Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Antonio Mario Scanu, University of Sassari, Italy

REVIEWED BY

Connor J. Wakefield, Rush University, United States Xu Sheng, People's Hospital of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Shuangyi Tang tshy369@sina.com Jialiang Gan gjl5172@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 10 June 2024 ACCEPTED 31 October 2024 PUBLISHED 18 November 2024

CITATION

Xie H, Wei L, Tang S and Gan J (2024) Aminotransferase-to-lymphocyte ratio as a valuable prognostic marker for patients with stage I-III colorectal cancer: a retrospective study. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1446557. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1446557

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Xie, Wei, Tang and Gan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Aminotransferase-to-lymphocyte ratio as a valuable prognostic marker for patients with stage I-III colorectal cancer: a retrospective study

Hailun Xie^{1,2†}, Lishuang Wei^{1†}, Shuangyi Tang^{3*} and Jialiang Gan^{2,4*}

¹Department of Gastrointestinal and Gland Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital, Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, China, ²Guangxi Key Laboratory of Enhanced Recovery after Surgery for Gastrointestinal Cancer, The First Affiliated Hospital, Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, China, ³Department of Pharmacy, The First Affiliated Hospital, Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, China, ⁴Department of Colorectal and Anal Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital, Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, China

Background: There are no population-based studies on the prognostic value of the preoperative aminotransferase-to-lymphocyte ratio (AALR) in predicting recurrence and survival in patients with colorectal cancer (CRC) who have undergone curative resection.

Aim: This study explored the relationship between AALR and prognosis of CRC patients, specifically stage III CRC.

Methods: Restricted Cubic Splines were used to evaluate the relationship between AALR and outcomes. The survival curve was generated using the Kaplan-Meier method and the log-rank test. COX regression analysis was used to identify the independent prognostic factors of CRC patients. Logistic regression analysis was used to assess the independent risk factors affecting sarcopenia and postoperative complications. Concordance index and calibration curves were used to evaluate the discriminative ability of the prognostic nomograms. Finally, according to a ratio of 7:3, the total population was randomized into two cohorts to validate the practicability of the prognostic nomograms.

Results: In total, 1304 stage I-III CRC were enrolled in this study. There was a significant positive correlation between AALR and PFS/OS in CRC patients. The PFS/OS ratio of the high AALR group was significantly lower than that of the low AALR group. In the subgroup analysis, we found that the AALR significantly stratified the prognosis of patients with stage III CRC. A high AALR was still independently associated with poor PFS (HR = 1.335, 95% CI =1.075–1.657, p=0.009) and OS (HR = 1.382, 95% CI =1.139–1.677, p=0.001) in CRC patients. Variables with a value \leq 0.05 in multivariable analysis were incorporated into the

construction of prognostic nomograms for predicting 1-5 years PFS/OS of CRC patients. The results of the concordance index and calibration curves confirmed that these prognostic nomograms had a good prediction accuracy. In addition, we demonstrated the good predictive performance of these nomograms in a randomized internal validation cohort.

Conclusion: AALR is an effective prognostic marker for predicting long-term outcomes and could provide a valuable reference for sarcopenia and postoperative complications in CRC patients. AALR-based nomograms have good predictive accuracy and can help to develop individualized risk stratification, follow-up, and treatment strategies for CRC patients.

KEYWORDS

prognostic nomograms, aminotransferase-to-lymphocyte ratio, colorectal cancer, survival, sarcopenia, postoperative complications

Introduction

The overall incidence of global colorectal cancer (CRC) has risen to third, and its overall mortality has risen to second for global cancer death (1). In China, the population's burden of CRC is also not optimistic, with the incidence of CRC jumping to second and mortality to fifth, and these numbers continue to rise (2). If the prognosis of CRC patients can be accurately predicted, personalized postoperative treatment and follow-up plans can be developed, which can reduce both the financial burden and the side effects of drugs, improve the quality of life, and extend the survival time of patients. Despite advances in diagnostic and surgical techniques, clinical prognosis of patients with advanced CRC remains poor. The fiveyear survival rate of patients with early CRC can reach 90% after surgery, while five-year survival rate of patients with advanced CRC is less than 20% (3, 4). The American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging system, including treatment recommendations, has been widely validated and is the most commonly used staging method for CRC patients (5, 6). Owing to the heterogeneity of tumors, staging systems have certain limitations. Even with the same staging, the patient's prognosis may differ. Serum carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), a common tumor biomarker, is also considered an effective indicator for predicting the prognosis of CRC patients. However, more than 50% of CRC patients remain within the normal range (7, 8). Therefore, more effective prognostic indicators need to be explored to facilitate active intervention and increase the survival rate.

As an important characteristic of metastatic disease, inflammation promotes their occurrence and development (9, 10). Many hematological components have been combined to form inflammation-based prognostic scores to predict the prognosis of CRC patients (11–13). Recently, the aminotransferase-to-lymphocyte ratio (AALR) has attracted increased attention. Previous studies have reported that the AALR is a promising biomarker for evaluating the prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). A meta-analysis by Peng et al. (14), showed that an elevated AALR in patients with HCC predicted lower survival outcomes and was strongly associated with some important features of HCC. Qiu et al. (15), found that AALR combined with a CA199 blood test could effectively stratify the prognosis of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. Chen et al. (16) also found that preoperative AALR was a non-invasive, simple, and effective indicator for the prognostic evaluation of HCC patients.

CRC patients often experience reduced food intake, poor nutrient absorption, and systemic inflammatory responses. This leads to a state of high catabolism and low anabolism, resulting in progressive weight loss, including decreased muscle mass, reduced muscle strength, and impaired muscle function. Consequently, this can lead to sarcopenia, which is associated with poorer prognosis. Sarcopenia significantly affects the postoperative quality of life and prognosis of patients, potentially leading to prolonged hospital stays, increased medical costs, and even higher mortality rates. It is a well-known independent risk factor for poor outcomes in CRC patients (17–20). Inflammation is closely linked to sarcopenia, with chronic inflammation considered a key mechanism in its development (21–23). Therefore, AALR may also serve as a promising biomarker for predicting sarcopenia in CRC patients.

However, to our knowledge, there are no population-based studies on the prognostic value of preoperative AALR for predicting sarcopenia, recurrence, and survival in CRC patients who have undergone curative resection. The liver is well known to be the most common site of metastasis in CRC. Cancer cells often migrate to the liver through blood from the portal vein. Therefore, abnormal liver function in CRC patients may reflect hidden metastasis and poor prognosis. AALR combines aminotransferase and lymphocyte levels, which reflect liver function and inflammatory immune status, respectively, and may be a promising prognostic biomarker for CRC patients. This study aimed to explore the relationship between AALR and the prognosis of CRC patients, specifically stage III CRC. In addition, we developed a novel AARI- based prognostic model to accurately predict 1-5 years of clinical outcomes in CRC patients, providing a reference for individualized monitoring of CRC patients after surgery.

Patients and methods

Patients

In an observational study, from January 2012 to December 2015, patients with stage I-III CRC who received surgical treatment were retrospectively recruited from the Department of Colorectal and Anal Surgery, First Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University. Patients met the following inclusion criteria: 1) pathologically confirmed diagnosis of CRC, 2) primary tumors, 3) curative resection with a negative surgical margin, and 4) complete clinicopathological characteristics. Patients with hepatitis, liver disease, or obvious abnormal liver function; other malignancies, AIDS, recent acute infection, or high fever; or received neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy were excluded.

Data collection

Routine examination within one week before surgery: routine blood test, blood biochemical series test, chest X-ray examination, abdominal ultrasound examination, computer tomography (CT), or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Clinicopathological characteristics included demographic data, medical history, whole blood count, aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and serum CEA levels, tumor-nodes-metastasis stage (TNM stage), pathological tumor stage (pT stage), pathological node stage (pN stage), perineural invasion, vascular invasion, macroscopic type, differentiation, tumor location, tumor size, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, length of stay, and hospitalization cost. The TNM stage was based on AJCC cancer staging 8th edition. Body mass index (BMI) was defined as the weight (kg)/height squared (m^2). Normal CEA, <5.00 ng/ml; high CEA, ≥5.00 ng/ml. The equation for the AALR is as follows: AST/lymphocyte ratio.

Follow-up

The follow-up assessment included physical and blood tests, including tumor markers, and imaging examination (chest, abdomen, and pelvic CT or MRI. This was performed every 3 months in the first two years and then once every 6 months until 5 years after surgery. Colonic endoscopy was performed annually. The most recent follow-up date was February 2022. The median follow-up time was 67.37 months (95% CI:55.88 months -79.86 months).

Outcome

The main outcomes of this study were progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS). Secondary outcomes were

preoperative sarcopenia and postoperative complications. PFS refers to the time between the date of surgery and the patient's disease recurrence, death, or the last follow-up. OS was defined as the time between the date of surgery and the patient's death from any cause. According to the 2019 Asian Working Group on Sarcopenia (AWGS) diagnostic consensus on sarcopenia (24), skeletal muscle index (SMI) < 6.92 kg/m² in a man, and SMI < 5.13 kg/m^2 in a woman is considered sarcopenia. SMI was assessed using the validated equation of the Chinese population (25): SMI = $0.193 \times \text{ body weight (kg)} + 0.107 \times \text{height (cm)} - 4.157 \times \text{sex}$ (male = 1, female = 2) $-0.037 \times \text{age}$ (years) -2.631. Postoperative complications in CRC patients were strictly classified according to the modified Clavien complication grading system (26).

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were summarized using median (interquartile difference [IQRs]) or mean (standard deviation [SD]). The classification variable was summarized as frequency (percentage). The t-test was used to test the associations between continuous variables. The chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was used to test the associations between categorical variables. Based on the survival status of CRC patients, the optimal stratification method was used to determine the optimal threshold value of AALR. Restricted Cubic Splines (RCS) were used to evaluate the relationship between AALR and the outcomes of CRC patients. The survival curve was generated using the Kaplan-Meier method and the log-rank test. Univariate and multivariable analyses were performed to identify independent prognostic factors using the COX regression analysis. Logistic regression analysis was used to assess independent risk factors affecting sarcopenia and postoperative complications in CRC patients. Variables with a value ≤0.05 in multivariable analysis were incorporated into the construction of prognostic nomograms for predicting 1-5 years PFS/OS of CRC patients. The concordance index (C-index) was used to evaluate the discriminative ability of nomograms. Calibration curves were constructed to compare the predicted probability of PFS/OS with actual results. The model was verified internally through 1000 resampling. Finally, according to a ratio of 7:3, the total population was randomized into two cohorts to validate the practicability of the prognostic nomograms. In this study, a two-tailed p value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using the R language version 4.0.2 (http:// www.R-project.org).

Results

Demographic and clinicopathological characteristics

The baseline clinicopathological characteristics of the patients were shown in Table 1. Among the 1304 enrolled patients, 821

TABLE 1 The relationships between the AALR and clinicopathological characteristics of CRC patients.

		AA	-		
Clinicopathological characteristics	Overall (n = 1304)	Low (n = 1070)	High (n = 234)	P value	
Sex(Man)	821 (63.0)	657 (61.4)	164 (70.1)	0.016	
Age (mean (SD))	58.31 (13.00)	58.07 (12.83)	59.42 (13.71)	0.150	
BMI (median [IQR])	22.07 (20.00, 24.45)	22.15 (20.08, 24.57)	21.78 (19.53, 23.95)	0.017	
Hypertension (Yes)	218 (16.7)	178 (16.6)	40 (17.1)	0.941	
Diabetes (Yes)	82 (6.3)	72 (6.7)	10 (4.3)	0.210	
T stage (T3-4)	940 (72.1)	767 (71.7)	173 (73.9)	0.539	
N stage				0.890	
N0	764 (58.6)	627 (58.6)	137 (58.5)		
N1	351 (26.9)	290 (27.1)	61 (26.1)		
N2	189 (14.5)	153 (14.3)	36 (15.4)		
TNM stage (III-IV)				0.849	
Stage I	284 (21.8)	236 (22.1)	48 (20.5)		
Stage II	480 (36.8)	391 (36.5)	89 (38.0)		
Stage III	540 (41.4)	443 (41.4)	97 (41.5)		
Perineural invasion (Yes)	122 (9.4)	102 (9.5)	20 (8.5)	0.730	
Vascular invasion (Yes)	208 (16.0)	170 (15.9)	38 (16.2)	0.973	
Macroscopic type				0.593	
Protrude type	375 (28.8)	313 (29.3)	62 (26.5)		
Infiltrating type	102 (7.8)	81 (7.6)	21 (9.0)		
Ulcerative type	827 (63.4)	676 (63.2)	151 (64.5)		
Differentiation (Poor)	168 (12.9)	129 (12.1)	39 (16.7)	0.072	
Tumor location (Rectal)	687 (52.7)	565 (52.8)	122 (52.1)	0.910	
Tumor size (median [IQR])	4.50 (3.50, 6.00)	4.50 (3.50, 6.00)	4.00 (3.00, 5.88)	0.150	
CEA (High)	494 (37.9)	396 (37.0)	98 (41.9)	0.188	
Radiotherapy (Yes)	124 (9.5)	83 (7.8)	41 (17.5)	< 0.001	
Chemotherapy (Yes)	581 (44.6)	474 (44.3)	107 (45.7)	0.745	
Death (Yes)	457 (35.0)	360 (33.6)	97 (41.5)	0.028	
Length of stay (median [IQR])	17.00 (11.00, 21.00)	16.50 (11.00, 21.00)	17.00 (11.00, 21.00)	0.346	
Hospitalization cost (median [IQR])	49360.30 (44621.77, 55679.28)	49490.16 (44678.61, 55603.47)	48897.21 (44488.32, 56621.56)	0.673	

CRC, colorectal cancer; BMI, body mass index; AALR, aminotransferase-to-lymphocyte ratio.

(63.0%) were male and 483 (37.0%) were female. There were 284 cases (21.8%) in stage I, 480 (36.8%) in stage II, and 540 (41.4%) in stage III. The median age at CRC diagnosis was 58.31 years (\pm SD:13.00). There were 687 patients (52.7%) with rectal cancer and 617 (47.3%) with colon cancer. The median maximum tumour size was 4.50 cm (range 3.50 to 6.00 cm). Serum CEA levels were elevated in 494 CRC patients (37.9%). A total of 122 patients (9.4%) had perineural invasion, and 208 patients (16.0%) had vascular invasion. According to the optimal stratification method, the

optimal AALR threshold for predicting the postoperative prognosis of CRC patients was 16.43 (Supplementary Figure S1). All patients were then divided into two groups: a high AALR group (\geq 16.43, n=234) and a low AALR group (<16.43, n=1070). During the follow-up period, a total of 457 patients died, of whom 278 (60.8%) succumbed to disease recurrence and metastasis, while the remaining 179 (39.2%) died from other causes. Patients with high AALR had a higher risk of mortality compared to those with low AALR (41.5% vs 33.6%, p=0.028).

Relationship between AALR and PFS in CRC patients

After adjusting for confounding factors, there was a positive linear relationship between the AALR and adverse PFS in CRC patients (Supplementary Figure S2A). During follow-up, 308 patients (23.6%) developed recurrence, including 245 patients in the low AALR group (22.9% of the total low AALR group) and 63 patients in the high AALR group (26.9% of the total high AALR group). The Kaplan-Meier survival curve showed that PFS in the high AALR group was significantly lower than that in the low AALR group (55.1% vs. 63.6%, p=0.007) (Figure 1A). In the subgroup analysis, we found that AALR significantly stratified the prognosis of patients with stage III CRC (35.1% vs. 49.7%, p=0.008). However, no significant difference was observed in patients with stage I-II CRC (Supplementary Figures S3A-C). Univariate COX regression analysis showed that CRC patients with a high AALR had a 1.344 times greater risk of adverse PFS than CRC patients with a low AALR (HR = 1.344, 95% CI =1.084-1.668, p=0.007). In the subsequent multivariable COX regression analysis, high AALR was still independently associated with poor PFS in CRC patients (HR = 1.335, 95% CI =1.075-1.657, p=0.009) (Table 2). Fourteen clinical features (31 subgroups) were included in the multivariable Cox regression analysis. We found that a high AALR was a risk factor for PFS in CRC patients in most subgroups (Figure 2A).

Relationship between AALR and OS in CRC patients

There was a significant positive correlation between AALR and OS in CRC patients; that is, with an increase in AALR, the risk of adverse prognosis in CRC patients gradually increased (Supplementary Figure S2B). During follow-up, 457 patients (23.6%) died, including 360 in the low AALR group (33.6% of the total low AALR group) and 97 in the high AALR group (41.5% of the total high AALR group). The OS of the high AALR group was significantly lower than that of the low AALR group (58.5% vs.

66.4%, P =0.009) (Figure 1B). In addition, we performed a subgroup survival analysis of OS based on TNM stage. For stage I-II CRC patients, although patients in the high AALR group had a lower prognosis than those in the low AALR group, no significant difference was observed (Supplementary Figures S3D, E). For stage III CRC patients, the OS in the high AALR group was significantly lower than that in the low AALR group (40.2% vs. 52.6%, p<0.001) (Supplementary Figure S3F). In univariate COX regression analysis, AALR was closely correlated with the OS of CRC patients (HR = 1.723, 95% CI =1.434–2.071, p<0.001). Multivariable COX regression analysis suggested that AALR was independently associated with the OS of CRC patients (HR = 1.382, 95%CI, 1.139–1.677; p=0.001) (Table 3). In the subgroup multivariate CoX regression analysis of OS, a high AALR was a risk factor for OS in most of the subgroups (Figure 2B).

Relationship between AALR and sarcopenia in CRC patients

In this study, 241 CRC patients (18.5%) were diagnosed with sarcopenia. The incidence of sarcopenia in CRC patients with a low AALR was 16.9% compared to 25.6% in CRC patients with a high AALR. Univariate logistic regression analysis showed that a high AALR was significantly associated with sarcopenia in CRC patients (OR = 1.694, 95%CI, 1.213–2.366; p=0.002). Multivariable logistic regression analysis demonstrated that a high AALR was an independent risk factor for predicting sarcopenia in CRC patients (OR = 1.120–2.781, p=0.002) (Supplementary Table S1).

Relationship between AALR and postoperative complications in CRC patients

A total of 267 CRC patients (20.5%) experienced some degree of postoperative complications. There were 132 cases of grade I complications, 98 of grade II complications, 16 of grade IIIa



	Progression-free survival					
Clinicopathological characteristics	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis			
	HR (95%CI)	P value	HR (95%CI)	P value		
Age	1.356 (1.135-1.619)	0.001	1.496 (1.246 - 1.797)	<0.001		
T stage (T3-4)	2.034 (1.617-2.558)	<0.001	1.491 (1.167 - 1.905)	0.001		
N stage		<0.001		<0.001		
N0	Ref.					
N1	1.798 (1.46-2.214)	<0.001	1.607 (1.296 - 1.992)	<0.001		
N2	4.048 (3.25-5.042)	<0.001	3.264 (2.57 - 4.145)	<0.001		
Perineural invasion (Positive)	1.678 (1.292-2.179)	<0.001	1.006 (0.752 - 1.345)	0.970		
Vascular invasion (Positive)	1.995 (1.619-2.457)	<0.001	1.433 (1.129 - 1.818)	0.003		
Pathological type		0.006		0.119		
Protrude type						
Infiltrating type	1.539 (1.091-2.17)	0.014	1.439 (1.018 - 2.033)	0.039		
Ulcerative type	1.387 (1.119-1.719)	0.003	1.131 (0.907 - 1.41)	0.273		
Differentiation (High-medium)	0.699 (0.548-0.893)	0.004	0.849 (0.66 - 1.092)	0.201		
Tumor location (Colon cancer)	0.800 (0.67-0.956)	0.014	0.777 (0.648 - 0.932)	0.007		
CEA (≥5ng/ml)	1.7 (1.425-2.029)	<0.001	1.434 (1.195 - 1.722)	<0.001		
AALR (High)	1.344 (1.084-1.668)	0.007	1.335 (1.075 - 1.657)	0.009		

TABLE 2 Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis of clinicopathological characteristics associated with progression-free survival in CRC patients.

CRC, colorectal cancer; BMI, body mass index; AALR, aminotransferase-to-lymphocyte ratio.

complications, 10 of grade IIIb complications, 6 of grade IVa complications, and 4 of grade IVb complications. The incidence of postoperative complications in CRC patients in the low AALR group was 18.6%, while the incidence of postoperative complications in CRC patients in the high AALR group was 29.1%. Compared with patients with a low AALR, CRC patients in the high AALR group had an approximately 1.7 times higher risk of postoperative complications (OR = 1.793, 95% CI =1.300–2.473, p<0.001). Multivariable logistic regression analysis indicated that a high AALR was an independent risk factor for postoperative complications in CRC patients (OR = 1.781, 95% CI =1.286–2.468, p=0.001) (Supplementary Table S2).

Construction of the prognostic prediction models

We constructed a PFS nomogram (including age, T stage, N stage, vascular invasion, tumor location, CEA level, and AALR) based on all independent indicators in multivariable COX regression analysis of PFS (Figure 3A). The higher the total score, the worse the clinical prognosis. This nomogram can be used to predict 1-5 years PFS of CRC patients after surgery. The C-index of this nomogram was 0.686 (95% confidence interval [CI]:0.674–0.698). The three - and 5-year calibration curves showed good agreement between the predicted and

observed values (Supplementary Figures S4A, B). Similarly, we included significant variables in the multivariable COX regression analysis of OS to construct an OS nomogram, including age, T and N stages, vascular invasion, CEA level, and AALR (Figure 3B). The Cindex of the OS nomogram was 0.689 (95% CI:0.665-0.714). The calibration diagram demonstrated the best agreement between the predicted survival probability and actual observed values (Supplementary Figures S4C, D). The DCA showed that AALRbased nomograms provided better clinical benefits than traditional TNM stage for both PFS and OS in the 1-5 year period (Supplementary Figures S5A, B). We then performed a randomized internal validation. All patients were randomly assigned to two cohorts: validation cohort A (916 cases) and validation cohort B (388 cases) (using computer-generated random numbers). There was no significant difference in the clinicopathological characteristics between validation cohorts A and B (Supplementary Table S3). In validation cohort A, the C-indices of PFS and OS nomograms were 0.682 (95%CI:0.654-0.710) and 0.685 (95%CI:0.655-0.715), respectively. In validation cohort B, the Cindices of PFS and OS nomograms were 0.715 (95%CI:0.675-0.755) and 0.723 (95%CI:0.680-0.766), respectively. In addition, the calibration plots of PFS (Supplementary Figure S6A) and OS (Supplementary Figure S6B) at 3 and 5 years after surgery showed good agreement between the predicted and observed values in the validation datasets.

Subgroup	No.of	p value	1	The Hazard Ratio	Subgroup	No.of	p value	1	The Hazard
All Patients	495/1304	0.008		1.34 (1.08 to 1.67)	All Patients	457/1304	0.005	· · · · · ·	1.38 (1.10 to
Age					Age				
<60 yr	218/649	0.705		1.07 (0.75 to 1.54)	<60 yr	195/649	0.397		1.18 (0.81 to 1
≥60 yr	277/655	0.001	;	1.59 (1.20 to 2.11)	≥60 yr	262/655	0.003	;	1.55 (1.16 to 2
Sex			1		Sex			1	
Man	313/821	0.051	⊢ •−−1	1.31 (1.00 to 1.73)	Man	290/821	0.057	→	1.32 (0.99 to 1
Woman	182/483	0.125		1.35 (0.92 to 1.99)	Woman	167/483	0.061		1.47 (0.98 to 2
BMI					BMI				
Low	73/161	0.098		1.60 (0.92 to 2.78)	Low	68/161	0.079		1.67 (0.94 to 2
Normal	286/767	0.064	— •—-	1.32 (0.98 to 1.76)	Normal	266/767	0.091	↓ ●	1.30 (0.96 to 4
High	136/380	0.756		1.07 (0.68 to 1.70)	High	123/380	0.532		1.16 (0.72 to 1
Hypertension					Hypertension			1	
No	400/1086	0.039	→	1.30 (1.01 to 1.66)	No	369/1086	0.037	→	1.31 (1.02 to
Yes	95/218	0.083	•	1.58 (0.94 to 2.65)	Yes	88/218	0.033	•	+1.79 (1.05 to 3
Diabetes					Diabetes				
No	460/1222	0.017	—	1.32 (1.05 to 1.65)	No	424/1222	0.012	·	1.35 (1.07 to
Yes	35/82	0.289	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	► 1.85 (0.59 to 5.74)	Yes	33/82	0.164	•	► 2.25 (0.72 to
T stage					T stage				
T1-2	89/364	0.094			T1-2	78/364	0.098	· · · ·	1.61 (0.92 to
T3-4	406/940	0.022	¦	1.33 (1.04 to 1.71)	T3-4	379/940	0.014	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.38 (1.07 to
N stage					N stage				
N0	210/764	0.654		1.08 (0.76 to 1.54)	NO	189/764	0.494		1.14 (0.79 to
N1	154/351	0.012	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- 1.64 (1.12 to 2.42)	N1	142/351	0.015	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	→ 1.65 (1.10 to
N2	131/189	0.391	· · · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.22 (0.77 to 1.93)	N2	126/189	0.425	····	1.21 (0.76 to
Tumor location					Tumor location				
Rectal	282/687	0.043		1.37 (1.01 to 1.85)	Rectal	257/687	0.007	· · · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.53 (1.12 to
Colon	213/617	0.115		1.30 (0.94 to 1.81)	Colon	200/617	0.272		1.21 (0.86 to
Tumor size					Tumor size			1	
<5 cm	264/725	0.016	· · · · · ·	1.43 (1.07 to 1.91)	<5 cm	235/725	0.014		1.47 (1.08 to
≥5cm	231/579	0.407		1.16 (0.82 to 1.64)	≥5cm	222/579	0.239		1.24 (0.87 to
Perineural invasi	on				Perineural invas	ion			
Negative	430/1182	0.006	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1.38 (1.10 to 1.74)	Negative	397/1182	0.002	·	1.45 (1.14 to
Positive	65/122	0.498	•	0.77 (0.37 to 1.62)	Positive	60/122	0.308 -	•	0.65 (0.29 to
Vascular invasio	n		1		Vascular invasio	n		1	
Negative	379/1096	0.025	·	1.33 (1.04 to 1.71)	Negative	347/1096	0.018	·	1.37 (1.06 to
Positive	116/208	0.137	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- 1.47 (0.88 to 2.46)	Positive	110/208	0.13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- 1.51 (0.89 to 2
Pathological type					Pathological typ	e			
Protrude type	111/375	0.197	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.36 (0.85 to 2.15)	Protrude type	100/375	0.168	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.41 (0.86 to :
Infiltrating type	46/102	0.689	•	0.84 (0.36 to 1.95)	Infiltrating type	42/102	0.661 ⊢	•	0.81 (0.31 to
Ulcerative type	338/827	0.024	i → ●→	1.36 (1.04 to 1.78)	Ulcerative type	315/827	0.009	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.45 (1.10 to
Differentiation					Differentiation				
Medium/High	76/168	0.019	· · · · · ·	► 1.99 (1.12 to 3.53)	Medium/High	75/168	0.003		► 2.44 (1.36 to
Poor	419/1136	0.074		1.25 (0.98 to 1.59)	Poor	382/1136	0.075		1.26 (0.98 to
CEA					CEA				
Normal	261/810	0.045	—	1.38 (1.01 to 1.89)	Normal	238/810	0.052		1.39 (1.00 to
High	234/494	0.09		1.31 (0.96 to 1.80)	High	219/494	0.046		1.39 (1.00 to
	204/404	0.00		1.01 (0.00 10 1.00)	nign	2.10/404	0.040	-	1.55 (1.00 to

FIGURE 2

The association between AALR and hazard risk of survival in various subgroups. (A) Progression-free survival, (B) Overall survival). adjusted for gender, age, BMI, hypertension, diabetes, T stage, N stage, tumor location, tumor size, perineural invasion, vascular invasion, macroscopic type, differentiation, radiotherapy, chemotherapy.

Discussion

In the present study, we demonstrated for the first time that preoperative AALR is a potential predictor of PFS and OS in CRC patients, particularly in patients with stage III CRC. We also found that high AALR was an independent risk factor for predicting sarcopenia and postoperative complications in CRC patients. We constructed AALR-based prognostic nomograms to predict 1-5year PFS/OS in CRC patients. In addition, we demonstrated the good predictive performance of these nomograms in an internal validation cohort. These nomograms can provide individualized recommendations for the prognosis assessment of CRC patients.

Liver function plays an important role in the development of CRC. Most CRC deaths are associated with distant spread to the liver (27). Several large-scale studies have associated liver function markers with an increased risk of developing CRC (28). AST is a commonly used biomarker for hepatocyte injury (29). AST is also an important component of glycolysis in tumor cells, and abnormal tumor metabolism may lead to an increase in AST (30, 31). Tumor progression is associated with increased proliferation, cell turnover,



	Overall survival					
Clinicopathological characteristics	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis			
	HR (95%CI)	P value	HR (95%CI)	P value		
Age	1.453 (1.206-1.749)	<0.001	1.598 (1.319 - 1.935)	<0.001		
T stage (T3-4)	2.129 (1.668-2.717)	< 0.001	1.469 (1.133 - 1.905)	0.004		
N stage		< 0.001		< 0.001		
N0	Ref.					
N1	1.805 (1.452-2.244)	< 0.001	1.604 (1.281 - 2.009)	<0.001		
N2	4.144 (3.303-5.199)	<0.001	3.425 (2.679 - 4.378)	<0.001		
Perineural invasion (Positive)	1.633 (1.244-2.143)	< 0.001	0.965 (0.712 - 1.308)	0.820		
Vascular invasion (Positive)	2.024 (1.632-2.509)	< 0.001	1.419 (1.11 - 1.815)	0.005		
Pathological type		0.007		0.123		
Protrude type						
Infiltrating type	1.633 (1.244-2.143)	<0.001	1.457 (1.015 - 2.094)	0.042		
Ulcerative type	2.024 (1.632-2.509)	<0.001	1.153 (0.915 - 1.453)	0.227		
Differentiation (High-medium)	0.643 (0.502-0.824)	< 0.001	0.787 (0.609 - 1.016)	0.066		
CEA (≥5ng/ml)	1.218 (1.014-1.464)	0.035	1.1 (0.91 - 1.329)	0.327		
AALR (High)	1.723 (1.434-2.071)	<0.001	1.382 (1.139 - 1.677)	0.001		

TABLE 3 Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis of clinicopathological characteristics associated with overall survival in CRC patients.

CRC, colorectal cancer; BMI, body mass index; AALR, aminotransferase-to-lymphocyte ratio.

tissue damage, and necrosis. These pathological processes might lead to elevated AST levels. In addition, during tumorigenesis and development, tumor cells can trigger intracellular inflammatory processes, and systemic chronic inflammation in turn can promote tumor angiogenesis and inhibit tumor apoptosis (32, 33). In addition, systemic chronic inflammation can induce tumor epithelialmesenchymal transition (EMT) or reactive oxygen species (ROS), which are chemical substances that eventually lead to tumor formation, proliferation, metastasis and recurrence (34). Wandering and infiltrating lymphocytes reflect the patient's inflammatory state. In addition, lymphocytes are an important cellular component of the immune response and are involved in antitumor immune processes. The increase in tumor lymphocytes is related to the improvement of patient prognosis (35, 36). Combining the advantages of both, the AALR may be a promising prognostic marker in CRC patients.

Currently, clinicians still rely on routine pathological diagnoses such as tumor size, TNM stage, and distant metastasis. However, these depend on either expensive whole-body imaging or invasive surgical procedures. Therefore, it is of great significance to identify non-invasive biochemical markers for CRC patients. In this study, we found that the AALR was a useful predictor of long-term outcomes in CRC patients and had a good stratification effect in most subgroups. We found that patients with high AALR had poor DFS and OS, especially stage III patients, suggesting that AALR may be more suitable for patients with advanced CRC and minor metastases. These results indicate that the AALR is a reliable, objective, and effective prognostic indicator for CRC patients and can serve as a useful prognostic reference for CRC patients. High systemic inflammation increases the risk of malnutrition and postoperative complications in CRC patients. In our study, sarcopenia was present in approximately 16.9% of the CRC patients. A high AALR was an independent risk factor for sarcopenia in CRC patients. An increase in systemic inflammation could lead to the intensification of malnutrition in patients, leading to sarcopenia and even cachexia (37, 38). Postoperative complications could delay the length of hospital stay, increase the cost of hospitalization, reduce quality of life, and negatively affect patient survival of patients (39, 40). In this study, approximately 20.5% of the CRC patients experienced postoperative complications. Multivariable logistic regression analysis showed that a high AALR was independently associated with postoperative complications in CRC patients.

Single clinical indicators have certain limitations and may not comprehensively reflect the patient's prognosis. Therefore, we constructed prognostic nomograms based on the results of multivariable COX regression analysis. These nomograms combine the advantages of individual circumstances, tumor characteristics, serum tumor markers, and inflammation-related markers, which can provide individualized prognostic predictions for CRC patients. The results of the C-index and calibration diagram confirmed that these prognostic nomograms had a good prediction accuracy. Randomized internal validation proved that these nomograms have good utility. Compared to the traditional TNM staging system, we found that AALR-based nomograms provided better clinical benefits for both PFS and OS over a 1–5 year period. These nomograms can help to develop individualized risk stratification, follow-up, and treatment strategies for CRC patients.

We demonstrated for the first time that the AALR is a useful tool for predicting long-term outcomes in CRC patients. In addition, AALR could provide a valuable reference for sarcopenia and postoperative complications in CRC patients. We further constructed AALR-based prognostic nomograms, which can be more personalized and convenient to use in clinical practice. However, the current study has several limitations. First, this was a single-center retrospective study, with problems such as a small sample size and patient selection bias. These may have produced statistical deviations. Second, the AALR may be affected by factors such as preoperative laboratory hematological testing techniques and sample collection. Finally, this study lacked an independent validation cohort, which presents an additional limitation. Therefore, prospective, multicenter, large-sample studies should be conducted in the future to further determine the relationship between AALR and CRC patients.

Conclusion

The AALR is an effective prognostic marker for predicting longterm outcomes and could provide a valuable reference for sarcopenia and postoperative complications in CRC patients. AALR-based nomograms have good predictive accuracy and can be used to identify high-risk patients with poor outcomes.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

All included patients were over 18 years of age and voluntarily provided informed consent. This study strictly complied with the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University "(Registration number: NO.2022-KY-(043)). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

References

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* (2021) 71:209–49. doi: 10.3322/caac.21660

2. Zheng R, Zhang S, Zeng H, Wang S, Sun K, Chen R, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality in China, 2016. J Natl Cancer Center. (2022) 2:1-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jncc.2022.02.002

3. Kittrongsiri K, Wanitsuwan W, Prechawittayakul P, Sangroongruangsri S, Cairns J, Chaikledkaew U. Survival analysis of colorectal cancer patients in a Thai hospitalbased cancer registry. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2020) 14:291–300. doi: 10.1080/17474124.2020.1740087

Author contributions

HX: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Software, Writing – original draft. LW: Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Supervision. ST: Formal Analysis, Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Validation, Visualization. JG: Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization, Investigation.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the Guangxi College Students' Innovation and Entrepreneurship Training Program (No.202110598306), Guangxi medical and health appropriate technology development and application project (No. S2021095), and Young Elite Scientists Sponsorship Program by CAST (2022QNRC001).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1446557/ full#supplementary-material

4. Mangone L, Marinelli F, Bisceglia I, Braghiroli MB, Damato A, Pinto C. Five-year relative survival by stage of breast and colon cancers in northern Italy. *Front Oncol.* (2022) 12:982461. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.982461

5. Edge SB, Compton CC. The American Joint Committee on Cancer: the 7th edition of the AJCC cancer staging manual and the future of TNM. *Ann Surg Oncol.* (2010) 17:1471-4. doi: 10.1245/s10434-010-0985-4

6. Tong GJ, Zhang GY, Liu J, Zheng ZZ, Chen Y, Niu PP, et al. Comparison of the eighth version of the American Joint Committee on Cancer manual to the seventh version for colorectal cancer: A retrospective review of our data. *World J Clin Oncol.* (2018) 9:148–61. doi: 10.5306/wjco.v9.i7.148

7. Xie HL, Gong YZ, Kuang JA, Gao F, Tang SY, Gan JL. The prognostic value of the postoperative serum CEA levels/preoperative serum CEA levels ratio in colorectal cancer patients with high preoperative serum CEA levels. *Cancer Manag Res.* (2019) 11:7499–511. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S213580

8. Huang EY, Chang JC, Chen HH, Hsu CY, Hsu HC, Wu KL. Carcinoembryonic antigen as a marker of radioresistance in colorectal cancer: a potential role of macrophages. *BMC Cancer.* (2018) 18:321. doi: 10.1186/s12885-018-4254-4

9. Crusz SM, Balkwill FR. Inflammation and cancer: advances and new agents. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. (2015) 12:584-96. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2015.105

10. Hanahan D. Hallmarks of cancer: new dimensions. Cancer Discovery. (2022) 12:31-46. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-21-1059

11. Xie H, Ruan G, Ge Y, Zhang Q, Zhang H, Lin S, et al. Inflammatory burden as a prognostic biomarker for cancer. *Clin Nutr.* (2022) 41:1236–43. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2022.04.019

12. Xie H, Yuan G, Huang S, Kuang J, Yan L, Ruan G, et al. The prognostic value of combined tumor markers and systemic immune-inflammation index in colorectal cancer patients. *Langenbecks Arch Surg.* (2020) 405:1119–30. doi: 10.1007/s00423-020-01963-3

13. Yamamoto T, Kawada K, Obama K. Inflammation-related biomarkers for the prediction of prognosis in colorectal cancer patients. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 22(15):8002. doi: 10.3390/ijms22158002

14. Peng X, Huang Y, Zhang M, Chen Y, Zhang L, He A, et al. Prognostic and clinical significance of aspartate aminotransferase-to-lymphocyte ratio index in individuals with liver cancer: A meta-analysis. *Dis Markers*. (2022) 2022:3533714. doi: 10.1155/2022/3533714

15. Qiu H, Liu C, Huang M, Shen S, Wang W. Prognostic value of combined CA19-9 with aspartate aminotransferase to lymphocyte ratio in patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma after hepatectomy. *Cancer Manag Res.* (2021) 13:5969–80. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S320380

16. Chen Y, He C, Wen T, Yan L, Yang J. The prognostic value of aspartate aminotransferase-to-lymphocyte ratio index in early-stage hepatocellular carcinoma after hepatectomy: A propensity-score matched analysis. *Asia Pac J Clin Oncol.* (2021) 17:e238–e48. doi: 10.1111/ajco.13458

17. Park IK, Yang SS, Chung E, Cho ES, Lee HS, Shin SJ, et al. Skeletal muscle gauge as a prognostic factor in patients with colorectal cancer. *Cancer Med.* (2021) 10:8451–61. doi: 10.1002/cam4.v10.23

18. Feliciano EMC, Kroenke CH, Meyerhardt JA, Prado CM, Bradshaw PT, Kwan ML, et al. Association of systemic inflammation and sarcopenia with survival in nonmetastatic colorectal cancer: results from the C SCANS study. *JAMA Oncol.* (2017) 3:e172319. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.2319

19. Xie H, Wei L, Liu M, Yuan G, Tang S, Gan J. Preoperative computed tomography-assessed sarcopenia as a predictor of complications and long-term prognosis in patients with colorectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Langenbecks Arch Surg.* (2021) 406:1775–88. doi: 10.1007/s00423-021-02274-x

20. Xie H, Gong Y, Kuang J, Yan L, Ruan G, Tang S, et al. Computed tomographydetermined sarcopenia is a useful imaging biomarker for predicting postoperative outcomes in elderly colorectal cancer patients. *Cancer Res Treat.* (2020) 52:957–72. doi: 10.4143/crt.2019.695

21. Bano G, Trevisan C, Carraro S, Solmi M, Luchini C, Stubbs B, et al. Inflammation and sarcopenia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Maturitas*. (2017) 96:10–5. doi: 10.1016/j.maturitas.2016.11.006

22. Jimenez-Gutierrez GE, Martínez-Gómez LE, Martínez-Armenta C, Pineda C, Martínez-Nava GA, Lopez-Reyes A. Molecular mechanisms of inflammation in sarcopenia: diagnosis and therapeutic update. *Cells.* (2022) 11(15):2359. doi: 10.3390/ cells11152359

23. Pan L, Xie W, Fu X, Lu W, Jin H, Lai J, et al. Inflammation and sarcopenia: A focus on circulating inflammatory cytokines. *Exp Gerontol.* (2021) 154:111544. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2021.111544

24. Chen LK, Woo J, Assantachai P, Auyeung TW, Chou MY, Iijima K, et al. Asian working group for sarcopenia: 2019 consensus update on sarcopenia diagnosis and treatment. J Am Med Dir Assoc. (2020) 21:300–7.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2019.12.012

25. Wen X, Wang M, Jiang CM, Zhang YM. Anthropometric equation for estimation of appendicular skeletal muscle mass in Chinese adults. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr.* (2011) 20:551–6.

26. Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. *Ann Surg.* (2004) 240:205–13. doi: 10.1097/01.sla.0000133083.54934.ae

27. Engstrand J, Nilsson H, Strömberg C, Jonas E, Freedman J. Colorectal cancer liver metastases - a population-based study on incidence, management and survival. *BMC Cancer.* (2018) 18:78. doi: 10.1186/s12885-017-3925-x

28. He MM, Fang Z, Hang D, Wang F, Polychronidis G, Wang L, et al. Circulating liver function markers and colorectal cancer risk: A prospective cohort study in the UK Biobank. *Int J Cancer*. (2021) 148:1867–78. doi: 10.1002/ijc.v148.8

29. Ozer J, Ratner M, Shaw M, Bailey W, Schomaker S. The current state of serum biomarkers of hepatotoxicity. *Toxicology*. (2008) 245:194–205. doi: 10.1016/j.tox.2007.11.021

30. Greenhouse WV, Lehninger AL. Occurrence of the malate-aspartate shuttle in various tumor types. *Cancer Res.* (1976) 36:1392–6.

31. Scheipner L, Smolle MA, Barth D, Posch F, Stotz M, Pichler M, et al. The AST/ALT ratio is an independent prognostic marker for disease-free survival in stage II and III colorectal carcinoma. *Anticancer Res.* (2021) 41:429–36. doi: 10.21873/anticanres.14792

32. Mantovani A, Allavena P, Sica A, Balkwill F. Cancer-related inflammation. Nature. (2008) 454:436-44. doi: 10.1038/nature07205

33. Diakos CI, Charles KA, McMillan DC, Clarke SJ. Cancer-related inflammation and treatment effectiveness. *Lancet Oncol.* (2014) 15:e493–503. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70263-3

34. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell.* (2011) 144:646–74. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013

35. Fu J, Zhang Z, Zhou L, Qi Z, Xing S, Lv J, et al. Impairment of CD4+ cytotoxic T cells predicts poor survival and high recurrence rates in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology*. (2013) 58:139–49. doi: 10.1002/hep.26054

36. Loi S, Sirtaine N, Piette F, Salgado R, Viale G, Van Eenoo F, et al. Prognostic and predictive value of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in a phase III randomized adjuvant breast cancer trial in node-positive breast cancer comparing the addition of docetaxel to doxorubicin with doxorubicin-based chemotherapy: BIG 02-98. *J Clin Oncol.* (2013) 31:860–7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2011.41.0902

37. Jo E, Lee SR, Park BS, Kim JS. Potential mechanisms underlying the role of chronic inflammation in age-related muscle wasting. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* (2012) 24:412–22. doi: 10.1007/BF03654825

38. Peixoto da Silva S, Santos JMO, Costa ESMP, Gil da Costa RM, Medeiros R. Cancer cachexia and its pathophysiology: links with sarcopenia, anorexia and asthenia. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle.* (2020) 11:619–35. doi: 10.1002/jcsm.12528

39. Andalib A, Ramana-Kumar AV, Bartlett G, Franco EL, Ferri LE. Influence of postoperative infectious complications on long-term survival of lung cancer patients: a population-based cohort study. *J Thorac Oncol.* (2013) 8:554–61. doi: 10.1097/JTO.0b013e3182862e7e

40. Nachiappan S, Askari A, Malietzis G, Giacometti M, White I, Jenkins JT, et al. The impact of anastomotic leak and its treatment on cancer recurrence and survival following elective colorectal cancer resection. *World J Surg.* (2015) 39:1052–8. doi: 10.1007/s00268-014-2887-2