Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Saud Alhusaini, Brown University, United States

REVIEWED BY Leonidas Papastavrou, Athens Medical Center, Greece Laura Schroeder, Lifespan, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Shengli Zhou Zhou_shengli@163.com Liyun Zhou iyunzhou0417@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 22 June 2024 ACCEPTED 30 December 2024 PUBLISHED 21 January 2025

CITATION

Li M, Li Z, Zhang H, Wakimoto H, Sun L, Wang T, Zhou S and Zhou L (2025) Clinical characteristics and outcomes of surgical resection for brain metastases from lung adenocarcinoma. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1453177. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1453177

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Li, Li, Zhang, Wakimoto, Sun, Wang, Zhou and Zhou. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Clinical characteristics and outcomes of surgical resection for brain metastases from lung adenocarcinoma

Ming Li^{1†}, Zhiying Li^{2†}, Hang Zhang^{1†}, Hiroaki Wakimoto³, Linlin Sun², Tiantian Wang⁴, Shengli Zhou^{5*} and Liyun Zhou^{1*}

¹Department of Neurosurgery, Henan Provincial People's Hospital, People's Hospital of Zhengzhou University, People's Hospital of Henan University, Zhengzhou, China, ²Department of Neurosurgery, The 7th People's Hospital of Zhengzhou, Zhengzhou, China, ³Department of Neurosurgery, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, United States, ⁴Department of Neurology, The 7th People's Hospital of Zhengzhou, Zhengzhou, China, ⁵Department of Pathology, Henan Provincial People's Hospital, People's Hospital of Zhengzhou University, People's Hospital of Henan University, Zhengzhou, China

Objective: The purpose of this study was to explore the clinical characteristics, survival time and prognostic factors of patients undergoing craniotomy for brain metastases (BM) from lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD).

Methods: A total of 208 patients with BM from LUAD who underwent craniotomy at the Zhengzhou University People's Hospital, Henan province, China from March 2005 to October 2022 were included in this retrospective study. All patients were confirmed as BM of LUAD by histopathology. The clinical data included patient gender, age, occupation, family history of tumor, smoking history, alcohol drinking history, neurological symptoms, history of lung cancer treatment, tumor location, tumor number, tumor size, gene status, expression of S-100, CEA, Ki67, and PD-L1 by immunohistochemistry, KPS after craniotomy, whether tumor therapy was continued after craniotomy, and survival time. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression was used to analyze the prognostic factors of patients undergoing craniotomy for LUAD BM.

Results: A total of 208 patients met the inclusion and exclusion criteria, including 110 males (52.9%) and 98 females (47.1%), with an average age of 61.4 years. 203 patients (97.6%) had neurological symptoms. 84 patients (40.4%) had smoking history, 89 patients (42.8%) had alcohol drinking history, and 31 patients (14.9%) had the family history of tumor. Only 5 patients (2.4%) had received lung cancer treatment before craniotomy. The intracranial location of BM was mostly in the frontal lobe (54, 26.0%) and the metastatic sites were mostly single (117, 56.3%); the metastatic tumor size was mostly between 2-5 cm (141, 67.8%). Genetically, 43.3% patients (90 cases) had EGFR mutations, and immunohistochemical analysis showed that most patients (145, 69.7%) had KPS score under 80 after craniotomy. 190 patients (91.3%) were successfully followed up. The median survival time was 11.5 months, and the 3-year survival rate was 15.7%. Multivariate analysis revealed that smoking history, Ki67 percentage, KPS after craniotomy,

and molecular targeted therapy after craniotomy were independent factors affecting the survival time of patients.

Conclusions: Although survival remains poor, patients who had no-smoking history, Ki67 percentage \leq 30%, KPS \geq 80 after craniotomy, and molecular targeted therapy after craniotomy can improve the prognosis and prolong the survival time.

KEYWORDS

lung adenocarcinoma brain metastases, craniotomy, clinical characteristics, survival time, prognostic factor

1 Introduction

Brain metastases (BM) are common among patients with advanced solid tumors. Estimates of the incidence of BM in the United States have varied, but approximately 200,000 new cases of BM are diagnosed in the United States every year and that 8% -10% of patients with cancer will develop BM (1). BM appear to be 10fold more common than primary malignant brain tumors. In adults, lung, breast, skin (melanoma) and kidney are the most frequent sources of BM (2). Lung cancer, especially non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), is the most common primary cancer to develop BM. Approximately 50% of all patients with NSCLC metastasize to the brain (3, 4). The prognosis of patients with NSCLC BM is extremely poor. Even with the latest integrative treatment, the 5-year survival rate remains less than 5% (5).

Modern treatment for BM has dramatically changed their expected prognosis, and current available treatment options for NSCLC BM include surgery, whole brain radiotherapy (WBRT), stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS), immunotherapy and targeted therapy. However, these treatments for NSCLC BM have certain limitations. For example, although WBRT can alleviate neurological symptoms and prevent new BM (6), its dose is limited due to potential serious toxicities (such as cognitive deterioration). Patients with NSCLC BM treated with WBRT alone generally have a poor prognosis with a median survival of less than 6 months (7). SRS delivers a high dose of conformal radiation with image guidance to minimize dose to surrounding normal brain tissue, and appears to promote anti-tumor immunity that could control tumor growth and symptoms without the neurocognitive side effects of WBRT (8). However, SRS is preferred for patients with one to three BM, and was shown to not improve the overall survival of BM (9). Chemotherapy is an active treatment for BM, however, the blood-brain barrier has limited the effective penetration of many systemic therapies into the brain. Novel systemic targeted therapies in patients with driver mutations have shown impressive intracranial efficacy, however, this treatment is largely for patients who have been pre-treated with local therapies and are asymptomatic (10). Craniotomy and tumor resection is considered for patients with a single metastasis measuring 3 cm or more, those with smaller tumors such as cerebellar neoplasms associated with severe neurologic symptoms due to cerebral edema, or those with multiple tumors with advanced neurologic symptoms in whom prompt improvement of neurologic symptoms is expected from surgery (11, 12). Lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) is the most prevalent NSCLC cancer type that accounts for 85% of lung cancer, and the brain is the main organ prone to LUAD metastasis (13, 14). However, no retrospective data suggest that surgical resection may provide better intracranial progression-free survival in LUAD BM.

To clarify the impacts of surgery of LUAD BM, we retrospectively analyzed the clinical data, survival time and prognostic factors of the patients with BM originated from LUAD who had undergone surgical resection for the brain lesions and discussed future treatment strategies for this disease.

2 Patients and methods

2.1 Patients

We retrospectively reviewed a consecutive series of patients operated for BM from the lung, treated at Zhengzhou University People's Hospital, Henan province, China, between March 2005 and October 2022. Our inclusion criteria for craniotomy were as follows: a surgically accessible tumor location and distinct negativity for cancer in other distant regions (except primary lung cancer). The inoperability criteria included the following: the overall condition of the patient was poor and the likelihood of craniotomy resulting in severe neural complications.

In this study, the inclusion criteria included: (1) aged 18 years and older; (2) underwent craniotomy for LUAD BM; (3) histological confirmation of cancer cell origin from lung; (4) lung cancer diagnosis with functional imaging including computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The exclusion criteria included: (1) Only intracranial tumor biopsy was performed, with no intracranial tumor resection; (2) Pathological or imaging data were incomplete to prove that the intracranial tumor originated from the lung; (3) With other primary intracranial tumors.

Two hundred eight patients were eventually included in this study (Figure 1).

The clinical data on these patients were collected retrospectively from their medical records, including operative and pathological reports, clinical data and information from the office files of their neurosurgeons and thoracic surgeons. Detailed follow-up study of these individuals was conducted by letter and telephone contact with patients, family members, friends, or personal physicians.

This study was conducted by following the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Zhengzhou University People's Hospital, Henan province, China. All patients provided written informed consent before the surgery, and medical records of patients were anonymized.



2.2 Driver mutation testing

Genetic mutations were tested through polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or Next-generation sequencing (NGS) using clinical tumor samples. Detections were applied in either plasma DNA or in paraffin-embedded tumor tissue. In the vast majority of patients (75%) in this study, Amplification Refractory Mutation System-qPCR method from AmoyDx, China, was used to detect hotspot mutations in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. The panel of PCR included: EGFR, ALK, ROS1, RET, KRAS, NRAS, BARF, HER2, PIK3CA, and MET. In other patients, the Illumia-Nextseq 550 system (Burning Rock, Guangzhou, China) was used to detect driver mutations. It covers 168 cancer genes or 139 cancer genes and can detect structural and copy number changes in addition to hotpot and other coding/splice mutations.

2.3 Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed by SPSS 26.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) software. Descriptive statistics were expressed as numbers and percentages. The end date for the survival analysis for patients lost to follow-up was the time they were last seen in the department or last contacted by telephone. Overall survival was defined as the percentage of patients who were alive at the end of the study period. Survival curves were analyzed using the Kaplan–Meier method with the log-rank test. The univariable and multivariable Cox regression models were conducted to determine the prognostic factors for patients with LUAD BM. Factors with a *P*-value less than 0.05 in the univariable regression model. All hypothesis tests were conducted against a 2-sided alternative. *P* values were considered statistically significant when less than 0.05.

3 Results

3.1 Patients characteristics

A total of 208 patients who underwent craniotomy for LUAD BM were identified from 2005 to 2022. The clinical information of this cohort is shown in Table 1. Among the patients, 110 patients (52.9%) were males and 98 females (47.1%), and their median age was 61.4 years (23-81years). The majority of patients were farmers (153, 73.6%). In addition, smoking history, alcohol drinking history and the family history of tumor accounted for 40.4% (84 cases), 42.8% (89 cases) and 14.9% (31 cases), respectively. Before craniotomy, only 5 patients (2.4%) had received lung cancer-related treatment at an early stage, of which 2 patients (1.0%) had undergone radical resection of lung cancer, 2 patients (1.0%) had undergone radical resection of lung cancer combined with targeted drug therapy, and 1 patient (0.4%) had only received targeted drug therapy. With respect to symptoms, 203 cases (97.6%) had neurological symptoms, including headache, dizziness, nausea, vomiting, vision loss, slurred speech, limb

TABLE 1 Characteristics of our patients who underwent surgical resection for BM of LUAD.

GenderMale110 (52.9)Male98 (47.1)Age18.4518-4519 (9.1)46-65142 (68.3)>6547(22.6)Occupation153 (73.6)Farmer153 (73.6)Factory worker25 (12.0)Freelancer11 (5.3)Freelancer10.4)Umemployed personnel10.4)Verological symptoms10.4)Yes203 (97.6)No5 (2.4)No124 (59.6)No19 (52.2)Yes89 (42.8)No19 (57.2)Yes19 (51.2)No11 (53.1)Freily history of tumor19 (57.2)Yes31 (14.9)No10 (77 (55.1)Yes5 (2.4)Yes5 (2.4)Yes5 (2.4)No10 (76.5)Yes5 (2.4)No10 (76.5)No10 (76.5)Yes5 (2.4)No10 (76.5)No10 (76.5)No <t< th=""></t<>						
Male110 (52.9)Female98 (47.1)Age18-4519 (9.1)46-65142 (68.3)>65747(22.6)Occupation153 (73.6)Farmer153 (73.6)Factory worker25 (12.0)Freelancer11 (5.3)Freelancer11 (5.3)Umemployed personnel18 (8.7)Versological symptoms203 (97.6)Yes203 (97.6)No5 (2.4)Maching history203 (97.6)Yes84 (40.4)No10 (19.7)Yes89 (42.8)No10 (19.7)Yes11 (14.9)No11 (14.9)Yes11 (14.9)Yes <th< td=""></th<>						
Female98 (47.1)Age18-4519 (9.1)46-65142 (68.3)>6547 (22.6)Occupation153 (73.6)Farmer153 (73.6)Factory worker25 (12.0)Freelancer11 (5.3)Freelancer11 (5.3)Unemployed personnel10 (0.4)Vers203 (97.6)No5 (2.4)Smoking history203 (97.6)Yes84 (40.4)No124 (59.6)No124 (59.6)Freily history of tumor19 (57.2)Yes31 (14.9)No177 (85.1)Yes5 (2.4)No177 (85.1)Yes5 (2.4)No107 (85.1)Yes5 (2.4)No107 (85.1)Yes5 (2.4)No100 (0.1)Yes5 (2.4)No100 (0.1)No100 (0.1)No100 (0.1)Yes5 (2.4)No100 (0.1)No100 (0.1)No<						
Age 18-45 19 (9.1) 46-65 142 (68.3) >65 47(22.6) Occupation Farmer Farmer 153 (73.6) Factory worker 25 (12.0) Freelancer 11 (5.3) Freelancer 18 (8.7) Emeritus and retired 18 (8.7) Unemployed personnel 10.4) Vers 203 (97.6) Yes 203 (97.6) No 5 (2.4) No 124 (59.6) No 19 (57.2) Yes 89 (42.8) No 119 (57.2) Yes 31 (14.9) No 117 (85.1) Yes 31 (14.9) No 177 (85.1) Yes 5 (2.4)						
18-4519 (9.1)46-65142 (68.3)>6547(22.6)OccupationFarmer153 (73.6)Factory worker25 (12.0)Freelancer11 (5.3)Fmeritus and retired18 (8.7)Unemployed personnel10.4)Varelogical symptoms10.4)Yes203 (97.6)No5 (2.4)Smoking history124 (59.6)Yes84 (40.4)No124 (59.6)No124 (59.6)Family history of tumor19 (57.2)Yes89 (42.8)No119 (57.2)Yes31 (14.9)No177 (85.1)Yes5 (2.4)No177 (85.1)Yes5 (2.4)No100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100						
46-65142 (68.3)>6547(22.6) Cocupation 153 (73.6)Farmer153 (73.6)Factory worker25 (12.0)Freelancer11 (5.3)Emeritus and retired18 (8.7)Unemployed personnel1 (0.4)Neurological symptoms10.4)Yes203 (97.6)No5 (2.4)Smoking history124 (59.6)Yes84 (40.4)No124 (59.6)Alcohol drinking history119 (57.2)Yes89 (42.8)No119 (57.2)Family history of tumor177 (85.1)Yes31 (14.9)No177 (85.1)Yes5 (2.4)						
>6547(22.6)OccupationFarmer153 (73.6)Factory worker25 (12.0)Freelancer11 (5.3)Emeritus and retired18 (8.7)Unemployed personnel1 (0.4)Nuerological symptoms203 (97.6)Yes203 (97.6)No5 (2.4)Smoking history124 (59.6)Yes84 (40.4)No124 (59.6)Alcohol drinking history124 (59.6)Yes89 (42.8)No119 (57.2)Yes31 (14.9)No177 (85.1)Yes5 (2.4)No102 (51.1)Yes5 (2.4)						
OccupationFarmer153 (73.6)Factory worker25 (12.0)Freelancer11 (5.3)Emeritus and retired18 (8.7)Unemployed personnel1 (0.4)Neurological symptoms203 (97.6)Yes203 (97.6)No5 (2.4)Smoking history124 (59.6)Yes84 (40.4)No124 (59.6)No124 (59.6)Fresh89 (42.8)No119 (57.2)Yes91 (14.9)No177 (85.1)Yes31 (14.9)Yes5 (2.4)No102 (50.1)Yes5 (2.4)						
Farmer153 (73.6)Factory worker25 (12.0)Freelancer11 (5.3)Emeritus and retired18 (8.7)Unemployed personnel1 (0.4)Neurological symptoms203 (97.6)Yes203 (97.6)No5 (2.4)Yes84 (40.4)No124 (59.6)Hcohol drinking history124 (59.6)Yes89 (42.8)No119 (57.2)Yes31 (14.9)Yes31 (14.9)Yes31 (14.9)Yes5 (2.4)Yes5 (2.4)						
Factory worker25 (12.0)Freelancer11 (5.3)Emeritus and retired18 (8.7)Unemployed personnel1 (0.4)Neurological symptoms203 (97.6)Yes203 (97.6)No5 (2.4)Smoking history5 (2.4)Yes84 (40.4)No124 (59.6)Alcohol drinking history124 (59.6)Yes89 (42.8)No119 (57.2)Yes31 (14.9)No177 (85.1)Yes5 (2.4)Yes5 (2.4)						
Freelancer11 (5.3)Emeritus and retired18 (8.7)Unemployed personnel1 (0.4)Neurological symptoms203 (97.6)Yes203 (97.6)No5 (2.4)Smoking history5 (2.4)Yes84 (40.4)No124 (59.6)Alcohol drinking history124 (59.6)Yes89 (42.8)No119 (57.2)Family history of tumor119 (57.2)Yes31 (14.9)No177 (85.1)Treatment before craniotomy5 (2.4)No5 (2.4)						
Emeritus and retired18 (8.7)Unemployed personnel1 (0.4)Neurological symptoms203 (97.6)Yes203 (97.6)No5 (2.4)Smoking history84 (40.4)Yes84 (40.4)No124 (59.6)Alcohol drinking history124 (59.6)Yes89 (42.8)No119 (57.2)Family history of tumor119 (57.2)Yes31 (14.9)No177 (85.1)Treatment before craniotomy5 (2.4)No5 (2.4)						
Unemployed personnel1 (0.4)Neurological symptoms203 (97.6)Yes203 (97.6)No5 (2.4)Smoking history84 (40.4)Yes84 (40.4)No124 (59.6)Alcohol drinking history124 (59.6)Yes89 (42.8)No119 (57.2)Family history of tumor119 (57.2)Yes31 (14.9)No177 (85.1)Treatment before craniotomy5 (2.4)No5 (2.4)						
Neurological symptomsYes203 (97.6)No5 (2.4)Smoking history84 (40.4)Yes84 (40.4)No124 (59.6)Alcohol drinking history942.8)Yes89 (42.8)No119 (57.2)Family history of tumor119 (57.2)Yes31 (14.9)No177 (85.1)Treatment before craniotomy5 (2.4)No5 (2.4)						
Yes203 (97.6)No5 (2.4)Smoking history84 (40.4)Yes84 (40.4)No124 (59.6)Alcohol drinking history124 (59.6)Yes89 (42.8)No119 (57.2)Family history of tumor119 (57.2)Yes31 (14.9)No177 (85.1)Treatment before craniotomy5 (2.4)Yes5 (2.4)						
No 5 (2.4) Smoking history 84 (40.4) Yes 84 (40.4) No 124 (59.6) Alcohol drinking history 94 (2.8) Yes 89 (42.8) No 119 (57.2) Family history of tumor 119 (57.2) Yes 31 (14.9) No 177 (85.1) Treatment before craniotomy 5 (2.4) No 5 (2.4)						
Smoking history 84 (40.4) Yes 84 (40.4) No 124 (59.6) Alcohol drinking history 89 (42.8) Yes 89 (42.8) No 119 (57.2) Family history of tumor 31 (14.9) Yes 31 (14.9) No 177 (85.1) Treatment before craniotomy 5 (2.4) No 203 (97.6)						
Yes 84 (40.4) No 124 (59.6) Alcohol drinking history 124 (59.6) Yes 89 (42.8) No 119 (57.2) Family history of tumor 119 (57.2) Yes 31 (14.9) No 177 (85.1) Treatment before craniotomy 5 (2.4) No 203 (97.6)						
No 124 (59.6) Alcohol drinking history Yes 89 (42.8) No 119 (57.2) Family history of tumor Yes 31 (14.9) No 177 (85.1) Treatment before craniotomy Yes 5 (2.4) No 203 (97.6)						
Alcohol drinking history 89 (42.8) No 119 (57.2) Family history of tumor 31 (14.9) No 177 (85.1) Treatment before craniotomy 5 (2.4) No 203 (97.6)						
Yes 89 (42.8) No 119 (57.2) Family history of tumor 7 Yes 31 (14.9) No 177 (85.1) Treatment before craniotomy 5 (2.4) No 203 (97.6)						
No 119 (57.2) Family history of tumor 31 (14.9) Yes 31 (14.9) No 177 (85.1) Treatment before craniotomy 5 (2.4) Yes 203 (97.6)						
Family history of tumor Yes 31 (14.9) No 177 (85.1) Treatment before craniotomy 5 (2.4) No 203 (97.6)						
Yes 31 (14.9) No 177 (85.1) Treatment before craniotomy 5 (2.4) No 203 (97.6)						
No177 (85.1)Treatment before craniotomy5 (2.4)Yes203 (97.6)						
Yes 5 (2.4) No 203 (97.6)						
Yes 5 (2.4)						
No. 203 (97.6)						
203 (57.5)						
The date of the surgery						
2005-2010 14 (6.7)						
2011-2015 62 (29.8)						
2016-2020 81 (39.0)						
2020-2022 51 (24.5)						
Location of intracranial metastasis						
Frontal lobe 54 (26.0)						
Parietal lobe 20 (9.6)						
Temporal lobe 19 (9.1)						
Cerebellum 41 (19.7)						
Occipital 14 (6.7)						

TABLE	1	Continued

Variables	N (%)
Location of intracranial metastasis	
Cranial fossa	6 (2.9)
Other places	16 (7.7)
≥2 or more sites	38 (18.3)
Number of intracranial metastasis	
Single	117 (56.3)
2-4	47 (22.6)
≥5	44 (21.1)
Size of intracranial metastasis (cm)	
0-2	41 (19.7)
2-5	141 (67.8)
>5	26 (12.5)
Gene status	
EGFR	90 (43.3)
ALK	27 (13.0)
KRAS	29 (13.9)
HER2	8 (3.8)
Others	37 (17.8)
No	17 (8.2)
PD-L1 expression	
TPS < 1%	48 (23.1)
$1\% \le \text{TPS} \le 49\%$	82 (39.4)
$TPS \ge 50\%$	78 (37.5)
Ki67	
≤30%	71 (34.1)
>30%	137 (65.9)
CEA	
Positive	71 (34.1)
Negative	50 (24.1)
Unknown	87 (41.8)
S-100	
Positive	71 (34.1)
Negative	112 (53.8)
Unknown	25 (12.1)
KPS after craniotomy	
80-100	63 (30.3)
50-70	80 (38.5)
10-40	65 (31.2)

(Continued)

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

Variables	N (%)					
Post-operative tumor treatment						
No	136 (65.3)					
Systemic chemotherapy	30 (14.4)					
WBRT	2 (1.0)					
Molecular targeted therapy	30 (14.4)					
Systemic chemotherapy +WBRT	4 (2.0)					
Lung cancer surgery + Systemic chemotherapy	6 (2.9)					

weakness and seizure. 5 patients (2.4%) had no neurological symptoms, in which PET-CT and physical examination found BM in 3 and 2 patients, respectively.

Surgery was performed in 14 patients (6.7%) from 2005 to 2010, in 62 patients (29.8%) from 2011 to 2015, in 81 patients (39.0%) from 2016 to 2020, and in 51 patients (24.5%) between 2020 and 2022.

3.2 Characteristics of intracranial metastasis

Intracranial metastases were located in the frontal lobe (54, 26.0%), followed by the cerebellum (41, 19.7%), parietal lobe (20, 9.6%), temporal lobe (19, 9.1%), occipital part (14, 6.7%), cranial fossa (6, 2.9%), thalamus (3, 1.4%) and corpus callosum (3, 1.4%), ramus (3, 1.4%), quadrilateral ventricles (2, 1.0%), pineal gland (2, 1.0%), sagittal sinus (2, 1.0%), cingulate gyrus (1, 0.4%). In addition, 38 patients (18.3%) had intracranial metastases in 2 or more sites.

With respect to the number of intracranial metastases, 117 patients (56.3%) had a solitary BM and 91 (43.7%) had multiple metastatic lesions. The tumors were divided into 3 grades according to their maximum diameters. 41 cases (19.7%) had a maximum diameter of 0 to 2 cm, 141 cases (67.8%) had a maximum diameter of 2 to 5 cm, and 26 cases (12.5%) had a maximum diameter of more than 5 cm.

Nearly half of the patients (90, 43.3%) had EGFR mutations; 29 cases (13.9%) had KRAS mutations, 27 cases (13.0%) ALK rearrangement, 8 cases (3.8%) Her2 mutations, and 37 cases (17.8%) other mutation. In addition, 17 cases (8.2%) had non-actionable mutations.

One of the commonly used methods for assessing PD-L1 expression is the Tumor Proportion Score (TPS), which considers the percentage of tumor cells expressing PD-L1. PD-L1 status was categorized into positive (TPS $\geq 1\%$) and negative (TPS <1%) expression. Immunohistochemical results of BM showed that PD-L1 was positive in 160 patients (76.9%) and negative in 48 patients (23.1%). There were 137 patients (65.9%) with Ki67 > 30%, and 71 patients (34.1%) with Ki67 $\leq 30\%$. S-100 was positive in 71 patients (34.1%) and negative in 112 patients (53.8%), and the result was missing in 25 patients (12.1%).

3.3 Karnofsky performance score after craniotomy

Patients enrolled had Karnofsky Performance Score (KPS) assessed one week post-discharge. KPS was categorized into low (10-40), intermediate (50-70) and high (80-100). The mean \pm standard deviation of KPS in the entire cohort was 58 \pm 24. Low, intermediate and high performance status were seen in 65 cases (31.2%), 80 cases (38.5%), and 63 cases (30.3%) of the cohort, respectively.

3.4 Treatment characteristics

All 208 (100%) patients underwent surgery for LUAD BM but only 72 (34.7%) continued additional tumor treatment after craniotomy. In these cases, 30 patients (14.4%) underwent postoperative chemotherapy (pemetrexed and cisplatin based), 2 patients (1.0%) underwent WBRT, 30 patients (14.4%) underwent molecular targeted therapy (28 patients receiving EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) and 2 patients ALK TKIs), 4 patients (2.0%) received chemotherapy combined with WBRT, 6 patients (2.9%) underwent lung cancer resection combined with chemotherapy. No patients received post-operative immunotherapy.

3.5 Survival outcomes

A total of 190 patients (90.7%) were followed up successfully. Among these patients, survival time ranged from 0 to 55 months, with the median survival time being 11.5 months. The 3-month, 6month, 1-year, 3-year and 4-year survival rates were 88.77%, 72.09%, 48.78%, 15.17% and 12.64%, respectively (Figure 2A). In the univariate Cox analysis, smoking history, Ki67 percentage, KPS after craniotomy, and molecular targeted therapy after craniotomy were significantly associated with overall survival (OS) (P<0.05) (Table 2). Figures 2B-E shows the Kaplan-Meier survival plots generated from curves stratified according to the smoking history, Ki67 percentage, KPS after craniotomy, and molecular targeted therapy after craniotomy. Gender, age, neurological symptoms, alcohol drinking history, history of lung cancer treatment, the number of intracranial metastases, size of metastases, PD-L1 expression, EGFR mutation, and systemic chemotherapy after craniotomy did not significantly affect the survival. Multivariate analysis revealed that smoking history (HR=0.677, P=0.02), Ki67 percentage (HR=0.594, P=0.004), KPS after craniotomy (HR=0.279, P=0.000) and molecular targeted therapy after craniotomy (HR=3.589, P=0.000) were independent factors affecting the survival time of patients (Table 2).

4 Discussion

Lung cancer ranks first in terms of morbidity and mortality among all tumors worldwide, and the brain is a common metastasis site of lung cancer (15, 16). The incidence of BM in patients with



lung cancer is approximately 20%-65%, which seriously affects the prognosis and quality of life (QOL) of patients (3, 4). Despite treatment, the majority of patients still die due to progressive BM. Although prognosis in patients with BM from lung cancer is usually poor, prolonged survival can be observed in a subgroup of patients with favorable prognostic factors (8, 9). In these favorable subgroups, more aggressive treatment modalities can be used to increase QOL and influence survival. BM are a complex condition with multiple factors contributing to diagnosis and prognosis. The blood-brain barrier has limited the effective penetration of many systemic therapies into the brain. Surgical option is feasible as an emergency strategy and also suggested as an elective procedure to improve neurological status, QOL, and survival.

In this study, the clinical data of 208 patients with LUAD BM undergoing surgical resection were retrospectively collected and analyzed. Patients age ranged from 23 to 81 years old, among which 46-65 years old (142 cases, 68.3%) accounted for a large proportion of patients. COX regression model found that age was not a factor affecting the prognosis of patients. Similarly, in Shen's study, they

analyzed the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Result (SEER) database and identified that age was not an independent prognostic factor of lung cancer BM (17). Other studies have reported that the incidence of LUAD BM increases with age, and this phenomenon may be related to living standards and physical conditions (18, 19). However, since the population included in this study was LUAD BM who underwent craniotomy, some patients who were old and frail and whose overall physical condition was not suitable for surgery were excluded. Evaluation of age on the prognosis of LUAD BM who underwent craniotomy requires a larger sample size. In addition, people aged 46-65 years old are the major society contributors, and attention should be paid to the characteristics of people in this stage to achieve early detection and early treatment.

Cigarette use is the major cause of morbidity and mortality in developed countries, increasing all cause mortality (20, 21). Smoking intervention could reduce the frequency of postoperative complications and improve the prognosis of lung cancer patients (22–24). However, there is limited data on the effects of smoking on LUAD BM. In our study, we found that smoking is an important

Variables	n	Univariate	analysis	Multivariate analysis				
		HR (95%CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	Р			
Gender								
F/M	88/102	1.338 (0.969-1.846)	0.077					
Age								
18-65/≥66	146/44	0.854 (0.58-1.257)	0.422					
Neurological sympto	oms							
No/Yes	5/185	0.639 (0.203-2.007)	0.443					
Smoking history								
No/Yes	113/77	0.641 (0.465-0.883)	0.007	0.677 (0.487-0.94)	0.02			
Alcohol drinking his	tory			1				
No/Yes	110/80	1.194 (0.864-1.649)	0.282					
History of lung canc	er treatment							
No/Yes	185/5	3.567 (0.881-14.443)	0.075					
Number of intracrar	nial metastasis							
1/≥2	105/85	1.03(0.747-1.42)	0.858					
≤4/>4	149/41	1.017(0.687-1.504)	0.933					
Size of intracranial n	netastasis							
≤5cm/>5cm	164/26	0.893(0.576-1.384)	0.611					
Ki67 positive								
≤30%/>30%	65/125	0.629 (0.444-0.892)	0.009	0.594 (0.417-0.847)	0.004			
EGFR mutation								
Yes/No	81/109	1.034(0.749-1.427)	0.838					
PD-L1 expression								
<1%/≥1%	45/145	0.921 (0.628-1.35)	0.672					
KPS after craniotomy								
≥80/<80	58/132	0.279 (0.188-0.414)	0.000	0.279 (0.187-0.417)	0.000			
Systemic chemotherapy after craniotomy								
No/Yes	160/30	1.451 (0.929-2.265)	0.102					
Molecular targeted therapy after craniotomy								
No/Yes	160/30	4.442 (2.488-7.930)	0.000	3.589 (1.996-6.453)	0.000			

TABLE 2 Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard models for overall survival in 190 cases who had surgical resection for BM of LUAD.

factor affecting the prognosis of patients with LUAD BM; the survival time of smokers was significantly shorter than that of non-smokers. We speculate that this reason may be that tobacco smoke contains hundreds of known and probable human carcinogens (25), which accelerate the metastasis of cancer cells and aggravate the nervous system damage in LUAD BM patients. Shenker has reported that in patients with BM from lung cancer who received SRS, current smoking status and pack-year history of smoking had no effect on overall survival, however, current smokers with non-adenocarcinoma lung cancers had a trend toward greater neurologic death than nonsmokers and cumulative pack years smoking is associated with a greater BM velocity (26). These results indicated the dangers of smoking and the need for smoking cessation in the general population as well as in patients with BM from LUAD.

Ki67 is a well-known marker for the evaluation of cell proliferation. Numerous studies have indicated that Ki67 index independently predicts cancer progression. Immunostaining for Ki67 expression is the gold standard, and the higher positivity of Ki67 expression is, the stronger invasion ability of tumor cells (27). Berghoff et al. (28) found a correlation between Ki67 and survival time; high Ki67 expression showed a shorter survival time. In line with these findings, in our study, the prognosis of LUAD patients with BM with high expression of Ki67 was poor, and Ki67 was an independent factor affecting the prognosis of patients.

KPS has been used broadly in clinical practice to assess the overall performance status of cancer patients' activity, work, and self-care abilities (29). A multicenter, retrospective cohort study showed that neurosurgical resection improved OS and was associated with a significantly better prognosis in patients with lung cancer BM and poor KPS (30). We assessed LUAD BM KPS one week post-discharge and found that patients with higher KPS had a better prognosis, which indicated that patients with preserved functional capacity benefit from craniotomy over those with lower KPS.

Our study cohort is unique since the overwhelming majority (97.6%) of the patients (203 patients) were admitted to the neurosurgery department due to neurological symptoms, without prior diagnosis of lung cancer. Three (1.4%) of the other 5 patients (2.4%) were diagnosed with lung cancer after relevant examination, which suggests the occult occurrence of lung cancer and the importance of early screening. Among the 208 patients, 5 patients (2.4%) had received lung cancer-related treatment at an early stage, including 2 patients (0.9%) with radical resection of lung cancer, 2 (0.9%) with radical resection of lung cancer combined with targeted drug therapy, and 1 (0.45%) with molecular targeted therapy. The Univariate COX model found that whether the patients underwent lung cancer-related treatment before BM surgery was not a factor affecting the postoperative survival time of patients with LUAD BM. The reason may be related to the small number of patients who underwent lung cancer-related treatment before BM surgery (just 5 cases), and the sample size will be expanded in future analysis.

The incidence of brain metastasis has increased in parallel with LUAD incidence, accuracy of imaging examination and people's attention to physical examination. The role of surgery in the management of LUAD BM is indisputable. Surgery can quickly alleviate life-threatening symptoms caused by tumor effects, cerebrospinal fluid obstruction, and edema around the tumor. Surgical resection of BM is typically indicated for solitary intracranial metastasis (31, 32). Surgical treatment was not considered for patients with multiple metastases (more than 3 locations) that were considered to have uncontrolled primary tumors and poor prognosis (33). However, rapid advances in systemic therapy are improving outcomes for this patient population across multiple tumor types, generating new interest in local control strategies (34). Sauvageot et al. published a retrospective cohort study of 184 patients with 72 patients (39.1%) having more than 2 BM. The median OS was 19.2 months and the median cerebral progression-free survival was 8.4 months. The number of BM and tumor cerebral burden remained significant prognostic factors for OS (35). Pollock et al. published a retrospective cohort study of 52 patients with a median of three BM. The result showed that 5 patients who received resection alone survived a median of 19 months; 31 patients who received radiosurgery alone survived a median of 13 months and 16 patients who received both resection and radiosurgery survived a median of 8 months (36). Based on these results, resection was recommended for patients with multiple BM. In our study, 72.1% of the patients survived for more than 6 months, and 15.2% survived for more than 3 years. This survival outcome was better than the survival time expected for LUAD BM, suggesting that resection should be considered as an option, even in the case of patients with multiple BM, in order to improve their survival and QOL.

In addition, of the 190 patients (91.3%) who were successfully followed up, only 72 patients (34.7%) received post-operative treatment. The use of biomarker-matched target therapies has been considered solely responsible for improving population-level lung cancer-specific mortality between 2013 and 2016 (37). In 2 published retrospective studies of NSCLC patients with BM and EGFR mutations, patients who received an EGFR TKI at any time after diagnosis of BM survived longer than those who did not (38, 39). Both the Gow et al. and Eichler et al. series suggested that EGFR TKI therapy after the initial diagnosis of BM may provide such a substantial benefit in duration of central nervous system response that survival is ultimately impacted. Similarly, in our study, patients who received molecular targeted therapy after craniotomy showed improved overall survival compared with patients who did not, and multivariate analysis revealed molecular targeted therapy after craniotomy as an independent factor affecting the survival time of patients. Taken together, these data suggest that LUAD patients with BM could benefit from molecular targeted therapy after BM resection.

The reasons for most patients not receiving post-operative treatment were as follows: first, some patients could not tolerate the systemic treatment because of the treatment side effects; second poor KPS after surgery; and third economic considerations. In addition, when the patients knew that the pathological diagnosis was malignant tumor, most of them chose to give up the postoperative treatment.

Our study has some limitations. First, it is a retrospective study at a single center and the sample size is limited, which may have led to a bias in patient selection and limited firm conclusions. Therefore, our results may not apply to other populations and other centers. Further multicenter prospective studies are thus recommended. Second, the clinical data is limited; we were unable to collect hematology examination and cerebrospinal fluid examination, which may be important factors affecting the survival time of patients. Third, the study was focused on LUAD BM who underwent craniotomy at our center, and most patients did not receive treatment post-operatively. No patients received SRS which is a recommendation of NCCN for low tumor volume, both to resection cavity and any other non-resected BM. Our data did not fully represent the overall status of all lung cancer patients with BM. Despite these limitations, to the best of our knowledge, it is the largest published cohort that clarifies the clinical characteristics and prognosis factors of LUAD BM treated with surgical resection, which may be relevant to similar patient cohorts. In the follow-up study, we will carry out a multi-center study, further expand the sample size, and improve the clinical data by incorporating tumor genetics, hematology and cerebrospinal fluid to determine the factors affecting the survival of patients undergoing craniotomy. Meanwhile, we will collect all the data of patients with lung cancer BM as much as possible to present the comprehensive status of the patients in an effort to improve the prognosis of patients.

5 Conclusion

In summary, our study demonstrates the clinical characteristics and prognostic factors of patients undergoing craniotomy for LUAD BM. The intracranial location of BM was mostly in the frontal lobe and the metastatic lesions were mostly single; the metastatic tumor size was mostly between 2-5 cm. Moreover, nearly half of the patients had EGFR mutations and most had Ki67 > 30% and positive PD-L1. Few patients received lung tumor treatment before craniotomy, and only a minor subset continued tumor therapy after craniotomy. Although the 3-year survival was poor, surgical resection for patients who had no-smoking history, Ki67 percentage \leq 30%, KPS \geq 80 after craniotomy, and molecular targeted therapy after craniotomy can improve the prognosis and prolong the survival time.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethics Committee of Zhengzhou University People's Hospital, Henan province, China (Approval No.H2023-07-025). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardians/next of kin.

References

1. Eichler AF, Chung E, Kodack DP, Loeffler JS, Fukumura D, Jain RK. The biology of brain metastases-translation to new therapies. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* (2011) 8:344–56. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2011.58

2. Boire A, Brastianos PK, Garzia L, Valiente M. Brain metastasis. *Nat Rev Cancer*. (2020) 20:4–11. doi: 10.1038/s41568-019-0220-y

3. Zhang Q, Abdo R, Iosef C, Kaneko T, Cecchini M, Han VK, et al. The spatial transcriptomic landscape of non-small cell lung cancer brain metastasis. *Nat Commun.* (2022) 13:5983. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-33365-y

4. Liu W, Powell CA, Wang Q. Tumor microenvironment in lung cancer-derived brain metastasis. *Chin Med J (Engl).* (2022) 135:1781-91. doi: 10.1097/CM9.00000000002127

5. You M, Fu M, Shen Z, Feng Y, Zhang L, Zhu X, et al. HIF2A mediates lineage transition to aggressive phenotype of cancer-associated fibroblasts in lung cancer brain metastasis. *Oncoimmunology.* (2024) 13:2356942. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2024.2356942

6. Pellerino A, Bruno F, Rudà R, Soffietti R. Systemic therapy for lung cancer brain metastases. *Curr Treat Options Oncol.* (2021) 22:110. doi: 10.1007/s11864-021-00911-7

7. Zhou K, Cai X, Wang X, Lan X, Zhang X. Efficacy and safety of WBRT+EGFR-TKI versus WBRT only in the treatment of NSCLC patients with brain metastasis: An updated meta-analysis. *Thorac Cancer.* (2022) 13:563–70. doi: 10.1111/1759-7714.14299

8. Berger A, Mullen R, Bernstein K, Alzate JD, Silverman JS, Sulman EP, et al. Extended survival in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer-associated brain metastases in the modern era. *Neurosurgery*. (2023) 93:50–9. doi: 10.1227/ neu.00000000002372

Author contributions

ML: Data curation, Writing – original draft. ZL: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. HZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft. HW: Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. LS: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. TW: Conceptualization, Resources, Writing – original draft. SZ: Funding acquisition, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. LZ: Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

9. Lehrer EJ, McGee HM, Peterson JL, Vallow L, Ruiz-Garcia H, Zaorsky NG, et al. Stereotactic radiosurgery and immune checkpoint inhibitors in the management of brain metastases. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2018) 19:3054. doi: 10.3390/ijms19103054

10. Page S, Milner-Watts C, Perna M, Janzic U, Vidal N, Kaudeer N, et al. Systemic treatment of brain metastases in non-small cell lung cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. (2020) 132:187–98. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2020.03.006

11. Perng PS, Hsu HP, Lee PH, Huang CC, Lin CC, Lee JS. Correlation of EGFR mutation subtypes and survival in surgically treated brain metastasis from non-small-cell lung cancer. *Asian J Surg.* (2023) 46:269–76. doi: 10.1016/j.asjsur.2022.03.076

12. Wang B, Guo H, Xu H, Yu H, Chen Y, Zhao G. Research progress and challenges in the treatment of central nervous system metastasis of non-small cell lung cancer. *Cells.* (2021) 10:2620. doi: 10.3390/cells10102620

13. Chen M, Li H, Xu X, Bao X, Xue L, Ai X, et al. Identification of RAC1 in promoting brain metastasis of lung adenocarcinoma using single-cell transcriptome sequencing. *Cell Death Dis.* (2023) 14:330. doi: 10.1038/s41419-023-05823-y

14. Cagney DN, Martin AM, Catalano PJ, Redig AJ, Lin NU, Lee EQ, et al. Incidence and prognosis of patients with brain metastases at diagnosis of systemic Malignancy: a population-based study. *Neuro Oncol.* (2017) 19:1511–21. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/nox077

15. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2022. CA Cancer J Clin. (2022) 72:7–33. doi: 10.3322/caac.21708

16. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Wagle NS, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2023. CA Cancer J Clin. (2023) 73:17–48. doi: 10.3322/caac.21763

17. Shen H, Deng G, Chen Q, Qian J. The incidence, risk factors and predictive nomograms for early death of lung cancer with synchronous brain metastasis: a

retrospective study in the SEER database. BMC Cancer. (2021) 21:825. doi: 10.1186/ s12885-021-08490-4

18. Waqar SN, Samson PP, Robinson CG, Bradley J, Devarakonda S, Du L, et al. Non-small-cell lung cancer with brain metastasis at presentation. *Clin Lung Cancer*. (2018) 19:e373–9. doi: 10.1016/j.cllc.2018.01.007

19. Shi W, Wang Y, Xia W, Liu B, Ni M, Shen J, et al. Brain metastases from small cell lung cancer and non-small cell lung cancer: comparison of spatial distribution and identification of metastatic risk regions. *J Neurooncol.* (2023) 161:97–105. doi: 10.1007/s11060-022-04211-4

20. Shields PG. Molecular epidemiology of smoking and lung cancer. Oncogene. (2002) 21:6870-6. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1205832

21. Rigotti NA, Kruse GR, Livingstone-Banks J, Hartmann-Boyce J. Treatment of tobacco smoking: A review. JAMA. (2022) 327:566–77. doi: 10.1001/jama.2022.0395

22. Møller AM, Villebro N, Pedersen T, Tønnesen H. Effect of preoperative smoking intervention on postoperative complications: a randomised clinical trial. *Lancet.* (2002) 359:114–7. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)07369-5

23. Videtic GM, Stitt LW, Dar AR, Kocha WI, Tomiak AT, Truong PT, et al. Continued cigarette smoking by patients receiving concurrent chemoradiotherapy for limited-stage small-cell lung cancer is associated with decreased survival. *J Clin Oncol.* (2003) 21:1544–9. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2003.10.089

24. Baser S, Shannon VR, Eapen GA, Jimenez CA, Onn A, Lin E, et al. Smoking cessation after diagnosis of lung cancer is associated with a beneficial effect on performance status. *Chest.* (2006) 130:1784–90. doi: 10.1378/chest.130.6.1784

25. Samet JM. Tobacco smoking: the leading cause of preventable disease worldwide. *Thorac Surg Clin.* (2013) 23:103–12. doi: 10.1016/j.thorsurg.2013.01.009

26. Shenker RF, McTyre ER, Ruiz J, Weaver KE, Cramer C, Alphonse-Sullivan NK, et al. The Effects of smoking status and smoking history on patients with brain metastases from lung cancer. *Cancer Med.* (2017) 6:944–52. doi: 10.1002/cam4.1058

27. Yang C, Zhang J, Ding M, Xu K, Li L, Mao L, et al. Ki67 targeted strategies for cancer therapy. *Clin Transl Oncol.* (2018) 20:570–5. doi: 10.1007/s12094-017-1774-3

28. Berghoff AS, Ilhan-Mutlu A, Wöhrer A, Hackl M, Widhalm G, Hainfellner JA, et al. Prognostic significance of Ki67 proliferation index, HIF1 alpha index and microvascular density in patients with non-small cell lung cancer brain metastases. *Strahlenther Onkol.* (2014) 190:676–85. doi: 10.1007/s00066-014-0639-8

29. Mor V, Laliberte L, Morris JN, Wiemann M. The Karnofsky Performance Status Scale. An examination of its reliability and validity in a research setting. *Cancer.* (1984) 53:2002–7. doi: 10.1002/1097-0142(19840501)53:9<2002::aid-cncr2820530933>3.0.co;2-w

30. Liang L, Wen L, Qin S, He Z, Lu J, Cui R, et al. Poor Karnofsky performance status is not a contraindication for neurosurgical resection in patients with lung cancer brain metastases: a multicenter, retrospective PSM-IPTW cohort study. *J Neurooncol.* (2023) 162:327–35. doi: 10.1007/s11060-023-04293-8

31. Owonikoko TK, Arbiser J, Zelnak A, Shu HK, Shim H, Robin AM, et al. Current approaches to the treatment of metastatic brain tumours. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* (2014) 11:203–22. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2014.25

32. Patchell RA, Tibbs PA, Walsh JW, Dempsey RJ, Maruyama Y, Kryscio RJ, et al. A randomized trial of surgery in the treatment of single metastases to the brain. *N Engl J Med.* (1990) 322:494–500. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199002223220802

33. Swift PS, Phillips T, Martz K, Wara W, Mohiuddin M, Chang CH, et al. CT characteristics of patients with brain metastases treated in RTOG study 79-16. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. (1993) 25:209–14. doi: 10.1016/0360-3016(93)90341-r

34. Sankey EW, Tsvankin V, Grabowski MM, Nayar G, Batich KA, Risman A, et al. Operative and peri-operative considerations in the management of brain metastasis. *Cancer Med.* (2019) 8:6809–31. doi: 10.1002/cam4.2577

35. Sauvageot S, Mollevi C, Thomas QD, Charissoux M, Darlix A, Rigau V, et al. Prognostic impact of the number and total tumor burden of secondary cerebral lesions in patients with resected brain metastases of non-small cell lung cancers. *J Neurosurg.* (2024) 141:89–99. doi: 10.3171/2023.11.JNS231923

36. Pollock BE, Brown PD, Foote RL, Stafford SL, Schomberg PJ. Properly selected patients with multiple brain metastases may benefit from aggressive treatment of their intracranial disease. *J Neurooncol.* (2003) 61:73–80. doi: 10.1023/a:1021262218151

37. Howlader N, Forjaz G, Mooradian MJ, Meza R, Kong CY, Cronin KA, et al. The effect of advances in lung-cancer treatment on population mortality. *N Engl J Med.* (2020) 383:640–9. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1916623

38. Eichler AF, Kahle KT, Wang DL, Joshi VA, Willers H, Engelman JA, et al. EGFR mutation status and survival after diagnosis of brain metastasis in nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Neuro Oncol.* (2010) 12:1193–9. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noq076

39. Gow CH, Chien CR, Chang YL, Chiu YH, Kuo SH, Shih JY, et al. Radiotherapy in lung adenocarcinoma with brain metastases: effects of activating epidermal growth factor receptor mutations on clinical response. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2008) 14:162–8. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-1468