Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Francesco Pepe, University of Naples Federico II, Italy

REVIEWED BY Alberto Pavan,

Azienda ULSS 3 Serenissima, Italy Magdalena Knetki-Wróblewska, Maria Sklodowska-Curie National Research Institute of Oncology, Poland

*CORRESPONDENCE Sarah Sharman Moser moser_sa@mac.org.il

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 03 June 2024 ACCEPTED 01 October 2024 PUBLISHED 25 October 2024

CITATION

Apter L, Sharman Moser S, Arunachalam A, Gazit S, Hoshen M, Chodick G and Siegelmann-Danieli N (2024) Real-world treatment patterns, biomarker testing, and clinical outcomes of metastatic non-small cell lung cancer patients in the immunotherapy era. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1442909. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1442909

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Apter, Sharman Moser, Arunachalam, Gazit, Hoshen, Chodick and Siegelmann-Danieli. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Real-world treatment patterns, biomarker testing, and clinical outcomes of metastatic nonsmall cell lung cancer patients in the immunotherapy era

Lior Apter^{1,2†}, Sarah Sharman Moser^{3*†}, Ashwini Arunachalam⁴, Sivan Gazit³, Moshe Hoshen³, Gabriel Chodick⁵ and Nava Siegelmann-Danieli^{1,5}

¹Health Division, Maccabi Healthcare Services, Tel Aviv, Israel, ²Department of Health Policy and Management, School of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Be'er-Sheva, Israel, ³KSM Maccabi Institute for Research and Innovation, Maccabi Healthcare Services, Tel Aviv, Israel, ⁴Outcomes Research, Value & Implementation, Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, United States, ⁵Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

Background: Treatment for first-line (1L) metastatic non-small cell cancer (mNSCLC) changed with the introduction of immunotherapy. We describe treatment utilization and clinical outcomes in a real-world mNSCLC cohort in a 2.7-million-member state-mandated health provider.

Methods: Newly diagnosed mNSCLC patients initiating systemic anti-cancer treatment (January 2017–December 2020) were identified from the National Cancer Registry. Real-world time on treatment (rwToT) was defined as the length of time between the first and last administration date of treatment. Real-world overall survival (rwOS) was estimated using Kaplan–Meier analysis. Outcomes were assessed at a minimum of 6 months' follow-up (cutoff: 30 June 2021).

Results: Among 843 patients, 85% had adenocarcinoma (NSQ) and 15% had squamous cell carcinoma (SQ) histology: of these, 43% and 26% were women, median age was 67 and 69 years, and 55% and 48% had 0-1 ECOG performance status, respectively (missing: 27% and 30%, respectively). Median follow-up for the entire cohort was 27.1 months (95% CI: 24.7-29.6). NSQ patients with no known EGFR/ALK/ROS1 aberrations received PD-1 inhibitor monotherapy (PDM) (N = 147) or combination (PDC) (N = 194) or platinum-based chemotherapy (PBC, N = 133). Median rwToT was 4.5 (95% CI: 3.5-7.6), 5.2 (95% CI: 4.6-7.6), and 2.3 (95% CI: 2.1-3.0) months, respectively; for the subgroup of patients with ECOG PS 0-1, rwToT was 9.4 (95% CI: 5.0-20.8), 7.1 (95% CI: 5.0-10.1), and 2.9 (95% CI: 2.2-4.1) months, respectively. Median rwOS from 1L was 12.5 (95% CI: 9.9-17.9), 14.8 (95% CI: 10.5-19.4), and 9.1 (95% CI: 7.1-11.5) months; for the subgroup of patients with ECOG PS 0-1, median rwOS was 25.1 [95% CI: 14.9not reached (NR)], 17.6 (95% CI: 14.3-NR), and 11.3 (95% CI: 9.2-21.3) months, respectively. For ECOG PS 0–1 and PD-L1 >50% patients, median rwOS was 25.1 months (95% CI: 13.9-NR) and NR for PDM and PDC, respectively. For ECOG PS 0-1 and PD-L1 <50% patients, median rwOS was 14.3 (95% CI: 10.1-NR) and 11.2 (95% CI: 9.1-21.3) months for PDC and PBC, respectively.

Conclusion: Our real-world data support the benefit of single-agent PD-1 inhibitor monotherapy for patients with PD-L1 high expression or PD-1 inhibitor combination for all patients diagnosed with mNSCLC with no known EGFR/ALK/ROS1 aberrations, initiating 1L treatment.

KEYWORDS

non-small cell lung cancer, tyrosine kinase inhibitors, survival, EGFR mutation, PD-L1 Inhibitors

1 Introduction

Lung cancer is the second most common type of cancer diagnosed globally and the leading cause of cancer death worldwide (1-3). Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) accounts for approximately 80%-90% of all lung cancers (2, 3) and includes squamous cell carcinoma, non-squamous carcinoma (adenocarcinomas, large cell, and undifferentiated carcinoma), and not otherwise specified (<5%) (4). Five-year survival for metastatic NSCLC (mNSCLC) patients was reported as only 6%-7% in a large database of patients diagnosed between 1999 and 2010, and an increase in survival has been seen from 2014 to 2018, due to several factors, including decrease in smoking and improved treatment options (2, 5, 6). Prior to introduction of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), median real-world overall survival (rwOS) for mNSCLC patients receiving platinum-based chemotherapy (PBC) has been poor (8.5 to 10 months), with a need for better and effective treatment options (7-9).

Targeted therapies and ICI, specifically programmed death-1/ programmed death-ligand1 (PD-1/PD-L1) inhibitors, have become available over the last decade with the first ICI approved in 2015 for previously treated unresectable advanced/metastatic NSCLC (10). Over the years, pivotal clinical studies have demonstrated improved overall survival (OS) in patients treated with ICI versus PBC: In Keynote-407, median rwOS at 5 years follow-up for patients with squamous cell mNSCLC receiving pembrolizumab in combination with PBC was 17.2 (14.4 to 19.7) months vs. 11.6 (10.1 to 13.7) months with PBC alone (11); in Keynote-189, median rwOS at 5 years follow-up for patients with non-squamous mNSCLC was 22.0 (19.5 to 24.5) months with pembrolizumab in combination with PBC vs. 10.6 (8.7 to 13.6) months with PBC alone; in Keynote 024 trial, the median rwOS at 5 years follow-up for mNSCLC patients with programmed deathligand 1 (PD-L1) tumor proportion score (TPS) \ge 50% was 26.3 (18.3 to 40.4) months with pembrolizumab monotherapy vs. 13.4 (9.4 to 18.3) months with PBC alone (12, 13).

The guideline recommendations for systemic anti-cancer therapy for mNSCLC vary according to tumor histology and oncogenic actionable driver mutation status allowing for a personalized approach to treating the tumor (14, 15). National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines recommend that patients newly diagnosed with mNSCLC testing positive for actionable molecular biomarker or genomic tumor driver mutation, such as epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK), or proto-oncogene tyrosineprotein kinase ROS enzyme (ROS1), be treated with a tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) specific to the particular mutation or molecular biomarker-directed therapy (15). For patients with no known actionable genomic tumor driver mutations or molecular biomarkers, ICIs, specifically PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy or in combination with PBC (PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination), are recommended.

The first PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor single agent approved in Israel for mNSCLC was nivolumab monotherapy for second-line (2L) treatment and has been reimbursed in Israel since January 2016 (16). Based on the Keynote-024 trial, pembrolizumab has been reimbursed in Israel since January 2017, for first-line (1L) treatment as single-agent therapy for mNSCLC tumors with PD-L1 TPS ≥50% and no actionable mutations (EGFR/ALK) (17, 18). In January 2019, pembrolizumab in combination with chemotherapy was approved and reimbursed for 1L treatment of advanced NSCLC, regardless of PD-L1 expression level, based on Keynote-189 and Keynote-407 (11, 19-24). Atezolizumab monotherapy has been reimbursed in Israel since January 2020 for 2L treatment after PBC, and since January 2021 for 1L treatment of mNSCLC as monotherapy for patients with high PD-L1 expression (≥50%) and in combination with chemotherapy regardless of PD-L1 expression level based on the Impower110 study (25, 26).

Information from a real-world setting is important to understand the clinical effectiveness of PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors in a wider population of patients beyond those considered eligible and included within clinical trials [Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status (ECOG PS) 2 and beyond, comorbidities, etc.] (27). Since the approval of ICIs in 2016, cohort studies leveraging data from US community centers have demonstrated a median rwOS ranging from 16 to 21 months for 1L PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy and 15 to 19 months for 1L PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination with PBC (28, 29). Similarly, a retrospective study conducted in the Netherlands reported a median rwOS of 15.8 months (95% CI: 9.4–22.1) for 1L PD-1/ PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy (30). A recent study conducted in Israel using electronic medical records from four Israeli cancer centers between the years 2016 and 2020 (N = 256, median followup of 22.3 months) have demonstrated a median rwOS of 12.5 (95% CI: 9.8–16.4) months and 20.4 [95% CI: 10.8–not reached (NR)] months in PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy and PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination with chemotherapy group, respectively (31). This study had a relatively low number of patients (N = 256) and a significantly shorter follow-up for patients receiving PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination therapy.

This real-world study builds on a previous study that reported evolving treatment patterns since the introduction of ICIs in Israel and reported high adherence to treatment guidelines (32). The objective of the present retrospective study was to further describe biomarker testing and treatment patterns by histology and evaluate OS for patients with no known actionable driver mutations and receiving 1L therapy since the approval of ICIs in Israel.

2 Methods

2.1 Data source

This retrospective cohort study was conducted on anonymized records derived from the computerized databases of Maccabi Healthcare Services (MHS), a nationwide healthcare insurerprovider. MHS has approximately 2.7 million members, representing over a quarter of the Israeli population and shares similar sociodemographic characteristics with the general population (33). The MHS database contains longitudinal data that are collected since 1993 for a stable population (with less than 1% of members moving out each year), including laboratory results from a single central laboratory, pharmacy prescription and purchase data, hospitalizations, procedures, and consultations. MHS uses the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) coding systems, as well as self-developed coding systems to provide more granular diagnostic information. Procedures are coded using Current Procedural Terminology codes. MHS has developed several computerized registries of major chronic diseases, such as cardiovascular disease, hypertension, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic kidney disease, oncologic diseases, diabetes mellitus, and osteoporosis, to improve the quality of chronic care delivery to its members. The registries are continuously updated, and they identify patients via automatic search formulas, as opposed to being dependent solely upon active reporting by physicians (34-36).

In addition, data that were not available in the main database such as disease staging, imaging results [x-ray, computed tomography (CT), positron emission tomography-computed tomography (PET-CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)], histological type, metastases location, ECOG PS results, or genomic tumor driver mutation status were manually extracted from individual de-identified medical letters received from hospitalization discharge, day treatment in oncology units, and drug requests to the MHS Medication Approval Committee in MHS.

2.2 Study population

In this retrospective cohort study, we identified MHS members with a confirmed diagnosis of mNSCLC (from the MHS cancer registry or ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes in the MHS electronic database), between 1 January 2017 and 31 December 2020. The MHS cancer registry is compiled from pathology results from diagnosed cancer cases and from cancer treatment approvals by the MHS Medication Approval Committee.

Patients were included if they were at least 18 years of age at diagnosis, had at least 1 year of continuous healthcare enrollment in MHS before diagnosis date (to allow complete collection of baseline characteristics), and initiated systemic 1L treatment. Data were collected until 30 June 2021 to allow for at least 6 months of follow-up. Index date was set as the date of 1L treatment initiation.

2.3 Study variables

Demographic and clinical data collected included age at index, sex, socioeconomic status, district, prevalence of comorbid conditions, body mass index (BMI), and smoking status. Socioeconomic status was categorized into quartiles based on the poverty index of the member's enumeration area at the neighborhood level (37, 38). The poverty index is based on several parameters including household income, educational level, crowding, physical conditions, and car ownership. BMI was defined as the closest to the index date within the 5-year period before the index date. Smoking data were collected from physician reporting and classified into ever, never, or unknown.

Comorbidities at baseline were identified using MHS registries (diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease, hypertension, osteoporosis, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) (34–36). The Deyo-Charlson comorbidity index (CCI), using ICD-9-CM codes and MHS registries to determine presence/absence of disease, was calculated (39). Imaging (x-ray, CT, PET-CT, and MRI) results, histology based on biopsy results (squamous cell, adenocarcinoma, and other), metastases location, genomic driver mutation testing, and PD-L1 testing were collected. PD-L1 testing results were categorized as PD-L1 TPS \geq 50% or PD-L1 TPS <50% and type of assay was reported where recorded. Patients who tested negative for EGFR/ALK/ROS1 driver mutations or untested were defined as negative/unknown mutation status. ECOG PS was based on physician reporting in the medical records at baseline.

2.4 Treatment patterns

Treatment lines were defined according to the sequence of dispensed medications, with information captured both from the health maintenance organization (HMO) pharmacy database and from hospital medical records (including information on medications provided by private insurance and clinical studies). To capture combination regimens, medication(s) prescribed to the patient as written in medical letters and validated with purchase data within the first month (30 days) was considered to be within the same line of therapy. Addition of a new drug to a current regimen was considered a new treatment line, and cessation of a medication from a combination regimen (likely due to tolerance issues) was considered the same line.

Treatment patterns were described as changes from 1L, including moving to 2L and treatment discontinuation. Among patients who did not move to 2L during follow-up, discontinuation was defined as a treatment gap of >120 days from 1L date of administration or dispense + 1 day. Treatment gaps within each line were treatment interruption rather than discontinuation. Treatment patterns are presented by a class of anti-cancer therapy drugs that included PBC combination with or without vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibitors, TKI therapy, PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy, and PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor therapy in combination).

2.5 Statistical analysis

Descriptive analyses were conducted to evaluate the demographic, clinical characteristics, and treatment patterns for the whole study cohort by histology (squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma). Categorical variables were reported as frequency and percentage, and continuous variables were reported as median [interquartile range (IQR)].

Outcomes were reported for a subcohort of patients with adenocarcinoma histology without actionable mutations. Time to event analysis for real-world time on treatment (rwToT) and rwOS was assessed using Kaplan–Meier analysis, and median time to event with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) is presented. For rwToT analysis, individuals were followed from the index date until the outcome (discontinuation of treatment), death, loss to follow-up, or end of follow-up period (30 June 2021), whichever occurred first. Patients were considered discontinued if they had a gap of 120 days or more since last dispensing or switched to 2L treatment.

Real-world OS was assessed using the all-cause mortality data from the National Insurance Institute. Individuals were followed from the index date until death, loss to follow-up, or end of study period (30 June 2021), whichever occurred first. All analyses are presented for 1L treatment. In a sub-analysis, rwToT and rwOS were assessed for patients with ECOG PS 0–1 and were further stratified by PD-L1 TPS <50% and PD-L1 TPS ≥50%.

All analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp and R version 3.5.1.

The study was approved by the local ethics review board of MHS in Israel.

3 Results

3.1 Whole study cohort—demographic, testing, and treatment patterns

This cohort consisted of 843 patients with histologically confirmed mNSCLC who initiated 1L treatment within the index period (1 January 2017 to 31 December 2020), with 85% (n = 714) adenocarcinoma and 15% (n = 1,295) squamous cell carcinoma. Data cutoff date was 30 June 2021. Median follow-up for the entire cohort was 27.1 (95% CI: 24.7–29.6) months.

For patients with adenocarcinoma, median age at the index date was 67 years (IQR 61–74), 43.1% were women, 72.7% were confirmed smokers, 22.1% had brain metastases, and 54.8% had ECOG PS 0–1. A total of 84.2% were tested for PD-L1, 92.2% tested for EGFR mutation, 82.5% tested for ALK translocation, and 71.3% tested for ROS1 translocation (Table 1). PD-L1 testing rates increased from 75.2% in 2017 to 85.6% in 2020, and most patients (76%) were tested within 30 days of mNSCLC diagnosis, with the majority of patients (93.2%) testing prior to 1L initiation (data not shown), and 35% of patients had a PD-L1 TPS \geq 50% (Table 1). Most patients (63%) used the Dako 22C3 assay for PD-L1 test (others unknown).

For patients with squamous cell carcinoma, median age at index date was 69 years (IQR: 64–74), 26.4% were women, 91.5% were confirmed smokers, 10.9% had brain metastases, and 48.1% had ECOG PS 0–1 (Table 1). A total of 89.9% tested for PD-L1 expression, 84.5% tested for EGFR mutation, 34.9% tested for ALK translocation, and 26.4% tested for ROS1 translocation (Table 1). PD-L1 testing increased from 82.8% in 2017 to 96.6% in 2020, and most patients (67%) were tested within 30 days of mNSCLC diagnosis, with the majority of patients (90.2%) testing prior to 1L initiation (data not shown) and 37% of patients had a PD-L1 TPS \geq 50% (Table 1). Overall, pembrolizumab was the most used PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor therapy (97.7%) as monotherapy or in PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination therapy (data not shown). For treatment patterns for 1L and 2L treatment irrespective of biomarker status, see Supplementary Tables 2, 3.

3.2 Subcohort of patients with no actionable mutations

A total of 486 and 125 patients had adenocarcinoma or squamous cell carcinoma with no actionable driver mutations respectively (for demographic baseline data for patients with no actionable mutation, see Supplementary Table 1).

During the years 2017–2018, most patients with adenocarcinoma or squamous cell carcinoma with no actionable mutations and PD-L1 TPS \geq 50% received PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy (96.8% and 91.3% respectively; Tables 2A, B). Since 2019, treatment was either PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy (48.5% and 50.0% respectively) or PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination (49.5% and 37.5% respectively) for patients with PD-L1 TPS \geq 50%. Among patients with adenocarcinoma or squamous cell carcinoma with no actionable mutations and PD-L1 TPS <50%, patients mostly received PBC combination from 2017 to 2018 (85.7% and 78.3%, respectively) and received PD-1/ PD-L1 inhibitor combination from 2019 to 2020 (90.8% and 81.0%, respectively, Tables 2A, B).

For patients with adenocarcinoma:

Median rwToT was 4.5 (95% CI: 3.5–7.6), 5.2 (95% CI: 4.6–7.6), and 2.3 months (95% CI: 2.1–3.0) for PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor

	Adenocarcinoma n = 714	Squamous cell carcinoma n = 129					
Age at index, median [IQR]	67.00 [61.00, 74.00]	69.00 [64.00, 74.00]					
18-34	3 (0.4)						
35-64	282 (39.5)	36 (27.9)					
65-74	259 (36.3)	62 (48.1)					
75+	170 (23.8)	31 (24.0)					
Female sex, n (%)	308 (43.1)	34 (26.4)					
District of residence, n	(%)						
Center	446 (62.5)	84 (65.1)					
North	138 (19.3)	26 (20.2)					
South	130 (18.2)	19 (14.7)					
Socioeconomic level, I	n (%)						
Low	266 (37.3)	50 (38.8)					
Medium	157 (22.0)	23 (17.8)					
High	291 (40.8)	56 (43.4)					
Comorbidities							
Deyo Charlson comorbidity index ¹ mean (SD)	3.88 (3.35)	4.23 (3.36)					
≤0	150 (21.0)	16 (12.4)					
1–2	179 (25.1)	37 (28.7)					
3-6	206 (28.9)	41 (31.8)					
7+	179 (25.1)	35 (27.1)					
Diabetes mellitus	173 (24.2)	49 (38.0)					
Cardiovascular disease	211 (29.6)	53 (41.1)					
Hypertension	358 (50.1)	71 (55.0)					
Depression	149 (20.9)	28 (21.7)					
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	112 (15.7)	49 (38.0)					
Osteoporosis	183 (25.6)	33 (25.6)					
Smoking ever—yes, n (%)	519 (72.7)	118 (91.5)					
Body mass index, median [IQR]	25.76 [23.12, 29.42]	25.51 [22.33, 29.36]					
ECOG performance status, n (%)							
0-1	391 (54.8)	62 (48.1)					
2	88 (12.3)	19 (14.7)					
3-4	43 (6.0)	9 (7.0)					
Missing	192 (26.9)	39 (30.2)					

TABLE 1 Baseline demographic characteristics of patients diagnosed with metastatic NSCLC by histology.

TABLE 1 Continued

	Adenocarcinoma n = 714	Squamous cell carcinoma n = 129							
Metastases, n (%)	Metastases, n (%)								
Brain	158 (22.1)	14 (10.9)							
Lymph nodes	519 (72.7)	89 (69.0)							
Liver	129 (18.1)	29 (22.5)							
Adrenal glands	111 (15.5)	17 (13.2)							
Bone	317 (44.4)	43 (33.3)							
Tested for PD-L1 expression, <i>n</i> (%)	601 (84.2)	116 (89.9)							
PD-L1 expression leve	ls								
<50%	344 (48.2)	68 (52.7)							
≥50%	252 (35.3)	48 37.2)							
Missing	118 (16.5)	13 (10.1)							
Genomic tumor driver	mutation testing								
Tested for EGFR mutation, n (%)	658 (92.2)	109 (84.5)							
Wild type	493 (69.0)	106 (82.2)							
Mutant	163 (22.8)	3 (2.3)							
Missing	58 (8.1)	20 (15.5)							
Tested for ALK translocation, <i>n</i> (%)	589 (82.5)	45 (34.9)							
Wild type	544 (76.2)	45 (34.9)							
Mutant	45 (6.3)								
Missing	125 (17.5)	84 (65.1)							
Tested for ROS1 translocation, <i>n</i> (%)	509 (71.3)	34 (26.4)							
Wild type	493 (69.0)	34 (26.4)							
Mutant	16 (2.2)								
Missing	205 (28.7)	95 (73.6)							

¹excluding HIV and malignancy. ALK, anaplastic lymphoma kinase; BRAF, proto-oncogene B-Raf; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor mutations; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; IQR, interquartile range; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; PD-L1, programmed death-ligand 1; ROS1, ROS proto-oncogene-1 receptor tyrosine kinase; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitors; T790M, Thr790Met.

monotherapy, PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination, and PBC combination, respectively, for patients with overall ECOG PS (Table 3; Figure 1).

For a subgroup of patients with ECOG 0-1, rwToT was 9.4 (95% CI: 5.0-20.8), 7.1 (95% CI: 5.0-10.1), and 2.9 (95% CI: 2.2-4.1) months for patients who received PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy, PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination, and PBC combination, respectively. For patients with ECOG PS 0-1 and PD-L1 TPS $\geq\!50\%$ rwToT was 7.9 (95% CI: 4.4–20.7) and 9.9

(Continued)



Kaplan–Meier plot depicting real-world time on treatment from first-line treatment initiation for adenocarcinoma mNSCLC patients without genomic tumor driver mutations, by treatment class. (A) Overall, (B) ECOG PS 0–1, (C) PD-L1 <50%, (D) PD-L1 \geq 50%. Results presented are descriptive with no statistical comparisons.

months (95% CI: 7.8–NR) for PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy and PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination, respectively. For patients with ECOG PS 0–1 and PD-L1 TPS <50%, rwToT was 5.0 (95% CI: 3.5–8.5) and 2.8 months (95% CI: 1.9–4.2) for PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination and PBC, respectively (Table 3; Figure 1).

Median rwOS was 11.3 months (95% CI: 9.9–13.4) for the overall adenocarcinoma cohort with no actionable mutations, and 12.5 (95% CI: 9.9–17.9), 14.8 (95% CI: 10.5–19.4), and 9.1 (95% CI: 7.1–11.5) months for patients who received PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy, PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination, and PBC combination, respectively (Table 4). Furthermore, for the subgroup of patients with ECOG PS 0–1, median rwOS was 25.1 (95% CI: 14.9–NR), 17.6 (95% CI: 14.3–NR), and 11.3 (95% CI: 9.2–21.3) months for those who received PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy, PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination, and PBC combination respectively (Table 4).

For patients with ECOG PS 0–1 and PD-L1 TPS \geq 50%, median rwOS was 25.1 months (95% CI: 13.9–NR) and NR for PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy and PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination, respectively (Table 4). At 24 months, 50.3% and 58.2% of patients who received PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy and PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination, respectively, were still alive. For patients with ECOG PS 0–1 and PD-L1 TPS <50%, median rwOS was 14.3 (95% CI: 10.1–NR) and 11.2 (95% CI: 9.1–21.3) months for PD-1/ PD-L1 inhibitor combination and PBC, respectively (Table 4; Figure 2).

A total of 190 (39.1%) patients with adenocarcinoma without actionable mutations continued to receive 2L treatment (data not shown). Clinical outcomes for squamous cell carcinoma patients with no actionable mutation are not presented due to the small sample size (<50).

4 Discussion

This retrospective study described baseline characteristics, biomarker testing patterns, treatment patterns, and outcomes for a real-world cohort of unselected patients diagnosed with mNSCLC between 2017 and 2020 after availability of ICIs in a large Israeli healthcare service.

Majority of the patients in this cohort had adenocarcinoma histology (85%), similar to other recent real-world studies (28, 30, 31, 40–42). The squamous cell cohort patients were slightly older, had a higher comorbidity index and lower performance status, and were more likely to be confirmed smokers compared to patients with adenocarcinoma. The median age and smoking status in the overall cohort were similar to other studies (9, 28, 30, 31, 43–47).

EGFR mutations, ALK, and ROS1 translocations were identified almost exclusively in patients with adenocarcinoma in line with reported scientific literature (48, 49). PD-L1 testing was approved and reimbursed in the Israel National Formulary in January 2017, and we found high rates of testing, increasing from 2017 to 2020, with the majority of testing carried out within 30 days of diagnosis and before the initiation of 1L treatment in line with testing and treatment guidelines (50). We found a third of the patients with PD-L1 TPS \geq 50%, which is consistent with and similar to published literature (32, 41, 51).

1L treatment patterns were consistent with national treatment guidelines and the list of reimbursed drugs included in the Israel national formulary. Patients with an actionable molecular biomarker or genomic tumor driver mutation mostly received TKIs, and patients with no actionable mutations and with PD-L1 TPS \geq 50% mostly received PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy

TABLE 2A First-line treatment patterns by PD-L1 testing status for adenocarcinoma mNSCLC patients without genomic tumor driver mutations, n = 486.

		Platinum-based chemotherapy n (%)	PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy	PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor therapy with chemotherapy	Total*
DD II TDC -50	2017-2018	90 (85.7)	4 (3.8)	5 (4.8)	105
PD-LI IP3<50	2019-2020	8 (5.7)	2 (1.4)	128 (90.8)	141
	2017-2018		90 (96.8)	2 (2.2)	93
2019–2020	1 (1.0)	49 (48.5)	50 (49.5)	101	
Unknown	2017-2018	26 (86.7)	1 (3.3)	1 (3.3)	30
2019–2020	2019-2020	7 (43.8)	1 (6.3)	8 (50.0)	16
Total 2	2017-2018	117 (51.3)	95 (41.7)	8 (3.5)	228
	2019-2020	16 (6.2)	52 (20.2)	186 (72.1)	258

*Includes patients who received "other" treatment.

TABLE 2B First-line treatment patterns by PD-L1 testing status for squamous cell mNSCLC patients without genomic tumor driver mutations, n = 125.

		Platinum-based chemotherapy n (%)	PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy	PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor therapy with chemotherapy	Total*
DD II TDS -50	2017-2018	18 (78.3)	2 (8.7)	1 (4.3)	23
FD-LI IF3<30	2019-2020	8 (19.0)	0 (0.0)	34 (81.0)	42
	2017-2018	1 (4.3)	21 (91.3)	1 (4.3)	23
2019-2020	2019-2020	3 (12.5)	12 (50.0)	9 (37.5)	24
Unknown	2017-2018	3 (33.3)	4 (44.4)	0 (0.0)	9
	2019-2020	1 (25.0)	1 (25.0)	2 (50.0)	4
Total	2017-2018	22 (40.0)	27 (49.1)	2 (3.6)	55
	2019-2020	12 (17.1)	13 (18.6)	45 (64.3)	70

*Includes patients who received "other" treatment.

through the years. The utilization of PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination was mostly among patients with PD-L1 TPS<50% and increased with its inclusion in the Israel National Formulary since January 2019. The decision whether to use PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy or PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination for patients with PD-L1 TPS \geq 50% from January 2019 was based on the discretion of the treating physician. Pembrolizumab was the most used ICI as monotherapy or in combination with PBC (52).

The findings are similar to a recently published paper that shows that from 2016 to 2020, the 1L treatment approach for advanced NSCLC in the US evolved from anti-PD-1/PD-L1 monotherapy to combination chemo-immunotherapy, alongside a rise in biomarker testing (47).

However, a recent real-world study conducted in five European countries, which included patients diagnosed with mNSCLC during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, showed that chemotherapy usage remained widespread despite guidelines recommending immunotherapy-based 1L treatment for mNSCLC. That study also highlighted the significant impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on patient management (51).

RwToT serves as a proxy for progression-free survival (PFS) in the real-world setting, with the assumption that patients continued their 1L treatment if they had clinical benefit and switched or discontinued treatment upon disease progression or toxicity or death. This measure has been published in previous real-world studies (53, 54). Median rwToT for patients receiving PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy and for PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination in our study was consistent with published real-world studies (46, 55–59).

Our study findings in the subset of patients with ECOG PS 0–1 were also consistent with the analogous endpoint of PFS in KN024 [mNSCLC, median PFS pembrolizumab arm, 7.7 (6.1–10.2) months]; KN042 [mNSCLC with PD-L1 TPS \geq 50%, median PFS pembrolizumab arm, 6.5 (5.9–8.8) months]; and KN189 [non-squamous mNSCLC, median PFS pembrolizumab arm, 7.5 (5.1–10.5) months] (13, 60, 61).

Until recently, the standard of care for patients with mNSCLC with no actionable mutations was PBC, with or without VEGF inhibitors. Real-world data from a large US community practice in the pre-immunotherapy era reported a median OS of 10 months for adenocarcinoma and 8.5 months for squamous cell carcinoma,

	N	Number (%) discontinued	Median time on treatment (95% CI), mo	% on treatment rate at 6 mo	% on treatment rate at 12 mo	% on treatment rate at 24 mo			
Adenocarcinoma, n = 486									
Platinum-based chemotherapy regimen	133	130 (97.7)	2.3 (2.1, 3.0)	18.8	9.8	2.8			
PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy	147	131 (89.1)	4.5 (3.5, 7.6)	44.9	30.5	14.2			
PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination	194	149 (76.8)	5.2 (4.6, 7.6)	47.3	29.5	14.4			
Adenocarcinoma and ECOG PS	0–1, n	= 247							
Platinum-based chemotherapy regimen	55	54 (98.2)	2.9 (2.2, 4.1)	20.0	10.9	3.6			
PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy	71	58 (81.7)	9.4 (5.0, 20.8)	56.3	45.8	21.6			
PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination	118	84 (71.2)	7.1 (5.0, 10.1)	52.4	34.6	18.0			
Adenocarcinoma and ECOG PS 0–1 and PD-L1 <50%, $n = 126$									
Platinum-based chemotherapy regimen	41	40 (97.6)	2.8 (1.9, 4.2)	19.5	12.2	4.9			
PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination	82	62 (75.6)	5.0 (3.5, 8.5)	44.9	31.0	9.8			
Adenocarcinoma and ECOG PS 0–1 and PD-L1 \geq 50%, $n = 101$									
PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy	70	58 (82.9)	7.9 (4.4, 20.7)	55.7	45.0	21.2			
PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination	30	19 (63.3)	9.9 (7.8, NA)	66.7	38.6	35.1			

TABLE 3 Real-world time on treatment from first-line treatment initiation for adenocarcinoma mNSCLC patients without genomic tumor driver mutations, by treatment class.

Database cutoff date: 30 June 2021.

On treatment rates were based on the Kaplan-Meier estimates.

mo, months.

Results presented are descriptive with no statistical comparisons.

Excludes patients who received "other" treatment.

similar to our cohort who received PBC (9). In the overall patient population, median rwOS for patients with ECOG PS 0–1 was comparable with clinical trial data that found 69.8%/45.7% and 48.0%/27.3% survival at 12/24 months for PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor-based regimens and PBC, respectively, in the KN189 trial (61) and 70.3%/51.5% survival at 12/24 months for PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy in the KN024 trial (62) with similar results in the IMPOWER110 trial (63). The results are also comparable with a recently published real-world observational study from central Eastern Europe suggesting the similar effectiveness of 1L PD-1/PD-L1 with or without chemotherapy in patients with advanced NSCLC to those observed in randomized clinical trials (42).

Real-world practice includes patients with all performance status eligible for treatment, and not only ECOG PS 0–1 as in clinical trials. An observational study conducted in Denmark reported a median rwOS of 15 (12–17) months for nonsquamous patients who received PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination with variable ECOG status, similar to our results (28).

The findings from a study in central Switzerland also indicate that treatment with checkpoint inhibitors enhances OS in patients with mNSCLC and that PD-L1 expression may serve as a predictive marker in patients treated outside of clinical trials (41).

A third of the patients in this cohort had PD-L1 TPS \geq 50%, for whom treatment options include either PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy or PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor chemotherapy combinations. To our knowledge, there are no randomized clinical trials reporting comparative data on monotherapy vs.

combination (28, 29, 31, 42, 52, 64). For patients who received 1L PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy, we found a median rwOS of 12.5 months for patients with variable performance status, and 25.1 months for those with ECOG PS 0–1 (50.3% of patients were still alive at 24 months). For patients receiving PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor chemotherapy combination, the median rwOS was 14.8 months for patients with variable performance status and was not reached (58.2% were still alive at 24 months) for those with ECOG PS 0–1. Our results are in line with several other real-world studies including the United States, the Netherlands, Central Europe, and Israel (29–31, 46, 57, 58, 65–67). It is important to note that in some of these studies, the percentage of ECOG PS 0–1 patients was higher than in our study.

Our study results show that for mNSCLC patients with no actionable mutations and PD-L1 TPS \geq 50% and initiating 1L systemic therapy in the real world, both PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy and PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination are effective treatment options. Patient and clinical characteristics including physician or patient preference may be considered when choosing a treatment option.

The strengths of this study include high-quality longitudinal data obtained from the MHS electronic database including all medical and billing data, and a comprehensive review of patient medical records with a long follow-up. MHS comprises 25% of the patient population and shows real-world generalizability within the population (33). Our study reflects current practice patterns and is the first study to report therapy utilization and outcomes based on patients with no known TABLE 4 Overall survival from first-line treatment initiation for adenocarcinoma mNSCLC patients without genomic tumor driver mutations, by treatment class.

	N	Number died. <i>n</i> (%)	Median real-world overall survival (95%, CI), mo	% survival rate at 6 mo	% survival rate at 12 mo	% survival rate at 24 mo		
Adenocarcinoma, n = 486								
Platinum-based chemotherapy regimen	133	109 (82.0)	9.1 (7.1, 11.5)	63.9	39.8	29.1		
PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy	147	96 (65.3)	12.5 (9.9, 17.9)	68.0	52.2	36.9		
PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination	194	109 (56.2)	14.8 (10.5, 19.4)	71.1	54.3	38.7		
Adenocarcinoma and ECOG PS 0–1,	n = 247							
Platinum-based chemotherapy regimen	55	41 (74.5)	11.3 (9.2, 21.3)	76.4	47.3	36.4		
PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy	71	38 (53.5)	25.1 (14.9, NR)	80.3	62.5	50.7		
PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination	118	56 (47.5)	17.6 (14.3, NR)	79.7	62.3	46.0		
Adenocarcinoma and ECOG PS 0–1 and PD-L1 <50, $n = 126$								
Platinum-based chemotherapy regimen	41	30 (73.2)	11.2 (9.1, 21.3)	75.6	46.3	34.1		
PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination	82	44 (53.7)	14.3 (10.1, NR)	75.6	55.2	39.0		
Adenocarcinoma and ECOG PS 0–1 and PD-L1 \geq 50, $n = 101$								
PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy	70	38 (54.3)	25.1 (13.9, NR)	80.0	61.9	50.3		
PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination	30	11 (36. 7)	NR	86.7	76.5	58.2		

Database cutoff date: 30 June 2021.

On treatment rates were based on the Kaplan-Meier estimates.

mo, months.

Results presented are descriptive with no statistical comparisons.

Excludes patients who received "other" treatment.



FIGURE 2

Median real-world overall survival by treatment class for adenocarcinoma mNSCLC patients without genomic tumor driver mutations initiating first-line treatment, by treatment class. (A) Overall, (B) ECOG PS 0–1, (C) PD-L1 <50%, (D) PD-L1 \geq 50%. Results presented are descriptive with no statistical comparisons.

actionable driver mutations in an Israeli real-world cohort. However, this study included only those patients who initiated 1L therapy and does not provide insight into untreated patients.

Supplementary Tables 4A, B summarize real-world published data of mNSCLC patients including patient characteristics and outcomes.

Limitations of our findings include the retrospective nature of this study. We found that approximately a third of patients had missing ECOG PS; these patients had slightly lower ToT and OS as compared to patients with ECOG PS 0-1 (data not shown), and we hypothesize that this was because of the lack of documentation in the medical notes by physicians. Race was not available in our dataset; however, based on the demographics of Israel, we know that most patients were Caucasian. Actionable driver mutation information was only available for those who were tested. Owing to the small sample size of certain subgroups, including patients (ECOG PS 0-1) with PD-L1 ≥50% receiving PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination, results should be interpreted with caution. Further research should explore the evolution of treatment patterns and associated outcomes following the changing treatment landscape, including long-term outcomes for patients receiving ICIs.

5 Conclusion

We describe adoption of biomarker testing and initiation of guideline concordant treatment in Israel after introduction of ICIs. Our real-world data demonstrate the real-world effectiveness of a single-agent PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor or PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination treatment in an upfront setting for patients with PD-L1 overexpressing tumors and PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor combination for patients irrespective of PD-L1 expression level.

Data availability statement

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due the Israeli Ministry of Health and MHS's data privacy policy but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. Requests to access the datasets should be directed to moser_sa@mac.org.il.

Ethics statement

This study was approved by the local ethics review board of Maccabi Healthcare Services in Israel, in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The ethics committee/institutional review board waived the requirement of written informed consent for participation from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin because this study is a retrospective database study, and anonymized data was used.

Author contributions

LA: Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. SS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AA: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. SG: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. MH: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. MH: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. GC: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. NS-D: Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

AA is an employee of Merck Sharp & Dohme LLC, a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

This work was supported by Merck Sharp & Dohme LLC, a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA. The funding source was involved in the study design and in the writing of the report.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1442909/ full#supplementary-material

References

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA: Cancer J Clin.* (2021) 71:209–49. doi: 10.3322/caac.21660

2. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2021. CA: Cancer J Clin. (2021) 71:7–33. doi: 10.3322/caac.21654

3. Planchard D, Popat S, Kerr K, Novello S, Smit E, Faivre-Finn C, et al. Metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol.* (2018) 29:iv192–237. doi: 10.1093/ annonc/mdy275

4. Duma N, Santana-Davila R, Molina JR. Non-small cell lung cancer: epidemiology, screening, diagnosis, and treatment. *Mayo Clin Proc.* (2019) 94:1623–40. doi: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2019.01.013

5. Goldstraw P, Chansky K, Crowley J, Rami-Porta R, Asamura H, Eberhardt WE, et al. The IASLC lung cancer staging project: proposals for revision of the TNM stage groupings in the forthcoming (eighth) edition of the TNM classification for lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol. (2016) 11:39–51. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2015.09.009

6. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Wagle NS, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2023. CA: A Cancer J Clin. (2023) 73:17–48. doi: 10.3322/caac.21763

7. Reinmuth N, Payer N, Muley T, Hoffmann H, Herth FJ, Villalobos M, et al. Treatment and outcome of patients with metastatic NSCLC: a retrospective institution analysis of 493 patients. *Respir Res.* (2013) 14:1–9. doi: 10.1186/1465-9921-14-139

8. Simeone JC, Nordstrom BL, Patel K, Klein AB. Treatment patterns and overall survival in metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer in a real-world, US setting. *Future Oncol.* (2019) 15:3491–502. doi: 10.2217/fon-2019-0348

9. Abernethy AP, Arunachalam A, Burke T, McKay C, Cao X, Sorg R, et al. Realworld first-line treatment and overall survival in non-small cell lung cancer without known EGFR mutations or ALK rearrangements in US community oncology setting. *PloS One.* (2017) 12:e0178420. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0178420

10. Institute NC. FDA Approves First Immunotherapy Treatment for Lung Cancer (2015). Available online at: https://www.cancer.gov/news-events/cancer-currents-blog/ 2015/fda-opdivo (Accessed May 02, 2023).

11. Novello S, Kowalski DM, Luft A, Gümüş M, Vicente D, Mazières J, et al. Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy in squamous non-small-cell lung cancer: 5-year update of the phase III KEYNOTE-407 study. *J Clin Oncol.* (2023) 41:1999. doi: 10.1200/JCO.22.01990

12. Garassino M, Gadgeel SM, Speranza G, Felip E, Gonzalez EE, Gomez MD, et al. 973MO KEYNOTE-189 5-year update: First-line pembrolizumab (pembro)+ pemetrexed (pem) and platinum vs placebo (pbo)+ pem and platinum for metastatic nonsquamous NSCLC. *Ann Oncol.* (2022) 33:S992-S3. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2022.07.1101

13. Reck M, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Robinson AG, Hui R, Csőszi T, Fülöp A, et al. Fiveyear outcomes with pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy for metastatic non–smallcell lung cancer with PD-L1 tumor proportion score≥ 50%. *J Clin Oncol.* (2021) 39:2339. doi: 10.1200/JCO.21.00174

14. Ettinger DS, Wood DE, Aisner DL, Akerley W, Bauman JR, Bharat A, et al. NCCN guidelines insights: non-small cell lung cancer, version 2.2021: featured updates to the NCCN guidelines. *J Natl Compr Cancer Network*. (2021) 19:254–66. doi: 10.6004/jnccn.2021.0013

15. NCCN. Non-small cell lung cancer guidelines 1.2024 (2024). Available online at: https://www.nccn.org/guidelines/guidelines-detail?category=1&id=1450 (Accessed May 02, 2023).

16. Kazandjian D, Suzman DL, Blumenthal G, Mushti S, He K, Libeg M, et al. FDA approval summary: nivolumab for the treatment of metastatic non-small cell lung cancer with progression on or after platinum-based chemotherapy. *oncologist.* (2016) 21:634–42. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2015-0507

17. Pai-Scherf L, Blumenthal GM, Li H, Subramaniam S, Mishra-Kalyani PS, He K, et al. FDA approval summary: pembrolizumab for treatment of metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: first-line therapy and beyond. *oncologist.* (2017) 22:1392–9. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2017-0078

18. Reck M, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Robinson AG, Hui R, Csőszi T, Fülöp A, et al. Pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy for PD-L1–positive non–small-cell lung cancer. *New Engl J Med.* (2016) 375:1823–33. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1606774

19. Paz-Ares L, Luft A, Vicente D, Tafreshi A, Gümüş M, Mazières J, et al. Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy for squamous non-small-cell lung cancer. *New Engl J Med.* (2018) 379:2040–51. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1810865

20. FDA. FDA grants regular approval for pembrolizumab in combination with chemotherapy for first-line treatment of metastatic nonsquamous NSCLC (2018). Available online at: https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-grants-regular-approval-pembrolizumab-combination-chemotherapy-first-line-treatment-metastatic (Accessed May 02, 2023).

21. Langer CJ, Gadgeel SM, Borghaei H, Papadimitrakopoulou VA, Patnaik A, Powell SF, et al. Carboplatin and pemetrexed with or without pembrolizumab for advanced, non-squamous non-small-cell lung cancer: a randomised, phase 2 cohort of

the open-label KEYNOTE-021 study. Lancet Oncol. (2016) 17:1497-508. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(16)30498-3

22. Borghaei H, Langer CJ, Gadgeel S, Papadimitrakopoulou VA, Patnaik A, Powell SF, et al. 24-month overall survival from KEYNOTE-021 cohort G: Pemetrexed and carboplatin with or without Pembrolizumab as first-line therapy for advanced nonsquamous non-small cell lung Cancer. *J Thorac Oncol.* (2019) 14:124–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2018.08.004

23. Gandhi L, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Gadgeel S, Esteban E, Felip E, De Angelis F, et al. Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy in metastatic non–small-cell lung cancer. *New Engl J Med.* (2018) 378:2078–92. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1801005

24. Paz-Ares L, Luft A, Vicente D, Tafreshi A, Gümüş M, Mazières J, et al. Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy for squamous non-small-cell lung cancer. *New Engl J Med.* (2018) 379:2040–51. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1810865

25. Socinski MA, Jotte RM, Cappuzzo F, Orlandi F, Stroyakovskiy D, Nogami N, et al. Atezolizumab for first-line treatment of metastatic nonsquamous NSCLC. *New Engl J Med.* (2018) 378:2288–301. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1716948

26. Herbst RS, Giaccone G, de Marinis F, Reinmuth N, Vergnenegre A, Barrios CH, et al. Atezolizumab for first-line treatment of PD-L1-selected patients with NSCLC. *New Engl J Med.* (2020) 383:1328–39. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1917346

27. Unger JM, Vaidya R, Hershman DL, Minasian LM, Fleury ME. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the magnitude of structural, clinical, and physician and patient barriers to cancer clinical trial participation. *JNCI: J Natl Cancer Institute*. (2019) 111:245–55. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djy221

28. Izano MA, Sweetnam C, Zhang C, Weese JL, Reding D, Treisman J, et al. Brief report on use of pembrolizumab with or without chemotherapy for advanced lung cancer: A real-world analysis. *Clin Lung Cancer*. (2023) 24:362–5. doi: 10.1016/ j.cllc.2023.01.011

29. Kehl KL, Greenwald S, Chamoun NG, Manberg PJ, Schrag D. Association between first-line immune checkpoint inhibition and survival for medicare-insured patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *JAMA network Open.* (2021) 4: e2111113. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.11113

30. Cramer-van der Welle CM, Verschueren MV, Tonn M, Peters BJ, Schramel FM, Klungel OH, et al. Real-world outcomes versus clinical trial results of immunotherapy in stage IV non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) in the Netherlands. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:1–9. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-85696-3

 Dudnik E, Moskovitz M, Rottenberg Y, Lobachov A, Mandelboim R, Shochat T, et al. Pembrolizumab as a monotherapy or in combination with platinum-based chemotherapy in advanced non-small cell lung cancer with PD-L1 tumor proportion score (TPS)≥ 50%: real-world data. *Oncoimmunology*. (2021) 10:1865653. doi: 10.1080/ 2162402X.2020.1865653

32. Moser SS, Apter L, Arunachalam A, Burke T, Shalev V, Chodick G, et al. Realworld study of PD-L1 testing patterns and treatment distribution in patients with metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer in Israel. *Immunotherapy*. (2021) 13:851–61. doi: 10.2217/imt-2020-0347

33. Cohen R. Membership in sick funds (2017). Available online at: https://www.btl. gov.il/Publications/survey/Documents/seker_303.pdf (Accessed May 02, 2023).

34. Shalev V, Chodick G, Goren I, Silber H, Kokia E, Heymann AD. The use of an automated patient registry to manage and monitor cardiovascular conditions and related outcomes in a large health organization. *Int J Cardiol.* (2011) 152:345–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2010.08.002

35. Chodick G, Heymann AD, Shalev V, Kookia E. The epidemiology of diabetes in a large Israeli HMO. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2003) 18:1143-6. doi: 10.1023/B: EJEP.0000006635.36802.c8

36. Goldshtein I, Chandler J, Shalev V, Ish–Shalom S, Nguyen A, Rouach V, et al. Osteoporosis in the community: findings from a novel computerized registry in a large health organization in Israel. *J Aging Res Clin Pract.* (2015) 4:59–65. doi: 10.14283/ jarcp.2015.43

37. Israel Central Bureau of Statistics. *Characterization and classification of geographic units by the soci-economic level of the population 2008.* (Jerusalem, Israel: Central Bureau of Statistics). (2008). Available at: http://www.cbs.gov.il.

38. Statistics ICBo. Characterization and classification of geographic units by the socio-economic level of the population 2015 . Available online at: https://www.cbs.gov. il/he/publications/DocLib/2019/1765_socio_economic_2015/e_print.pdf (Accessed May 02, 2023).

39. Deyo RA, Cherkin DC, Ciol MA. Adapting a clinical comorbidity index for use with ICD-9-CM administrative databases. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (1992) 45:613–9. doi: 10.1016/0895-4356(92)90133-8

40. Ivanović M, Knez L, Herzog A, Kovačević M, Cufer T. Immunotherapy for metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: real-world data from an academic central and eastern european center. *oncologist*. (2021) 26:e2143–e50. doi: 10.1002/ onco.13909

41. Allmann V, Dyntar D, Lehnick D, Dressler M, Zeidler K, Niederberger P, et al. Overall survival and role of programmed death ligand 1 expression in patients with metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer and immunotherapy: an observational study

from central Switzerland. Swiss Med weekly. (2023) 153:40039. doi: 10.57187/ smw.2023.40039

42. Pelicon V, Cufer T, Knez L. Real-world outcomes of immunotherapy with or without chemotherapy in first-line treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Front Oncol.* (2023) 13:1182748. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1182748

43. Kawaguchi T, Takada M, Kubo A, Matsumura A, Fukai S, Tamura A, et al. Performance status and smoking status are independent favorable prognostic factors for survival in non-small cell lung cancer: a comprehensive analysis of 26,957 patients with NSCLC. J Thorac oncology: Off Publ Int Assoc Study Lung Cancer. (2010) 5:620–30. doi: 10.1097/JTO.0b013e3181d2dcd9

44. Griffith SD, Miksad RA, Calkins G, You P, Lipitz NG, Bourla AB, et al. Characterizing the feasibility and performance of real-world tumor progression end points and their association with overall survival in a large advanced non-small-cell lung cancer data set. *JCO Clin Cancer informatics.* (2019) 3:1–13. doi: 10.1200/CCI.19.00013

45. Khozin S, Abernethy AP, Nussbaum NC, Zhi J, Curtis MD, Tucker M, et al. Characteristics of real-world metastatic non-small cell lung cancer patients treated with nivolumab and pembrolizumab during the year following approval. *Oncologist.* (2018) 23:328–36. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2017-0353

46. Morinaga D, Asahina H, Ito S, Honjo O, Tanaka H, Honda R, et al. Real-world data on the efficacy and safety of immune-checkpoint inhibitors in elderly patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Med.* (2023) 12:11525–41. doi: 10.1002/cam4.v12.10

47. Divan HA, Bittoni MA, Krishna A, Carbone DP. Real-world patient characteristics and treatment patterns in US patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *BMC Cancer*. (2024) 24:424. doi: 10.1186/s12885-024-12126-8

48. Imyanitov EN, Demidova IA, Gordiev MG, Filipenko ML, Kekeyeva TV, Moliaka YK, et al. Distribution of EGFR mutations in 10,607 Russian patients with lung cancer. *Mol diagnosis Ther.* (2016) 20:401–6. doi: 10.1007/s40291-016-0213-4

49. Sandelin M, Berglund A, Sundström M, Micke P, Ekman S, Bergqvist M, et al. Patients with non-small cell lung cancer analyzed for EGFR: adherence to guidelines, prevalence and outcome. *Anticancer Res.* (2015) 35:3979–85.

50. NCCN. Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Guidelines Version 5.2023 (2023). Available online at: https://www.nccn.org/guidelines/guidelines-detail?category= 1&id=1450 (Accessed May 02, 2023).

51. Bailey H, Lee A, Eccles L, Yuan Y, Burlison H, Forshaw C, et al. Treatment patterns and outcomes of patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer in five European countries: a real-world evidence survey. *BMC Cancer*. (2023) 23:603. doi: 10.1186/s12885-023-11074-z

52. Hanna N, Johnson D, Temin S, Baker J, Brahmer J, Ellis PM, et al. Systemic therapy for stage IV non-small-cell lung cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline update. *J Clin Oncol.* (2017) 35:3484–515. doi: 10.1200/JOP.2017.026716

53. Amiri-Kordestani L, Wilkerson J, Balasubramaniam S, Bates SE, Fojo AT. Difference between duration of treatment (DOT) and progression-free survival (PFS) as a marker of unbalanced censoring. *J Clin Oncol.* (2012) 30:2548. doi: 10.1200/ jco.2012.30.15_suppl.2548

54. FOCR. Establishing a Framework to Evaluate Real-World Endpoints . Available online at: https://www.focr.org/sites/default/files/pdf/RWE_FINAL%207.6.18.pdf (Accessed May 02, 2023).

55. Velcheti V, Chandwani S, Chen X, Pietanza MC, Burke T. First-line pembrolizumab monotherapy for metastatic PD-L1-positive NSCLC: real-world

analysis of time on treatment. Immunotherapy. (2019) 11:889–901. doi: 10.2217/imt-2019-0061

56. Velcheti V, Hu X, Li Y, El-Osta H, Pietanza MC, Burke T. Real-world time on treatment with first-line pembrolizumab monotherapy for advanced NSCLC with PD-L1 expression \geq 50%: 3-year follow-up data. *Cancers.* (2022) 14:1041. doi: 10.3390/cancers14041041

57. Nokihara H, Kijima T, Yokoyama T, Kagamu H, Suzuki T, Mori M, et al. Realworld treatments and clinical outcomes in advanced NSCLC without actionable mutations after introduction of immunotherapy in Japan. *Cancers*. (2022) 14:2846. doi: 10.3390/cancers14122846

58. Velcheti V, Hu X, Piperdi B, Burke T. Real-world outcomes of first-line pembrolizumab plus pemetrexed-carboplatin for metastatic nonsquamous NSCLC at US oncology practices. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:9222. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-88453-8

59. Waterhouse D, Ray S, Betts K, Yuan Y, Yin L, Gao S, et al. 60MO Real-world overall and progression-free survival for first-line immunotherapy-based regimens in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Immuno-Oncology Technol.* (2022) 16:4. doi: 10.1016/j.iotech.2022.100165

60. de Castro G, Kudaba I, Wu Y-L, Lopes G, Kowalski DM, Turna HZ, et al. Keynote-042 5-year survival update: pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy in patients with previously untreated, pd-L1positive, locally advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. J Immunotherapy Cancer. (2021) 9:A390-A390. doi: 10.1136/jitc-2021-SITC2021.363

61. Garassino MC, Gadgeel S, Speranza G, Felip E, Esteban E, Dómine M, et al. Pembrolizumab plus pemetrexed and platinum in nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer: 5-year outcomes from the phase 3 KEYNOTE-189 study. *J Clin Oncol.* (2023) 41:1992. doi: 10.1200/JCO.22.01989

62. Reck M, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Robinson A, Hui R, Csoszi T, Fulop A, et al. Updated analysis of KEYNOTE-024: pembrolizumab versus platinum-based chemotherapy for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer with PD-L1 tumor proportion score of 50% or greater. *J Clin Oncol.* (2019) 37:537–46. doi: 10.1200/JCO.18.00149

63. Jassem J, de Marinis F, Giaccone G, Vergnenegre A, Barrios CH, Morise M, et al. Updated overall survival analysis from IMpower110: Atezolizumab versus platinumbased chemotherapy in treatment-naive programmed death-ligand 1-selected NSCLC. *J Thorac Oncol.* (2021) 16:1872–82. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2021.06.019

64. Pérol M, Felip E, Dafni U, Polito L, Pal N, Tsourti Z, et al. Effectiveness of PD-(L) 1 inhibitors alone or in combination with platinum-doublet chemotherapy in first-line (1L) non-squamous non-small-cell lung cancer (Nsq-NSCLC) with PD-L1-high expression using real-world data. *Ann oncology: Off J Eur Soc Med Oncol.* (2022) 33:511–21. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2022.02.008

65. Velcheti V, Chandwani S, Chen X, Pietanza MC, Piperdi B, Burke T. Outcomes of first-line pembrolizumab monotherapy for PD-L1-positive (TPS \geq 50%) metastatic NSCLC at US oncology practices. *Immunotherapy*. (2019) 11:1541–54. doi: 10.2217/imt-2019-0177

66. Velcheti V, Hu X, Yang L, Pietanza MC, Burke T. Long-term real-world outcomes of first-line pembrolizumab monotherapy for metastatic non-small cell lung cancer with≥ 50% expression of programmed cell death-ligand 1. *Front Oncol.* (2022) 12:834761. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.834761

67. Leonetti A, Perrone F, Puntoni M, Maglietta G, Bordi P, Bria E, et al. Real-world outcomes of Italian patients with advanced non-squamous lung cancer treated with first-line pembrolizumab plus platinum-pemetrexed. *Eur J Cancer*. (2024) 202:114006. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2024.114006