Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Cristian Scatena, University of Pisa, Italy

REVIEWED BY

Jia Li, University of North Carolina at Charlotte, United States Carla Van Den Berg, The University of Texas at Austin, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Manoj K. Mishra mmishra@alasu.edu

RECEIVED 11 May 2024 ACCEPTED 24 September 2024 PUBLISHED 10 October 2024

CITATION

Haque M, Shyanti RK and Mishra MK (2024) Targeted therapy approaches for epithelial-mesenchymal transition in triple negative breast cancer. Front. Oncol. 14:1431418. [doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1431418](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2024.1431418)

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Haque, Shyanti and Mishra. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [\(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Targeted therapy approaches](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1431418/full) [for epithelial-mesenchymal](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1431418/full) [transition in triple negative](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1431418/full) [breast cancer](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1431418/full)

Mazharul Haque, Ritis K. Shyanti and Manoj K. Mishra*

Cancer Research Center, Department of Biological Sciences, Alabama State University, Montgomery, AL, United States

Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is distinguished by negative expression of estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), making it an aggressive subtype of breast cancer and contributes to 15-20% of the total incidence. TNBC is a diverse disease with various genetic variations and molecular subtypes. The tumor microenvironment involves multiple cells, including immune cells, fibroblast cells, extracellular matrix (ECM), and blood vessels that constantly interact with tumor cells and influence each other. The ECM undergoes significant structural changes, leading to induced cell proliferation, migration, adhesion, invasion, and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT). The involvement of EMT in the occurrence and development of tumors through invasion and metastasis in TNBC has been a matter of concern. Therefore, EMT markers could be prognostic predictors and potential therapeutic targets in TNBC. Chemotherapy has been one of the primary options for treating patients with TNBC, but its efficacy against TNBC is still limited. Targeted therapy is a critical emerging option with enhanced efficacy and less adverse effects on patients. Various targeted therapy approaches have been developed based on the specific molecules and the signaling pathways involved in TNBC. These include inhibitors of signaling pathways such as TGF- β , Wnt/ β -catenin, Notch, TNF- α /NF- κ B and EGFR, as well as immune checkpoint inhibitors, such as pembrolizumab, 2laparib, and talazoparib have been widely explored. This article reviews recent developments in EMT in TNBC invasion and metastasis and potential targeted therapy strategies.

KEYWORDS

breast cancer, triple-negative breast cancer, tumor microenvironment, epithelialmesenchymal transition, regulatory pathway, targeted therapy

The EMT has profound impact on the TNBC pathogenisity. The factors involved in the metastasis of TNBC due to EMT have been of great concern. The role of these factors in prognosis has enabled researchers to explore it as targeted drug therapies. The aim of this review is to discuss inclusive insights of EMT role in metastasis and the important marker genes and transcription factors to target TNBC and address these challenges.

1 Introduction

Breast cancer (BCa) has become the second most prevalent cause of cancer related mortality among women, consistently increasing the incidence rate annually reported by the American Cancer Society [\(1](#page-13-0), [2\)](#page-13-0). It is the most commonly diagnosed cancer, with approximately 2.3 million cases worldwide annually ([3\)](#page-13-0). This unprecedented situation reminds us to investigate and develop improved therapeutic strategies thoroughly. Multiple factors, namely genetic, hormonal, and environmental, as well as other factors associated with lifestyle, are also involved in BCa pathogenesis. Therefore, BCa patients present various clinical, pathological, and molecular abnormalities [\(4\)](#page-13-0). The expression of estrogen receptors (ER), progesterone receptors (PR), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER-2) determines the variability in subtypes of BCa, including luminal A/B, HER-2 overexpression and triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) ([5\)](#page-13-0). TNBC is a subtype, accounting for around 15-20% of all BCa cases, and is characterized by the absence of ER, PR, and HER-2 ([6,](#page-13-0) [7](#page-13-0)). TNBC is further sub-classified as basal-like, luminal, and mesenchymal based on gene expression profile [\(8](#page-13-0)). It is the most heterogeneous among all BCa subtypes and referred as basal A and basal B type. Triple-negative A (basal A) cells are called basal-like as they are enriched with basal markers, including cytokeratins. Phenotypically, triple negative A type cells, highly differentiated subtypes within TNBC subtypes, can exhibit either luminal-like or basal-like morphologies. Thus, triple negative A subtypes closely mimic the core basal tumor subtype. On the other hand, Triple-negative B type (basal B), represents the mesenchymal cluster or normal-like/claudin-low, over-expressed genes and are associated with tumor invasiveness and aggressiveness ([9\)](#page-13-0). These subtypes can be utilized for modeling claudin-low or metastatic breast cancer due to their abundance of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and stem-cell markers [\(9\)](#page-13-0).

In comparison to other subtypes, TNBC frequently occurs in young women and is characterized by enormous aggressiveness and mortality rates [\(10,](#page-13-0) [11](#page-13-0)). Around 45% of patients diagnosed with TNBC exhibit distant metastases in the brain or other parts, and the average survival rate ranges from 13.3 to 18 months ([12](#page-13-0)). Several studies have demonstrated that approximately 25% of individuals diagnosed with TNBC can survive for 5 years or more ([13\)](#page-13-0). Conventional chemotherapy has shown significant efficacy against TNBC patients. However, its adverse effects become a potential threat, and some patients fail to get any clinical advantages from this treatment. Thus, identifying specific targets for TNBC therapy is a challenging and crucial therapeutic issue that needs to be resolved ([14](#page-13-0)–[19](#page-13-0)). Exploiting whole genome sequencing has provided significant heterogeneity in TNBC and played a critical role in categorizing several subtypes within the TNBC population ([20\)](#page-13-0). Different subtypes of TNBC are presented in [Figure 1.](#page-2-0) Due to growing development and advances in bioinformatics tools, the study is gradually moving toward large samples, multi-omics, and refinement of complex data. Potential therapeutic targets derived from genomes, transcriptomics, metabolomics, and proteomics have recently emerged, and many of these research findings have great clinical translational significance and gained enormous importance ([21](#page-13-0)).

1.1 Epithelial-mesenchymal transition

The biological process by which the polarized epithelial cells transform into mesenchymal cell phenotype is called Epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT). The significant structural change in extracellular matrix (ECM) induces proliferation, migration, adhesion, invasion, and EMT ([22](#page-13-0)). EMT defines the biological

process by which epithelial cells lose their adhesion properties and gain migratory and invasive properties (mesenchymal characteristics), crucial in initiating tumor cell migration and metastasis development ([23\)](#page-13-0). This process involves various biochemical changes that result in increased migratory capacity, invasiveness, resistance to apoptosis, and significantly increased production of extracellular matrix (ECM) components ([24](#page-13-0)). Several molecular mechanisms are involved in initiating and facilitating the completion of an EMT ([25](#page-13-0)). These mechanisms involve the activation of transcription factors, expression of particular cell surface proteins, reorganization and expression of cytoskeletal proteins, production of enzymes that degrade ECM, and alterations in the expression of specific microRNA (miRNAs). In numerous instances, the factors involved in the process are utilized as biomarkers to exhibit the progression of a cell undergoing an EMT (Figure 2).

1.2 EMT in TNBC invasion and metastasis

Invasion and metastasis are characteristic features of tumor cells and occur due to transition in intrinsic properties, particularly associated with tumor microenvironment [\(26\)](#page-14-0). During the progression of a tumor, EMT plays a crucial role and is considered a critical factor in the development and metastasis of TNBC [\(27\)](#page-14-0). Carcinoma cells in the primary tumor lose cell-cell adhesion due to E-cadherin repression and gain enhanced invasive properties, allowing them to break through the basement membrane and enter the bloodstream via intravasation. Once the tumor approaches a new metastatic site, it may undergo other processes to enhance growth. TGF- β plays a vital role in regulating the morphogenesis and proliferation of normal mammary epithelial cells. However, BCa cells show high resistance towards TGF-b and act as cancer development promoter, which, in turn, modulates

angiogenesis, invasion, and resistance against therapeutic interventions ([28](#page-14-0)). Activation of Ras-expressing mammary epithelial cells by TGF- β promotes EMT and inhibits apoptosis ([29](#page-14-0)). It has been discovered that TGF- β plays a crucial role in inducing EMT by regulating through Smad and non-Smad signaling pathways ([30\)](#page-14-0). The study revealed that the NF-k^B pathway activation leads to EMT induction in TNBC ([31](#page-14-0)). Downregulation of E-cadherin as a result of EMT is indicative of TNBC development. Three distinct core families of transcription factors mediate this regulation. One of the core families belongs to the Snail zinc finger protein family comprises transcription factors Snail 1 and Slug. Another family engaged in regulation is the E-box binding zinc finger protein family, which consists of ZEB 1 and ZEB 2 transcription factors. The basic helix ring helix protein family, consisting of transcription factors TWIST 1, TWIST 2, and E12, is also implicated in the regulation of EMT ([25\)](#page-13-0). The overexpression of Snail has been observed in both epithelial and endothelial cells of invasive BCa in comparison to undetectable levels in normal breast tissue [\(32\)](#page-14-0). The Snail has also been associated with high-grade tumors, metastasis, recurrence, and poor prognosis [\(33](#page-14-0)). In addition, the Snail family's proteins work with other transcription factors to coordinate the combined regulation of EMT. Recent studies have shown a strong association between Slug and TWIST expression in BCa ([34](#page-14-0)). The Snail and TWIST proteins promote ZEB1 expression during EMT ([35\)](#page-14-0).

Additionally, ZEB1 has been shown to exhibit stem cell-like properties in TNBC [\(36\)](#page-14-0). This causes significant risk to cancer patients, as EMT not only allows tumor cells to enter the bloodstream but also enhances their stemness, increasing their potential for tumorigenesis and proliferation ([37\)](#page-14-0). The role of

EMT and associated factors in the initiation and metastasis of TNBC is presented in Figure 3.

Recent studies also indicate that miRNAs are critical regulators of EMT. miRNAs are short, non-coding, single-stranded RNAs of 20–22 nucleotides that regulate gene expression at the posttranscriptional level ([38\)](#page-14-0). The miRNAs are frequently dysregulated in human malignancies and have been linked to the regulation of several cellular processes. According to several reports, specific miRNAs directly target mRNAs of ZEB1 and ZEB2 in cancer cells by upregulating E- cadherin and reducing cell motility ([39\)](#page-14-0).

The Protein kinase C iota (PKC-i) has been also observed to promote TNBC invasion during EMT as well ([40](#page-14-0)). Inhibition of PKC-1 increases the level of E-cadherin and RhoA, while simultaneously decreases vimentin and Par6 (partitioning defective 6 homologs) [\(41,](#page-14-0) [42\)](#page-14-0). The role of EMT transcription factors, including SNAIL, TWIST, and ZEB, in BCa invasion mediated via adherens junction has been revealed from several findings [\(43\)](#page-14-0). Therefore, the effects of EMT and its association with invasion and metastasis appear to be highly dependent on the specific environment. Mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition (MET) is the reversible process of transforming EMT to MET derivatives. It is considered a hallmark of phenotypic plasticity, and the exact mechanism is still poorly understood. However, the MET associated with kidney formation is the most extensively studied example, and it is driven by genes such as bone morphogenetic protein 7 (Bmp7), paired box 2 (Pax2), and Wilms tumor 1 (Wt1) ([44](#page-14-0)). During the MET process, genes associated with epithelium are up-regulated, while mesenchymal-associated genes are downregulated through specific signaling pathways [\(45\)](#page-14-0).

In addition to these factors related to tumor microenvironments, autophagy has also a role in regulating EMT by activating energy response pathways, initiating EMT-inducing signaling pathways, and managing the breakdown of EMT-related adhesion and cytoskeletal components, as well as EMT-TFs [\(46\)](#page-14-0). Recent studies have also revealed a shift in the primary effects of EMT, moving away from invasion and metastasis, towards resistance against chemotherapeutic agents.

1.3 TNBC treatment

TNBC has demonstrated sensitivity towards chemotherapy, making it a current standard of care (SOC), though it has limited advantages ([47\)](#page-14-0). The Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

TABLE 1 List of drugs administered to TNBC patients.

approved anti-metabolites, paclitaxel, anthracyclines, and neoadjuvant chemotherapy regimens for patients with TNBC [\(48\)](#page-14-0) presented in Table 1. Patients with TNBC have demonstrated some efficacy with conventional treatment. However, the toxicity of chemotherapy on individuals is a concern, and some may not even experience any therapeutic improvement. Thus, identifying appropriate targets for precise TNBC therapy is a difficult and crucial therapeutic issue that needs to be resolved. Chemotherapeutic drugs such as fluorouracil (5-FU), doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide have been used for TNBC treatment [\(49](#page-14-0)). The current SOC for individuals diagnosed with early TNBC is administered neoadjuvant chemotherapy, along with surgical intervention [\(50](#page-14-0)). Currently, no universally accepted chemotherapy treatment option is available for individuals diagnosed with recurrent or resistant TNBC ([51\)](#page-14-0). The length of treatment responses is typically

[Frontiers in](https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/oncology) Oncology 05 [frontiersin.org](https://www.frontiersin.org)

short, often followed by rapid relapse, and the occurrence of metastases in visceral organs and the brain is prevalent ([52](#page-14-0)). New therapeutic alternatives have recently become available for patients with advanced TNBC, mainly when surgery is not an option. The therapeutic options currently accessible for individuals diagnosed with advanced TNBC include capecitabine and gemcitabine, eribulin, and DNA cross-linker platinums such as cisplatin and carboplatin ([53](#page-14-0)–[55\)](#page-14-0). TNBC has more immunogenic properties than other BCa subtypes with tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) in its microenvironment. However, it also exhibits a significant upregulation of programmed cell death ligand 1 (PDL-1) ([56](#page-14-0), [57\)](#page-14-0). Therefore, the use of immunochemotherapy has been established as a SOC for patients diagnosed with PDL-1 positive, unresectable, locally progressed, or metastatic TNBC.

In 2017, pembrolizumab was approved as a histological agonist immunotherapy against tumors involving microsatellite instability and mismatch repair deficiency [\(81](#page-15-0), [82\)](#page-15-0). The FDA approved atezolizumab combined with nanoparticle albumin-bound (nab) paclitaxel as a first-line therapy against TNBC [\(83\)](#page-15-0). Tumors with the absence of BRCA1 and BRCA2 show impaired homologous recombination repair and are sensitive to poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors ([84](#page-15-0), [85\)](#page-15-0). In 2018, the FDA approved olaparib and talazoparib for treating advanced stage HER2 negative BCa patients with BRCA1/2 mutation. Olaparib was approved upon the significant activity noticed compared to capecitabine or eribulin as chosen by the physician [\(86](#page-15-0)). Olaparib demonstrated substantial improvement in median progression-free survival (PFS) in comparison to the control group, with a notable increase of 42% (7 vs. 4 months) [\(87\)](#page-15-0). Olaparib demonstrated a promising safety profile during prolonged exposure, with no indication of cumulative toxicity. In patients with locally advanced or metastatic BCa with germline BRCA mutation who have previously undergone chemotherapy, talazoparib has been found to increase the average PFS by 46%, with a duration of 8.6 months compared to 5.6 months ([88](#page-15-0)). Another SOC neoadjuvant therapy drug, paclitaxel, for the treatment of patients with TNBC, shows limited benefit for locally advanced or metastatic disease ([89\)](#page-15-0). The use of lapatinib and geftinib in combination with capecitabine was approved by FDA as a combination therapy for breast cancer patients ([78\)](#page-15-0). The other drug, rapamycin, has been used to target AKT/mTOR pathway and inhibit proliferation of tnbc.

2 Targeted therapy associated with EMT

TNBC is a diverse disease with various genetic variations and molecular subtypes. Due to factors like numerous metastases, extensive organ metastases, and too close to large blood arteries, only a few patients with TNBC metastases are suitable for surgical treatment. To provide patients with personalized therapy and increase their survival chances, investigators studying the metastatic process must bring innovative therapies for patients with advanced BCa. Targeted therapy is one of the critical current options with enhanced efficacy and less adverse effects on patients. The role of different potential targets in EMT is discussed further.

2.1 EMT and genes

Molecular biomarkers are now being studied as possible treatment targets. It has been observed that 11-20% of individuals diagnosed with TNBC, who have not been specifically selected based on their family history, had a hereditary mutation in the BRCA1 or BRCA2 (BRCA1/2) genes. Moreover, current research indicates that deleterious mutations in additional genes associated with cancer susceptibility are also linked to TNBC ([90](#page-15-0), [91\)](#page-15-0). Other than BRCA1/2 detection, four other established genes (CDH1, PTEN, STK11, TP53) associated with BCa were identified and established as alternate options for diagnosis. The loss of CDH1 gene expression is strongly associated with BCa progression in patients ([92\)](#page-15-0). Another critical gene, PTEN expression loss, demonstrates poor prognosis and treatment response. STK11 is a tumor suppressor gene and loss‐of‐function mutations cause tumorigenesis in TNBC ([93](#page-15-0)). Mutation in TP53 is observed in 18%–25% of primary BCa and roughly 80% of TNBCs, which is noticeably more frequent than other BCa subtypes [\(2,](#page-13-0) [17\)](#page-13-0).

Later, panels were expanded to include an additional 15-20 candidate genes having similar function to BRCA1/2 in DNA doublestrand break repair (ATM, BARD1, CHEK2, PALB2). Furthermore, 25– 40 genes (including CDKN2A, MEN1, MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, and MUTYH) that cause cancer risk at different organ sites were identified and added to the existing panel. The number of genes on panels that may be linked to cancer has recently increased to over 100, yet many lack strong enough data to perform patient treatment [\(94\)](#page-15-0). Before the clinical use of multiple genes panel testing, there was limited understanding of gene alterations in TNBC beyond BRCA1/2. A total of 122 DNA repair genes from germline DNA samples of BCa patients were sequenced, out of which 17 genes (ATM, BRCA1, BRCA2, BARD1, BRIP1, CHEK2, CDH1, MRE11A, NBN, PALB2, PTEN, RAD50, RAD51C, RAD51D, STK11, TP53, and XRCC2) are associated with increased risk of developing BCa were observed [\(Table 2](#page-6-0)). A prior report demonstrated that 271 deleterious mutations were detected among patients [\(95](#page-15-0)). In this finding, most of these changes (57% or 155 mutations) were observed in BRCA1 mutated tumors, while (18% or 49 mutations) were shown in BRCA2. The remaining (25% or 67 mutations) were distributed among additional susceptibility genes. Notably, PALB2 accounted for 7.7% of these mutations, followed by BARD1 (3.3%), RAD51D (2.5%), RAD50 (2.2%), and RAD51C (2.2%) ([90\)](#page-15-0). Of the TNBC patients, 3.7% had these probable harmful mutations in non-BRCA1/2 genes, while 11.2% had BRCA1/2 mutations. Interestingly, no CHEK2 mutations were discovered, which aligns with its link to hormone receptor-positive BCa ([96\)](#page-15-0).

2.2 EMT and miRNA

Several studies have evidenced the correlation between different miRNAs and underlying mechanisms of disease progression in

TNBC [\(115](#page-15-0)–[125\)](#page-15-0). These mechanisms involve many processes, such as EMT, cellular migration, invasion, and metastasis ([96](#page-15-0), [126\)](#page-16-0). Numerous studies suggest that miRNAs have a role in the EMT mechanism. The miR-200 family, which includes miR-200a, miR-

200b, miR-200c, miR-141, and miR-429, is thought to contain the majority of miRNAs that negatively regulate EMT. The miR-200a is an EMT inhibitor that targets the E-cadherin repressor ZEB1/2 to maintain the epithelial phenotype [\(127](#page-16-0)).

Furthermore, miR-200b inhibits FUT4 expression in BCa cell lines, inhibiting TNBC migration and metastasis via inactivation of EGFR and downstream PI3K/Akt signaling cascade [\(128](#page-16-0)). In the meantime, TNBC's epithelial phenotype is maintained by blocking the genes involved in cell motility, reducing cell growth, and encouraging apoptosis ([129](#page-16-0)). Due to these properties, the miR-200 family is currently considered one of the promising therapeutic targets for the treatment of TNBC [\(130\)](#page-16-0).

On the other hand, several other miRNAs play significant roles in increasing migration, invasion, and metastasis ([131](#page-16-0)). The upregulation of miR-21 facilitates the proliferation of cancer cells ([132\)](#page-16-0). Moreover, 3' UTR of LZTFL1 (leucine zipper transcription factor-like 1) along with miR-21 activates proliferation and metastasis [\(133](#page-16-0)). The upregulation of miR-21 causes increased cell invasion and proliferation in TNBC cells (MDA-MB-468). However, the PTEN gene was observed to be downregulated. This finding highlights the significance of the suppression of miR-21 and the overexpression of PTEN as a prospective therapeutic approach to predicting and assessing individuals diagnosed with TNBC ([134\)](#page-16-0). An overview of specific miRNAs linked to the pathophysiology of TNBC and their established targets and associated roles is presented in [Table 3.](#page-7-0)

2.3 EMT and lncRNA

Non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) are classified into two groups based on their transcript size. Typically, ncRNAs are comprised of less than 200 nucleotides. These ncRNAs include miRNAs, piwiinteracting RNAs, small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs), and short interfering RNAs (siRNA). The second group is long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) containing more than 200 nucleotides. lncRNAs include intergenic, intronic, sense, antisense, enhance, and bidirectional ([134\)](#page-16-0). The involvement of lncRNAs in several biological processes, including apoptosis, cellular proliferation, cellular differentiation, metastasis, and chromatin remodeling, has been identified. Many tumors, including TNBC, have exhibited abnormal expression patterns of several lncRNAs [\(137](#page-16-0)). LncRNAs can bind and compete with miRNA-bound mRNA, resulting in altered regulation of miRNA-mediated genes. They constitute an endogenous RNA network (ceRNA) involving mRNAs and lncRNAs as a post-transcriptional regulatory network in TNBC ([138\)](#page-16-0). lncRNA HOTAIR, a well-investigated lncRNA in cancer, has demonstrated its ability to induce alterations in chromatin structure and gene expression, hence facilitating the process of invasion and metastasis, specifically in BCa. Several other lncRNAs have recently been linked to TNBC-related ECM/EMT molecules. The expression of cytoplasmic lncRNA, namely LINK-A (long intergenic noncoding RNA for kinase activation), is involved in growth factordependent phosphorylation, stability, and activation of $HIF1\alpha$ and

CHN1, Chimerin 1; HOXD10, Homeobox D10; PDCD4, Programmed Cell Death 4; PTEN, Phosphatase and tensin homolog; H1F1a, hypoxia-inducible factor-1-alpha; TTP, Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura; PFN1, Profilin-1; FOXF2, Forkhead box protein F2; CDH1, Cadherin-1; MMP11, Matrix metalloproteinase 11; Rab27a, Ras-related protein; ZEB1, Zinc finger E-boxbinding homeobox 1; TM4SF1, transmembrane 4 L six family member 1; SETBP1, SET Binding Protein 1; eEF2K, Eukaryotic elongation factor-2 kinase; Cdc42, Cell division control protein 42; VEGFA, Vascular endothelial growth factor A.

linked with TNBC ([139\)](#page-16-0). A summary of different lncRNA and their functions in TNBC is documented in [Table 4.](#page-8-0)

The snoRNA host gene 12 (SNHG12), a transcriptional target of c-myc, is highly increased in TNBC. SNHG12 may enhance cell motility through modulating MMP 13 expression [\(150](#page-16-0)). Another lncRNA is described as a suppressor of KAI1 in BCa (SKAI1BC) that suppresses the KAI1/CD82 metastasis suppressor gene and promotes TNBC ([151\)](#page-16-0). It has been demonstrated that two lncRNAs, Airn and PVT1, control TNBC carcinogenesis by acting opposite to each other on the β -catenin signaling pathway ([131\)](#page-16-0). Through TWIST 1 expression, another lncRNA, LINC01638, preserves the emT characteristics of TNBC cells. The tumor growth and metastasis are inhibited through the knockdown of LINC01638 and MIR100HG, which act as oncogenes via controlling p27 ([152\)](#page-16-0). The lncRNA AWPPH has been implicated in promoting TNBC growth through the upregulation of frizzled homolog 7 (FZD7) ([153\)](#page-16-0) and/or its interaction with miRNA-21 [\(132](#page-16-0)). The level of lncRNA POU3F3 in TNBC patient's plasma has been found to increase as compared to those of normal individuals, and a negative correlation between lncRNA POU3F3 levels and cleaved Caspase 9 was observed. This means that when the level of POU3F3 increases, then caspase 9 decreases, promoting cell proliferation and inhibiting apoptosis in TNBC ([147](#page-16-0)). Many studies have

demonstrated that lncRNAs such as HCP, PAPAS, and LUCAT1 have a role in promoting TNBC through the modulation of specific miRNAs (miR-219a-5p, miR-34a, and miR-5702, respectively) ([154\)](#page-16-0). The increase of LncRNA-ZEB2-AS1 showed enhanced proliferation and metastasis due to the upregulation of ZEB2 of MDA-MB-231 cells in SCID mice [\(90](#page-15-0)). LINC01638 has been found to inhibit the degradation of c-Myc and increase TWIST 1 expression, thereby inducing EMT ([145\)](#page-16-0). The lncRNA DLX6-AS1 exhibits an increased expression of EMT markers, promoting cell survival, and enhances resistance to the chemotherapy drug cisplatin in TNBC cells by regulating miR-199b-5p/PXN ([155\)](#page-16-0). The inhibition of cell proliferation, invasion, and migration, as well as the enhancement of apoptosis and regulation of the cell cycle, were seen upon the action of lncRNA, RMST (rhabdomyosarcoma 2-associated transcript) [\(156\)](#page-16-0). The overexpression of another lncRNA, for example, NEF, has been observed to prevent the migration and invasion of TNBC cells ([157\)](#page-16-0). The expression of lncRNA PTCSC3 is decreased, but lncRNAH19 has shown increased expression and established an inverse relationship with PTCSC3 levels in TNBC patients. The overexpression of PTCSC3 results in the downregulation of lncRNA H19 in TNBC cells ([158\)](#page-16-0). Patients with TNBC who exhibit low expression of lncRNA MIR503HG have shown significantly poorer prognosis than those

Haque et al. [10.3389/fonc.2024.1431418](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2024.1431418)

TABLE 4 LncRNA and associated target genes of TNBC.

LncRNA	Associated genes	Function	References
HAS2-AS1	HAS ₂	Abnormal HA accumulation causes cell dedifferentiation, proliferation, and migration.	(140)
HOTAIR	HOXA9, PTEN, AR	Abnormal regulation of apoptosis, the cell cycle, EMT, autophagy, self- renewal, and metabolism	(141)
LINK-A	$HIF1\alpha$, EGFR	Promotes breast cancer glycolysis reprogramming and tumorigenesis	(139)
SNHG12	MMP13	Evade immune-mediated attack and enhance the polarization of effector immune cells	(142)
SKAI1BC	KAI1	Suppresses the KAI1/ CD82 metastasis- suppressing gene	(143)
PVT1	KLF5, β-catenin	PVT1 enhances the resistance of the TNBC to doxorubicin	(144)
LNC01638	metatherian TWIST,	Induce MTDH-Twist1 signaling by inhibiting degradation of SPOP- mediated c-Myc in TNBC	(145)
MIR100HG	CDK 18, WEE1, CCNF, CDKN1B, CDC25A	Promote the proliferation of TNBC and increase the proportion of cells in the S phase	(146)
AWPPH	FZD7	Regulate cancer cell proliferation and chemosensitivity in TNBC	(132)
POU3F3	$Cas-9$	Regulates proliferation and apoptosis in TNBC through caspase 9	(147)
ZEB2-AS1	ZEB ₂	Promotes proliferation, metastasis, and EMT in TNBC	(148)
MIR503HG	MMP9, Olfactomedin 4	Induce cell proliferation, invasion, metastasis, apoptosis, angiogenesis	(149)

HAS2, Hyaluronan synthase 2; HOTAIR, HOX transcript antisense RNA; AR, Androgen receptor; LINK-A, Long intergenic non-coding RNA for kinase activation; EGFR, Epidermal growth factor receptor; SNHG12, Small nucleolar RNA host gene; KLF5, Krueppel-like factor 5 FZD7, frizzled homolog; Cas-9, CRISPR associated protein 9.

with high expression. It has also been observed that MIR503HG inhibits the migration and invasion of cells in TNBC by altering the miR-103/OLFM4 axis ([159\)](#page-16-0). The LncRNA TCONS_l2_00002973 positively correlates with lower-grade tumors and better survival outcomes. Additionally, it demonstrates inhibitory effects on cancer cell proliferation and promotes apoptosis, specifically in TNBC ([160\)](#page-16-0). The in vitro and in vivo studies have shown the inhibitory effects of lncRNA XIST on cell proliferation and EMT in TNBC cells by interfering with the activity of miR-454 ([161\)](#page-16-0).

2.4 EMT and proteins

The ECM proteins have a significant role in the tumor microenvironment (Table 5). Many ECM proteins include (BGN, CD44, CD109, DAG1, DCN, ECM1, EFEMP1, FMOD, IGFBP4, IGFBP7, LTBP1, L1CAM, LGALS1, LGALS3BP, LOXL2, LTBP1, NRCAM, P4HB, PLOD1, PPIB, TGF- β I, THBS1, TLN1, and TNC) have found highly expressed in TNBC. However, DCN and TGF- β I are highly expressed in normal cells ([162\)](#page-16-0). CD44 is a transmembrane glycoprotein and is highly expressed in TNBC. It plays a pivotal role in mediating cellular adhesion and signaling processes, and its presence in serum is considered a potential prognostic indicator in BCa ([163\)](#page-16-0). Recently, significant advancements have been made in developing nanoparticle drug delivery methods, especially targeting CD44 and CEA in colorectal cancer cells and TNBC [\(164](#page-16-0)). The insoluble form of CD109 is

TABLE 5 ECM proteins in secretome of TNBC.

(Continued)

TABLE 5 Continued

bound with TGF-b, which acts as a negative regulator and prevents TGF- β signaling ([165\)](#page-16-0). The high expression of CD109 in TNBC correlated with a higher histological grade and worse prognosis ([166\)](#page-16-0). ECM1, another protein present in the secretome of TNBC, is responsible for inducing angiogenesis and promoting tumor cell proliferation via EGFR signaling [\(167](#page-16-0)). Elevated expression of ECM1 in BCa is linked to poor prognosis [\(168\)](#page-16-0). The glycoprotein Fibulin 3 (FBLN3), also known as EFEMP1, is a protein that interacts with ECM1 and is found in the secretome of TNBC ([162\)](#page-16-0). The overexpression of FBLN3 in BCa cases is characterized by low levels of HER2 expression, including TNBC [\(169](#page-16-0)). Additionally, it has been observed that FBLN3 has a role in enhancing the invasiveness of tumor cells in xenografts of TNBC ([170\)](#page-16-0). The function of FBLN3 in the TNBC secretome is still unknown. The TNBC secretome also contains the closely related protein Fibulin 1 (FBLN1), which interacts with fibronectin ([171\)](#page-16-0). The role of FBLN1 in estrogen signaling in BCa is demonstrated in numerous studies. FBLN1 expression is increased by estrogens, particularly that of the spliced variant FBLN1C ([172](#page-16-0)). In an immunohistochemical study of BCa, FBLN1 expression showed an inverse correlation with cathepsin D [\(173](#page-16-0)). Interestingly, the TNBC secretome contained both cathepsin D and FBLN1 ([174\)](#page-17-0). Importantly, FBLN1 may be involved in BCa cell resistance to doxorubicin therapy [\(175](#page-17-0)).

Several insulin-like growth factor-binding proteins (IGFBPs) have been identified in the secretome of TNBC ([176\)](#page-17-0). Proteins belonging to this particular family can bind to IGF and subsequently enhance its half-life [\(177\)](#page-17-0). The family member Cyr61 (alternatively referred to as CCN1 and IGFBP10) has shown expression in TNBC cells by interacting with the urokinase plasminogen activator receptor (uPAR) [\(178](#page-17-0)). It has long been demonstrated that Cyr61 stimulates angiogenesis and tumor development ([179\)](#page-17-0). High Cyr61 expression is associated with relapse in TNBC patients, and Cyr61 knockdown decreased TNBC cell line invasiveness, tumor burden, and microvascular density ([178](#page-17-0)). Apart from these ECM proteins, the presence of α 2,3sialylated N-glycoproteins capable of binding lectins in the conditioned media of TNBC cell lines is also reported ([180\)](#page-17-0). The expression of polysialic acid in BCa is positively correlated with invasiveness and TNM staging in patients' tumors. Furthermore, it has also been shown that the suppression of Sialyl transferase X (STX) results in decreased migratory capacity of MDA-MB-231 cells [\(181\)](#page-17-0). These studies on identifying proteins inside ECM provide us with only a fraction of the overall story since many ECM proteins undergo post-translational modifications, which can also be a target.

3 EMT pathways regulators

Numerous signaling pathways, including TGF- β , Wnt/ β catenin, Notch, TNF-a/NF-kB, Hedgehog (Hh), and receptor tyrosine kinase (RTKs), are involved in EMT regulation ([Figure 4](#page-10-0)) ([197](#page-17-0)). The transcription factors Snail, ZEB1/2, and TWIST, along with miRNA, epigenetic regulators, and alternative splicing, are regulated by these pathways during cancer progression ([198\)](#page-17-0). Furthermore, it has been suggested that EMT facilitates the progression of early-stage primary tumors into invasive malignancies and contributes to the development of cancer cells exhibiting stem cell-like properties ([36](#page-14-0)). These properties include enhanced self-renewal capacity, the ability to initiate tumor formation, and resistance to programmed cell death and chemotherapy [\(199\)](#page-17-0).

3.1 TGF- β pathway in EMT

 $TGF-\beta$ is a critical cytokine that exhibits a significant role in initiating EMT programming ([200\)](#page-17-0). It plays a vital role in activating EMT and interaction with downstream signaling pathways during tumorigenesis [\(45](#page-14-0)). The dysregulation of TGF- β expression has been involved in the development of different types of cancer, including breast carcinogenesis [\(149\)](#page-16-0). In EMT, intracellular Smad2/ 3 transducer proteins trigger the TGF- β signaling pathway ([201\)](#page-17-0). There are three types of TGF- β involved in Smad-dependent signaling: TGF- β 1, TGF- β 2, and TGF- β 3, which are linked to three distinct receptor types: types I, II, and III. When TGF- β binds to TGF-βR-II, TGF-βR-I is activated, triggering the Smad2/3-dependent signaling pathways [\(202](#page-17-0)). TGF- β receptors activate

Smad2/3, resulting in an active complex of Smad2/3 and Smad4 that regulates the altered expression of different genes associated with EMT ([203\)](#page-17-0). The studies found the suppression of invasiveness due to decreased expression of Smad2 and Smad3 [\(204](#page-17-0)). On the other hand, upregulation of Smad2 and Smad3 expression is associated with EMT [\(205](#page-17-0)). TGF- β activates the AKT/PI3K, Ras/Raf/MEK/ ERK, and Wnt/β -catenin signaling pathways, which produce epithelial proteins in non-Smad signaling pathways ([206\)](#page-17-0). The regulation of transcription factors, including Snail, Slug, ZEB1/2, and TWIST, is mediated through Smad-dependent and Non-Smad pathways ([207\)](#page-17-0). The interaction of TGF- β with several signaling pathways, such as Notch, Wnt/b-catenin, nuclear factor NF-kB, and RTKs, results in the induction of EMT and is essential for preserving the mesenchymal characteristic of invasive and metastatic tumor cells ([208\)](#page-17-0). TGF- β signaling during EMT modifies the tight junction formation and triggers the activation of additional signaling pathways, including Wnt, Notch, and Hh MAPK pathways. TGF- β regulates various gene expressions, including core transcription factors Twist (TWIST 1 and TWIST 2), SNAI (SNAI 1 and SNAI 2), ZEB (ZEB 1 and ZEB 2), and Six family of homeobox (Six1) ([209](#page-17-0)). The transcription of E-cadherin, occludin, and claudin is also regulated by TGF- β ([208\)](#page-17-0). TGF- β overexpression in BCa is linked to increased EMT ([197](#page-17-0)). Its relationship to BCa stem cells in EMT has recently been discovered ([210\)](#page-17-0).

3.2 The Wnt/ β -catenin pathway in EMT

The Wnt/b-catenin pathway is essential in EMT regulation in BCa. Many studies have shown the involvement of Wnt signaling in BCa metastasis, immune microenvironment, stemness maintenance, and resistance to therapies ([211\)](#page-17-0). The Wnt signaling pathway is controlled by either canonically (β -catenindependent expression) or non-canonically (β-catenin-independent expression) [\(212](#page-17-0)). The studies revealed that accumulation of β catenin in the nucleus is the reason for the poor prognosis of BCa ([213\)](#page-17-0). The role of glycogen synthase kinase-3 beta (GSK-3 β) in regulating b-catenin expression has been elucidated. The increase in the phosphorylation of GSK3 β causes the degradation of β -catenin and regulates the Wnt signaling pathway ([214](#page-17-0)). This pathway can regulate the expression of Snail and β -catenin, facilitating EMT and promoting metastasis through inhibition of GSK-3 β activity ([215\)](#page-17-0). The elevation of SNAI1 expression activates Wnt/β -catenin, resulting in downregulation of E-cadherin and overexpression of vimentin within BCa cells ([216\)](#page-17-0). According to reports, the development of several types of BCa is associated with aberrant expression and sub-cellular localization of β -catenin correlated to activation of the Wnt signaling system ([217\)](#page-17-0). Even though the Wnt pathway is thought to be linked to the EMT in BCa, β -catenin is not enough to cause EMT on its own ([218](#page-17-0)). β -catenin acts as a molecular bridge in tight junctions of epithelial cells and promotes cell-cell adhesion ([219\)](#page-17-0). The process of EMT involves the stabilization of β -catenin and the activation of the Wnt signaling pathway. This activation is closely associated with the involvement of a transcription factor known as T-cell factor/lymphoid enhancer factor (TCF/LEF) and several other components ([220\)](#page-17-0).

3.3 Notch pathway in EMT

The Notch pathway is involved in regulation and cell survival during cell development. It plays a vital role in the initiation and progression of cancer ([221](#page-17-0)). Four Notch receptors and five ligands have been reported [\(222\)](#page-17-0). Unusual or deviating from the norm. The association between Notch signaling and the TNBC subtype has been well established [\(223](#page-17-0)). The over-expression of the Notch receptor is associated with the aggressive, metastatic, and therapyresistant phenotype that is the hallmark of TNBC. Deregulation of the Notch pathway with notch 1, 2, 3, and 4 receptors and ligands (Jagged1, 2 and Delta-like1, 3, 4) are known to be involved in the induction of breast cancer mesenchymal phenotype via interacting with RTKs, MAPK and PI3K signaling [\(224\)](#page-17-0). The canonical Notch pathway operates through the interaction of the two important ligands delta and Jagged, creating two rounds of dissociation of the Notch receptor at the S2 point [\(225](#page-18-0)). The first cleavage is mediated by ADAM10 or ADAM17, followed by the second with γ -secretase generating Notch intracellular domain (NICD) that induces slug-mediated EMT [\(226\)](#page-18-0) as outlined in [Figure 4](#page-10-0). The activation of the Notch signaling system induces the NF- κ B pathway and regulates TGF- β involved in EMT programming. NUMB is an essential gene that mediates Notch signaling. It has been identified as a suppressor of EMT in human epithelial cells and TNBC cells [\(225](#page-18-0)). The downregulation of NUMB has been correlated with the increase in EMT ([227\)](#page-18-0). The association between the upregulation of Notch signaling and the overall survival rate of TNBC patients has already been demonstrated ([228\)](#page-18-0). The expression of Snail is regulated by Notch signaling via transcriptionally activating either Snail or lysyl oxidase (LOX) ([229\)](#page-18-0). Several studies revealed an association between Notch activation and hypoxia. One of the critical factors regulating tumor metastasis is hypoxia. Notch is a crucial bridge connecting the hypoxia response to EMT [\(230\)](#page-18-0). Notch signaling increases the expression of LOX by triggering a hypoxiainducible factor 1- α (HIF-1 α). This, in turn, stabilizes Snail and leads to the upregulation of EMT programming, which induces the invasion of cancer cells ([231\)](#page-18-0). Notch 1- triggers the EMT process in TNBC [\(228,](#page-18-0) [232\)](#page-18-0). Notch 2 was found to be involved in TNBC [\(228\)](#page-18-0). Notch 3 is well known for its anti-metastatic or inhibitor of the EMT pathway via estrogen receptor (ERa) and GATA3 ([233\)](#page-18-0). Notch 4 signaling has been found to activate the EMT process in TNBC ([234](#page-18-0)). However, Further evidence suggested that Jagged1-mediated activation of the Notch intracellular domain (NotchIC) through positive regulation of Slug suppresses E-Cadherin, resulting in EMT induction in breast

malignancies [\(235](#page-18-0)). A report revealed a correlation between TGF- β and Notch activity. Elevated Notch signaling mediated by Smad3 upregulates the expression of Jagged1 and HEY1, thereby inducing upregulation of Slug expression and subsequently inhibiting Ecadherin [\(229\)](#page-18-0).

3.4 TNF- α /NF- κ B signaling pathway in EMT

TNF- α is a transmembrane protein having a molecular weight of 26 kDa. It is a crucial cytokine in inflammation, cellular homeostasis, and tumor progression ([236](#page-18-0)). It promotes angiogenesis, invasion, and metastasis related to EMT reprogramming by activating MMP 9 and preventing E-cadherin. The upregulation of TWIST 1 is associated with the induction of TNF- α in EMT. The upregulation of TNF- α showed an association with enhanced metastatic potential and invasiveness of BCa cells ([237\)](#page-18-0). Recent findings suggest that TWIST 1 activity is essential in promoting mouse BCa cell metastasis [\(238\)](#page-18-0). Recent studies have shown that prolonged exposure to TNF- α activates NF- κ B and IKK- β , leading to EMT and the transcriptional repressor TWIST 1 and cancer stemness ([239\)](#page-18-0). The direct association between expression of TNF- α by peripheral blood T lymphocytes and EMT markers present in circulating tumor cells is reported ([240\)](#page-18-0). The activation of NF-k^B is associated with Snail, Slug, TWIST, ZEB1/2, and NF-kB activation ([236](#page-18-0)). The study has revealed the activation of NF-kB leads to Snail stabilization by degrading GSK-3 β in the TNF- α /NF- κ B activation pathway [\(236\)](#page-18-0). Similarly, vimentin and MMPs of mesenchymal cell markers are also activated by NF- κ B ([241](#page-18-0)).

3.5 Hedgehog pathway in EMT

The hedgehog (Hh) pathway, associated with stem cell renewal, is another signaling system involved in the EMT of BCa. It also requires tissue homeostasis and embryonic development ([242\)](#page-18-0). Three glioma-associated oncogenes (GLI) transcription factors, GLI1, GLI2, and GLI3, have a role in either inhibiting or activating the transcription of these components in the Hh pathway [\(243](#page-18-0)). The role of the Hh pathway in EMT-derived BCa has already been established. The high expression level of GLI1 in BCa cells attaining EMT has already been reported ([244\)](#page-18-0). The role of the Hh pathway in cancer cell stemness and the interplay between NF-kB and GLI1 is also studied. Like the Wnt pathways, it is regulated through canonical or non-canonical signaling. According to a study, it has been revealed that non-canonical activation of GLI1 by hypoxia or other inflammatory cytokines can lead to the induction of EMT, BCa invasiveness, and drug resistance ([245\)](#page-18-0). The expression of GLI1 and its role in EMT in BCa via the Hh pathway has been confirmed through in vivo studies [\(246\)](#page-18-0).

3.6 PI3K-AKT signaling pathway in EMT

Several RTKs have been identified for their role in the EMT of BCa cells [\(207](#page-17-0)). There are various factors involved in the activation of RTKs, including hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), epidermal growth factor (EGF), and fibroblast growth factor (FGF). HGF has a role in epithelial differentiation upon downregulating E-cadherin, which is responsible for tumor metastasis. The HGF pathway is also linked to the Snail transcription factor, which induces EMT [\(207](#page-17-0)). MAPK and PI3K are two signaling pathways along with $TGF-\beta$ control invasion and EMT in BCa [\(197\)](#page-17-0). Ras-activated MAPK stimulates TWIST 1 serine 68 phosphorylation and stabilization of PI3K signaling, which causes EMT and invasion of BCa cells ([247](#page-18-0)). Recent studies indicated a possible connection between RTK, Wnt, and EGFR signaling [\(248\)](#page-18-0). Though studies revealed the importance of RTK signaling and its role in EMT, various signaling pathways are also involved in EMT. The activation of the RTK pathway alone is not enough to induce EMT; multiple pathways are also involved.

4 Prospects and challenges

The inhibition of EMT inducers is necessary for metastasis and migration suppression [\(32\)](#page-14-0). The strategies to induce differentiation and target EMT alone may result in adverse effects via the proliferation of metastatic cells. Therefore, targeted therapies combining various EMT marker molecules involved in different cell cycles are the novel approach. Although biomarker genes, miRNA, lncRNA, and multiple proteins of the TNBC secretome have made significant advances as therapeutic targets for particular tumors and as a potential molecular indicator for early clinical detection, there is still much to understand about their proneness of specific drug resistance and new strategies to target the TNBC [\(249\)](#page-18-0). However, recent studies have shown that these biomarker genes are essential for tumorigenesis and progression of several tumors, including BCa,

particularly TNBC, which exhibits challenges to available therapy due to its aggressive behavior ([214](#page-17-0)). A substantial amount of data shows that differential expression of these genes has been significantly associated with BCa subtypes [\(250\)](#page-18-0). It is well known that the need for effective targeted drugs to improve the survival rate of patients with distant metastasis is discouraging ([251](#page-18-0)). The identification and function of metastasis-related molecular markers such as miRNA and lncRNA and proteins will lead to significant progress.

5 Conclusions

Tumor cell invasion, metastasis, and EMT are responsible for the development of advanced-stage BCa, which needs an effective approach to reduce the tumor burden and improve the patient's survival. Multiple signaling pathways and factors work together to make these complicated systems operate in BCa patients. This review describes the factors involved and the regulation of signaling pathways Figure 5. Evidence from studies shows that EMT is linked not only to tumor cell invasion and metastasis but also can give tumor stemness properties and induce drug resistance in the cells [\(36\)](#page-14-0). Consequently, cancer cells with an EMT phenotype show more aggressive behaviors, such as drug resistance, stress, apoptosis, suppression of senescence, immunological evasion, and the acquisition of stem cell-like characteristics, in addition to their mesenchymal characteristics. The association of tumor EMT with autophagy and the surrounding microenvironment has been revealed from the studies. Inflammation, immune cells, tumor-associated fibroblasts, extracellular matrix, signaling chemicals, excessive acidity, and low oxygen are related to the tumor microenvironment. For example, the inflammatory microenvironment within the tumor can result in the EMT

Overview summary shows EMT's role and associated factors in TNBC pathogenicity. Various transcription factors and markers involved in TNBC metastasis due to EMT are presented. These factors have been discussed as potential approaches for targeted therapy. The role of different signaling pathways in EMT regulation is also reviewed to give insights into specific drug targets against TNBC.

phenotype of the tumor cells. Hypoxia induction and inflammatory factors in the tumor microenvironment simultaneously trigger EMT, and the tumor microenvironment is also involved in the process of EMT. Therefore, EMT and the tumor microenvironment interact and affect each other to enhance tumor metastasis.

Additionally, since autophagy plays a role in tumor cell invasion and metastasis, a strong correlation has been shown between the emergence of EMT and autophagy. Tumor cells increase the production of autophagosomes, which contributes significantly to the development of EMT and increases tumor resistance against the immune system. Therefore, a strategic approach of combining different agents that can target EMT at multiple levels along with minimum side effects would aid in developing EMT as a successful novel target for tumors and cancer therapy.

Author contributions

MH: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. RS: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MM: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

References

1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Wagle NS, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2023. CA Cancer J Clin. (2023) 73:17–48. doi: [10.3322/caac.21763](https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21763)

2. Yi M, Li T, Niu M, Luo S, Chu Q, Wu K. Epidemiological trends of women's cancers from 1990 to 2019 at the global, regional, and national levels: a populationbased study. biomark Res. (2021) 9:55. doi: [10.1186/s40364-021-00310-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40364-021-00310-y)

3. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA: Cancer J Clin. (2021) 71:209–49. doi: [10.3322/](https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660) [caac.21660](https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660)

4. Palomeras S, Ruiz-Martínez S, Puig T. Targeting breast cancer stem cells to overcome treatment resistance. Molecules. (2018) 23:2193. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23092193) [molecules23092193](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23092193)

5. Goldhirsch A, Winer EP, Coates A, Gelber R, Piccart-Gebhart M, Thürlimann B, et al. Personalizing the treatment of women with early breast cancer: highlights of the St Gallen International Expert Consensus on the Primary Therapy of Early Breast Cancer 2013. Ann Oncol. (2013) 24:2206–23. doi: [10.1093/annonc/mdt303](https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdt303)

6. Borri F, Granaglia A. Pathology of triple negative breast cancer, Seminars in cancer biology. Elsevier. (2021) 72:136–45. doi: [10.1016/j.semcancer.2020.06.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2020.06.005)

7. Poteat TC, Adams MA, Malone J, Geffen S, Greene N, Nodzenski M, et al. Delays in breast cancer care by race and sexual orientation: results from a national survey with diverse women in the United States. Cancer. (2021) 127:3514–22. doi: [10.1002/cncr.v127.19](https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.v127.19)

8. Perou CM, Sørlie T, Eisen MB, Van De Rijn M, Jeffrey SS, Rees CA, et al. Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature. (2000) 406:747–52. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/35021093) [35021093](https://doi.org/10.1038/35021093)

9. Dai X, Cheng H, Bai Z, Li J. Breast cancer cell line classification and its relevance with breast tumor subtyping. J Cancer. (2017) 8:3131. doi: [10.7150/jca.18457](https://doi.org/10.7150/jca.18457)

10. Dent R, Trudeau M, Pritchard KI, Hanna WM, Kahn HK, Sawka CA, et al. Triple-negative breast cancer: clinical features and patterns of recurrence. Clin Cancer Res. (2007) 13:4429–34. doi: [10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-3045](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-3045)

11. Morris GJ, Naidu S, Topham AK, Guiles F, Xu Y, McCue P, et al. Differences in breast carcinoma characteristics in newly diagnosed African–American and Caucasian patients: A single-institution compilation compared with the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology, and end results database. Cancer: Interdiscip Int J Am Cancer Soc. (2007) 110:876–84. doi: [10.1002/cncr.v110:4](https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.v110:4)

12. Schmid P, Adams S, Rugo HS, Schneeweiss A, Barrios CH, Iwata H, et al. Atezolizumab and nab-paclitaxel in advanced triple-negative breast cancer. New Engl J Med. (2018) 379:2108–21. doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa1809615](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1809615)

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation [grant number 1912322; American Cancer Society [grant number DICRIDG-22-1037199-01; and National Institutes of Health grants (R25AG070244 and UO1GM132769) to MM.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

13. Palmieri C, Brown K, Fraser A, Lough J, Thomas S, Wilson J, et al. A cohort study of the variations in stage of initial presentation of breast cancer by age, deprivation and ethnicity in England 2019-2023. Deprivation Ethnicity Engl. $(2019).$

14. Dong B, Yi M, Luo S, Li A, Wu K. RDGN-based predictive model for the prognosis of breast cancer. Exp Hematol Oncol. (2020) 9:1–12. doi: [10.1186/s40164-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40164-020-00169-z) [020-00169-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40164-020-00169-z)

15. Garrido-Castro AC, Lin NU, Polyak K. Insights into molecular classifications of triple-negative breast cancer: improving patient selection for treatment. Cancer Discovery. (2019) 9:176–98. doi: [10.1158/2159-8290.CD-18-1177](https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-18-1177)

16. Kumar H, Gupta NV, Jain R, Madhunapantula SV, Babu CS, Kesharwani SS, et al. A review of biological targets and therapeutic approaches in the management of triple-negative breast cancer. J Advanced Res. (2023) 54:271–92. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2023.02.005) [j.jare.2023.02.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2023.02.005)

17. Leon-Ferre RA, Goetz MP. Advances in systemic therapies for triple negative breast cancer. BMJ. (2023) 381. doi: [10.1136/bmj-2022-071674](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2022-071674)

18. Spinola-Lasso E, Montero JC, Jiménez-Monzón R, Estévez F, Quintana J, Guerra B, et al. Chemical-proteomics identify peroxiredoxin-1 as an actionable target in triplenegative breast cancer. Int J Biol Sci. (2023) 19:1731. doi: [10.7150/ijbs.78554](https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.78554)

19. Yi M, Zhang D, Song B, Zhao B, Niu M, Wu Y, et al. Increased expression of ECT2 predicts the poor prognosis of breast cancer patients. Exp Hematol Oncol. (2022) 11:107. doi: [10.1186/s40164-022-00361-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40164-022-00361-3)

20. Guo L, Kong D, Liu J, Zhan L, Luo L, Zheng W, et al. Breast cancer heterogeneity and its implication in personalized precision therapy. Exp Hematol Oncol. (2023) 12:1– 27. doi: [10.1186/s40164-022-00363-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40164-022-00363-1)

21. Zhu S, Wu Y, Song B, Yi M, Yan Y, Mei Q, et al. Recent advances in targeted strategies for triple-negative breast cancer. J Hematol Oncol. (2023) 16:1–36. doi: [10.1186/s13045-023-01497-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-023-01497-3)

22. Alibert C, Goud B, Manneville JB. Are cancer cells really softer than normal cells? Biol Cell. (2017) 109:167–89. doi: [10.1016/j.semcancer.2020.06.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2020.06.005)

23. Karamanos NK. Translating extracellular matrix: From cancer progression to therapeutics. Semin Cancer Biol. (2019) 62:iii–v. doi: [10.1016/j.semcancer.2019.10.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2019.10.014)

24. Kalluri R, Neilson EG. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition and its implications for fibrosis. J Clin Invest. (2003) 112:1776–84. doi: [10.1172/JCI200320530](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI200320530)

25. Nieto MA, Huang RY-J, Jackson RA, Thiery JP. EMT: 2016. Cell. (2016) 166:21– 45. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2016.06.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.06.028)

26. Mani SA, Guo W, Liao M-J, Eaton EN, Ayyanan A, Zhou AY, et al. The epithelial-mesenchymal transition generates cells with properties of stem cells. Cell. (2008) 133:704–15. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2008.03.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.03.027)

27. Grasset EM, Dunworth M, Sharma G, Loth M, Tandurella J, Cimino-Mathews A, et al. Triple-negative breast cancer metastasis involves complex epithelialmesenchymal transition dynamics and requires vimentin. Sci Trans Med. (2022) 14: eabn7571. doi: [10.1126/scitranslmed.abn7571](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.abn7571)

28. Moses H, Barcellos-Hoff MH. TGF- β biology in mammary development and breast cancer. Cold Spring Harbor Perspect Biol. (2011) 3:a003277. doi: [10.1101/](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a003277) [cshperspect.a003277](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a003277)

29. Massagué J. TGFβ in cancer. Cell. (2008) 134:215-30.

30. Faheem MM, ur Rasool R, Ahmad SM, Jamwal VL, Chakraborty S, Katoch A, et al. Par-4 mediated Smad4 induction in PDAC cells restores canonical TGF-b/Smad4 axis driving the cells towards lethal EMT. Eur J Cell Biol. (2020) 99:151076. doi: [10.1016/j.ejcb.2020.151076](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejcb.2020.151076)

31. Sharma P. Biology and management of patients with triple-negative breast cancer. Oncol. (2016) 21:1050–62. doi: [10.1634/theoncologist.2016-0067](https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2016-0067)

32. Martin TA, Goyal A, Watkins G, Jiang WG. Expression of the transcription factors snail, slug, and twist and their clinical significance in human breast cancer. Ann Surg Oncol. (2005) 12:488–96. doi: [10.1245/ASO.2005.04.010](https://doi.org/10.1245/ASO.2005.04.010)

33. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. cell. (2011) 144:646–74. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013)

34. Casas E, Kim J, Bendesky A, Ohno-MaChado L, Wolfe CJ, Yang J. Snail2 is an essential mediator of Twist1-induced epithelial mesenchymal transition and metastasis. Cancer Res. (2011) 71:245–54. doi: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-2330](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-2330)

35. Dave N, Guaita-Esteruelas S, Gutarra S, Frias A, Beltran M, Peiro S, et al. Functional cooperation between Snail1 and twist in the regulation of ZEB1 expression during epithelial to mesenchymal transition. *J Biol Chem*. (2011) 286:12024-32. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M110.168625](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.168625)

36. Blick T, Hugo H, Widodo E, Waltham M, Pinto C, Mani SA, et al. Epithelial mesenchymal transition traits in human breast cancer cell lines parallel the CD44 hi/ CD24 lo/-stem cell phenotype in human breast cancer. J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia. (2010) 15:235–52. doi: [10.1007/s10911-010-9175-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10911-010-9175-z)

37. Singh A, Settleman J. EMT, cancer stem cells and drug resistance: an emerging axis of evil in the war on cancer. Oncogene. (2010) 29:4741-51. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2010.215) [onc.2010.215](https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2010.215)

38. Stefani G, Slack FJ. Small non-coding RNAs in animal development. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. (2008) 9:219–30. doi: [10.1038/nrm2347](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2347)

39. Gregory PA, Bert AG, Paterson EL, Barry SC, Tsykin A, Farshid G, et al. The miR-200 family and miR-205 regulate epithelial to mesenchymal transition by targeting ZEB1 and SIP1. Nat Cell Biol. (2008) 10:593–601. doi: [10.1038/ncb1722](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1722)

40. Paul A, Gunewardena S, Stecklein S, Saha B, Parelkar N, Danley M, et al. PKC λ /1 signaling promotes triple-negative breast cancer growth and metastasis. Cell Death Differentiation. (2014) 21:1469–81. doi: [10.1038/cdd.2014.62](https://doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2014.62)

41. Joberty G, Petersen C, Gao L, Macara IG. The cell-polarity protein Par6 links Par3 and atypical protein kinase C to Cdc42. Nat Cell Biol. (2000) 2:531-9. doi: [10.1038/35019573](https://doi.org/10.1038/35019573)

42. Paul A, Danley M, Saha B, Tawfik O, Paul S. PKCZ promotes breast cancer invasion by regulating expression of E-cadherin and Zonula Occludens-1 (ZO-1) via NFkB-p65. Sci Rep. (2015) 5:12520. doi: [10.1038/srep12520](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep12520)

43. Shamir ER, Pappalardo E, Jorgens DM, Coutinho K, Tsai W-T, Aziz K, et al. Twist1-induced dissemination preserves epithelial identity and requires E-cadherin. J Cell Biol. (2014) 204:839–56. doi: [10.1083/jcb.201306088](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201306088)

44. Hogan BL, Kolodziej PA. Molecular mechanisms of tubulogenesis. Nat Rev Genet. (2002) 3:513–23. doi: [10.1038/nrg840](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg840)

45. Sipos F, Galamb O. Epithelial-to-mesenchymal and mesenchymal-to-epithelial transitions in the colon. World J Gastroenterol: WJG. (2012) 18:601. doi: [10.3748/](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v18.i7.601) [wjg.v18.i7.601](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v18.i7.601)

46. Hwang JS, Lai TH, Ahmed M, Pham TM, Elashkar O, Bahar E, et al. Regulation of TGF-b 1-induced EMT by autophagy-dependent energy metabolism in cancer cells. Cancers. (2022) 14:4845. doi: [10.3390/cancers14194845](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers14194845)

47. Won KA, Spruck C. Triple−negative breast cancer therapy: Current and future perspectives. Int J Oncol. (2020) 57:1245–61. doi: [10.3892/ijo.2020.5135](https://doi.org/10.3892/ijo.2020.5135)

48. Wu Q, Qian W, Sun X, Jiang S. Small-molecule inhibitors, immune checkpoint inhibitors, and more: FDA-approved novel therapeutic drugs for solid tumors from 1991 to 2021. J Hematol Oncol. (2022) 15:1–63. doi: [10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-022-01362-9) [s13045-022-01362-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-022-01362-9)

49. Douedi S, Carson MP. Anthracycline medications (doxorubicin). (2019).

50. Rubens R, Sexton S, Tong D, Winter P, Knight R, Hayward J. Combined chemotherapy and radiotherapy for locally advanced breast cancer. Eur J Cancer. (1980) 16:351–6. doi: [10.1016/0014-2964\(80\)90352-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-2964(80)90352-7)

51. Seltzer S, Corrigan M, O'Reilly S. The clinicomolecular landscape of de novo versus relapsed stage IV metastatic breast cancer. Exp Mol Pathol. (2020) 114:104404. doi: [10.1016/j.yexmp.2020.104404](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexmp.2020.104404)

52. Leone JP, Lee AV, Brufsky AM. Prognostic factors and survival of patients with brain metastasis from breast cancer who underwent craniotomy. Cancer Med. (2015) 4:989–94. doi: [10.1002/cam4.2015.4.issue-7](https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.2015.4.issue-7)

53. Caswell-Jin JL, Plevritis SK, Tian L, Cadham CJ, Xu C, Stout NK, et al. Change in survival in metastatic breast cancer with treatment advances: meta-analysis and systematic review. JNCI Cancer Spectr. (2018) 2:pky062. doi: [10.1093/jncics/pky062](https://doi.org/10.1093/jncics/pky062)

54. O'Shaughnessy J, Schwartzberg L, Danso MA, Miller KD, Rugo HS, Neubauer M, et al. Phase III study of iniparib plus gemcitabine and carboplatin versus emcitabine and carboplatin in patients with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. (2014) 32:3840–7. doi: [10.1200/JCO.2014.55.2984](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2014.55.2984)

55. Plevritis SK, Munoz D, Kurian AW, Stout NK, Alagoz O, Near AM, et al. Association of screening and treatment with breast cancer mortality by molecular subtype in US women, 2000-2012. Jama. (2018) 319:154–64. doi: [10.1001/](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.19130) [jama.2017.19130](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.19130)

56. Safonov A, Jiang T, Bianchini G, Győrffy B, Karn T, Hatzis C, et al. Immune gene expression is associated with genomic aberrations in breast cancer. Cancer Res. (2017) 77:3317–24. doi: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-3478](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-3478)

57. Stanton SE, Adams S, Disis ML. Variation in the incidence and magnitude of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in breast cancer subtypes: a systematic review. JAMA Oncol. (2016) 2:1354–60. doi: [10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.1061](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.1061)

58. Das PK, Islam F, Lam AK. The roles of cancer stem cells and therapy resistance in colorectal carcinoma. Cells. (2020) 9:1392. doi: [10.3390/cells9061392](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9061392)

59. Longley DB, Harkin DP, Johnston PG. 5-fluorouracil: mechanisms of action and clinical strategies. Nat Rev Cancer. (2003) 3:330–8. doi: [10.1038/nrc1074](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc1074)

60. Chen N-N, Ma X-D, Miao Z, Zhang X-M, Han B-Y, Almaamari AA, et al. Doxorubicin resistance in breast cancer is mediated via the activation of FABP5/PPARg and CaMKII signaling pathway. Front Pharmacol. (2023) 14:1150861. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2023.1150861) [fphar.2023.1150861](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2023.1150861)

61. van der Zanden SY, Qiao X, Neefjes J. New insights into the activities and toxicities of the old anticancer drug doxorubicin. FEBS J. (2021) 288:6095–111. doi: [10.1111/febs.v288.21](https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.v288.21)

62. Bernatsky S, Clarke AE, Suissa S. Hematologic Malignant neoplasms after drug exposure in rheumatoid arthritis. Arch Internal Med. (2008) 168:378–81. doi: [10.1001/](https://doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2007.107) [archinternmed.2007.107](https://doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2007.107)

63. Lutsiak MC, Semnani RT, De Pascalis R, Kashmiri SV, Schlom J, Sabzevari H. Inhibition of CD4 + 25+ T regulatory cell function implicated in enhanced immune response by low-dose cyclophosphamide. Blood. (2005) 105:2862–8. doi: [10.1182/](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2004-06-2410) [blood-2004-06-2410](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2004-06-2410)

64. Hammond WA, Swaika A, Mody K. Pharmacologic resistance in colorectal cancer: a review. Ther Adv Med Oncol. (2016) 8:57–84. doi: [10.1177/1758834015614530](https://doi.org/10.1177/1758834015614530)

65. Airley R. Cancer chemotherapy: basic science to the clinic. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons (2009).

66. Maeda S, Saimura M, Minami S, Kurashita K, Nishimura R, Kai Y, et al. Efficacy and safety of eribulin as first-to third-line treatment in patients with advanced or metastatic breast cancer previously treated with anthracyclines and taxanes. Breast. (2017) 32:66–72. doi: [10.1016/j.breast.2016.12.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.breast.2016.12.017)

67. O'Shaughnessy J, Kaklamani V, Kalinsky K. Perspectives on the mechanism of action and clinical application of eribulin for metastatic breast cancer. Future Oncol. (2019) 15:1641–53. doi: [10.2217/fon-2018-0936](https://doi.org/10.2217/fon-2018-0936)

68. Noll DM, Mason TM, Miller PS. Formation and repair of interstrand cross-links in DNA. Chem Rev. (2006) 106:277–301. doi: [10.1021/cr040478b](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr040478b)

69. Tchounwou PB, Dasari S, Noubissi FK, Ray P, Kumar S. Advances in our understanding of the molecular mechanisms of action of cisplatin in cancer therapy. J Exp Pharmacol. (2021) 13:303–28. doi: [10.2147/JEP.S267383](https://doi.org/10.2147/JEP.S267383)

70. Yamaguchi A, Kawaguchi K, Kawanishi K, Maeshima Y, Nakakura A, Kataoka TR, et al. Comparison of cisplatin-based versus standard preoperative chemotherapy in patients with operable triple-negative breast cancer: propensity score matching and inverse probability of treatment weighting analysis. Breast Cancer Res Treat. (2024) 204:261–75. doi: [10.1007/s10549-023-07163-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-023-07163-z)

71. Buqué A, Bloy N, Aranda F, Castoldi F, Eggermont A, Cremer I, et al. Trial Watch: Immunomodulatory monoclonal antibodies for oncological indications. Oncoimmunology. (2015) 4:e1008814. doi: [10.1080/2162402X.2015.1008814](https://doi.org/10.1080/2162402X.2015.1008814)

72. Dent R, André F, Gonçalves A, Martin M, Schmid P, Schütz F, et al. IMpassion132 double-blind randomised phase III trial of chemotherapy with or without atezolizumab for early relapsing unresectable locally advanced or metastatic triple-negative breast cancer. Ann Oncol. (2024) 35:630–42. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esmoop.2024.103202) [j.esmoop.2024.103202](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esmoop.2024.103202)

73. Boussios S, Abson C, Moschetta M, Rassy E, Karathanasi A, Bhat T, et al. Poly (ADP-Ribose) polymerase inhibitors: talazoparib in ovarian cancer and beyond. Drugs R&D. (2020) 20:55–73. doi: [10.1007/s40268-020-00301-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40268-020-00301-8)

74. Goulooze SC, Cohen AF, Rissmann R. Olaparib. Br J Clin Pharmacol. (2016) 81:171–3. doi: [10.1111/bcp.12761](https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.12761)

75. Ben-Hamo R, Zilberberg A, Cohen H, Bahar-Shany K, Wachtel C, Korach J, et al. Resistance to paclitaxel is associated with a variant of the gene BCL2 in multiple tumor types. NPJ Precis Oncol. (2019) 3:12. doi: [10.1038/s41698-019-0084-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41698-019-0084-3)

76. Kampan NC, Madondo MT, McNally OM, Quinn M, Plebanski M. Paclitaxel and its evolving role in the management of ovarian cancer. BioMed Res Int. (2015) 2015:413076. doi: [10.1155/2015/413076](https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/413076)

77. Masuda H, Zhang D, Bartholomeusz C, Doihara H, Hortobagyi GN, Ueno NT. Role of epidermal growth factor receptor in breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat. (2012) 136:331–45. doi: [10.1007/s10549-012-2289-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-012-2289-9)

78. Higa GM, Abraham J. Lapatinib in the treatment of breast cancer. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther. (2007) 7:1183–92. doi: [10.1586/14737140.7.9.1183](https://doi.org/10.1586/14737140.7.9.1183)

79. Chang AY, Wang M. Molecular mechanisms of action and potential biomarkers of growth inhibition of dasatinib (BMS-354825) on hepatocellular carcinoma cells. BMC Cancer. (2013) 13:1–12. doi: [10.1186/1471-2407-13-267](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-13-267)

80. Osguthorpe D, Hagler A. Mechanism of androgen receptor antagonism by bicalutamide in the treatment of prostate cancer. Biochemistry. (2011) 50:4105–13. doi: [10.1021/bi102059z](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi102059z)

81. Dudley JC, Lin M-T, Le DT, Eshleman JR. Microsatellite instability as a biomarker for PD-1 blockade. Clin Cancer Res. (2016) 22:813–20. doi: [10.1158/1078-](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-1678) [0432.CCR-15-1678](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-1678)

82. Kurata K, Kubo M, Mori H, Kawaji H, Motoyama Y, Kuroki L, et al. Abstract P1- 06-11: microsatellite instability in triple negative breast cancers. Cancer Res. (2019) 79. doi: [10.1158/1538-7445.SABCS18-P1-06-11](https://doi.org/10.1158/1538-7445.SABCS18-P1-06-11)

83. Emens LA, Adams S, Barrios C, Diéras V, Iwata H, Loi S, et al. First-line atezolizumab plus nab-paclitaxel for unresectable, locally advanced, or metastatic
triple-negative breast cancer: IMpassion130 final overall survival analysis. A*nn Oncol*. (2021) 32:983–93. doi: [10.1016/j.annonc.2021.05.355](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2021.05.355)

84. Bryant HE, Schultz N, Thomas HD, Parker KM, Flower D, Lopez E, et al. Specific killing of BRCA2-deficient tumours with inhibitors of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase. Nature. (2005) 434:913–7. doi: [10.1038/nature03443](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03443)

85. Farmer H, McCabe N, Lord CJ, Tutt AN, Johnson DA, Richardson TB, et al. Targeting the DNA repair defect in BRCA mutant cells as a therapeutic strategy. Nature. (2005) 434:917–21. doi: [10.1038/nature03445](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03445)

86. Robson M, Im S-A, Senkus E, Xu B, Domchek SM, Masuda N, et al. Olaparib for metastatic breast cancer in patients with a germline BRCA mutation. New Engl J Med. (2017) 377:523–33. doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa1706450](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1706450)

87. Robson M, Tung N, Conte P, Im S-A, Senkus E, Xu B, et al. OlympiAD final overall survival and tolerability results: Olaparib versus chemotherapy treatment of physician's choice in patients with a germline BRCA mutation and HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer. Ann Oncol. (2019) 30:558–66. doi: [10.1093/annonc/mdz012](https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdz012)

88. Litton JK, Rugo HS, Ettl J, Hurvitz SA, Gonçalves A, Lee K-H, et al. Talazoparib in patients with advanced breast cancer and a germline BRCA mutation. New Engl J Med. (2018) 379:753–63. doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa1802905](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1802905)

89. Mustacchi G, De Laurentiis M. The role of taxanes in triple-negative breast cancer: literature review. Drug Design Dev Ther. (2015) 9:4303–18. doi: [10.2147/](https://doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.S86105) [DDDT.S86105](https://doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.S86105)

90. Couch FJ, Hart SN, Sharma P, Toland AE, Wang X, Miron P, et al. Inherited mutations in 17 breast cancer susceptibility genes among a large triple-negative breast cancer cohort unselected for family history of breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. (2015) 33:304. doi: [10.1200/JCO.2014.57.1414](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2014.57.1414)

91. Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Timms KM, Liu S, Chen H, Litton JK, Potter J, et al. Incidence and outcome of BRCA mutations in unselected patients with triple receptor-negative breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res. (2011) 17:1082–9. doi: [10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-2560](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-2560)

92. Aftimos P, Oliveira M, Irrthum A, Fumagalli D, Sotiriou C, Gal-Yam EN, et al. Genomic and transcriptomic analyses of breast cancer primaries and matched metastases in AURORA, the Breast International Group (BIG) molecular screening initiative. Cancer Discovery. (2021) 11:2796–811. doi: [10.1158/2159-8290.CD-20-1647](https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-20-1647)

93. Laderian B, Mundi P, Fojo T, E. Bates S. Emerging therapeutic implications of STK11 mutation: case series. Oncol. (2020) 25:733–7. doi: [10.1634/theoncologist.2019-](https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2019-0846) [0846](https://doi.org/10.1634/theoncologist.2019-0846)

94. Kurian AW, Ford JM. Multigene panel testing in oncology practice: how should we respond? JAMA Oncol. (2015) 1:277–8. doi: [10.1001/jamaoncol.2015.28](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2015.28)

95. Gervas P, Molokov A, Ivanova A, Panferova Y, Kiselev A, Chernyshova A, et al. New germline mutations in BRCA1, ATM, MUTYH, and RAD51D genes in Tuvans early-onset breast cancer patients. Exp Oncol. (2021) 43:52–5. doi: [10.32471/exp](https://doi.org/10.32471/exp-oncology.2312-8852.vol-43-no-1.15587)[oncology.2312-8852.vol-43-no-1.15587](https://doi.org/10.32471/exp-oncology.2312-8852.vol-43-no-1.15587)

96. The Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature. (2012) 490:61–70. doi: [10.1038/nature11412](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11412)

97. Song Y, Barry WT, Seah DS, Tung NM, Garber JE, Lin NU. Patterns of recurrence and metastasis in BRCA1/BRCA2-associated breast cancers. Cancer. (2020) 126:271–80. doi: [10.1002/cncr.v126.2](https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.v126.2)

98. Zhang H, Gao M, Zhao W, Yu L. The chromatin architectural regulator SND1 mediates metastasis in triple-negative breast cancer by promoting CDH1 gene methylation. Breast Cancer Res. (2023) 25:129. doi: [10.1186/s13058-023-01731-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13058-023-01731-3)

99. Li A, Schleicher SM, Andre F, Mitri ZI. Genomic alteration in metastatic breast cancer and its treatment. Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book. (2020) 40:30–43. doi: [10.1200/](https://doi.org/10.1200/EDBK_280463) [EDBK_280463](https://doi.org/10.1200/EDBK_280463)

100. Zhang P, Wei Y, Wang L, Debeb BG, Yuan Y, Zhang J, et al. ATM-mediated stabilization of ZEB1 promotes DNA damage response and radioresistance through CHK1. Nat Cell Biol. (2014) 16:864–75. doi: [10.1038/ncb3013](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb3013)

101. Ś niadecki M, Brzeziński M, Darecka K, Klasa-Mazurkiewicz D, Poniewierza P, Krzeszowiec M, et al. BARD1 and breast cancer: the possibility of creating screening tests and new preventive and therapeutic pathways for predisposed women. Genes. (2020) 11:1251. doi: [10.3390/genes11111251](https://doi.org/10.3390/genes11111251)

102. Cybulski C, Wokołorczyk D, Jakubowska A, Huzarski T, Byrski T, Gronwald J, et al. Risk of breast cancer in women with a CHEK2 mutation with and without a family history of breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. (2011) 29:3747–52. doi: [10.1200/](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2010.34.0778) [JCO.2010.34.0778](https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2010.34.0778)

103. Wan H, Yang X, Sang G, Ruan Z, Ling Z, Zhang M, et al. CDKN2A was a cuproptosis-related gene in regulating chemotherapy resistance by the MAGE-A family in breast cancer: based on artificial intelligence (AI)-constructed pan-cancer risk model. Aging. (2023) 15:11244–67. doi: [10.18632/aging.205125](https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.205125)

104. Massey S, Khan MA, Rab SO, Mustafa S, Khan A, Malik Z, et al. Evaluating the role of MEN1 gene expression and its clinical significance in breast cancer patients. PloS One. (2023) 18:e0288482. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0288482](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0288482)

105. Mo J, Borcherding N, Jo S, Tithi TI, Cho E, Cash KE, et al. Contrasting roles of different mismatch repair proteins in basal-like breast cancer. bioRxiv. (2023). doi: [10.1101/2023.07.20.549745](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.07.20.549745)

106. Chen C, Lin C-J, Pei Y-C, Ma D, Liao L, Li S-Y, et al. Comprehensive genomic profiling of breast cancers characterizes germline-somatic mutation interactions
mediating therapeutic vulnerabilities. Cell Discovery. (2023) 9:125. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41421-023-00614-3) [s41421-023-00614-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41421-023-00614-3)

107. Xie D, Chen Y, Wan X, Li J, Pei Q, Luo Y, et al. The potential role of CDH1 as an oncogene combined with related miRNAs and their diagnostic value in breast cancer. Front Endocrinol. (2022) 13:916469. doi: [10.3389/fendo.2022.916469](https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2022.916469)

108. Wang Y-Y, Hung AC, Lo S, Hsieh Y-C, Yuan S-SF. MRE11 as a molecular signature and therapeutic target for cancer treatment with radiotherapy. Cancer Lett. (2021) 514:1–11. doi: [10.1016/j.canlet.2021.05.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2021.05.013)

109. Wan W, Zou H, Sun R, Liu Y, Wang J, Ma D, et al. Investigate the role of PTEN in chemotaxis of human breast cancer cells. Cell Signalling. (2007) 19:2227–36. doi: [10.1016/j.cellsig.2007.06.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2007.06.007)

110. Granado-Martínez P, Garcia-Ortega S, González-Sánchez E, McGrail K, Selgas R, Grueso J, et al. STK11 (LKB1) missense somatic mutant isoforms promote tumor growth, motility and inflammation. Commun Biol. (2020) 3:366. doi: [10.1038/s42003-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-1092-0) $020 - 1092 - 0$

111. Marvalim C, Datta A, Lee SC. Role of p53 in breast cancer progression: An insight into p53 targeted therapy. Theranostics. (2023) 13:1421. doi: [10.7150/](https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.81847) [thno.81847](https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.81847)

112. Zhuang Z-G, Di G-H, Shen Z-Z, Ding J, Shao Z-M. Enhanced expression of LKB1 in breast cancer cells attenuates angiogenesis, invasion, and metastatic potential. Mol Cancer Res. (2006) 4:843–9. doi: [10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-06-0118](https://doi.org/10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-06-0118)

113. Bashir N, Sana S, Mahjabeen I, Kayani MA. Association of reduced XRCC2 expression with lymph node metastasis in breast cancer tissues. Familial Cancer. (2014) 13:611–7. doi: [10.1007/s10689-014-9745-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10689-014-9745-0)

114. Shi Y, Shen M, Xu M, Tao M, Chen K, Zhu Q. Comprehensive analysis of the expression and prognosis for RAD51 family in human breast cancer. Int J Gen Med. (2022) 15:4925–36. doi: [10.2147/IJGM.S350971](https://doi.org/10.2147/IJGM.S350971)

115. Chen J, Shin VY, Siu MT, Ho JC, Cheuk I, Kwong A. miR-199a-5p confers tumor-suppressive role in triple-negative breast cancer. BMC Cancer. (2016) 16:1–12. doi: [10.1186/s12885-016-2916-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-016-2916-7)

116. Chen L-l, Zhang Z-j, Yi Z-b, Li J-j. MicroRNA-211-5p suppresses tumour cell proliferation, invasion, migration and metastasis in triple-negative breast cancer by directly targeting SETBP1. Br J Cancer. (2017) 117:78–88. doi: [10.1038/bjc.2017.150](https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2017.150)

117. De Mattos-Arruda L, Bottai G, Nuciforo PG, Di Tommaso L, Giovannetti E, Peg V, et al. MicroRNA-21 links epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and inflammatory signals to confer resistance to neoadjuvant trastuzumab and chemotherapy in HER2-positive breast cancer patients. Oncotarget. (2015) 6:37269. doi: [10.18632/oncotarget.v6i35](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.v6i35)

118. Drago-Ferrante R, Pentimalli F, Carlisi D, De Blasio A, Saliba C, Baldacchino S, et al. Suppressive role exerted by microRNA-29b-1-5p in triple negative breast cancer through SPIN1 regulation. Oncotarget. (2017) 8:28939. doi: [10.18632/oncotarget.15960](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.15960)

119. Fan C, Liu N, Zheng D, Du J, Wang K. MicroRNA-206 inhibits metastasis of triple-negative breast cancer by targeting transmembrane 4 L6 family member 1. Cancer Manage Res. (2019) 11:6755–64. doi: [10.2147/CMAR.S199027](https://doi.org/10.2147/CMAR.S199027)

120. Fkih M'hamed I, Privat M, Trimeche M, Penault-Llorca F, Bignon Y-J, Kenani A. miR-10b, miR-26a, miR-146a And miR-153 expression in triple negative vs non triple negative breast cancer: potential biomarkers. Pathol Oncol Res. (2017) 23:815–27. doi: [10.1007/s12253-017-0188-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12253-017-0188-4)

121. Han C, Li X, Fan Q, Liu G, Yin J. CCAT1 promotes triple-negative breast cancer progression by suppressing miR-218/ZFX signaling. Aging (Albany NY). (2019) 11:4858. doi: [10.18632/aging.102080](https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.102080)

122. Jang MH, Kim HJ, Gwak JM, Chung YR, Park SY. Prognostic value of microRNA-9 and microRNA-155 expression in triple-negative breast cancer. Hum Pathol. (2017) 68:69–78. doi: [10.1016/j.humpath.2017.08.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humpath.2017.08.026)

123. Piasecka D, Braun M, Kordek R, Sadej R, Romanska H. MicroRNAs in regulation of triple-negative breast cancer progression. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. (2018) 144:1401–11. doi: [10.1007/s00432-018-2689-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00432-018-2689-2)

124. Tang L, Wei D, Yan F. MicroRNA-145 functions as a tumor suppressor by targeting matrix metalloproteinase 11 and Rab GTPase family 27a in triple-negative breast cancer. Cancer Gene Ther. (2016) 23:258–65. doi: [10.1038/cgt.2016.27](https://doi.org/10.1038/cgt.2016.27)

125. Yu J, Shen W, Gao B, Zhao H, Xu J, Gong B. MicroRNA-182 targets FOXF2 to promote the development of triple-negative breast cancer. Neoplasma. (2017) 64:209– 15. doi: [10.4149/neo_2017_206](https://doi.org/10.4149/neo_2017_206)

126. Sabit H, Cevik E, Tombuloglu H, Abdel-Ghany S, Tombuloglu G, Esteller M. Triple negative breast cancer in the era of miRNA. Crit Rev Oncol/hematol. (2021) 157:103196. doi: [10.1016/j.critrevonc.2020.103196](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2020.103196)

127. Tsouko E, Wang J, Frigo DE, Aydoğdu E, Williams C. miR-200a inhibits migration of triple-negative breast cancer cells through direct repression of the EPHA2 oncogene. Carcinogenesis. (2015) 36:1051–60. doi: [10.1093/carcin/bgv087](https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgv087)

128. Zheng Q, Cui X, Zhang D, Yang Y, Yan X, Liu M, et al. miR-200b inhibits proliferation and metastasis of breast cancer by targeting fucosyltransferase IV and α 1, proliferation and metastasis of breast cancer by targeting fucosyltransferase IV and α1,
3-fucosylated glycans. *Oncogenesis*. (2017) 6:e358–8. doi: [10.1038/oncsis.2017.58](https://doi.org/10.1038/oncsis.2017.58)

129. Li D, Wang H, Song H, Xu H, Zhao B, Wu C, et al. The microRNAs miR-200b-3p and miR-429-5p target the LIMK1/CFL1 pathway to inhibit growth and motility of breast cancer cells. Oncotarget. (2017) 8:85276. doi: [10.18632/oncotarget.19205](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.19205)

130. Mekala JR, Naushad SM, Ponnusamy L, Arivazhagan G, Sakthiprasad V, Pal-Bhadra M. Epigenetic regulation of miR-200 as the potential strategy for the therapy against triple-negative breast cancer. Gene. (2018) 641:248–58. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2017.10.018) [j.gene.2017.10.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2017.10.018)

131. Tang J, Li Y, Sang Y, Yu B, Lv D, Zhang W, et al. LncRNA PVT1 regulates triple-negative breast cancer through KLF5/beta-catenin signaling. Oncogene. (2018) 37:4723–34. doi: [10.1038/s41388-018-0310-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-018-0310-4)

132. Liu AN, Qu HJ, Gong WJ, Xiang JY, Yang MM, Zhang W. LncRNA AWPPH and miRNA-21 regulates cancer cell proliferation and chemosensitivity in triplenegative breast cancer by interacting with each other. J Cell Biochem. (2019) 120:14860–6. doi: [10.1002/jcb.v120.9](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.v120.9)

133. Wang H, Tan Z, Hu H, Liu H, Wu T, Zheng C, et al. microRNA-21 promotes breast cancer proliferation and metastasis by targeting LZTFL1. BMC Cancer. (2019) 19:1–13. doi: [10.1186/s12885-019-5951-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-019-5951-3)

134. Fang H, Xie J, Zhang M, Zhao Z, Wan Y, Yao Y. miRNA-21 promotes proliferation and invasion of triple-negative breast cancer cells through targeting PTEN. Am J Trans Res. (2017) 9:953.

135. Bayraktar R, Pichler M, Kanlikilicer P, Ivan C, Bayraktar E, Kahraman N, et al. MicroRNA 603 acts as a tumor suppressor and inhibits triple-negative breast cancer tumorigenesis by targeting elongation factor 2 kinase. Oncotarget. (2017) 8:11641. doi: [10.18632/oncotarget.14264](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.14264)

136. Zhao Z, Li L, Du P, Ma L, Zhang W, Zheng L, et al. Transcriptional Downregulation of miR-4306 serves as a New Therapeutic Target for Triple Negative Breast Cancer: Erratum. Theranostics. (2023) 13:1287. doi: [10.7150/thno.82636](https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.82636)

137. Zhang W, Guan X, Tang J. The long non-coding RNA landscape in triple-negative breast cancer. Cell Proliferation. (2021) 54:e12966. doi: [10.1111/cpr.12966](https://doi.org/10.1111/cpr.12966)

138. Yuan N, Zhang G, Bie F, Ma M, Ma Y, Jiang X, et al. Integrative analysis of lncRNAs and miRNAs with coding RNAs associated with ceRNA crosstalk network in triple negative breast cancer. OncoTargets Ther. (2017) 10:5883–97. doi: [10.2147/](https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S149308) [OTT.S149308](https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S149308)

139. Lin A, Li C, Xing Z, Hu Q, Liang K, Han L, et al. The LINK-A lncRNA activates normoxic HIF1a signalling in triple-negative breast cancer. Nat Cell Biol. (2016) 18:213–24. doi: [10.1038/ncb3295](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb3295)

140. Parnigoni A, Caon I, Moretto P, Viola M, Karousou E, Passi A, et al. The role of the multifaceted long non-coding RNAs: A nuclear-cytosolic interplay to regulate hyaluronan
metabolism. *Matrix Biol*. (2021) Plus 11:100060. doi: [10.1016/j.mbplus.2021.100060](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbplus.2021.100060)

141. Zhu C, Wang X, Wang Y, Wang K. Functions and underlying mechanisms of lncRNA HOTAIR in cancer chemotherapy resistance. Cell Death Discovery. (2022) 8:383. doi: [10.1038/s41420-022-01174-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41420-022-01174-3)

142. Tamang S, Acharya V, Roy D, Sharma R, Aryaa A, Sharma U, et al. SNHG12: an LncRNA as a potential therapeutic target and biomarker for human cancer. Front Oncol. (2019) 9:901. doi: [10.3389/fonc.2019.00901](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.00901)

143. Komlosh PG, Chen JL, Childs-Disney J, Disney MD, Canaani D. Broadspectrum metastasis suppressing compounds and therapeutic uses thereof in human tumors. Sci Rep. (2023) 13:20420. doi: [10.1038/s41598-023-47478-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-47478-x)

144. Luo Y, Zhang W, Xu L, Chen Y, Xu Y, Yuan L. Long non-coding RNA PVT1 regulates the resistance of the breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231 to doxorubicin via Nrf2. Technol Cancer Res Treat. (2020) 19:1533033820980763. doi: [10.1177/](https://doi.org/10.1177/1533033820980763) [1533033820980763](https://doi.org/10.1177/1533033820980763)

145. Luo L, Tang H, Ling L, Li N, Jia X, Zhang Z, et al. LINC01638 lncRNA activates MTDH-Twist1 signaling by preventing SPOP-mediated c-Myc degradation in triplenegative breast cancer. Oncogene. (2018) 37:6166–79. doi: [10.1038/s41388-018-0396-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-018-0396-8)

146. Wu Y, Wang Z, Yu S, Liu D, Sun L. LncmiRHG-MIR100HG: A new budding star in cancer. Front Oncol. (2022) 12:997532. doi: [10.3389/fonc.2022.997532](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.997532)

147. Yang J, Meng X, Yu Y, Pan L, Zheng Q, Lin W. LncRNA POU3F3 promotes proliferation and inhibits apoptosis of cancer cells in triple-negative breast cancer by inactivating caspase 9. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem. (2019) 83:1117–23. doi: [10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/09168451.2019.1588097) [09168451.2019.1588097](https://doi.org/10.1080/09168451.2019.1588097)

148. Zhang G, Li H, Sun R, Li P, Yang Z, Liu Y, et al. Long non-coding RNA ZEB2- AS1 promotes the proliferation, metastasis and epithelial mesenchymal transition in triple-negative breast cancer by epigenetically activating ZEB2. J Cell Mol Med. (2019) 23:3271–9. doi: [10.1111/jcmm.2019.23.issue-5](https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.2019.23.issue-5)

149. Han X, Li B, Zhang S. MIR503HG: A potential diagnostic and therapeutic target in human diseases. Biomed Pharmacother. (2023) 160:114314. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2023.114314) [j.biopha.2023.114314](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2023.114314)

150. Wang O, Yang F, Liu Y, Lv L, Ma R, Chen C, et al. C-MYC-induced upregulation of lncRNA SNHG12 regulates cell proliferation, apoptosis and migration in triple-negative breast cancer. Am J Trans Res. (2017) 9:533.

151. Aram R, Dotan I, Hotz-Wagenblatt A, Canaani D. Identification of a novel metastasis inducing lncRNA which suppresses the KAI1/CD82 metastasis suppressor ene and is upregulated in triple-negative breast cancer. Oncotarget. (2017) 8:67538. doi: [10.18632/oncotarget.18733](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.18733)

152. Wang S, Ke H, Zhang H, Ma Y, Ao L, Zou L, et al. LncRNA MIR100HG promotes cell proliferation in triple-negative breast cancer through triplex formation with p27 loci. Cell Death Dis. (2018) 9:805. doi: [10.1038/s41419-018-0869-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-018-0869-2)

153. Wang K, Li X, Song C, Li M. LncRNA AWPPH promotes the growth of triplenegative breast cancer by up-regulating frizzled homolog 7 (FZD7). Biosci Rep. (2018) 38:BSR20181223. doi: [10.1042/BSR20181223](https://doi.org/10.1042/BSR20181223)

154. Mou E, Wang H. LncRNA LUCAT1 facilitates tumorigenesis and metastasis of triple-negative breast cancer through modulating miR-5702. Biosci Rep. (2019) 39: BSR20190489. doi: [10.1042/BSR20190489](https://doi.org/10.1042/BSR20190489)

155. Du C, Wang Y, Zhang Y, Zhang J, Zhang L, Li J. LncRNA DLX6-AS1 contributes to epithelial–mesenchymal transition and cisplatin resistance in triplenegative breast cancer via modulating Mir-199b-5p/paxillin axis. Cell Transplant. (2020) 29:0963689720929983. doi: [10.1177/0963689720929983](https://doi.org/10.1177/0963689720929983)

156. Wang L, Liu D, Wu X, Zeng Y, Li L, Hou Y, et al. Long non-coding RNA (LncRNA) RMST in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC): expression analysis and biological roles research. J Cell Physiol. (2018) 233:6603–12. doi: [10.1002/jcp.v233.10](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.v233.10)

157. Song X, Liu Z, Yu Z. LncRNA NEF is downregulated in triple negative breast cancer and correlated with poor prognosis. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin. (2019) 51:386– 92. doi: [10.1093/abbs/gmz021](https://doi.org/10.1093/abbs/gmz021)

158. Wang N, Hou M, Zhan Y, Sheng X. LncRNA PTCSC3 inhibits triple-negative breast cancer cell proliferation by downregulating lncRNA H19. J Cell Biochem. (2019) 120:15083–8. doi: [10.1002/jcb.v120.9](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.v120.9)

159. Fu J, Dong G, Shi H, Zhang J, Ning Z, Bao X, et al. LncRNA MIR503HG inhibits cell migration and invasion via miR-103/OLFM4 axis in triple negative breast cancer. J Cell Mol Med. (2019) 23:4738–45. doi: [10.1111/jcmm.2019.23.issue-7](https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.2019.23.issue-7)

160. Yan J, Wang R, Wu Z. LncRNA TCONS_l2_00002973 correlates with less advanced tumor stage and favorable survival, and also inhibits cancer cells proliferation while enhancing apoptosis in triple-negative breast cancer. *J Buon*. (2019) 24:535-42.

161. Li X, Hou L, Yin L, Zhao S. LncRNA XIST interacts with miR-454 to inhibit cells proliferation, epithelial mesenchymal transition and induces apoptosis in triplenegative breast cancer. J Biosci. (2020) 45:45. doi: [10.1007/s12038-020-9999-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12038-020-9999-7)

162. Ziegler YS, Moresco JJ, Yates JR III, Nardulli AM. Integration of breast cancer secretomes with clinical data elucidates potential serum markers for disease detection, diagnosis, and prognosis. PloS One. (2016) 11:e0158296. doi: [10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0158296) [journal.pone.0158296](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0158296)

163. Kong Y, Lyu N, Wu J, Tang H, Xie X, Yang L, et al. Breast cancer stem cell markers CD44 and ALDH1A1 in serum: distribution and prognostic value in patients with primary breast cancer. J Cancer. (2018) 9:3728. doi: [10.7150/jca.28032](https://doi.org/10.7150/jca.28032)

164. Kalyane D, Polaka S, Vasdev N, Tekade RK. CD44-receptor targeted golddoxorubicin nanocomposite for pulsatile chemo-photothermal therapy of triple-negative breast cancer cells. Pharmaceutics. (2022) 14:2734. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics14122734) [pharmaceutics14122734](https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics14122734)

165. Li C, Hancock MA, Sehgal P, Zhou S, Reinhardt DP, Philip A. Soluble CD109 binds TGF- β and antagonizes TGF- β signalling and responses. Biochem J. (2016) 473:537–7. doi: [10.1042/BJ20141488](https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20141488)

166. Tao J, Li H, Li Q, Yang Y. CD109 is a potential target for triple-negative breast cancer. Tumor Biol. (2014) 35:12083–90. doi: [10.1007/s13277-014-2509-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13277-014-2509-5)

167. Han Z, Ni J, Smits P, Underhill CB, Xie B, Chen Y, et al. Extracellular matrix protein 1 (ECM1) has angiogenic properties and is expressed by breast tumor cells. FASEB J. (2001) 15:988–94. doi: [10.1096/fsb2fj990934com](https://doi.org/10.1096/fsb2fj990934com)

168. Lal G, Hashimi S, Smith BJ, Lynch CF, Zhang L, Robinson RA, et al. Extracellular matrix 1 (ECM1) expression is a novel prognostic marker for poor long-term survival in breast cancer: a Hospital-based Cohort Study in Iowa. Ann Surg Oncol. (2009) 16:2280–7. doi: [10.1245/s10434-009-0533-2](https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-009-0533-2)

169. Fararjeh AS, Kaddumi E, Al Khader A, Aloliqi AA. The diagnostic and prognostic significance of EFEMP1 in breast cancer: an immunohistochemistry study. Int J Surg Pathol. (2023) 31:1057–66. doi: [10.1177/10668969221126122](https://doi.org/10.1177/10668969221126122)

170. Noonan MM, Dragan M, Mehta MM, Hess DA, Brackstone M, Tuck AB, et al. The matrix protein Fibulin-3 promotes KISS1R induced triple negative breast cancer cell invasion. Oncotarget. (2018) 9:30034. doi: [10.18632/oncotarget.25682](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.25682)

171. Jabbari K, Winkelmaier G, Andersen C, Yaswen P, Quilici D, Furuta S, et al. Protein ligands in the secretome of CD36+ fibroblasts induce growth suppression in a subset of breast cancer cell lines. Cancers. (2021) 13:4521. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13184521) [cancers13184521](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13184521)

172. Marano F, Zunino V, Frairia R, Arvat E, Castellano I, Bosco O, et al. Fibulin-1 interacts with Sex Hormone Binding Globulin and is linked to less aggressive estrogendependent breast cancers. Life Sci. (2018) 207:372–80. doi: [10.1016/j.lfs.2018.06.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2018.06.024)

173. Pupa SM, Argraves SW, Forti S, Casalini P, Berno V, Agresti R, et al. Immunological and pathobiological roles of fibulin-1 in breast cancer. Oncogene. (2004) 23:2153–60. doi: [10.1038/sj.onc.1207323](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1207323)

174. Ramdas P, Radhakrishnan AK, Abdu Sani AA, Abdul-Rahman PS. Tocotrienols modulate breast cancer secretomes and affect cancer-signaling pathways in MDA-MB-231 cells: A label-free quantitative proteomic analysis. Nutr Cancer. (2019) 71:1263–71. doi: [10.1080/01635581.2019.1607407](https://doi.org/10.1080/01635581.2019.1607407)

175. Pupa SM, Giuffré S, Castiglioni F, Bertola L, Cantú M, Bongarzone I, et al. Regulation of breast cancer response to chemotherapy by fibulin-1. Cancer Res. (2007) 67:4271–7. doi: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-4162](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-4162)

176. Winiarski BK, Wolanska KI, Rai S, Ahmed T, Acheson N, Gutowski NJ, et al. Epithelial ovarian cancer-induced angiogenic phenotype of human omental microvascular endothelial cells may occur independently of VEGF signaling. Trans Oncol. (2013) 6:703–23. doi: [10.1593/tlo.13529](https://doi.org/10.1593/tlo.13529)

177. Allard JB, Duan C. IGF-binding proteins: why do they exist and why are there so many? Front Endocrinol. (2018) 9:117. doi: [10.3389/fendo.2018.00117](https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2018.00117)

178. Huber MC, Falkenberg N, Hauck SM, Priller M, Braselmann H, Feuchtinger A, et al. Cyr61 and YB-1 are novel interacting partners of uPAR and elevate the Malignancy of triple-negative breast cancer. Oncotarget. (2016) 7:44062. doi: [10.18632/](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.v7i28) [oncotarget.v7i28](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.v7i28)

179. Babic AM, Kireeva ML, Kolesnikova TV, Lau LF. CYR61, a product of a growth factor-inducible immediate early gene, promotes angiogenesis and tumor growth. Proc Natl Acad Sci. (1998) 95:6355–60. doi: [10.1073/pnas.95.11.6355](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.11.6355)

180. Lee LY, Thaysen-Andersen M, Baker MS, Packer NH, Hancock WS, Fanayan S. Comprehensive N-glycome profiling of cultured human epithelial breast cells identifies unique secretome N-glycosylation signatures enabling tumorigenic subtype classification. J Proteome Res. (2014) 13:4783–95. doi: [10.1021/pr500331m](https://doi.org/10.1021/pr500331m)

181. Wang X, Li X, Zeng Y-N, He F, Yang X-M, Guan F. Enhanced expression of polysialic acid correlates with Malignant phenotype in breast cancer cell lines and clinical tissue samples. Int J Mol Med. (2016) 37:197–206. doi: [10.3892/ijmm.2015.2395](https://doi.org/10.3892/ijmm.2015.2395)

182. Zheng S, Zou Y, Tang Y, Yang A, Liang J-Y, Wu L, et al. Landscape of cancerassociated fibroblasts identifies the secreted biglycan as a protumor and immunosuppressive factor in triple-negative breast cancer. Oncoimmunology. (2022) 11:2020984. doi: [10.1080/2162402X.2021.2020984](https://doi.org/10.1080/2162402X.2021.2020984)

183. Verma A, Kapoor R, Mittal RD. Cluster of differentiation 44 (CD44) gene variants: a putative cancer stem cell marker in risk prediction of bladder cancer in North Indian population. Indian J Clin Biochem. (2017) 32:74–83. doi: [10.1007/s12291-016-0580-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12291-016-0580-y)

184. Lee KY, Shueng PW, Chou CM, Lin BX, Lin MH, Kuo DY, et al. Elevation of CD109 promotes metastasis and drug resistance in lung cancer via activation of EGFR-AKT-mTOR signaling. Cancer Sci. (2020) 111:1652–62. doi: [10.1111/cas.v111.5](https://doi.org/10.1111/cas.v111.5)

185. Quereda C, Pastor À, Martín-Nieto J. Involvement of abnormal dystroglycan expression and matriglycan levels in cancer pathogenesis. Cancer Cell Int. (2022) 22:1– 30. doi: [10.1186/s12935-022-02812-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12935-022-02812-7)

186. Neill T, Schaefer L, Iozzo RV. Decorin as a multivalent therapeutic agent against cancer. Advanced Drug Delivery Rev. (2016) 97:174–85. doi: [10.1016/j.addr.2015.10.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2015.10.016)

187. McHenry PR, Prosperi JR. Proteins found in the triple-negative breast cancer secretome and their therapeutic potential. Int J Mol Sci. (2023) 24:2100. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24032100) iims24032100

188. Raiter A, Lipovetsky J, Stenbac A, Lubin I, Yerushalmi R. TNBC-derived Gal3BP/Gal3 complex induces immunosuppression through CD45 receptor. OncoImmunology. (2023) 12:2246322. doi: [10.1080/2162402X.2023.2246322](https://doi.org/10.1080/2162402X.2023.2246322)

189. Ramos S, Ferreira S, Fernandes AS, Saraiva N. Lysyl oxidases expression and breast cancer progression: A bioinformatic analysis. Front Pharmacol. (2022) 13:883998. doi: [10.3389/fphar.2022.883998](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2022.883998)

190. Weledji EP, Assob JC. The ubiquitous neural cell adhesion molecule (N-CAM). Ann Med Surg. (2014) 3:77–81. doi: [10.1016/j.amsu.2014.06.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2014.06.014)

191. Ma X, Wang J, Zhuang J, Ma X, Zheng N, Song Y, et al. P4HB modulates epithelial−mesenchymal transition and the b−catenin/snail pathway influencing chemoresistance in liver cancer cells. Oncol Lett. (2020) 20:257–65. doi: [10.3892/](https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2020.11569) [ol.2020.11569](https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2020.11569)

192. Zheng F, Wang Y, Zhang Q, Chen Q, Liang C-L, Liu H, et al. Polyphyllin I suppresses the gastric cancer growth by promoting cancer cell ferroptosis. Front Pharmacol. (2023) 14:1145407. doi: [10.3389/fphar.2023.1145407](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2023.1145407)

193. Li J-M, Yang F, Li J, Yuan W-Q, Wang H, Luo Y-Q. Reelin promotes cisplatin resistance by induction of epithelial-mesenchymal transition via p38/gsk3b/snail signaling in non-small cell lung cancer. Med Sci Monitor: Int Med J Exp Clin Res. (2020) 26:e925298–925291. doi: [10.12659/MSM.925298](https://doi.org/10.12659/MSM.925298)

194. Ren Z, Xue Y, Liu L, Zhang X, Pei J, Zhang Y, et al. Tissue factor overexpression in triple-negative breast cancer promotes immune evasion by impeding T-cell infiltration and effector function. Cancer Lett. (2023) 565:216221. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2023.216221) [j.canlet.2023.216221](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2023.216221)

195. Zhang Y, Sun L, Li H, Ai L, Ma Q, Qiao X, et al. Binding blockade between TLN1 and integrin b1 represses triple-negative breast cancer. Elife. (2022) 11:e68481. doi: [10.7554/eLife.68481.sa2](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.68481.sa2)

196. Li Z-L, Zhang H-L, Huang Y, Huang J-H, Sun P, Zhou N-N, et al. Autophagy deficiency promotes triple-negative breast cancer resistance to T cell-mediated cytotoxicity by blocking tenascin-C degradation. Nat Commun. (2020) 11:3806. doi: [10.1038/s41467-020-17395-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17395-y)

197. Hao Y, Baker D, Ten Dijke P. TGF-b-mediated epithelial-mesenchymal transition and cancer metastasis. Int J Mol Sci. (2019) 20:2767. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20112767) [ijms20112767](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20112767)

198. Moyret-Lalle C, Ruiz E, Puisieux A. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition transcription factors and miRNAs:"Plastic surgeons" of breast cancer. World J Clin Oncol. (2014) 5:311. doi: [10.5306/wjco.v5.i3.311](https://doi.org/10.5306/wjco.v5.i3.311)

199. Scimeca M, Antonacci C, Colombo D, Bonfiglio R, Buonomo OC, Bonanno E. Emerging prognostic markers related to mesenchymal characteristics of poorly differentiated breast cancers. Tumor Biol. (2016) 37:5427–35. doi: [10.1007/s13277-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13277-015-4361-7) [015-4361-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13277-015-4361-7)

200. Wendt MK, Allington TM, Schiemann WP. Mechanisms of the epithelial– mesenchymal transition by TGF- β . Future Oncol. (2009) 5:1145-68. doi: [10.2217/](https://doi.org/10.2217/fon.09.90) [fon.09.90](https://doi.org/10.2217/fon.09.90)

201. Hua W, Ten Dijke P, Kostidis S, Giera M, Hornsveld M. TGFb-induced metabolic reprogramming during epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in cancer. Cell Mol Life Sci. (2020) 77:2103–23. doi: [10.1007/s00018-019-03398-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-019-03398-6)

202. Taylor MA, Parvani JG, Schiemann WP. The pathophysiology of epithelialmesenchymal transition induced by transforming growth factor- β in normal and Malignant mammary epithelial cells. J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia. (2010) 15:169– 90. doi: [10.1007/s10911-010-9181-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10911-010-9181-1)

203. Xu P, Liu J, Derynck R. Post-translational regulation of TGF- β receptor and Smad signaling. FEBS Lett. (2012) 586:1871–84. doi: [10.1016/j.febslet.2012.05.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2012.05.010)

204. Wu Y, Li Q, Zhou X, Yu J, Mu Y, Munker S, et al. Decreased levels of active SMAD2 correlate with poor prognosis in gastric cancer. PloS One. (2012) 7:e35684. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0035684](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035684)

205. Xu J, Lamouille S, Derynck R. TGF- β -induced epithelial to mesenchymal transition. Cell Res. (2009) 19:156–72. doi: [10.1038/cr.2009.5](https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2009.5)

206. Zhang YE. Non-Smad signaling pathways of the TGF-b family. Cold Spring Harbor Perspect Biol. (2017) 9:a022129. doi: [10.1101/cshperspect.a022129](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a022129)

207. Lamouille S, Xu J, Derynck R. Molecular mechanisms of epithelial–mesenchymal transition. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. (2014) 15:178–96. doi: [10.1038/nrm3758](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3758)

208. Pelullo M, Zema S, Nardozza F, Checquolo S, Screpanti I, Bellavia D. Wnt, Notch, and TGF-ß pathways impinge on hedgehog signaling complexity: an open
window on cancer. Front Genet. (2019) 10:711. doi: [10.3389/fgene.2019.00711](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.00711)

209. Singha PK, Pandeswara S, Geng H, Lan R, Venkatachalam MA, Dobi A, et al. Increased Smad3 and reduced Smad2 levels mediate the functional switch of TGF- β Increased Smad3 and reduced Smad2 levels mediate the functional switch of TGF-b from growth suppressor to growth and metastasis promoter through TMEPAI/ PMEPA1 in triple negative breast cancer. Genes Cancer. (2019) 10:134. doi: [10.18632/genesandcancer.v10i5-6](https://doi.org/10.18632/genesandcancer.v10i5-6)

210. Sun Q, Wang Y, Officer A, Pecknold B, Lee G, Harismendy O, et al. Stem-like breast cancer cells in the activated state resist genetic stress via TGFBI-ZEB1. NPJ Breast Cancer. (2022) 8:5. doi: [10.1038/s41523-021-00375-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41523-021-00375-w)

211. Xu X, Zhang M, Xu F, Jiang S. Wnt signaling in breast cancer: biological mechanisms, challenges and opportunities. Mol Cancer. (2020) 19:1–35. doi: [10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-020-01276-5) [s12943-020-01276-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-020-01276-5)

212. Komiya Y, Habas R. Wnt signal transduction pathways. Organogenesis. (2008) 4:68–75. doi: [10.4161/org.4.2.5851](https://doi.org/10.4161/org.4.2.5851)

213. MacDonald BT, Tamai K, He X. Wnt/ β -catenin signaling: components, mechanisms, and diseases. Dev Cell. (2009) 17:9–26. doi: [10.1016/j.devcel.2009.06.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2009.06.016)

214. Beurel E, Grieco SF, Jope RS. Glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK3): regulation, actions, and diseases. Pharmacol Ther. (2015) 148:114–31. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2014.11.016) [j.pharmthera.2014.11.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2014.11.016)

215. Zheng H, Yang Z, Xin Z, Yang Y, Yu Y, Cui J, et al. Glycogen synthase kinase-
3B: a promising candidate in the fight against fibrosis. *Theranostics*. (2020) 10:11737.
doi: 10.7150/thno.47717 doi: [10.7150/thno.47717](https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.47717)

216. Katz E, Dubois-Marshall S, Sims AH, Gautier P, Caldwell H, Meehan RR, et al. An in vitro model that recapitulates the epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) in human breast cancer. PloS One. (2011) 6:e17083. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0017083](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0017083)

217. Geyer FC, Lacroix-Triki M, Savage K, Arnedos M, Lambros MB, MacKay A, et al. [amp]]beta;-Catenin pathway activation in breast cancer is associated with triplenegative phenotype but not with CTNNB1 mutation. Modern Pathol. (2011) 24:209– 31. doi: [10.1038/modpathol.2010.205](https://doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2010.205)

218. Polyak K, Weinberg RA. Transitions between epithelial and mesenchymal states: acquisition of Malignant and stem cell traits. Nat Rev Cancer. (2009) 9:265–73. doi: [10.1038/nrc2620](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc2620)

219. Valenta T, Hausmann G, Basler K. The many faces and functions of β -catenin. EMBO J. (2012) 31:2714–36. doi: [10.1038/emboj.2012.150](https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2012.150)

220. Sun Y, Zhang J, Ma L. [amp]]alpha;-catenin: A tumor suppressor beyond adherens junctions. Cell Cycle. (2014) 13:2334–9. doi: [10.4161/cc.29765](https://doi.org/10.4161/cc.29765)

221. Li Y, Ma J, Qian X, Wu Q, Xia J, Miele L, et al. Regulation of EMT by Notch signaling pathway in tumor progression. Curr Cancer Drug Targets. (2013) 13:957–62. doi: [10.2174/15680096113136660101](https://doi.org/10.2174/15680096113136660101)

222. Wang MM. Notch signaling and Notch signaling modifiers. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. (2011) 43:1550–62. doi: [10.1016/j.biocel.2011.08.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocel.2011.08.005)

223. Giuli M, Giuliani E, Screpanti I, Bellavia D, Checquolo S. Notch signaling activation as a hallmark for triple-negative breast cancer subtype. J Oncol. (2019) 2019:8707053. doi: [10.1155/2019/8707053](https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/8707053)

224. Al-Hussaini H, Subramanyam D, Reedijk M, Sridhar SS. Notch signaling pathway as a therapeutic target in breast cancer. Mol Cancer Ther. (2011) 10:9–15. doi: [10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-10-0677](https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-10-0677)

225. Bellavia D, Checquolo S, Campese AF, Felli M, Gulino A, Screpanti I. Notch3: from subtle structural differences to functional diversity. Oncogene. (2008) 27:5092–8. doi: [10.1038/onc.2008.230](https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2008.230)

226. Kar R, Jha NK, Jha SK, Sharma A, Dholpuria S, Asthana N, et al. A "NOTCH" deeper into the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) program in breast cancer. Genes. (2019) 10:961. doi: [10.3390/genes10120961](https://doi.org/10.3390/genes10120961)

227. Zhang J, Shao X, Sun H, Liu K, Ding Z, Chen J, et al. NUMB negatively regulates the epithelial-mesenchymal transition of triple-negative breast cancer by antagonizing Notch signaling. Oncotarget. (2016) 7:61036. doi: [10.18632/](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.11062) [oncotarget.11062](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.11062)

228. Shah H, Mistry M, Patel N, Vora H. Clinical significance of Notch receptors in triple negative breast cancer. Breast Dis. (2023) 42:85–100. doi: [10.3233/BD-220041](https://doi.org/10.3233/BD-220041)

229. Wang Z, Li Y, Kong D, H Sarkar F. The role of Notch signaling pathway in epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) during development and tumor aggressiveness. Curr Drug Targets. (2010) 11:745–51. doi: [10.2174/138945010791170860](https://doi.org/10.2174/138945010791170860)

230. Ishida T, Hijioka H, Kume K, Miyawaki A, Nakamura N. Notch signaling induces EMT in OSCC cell lines in a hypoxic environment. Oncol Lett. (2013) 6:1201– 6. doi: [10.3892/ol.2013.1549](https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2013.1549)

231. Sahlgren C, Gustafsson MV, Jin S, Poellinger L, Lendahl U. Notch signaling mediates hypoxia-induced tumor cell migration and invasion. Proc Natl Acad Sci. (2008) 105:6392–7. doi: [10.1073/pnas.0802047105](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0802047105)

232. Shi Q, Xue C, Zeng Y, Yuan X, Chu Q, Jiang S, et al. Notch signaling pathway in cancer: from mechanistic insights to targeted therapies. Signal Transduction Targeted Ther. (2024) 9:128. doi: [10.1038/s41392-024-01828-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-024-01828-x)

233. Chen W, Zhang Y, Li R, Huang W, Wei X, Zeng D, et al. Notch3 transactivates glycogen synthase kinase-3-beta and inhibits epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in
breast cancer cells. C*ells*. (2022) 11:2872. doi: [10.3390/cells11182872](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells11182872)

234. Tian Y, Zhang P, Mou Y, Yang W, Zhang J, Li Q, et al. Silencing Notch4 promotes tumorigenesis and inhibits metastasis of triple-negative breast cancer via Nanog and Cdc42. Cell Death Discovery. (2023) 9:148. doi: [10.1038/s41420-023-01450-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41420-023-01450-w)

235. Kontomanolis EN, Kalagasidou S, Pouliliou S, Anthoulaki X, Georgiou N, Papamanolis V, et al. The notch pathway in breast cancer progression. Sci World J. (2018) 2018. doi: [10.1155/2018/2415489](https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/2415489)

236. Li C-W, Xia W, Huo L, Lim S-O, Wu Y, Hsu JL, et al. Epithelial–mesenchymal transition induced by TNF- α requires NF-KB-mediated transcriptional upregulation of Twist1. Cancer Res. (2012) 72:1290–300. doi: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-3123](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-3123)

237. Mercogliano MF, Bruni S, Elizalde PV, Schillaci R. Tumor necrosis factor a blockade: an opportunity to tackle breast cancer. Front Oncol. (2020) 10:584. doi: [10.3389/fonc.2020.00584](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.00584)

238. Xu Y, Qin L, Sun T, Wu H, He T, Yang Z, et al. Twist1 promotes breast cancer invasion and metastasis by silencing Foxa1 expression. Oncogene. (2017) 36:1157–66. doi: [10.1038/onc.2016.286](https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2016.286)

239. Wang W, Nag SA, Zhang R. Targeting the NFkB signaling pathways for breast cancer prevention and therapy. Curr Medicinal Chem. (2015) 22:264–89.

240. Leone K, Poggiana C, Zamarchi R. The interplay between circulating tumor cells and the immune system: from immune escape to cancer immunotherapy. Diagnostics. (2018) 8:59. doi: [10.3390/diagnostics8030059](https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics8030059)

241. Rinkenbaugh AL, Baldwin AS. The NF-kB pathway and cancer stem cells. Cells. (2016) 5:16. doi: [10.3390/cells5020016](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells5020016)

242. Armas-López L, Zúñiga J, Arrieta O, Á vila-Moreno F. The Hedgehog-GLI pathway in embryonic development and cancer: implications for pulmonary oncology therapy. Oncotarget. (2017) 8:60684. doi: [10.18632/oncotarget.19527](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.19527)

243. Niewiadomski P, Niedziółka SM, Markiewicz Ł, Uśpieński T, Baran B, Chojnowska K. Gli proteins: regulation in development and cancer. Cells. (2019) 8:147. doi: [10.3390/cells8020147](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells8020147)

244. Colavito SA, Zou MR, Yan Q, Nguyen DX, Stern DF. Significance of gliomaassociated oncogene homolog 1 (GLI1) expression in claudin-low breast cancer and crosstalk with the nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NFkB) pathway. Breast Cancer Res. (2014) 16:1–18. doi: [10.1186/s13058-014-0444-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13058-014-0444-4)

245. Jeng K-S, Sheen I-S, Leu C-M, Tseng P-H, Chang C-F. The role of smoothened in cancer. Int J Mol Sci. (2020) 21:6863. doi: [10.3390/ijms21186863](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21186863)

246. Kasper M, Jaks V, Fiaschi M, Toftgård R. Hedgehog signalling in breast cancer. Carcinogenesis. (2009) 30:903–11. doi: [10.1093/carcin/bgp048](https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgp048)

247. Tan E-J, Olsson A-K, Moustakas A. Reprogramming during epithelial to
mesenchymal transition under the control of TGFβ. *Cell Adhesion Migration*. (2015)
9-233-46 doi: 10.4161/19336918 2014 983794 9:233–46. doi: [10.4161/19336918.2014.983794](https://doi.org/10.4161/19336918.2014.983794)

248. Lemmon MA, Schlessinger J. Cell signaling by receptor tyrosine kinases. Cell. (2010) 141:1117–34. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2010.06.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.06.011)

249. Feng Y, Spezia M, Huang S, Yuan C, Zeng Z, Zhang L, et al. Breast cancer development and progression: Risk factors, cancer stem cells, signaling pathways, genomics, and molecular pathogenesis. Genes Dis. (2018) 5:77–106. doi: [10.1016/j.gendis.2018.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gendis.2018.05.001)

250. Peinado H, Ballestar E, Esteller M, Cano A. Snail mediates E-cadherin repression by the recruitment of the Sin3A/histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1)/HDAC2 complex. Mol Cell Biol. (2004) 24:306–19. doi: [10.1128/MCB.24.1.306-319.2004](https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.24.1.306-319.2004)

251. Lacroix-Triki M, Geyer FC, Lambros MB, Savage K, Ellis IO, Lee AH, et al. b-catenin/Wnt signalling pathway in fibromatosis, metaplastic carcinomas and phyllodes tumours of the breast. Modern Pathol. (2010) 23:1438–48. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2010.141) [modpathol.2010.141](https://doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.2010.141)