Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Veronica Mollica, University of Bologna, Italy

REVIEWED BY Mingxiao Feng

Johns Hopkins University, United States Liang-min Fu, The First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Umberto Maggiore Umberto.maggiore@unipr.it

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

[‡]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share senior authorship

RECEIVED 04 October 2024 ACCEPTED 19 February 2025 PUBLISHED 17 March 2025

CITATION

Gandolfini I, Manini M, Benigno GD, Gentile M, Palmisano A, Somenzi D, Gnetti L, Delsante M, Mordà B, D'Angelo M, Salvetti D, Fiaccadori E, Buti S and Maggiore U (2025) Combined standard immunosuppression and immune checkpoint inhibition for BKPyV+ metastatic renal cell carcinoma of the graft in a kidney transplant recipient with chronic rejection: a case report. *Front. Oncol.* 15:1506324. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2025.1506324

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Gandolfini, Manini, Benigno, Gentile, Palmisano, Somenzi, Gnetti, Delsante, Mordà, D'Angelo, Salvetti, Fiaccadori, Buti and Maggiore. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Combined standard immunosuppression and immune checkpoint inhibition for BKPyV+ metastatic renal cell carcinoma of the graft in a kidney transplant recipient with chronic rejection: a case report

Ilaria Gandolfini^{1†}, Martina Manini^{2†}, Giuseppe Daniele Benigno¹, Micaela Gentile¹, Alessandra Palmisano¹, Danio Somenzi³, Letizia Gnetti⁴, Marco Delsante¹, Benedetta Mordà⁵, Marta D'Angelo⁵, Daniel Salvetti⁵, Enrico Fiaccadori^{1,5}, Sebastiano Buti^{2‡} and Umberto Maggiore^{1,5*‡}

¹UO Nefrologia, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Parma, Parma, Italy, ²UO Oncologia Medica, Dipartimento di Medicina e Chirurgia, Università di Parma, Parma, Italy, ³Unit (UO) Nefrologia e Dialisi, Azienda USL-IRCCS Reggio Emilia, Reggio Emilia, Italy, ⁴UO Anatomia Patologica, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Parma, Parma, Italy, ⁵Dipartimento di Medicina e Chirurgia, Università di Parma, Parma, Italy

We report on the first case of a dual-kidney transplant recipient diagnosed with a metastatic BK polyomavirus-positive clear renal cell carcinoma with sarcomatoid features, which caused extensive vena cava thrombosis. The patient was successfully treated with the immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) ipilimumab plus nivolumab and continued immunosuppression with tacrolimus, mycophenolate, and steroids. He received ICIs despite the presence of graft dysfunction due to transplant glomerulopathy. As expected, the ICI treatment caused a progressive but asymptomatic decline of the graft function, which resulted in end-stage kidney disease. However, continuation of a full immunosuppression prevented acute rejection, graft intolerance syndrome episodes, or dual graft nephrectomy, which enabled the patient to successfully continue ICIs while on dialysis and to achieve sustained partial remission at the 17-month follow-up.

KEYWORDS

immune checkpoint inhibitors, immunosuppression, BK polyomavirus, renal cell carcinoma, kidney transplantation, dialysis

Introduction

The management of carcinoma of the kidney graft poses several challenges, especially in the era of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) (1, 2). Among the several ICI combinations available for first-line treatment of metastatic renal cell carcinoma, ipilimumab plus nivolumab is an option for patients with dismal prognosis. In fact, as shown by the CheckMate 214 phase III trial, the overall survival and objective response rates were significantly higher with nivolumab plus ipilimumab than with sunitinib among intermediate- and poor-risk patients with previously untreated renal cell carcinoma (3).

ICIs, by unleashing the T-cell immunity against cancer cells, increase the risk of graft rejection, potentially leading to graft loss (1, 4, 5). As transplant physicians often reduce immunosuppression to promote ICI-driven cancer clearance, the incidence of severe acute rejection and the subsequent ICI discontinuation have been as high as 40% in kidney transplant recipients (5, 6). On the contrary, two recent small phase 1 clinical trials (in 17 and 12 patients, respectively) have shown that, by continuing immunosuppressive (IS) therapy, the incidence of acute rejection can be kept well below 15%, without apparently compromising the anticancer response (4, 7). These findings were, however, not confirmed by another small trial in eight patients, which showed that tacrolimus and prednisone are insufficient to prevent graft loss and can compromise the ICI immune-mediated tumor regression (8). In all three trials, ICIs were discontinued in patients who developed acute rejection. Avoiding acute rejection and/or graft intolerance syndrome is essential to enable the continuation of ICIs. Moreover, the anti-rejection treatment, which is based on pulse steroids, Tcell-depleting agents, and plasmapheresis, can nullify the action of ICIs (1, 2). While treatment of acute rejection is mandatory to limit the risk of life-threatening complications, the same does not apply to chronic rejection, which causes a slow and an asymptomatic decrease of graft function. One possible strategy to overcome the problem of acute rejection is to continue the standard maintenance immunosuppression at the time of ICI initiation. Would a severe rejection develop regardless, graft nephrectomy might be considered as an additional therapy that may enable the continuation of ICIs even in patients with uncontrolled acute rejection. Indeed, in kidney transplant patients who develop chronic rejection and eventually start dialysis, ICIs can be continued indefinitely (9, 10). It has also been argued that BK polyomavirus (BKPyV)-associated urothelial and kidney cancer may be especially sensitive to immune reconstitution in kidney transplant recipients; therefore, ICIs could be particularly effective in this setting (11).

Here, we report on the first case of a BKPyV-positive metastatic clear cell renal carcinoma that developed in the kidney graft in the context of chronic rejection, which was treated with the combination of ipilimumab plus nivolumab.

Case description

A 57-year-old ever smoker man, with a history of hypertension, underwent a bilateral dual-kidney transplantation for end-stage renal disease secondary to IgA nephropathy. He received thymoglobulin induction and maintenance therapy with tacrolimus, everolimus, and steroids. His transplant history was uneventful, apart from a persistent urinary BKPyV DNA. However, 10 years post-transplant, after steroid self-withdrawal, his kidney function worsened (s-creatinine from 1 to 2.6 mg/dL; proteinuria, 2 g/day). Graft biopsy showed transplant glomerulopathy with microvascular inflammation, suggestive of chronic rejection, with no circulating anti-human leukocyte antigen (HLA) donor-specific antibodies (DSAs) or peritubular capillary C4d deposition. After replacing everolimus with mycophenolate and restarting low-dose steroids, his kidney function and proteinuria improved (screatinine, 1.9 mg/dl; proteinuria, 0.3 g/day).

After 3 years, at the age of 70, the patient presented with a painful mass at the left iliac fossa, which was associated with a further deterioration of kidney function (s-creatinine, 2.6 mg/dl). A CT scan showed a 12-cm lobulated mass of the left kidney graft, with local abdominal wall and iliac muscle extension, multiple parenchymal nodulations in both lungs, and neoplastic thrombosis in the inferior vena cava, which required anticoagulation treatment (Figures 1A, B). The biopsy of the lesion showed clear cell carcinoma with sarcomatoid differentiation that stained positive for SV40-BKPyV in the nuclei, with the surrounding healthy kidney tissue being negative, and positive for the sarcomatoid/clear cell markers carbonic anhydrase IX (CAIX), paired box gene 8 (PAX8), and vimentin (Figure 2). Moreover, the brain CT scan and MRI showed multiple brain metastases.

The multidisciplinary team shared the treatment decision with the patient: due to the dismal prognosis related to disease extension, the poor IMDC (International Metastatic RCC Database Consortium) score, prognostic group 4 according to the Meet-URO score, and the sarcomatoid features (12, 13), we opted for first-line treatment with the combination of ipilimumab plus nivolumab despite the history of chronic rejection and the lack of available data in similar settings. The patient accepted the high risk of graft loss due to rejection and the option of urgent dual graft nephrectomy would a severe acute rejection develop (1).

To minimize the risk of acute rejection during the use of ICIs, and in accordance with the results of recent phase 1 trials (4, 7), the patient was maintained on IS therapy with tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil, and methylprednisolone 4 mg daily.

Following the first administration of ICIs, the kidney function progressively worsened, from 2.6 to 4 mg/dl over the course of 4 weeks. This was associated with an increase of urinary chemokine CXCL9 (from 123 to 342 pg/ml), which suggested ongoing chronic

Abbreviations: BKPyV, BK polyomavirus; ICIs, immune checkpoint inhibitors; DSA, anti-HLA donor-specific antibodies; SV40, simian virus 40; CT, computed tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; CAIX, carbonic anhydrase IX; PAX8, paired box gene 8; IMDC, International Metastatic RCC Database Consortium; CXCL9, C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 9; ECOG PS, European Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Score.



FIGURE 1

(A, B) Basal contrast-enhanced CT of the pelvis and thorax at baseline. (A) Lobulated contrast-enhanced expansive lesion of approximately 12 cm in the left graft with extension in the context of the abdominal wall muscles (71 × 63 mm, red arrow). (B) Multiple parenchymal nodulations in both lungs suggestive for metastases, the largest of approximately 18 mm in the right lower lobe (blue arrows). (C, D) Contrast-enhanced CT of the pelvis and thorax at baseline 6 months post-immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) initiation. (C) Lobulated expansive lesion in the left graft (50 x 46 mm), with extension in the context of the abdominal wall muscles (42 × 22 mm). (D) Overall reduction of multiple parenchymal nodulations in both lungs. (E, F) Contrast-enhanced CT of the pelvis and thorax at baseline 17 months post-ICI initiation. (E) Stable lobulated expansive lesion in the left graft (43 x 39 mm), with extension in the context of the abdominal wall muscles (40 x 18 mm). (F) Overall lung progression, with increase of some pulmonary nodules, the largest of 14 mm at the upper left lobe and 15 mm at the right lower lobe.

rejection. At 8 weeks after starting ICI therapy, the patient became dialysis-dependent (two dialysis sessions per week). Nonetheless, the graft biopsy documented only persistent chronic lesions, without signs of acute inflammation, and circulating anti-HLA DSAs remained negative.

The ICI treatment was well tolerated. The patient achieved partial remission at 6 months post-ICI initiation (Figures 1C, D): the palpable abdominal mass showed a remarkable reduction, and the performance status improved [European Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Score (ECOG PS) from 3 to 1] (14). Ipilimumab was withdrawn, and nivolumab was continued as maintenance ICI. The 9-month CT scan documented a further decrease of the abdominal mass; however, three lung nodules reappeared, suggesting a condition of "oligoprogression" (not shown). Nonetheless, the patient maintained a good performance status (ECOG PS = 1) without symptoms related to the cancer, rejection, or graft intolerance syndrome. No BKPyV DNAemia was detected during the entire follow-up. While on nivolumab, the patient was treated with stereotactic body radiotherapy on the three pulmonary nodules (month 15). The last brain MRI (15 months

after ICI initiation) showed a further response of the brain metastases (2 vs. 15 lesions), and the 17-month CT scan confirmed that he maintained partial response (Figures 1E, F).

Discussion

Our case presents a challenging situation in which a dualkidney transplant recipient aged 70 years, with a baseline graft dysfunction secondary to chronic rejection, was diagnosed with a BKPyV-positive clear cell renal carcinoma with sarcomatoid features of the left kidney graft with lung and brain metastases.

The extension of the disease, the presence of a contralateral graft, and the bad performance status of the patient contraindicated the surgery-first approach. For this reason, we opted for a first-line treatment with a combination of ICIs (ipilimumab and nivolumab), as recent data on non-transplanted individuals have suggested a better response when compared with traditional targeted therapies (15).

The patient was informed by our multidisciplinary team about the concrete risk of acute rejection requiring urgent dual



FIGURE 2

Needle biopsy of the renal neoplasm (×4). Upper panel shows an undifferentiated carcinoma at hematoxylin and eosin stain (×4). Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was overall compatible with a clear cell carcinoma with sarcomatoid differentiation staining positive for CAIX, PAX8, and vimentin. Diffuse nuclear positivity for BKPyV SV40 by IHC was detected in neoplastic cells, while the surrounding healthy kidney tissue was negative.

nephrectomy and anti-rejection treatment, which could have nullified the effect of the life-saving treatment with ICIs (1, 2).

To mitigate the risk of acute rejection, which was particularly high in our patient due to ongoing chronic rejection (6), we continued a full maintenance immunosuppression with tacrolimus, mycophenolate, and steroids, as suggested in recent phase 1 trials (4, 7).

As expected, the kidney function progressively worsened for the ongoing chronic rejection. Nonetheless, the patient never developed acute rejection or graft intolerance syndrome; therefore, he did not require additional IS treatment nor dangerous urgent dual nephrectomy. Rather, the patient could continue ICIs without interruption, even after starting chronic dialysis for a slow and asymptomatic deterioration of graft function (9, 10).

More importantly, this approach did not compromise the anticancer response; therefore, the patient achieved a sustained partial remission after 17 months of follow-up, with an improvement of his performance status.

To the best of our knowledge, no similar cases treated with the combination of ipilimumab and nivolumab have been reported in the literature to date. We contend that the strong positivity for BKPyV on cancer cell nuclei further supported the rationale of the use of ICI treatment (16).

Our case suggests that BKPyV-positive metastatic renal cell carcinoma of the kidney graft with sarcomatoid features can be successfully treated with ipilimumab and nivolumab immunotherapy and continued immunosuppression to prevent severe rejection and ICI discontinuation. An expert multidisciplinary team is necessary for the shared decisionmaking process and the optimal management of complex clinical conditions, such as in the case presented here. Large-scale realworld studies are needed to analyze these particular clinical conditions excluded by registrational phase III clinical trials (17).

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Comitato etico AVEN, AOU Parma, Italy. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

IG: Conceptualization, Data curation, Project administration, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MM: Data curation, Writing – original draft. GB: Resources, Writing – review & editing. MG: Resources, Writing – review & editing. AP: Resources, Writing – review & editing. DSo: Investigation, Supervision, Writing – original draft. LG: Resources, Writing – review & editing. MD: Resources, Writing – review & editing. BM:

References

1. Maggiore U, Palmisano A, Buti S, Claire Giudice G, Cattaneo D, Giuliani N, et al. Chemotherapy, targeted therapy and immunotherapy: Which drugs can be safely used in the solid organ transplant recipients? *Transpl Int.* (2021) 34:2442–58. doi: 10.1111/tri.v34.12

2. Hellemans R, Pengel LHM, Choquet S, Maggiore U, for EWotTLJp. Managing immunosuppressive therapy in potentially cured post-kidney transplant cancer (excluding non-melanoma skin cancer): an overview of the available evidence and guidance for shared decision-making. *Transpl Int*. (2021) 34:1789–800. doi: 10.1111/tri.v34.10

3. Tannir NM, Albiges L, McDermott DF, Burotto M, Choueiri TK, Hammers HJ, et al. Nivolumab plus ipilimumab versus sunitinib for first-line treatment of advanced renal cell carcinoma: extended 8-year follow-up results of efficacy and safety from the phase III CheckMate 214 trial. *Ann Oncol.* (2024) 35:1026–38. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2024.07.727

4. Carroll RP, Boyer M, Gebski V, Hockley B, Johnston JK, Kireta S, et al. Immune checkpoint inhibitors in kidney transplant recipients: a multicentre, single-arm, phase 1 study. *Lancet Oncol.* (2022) 23:1078–86. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(22)00368-0

5. Nguyen LS, Ortuno S, Lebrun-Vignes B, Johnson DB, Moslehi JJ, Hertig A, et al. Transplant rejections associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors: A pharmacovigilance study and systematic literature review. *Eur J Cancer*. (2021) 148:36–47. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2021.01.038

6. d'Izarny-Gargas T, Durrbach A, Zaidan M. Efficacy and tolerance of immune checkpoint inhibitors in transplant patients with cancer: A systematic review. *Am J Transplant.* (2020) 20:2457–65. doi: 10.1111/ajt.15811

Data curation, Writing – review & editing. MD'A: Writing – review & editing. DSa: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. EF: Writing – review & editing. SB: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – original draft. UM: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

7. Hanna GJ, Dharanesswaran H, Giobbie-Hurder A, Harran JJ, Liao Z, Pai L, et al. Cemiplimab for kidney transplant recipients with advanced cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol.* (2024) 42:1021–30. doi: 10.1200/JCO.23.01498

8. Schenk KM, Deutsch JS, Chandra S, Davar D, Eroglu Z, Khushalani NI, et al. Nivolumab + Tacrolimus + Prednisone +/- ipilimumab for kidney transplant recipients with advanced cutaneous cancers. *J Clin Oncol.* (2024) 42:1011–20. doi: 10.1200/JCO.23.01497

 Kitchlu A, Jhaveri KD, Sprangers B, Yanagita M, Wanchoo R. Immune checkpoint inhibitor use in patients with end-stage kidney disease: an analysis of reported cases and literature review. *Clin Kidney J.* (2021) 14:2012–22. doi: 10.1093/ckj/ sfab090

10. Vitale MG, Baldessari C, Milella M, Buti S, Militello AM, Di Girolamo S, et al. Immunotherapy in dialysis-dependent cancer patients: our experience in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma and a review of the literature. *Clin Genitourin Cancer*. (2019) 17:e903–8. doi: 10.1016/j.clgc.2019.06.009

11. Geetha D, Tong BC, Racusen L, Markowitz JS, Westra WH. Bladder carcinoma in a transplant recipient: evidence to implicate the BK human polyomavirus as a causal transforming agent. *Transplantation*. (2002) 73:1933–6. doi: 10.1097/00007890-200206270-00015

12. Rebuzzi SE, Signori A, Buti S, Banna GL, Murianni V, Damassi A, et al. Validation of the Meet-URO score in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma receiving first-line nivolumab and ipilimumab in the Italian Expanded Access Program. *ESMO Open.* (2022) 7:100634. doi: 10.1016/j.esmoop.2022.100634

13. Blum KA, Gupta S, Tickoo SK, Chan TA, Russo P, Motzer RJ, et al. Sarcomatoid renal cell carcinoma: biology, natural history and management. *Nat Rev Urol.* (2020) 17:659–78. doi: 10.1038/s41585-020-00382-9

14. Oken MM, Creech RH, Tormey DC, Horton J, Davis TE, McFadden ET, et al. Toxicity and response criteria of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. *Am J Clin Oncol.* (1982) 5:649–55. doi: 10.1097/0000421-198212000-00014

15. Rini BI, Signoretti S, Choueiri TK, McDermott DF, Motzer RJ, George S, et al. Long-term outcomes with nivolumab plus ipilimumab versus sunitinib in first-line

treatment of patients with advanced sarcomatoid renal cell carcinoma. J Immunother Cancer. (2022) 10. doi: 10.1136/jitc-2022-005445

16. Dalianis T, Hirsch HH. Human polyomaviruses in disease and cancer. Virology. (2013) 437:63–72. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2012.12.015

17. Rebuzzi SE, Fornarini G, Signori A, Buti S, Procopio G, De Giorgi U, et al. International multicenter real-world REGistry for patients with metastatic renAL cell carcinoma - Meet-URO 33 study (REGAL study). *BMC Cancer*. (2024) 24:757. doi: 10.1186/s12885-024-12319-1