#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jie Sun, Wenzhou Medical University, China

#### REVIEWED BY

Zhenlin Wang, Capital Medical University, China Di Peng, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, China Zonggui Wang, Second Affiliated Hospital of Jilin University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Yanan Sun Mo4015@hrbmu.edu.cn Qiuying Li qiuying0912@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors share first authorship

RECEIVED 11 August 2024 ACCEPTED 24 October 2024 PUBLISHED 12 November 2024

#### CITATION

Ren J, Yan B, Wang X, Wang Y, Li Q and Sun Y (2024) A pyroptosis-related lncRNA risk model for the prediction of prognosis and immunotherapy response in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1478895. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1478895

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Ren, Yan, Wang, Wang, Li and Sun. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# A pyroptosis-related lncRNA risk model for the prediction of prognosis and immunotherapy response in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma

Jingyuan Ren<sup>1,2†</sup>, Bingrui Yan<sup>1†</sup>, Xurui Wang<sup>2</sup>, Yifei Wang<sup>3</sup>, Qiuying Li<sup>1\*</sup> and Yanan Sun<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Harbin Medical University, Harbin, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Head and Neck Surgery, Jilin Cancer Hospital, Changchun, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology, Jilin Cancer Hospital, Changchun, China

**Background:** Recent research has highlighted pyroptosis as a key factor in cancer progression. This study aims to explore the association between pyroptosis-related signatures and overall survival (OS) in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSC) and develop a pyroptosis-related long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) risk model to predict prognosis and response to immunotherapy in HNSC.

**Methods:** We extracted expression data for 18 pyroptosis-related genes and identified lncRNA probes specific to HNSC by using datasets from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). Consensus clustering was performed to categorize HNSC patients into distinct subtypes. A six-lncRNA risk score model was constructed through univariate and least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) Cox regression analyses. We evaluated the predictive ability of the lncRNA model for patients' survival and immunotherapy response. Gene expression was evaluated using immunohistochemistry (IHC) and Reverse Transcription Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR).

**Results:** Our analysis revealed two distinct pyroptosis-related subtypes in HNSC patients, Cluster A and Cluster B. Notably, patients in Cluster B exhibited significantly poorer overall survival compared to those in Cluster A. Through differential expression analysis, we identified six lncRNAs (AC002331.1, CTA-384D8.35, RP11-291B21.2, AC006262.5, RP1-27K12.2, and RP11-54H7.4) that were differentially expressed between these clusters. A 6-lncRNA risk score model was developed, which successfully stratified patients into high- and low-risk groups with distinct overall survival outcomes. Validation using RT-qPCR confirmed the differential expression of these six lncRNAs in HNSC tumor tissues compared to adjacent normal tissues, we found that the expression of CTA-384D8.35 was significantly increased in the tumor group (t=-6.203, P<0.001). Furthermore, the 6-lncRNA risk score model demonstrated a significant association with patient response to immunotherapy, with the low-risk group exhibiting a higher objective response rate to the high-risk group.

**Conclusion:** Our study underscores the role of pyroptosis signatures in HNSC prognosis and identifies two distinct pyroptosis subtypes with differing survival outcomes. The six-lncRNA risk score model offers a valuable tool for predicting patient prognosis and potential benefits from ICB therapy. These findings highlight the importance of pyroptosis and associated lncRNAs in the tumor microenvironment, paving the way for novel targeted therapies in HNSC.

#### KEYWORDS

head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, pyroptosis, lncRNA risk score model, overall survival, immunotherapy response

### 1 Introduction

Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSC) is a prevalent and aggressive malignancy, with an estimated annual incidence of around 500,000 cases globally (1). Despite advances in chemotherapy, surgery, and radiation therapy, HNSC remains a formidable challenge, with a mortality rate of approximately 50% (2). Most HNSC cases are diagnosed at advanced stages (III/IV), which are associated with poor prognoses, including a recurrencefree survival rate of about 40% and a five-year overall survival (OS) rate of approximately 60% (2, 3). These statistics highlight the urgent need for novel therapeutic strategies, both single and combinatorial, to improve patient outcomes. Developing new prognostic biomarkers and drug targets is essential for enhancing the clinical efficacy of HNSC treatments.

Pyroptosis is a recently discovered form of programmed cell death. It is marked by cell swelling, protrusion formation, and the development of pores in the cell membrane. These pores disrupt membrane integrity, causing the release of cellular contents and triggering an inflammatory response (4). As pyroptosis progresses, it involves nuclear condensation and DNA fragmentation, culminating in cell death (4, 5). This process involves various inflammatory molecules, including the gasdermin (GSDM) protein family, NOD-like receptors (NLRs), interleukins (ILs), and caspases (6). Caspases, a family of cysteine proteases, play a critical role by degrading intracellular proteins upon activation, leading to cell death. Interleukins facilitate communication between immune cells, influencing cancer development and progression. NOD-like receptors, part of the cytoplasmic pattern recognition receptor family, are crucial for inflammasome formation, which releases IL-1 $\beta$  and IL-18 to induce a pro-inflammatory response (7). Gasdermins execute pyroptosis by forming membrane pores, causing cell swelling and rupture (8, 9).

Pyroptosis is implicated in various diseases, including autoimmune disorders, neurodegenerative conditions, ischemia-reperfusion injuries, and cancer. For instance, resistance to pyroptosis in CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes is associated with acute liver and lung injuries (10), while NLRP1 inflammasome-mediated pyroptosis plays a significant role in the neurodegeneration observed in Alzheimer's disease (11). In the context of cancer, the role of pyroptosis becomes even more

intricate. On one hand, pyroptosis can act as a tumor-suppressive mechanism by eliminating transformed cells before they proliferate and form tumors. On the other hand, dysregulation of pyroptosis can contribute to cancer progression and drug resistance. For example, Wang et al. reported that LSD1 silencing inhibits the proliferation, migration, invasion, and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition of hypopharyngeal cancer cells by inducing autophagy and pyroptosis (12). Indeed, recent studies have linked drug resistance in cancer to pyroptosis and pyroptosis-regulatory genes (PRGs), suggesting that targeting these genes could offer novel therapeutic strategies (13, 14). In HNSC, recent research has underscored the importance of pyroptosisrelated gene signatures (15, 16). These signatures have been found to correlate with the tumor microenvironment (TME) and immune cell infiltration, indicating that pyroptosis may play a crucial role in shaping the immune landscape of HNSC tumors (17). By understanding the biological functions and regulatory mechanisms of pyroptosis in this context, researchers can gain insights into the underlying mechanisms of tumor progression and identify potential therapeutic targets.

In recent years, long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) have emerged as crucial regulators in various biological processes, including tumorigenesis and cancer progression (18, 19). These IncRNAs, which are non-coding RNAs longer than 200 nucleotides, do not encode proteins but can influence gene expression through mechanisms such as chromatin remodeling, transcriptional regulation, and post-transcriptional processing (20, 21). Aberrant expression of lncRNAs has been linked to numerous cancers, highlighting their potential as biomarkers for cancer diagnosis, prognosis, and therapy. The role of lncRNAs in HNSC has gained increasing attention, with research revealing their involvement in key oncogenic processes like cell proliferation, apoptosis, proptosis, and metastasis (22, 23). For example, LncRNA MEG3 induces renal tubular epithelial cell pyroptosis through the regulation of the miR-18a-3p/GSDMD pathway in lipopolysaccharide-induced acute kidney injury (24). However, the specific role of lncRNAs in pyroptosis-a form of programmed cell death characterized by inflammatory responses-remains unclear in HNSC.

In the present study, we attempt to investigate the role of pyroptosis and its associated lncRNAs in predicting the prognosis of HNSC. Our research innovatively identifies two distinct pyroptosis subtypes with differing survival outcomes and develops a six-lncRNA risk score model that predicts patient prognosis and potential benefits from immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) therapy. By elucidating the biological functions and regulatory mechanisms of pyroptosis in HNSC, our findings offer novel insights into the tumor microenvironment and immune cell infiltration, paving the way for personalized treatment strategies and enhanced clinical outcomes.

### 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Patients and specimens

We collected a total of 72 pairs of laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma (LSCC) and adjacent normal tissues from patients who underwent partial or complete laryngectomy at the Department of Otolaryngology, Second Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University, between January 2018 and November 2019. All patients included in the study had not received radiotherapy or chemotherapy prior to tissue collection. The expression levels of pyroptosis-related genes and lncRNAs were assessed using immunohistochemistry (IHC) and reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Harbin Medical University, and informed consent was obtained from all participants.

### 2.2 IHC analysis

Patients provided informed consent for participation in the study. The HNSC and adjacent normal tissues were fixed in formalin and embedded in paraffin. Tissue sections (4 µm thick) were deparaffinized, rehydrated, and subjected to antigen retrieval using citrate buffer. After blocking endogenous peroxidase activity with hydrogen peroxide, sections were incubated overnight with primary antibodies specific to various pyroptosis-related genes. Following this, sections were treated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies. Immunoreactivity was visualized using DAB, and sections were counterstained with hematoxylin. The stained sections were examined under a light microscope. The protein expression was quantified as average optical density (AOD) using Image J software.

### 2.3 Public databases

To obtain and analyze public gene expression data and comprehensive clinical annotations, we accessed datasets from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). RNA sequencing data (FPKM values) and clinical information for TCGA datasets were downloaded from UCSC Xena (https://gdc.xenahubs.net). For the GEO dataset, we retrieved the gene expression matrix file for GSE65858 from the NCBI GEO database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). Illumina probe sequences were sourced from the GPL10558 annotation file and were uniquely mapped to the human genome (hg38) using NCBI BLAST, ensuring no mismatches. Probes specific to long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) were identified by matching probe chromosomal locations with those of lncRNA genes annotated by GENCODE.

Data processing for the TCGA-HNSC and GSE65858 datasets involved the following steps: (1) exclusion of samples lacking clinical follow-up information; (2) exclusion of samples with unknown survival time, survival time of less than 0 days, or missing survival status; (3) conversion of probes to gene symbols; (4) removal of probes mapping to multiple genes; (5) for probes associated with multiple gene symbols, the median expression value was used. The preprocessed TCGA-HNSC dataset included 44 adjacent normal tissue samples and 501 tumor tissue samples, while the GSE65858 dataset comprised 270 tumor tissue samples.

### 2.4 Consensus clustering

To investigate the relationship between the expression patterns of 18 pyroptosis-related genes and patient prognosis, we performed unsupervised and consistent clustering analysis using the TCGA\_HNSC cohort. We extracted the expression data for 18 pyroptosis-related genes from the TCGA database. HNSC patients without follow-up information were excluded. The remaining patients were then categorized into distinct subtypes using the "ConsensusClusterPlus" package, with 1000 iterations and an 80% resampling rate. Principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted to evaluate gene expression patterns across the different HNSC subtypes. Survival curves were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method, and differences in survival between subgroups were analyzed to assess their prognostic significance.

### 2.5 Gene Ontology and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway analysis

Gene expression data from the TCGA\_HNSC cohort were normalized, and differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between pyroptosis subtypes were identified using the limma package in R, with an adjusted P-value < 0.05 and log2(Fold Change) > 0.5. GO enrichment analysis categorized DEGs into Biological Process, Cellular Component, and Molecular Function categories. KEGG pathway enrichment analysis mapped DEGs to pathways in the KEGG database. Both analyses were conducted using the clusterProfiler package in R, and results were visualized with bar plots and dot plots to illustrate the biological significance of DEGs in different pyroptosis subtypes.

# 2.6 Differential expression analysis between distinct subtypes

Based on the expression of pyroptosis-related genes and the results of consensus clustering, tumor samples were divided into

two groups: Cluster A and Cluster B. Differential expression analysis between these clusters were performed using the limma package in R. The thresholds for identifying differentially expressed genes were set at a false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05 and an absolute log2 fold change (|log2(FC)|) > 0.5. Additionally, the expression of lncRNAs was extracted using gene annotation.

# 2.7 Construction of IncRNA risk score model and validation

To develop a robust prognostic signature, we incorporated all pyroptosis-related lncRNAs identified through consensus clustering analysis into a least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) Cox regression model. Genes that passed initial screening in univariate analysis were further evaluated using LASSO penalized Cox regression. This method aimed to identify genes significantly associated with HNSC prognosis. The optimal lambda value was determined using the R package "glmnet" through five-fold cross-validation, which minimized the average cross-validation error. Based on this selection process, six key lncRNAs (AC002331.1, CTA-384D8.35, RP11-291B21.2, AC006262.5, RP1-27K12.2, and RP11-54H7.4) and their coefficients were used to construct the prognostic model. The risk score formula was structured as follows:

 $Risk\_scores = \sum Coef(i) * Exp(i)$ 

where Cofi was the coefficient, Exp(i) was the expression of screened lncRNAs. This formula was used to calculate the risk score of all patients in our study. The final 6-lncRNA gene signature formula is: RiskScore = (-0.081)\*AC002331.1 + (0.056) \*AC006262.5 + (-0.074)\*CTA.384D8.35 + (-078)\*RP11.291B21.2 + (0.065)\*RP11.54H7.4 + (0.149)\*RP1.27K12.2.

# 2.8 Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and model validation

Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was conducted using the "survival" and "survminer" R packages to compare overall survival (OS) between low-risk and high-risk groups. The "survival ROC" R package was utilized for time-dependent ROC curve analysis to evaluate the predictive accuracy of the prognostic signature. Additionally, univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were performed to assess the prognostic value of the signature relative to clinical features.

# 2.9 Immune status and immunotherapy response analysis

We compared tumor-infiltrating immune cell proportions between high-risk and low-risk groups using several algorithms, including CIBERSORT, CIBERSORT-ABS, QUANTISEQ, XCELL, MCPcounter, EPIC, and TIMER. The "GSVA" package facilitated Single Sample Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (ssGSEA) to evaluate immune function and pyroptosis levels in both risk groups. Differences in the expression of immune checkpoint molecules between the risk groups were assessed using the Wilcoxon test. To investigate the prognostic value of the signature in the context of immunotherapy, ssGSEA was performed on four cohorts treated with anti-PD-L1/PD-1/CTLA-4 therapies. Patients were categorized into high and low pyroptosis score groups based on the median pyroptosis score, and survival analyses were conducted.

### 2.10 Functional enrichment analysis

Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) was carried out to examine Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways between the high-risk and low-risk groups based on the pyroptosis-related lncRNA signature. This analysis was performed using GSEA software (version 4.1.0).

# 2.11 The correlations between the expression of lncRNAs and pyroptosis-related genes

In our study, we obtained gene expression data for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSC) from the TCGA database and preprocessed the data by removing genes with zero expression across all samples, followed by normalization and log2 transformation of the remaining gene expression values. Subsequently, we calculated the Spearman correlation coefficients and their p-values between the expression of specific lncRNAs and a list of predefined pyroptosis-related genes.

### 2.12 RT-qPCR

Total RNA was extracted from HNSC tumor and adjacent normal tissues using TRIzol reagent. RNA purity and concentration were assessed to ensure quality. For cDNA synthesis, 1 µg of RNA was reverse transcribed using a PrimeScript RT reagent kit. qPCR was conducted with SYBR Green Master Mix on a QuantStudio 3 Real-Time PCR System, using specific primers for selected lncRNAs. The PCR protocol included an initial denaturation step followed by 40 cycles of denaturation and annealing/extension. Relative expression levels of lncRNAs were determined using the  $2^{-}\Delta\Delta$ Ct method, with GAPDH serving as the internal control. The primers used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

### 2.13 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using R software (Version 4.1.0) and SPSS (Version 23.0). The Chi-squared test was employed to examine the relationship between the prognostic signature and

clinicopathological features. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were conducted to identify independent factors associated with OS prognosis. ROC analysis was used to evaluate the predictive accuracy of the prognostic model. Statistical significance was defined as P < 0.05.

### **3 Results**

# 3.1 Expression and survival correlation of pyroptosis-related genes in HNSC

The workflow of our study is illustrated in Figure 1. We first conducted a histological evaluation of apoptosis and pyroptosisrelated genes in HNSC. As shown in Figure 2, the expression levels of P53, NLRP3, GSDME, GSDMD, C-myc, Caspase-1, Caspase-3, Caspase-4, Bcl-2 and Bax were significantly upregulated in HNSC tumor tissues compared to normal tissues.

We identified eighteen pyroptosis-related genes in each sample of the TCGA\_HNSC dataset. Patients with HNSC were divided into high-expression and low-expression groups for each gene, based on median expression levels. The correlation between pyroptosisrelated genes and overall survival (OS) was depicted in Figure 3A. Most pyroptosis-related genes did not show a significant correlation with OS. Notable exceptions included NAIP, NLRP1, and ZBP1, where high expression levels were significantly associated with improved OS.

# 3.2 Identification of pyroptosis subtypes and differentially expressed genes in HNSC

Distinct pyroptosis subtypes was identified using a consistent clustering analysis. As shown in Figure 3. The clustering variable (k) was explored within the range of 2 to 5. The results indicated that the intra-group correlation was highest at k = 2, with low intergroup correlation, which allowed for a relatively stable separation of samples in the TCGA dataset (Figures 3B–K). Furthermore, significant differences in overall survival (OS) were observed only at k = 2, suggesting that HNSC patients could be divided into two distinct pyroptosis subtypes: Cluster A and Cluster B. Cluster A exhibited a median survival time of 4.9 years, demonstrating a better prognosis compared to Cluster B, which had a median survival time of 3.9 years (Figure 3B).

Next, we performed a differential gene expression analysis between cluster A and B. Among 779 differentially expressed





genes, 107 genes were highly expressed in Cluster B, while 675 genes were highly expressed in Cluster A (Figure 4A). GO functional enrichment analysis revealed that these differentially expressed genes were primarily associated with functions related to cell surface, plasma membrane, lysosomal membrane, phagocytic vesicle membrane, antigen binding, receptor binding, immunoglobulin receptor binding, and peptide antigen binding. These genes were involved in biological processes such as immune response, inflammatory response, apoptosis, T cell receptor signaling, B cell receptor signaling, antigen processing and presentation, and cell proliferation (Figures 4B-D).

KEGG enrichment analysis showed that differentially expressed genes in the two subtypes were predominantly enriched in immunerelated pathways and tumorigenesis-promoting pathways, including antigen processing and presentation, cell adhesion molecules, phagosome, primary immunodeficiency, chemokine signaling, tumor necrosis factor signaling, Jak-STAT signaling, and Toll-like receptor signaling pathways (Figure 4E). Additionally, Single Sample Gene Set



Identification of pyroptosis subtypes in HNSC patients. (A) The data were obtained from TCGA\_HNSC data set. Patients were divided into high and low expression groups for each of the 18 pyrolysis-related genes based on median expression levels. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were generated to assess the correlation between gene expression and overall survival. (B, D, F, H) Unsupervised consensus clustering analysis of the TCGA\_HNSC cohort using 18 pyroptosis-related genes with clustering variable (x) ranging from 2 to 5. (C, E, G, I) Kaplan-Meier survival curves comparing overall survival between distinct pyroptosis subtypes. (J) Consensus clustering cumulative distribution function (CDF). (K) The relative variation of the area under CDF curve.

Enrichment Analysis (ssGSEA) revealed a significantly reduced degree of immune cell infiltration in Cluster B, including Activated B cells, Activated CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, Activated CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, Natural Killer cells, and Macrophages (Figure 4F). In the PCA plot, red points and blue points were separated, which indicated that cluster A, cluster B could be distinguished based on the expression of pyroptosis-related genes (Figure 4G). These findings suggest that the poorer prognosis associated with the Cluster B pyroptosis subtype may be related to decreased immune cell infiltration.

### 3.3 Construction of the 6-lncRNA risk score model

lncRNAs are increasingly recognized for their potential in predicting tumor prognosis (25-27). In this study, we developed a risk score model for HNSC based on differentially expressed lncRNAs (n=11) identified between the two pyroptosis subtypes. The correlations between the expression of 11 lncRNAs and pyroptosis-related genes were showed in Supplementary Figure



S1. The results suggested that the expression of each lncRNA was significantly associated with most of pyroptosis-related genes. The TCGA\_HNSC dataset (n=501) was split into a training set (n=334) and a test set (n=167) in a roughly 2:1 ratio.

In the training set, univariate Cox regression analysis identified 11 candidate lncRNAs with a significance threshold set at p < 0.05, resulting in the selection of 7 lncRNAs (Figure 5A). Subsequently, LASSO regression was employed to further refine the variables, ultimately retaining 6 lncRNAs: AC002331.1, CTA-384D8.35, RP11-291B21.2, AC006262.5, RP1-27K12.2, and RP11-54H7.4 (Figures 5B, C).

To evaluate the roles of these 6 lncRNAs in HNSC, the Wilcoxon test was used to compare their expression levels between tumor and adjacent normal tissues. All 6 lncRNAs were significantly upregulated in tumor tissues (Figures 5D–I). Tumor samples were categorized into high and low expression groups based on the median expression levels. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis revealed that high expression of AC002331.1, CTA-384D8.35, and RP11-291B21.2, as well as low expression of AC006262.5, RP1-27K12.2, and RP11-54H7.4, were associated with improved patient prognosis (Figures 6A–F).

Furthermore, we examined the expression of these 6 lncRNAs across various clinical features. RP11-291B21.2 was found to be more highly expressed in T1/2 stages compared to T3/4 stages, and AC002331.1 showed higher expression in M0 compared to M1/X stages. Additionally, all 6 lncRNAs displayed varying levels of differential expression in cancer grading (Figures 6G–L).

The Riskscore of each sample was calculated. In the training set, samples were classified into high-risk and low-risk groups based on the median risk score. The high-risk group exhibited a higher proportion of deaths (Figure 7A). Kaplan-Meier analysis revealed that patients with high risk scores had significantly poorer OS compared to those with low risk scores (Figure 7B). The model demonstrated robust predictive power for OS, with 1-, 3-, and 5-year AUCs of 0.620, 0.688, and 0.675, respectively (Figure 7C).

# 3.4 Validation of the 6-lncRNA risk score model

We validated the predictive capability of the risk score model using the TCGA\_HNSC test set and the overall TCGA\_HNSC dataset. Risk scores for each sample in the test set were calculated using the same formula. Samples were then categorized into highrisk and low-risk groups based on the median risk score. As shown in Supplementary Figure S2A, the high-risk group had a higher proportion of death cases. Kaplan-Meier analysis demonstrated significantly lower overall survival in the high-risk group compared to the low-risk group (Supplementary Figure S2B).

In the TCGA\_HNSC test set, the risk score model exhibited good predictive performance for OS, with 1-, 3-, and 5-year AUCs of 0.625, 0.610, and 0.671, respectively (Figure S2C). Similarly, in the overall TCGA\_HNSC dataset, the model demonstrated strong



Construction of the 6-incRNA risk score model in HNSC. (A) Univariate COX analysis. (B) The change track of each independent variable. The horizontal axis represents the log value of lambda, and the vertical axis represents the coefficient of the independent variable. (C) Confidence intervals under each lambda. (D–I) Expression levels of the 6 lncRNAs in tumor versus adjacent normal tissues from TCGA\_HNSC cohort.

predictive capability with 1-, 3-, and 5-year AUCs of 0.622, 0.660, and 0.675, respectively (Supplementary Figures S1D–F).

To further evaluate the stability of the risk score model, we analyzed the GSE65858 dataset from the GEO database. Applying the same formula, we calculated risk scores and classified samples into high-risk and low-risk groups. Consistent with our previous findings, the high-risk group exhibited a higher proportion of deaths (Figure 7D) and significantly poorer overall survival (OS) (Figure 7E). The 1-, 3-, and 5-year AUCs for the GSE65858 dataset were 0.752, 0.609, and 0.632, respectively (Figure 7F).

In summary, these results validate the robustness of the 6lncRNA risk score model in predicting overall survival across various datasets.

### 3.5 Relationship between tumor risk score and immune cell infiltration

We next examined the prognostic relevance of the 6-lncRNA risk score model in relation to various clinicopathological characteristics of HNSC patients. Significant differences in risk scores were observed across TP53 mutation status, T staging, tumor stage, and tumor grade (Figure 8A). Additionally, high-risk patients displayed lower expression levels of immune checkpoint molecules (PD-L1, PD-1, and CTLA-4) compared to low-risk patients (Figures 8B–D).

Using ssGSEA, we assessed the infiltration of 23 immune cell types in the TCGA\_HNSC dataset. The high-risk group exhibited significantly higher infiltration levels of various immune cells, including activated B cells, CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, eosinophils, regulatory T cells, and monocytes (Figure 8E). The ESTIMATE algorithm indicated that high-risk patients had significantly lower immune, stromal, and ESTIMATE scores, alongside higher tumor purity (Figures 8F–I). GSVA revealed that metabolic pathways such as DNA replication and steroid hormone biosynthesis were more active in the high-risk group, whereas pathways involved in antigen processing and presentation, apoptosis, B cell receptor signaling, T cell receptor signaling, and JAK-STAT signaling were significantly inhibited (Figure 8J). These findings suggest that reduced immune cell infiltration in the high-risk tumor microenvironment may contribute to a poorer prognosis.



Prognostic significance of the 6-lncRNA risk score model in HNSC. (A–F) Kaplan-Meier survival analysis for the correlation of AC002331.1, CTA-384D8.35, RP11-291B21.2, AC006262.5, RP1-27K12.2 and RP11-54H7.4 with the overall survival of patients with HNSC. (C) The correlation of AC002331.1, CTA-384D8.35, RP11-291B21.2, AC006262.5, and RP11-54H7.4 with patients' clinical characteristics. \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01 and \*\*\*P<0.001. ns refers to the absence of significant statistical significance..

# 3.6 Predictive power of tumor risk score for immunotherapy benefit

To evaluate the predictive capability of the tumor risk score for immunotherapy outcomes, we analyzed the GSE176307 dataset from the GEO database. Patients who underwent ICB immunotherapy were stratified into high and low-risk score groups. Notably, patients who responded to ICB, including those with a complete response (CR) and partial response (PR), had significantly lower risk scores compared to non-responders, including those with stable disease (SD) and progressive disease (PD) (Figures 9A, B). Patients in the low-risk score group had significantly longer survival (Figure 9C) and a higher objective response rate to ICB compared to the high-risk group (Figure 9D). These results suggest that the pyroptosis-related lncRNA risk score may be associated with patient's response to immunotherapy.

# 3.7 Validation of lncRNA expression in the tumor risk score model

To validate the expression of the 6 lncRNAs included in the tumor risk score model, we collected tumor and adjacent normal tissues from HNSC patients and performed reverse transcription



Validation of the 6-lncRNA risk score model. (A) Proportion of death samples in high and low-risk groups in the training set. (B) Kaplan-Meier survival curves in the training set showing poorer survival in the high-risk group. (C) ROC curves for the training set with 1-, 3-, and 5-year AUCs of 0.625, 0.610, and 0.671, respectively. (D–F) Risk score distribution, survival status and ROC curves of patients in GSE65858 dataset.

quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). The results, presented in Figure 10 and Supplementary Table S1, demonstrated that AC006262.5, CTA-384D8.35, and RP1-27K12.2 were significantly upregulated in HNSC tumor tissues compared to normal controls. In contrast, AC002331.1, RP11-291B21.2, and RP11-54H7.4 showed no significant differences in expression between tumor and normal tissues. Interestingly, the relative expression level of CTA-384D8.35 was  $1.31 \pm 0.26$  in the normal group and  $1.51 \pm 0.17$  in the tumor group. The expression of this gene was significantly increased in the tumor group (t=-6.203, P<0.001).

### 4 Discussion

This study investigated the association between 18 pyroptosisrelated genes and OS in HNSC patients using the TCGA\_HNSC dataset. While most of these genes did not show a significant correlation with OS, high expressions of NAIP, NLRP1, and ZBP1 were notably linked to improved patient survival. Unsupervised clustering analysis identified two distinct pyroptosis subtypes, Cluster A and Cluster B, with Cluster A demonstrating a better median survival time compared to Cluster B. Differential gene expression analysis revealed 11 lncRNAs associated with these subtypes. Subsequently, a 6-lncRNA risk score model was developed using LASSO Cox regression. High-risk patients were found to have a worse prognosis, reduced immune cell infiltration, and higher tumor purity, indicating an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment.

Additionally, the risk score model effectively predicted patient responses to ICB immunotherapy, with low-risk patients experiencing better therapeutic outcomes. These findings highlight the potential of pyroptosis-related lncRNAs as prognostic biomarkers and their utility in tailoring immunotherapy strategies for HNSC patients.

lncRNAs have been shown to play critical roles in regulating cell pyroptosis. For instance, Liang et al. demonstrated that knockdown



#### FIGURE 8

The correlation of 6-IncRNA risk score with immune cell infiltration in HNSC. (A) Differences in risk scores across clinicopathological characteristics including TP53 mutation, T staging, tumor stage, and tumor grade. (B–D) Comparison of immune checkpoint expression (PD-L1, PD-1, CTLA4) between high and low-risk groups. (E) ssGSEA analysis for immune cell infiltration in the high and low-risk groups. (F–I) ESTIMATE algorithm analysis showing the immune, stromal, and ESTIMATE scores, and tumor purity in the high and low-risk groups. (J) GSVA analysis indicating immune-related pathways and active metabolic pathways in the high and low-risk groups. \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01 and \*\*\*P<0.001. ns refers to the absence of significant statistical significance.



of lncRNA MALAT1 induced pyroptosis in cervical cancer cells via the miR-124/SIRT1 regulatory axis (28). Similarly, Xu et al. found that lncRNA XIST facilitated pyroptosis in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cells through NLRP3, thereby enhancing chemosensitivity to cisplatin (29). However, the functional roles and mechanisms of lncRNAs in regulating pyroptosis in tumor cells, particularly in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSC), remain poorly understood. To address this gap, we utilized Pearson correlation analysis to identify pyroptosisassociated lncRNAs from gene expression data.

Our study utilized coexpression networks of pyroptosis-related genes and lncRNAs to construct a 6-lncRNA risk score model, including AC002331.1, CTA-384D8.35, RP11-291B21.2, AC006262.5, RP1-27K12.2, and RP11-54H7.4. Some of these lncRNAs have been previously implicated in tumor biology. For example, high expression of CTA-384D8.35 has been linked to better prognosis in triple-negative breast cancer and lung squamous cell carcinoma (29, 30). RP11-291B21.2 correlates with response to Durvalumab in NSCLC and bladder urothelial carcinoma (31). while AC006262.5 functions as an oncogene in hepatocellular carcinoma via the miR-7855-5p-BPY2C axis (32). Despite these insights, further exploration is needed to fully elucidate the role of pyroptosis-related lncRNAs in HNSC and their prognostic capabilities.

Given the pro-inflammatory nature of pyroptosis and its impact on the tumor immune microenvironment (16, 33, 34), we analyzed immune cell infiltration and immune-related pathways in the HNSC dataset. The high-risk group, defined by our 6-lncRNA risk score model, exhibited notably lower infiltration of key immune cells, such as CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. These cells are critical for anti-tumor immune responses, which may explain the better prognosis and response to immunotherapy observed in patients with lower tumor risk scores (35, 36). Interestingly, we also observed upregulation of regulatory T cells in the low-risk group, consistent with findings related to pyroptosis-associated gene signatures in tumors (34, 37). While regulatory T cells have traditionally been considered to suppress anti-tumor T cells and contribute to an immunosuppressed microenvironment, recent studies suggest that their role may vary across different tumors (38, 39). Moreover, distinct subtypes of regulatory T cells can have opposing effects on cancer prognosis (40).

The reduced infiltration of cytotoxic cells in the high-risk group suggests an "immunologically cold" tumor microenvironment, which



is often associated with poorer responses to ICB therapies. Conversely, the low-risk group exhibited a higher degree of immune cell infiltration, indicative of an "immunologically hot" tumor microenvironment that may be more responsive to ICB therapy. Functional enrichment analysis supported these observations, showing upregulation of immunoregulatory pathways in the low-risk group, which are crucial for effective anti-tumor immune responses. The predictive capability of the 6-lncRNA risk score model was further validated in the GSE176307 dataset, where patients in the low-risk group not only had a higher objective response rate to ICB therapy but also demonstrated significantly longer survival compared to the high-risk group. These results highlight the potential of the 6-lncRNA risk score as a biomarker for selecting HNSC patients who are more likely to benefit from ICB therapy.

### 5 Conclusion

In this study, we investigated the association between pyroptosis-related genes and overall survival (OS) in patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSC) using the TCGA\_HNSC dataset. Our analysis identified two distinct pyroptosis subtypes, with Cluster A demonstrating a better median survival time compared to Cluster B. Differential gene expression analysis revealed 11 lncRNAs associated with these subtypes, and further selection through LASSO Cox regression resulted in the development of a 6-lncRNA risk score model. The six lncRNAs included in this model are AC002331.1, CTA-384D8.35, RP11-291B21.2, AC006262.5, RP1-27K12.2, and RP11-54H7.4. High-risk patients, as classified by our model, exhibited a poorer prognosis and had a higher proportion of deaths compared to low-risk patients. The model was validated using an independent dataset, demonstrating its robustness and potential clinical utility. Additionally, we found that the risk score model effectively predicted patient responses to ICB immunotherapy, with low-risk patients experiencing better therapeutic outcomes. Our study provides new insights into the association between pyroptosisrelated lncRNAs and HNSC prognosis, and establishes a prognostic model that could guide personalized immunotherapy strategies for HNSC patients.

### Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

### **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by the Ethics Committee of Harbin Medical University. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

### Author contributions

JR: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. BY: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing, XW: Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Validation. YW: Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Methodology. QL: Methodology, Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition. YS: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing, Project administration, Resources, Supervision.

### Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Number 82473035, 81772874, 81402257 and 81272965, Jilin Province Science and Technology Development program, China, Grant/Award Number 20220505037ZP, 2024040010ZP and 20240302024GX, Natural Science Foundation of Heilongjiang Province of China, Grant/Award Number LH2020H055, Heilongjiang Province Key R&D Program, Grant/Award Number GA21C003.

### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1478895/ full#supplementary-material

#### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

The correlation between lncRNAs and pyroptosis-related genes. We combined a specific list of genes related to apoptosis and batch calculated the Spearman correlation coefficients and p-values between these genes and specified lncRNAs. Through correlation analysis, we found that these 6 apoptosis related lncRNAs were significantly correlated with 18 apoptosis related genes.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

Validation of the 6-IncRNA risk score model in the training and overall TCGA\_HNSC data set. (A) Proportion of death samples in high and low-risk groups in the test set. (B) Kaplan-Meier survival curves in the test set showing poorer survival in the high-risk group. (C) ROC curves for the test set with 1-, 3-, and 5-year AUCs of 0.625, 0.610, and 0.671, respectively. (D–F) Risk score distribution, survival status and ROC curves of patients in overall TCGA\_HNSC data set.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1

The primers used for RT-qPCR.

### References

1. Johnson DE, Burtness B, Leemans CR, Lui VWY, Bauman JE, Grandis JR. Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. (2020) 6:92–2. doi: 10.1038/ s41572-020-00224-3

2. Ghafarpour V, Khansari M, Banaei-Moghaddam AM, Najafi A, Masoudi-Nejad A. DNA methylation association with stage progression of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Comput Biol Med.* (2021) 134:104473. doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2021.104473

3. Du E, Mazul AL, Farquhar D, Brennan P, Anantharaman D, Abedi-Ardekani B, et al. Long-term survival in head and neck cancer: impact of site, stage, smoking, and human papillomavirus status. Laryngoscope: A Med J Clin Res Contributions Otolaryngology Head Neck Med Surgery Facial Plast Reconstructive Surg. (2019) 129:2506-13. doi: 10.1002/lary.v129.11

4. Malik A, Kanneganti T-D. Inflammasome activation and assembly at a glance. J Cell Sci. (2017) 130:3955–63. doi: 10.1242/jcs.207365

5. Vande Walle L, Lamkanfi M. Pyroptosis. Curr Biol. (2016) 26:R568-72. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2016.02.019

6. Riedl SJ, Shi YG. Molecular mechanisms of caspase regulation during apoptosis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* (2004) 5:897–907. doi: 10.1038/nrm1496

7. Elinav E, Strowig T, Henao-Mejia J, Flavell RA. Regulation of the antimicrobial response by NLR proteins. *Immunity.* (2011) 34:665–79. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2011.05.007

8. Ding JJ, Wang K, Liu W, She Y, Sun Q, Shi JJ, et al. Pore-forming activity and structural autoinhibition of the gasdermin family. *Nature*. (2016) 535:111–6. doi: 10.1038/nature18590

9. Man SM, Kanneganti TD. Gasdermin D: the long-awaited executioner of pyroptosis. Cell Res. (2015) 25:1183–4. doi: 10.1038/cr.2015.124

10. Xie K, Chen Y-Q, Chai Y-S, Lin S-H, Wang C-J, Xu F. HMGB1 suppress the expression of IL-35 by regulating Naive CD4+T cell differentiation and aggravating Caspase-11-dependent pyroptosis in acute lung injury. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2021) 91:107295. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2020.107295

11. Feng Y-S, Tan Z-X, Wu L-Y, Dong F, Zhang F. The involvement of NLRP3 inflammasome in the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases. *Biomed Pharmacother*. (2021) 138:111428. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2021.111428

12. Wang H, Liu F. LSD1 silencing inhibits the proliferation, migration, invasion, and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition of hypopharyngeal cancer cells by inducing autophagy and pyroptosis. *Chin J Physiol.* (2023) 66:162–70. doi: 10.4103/cjop.CJOP-D-22-00137

13. Wang Y, Cai L, Li H, Chen H, Yang T, Tan Y, et al. Overcoming cancer resistance to platinum drugs by inhibiting cholesterol metabolism. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.* (2023) 62:e202309043. doi: 10.1002/anie.202309043

14. Zhao Q, Lv X, Dong Y, Hong H, Zheng Y, Yang L, et al. IMB5036 overcomes resistance to multiple chemotherapeutic drugs in human cancer cells through pyroptosis by targeting the KH-type splicing regulatory protein. *Life Sci.* (2023) 328:121941. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2023.121941

15. Liu D, Zhou L-Q, Cheng Q, Wang J, Kong W-J, Zhang S-L. Developing a pyroptosis-related gene signature to better predict the prognosis and immune status of patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Front Genet.* (2023) 13. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.988606

16. Zhu W, Ye Z, Chen L, Liang H, Cai Q. A pyroptosis-related lncRNA signature predicts prognosis and immune microenvironment in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2021) 101:108268. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2021.108268

17. Li D, Ma D, Hou Y. Pyroptosis patterns influence the clinical outcome and immune microenvironment characterization in HPV-positive head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Infect Agent. Cancer.* (2023) 18:30. doi: 10.1186/s13027-023-00507-w

18. Han Z, Luo W, Shen J, Xie F, Luo J, Yang X, et al. Non-coding RNAs are involved in tumor cell death and affect tumorigenesis, progression, and treatment: a systematic review. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* (2024) 12. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2024.1284934

19. Su Z, Li W, Lei Z, Hu L, Wang S, Guo L, et al. Regulation of angiogenesis by noncoding RNAs in cancer. *Biomolecules*. (2024) 14:60. doi: 10.3390/biom14010060

20. Alammari F, Al-Hujaily EM, Alshareeda A, Albarakati N, Al-Sowayan BS. Hidden regulators: the emerging roles of lncRNAs in brain development and disease. *Front Neurosci.* (2024) 18. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2024.1392688

21. Rodrigues-Junior DM, Moustakas A. Unboxing the network among long noncoding RNAs and TGF-beta signaling in cancer. *Ups. J Med Sci.* (2024) 26:129. doi: 10.48101/ujms.v129.10614

22. Martinez-Terroba E, Plasek-Hegde LM, Chiotakakos I, Li V, de Miguel FJ, Robles-Oteiza C, et al. Overexpression of Malat1 drives metastasis through inflammatory reprogramming of the tumor microenvironment. *Sci Immunol.* (2024) 9:eadh5462–eadh5462. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.adh5462

23. Shao M-M, Li X, Wei R-Q, Chen Q-Y, Zhang X, Qiao X, et al. Long non-coding RNA MSL3P1 regulates CUL3 mRNA cytoplasmic transport and stability and promotes lung adenocarcinoma metastasis. *Mol Cancer research: MCR.* (2024) 746–58. doi: 10.1158/1541-7786.c.7384702

24. Deng J, Tan W, Luo Q, Lin L, Zheng L, Yang J. Long non-coding RNA MEG3 promotes renal tubular epithelial cell pyroptosis by regulating the miR-18a-3p/ GSDMD pathway in lipopolysaccharide-induced acute kidney injury. *Front Physiol.* (2021) 12. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2021.663216

25. Mo X, Hu D, Li Y, Nai A, Ma F, Bashir S, et al. A novel pyroptosis-related prognostic lncRNAs signature, tumor immune microenvironment and the associated regulation axes in bladder cancer. *Front Genet.* (2022) 13. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.936305

26. Tian Y, Dong J, Li L. Bridging pyroptosis and immunity: A comprehensive study of the pyroptosis-related long non-coding RNA signature in breast cancer. *Life-Basel.* (2023) 13:1599. doi: 10.3390/life13071599

27. Zhang Z, Shang J, Hu B, Shi H, Cao Y, Li J, et al. Prognosis and tumour immune microenvironment of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma by a novel pyroptosis-related lncRNA signature. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.836576

28. Liang T, Lu T, Jia WW, Li RZ, Jiang M, Jiao Y, et al. Knockdown of lncRNA MALAT1 induces pyroptosis by regulating the miR-124/SIRT1 axis in cervical cancer cells. *Int J Oncol.* (2023) 63:138. doi: 10.3892/ijo.2023.5586

29. Naorem LD, Prakash VS, Muthaiyan M, Venkatesan A. Comprehensive analysis of dysregulated lncRNAs and their competing endogenous RNA network in triplenegative breast cancer. *Int J Biol Macromol.* (2020) 145:429–36. doi: 10.1016/ j.ijbiomac.2019.12.196

30. Li S, Teng Y, Yuan M-J, Ma T-T, Ma J, Gao X-J. A seven long-noncoding RNA signature predicts prognosis of lung squamous cell carcinoma. *biomark Med.* (2020) 14:53–63. doi: 10.2217/bmm-2019-0282

31. Wu S, Hsu S, Zhang Q, Greenlees L, Xiao M, Gupta A. LncRNA RP11-291B21.2 is associated with Durvalumab response in NSCLC and BLCA cancers. *Cancer Res.* (2020) 80:5717. doi: 10.1158/1538-7445.AM2020-5717

32. Chen F-R, Wang Y, Cheng Y, Shi H-T, Li H, Jia M, et al. The AC006262.5-miR-7855-5p-BPY2C axis facilitates hepatocellular carcinoma proliferation and migration. *Biochem Cell Biol.* (2021) 99:348–55. doi: 10.1139/bcb-2019-0239

33. Deng H, Wei Z, Qiu S, Ye D, Gu S, Shen Y, et al. Pyroptosis patterns and immune infiltrates characterization in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *J Clin Lab Anal.* (2022) 36:e24292. doi: 10.1002/jcla.24292

34. Zhou C, Shen Y, Jin Y, Shen Z, Ye D, Shen Y, et al. A novel Pyroptosis-related long non-coding RNA signature for predicting the prognosis and immune landscape of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Med.* (2022) 11:5097–112. doi: 10.1002/cam4.v11.24

35. Gasparini G, Longo R, Sarmiento R, Morabito A. Inhibitors of cyclo-oxygenase 2: a new class of anticancer agents? *Lancet Oncol.* (2003) 4:605–15. doi: 10.1016/s1470-2045(03)01220-8

36. Švajger U, Gobec M, Obreza A, Mlinarič-Raščan I. Novel N-amidinopiperidinebased proteasome inhibitor preserves dendritic cell functionality and rescues their Th1polarizing capacity in Ramos-conditioned tumor environment. *Cancer Immunol Immunother.* (2015) 64:15–27. doi: 10.1007/s00262-014-1608-x

37. Ye Y, Dai Q, Qi H. A novel defined pyroptosis-related gene signature for predicting the prognosis of ovarian cancer. Cell Death Discovery. (2021) 7:71. doi: 10.1038/s41420-021-00451-x

38. Koh J, Hur JY, Lee KY, Kim MS, Heo JY, Ku BM, et al. Regulatory (FoxP3(+)) T cells and TGF- $\beta$  predict the response to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:18994. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-76130-1

39. Liu B, Salgado OC, Singh S, Hippen KL, Maynard JC, Burlingame AL, et al. The lineage stability and suppressive program of regulatory T cells require protein O-GlcNAcylation. *Nat Commun.* (2019) 10:354. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-08300-3

40. Saito T, Nishikawa H, Wada H, Nagano Y, Sugiyama D, Atarashi K, et al. Two FOXP3(+)CD4(+) T cell subpopulations distinctly control the prognosis of colorectal cancers. *Nat Med.* (2016) 22:679–84. doi: 10.1038/nm.4086