Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Tao Liu, University of New South Wales, Australia

*CORRESPONDENCE Chad Brenner Chadbren@med.umich.edu Carolyn Y. Fang Carolyn.fang@fccc.edu

RECEIVED 09 September 2024 ACCEPTED 01 October 2024 PUBLISHED 18 October 2024

CITATION

Brenner C and Fang CY (2024) Editorial: Revolutionizing cancer care: the promise of liquid biopsy assays for healthcare equity. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1493833. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1493833

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Brenner and Fang. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Revolutionizing cancer care: the promise of liquid biopsy assays for healthcare equity

Chad Brenner^{1,2*} and Carolyn Y. Fang^{3*}

¹Department of Otolaryngology Head & Neck Surgery, Ann Arbor, MI, United States, ²Department of Pharmacology, Ann Arbor, MI, United States, ³Cancer Prevention and Control Program, Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA, United States

KEYWORDS

CtDNA, multicancer early detection, HPV - human papillomavirus, liquid biopsy, cancer disparities, healthcare equity, rural medicine

Editorial on the Research Topic

Revolutionizing cancer care: the promise of liquid biopsy assays for healthcare equity

Due to the growth of the aging population in the United States, it is predicted that the annual number of cancer cases will increase 49% in the next 25 years (1). Although advances in cancer screening and treatment have improved outcomes and reduced cancer mortality, these advances have not benefited all populations equally. Disparities in cancer risk and outcomes continue to be observed across race, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, and geography (2). Numerous factors including access barriers, time, costs, or insufficient personnel, equipment, and available appointments contribute to persistent disparities and have been difficult to overcome. Emerging tools, such as liquid biopsy assays, are being explored for their potential utility in addressing barriers to screening and disease surveillance. Liquid biopsy assays represent a broad category of diagnostic tests that analyze genetic material, proteins, or other biomarkers found in bodily fluids (e.g., blood, urine, or saliva) in order to detect or monitor for various diseases including cancer. In this Research Topic entitled "Revolutionizing Cancer Care: The Promise of Liquid Biopsy Assays for Healthcare Equity," we have assembled a series of papers in which authors consider the key issues surrounding liquid biopsy tests and offer insights on their potential impact for patient care, healthcare economics and cancer disparities.

Breast cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer among women worldwide (3). Mansour et al. reflect on the potential role of liquid biopsy for the early detection and monitoring of breast cancers and in addressing the global burden of breast cancer. While mammography remains the most widely used screening tool, the authors highlight the potential of emerging blood-based tests to offer a non-invasive, accessible, and potentially more accurate alternative. However, the authors acknowledge that the development and integration of these tests into clinical practice will require significant investment, collaboration with regulatory bodies, and endorsements from reputable organizations to ensure they meet quality and safety standards. Despite these challenges, the authors point to the rapidly expanding market for *in vitro* diagnostic testing for breast cancer, particularly in regions with high incidence and mortality rates, which presents a substantial economic opportunity. Beyond the economic implications, the widespread adoption of these innovative tests could revolutionize breast cancer screening and diagnosis, ultimately improving quality of life and saving lives on a global scale.

The potential of HPV circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) to serve as a biomarker for managing HPV-positive oropharyngeal cancer (HPV-OPC), particularly in rural populations facing significant barriers to cancer care, is examined in the paper by Windon and Haring. While the data supporting HPV ctDNA's sensitivity in detecting recurrence is favorable, the authors underscore the need for further research to optimize its clinical use. Challenges such as the need for reliable methods to confirm recurrence, the occasional absence of detectable ctDNA in some patients, and the reliance on conventional surveillance methods in cases of false negatives, are crucial issues that remain to be addressed. Despite these limitations, the development of HPV ctDNA assays represents a significant advancement, offering the potential to improve access to care through less invasive diagnostic and surveillance methods. This is particularly impactful for rural populations, where such advancements could enhance cancer-related health equity by making comprehensive surveillance more accessible and potentially reducing the need for frequent in-person exams and imaging.

The remaining 2 papers focus on multi-cancer early detection (MCED) tests, which are an area of active research for many programs. While standard cancer screening methods have been effective in reducing morbidity and mortality for certain cancers, their reach and effectiveness are limited, especially among underserved populations. MCED tests offer a promising alternative, capable of detecting a broader range of cancers with a simple blood test, which could significantly improve adherence to screening. At present, a number of clinical trials assessing the efficacy and safety of MCED tests are underway, and the paper by Thompson et al. highlights key steps that should be taken to ensure that MCED clinical trials successfully engage and include participants from diverse populations. Their paper emphasizes the importance of equitable distribution and representation in clinical trials, advocating for the inclusion of diverse populations in research to ensure that the benefits of these emerging technologies are accessible to all. It also highlights the potential of community health workers (CHWs) and health system learning communities as pivotal strategies for engaging underrepresented groups in MCED trials, thereby contributing to the broader goal of reducing cancer disparities and improving public health outcomes.

Thompson and Baskin expand these ideas further, by discussing how multi-cancer early detection (MCED) tests can fulfill their potential to reduce cancer disparities without exacerbating existing inequalities. The authors emphasize the importance of including diverse populations in clinical trials to ensure that MCED tests are effective across all demographic groups, particularly those who are most affected by cancer disparities. They also highlight key challenges, such as the affordability of MCED tests, the need to build trust in marginalized communities, and the importance of high-test specificity to avoid unnecessary follow-ups. The paper underscores the necessity of patient navigation programs to guide individuals through the healthcare system, especially in underserved populations. By addressing these complex factors early in the development and implementation of MCED tests, the authors argue that these tools can be leveraged to close gaps in cancer screening and improve outcomes for historically marginalized groups. Their call for further research and careful implementation is crucial for ensuring that the benefits of MCED testing are equitably distributed, ultimately contributing to a more just and effective cancer care system.

The papers in this Research Topic highlight the potential of emerging liquid biopsy tests to improve cancer care, particularly among underserved populations. There is consensus regarding the need for equitable access, inclusion of diverse populations in clinical trials, and the development of affordable, sensitive tests to reduce cancer disparities. Importantly, this Research Topic underscores how these innovations, especially in rural and high-incidence regions, could transform cancer detection and lead to improved patient outcomes.

Author contributions

CB: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. CF: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Weir HK, Thompson TD, Stewart SL, White MC. Cancer incidence projections in the United States between 2015 and 2050. *Preventing Chronic Dis.* (2021) 18:E59. doi: 10.5888/pcd18.210006

2. Islami F, Bispo JB, Lee H, Wiese D, Yabroff K, Bandi P, et al. American Cancer Society's report on the status of cancer disparities in the United States, 2023. *CA: A Cancer J Clin.* (2024) 74:136–66. doi: 10.3322/caac.21812

3. Lei S, Zheng R, Zhang S, Wang S, Chen R, Sun K, et al. Global patterns of breast cancer incidence and mortality: A population-based cancer registry data analysis from 2000 to 2020. *Cancer Commun (Lond) Nov.* (2021) 41:1183–94. doi: 10.1002/cac2.12207