#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Simona Bernardi, University of Brescia, Italy

REVIEWED BY Muhammad Shahzad Ali, LifeSensors, United States Claudia Ielo, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy

\*CORRESPONDENCE Yanhong Tan Image tanyh2010@163.com Hongwei Wang Image wanghw68@hotmail.com

RECEIVED 28 October 2024 ACCEPTED 26 November 2024 PUBLISHED 12 December 2024

#### CITATION

Xu Y, Fan Y, Liu S, Chang J, Guo C, Chen L, Guo W, Dang J, Wang H and Tan Y (2024) Chronic myelogenous leukemia coexpressing V-e16a2, V-e13a2, e13a2, and e14a2 *BCR:: ABL1* fusion transcripts: a case report and review of the literature. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1518387. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1518387

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Xu, Fan, Liu, Chang, Guo, Chen, Guo, Dang, Wang and Tan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Chronic myelogenous leukemia coexpressing V-e16a2, V-e13a2, e13a2, and e14a2 *BCR:*:ABL1 fusion transcripts: a case report and review of the literature

Yang Xu, Yuying Fan, Sijin Liu, Jianmei Chang, Caifeng Guo, Lanhui Chen, Wenzheng Guo, Jinwen Dang, Hongwei Wang\* and Yanhong Tan\*

Institute of Hematology, The Second Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan, China

The coexistence of three or more transcripts in one patient with chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) is rarely reported. Thus, the disease progression and drug response are still unknown. This case report aimed to explore the drug response of CML with variant transcripts and to enrich the clinical treatment of rare types of CML. A 66-year-old Chinese female patient was diagnosed with chronic myeloid leukemia-chronic phase (CML-CP) expressing four *BCR::ABL1* transcripts, including variant e16a2(V-e16a2), variant e13a2(V-e13a2), classical e13a2, and e14a2 transcripts. The patient was treated with flumatinib, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI).The variant transcripts reported exhibited a favorable response to TKI, and attention should be directed toward monitoring variant transcripts.

#### KEYWORDS

chronic myelogenous leukemia, BCR::ABL1, e16a2 transcripts, variant transcript, tyrosine kinase inhibitors

### **1** Introduction

The presence of the Philadelphia (Ph) chromosome, which is due to the reciprocal translocation t(9;22) (q34;q11), is a distinctive characteristic of chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) (1–3). This translocation leads to a breakpoint cluster region (BCR)-Abelson 1 (ABL1) fusion transcript. In general, as the breakpoint region occurs in M-bcr, m-bcr, and  $\mu$ -bcr, fusion transcripts e13a2 (b2a2), e14a2 (b3a2), e1a2, and e19a2 are generated, respectively (4, 5). Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) were strategically crafted to selectively target the fusion protein, aiming to impede its enzymatic activity. This targeted inhibition has resulted in a noteworthy frequency of remission and improved the survival rates among patients diagnosed with CML (6).

However, evidences indicate instances characterized by an atypical clinical presentation, predominantly associated with alternative transcripts. These occurrences are observed in 1% of patients with CML, and their clinical significance is under investigation (7–10). Such infrequent variant transcripts can induce phenotypic variability and impact the response to TKI therapy (11). The current literature reported that abnormal splices involved are BCR exons 1, 6, 8, 12, 13, 14, and 19, as well as ABL exons 2 and 3 (7, 12–17). Herein, we describe a rare case of CML involving abnormal splicing of BCR exons 16 and 13 and ABL exon 2. This splicing event resulted in the coexpression of four *BCR::ABL1* transcripts of variants e16a2 and e13a2 and classical e13a2 and e14a2. This patient was followed up for 14 months to observe her response to TKI after treatment with flumatinib.

#### 2 Case report/case presentation

A 66-year-old female was admitted to the Hematology Department in November 2021 because of dizziness and fatigue. Laboratory examinations revealed the hemoglobin (Hgb) level of 36 g/L, white blood cell count of  $74.23 \times 10^9$ /L, and platelet count of  $1,437 \times 10^9$ /L. Bone marrow imaging showed extremely active proliferation, with a markedly increased granulocyte lineage, predominantly in the middle and late juvenile granulocytes, and an increased number of megakaryocytes, with small cytosols and few lobes. Immunophenotyping results revealed that lymphocytes, monocytes, granulocytes, and primitive cells accounted for 8.3%, 1%, 70.9%, and 3.4%, respectively. The proportion of primitive cells and granulocytes increased, with positive expression of CD34, CD117, CD56, CD38, and CD13. Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization analysis using dual-fusion probes showed the *BCR:: ABL1* classical fusion signal in 99% of 500 cells counted, and cytogenetic analysis was positive for 46, XX, t(9;22)(q34;q11). Thus, the patient was diagnosed with chronic phase CML. The Sokal and ELTS scores indicated high risk.

Furthermore, nest-RT-PCR was used to detect the *BCR::ABL1* fusion gene. This method can detect most transcripts, as described in the literature (18). Surprisingly, we not only detected classical e13a2 (244bp) and e14a2 (319bp) transcripts but also found abnormal bands of 377 and 166 bp in size, respectively, in the same lane (Figure 1A). Abnormal-sized bands were excised and subjected to Sanger sequencing. The sequencing results (Figure 1B) revealed a fusion of a 128-bp deletion at the C-terminal end of exon 16 of the BCR gene with a 44-bp deletion at the N-terminal end of exon 2 of the ABL1 gene, forming an atypical e16a2 transcript. Because of the frameshift mutation, the transcript encodes a 964-amino-acid truncated protein and almost loses most of the ABL region, including the kinase domain. Additionally, a 1-bp deletion at the C-terminal end of exon 13 of the BCR gene was fused with a



#### FIGURE 1

Identification and screening of *BCR::ABL1* coexpressing variant e16a2(V-e16a2), variant e13a2(V-e13a2), e13a2, and e14a2 transcripts and structure diagram. (A) Electrophoretogram of different *BCR::ABL1* transcripts in a patient with CML. M: marker, Lane 1: coexpressing variant e16a2(V-e16a2) (377bp), variant e13a2(V-e13a2)(166bp), e13a2(244bp), and e14a2(319 bp), Lane 2: e14a2(319bp), Lane 3: e13a2(244bp), Lane 4: blank. (B) Sequencing results of various transcripts. a: variant e16a2(V-e16a2), b: variant e13a2(V-e13a2). (C) Dynamic monitoring results of the expression of four transcripts of the *BCR::ABL1* fusion gene in a CML patient. Green area: optimal response, yellow area: nonoptimal response, red area: resistance. (D) Diagram of changes in early molecular reaction rates. (E) Schematic of the generation and protein structure prediction of various *BCR:: ABL1* transcripts.

77-bp deletion at the N-terminal end of exon 2 of the ABL1 gene, resulting in the deletion of 26 amino acids in the frame and the formation of an atypical e13a2 transcript. Fortunately, the integrity of the ABL kinase domain remained uncompromised.

The patient was diagnosed and started on flumatinib 600 mg QD for treatment. To understand how well these abnormal transcripts responded to TKI, their cytogenetic and molecular biology monitoring results were regularly followed up. Because the detection range of conventional quantitative PCR could not cover abnormal transcript, special primers and probes were designed to detect each transcript. The results of typical transcripts were expressed on the International Scale (IS) whereas it was not possible to report the results of atypical transcripts on the IS. The SET1 primer pair (BCR gene exon15F:5' GGATTCCTT TGGGTATTTTGTGA 3' and ABLexon2R1:5' TGGGGTCATTT TCACTGGGT 3') monitored the V-e16a2 transcript, while the SET2 primer pair (BCR exon13F: 5'-CCGCTGACCATCAATA AGGAA-3', ABLexon3R: 5'-TCACACCATTCCCCATTGTG-3' and probeA3: 5'-CCGGGTCTTAGGCTATA-3') monitored the Ve13a2 transcript. Classical primer set3 (BCR exon13F: 5'-CCGC TGACCATCAATAAGGAA-3', ABLexon2R2:5'-CACTCAGA CCCTGAGGCTCAA -3', and probeA2:5'-CCCTT CAGCGGCCAGTAGCATCTGA-3') was used to amplify P210 (total e13a2 and e14a2) (Figure 1E). The patient was followed up for 14 months, and the expression of each transcript (shown in Figure 1C) demonstrated that all four transcripts of the patients showed a decreasing trend with the prolongation of the medication time, presenting a good response to flumatinib. The V-e13a2 transcript was undetectable by RT-qPCR after 9 months. However, the rate of decline indicates that the V-e16a2 transcript may be more sensitive than the V-e13a2 transcript at the early stage (Figure 1D). The total expression of e13a2 and e14a2 was not high at baseline, and BCR::ABL1/ABL-IS was always optimal after treatment.

#### **3** Discussion

The BCR::ABL1 fusion gene generated by chromosome t(9;22) translocation is an important mechanism in the development of Ph+ leukemias, and BCR::ABL1 exhibits diversity at the mRNA and protein levels due to different breakpoints and the involvement of alternative splicing. With the widespread use of various sequencing technologies, rare BCR::ABL1 transcripts other than classical transcripts have been discovered and confirmed. These variant transcripts are generally believed to exist because of the following two main ways. One mechanism involves the rearrangement of atypical breakpoint regions of the BCR and ABL genes resulting in the formation of fusion transcripts such as e8a2, e15a2, e6a2, and e1a3. Another reason is alternative or abnormal splicing at the mRNA level, whose variant transcripts are mostly caused by deletions or insertions of partial or full sequences in the exons or intros of the BCR and ABL1 genes. Most of these atypical transcripts reported so far are accompanied by typical transcripts (19), which support this formation mechanism. The patient first reported here harbored two atypical transcripts due to partial exon deletions in the BCR and ABL1 genes. It was hypothesized that the breakpoints were located in BCR intron 16 and ABL intron 1. Multiple abnormal transcripts subsequently emerged as a result of abnormal splicing events at the mRNA level (Figure 1E), with coexpression of the normal transcripts. Furthermore, a fusion of BCR e16 with ABL a2 was also identified for the first time.

The clinical significance and prognostic values of atypical transcripts in ph-positive leukemias are still unclear from the current studies, and most of them are individual case reports that lack reproducibility and are highly heterogeneous. Abnormal splicing of genes often alters their functions (20). Therefore, whether the proteins encoded by these atypical transcripts are oncogenic, with or without kinase activity, and how they respond to TKIs are of special interest for individualized patient treatment. Patients carrying the atypical BCR::ABL1 e6a2 fusion transcript are depicted as having an aggressive clinical course and are associated with a poor prognosis (21). Manzella et al. (21) described a case of a female patient with CML carrying the BCR::ABL1 e6a2 fusion transcript. Treatment with nilotinib, a second-generation TKI, was effective in this patient. In other cases with rare transcripts, the impact on outcome remains unclear because of their rarity and lack of data. This indicates that the differential response of abnormal transcripts to TKIs may depend on alterations in their structural or functional domains. Furthermore, the form of the transcript should be considered when deciding on the optimal treatment approach. In this report, the patient's response to TKI was closely monitored, which may provide a basis for the treatment of more specific cases. After treating the patient with flumatinib 600 mg/day, both atypical e16a2 and e13a2 transcripts and classical e14a2 and e13a2 transcripts showed a sensitive response. The ve16a2 transcript with a higher proportion of initial expression volume had a faster decline rate at the early stage (Figure 1D). In terms of protein structure (Figure 1E), compared with the classical e13a2 transcript, the v-e13a2 transcript was analyzed with an inframe deletion mutation that preserved the intact ABL1 functional domain, and the ATP-binding site of the ABL1 kinase was not disrupted. The 26 missing amino acids primarily encode a critical N-terminal cap segment of the ABL gene (pink part), which helps keep the SH3-SH2 substructure in a self-inhibitory state and locks it to the distal surface of the kinase domain. Deletion of the mutant may prolong the activity of ABL kinase by preventing it from returning to a self-inhibited state (22). This could lead to the possibility that v-e13a2 may exhibit heightened kinase activity. In terms of drug response, the response of this transcript to TKIs may be as effective as that in classical transcripts. In this patient, the truncated e16a2 transcript resulted in the termination of the ABL region after encoding only two amino acids, leading to a loss of almost all ABL functional domains; however, its presence was consistently detected during dynamic monitoring of the patient and gradually decreased over time with TKI. Although the v-e16a2 transcript does not directly interact with TKIs, its reduced expression likely stems from the reduction in alternative splicing events presented by the decreased BCR::ABL1-positive cells in patients treated with TKI. This hypothesis gains support from the consistent trend observed in other transcripts.

Molecular monitoring of *BCR::ABL1* levels by RT-qPCR has been standardized and the standardized system is widely used in

different laboratories (23). Atypical transcripts are not efficiently detected by RT-qPCR and molecular results cannot be expressed on IS as for common transcripts. In these cases, patient-specific primers and probes are needed to perform a dynamic RT-qPCR monitoring. Moreover, conventional multiplex RT-PCR usually fails to detect uncommon *BCR::ABL1* rearrangements because of the generation of atypical PCR products, which are often interpreted as nonspecific and may lead to misdiagnosis, thus excluding the patient from targeted therapy. In conclusion, qualitative RT-PCR remains an indispensable test and attention should be paid to the interpretation of the results. Besides classical techniques, high sensitivity technologies, such as digital PCR, can be useful to monitor atypical transcripts and to achieve the goal of truly individualized treatment for CML patients.

### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

## **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by The Second Hospital of Shanxi Medical University. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

## Author contributions

YX: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Validation. YF: Investigation, Software, Writing – review & editing. SL: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. JC: Formal

#### References

1. Rowley JD. Letter: A new consistent chromosomal abnormality in chronic myelogenous leukemia identified by quinacrine fluorescence and Giemsa staining. *Nature*. (1973) 243:290–3. doi: 10.1038/243290a0

2. Groffen J, Stephenson Jr, Heisterkamp N, de Klein A, Bartram CR, Grosveld G. Philadelphia chromosomal breakpoints are clustered within a limited region, bcr, on chromosome 22. *Cell*. (1984) 36:93–9. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(84)90077-1

3. Lugo TG, Pendergast AM, Muller AJ, Witte ON. Tyrosine kinase activity and transformation potency of bcr-abl oncogene products. *Science*. (1990) 247:1079–82. doi: 10.1126/science.2408149

4. Apperley JF. Chronic myeloid leukemia. Lancet. (2015) 385:1447-59. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62120-0

 Melo JV. The diversity of BCR-ABL fusion proteins and their relationship to leukemia phenotype. *Blood.* (1996) 88:2375–84. doi: 10.1182/blood.V88.7.2375.bloodjournal8872375 analysis, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. CG: Data curation, Resources, Validation, Writing – review & editing. LC: Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing. WG: Conceptualization, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. JD: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. HW: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. YT: Funding acquisition, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. Applied Basic Research Project of Shanxi Province, China (202303021211210); Fund Program for the Scientific Activities of Selected Returned Overseas Professionals in Shanxi Province (20210029); National Natural Science Foundation of China (81400139).

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### **Generative AI statement**

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

6. Hughes T, Branford S. Molecular monitoring of BCR-ABL as a guide to clinical management in chronic myeloid leukemia. *Blood Rev.* (2006) 20:29–41. doi: 10.1016/j.blre.2005.01.008

7. Massimino M, Stella S, Tirrò E, Consoli ML, Pennisi MS, Puma A, et al. Efficacy of dasatinib in a very elderly CML patient expressing a rare E13a3 Bcr-Abl1 fusion transcript: A Case report. *Anticancer Res.* (2019) 39:3949–54. doi: 10.21873/anticanres.13548

8. Schultheis B, Wang L, Clark RE, Melo JV. BCR-ABL with an e6a2 fusion in a CML patient diagnosed in blast crisis. *Leukemia*. (2003) 17:2054–5. doi: 10.1038/ sj.leu.2403079

9. Branford S, Rudzki Z, Hughes TP. A novel BCR-ABL transcript (e8a2) with the insertion of an inverted sequence of ABL intron 1b in a patient with Philadelphia-positive chronic myeloid leukemia. *Br J Hematol.* (2000) 109:635–7. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2141.2000.02042.x

10. Hochhaus A, Reiter A, Skladny H, Melo JV, Sick C, Berger U, et al. A novel BCR-ABL fusion gene (e6a2) in a patient with Philadelphia chromosome-negative chronic myelogenous leukemia. *Blood*. (1996) 88:2236-40. doi: 10.1182/blood.V88.6.2236.bloodjournal8862236

11. Langabeer SE. Is the BCR-ABL1 transcript type in chronic myeloid leukemia relevant? Med Oncol. Northwood London Engl. (2013) 30:508. doi: 10.1007/s12032-013-0508-9

12. Martinelli G, Amabile M, Terragna C, Testoni N, Ottaviani E, Montefusco V, et al. Concomitant expression of the rare E1/A3 and B2/A3 types of BCR/ABL transcript in a chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) patient. *Leukemia*. (1999) 13:1463–4. doi: 10.1038/sj.leu.2401509

13. Ramdohr F, Fabarius A, Maier B, Bretschneider D, Jauch A, Monecke A, et al. Atypical presentation of patients with chronic myeloid leukemia in chronic phase-Case report. *Front Oncol.* (2022) 12:960914. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.960914

14. Burmeister T, Bullinger L, le Coutre P. The Recurrent atypical e8a2 BCR::ABL1 Transcript with Insertion of an Inverted 55 base pair ABL1 Intron 1b Sequence: A Detailed Molecular Analysis. *Acta Hematol.* (2023) 146:413–8. doi: 10.1159/000531128

15. Zhao Zy, Tang N, Lin L. A rare BCR-ABL1 transcript in chronic myeloid leukemia: case report and literature review. *Leuk Res.* (2023) 133:107369. doi: 10.1016/j.leukres.2023.107369

16. Zhang X, Sun H, Su Y, Yi H. Long-term molecular remission after treatment with imatinib in a chronic myeloid leukemia patient with extreme thrombocytosis harboring rare e14a3 (b3a3) BCR::ABL1 transcript: A case report. *Curr Oncol.* (2022) 29:8171–9. doi: 10.3390/curroncol29110645

17. May PC, Reid AG, Robinson ME, Khorashad JS, Milojkovic D, Claudiani S, et al. FISH-negative BCR::ABL1-positive e19a2 chronic myeloid leukemia: the most cryptic of insertions. *BMC Med Genomics*. (2023) 16:172. doi: 10.1186/s12920-023-01607-7

18. Tan Y, Zhang L, Zhu G, Yang Y, Guo W, Chen L, et al. BCR/ABL1 $\Delta$ E7-8-9 isoform contributes to tyrosine kinase inhibitor resistance in chronic myeloid leukemia. *Hematol Oncol.* (2022) 40:1067–75. doi: 10.1002/hon.3040

19. Osman AEG, Deininger MW. Chronic myeloid leukemia: modern therapies, current challenges and future directions. *Blood Rev.* (2021) 49:100825. doi: 10.1016/j.blre.2021.100825

20. Wu Q, Feng L, Wang Y, Mao Y, Di X, Zhang K, et al. Multi-omics analysis reveals RNA splicing alterations and their biological and clinical implications in lung adenocarcinoma. *Signal Transduct Target Ther.* (2022) 7:270. doi: 10.1038/s41392-022-01098-5

21. Manzella L, Tirrò E, Vitale Sr, Puma A, Consoli ML, Tambè L, et al. Optimal response in a patient with CML expressing BCR-ABL1 E6A2 fusion transcript with nilotinib therapy: A case report. *In Vivo*. (2020) 34:1481–6. doi: 10.21873/invivo.11933

22. Nagar B, Hantschel O, Seeliger M, Davies JM, Weis WI, Superti-Furga G, et al. Organization of the SH3-SH2 unit in active and inactive forms of the c-Abl tyrosine kinase. *Mol Cell.* (2006) 21:787–98. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2006.01.035

23. Hochhaus A, Baccarani M, Silver RT, Schiffer C, Apperley JF, Cervantes F, et al. European LeukemiaNet 2020 recommendations for treating chronic myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia*. (2020) 34:966–84. doi: 10.1038/s41375-020-0776-2