#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Pradeep Kumar Shukla, University of Tennessee Health Science Center (UTHSC), United States

REVIEWED BY Daniele Mengato, University Hospital of Padua, Italy Zhuofei Bi, Sun Yat-sen University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Lingzhi Peng DI2198996@163.com Mingxu Da Ldyy\_damx@lzu.edu.cn

 $^{\mathrm{t}}\mathrm{These}$  authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 30 April 2024 ACCEPTED 21 August 2024 PUBLISHED 05 September 2024

#### CITATION

Zhu C, Da M, Li Y and Peng L (2024) Case report: pathological complete response after S-1/oxaliplatin regimen combined with trastuzumab and tislelizumab in patients with locally advanced gastric cancer. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1425572. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1425572

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Zhu, Da, Li and Peng. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Case report: pathological complete response after S-1/ oxaliplatin regimen combined with trastuzumab and tislelizumab in patients with locally advanced gastric cancer

Chenglou Zhu<sup>1†</sup>, Mingxu Da<sup>1,2\*†</sup>, Yaoqi Li<sup>2</sup> and Lingzhi Peng<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The First School of Clinical Medicine, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Surgical Oncology, Gansu Provincial Hospital, Lanzhou, China

**Background:** The efficacy of a regimen combining Tegafur, Gimeracil and Oteracil Potassium Capsules (S-1), oxaliplatin (SOX) with trastuzumab and tislelizumab chemotherapy for advanced gastric cancer (GC) has not been reported.

**Case summary:** A 56-year-old male was diagnosed with GC combined with peripheral lymph node metastasis. The patient received neoadjuvant chemotherapy, including SOX, tislelizumab and trastuzumab. After 4 cycles of chemotherapy, the tumor shrank significantly, and radical surgery was performed with good clinical results. To date, the patient has been followed up for 6 months with no significant side effects.

**Conclusion:** In this study, the patient received combination chemotherapy with SOX trastuzumab and tislelizumab and successfully underwent radical surgery with good clinical outcomes. Combined SOX with trastuzumab and tislelizumab may be an effective neoadjuvant chemotherapy regimen.

#### KEYWORDS

advanced gastric cancer, SOX regimen, trastuzumab, tislelizumab, neoadjuvant chemotherapy

# Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC) is one of the most common malignant tumors of the digestive tract, ranking third among cancer-related deaths in the world (1). China has a higher incidence of GC, with the third and second morbidity and mortality of malignant tumors, respectively (2). Surgery is still considered to be the only possible cure. Although the 5-year survival rate

of early gastric cancer is more than 90%, more than 70% of the patients have developed advanced GC when they are diagnosed, and the prognosis is poor (3). With the rapid development of multidisciplinary treatment, neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with R0 resection and lymph nodes dissection and postoperative radiotherapy or chemotherapy have become the main treatment modes for potentially resectable advanced GC, and have been proved to significantly improve the survival and prognosis (4, 5). Since Wilke et al (6) first reported the application of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in GC in 1989, neoadjuvant chemotherapy has become an important new method for the treatment of advanced GC. A large number of studies have shown that preoperative neoadjuvant therapy can effectively reduce tumor stage, increase R0 resection rate and improve overall survival of patients with advanced GC (7–10).

HER2-positive GC is a unique subtype of the disease, and its diagnosis and treatment strategy are different from those of HER2negative GC. Patients with HER2-positive advanced GC can benefit from anti-HER2 therapy; however, there is currently no effective and standardized chemotherapy regimen for patients with HER2-negative advanced GC. Anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapies have been approved for the treatment of advanced GC in clinical practice (11). A multicenter phase III randomized controlled trial (Checkmate649) proved that combined chemotherapy based on PD-1 inhibitor can effectively improve the overall survival rate (OS) and progression-free survival rate of patients with advanced GC compared with chemotherapy alone, and the adverse reactions are acceptable (12).

In the field of GC treatment, both perioperative chemotherapy regimens and first-line immune-combination chemotherapy regimens have demonstrated significant efficacy and safety. The RESONANCE phase III trial demonstrated that perioperative chemotherapy with tegafur, gimeracil, and oteracil potassium capsules (S-1) combined with oxaliplatin (SOX) significantly improved three-year progression-free survival by 7.9% (log-rank p=0.019) and increased the R0 resection rate by 11.2% (p<0.0001) compared to direct surgery, while maintaining tolerable toxicity (13). This combination regimen enhances patient prognosis. Meanwhile, the CheckMate 649 study established that in combination of nivolumab with chemotherapy significantly extended overall survival and improved quality of life in patients with advanced or metastatic non-HER2-positive GC. This underscores the growing role of immunotherapy in combination with chemotherapy for managing GC (14). Furthermore, a retrospective study demonstrated that trastuzumab enhanced the efficacy of chemotherapy combined with immunotherapy in patients with HER2-positive GC. This combination resulted in a significantly better objective remission rate and progression-free survival compared to chemotherapy alone, thereby reinforcing the critical role of trastuzumab in enhancing treatment outcomes (15).

In this study, the treatment scheme in this study was SOX combined with trastuzumab and tislelizumab, and then radical gastrectomy and D2 lymph node dissection were performed. Postoperative pathologically examination showed that the patient were in complete remission and no tumor cells were found, tumor regression grade (TRG) is grade 0, the neoadjuvant therapy was successful with good clinical effects. Before treatment, the patient

agreed and signed an informed written consent form. This case report and related images were published, with informed written consent from the patient.

## Case presentation

#### Chief complaints

A 56-year-old male was admitted to the hospital with acid reflux and belching discomfort for 6 months.

#### History of present illness

Six months ago, the patient presented with acid reflux belching with no apparent cause, accompanied by tolerable vague upper abdominal pain with a burning pattern and one black stool. The abdominal pain has worsened over the past 2 months. The patient had no other clinical symptoms. The patient had lost 8 kg of weight since the onset of the disease.

#### History of past illness

The patient had no previous medical history. There was no history of similar illness in the family.

#### Physical examination

On physical examination, the abdomen was flat, and no gastrointestinal or peristaltic waves were observed. There was abdominal softness and deep tenderness in the upper abdomen. No rebound pain or muscle tension, non-palpable touch liver and spleen under the rib, and a negative Murphy sign were found. Shifting dullness was not present. Intestinal sounds were normal, and no abdominal vascular murmurs were heard.

#### Laboratory examinations

The examination after admission showed an alpha fetoprotein (AFP) level of 3.92 ng/mL, CA125 level of 11.5 U/mL, CA199 level of 6.59 U/mL, carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) level of 0.63 ng/mL, glycated antigen 72-4 (CA72-4) level of 3.16 U/mL, pepsinogen I (PGI) level of 21.9 ng/mL, pepsinogen II (PGII) level of 4.0 ng/mL, hemoglobin level of 16.4 g/L, and the fecal occult blood test was negative.

#### Imaging examinations

Routine gastroscopy revealed ulcers on the gastric antrum. The pathological diagnosis of endoscopic biopsy (Figure 1) showed poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma of the gastric antrum.



Immunohistochemical analysis revealed positive HER2 expression (Figure 2), and immunohistochemical results were negative for MLH1 and PMS2 (Figure 3). The molecular pathology diagnostic test for microsatellite instability indicates that MSI-H microsatellites are highly unstable. Enhanced computed tomography (CT) revealed significant thickening of the gastric wall in the antrum. Enhanced CT revealed uneven enhancement, scattered small lymph nodes in the hepatogastric space. The clinical diagnosis was gastric antrum adenocarcinoma with lymph node metastasis, and the imaging stage was cT4aN1M0, Phase IIIa.

# Final diagnosis

The final diagnosis was gastric malignancy, with imaging staging of cT4aN1M0, which corresponds to stage IIIa. The pathologic diagnosis from the endoscopic biopsy revealed lowdifferentiated adenocarcinoma of the gastric antrum, classified as diffuse type according to Lauren staging. Immunohistochemical results indicated HER positivity, while MLH1 and PMS2 were negative. Additionally, molecular pathology testing demonstrated that the tumor exhibited high microsatellite instability (MSI-H).

# Treatment

The patient received treatment that included SOX, trastuzumab and tislelizumab. SOX consisted of an intravenous injection of oxaliplatin (iv) at 130 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on day 1 and an oral 60 mg tegafur capsule twice a day (days 1–14), repeated every 3 weeks. Trastuzumab was administered at an initial dose of 8 mg/Kg, followed by 6 mg/Kg once every three weeks. The first infusion time is 90 minutes. If the patient tolerates the first infusion well, the duration of the subsequent infusion is changed to 30 min.Tislelizumab 200mg, administered every 3 weeks. Throughout the treatment process, the patients showed good tolerance to the chemotherapeutic drugs, did not experience any significant discomfort or serious side effects, had high treatment compliance, and were able to successfully complete each course of treatment as planned (Table 1).



FIGURE 2

Molecular pathology diagnosis report: ① fluorescence microscopy is as follows, tumor heterogeneity is obvious, microscopic cancer area is visible ③ FISH results: HER2 signal dotted distribution, counted tumor cells  $\ge$  20. mean copy number of HER2 8.05; mean copy number of CEP17 signal 3.45. HER2/CEP17 ratio = 2.33 > 2.2.



FIGURE 3

Immunohistochemistry: staining method: VENTANA fully automated immunohistochemical staining. Labeling results: MLH1 (-internal control +); MSH (+); MSH6 (+); PMS2 (-internal control +); EBER (-).

# Outcome and follow-up

After the fourth cycle (prior to surgery), the patient was tested for tumor markers, which showed: AFP level of 6.17 ng/mL, CA125 level of 9.5 U/mL, CA199 level of 6.15 U/mL, CEA level of 1.53 ng/ mL, CA72-4 level of 2.69 U/mL, a PGI level of 54.3 ng/mL, PGII level of 12.8 ng/mL, and carcinoembryonic antigen level of 0.63 ng/ mL. There was no significant change in the tumor markers compared to previous measurements. Meanwhile, the patient was scanned during this period, the tumor were significantly reduced (Figures 4A-C), and the clinical stages were cT3N0M0 and No phases, respectively. Subsequently, the patient underwent radical distal gastrectomy and D2 lymph node dissection, followed by Billroth I anastomosis. During the operation, a 2-cm ulcer was found on the greater curvature of the gastric antrum, no regional lymph node enlargement was observed. In addition, no metastatic nodules were found in the liver, parietal peritoneum, mesentery, or pelvic floor. Postoperative pathological diagnosis (Figure 5) revealed: AJCC/CAP pathological assessment criteria after neoadjuvant chemotherapy: TRG: Grade 0, no cancer cells seen (complete regression), no abnormalities in upper and lower cut ends. 1: stomach (distal): chronic atrophic gastritis with mild intestinalization. Small focal mucosal glands with low-grade intraepithelial neoplasia. 2: No significant abnormality in the upper and lower cut ends of the other delivery. 3: No metastatic cancer in the lymph nodes; groups 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8A. After surgery,

and tislelizumab at the same doses as before. Abdominal CT reexamination after cessation of treatment revealed postoperative changes in the gastric malignant tumor. The residual stomach was well filled, and no thickening or enhancement was observed in the residual stomach or the wall of each anastomotic site. No enlarged lymph nodes were observed in the liver or stomach space, and no enlarged lymph nodes were observed in the retroperitoneum. In addition, gastroscopy revealed that the cardia was well opened and closed, no ulcers and masses were observed, and the mucosa was not abnormal. To date, the patient has been followed up for 6 months, and no clinical manifestations have been observed.

the patient received an additional four cycles of SOX trastuzumab

# Discussion

As the fifth largest malignant tumor in the world, GC has a high metastasis rate and postoperative local recurrence rate, which is a serious threat to human health (1, 5). In recent years, the rapid development of neoadjuvant chemotherapy, radiotherapy, immunotherapy and molecular targeted therapy provides a variety of options for the treatment of patients with advanced GC (4, 5). Among them, neoadjuvant therapy is more and more widely used in GC. The superiority of preoperative neoadjuvant therapy for GC has also been confirmed by several high-quality Mate analyses. The results show that neoadjuvant therapy can not only effectively

Date/Medication	Oxaliplatin (mg)	Tegafur (BID) (mg)	Tislelizumab (mg)	Trastuzumab (mg)
First (2021.02.22)	480	40	200	640
Second(2021.03.21)	480	40	200	450
Third(2021.04.16)	240	40	200	450
Fourth(2021.05.10)	240	40	200	450
Fifth (2021.06.07)	Radical distal gastrectomy, gastro-duodenal Billroth I anastomosis + D2 lymph node dissection			
Sixth(2021.07.05)	230	40	200	410

TABLE 1 Timeline of Patient Care and Medication Dosing Schedule.



enhancement of the gastric wall in the sinus region. Please combine with the clinical treatment history. (C) Electrogastroscopy: The mucosal folds of the gastric body were neatly arranged, and multiple patches of congested erosions were seen.

reduce the tumor stage and improve the survival rate of patients with advanced GC, but also the effect of multidrug combination chemotherapy is better than that of single drug (16, 17).

Recently, the neoadjuvant regimen of SOX is considered to have great potential and is widely used in clinical practice. As early as ten years ago, G-SOX study showed that SOX was effective in the treatment of unresectable advanced or recurrent GC. The response rate of the 51 patients enrolled in the study was 59%, the disease control rate was 84% and the 1-year survival rate was 71% (18). Feng et al (19) and Satake et al (20) reported earlier the efficacy and safety of SOX neoadjuvant therapy for locally advanced GC, the R0 resection rate is more than 80%, but the pathological complete response rate is only 12.5%. Wang et al (21) compared different neoadjuvant chemotherapy cycles with SOX in the treatment of resectable locally advanced cancer. The results indicate that SOX neoadjuvant chemotherapy has high efficacy and safety in 6-8 cycles



#### FIGURE 5

Postoperative pathology: AJCC/CAP pathological assessment criteria after neoadjuvant chemotherapy: TRG: Grade 0 i.e. no cancer cells seen (complete regression) No abnormalities in upper and lower cut ends. 1. stomach (distal): chronic atrophic gastritis with mild intestinalization. Small focal mucosal glands with low-grade intraepithelial neoplasia.2. No significant abnormality in the upper and lower cut ends of the other delivery.3. No metastatic cancer in the lymph nodes; groups 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8A.

and can be widely used in the treatment of locally advanced GC. According to CSCO Guidelines for GC in 2021, SOX has been recommended as a first-line treatment for non-esophagogastric advanced or locally advanced GC (11). However, the existing neoadjuvant chemotherapy regimens do not improve the pathological complete response rate and OS, the prognosis of patients with advanced GC is still very poor and the 5-year survival rate is less than 30% (22–24). Therefore, scholars have never stopped exploring new adjuvant schemes to further improve the pathological complete response rate and OS.

Clinical trial studies with PD-1 antibodies or PD-L1 antibodies have been conducted in a variety of solid tumors (25). Although these PD-1 antibodies are in the trial phase in the above studies, good progress has been made. Gettinger (26) showed an ORR of 23% and a CRR of 7.7% in a study of Nivolumab in NSCLC. In 2014, ESMO published the results of a phase I clinical trial of PD-1 antibodies in advanced metastatic GC showing that 39 patients with a CRR of 22% and an ORR of 30% (27).The KEYNOTE-059 trial explored the efficacy and safety of first-line use of immunotherapy in Her-2 negative advanced GC or gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma (28). Cohort 1 results showed an overall population ORR of 11.6% and a median duration of remission of 8.4 months. In the PD-L1-positive subgroup, the ORR reached 15.5% and the overall median duration of remission was 16.3 months, which was better than expected and equally effective in the Asian population (29).The ONO-4538-12, TTRACTION-2 (30) study showed that OS was significantly prolonged in the nabumab group compared to the placebo group (5.26 months vs. 4.14 months, P<0.01) and the 1-year survival rate was 1-fold higher (26.2% vs. 10.9%).

However, immunotherapy is still in the clinical trial stage, and it has become an urgent task to find sensitive biomarkers for PD-1 and PD-L1 inhibitors and to screen the potential beneficiary population. Although immunotherapy is not yet recommended as the treatment of choice, it offers hope for patients with GC who have failed multiple lines of chemotherapy.

# Conclusion

In a word, the results of this study can provide a reference for the neoadjuvant strategy combined with PD-1 inhibitor and trastuzumab on the basis of first-line neoadjuvant regimen for patients with advanced GC that may be unresectable. However, this study has some limitations, and prospective clinical studies with larger samples are needed to verify in the future.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# **Ethics statement**

Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

# Author contributions

CZ: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MD: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YL: Visualization, Writing – review & editing. LP: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

#### References

 Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. Front Oncol. (2011) 8:69–90. doi: 10.3322/caac.20107

2. Feng RM, Zong YN, Cao SM, Xu RH. Current cancer situation in China: good or bad news from the2018 Global Cancer Statistics? . *Cancer Commun.* (2019) 39:22. doi: 10.1186/s40880-019-0368-6

3. Tan Z. Recent advances in the surgical treatment of advanced gastric cancer: A review. *Med Sci Monitor*. (2019) 25:3537–41. doi: 10.12659/MSM.916475

4. Song Z, Wu Y, Yang J, Yang D, Fang X. Progress in the treatment of advanced gastric cancer. *Tumor Biol.* (2017) 39:101042831771462. doi: 10.1177/1010428317714626

5. Sitarz R, Skierucha M, Mielko J, Offerhaus GJA, Maciejewski R, Polkowski WP. Gastric cancer: epidemiology, prevention, classification, and treatment. *Cancer Manage Res.* (2018) 10:239–48. doi: 10.2147/CMAR

6. Wilke H, Preusser P, Fink U, Gunzer U, Meyer HJ, Meyer J, et al. Preoperative chemotherapy in locally advanced and nonresectable gastric cancer: a phase II study with etoposide, doxorubicin, and cisplatin. *J Clin Oncol.* (1989) 7:1318–26. doi: 10.1200/JCO.1989.7.9.1318

7. Li Z, Koh CE, Bu ZD, Wu AW, Zhang LH, Wu XJ, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy with FOLFOX: improved outcomes in Chinese patients with locally advanced gastric cancer. J Surg Oncol. (2012) 105:793–9. doi: 10.1002/jso.23009

8. Oyama K, Fushida S, Kinoshita J, Makino I, Nakamura K, Hayashi H, et al. Efficacy of pre-operative chemotherapy with docetaxel, cisplatin, and S-1 (DCS therapy) and curative resection for gastric cancer with pathologically positive paraaortic lymph nodes. *J Surg Oncol.* (2012) 105:535–41. doi: 10.1002/jso.22125

9. Sato Y, Kurokawa Y, Doki Y, Mizusawa J, Tanaka K, Katayama H, et al. A Phase II study of preoperative chemotherapy with docetaxel, oxaliplatin and S-1 in gastric cancer with extensive lymph node metastasis (JCOG1704). *Future Oncol (London England).* (2020) 16:31–8. doi: 10.2217/fon-2019-0528

10. Ito S, Sano T, Mizusawa J, Takahari D, Katayama H, Katai H, et al. A phase II study of preoperative chemotherapy with docetaxel, cisplatin, and S-1 followed by gastrectomy with D2 plus para-aortic lymph node dissection for gastric cancer with extensive lymph node metastasis: JCOG1002. *Gastric Cancer*. (2017) 20:322–31. doi: 10.1007/s10120-016-0619-z

11. Wang FH, Shen L, Li J, Zhou ZW, Liang H, Zhang XT, et al. The Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology (CSCO): Clinical guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of gastric cancer. *Cancer Commun.* (2019) 39. doi: 10.1186/s40880-019-0349-9

12. Janjigian YY, Shitara K, Moehler M, Garrido M, Salman P, Shen L, et al. Firstline nivolumab plus chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for advanced gastric,

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Gansu Province (grant number 23JRRA1317, 23JRRA1782).

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

gastro-oesophageal junction, and oesophageal adenocarcinoma (CheckMate 649): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet (London England)*. (2021) 398:27–40. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(21)00797-2

13. Wang X, Lu C, Wei B, Li S, Li Z, Xue Y, et al. Perioperative versus adjuvant S-1 plus oxaliplatin chemotherapy for stage II/III resectable gastric cancer (RESONANCE): a randomized, open-label, phase 3 trial. *J Hematol Oncol.* (2024) 17:17. doi: 10.1186/s13045-024-01536-7

14. Moehler M, Xiao H, Blum SI, Elimova E, Cella D, Shitara K, et al. Health-related quality of life with nivolumab plus chemotherapy versus chemotherapy in patients with advanced gastric/gastroesophageal junction cancer or esophageal adenocarcinoma from checkMate 649. *J Clin Oncol.* (2023) 41:5388–99. doi: 10.1200/JCO.23.00170

15. Deng T, Li D, Yang Y, Wang F, Bai M, Liu R, et al. Efficacy and survival of anti-PD-1 antibody in combination with trastuzumab and chemotherapy versus trastuzumab and chemotherapy as first-line treatment of HER2-positive metastasis gastric adenocarcinoma: a retrospective study. *Front Oncol.* (2023) 13:1166040. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1166040

16. Zhao JH, Gao P, Song YX, Sun JX, Chen XW, Ma B, et al. Which is better for gastric cancer patients, perioperative or adjuvant chemotherapy: a meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer*. (2016) 16:631. doi: 10.1186/s12885-016-2667-5

17. Li W, Qin J, Sun YH, Liu TS. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy for advanced gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2010) 16:5621–8. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v16.i44.5621

18. Tsuburaya A. Phase II study of oxaliplatin plus S-1 as first-line treatment for advanced gastric cancer (G-SOX study). *Ann Oncol.* (2010) 21:1001–5. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdp464

19. Feng D, Leong M, Li T, Chen L, Li T. Surgical outcomes in patients with locally advanced gastric cancer treated with S-1 and oxaliplatin as neoadjuvant chemotherapy. *World J Surg Oncol.* (2015) 13:11. doi: 10.1186/s12957-015-0444-6

20. Satake H, Miki A, Kondo M, Kotake T, Okita Y, Hatachi Y, et al. Phase I study of neoadjuvant chemotherapy with S-1 and oxaliplatin for locally advanced gastric cancer (Neo G-SOX PI). *Esmo Open*. (2017) 2:e000130. doi: 10.1136/esmoopen-2016-000130

21. Wang X, Li S, Sun Y, Li K, Shen X, Xue Y, et al. The protocol of a prospective, multicenter, randomized, controlled phase III study evaluating different cycles of oxaliplatin combined with S-1 (SOX) as neoadjuvant chemotherapy for patients with locally advanced gastric cancer: RESONANCE-II trial. *BMC Cancer*. (2021) 21:20. doi: 10.1186/s12885-020-07764-7

22. Sah BK, Zhang B, Zhang H, Li J, Yuan F, Ma T, et al. Neoadjuvant FLOT versus SOX phase II randomized clinical trial for patients with locally advanced gastric cancer. *Nat Commun.* (2020) 11:6039. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-19965-6

23. Das M. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy: survival benefit in gastric cancer. Lancet Oncol. (2017) 18:e307. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(17)30321-2

24. Kang YK, Yook JH, Park YK, Lee JS, Kim YW, Kim JY, et al. PRODIGY: A phase III study of neoadjuvant docetaxel, oxaliplatin, and S-1 plus surgery and adjuvant S-1 versus surgery and adjuvant S-1 for resectable advanced gastric cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* (2021) 39:2903–13. doi: 10.1200/JCO.20.02914

25. Sibaud V, Meyer N, Lamant L, Vigarios E, Mazieres J, Delord JP. Dermatologic complications of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint antibodies. *Curr Opin Oncol.* (2016) 28:254–63. doi: 10.1097/CCO.00000000000290

26. Gettinger S, Rizvi NA, Chow LQ, Borghaei H, Brahmer J, Ready N, et al. Nivolumab monotherapy for first-line treatment of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol. (2016) 34:2980–7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2016.66.9929

27. Lussier DM, O'Neill L, Nieves LM, McAfee MS, Holechek SA, Collins AW, et al. Enhanced T-cell immunity to osteosarcoma through antibody blockade of PD-1/PD-L1 interactions. *J Immunother*. (2015) 38:96. doi: 10.1097/CJI.00000000000065

28. Bang YJ, Kang YK, Catenacci DV, Muro K, Fuchs CS, Geva R, et al. Pembrolizumab alone or in combination with chemotherapy as first-line therapy for patients with advanced gastric or gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma: results from the phase II nonrandomized KEYNOTE-059 study. *Gastric Cancer.* (2019) 22:828–37. doi: 10.1007/s10120-018-00909-5

29. Fuchs CS, Doi T, Jang RW, Muro K, Satoh T, Machado M, et al. Safety and efficacy of pembrolizumab monotherapy in patients with previously treated advanced gastric and gastroesophageal junction cancer: phase 2 clinical KEYNOTE-059 trial. *JAMA Oncol.* (2018) 5:579. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2019.0286

30. Kang Y-K, Boku N, Satoh T, Ryu MH, Chao Y, Kato K, et al. Nivolumab in patients with advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction cancer refractory to, or intolerant of, at least two previous chemotherapy regimens (ONO-4538-12, ATTRACTION-2): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet.* (2017) 390:2461–71. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31827-5