockop S, Doubrovina E, Yeshurun M and Kramer M (2023) Case Report: Cytomegalovirus-specific T-lymphocyte infusion for resistant cytomegalovirus retinitis. *Front. Ophthalmol.* 3:1131674. doi: 10.3389/fopht.2023.1131674

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Saban, Shargian, Eiger-Moscovitch, Prockop, Doubrovina, Yeshurun and Kramer. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Case Report: Cytomegalovirusspecific T-lymphocyte infusion for resistant cytomegalovirus retinitis

Tal Saban^{1†}, Liat Shargian^{2†}, Maya Eiger-Moscovitch³, Susan Prockop^{4,5}, Ekatarina Doubrovina^{4,5}, Moshe Yeshurun^{2,6} and Michal Kramer^{1,6*}

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Rabin Medical Center - Beilinson Hospital, Petach Tikva, Israel, ²Institute of Hematology, Davidoff Cancer Center, Rabin Medical Center - Beilinson Hospital, Petach Tikva, Israel, ³Hadassah Faculty of Medicine, Hadassah University Hospital, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel, ⁴Department of Pediatrics, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, United States, ⁵Center for Immune Cellular Therapy, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, United States, ⁶Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

Purpose: We hereby describe a case of persistent cytomegalovirus (CMV) viremia and retinitis following allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) that was successfully treated with infusion of CMV-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL) despite previous treatment with Epstein Bar Virus (EBV) -specific CTL, which occurred 5 months earlier.

Observations: Following several anti- viral medication treatment trials that failed to eradicate the infectious process, the patient was treated with infusions of CMV-CTL from a biobank of cryopreserved virus-specific cells. Shortly after the first infusion, a remarkable response was noted. A few days after the second infusion, the retinitis resolved completely. No recurrence was noted at the one-year follow-up, and there was no evidence of GVHD.

Conclusions and importance: The case is unique for two reasons: use of virusspecific CTL for an indication of CMV retinitis; and successive administration, in the same patient, of third-party virus-specific CTL to treat two different infections (Epstein-Barr virus and cytomegalovirus) on two separate occasions following hematopoietic cell transplantation.

KEYWORDS

CMV retinitis, T-lymphocytes infusion, resistant CMV retinitis, cytomegalovirus-specific T-lymphocyte, CMV-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes

1 Introduction

CMV infection following allogeneic HCT can cause major morbidity and mortality. Clinical manifestations include pneumonia, hepatitis, gastroenteritis, retinitis, and encephalitis (1–3). Anti-viral treatment is initiated pre-emptively when the CMV replication level rises above a defined threshold, and therapeutically, when end-organ disease is diagnosed. However, several considerations limit the use of these drugs, most notably their toxicity profile and the risk of emergence mutations leading to drug resistance (4).

T lymphocytes play an important role in anti-viral immunity. The use of cellular immune therapy based on virus-specific CTL in patients after allogeneic HCT has been investigated for more than two decades. The aim of this report was to describe a young man after allogeneic HCT who was treated with virus-specific Tlymphocytes for persistent CMV retinitis.

This case is unique since the patient was previously treated with CTL infusion directed against EBV. This is the first description of a single patient receiving CTL from two unrelated donors, directed for two different viruses for two separate clinical events.

2 Case description

2.1 Patient history

A 26-year-old male presented to the uveitis clinic of a tertiary medical center with left eye visual disturbance. One year previously, he had undergone allogeneic HCT to treat severe aplastic anemia.

Prior to HCT, both the donor and the recipient were tested for the presence of antibodies for EBV and CMV. The donor had negative serologic testing for both viruses, meaning he had never been exposed to these viruses and therefore never developed immunization memory against them. The recipient had positive serologic tests to both CMV and EBV.

The post-transplantation course was complicated by acute GVHD requiring prolonged immunosuppressive therapy. The resulting profound immunosuppressive state led to reactivation of EBV and subsequent development of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. The immunosuppression was stopped, and treatment with chemoimmunotherapy was initiated, but the disease progressed. In an attempt to accelerate reconstitution of EBV-specific immunity, the patient was treated with third-party EBV CTL, with a sustained complete response. The EBV viral load was very high at the time of diagnosis of post-transplant lympho-proliferative disorder. By the time the patient was treated with rituximab and CHOP, the viral load was negative. As for CMV, the patient had persistent CMV viremia during the year before the current admission, with recent complaints of decreased vision in the left eye, despite continuous anti-viral treatment. Peripheral viral load of CMV, just before the last CMV-CTL was minimal, ranging from zero and 10,000 copies. Nevertheless, retinal disease was refractory to treatment.

2.2 Findings on admission

Upon admission a complete ophthalmologic examination was performed: Best corrected visual acuity was 6/6 in the right eye and 6/7 (5). in the left eye. There were no pathologic findings in the right eye. Exam of the left eye revealed retinitis foci adjacent to several retinal exudates and flame-shaped retinal haemorrhages located inferior and nasal to the macula (Figure 1). The retinal findings were imaged using OCT in order to locate the depth of the inflammatory process, the involved layers and the exact dimensions. A color fundus photography was used for further comparison. The option of performing an invasive ocular procedure for a PCR confirmation of the pathogen was considered. However, after assessing risks and benefits, in light of a classic ocular presentation of CMV retinitis alongside confirmed CMV viremia, a presumed diagnosis of CMV retinitis was made based on the clinical findings.

3 Management and clinical course

When retinitis was diagnosed in the presence of CMV viremia, CMV retinitis was the working diagnosis. The patient was treated with twice weekly intravitreal ganciclovir injections. Once the retinal lesions showed clinical regression, the intravitreal injections were tapered to once weekly. A sudden deterioration in the retinal state prompted the referral of the patient for an anterior chamber tap for polymerase chain reaction test. The results confirmed the presence of a new-onset UL-97 mutation which is associated with viral resistance to ganciclovir and valganciclovir. Accordingly, treatment was switched to twice weekly intravitreal injections of foscarnet. This led to a slow improvement of the retinitis, however, total resolution was not achieved.

After 2 months of ambulatory intravitreal treatment, the retinitis showed further progression, threatening the central macula (Figure 2), and treatment was augmented with systemic foscarnet and immunoglobulins.

Similar to the solution for the patient's refractory EBV lymphoma, it was assumed that his CMV-specific immunity needed to be reconstituted. Following international peer consultation, systemic pharmacologic anti-viral treatment was stopped and a third-party CMV-specific CTL was administered. In order to reduce the risk of reactive inflammation in the form of immune recovery vitritis, the intravitreal foscarnet injections were continued, and the new treatment was timed to coincide with the presence of saddle signs of active



Left eye at presentation. Retinitis foci and several retinal exudates accompanied by flame-shaped retinal hemorrhages located inferior and nasal to the macula.

infection. Treatment protocol included 3 weekly infusions of 1×10^6/kg cytomegalovirus pp65 CTL.

There was a 2/8 HLA antigen matching, between donor cell lines and the recipient, which was considered by the cell bank as sufficient.

4 Outcome

Shortly after the first infusion of CMV-CTL, a remarkable response was noted. A few days after the second infusion, the retinitis resolved completely (Figure 3), there was no evidence of GVHD, nor was there an immune recovery uveitis. No recurrence was noted at the four-year follow-up, and since.

5 Discussion

This study report suggests that CMV-CTL may provide a longterm cure for both systemic and ocular CMV infection after allogeneic HCT, and that a prior CTL donation does not exclude a second donation of a different pathogen directed CTL.

CTL holds promise for the treatment of patients after allogeneic HCT who acquire drug-resistance emergence mutations consequent to anti-viral treatment. Since most hematopoietic cell donors are EBV-seropositive, the concept of providing virus-specific cellular immune therapy was initially applied by infusing un-manipulated donor lymphocytes. However, this strategy was found to be associated with an increased incidence of severe or fatal GVHD owing to the presence of alloreactive T cells in the infused cell product (5–7). Researchers suggested that the alloreactivity of the donor T cells could be reduced by selectively expanding the T-cell lines directed against specific viruses from the donor. A major disadvantage of this approach is that it requires the generation of virus-specific T lymphocytes in order to obtain the cell numbers needed for clinical use, and the manufacturing process takes approximately 3-4 weeks (8). Thus, it is not suitable for



Left eye After 2 months of ambulatory intravitreal treatment. Note progression of the retinitis, threatening the central macula. The arrows point towards the perifoveal areas of active retinitis.



Left eye shortly after the first infusion of cytomegalovirus-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes. Note the remarkable response, leading to complete resolution after second infusion.

patients who need urgent therapy or who lack donor-derived virusspecific T cell options (recipients of cytomegalovirus-seronegative donor or cord blood grafts). A potential option in these cases is the use of third-party virus-specific CTL collected from virus-immune subjects with common HLA types, as biobanks of cryopreserved virusspecific CTL can be swiftly accessed. Published data suggest that despite the degree of mismatch inherent in this strategy, it is feasible, yields significant clinical responses, and does not precipitate high rates of graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) (9).

Another recent development is the generation of multiple-virusspecific T cells, each stimulated against (2-5) viruses (adenovirus, EBV, CMV, varicella zoster virus, and human herpesvirus) (6). If the line is appropriately matched with the recipient such that each virus is recognized through a shared HLA allele, this strategy offers treatment for a viral infection and prophylaxis against infection of several latent viruses in a single therapy (8, 10).

CMV-CTL and anti-viral drugs treats CMV reactivation/infection in two completely different methods. Anti-viral drugs exert their anti-CMV activity by inhibition of CMV UL54 DNA polymerase thereby disrupting CMV DNA synthesis. Viral mutations emerge in the setting of prolonged exposure to anti-viral drugs and lead to anti-viral resistance. The most common mutations are both mutations of the UL54 viral DNA polymerase and mutations of UL97 viral protein kinase, which phosphorylates ganciclovir and valganciclovir to an active form that inhibit UL54 viral DNA polymerase.

Infusion of CMV-CTL, on the other hand, restores patient's immunity against CMV. After the infusion, the donor derived cells undergo expansion followed by improvement in proliferation ability and cytokine production. Donor derived cells also demonstrate long term persistence. In contrast, third-party donor CTLs are presumed to persist only transiently as expansion of these infused populations has been detected for 90 days. Yet, they still mediate durable responses. There are at least 3 possible explanations: they engraft at low levels beyond the threshold of detection and provide long-term protection; they simply provide a bridge until the endogenous virus-specific T cells recover; and/or they help to mediate recovery of the endogenous virus-specific T-cell responses (11, 12).

Former publications of cytotoxic T-lymphocyte infusion for the indication of CMV retinitis are sparse (13-16). The patient described in this report is unique because he was treated with a partially matched virus-specific T-cell donation from a third-party donor bank for two different viruses, at two time points. Each donation was supplied by a different donor, neither of whom was related to the patient or to the primary stem cell donor. The first donation was required to treat chemo-refractory EBV-induced B-cell lymphoma, and the second donation was used to treat drug-resistant CMV retinitis and viremia. In a recent publication describing the outcome of 190 patients treated for CMV infection with CMV-CTL donation (of whom 8 patients with CMV retinitis), patients who were previously treated with other adoptive cellular therapies were excluded 13. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first description of a single patient receiving CTL from two unrelated donors, directed for two different viruses for two separate clinical events.

Our patient had progressive CMV retinitis despite systemic and intravitreal drugs, and once there was immediate threats to the central vision area, we were forced to treat with a second CTL infusion, this time, directed against CMV, while continuing the anti-viral drugs. We were relieved to discover that immune recovery uveitis has not developed, and that the retinitis was cured with no recurrences in 4 years of follow up.

Studies verifying the safety and efficacy of adoptive immunotherapy with transplant-donor-derived, and a third party derived virus-specific CTL against latent viruses in patients after allogeneic HCT have prompted studies to extend this therapeutic alternative to a broader cohort of patients. The present case report adds to emerging evidence that retinitis can be safely and successfully managed with adoptive immunotherapy, even after a prior CTL donation was performed for a different indication.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

References

1. Boeckh M, Leisenring W, Riddell SR, Bowden RA, Huang ML, Myerson D, et al. Late cytomegalovirus disease and mortality in recipients of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplants: importance of viral load and T-cell immunity. *Blood* (2003) 101 (2):407–14. doi: 10.1182/blood-2002-03-0993

2. Krause H, Hebart H, Jahn G, Muller CA, Einsele H. Screening for CMV-specific T cell proliferation to identify patients at risk of developing late onset CMV disease. *Bone Marrow Transplant* (1997) 19(11):1111–6. doi: 10.1038/sj.bmt.1700801

3. Zaia JA, Gallez-Hawkins GM, Tegtmeier BR, ter Veer A, Li X, Niland JC, et al. Late cytomegalovirus disease in marrow transplantation is predicted by virus load in plasma. J Infect Dis (1997) 176(3):782–5. doi: 10.1086/517301

4. Nishihori T, Shaheen M, El-Asmar J, Aljurf M, Kharfan-Dabaja MA. Therapeutic strategies for cytomegalovirus in allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Immunotherapy* (2015) 7(10):1059–71. doi: 10.2217/imt.15.70

5. Doubrovina E, Oflaz-Sozmen B, Prockop SE, Kernan NA, Abramson S, Teruya-Feldstein J, et al. Adoptive immunotherapy with unselected or EBV-specific T cells for biopsy-proven EBV+ lymphomas after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Blood* (2012) 119(11):2644–56. doi: 10.1182/blood-2011-08-371971

Ethics statement

Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

All authors have no financial disclosures related to this study: TS, LS, ME-M, SP, ED, MY, MK. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary Material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fopht.2023. 1131674/full#supplementary-material

6. Peggs KS, Verfuerth S, Pizzey A, Khan N, Guiver M, Moss PA, et al. Adoptive cellular therapy for early cytomegalovirus infection after allogeneic stem-cell transplantation with virus-specific T-cell lines. *Lancet* (2003) 362(9393):1375–7. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)14634-X

7. Walter EA, Greenberg PD, Gilbert MJ, Finch RJ, Watanabe KS, Thomas ED, et al. Reconstitution of cellular immunity against cytomegalovirus in recipients of allogeneic bone marrow by transfer of T-cell clones from the donor. *N Engl J Med* (1995) 333 (16):1038–44. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199510193331603

8. Qian C, Wang Y, Reppel L, D'aveni M, Campidelli A, Decot V, et al. Viral-specific T-cell transfer from HSCT donor for the treatment of viral infections or diseases after HSCT. *Bone Marrow Transplant* (2018) 53(2):114–22. doi: 10.1038/bmt.2017.232

9. Bollard CM, Heslop HE. T Cells for viral infections after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant. *Blood* (2016) 127(26):3331–40. doi: 10.1182/blood-2016-01-628982

10. Pei XY, Zhao XY, Liu XF, Mo XD, Lv M, Xu LP, et al. Adoptive therapy with cytomegalovirus-specific T cells for cytomegalovirus infection after haploidentical stem cell transplantation and factors affecting efficacy. *Am J Hematol* (2022) 97(6):762-9. doi: 10.1002/ajh.26535

11. Prockop S, Doubrovina E, Suser S, Heller G, Barker J, Dahi P, et al. Off-the-shelf EBV-specific T cell immunotherapy for rituximab-refractory EBV-associated lymphoma following transplantation. *J Clin Invest* (2020) 130(2):733–47. doi: 10.1172/JCI121127

12. Fabrizio V, Rodriguez-Sanchez I, Mauguen A, Dahi PB, Doubrovina E, O'Reilly RJ, et al. Adoptive therapy with CMV-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes depends on baseline CD4+ immunity to mediate durable responses. *Blood Adv* (2021) 5(2):496–503. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2020002735

13. Barrett AJ, Prockop S, Bollard CM. Virus specific T cells: broadening applicability. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* (2018) 24(1):13-4. doi: 10.1016/j.bbmt.2017.10.004

14. Gupta MP, Coombs P, Prockop SE, Hasan AA, Doubrovina E, O'Reilly RJ, et al. Treatment of cytomegalovirus retinitis with cytomegalovirus-specific T-lymphocyte infusion. *Opht Surg Lasers Imaging Retina* (2015) 46(1):80–2. doi: 10.3928/23258160-20150101-14

15. Kawakami M, Nakata J, Ohguro N, Yoshihara S, Inoue T, Tatekawa T, et al. A case of immune recovery vitritis induced by donor leukocyte infusion for the treatment of cytomegalovirus retinitis. *Eur J Haematol* (2005) 75(4):352–4. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0609.2005.00523.x

16. Seo S, Smith C, Fraser C, Patheja R, Shah SP, Rehan S, et al. Adoptive T-cell therapy for pediatric cytomegalovirus-associated retinitis. *Blood Adv* (2019) 3(11):1774. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2019000121