



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE

Jingyi Cheng
✉ jcheng13@fudan.edu.cn

†These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 08 October 2024

ACCEPTED 31 October 2024

PUBLISHED 12 November 2024

CITATION

Zhang J, Lu Y, Sheng Y, Wang W, Hong Z, Sun Y, Zhou R and Cheng J (2024) Corrigendum: A comparative study of two *in vivo* PET verification methods in clinical cases. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1507589. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1507589

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Zhang, Lu, Sheng, Wang, Hong, Sun, Zhou and Cheng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: A comparative study of two *in vivo* PET verification methods in clinical cases

Junyu Zhang^{1,2,3,4,5†}, Yan Lu^{2,3,6†}, Yinxiangzi Sheng^{2,3,6}, Weiwei Wang^{2,3,6}, Zhengshan Hong^{2,3,7}, Yun Sun^{1,2,3}, Rong Zhou^{4,5} and Jingyi Cheng^{1,2,3*}

¹Department of Nuclear Medicine, Shanghai Proton and Heavy Ion Center, Fudan University Cancer Hospital, Shanghai, China, ²Shanghai Key Laboratory of Radiation Oncology, Shanghai, China, ³Shanghai Engineering Research Center of Proton and Heavy Ion Radiation Therapy, Shanghai, China, ⁴College of Physics, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ⁵Key Laboratory of Radiation Physics and Technology, Ministry of Education, Chengdu, China, ⁶Department of Medical Physics, Shanghai Proton and Heavy Ion Center, Shanghai, China, ⁷Department of Radiotherapy, Shanghai Proton and Heavy Ion Center, Shanghai, China

KEYWORDS

proton therapy, breast cancer, positron emission tomography, depth verification, methods comparison

A Corrigendum on

A comparative study of two *in vivo* PET verification methods in clinical cases

By Zhang J, Lu Y, Sheng Y, Wang W, Hong Z, Sun Y, Zhou R and Cheng J (2021) *Front. Oncol.* 11:617787. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.617787

In the published article, there was an error in the **Funding** statement. The funding statement for the Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai was displayed as “21ZR460300”. The correct statement is “Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (21ZR1460300)”.

The correct **Funding** statement appears below.

“This project was supported by the Shanghai Municipal Health Commission (Grant No. 202040279), the Pudong New Area Science and Technology Development Foundation (No. PKJ 2020-Y56), and the Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (21ZR1460300).”

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.