### Check for updates

### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY So Hee Kwon, Yonsei University, Republic of Korea

REVIEWED BY Jan Vondracek, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Czechia

\*CORRESPONDENCE Annamaria Colacci annamaria.colacci@unibo.it

RECEIVED 20 April 2024 ACCEPTED 05 August 2024 PUBLISHED 10 September 2024

#### CITATION

Senga SS, Bisson WH and Colacci A (2024) Key characteristics of carcinogens meet hallmarks for preventioncutting the Gordian knot. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1420687. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1420687

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Senga, Bisson and Colacci. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Key characteristics of carcinogens meet hallmarks for prevention-cutting the Gordian knot

### Sasi S. Senga<sup>1</sup>, William H. Bisson<sup>2</sup> and Annamaria Colacci<sup>3,4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, <sup>2</sup>National Toxicology Program, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), Durham, NC, United States, <sup>3</sup>Agency for Prevention, Environment and Energy, Emilia-Romagna (Arpae), Bologna, Italy, <sup>4</sup>Alma Mater Institute on Healthy Planet – University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

The complexity of cancer requires a comprehensive approach to understand its diverse manifestations and underlying mechanisms. Initially outlined by Hanahan and Weinberg in 2000 and updated in 2010, the hallmarks of cancer provide a conceptual basis for understanding inherent variability in cancer biology. Recent expansions have further elucidated additional hallmarks, including phenotypic plasticity and senescent cells. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has identified the key characteristics of carcinogens (KCCs) to evaluate their carcinogenic potential. We analyzed chemicals of concern for environmental exposure that interact with specific receptors to induce genomic instability, epigenetic alterations, immune suppression, and receptormediated effects, thereby contributing to chronic inflammation. Despite their varying degrees of carcinogenicity, these chemicals have similar KCC profiles. Our analysis highlights the pivotal role of receptor binding in activating most other KCCs, underscoring their significance in cancer initiation. Although KCCs are associated with early molecular or cellular events, they do not encompass processes directly linked to full cellular malignancy. Thus, there is a need to integrate clear endpoints that anchor KCCs to the acquisition of a complete malignant phenotype into chemical testing. From the perspective of toxicology and cancer research, an all-encompassing strategy that incorporates both existing and novel KCCs and cancer hallmarks is essential to enable the targeted identification of prevalent carcinogens and facilitate zone-specific prevention strategies. To achieve this goal, collaboration between the KCC and cancer hallmarks communities becomes essential.

#### KEYWORDS

KCCs, cancer hallmarks, chemical carcinogens, cancer process, regulatory toxicology, precise toxicology, environmental exposure, key carcinogens characteristics

# **1** Introduction

Cancer cells interact with a complex microenvironment, underscoring the inherent variability in cancer. Hanahan and Weinberg captured this complexity by outlining the hallmarks of cancer in 2000 and updating them in 2010 (1, 2). Senga and Grose expanded the hallmarks of cancer in 2021 by introducing additional hallmarks, such as dedifferentiation/transdifferentiation, epigenetic dysregulation, altered microbiome, and altered neuronal signaling (3). In 2022, Hanahan proposed the unlocking of phenotypic plasticity, non-mutational epigenetic reprogramming, senescent cells, and polymorphic microbiomes as additional hallmarks and emerging characteristics (4)

The exposome concept was introduced as a new paradigm for understanding and measuring all non-genetic factors that influence individuals throughout their lives, serving as a counterpart to the genome (5) This concept underscores the importance of capturing diverse environmental exposures, including chemical, biological, and psychosocial factors, to comprehensively assess their collective impact on health outcomes, such as carcinogenesis (5, 6). The Halifax Project Task Force, a pioneering effort in 2013, employed the original eleven hallmarks to evaluate the carcinogenic potential of environmental chemical mixtures and low-dose exposures (7). This initiative highlighted the critical need for robust data on environmental toxin exposures to elucidate their role in cancer development. Concurrently, an expert panel workshop convened by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) identified for over hundred Group 1 cancer hazards ten key characteristics of carcinogens (KCCs), offering a structured and comprehensive approach to identify, assess and classify the carcinogenic potential of various environmental agents (8, 9) (Table 1).

The IARC Preamble, as amended in 2019 (10), and the upcoming updated Handbook of the Report on Carcinogens (RoC) by the US National Toxicology Program (NTP (11) to develop monographs underscores the importance of refining current methods and/or adding novel methods to mechanistically identify and assess cancer hazards. This emphasizes the need of utilizing an integrated approach that prioritizes causation over association and incorporates both KCCs and cancer hallmarks for precision environmental health.

To enhance the understanding of the relationship between KCCs and cancer hallmarks, we analyzed a group of chemicals of environmental concern These were classified into different ranks of carcinogenicity by the IARC (12) and NTP (13) including polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs), phthalates, and endocrine disruptors (EDC), such as organophosphate (OPFRs) and halogenated (HRF) flame retardants, with arsenic as a paradigmatic representative of heavy metals (Supplementary Table S1).

PAHs and their nitro-derivatives (NPAHs) are among the most significant air pollutants and are implicated in respiratory pathologies, including cancer. PFASs are associated with a spectrum of health concerns, including potential adverse effects on immune function and metabolism. Their carcinogenic TABLE 1 Key characteristics of carcinogens and evolution of cancer hallmarks from 2000 to 2022.

#### Key Carcinogens Characteristics (Smith et al, 2016)

- 1. electrophilic or can be metabolically activated
- 2. Is genotoxic
- 3. Alters DNA repair or causes genomic instability
- 4. Induces epigenetic alterations
- 5. Induce oxidative stress
- 6. Induces chronic inflammation
- 7. Is immunosuppressive
- Modulates receptor-mediated effects
  Causes immortalization
- 10. Alters cell proliferation, cell death or nutrient supply

### Cancer Hallmarks (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2000)

- Self-Sufficiency in Growth Signals
- Insensitivity to Antigrowth Signals
- Evading Apoptosis
- Limitless Replicative Potential
- Sustained Angiogenesis
- Tissue Invasion and Metastasis
- Genome Instability

# Cancer Hallmarks: the next generation (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011)

- Genomic instability and evolution
- Tumor-Promoting Inflammation
- Reprogramming Energy Metabolism
- Evading Immune Destruction

# Cancer Hallmarks: the new testament (Senga and Grose, 2021)

- Dedifferentiation/Transdifferentiation
- Epigenetic dysregulation
- Altered microbiomeAltered neuronal signaling
- Antereu neuronar signaning

Cancer Hallmarks: new dimensions (Hanahan, 2022)

- Unlocking Phenotypic Plasticity
- Nonmutational Epigenetic Reprogramming
- Polymorphic microbiomes
- Senescent cells

properties have recently been revaluated based on new data and KCCs (14).

Phthalates have emerged as significant environmental contaminants, potentially linked to the escalation of various health issues, including reproductive disorders. OPFRs and HRFs are persistent pollutants used to reduce the flammability of various materials including plastics, textiles, and foam products. Some OPFRs such as tris(2,3-dibromopropyl) phosphate (TDBPP) are considered potential human carcinogens. Arsenic is recognized as a significant environmental hazard and is implicated in a range of health issues including cancer. These substances interact with significant receptors, whose activation can initiate molecular events in the carcinogenesis process. These receptors include the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR), peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs), estrogen and/or androgen receptors (ERs; AR), thyroid hormone receptors (THRs), and glucocorticoid receptors (GR).

# 2 Electrophiles or metabolically activated toxins that induce stemness

The KCC concept identifies electrophiles and metabolically activated toxins. Electrophiles, characterized by their electron deficiency, react with nucleophiles through covalent bonding and form adducts with vital cellular macromolecules, such as DNA. This interaction is central to carcinogenesis. While some carcinogens act directly as electrophiles, others undergo transformation into reactive metabolites by enzymes, such as cytochrome P450s, becoming potent carcinogens (15, 16).

PAHs and NPAHs require metabolic activation to generate electrophilic products that can form DNA adducts. AhR orchestrates the bioactivation and detoxification of activated metabolites. Beyond a certain threshold, detoxification capacity is overwhelmed and adaptive responses become maladaptive, implicating disrupted immune and metabolic pathways in genetic instability (17, 18). Therefore, electrophilicity not only contributes to genomic instability, but also influences the immune response and metabolism.

Both PFASs and some phthalate metabolites are considered electrophilic (19, 20) and have the potential to covalently bind to cellular macromolecules. The electrophilic nature of PFAS has been a topic of debate. While some experts argue that PFAS are not classically electrophilic due to their strong carbon-fluorine bonds and stability ( (19), accumulating evidence suggests that PFAS can undergo oxidation/reduction reactions, leading to the formation of reactive intermediates. These intermediates may interact with nucleophilic sites in biological molecules, emphasizing the potential for oxidative stress and its implications in carcinogenesis (21–23). PFAS compounds exhibit different binding behaviors depending on their carbon chain lengths and functional groups. For instance, new classes of PFAS that feature shorter chains and incorporate oxygen molecules are considered to be more reactive.

OPFRs are electrophilic compounds that react with nucleophiles in biological systems (24). As a compound containing bromine atoms, TDBPP can act as an electrophile by seeking electron-rich species to form covalent bonds.

Arsenic is commonly found in the environment as oxides, existing in trivalent or pentavalent forms, with a high affinity for electron-rich groups in biological molecules, such as thiols in detoxification pathways. This disrupts cellular processes and contributes to carcinogenesis and genotoxicity (25).

## 3 Can cause genomic instability

Genotoxic substances that inflict DNA damage do not always directly result in mutations, raising the question about their classification as carcinogens. Such damage can take various forms, including DNA adducts, strand breaks, or base modifications, which differ fundamentally from mutations that alter the DNA sequence itself, often as a byproduct of repair processes. This distinction underscores the importance of considering genotoxicity alongside the capacity to disrupt DNA repair mechanisms or induce genomic instability as a critical characteristic of carcinogens, aligning with the cancer hallmark of genomic instability. The intersection of genotoxic and mutagenic properties of carcinogens recognized by the IARC (12) and NTP (13) suggests the relevance of these agents in precipitating cancer, especially when considering individuals with a predisposition to genomic instability, such as those with hereditary syndromes that heighten vulnerability to additional environmental insults (26). This aligns with Knudson's two-hit hypothesis (26), which posits that the path to malignancy often requires multiple genetic insults, highlighting the complexity of cancer development and potential role of environmental toxins in precipitating germline mutations.

The linkage between hereditary cancer syndromes and genomic instability, whether chromosomal (CIN) or microsatellite (non-CIN), through mutations in DNA repair genes exemplifies the intricate relationship between genetic predisposition and cancer risk. (27). For instance, mutations in mismatch repair genes in Lynch syndrome or biallelic mutations in the MYH gene are associated with base excision repair pathways, leading to an elevated rate of G•C to T•A transversions and underlining the critical impact of DNA repair fidelity on cancer susceptibility (28)

Moreover, the role of oxidative stress induced by various carcinogens in contributing to genomic instability further emphasizes the need for a nuanced understanding of carcinogenesis. Oxidative stress can precipitate DNA damage, leading to genomic instability and the accumulation of mutations that facilitate cancer progression by enabling cells to acquire additional malignant traits. Thus, the identification of genotoxic agents and those inducing oxidative stress in genes crucial for DNA damage recognition, repair initiation, or damage prevention, is essential in the context of carcinogenesis. DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) or interstrand crosslinks have been identified as contributing to an increased susceptibility to a spectrum of cancers, including, but not limited to, breast and ovarian cancer, leukemia, and lymphomas (29-31) Moreover, mutations in genes associated with nucleotide excision repair pathways have been implicated in predisposing individuals to skin cancer (32). There is an acute need to screen for agents specifically causing genomic instability in gatekeeper genes, which play a central role in maintaining genomic integrity.

In addition to their direct interactions with DNA and mutagenic effects, PAHs can induce genetic instability by producing reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (ROS/RNS), which can damage cellular components such as lipids, proteins, and DNA. PAHs also disrupt cell antioxidant defense mechanisms, including the depletion of antioxidant molecules such as glutathione and the inhibition of enzymes such as superoxide dismutase and catalase (33).

PFASs, phthalate metabolites, such as mono(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (MEHP), and OPFRs can induce oxidative stress through the direct generation of ROS/RNS, or by inhibiting mitochondrial function, leading to an imbalance between ROS production and antioxidant defense mechanisms (34–36). Additionally, they can deplete cellular antioxidants such as glutathione and disrupt antioxidant enzyme activity (36).

Arsenic, particularly in its interconverted forms arsenite (As^III) and arsenate (As^V), undergoes redox reactions within cells, leading to the generation of ROS and subsequent oxidative stress. Arsenite, in particular has been identified as a potent inducer of oxidative stress through mechanisms such as mitochondrial dysfunction and the inhibition of antioxidant enzymes. These effects result in oxidative damage to cellular components, contributing to cellular dysfunction and toxicity (37).

Therefore, all these chemicals considered can induce genetic instability by generating oxidative stress, thereby fostering inflammation (38).

# 4 Induces epigenetic alterations

The rapid assessment of epigenetic effects is essential for both short-term and long-term consequences of toxin exposure, considering that the KCCs and hallmarks both propose epigenetic dysregulation and non-mutational epigenetic reprogramming (39). Studies on non-smoking Polish coke-oven workers exposed to PAHs found alterations in DNA methylation, including increased global and IL-6 gene methylation, and reduced methylation of p53 and HIC1 tumor suppressor genes. p53 hypomethylation is linked to chromosomal instability and higher micronuclei levels, suggesting that DNA methylation modifications are potential biomarkers of cancer risk due to PAH exposure (40).

PFASs can induce epigenetic alterations associated with childhood cancers, such as ependymomas (19, 41, 42). When combined with a high-fat diet, PFASs can support prostate cancer progression through epigenetic, transcriptomic, and metabolomic alterations, indicating a complex interplay between metabolism and epigenetics during cancer development (43, 44).

Phthalate exposure can alter DNA methylation and miRNA production and induce transgenerational epigenetic changes that affect transgenerational disease susceptibility (45, 46).

OPFRs exposure is associated with alterations in DNA methylation patterns and histone modifications (47).

Arsenic metabolism involves methylation reactions that share similarities with DNA methylation pathways, suggesting a potential interplay between arsenic metabolism and DNA methylation. Arsenic exposure can cause global changes in DNA methylation, and is associated with prostate cancer (48, 49).

Epigenetic changes can affect receptors and trigger molecular events that may cause cancer. DNA methylation patterns can silence or alter receptor gene expression, thereby affecting normal signaling. Histone modifications can change the chromatin structure and influence receptor expression by impacting promoter accessibility. mRNAs can regulate receptor expression by targeting messenger RNAs to degrade or inhibit their translation. Although some EDCs may disrupt epigenetic programming during development, it remains unclear whether this leads to negative outcomes (50) This emphasizes the pivotal role of receptormediated effects in the context of KCCs, highlighting how epigenetic changes contribute to receptor dysfunction and subsequent carcinogenic processes.

# 5 Induces chronic inflammation

Chronic inflammation, a key characteristic of carcinogenesis, is intricately linked to the hallmarks of tumor-promoting inflammation and alterations in the microbiome or polymorphic microbiomes. This association is due to both the direct effects of environmental toxins and indirect effects via changes in the microbiome at the population level.

### 5.1 Direct impact on immuneinflammatory responses

Research integrating single- and multiple-exposure models has shed light on the immunoinflammatory response to mixed chemical exposure, revealing the differential effects of chemicals on immuneinflammatory markers.

All chemicals that induce oxidative stress are potentially involved in the inflammatory processes.

The immune-inflammatory response to environmental exposure is mediated by AhR activation, leading to inflammasomes and adaptive responses. Chronic inflammation occurs when adaptive responses become maladaptive due to sustained or high exposure (17, 18).

PAHs and metals have been identified as significant influencers, underscoring the complexity of the health effects of multiple chemical exposures (41, 51) necessitating the development of sophisticated models to decipher the interactions and non-linear relationships between chemical co-exposure and immuneinflammatory responses.

# 5.2 Indirect impact through the microbiome

The ubiquitous Helicobacter pylori, co-evolved with humans for 50,000 years, represents the dual role of microbiota in health and disease. H. pylori is associated with a reduced risk of certain diseases and interacts with gut microbiota to influence metabolic processes (52, 53). However, its presence has also been implicated in a significant proportion of gastric cancers (54).

The microbiome impact on cancer progression supports Paget's seed and soil hypothesis. The microbiome can alter the tumor microenvironment, thereby facilitating or hindering cancer development. This corresponds to the discovery that oncoviruses, such as the Rous sarcoma virus, necessitate a suitable "soil" for the oncogenic "seeds" to thrive (55–57).

Chronic inflammation, tumor-promoting inflammation, and altered microbiomes are key factors to consider when determining the environmental toxins that drive precursor lesions to malignancy. These factors exploit the extended latency period, as seen in colorectal cancer development (58), to prevent such progression.

Environmental pollutants, such as heavy metals, pesticides, and food additives, can harm gut microbiomes and potentially cause or exacerbate human diseases. This damage can result from both direct and indirect effects on gut bacteria, leading to alterations in the microbial diversity and metabolic processes.

One mechanism of microbiome toxicity is the changes in the microbial metabolites, which bind AhR or t (59) he Farnesoid X Receptor (FXR) (60), affecting the immune response and metabolism.

PFAS can lead to alterations in gut microbiota and reduce microbiome diversity (61, 62).

DEHP modifies mouse intestinal microbiota, affecting metabolism and intestinal integrity (63).

Arsenic affects microbiome composition and function, with microbial redox transformations influencing its fate and toxicity when inhaled or ingested (64–66).

### 6 ls immunosuppressive

Cancer immune evasion and immunosuppression have distinct, yet interrelated mechanisms and implications.

Immune evasion, a cancer hallmark, refers to tumor's ability to avoid immune detection through various strategies, including immunosuppression. In metastatic melanoma treatments, such as adoptive cell transfer therapies, initial remission can be followed by relapse due to melanoma cell dedifferentiation influenced by proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF- $\alpha$  within the tumor microenvironment, facilitating immune evasion through antigen loss (67).

The distinction between immunosuppressive effects, such as those observed in organ transplantation, and immune evasion strategies, including camouflage of the immune system, prompts a revaluation of this key characteristic. Environmental toxins, while traditionally associated with immunosuppression, may also play roles in facilitating immune evasion, underscoring the need for a broader focus on environmental factors that hinder the body's immunosurveillance mechanisms against cancers.

The role of AhR in both cancer immune surveillance and immune evasion makes it a potential target for disruption and tumor promotion by exogenous chemicals, such as PAHs (68).

PPARs regulate immune responses by controlling inflammation and immune cell activity. AhR, PPARs, and other nuclear receptors interact to enhance immunosuppression.

PFAS have emerged as a significant concern because of their potential to induce immunosuppression (69).

Other environmental contaminants, ranging from fungicides and herbicides to personal care substances and industrial agents such as DEHP, which affect cytokine secretion (70), have been implicated in potentially compromising tumor immunosurveillance.

# 7 Modulates receptormediated effects

The nexus between receptor-mediated signaling and perpetuation of cell proliferation underscores a fundamental aspect of carcinogenesis, emphasizing the critical role of receptor pathways in the broader context of cancer cell growth and beyond molecular initiating events. Many chemicals, such as PAHs, PFAS, and phthalates, interact with multiple receptors, leading to complex downstream effects. Recent reviews provide a broader spectrum of receptor-mediated pathways involved in these interactions (71–73).

An integrated approach to understand how the modulation of receptor-mediated pathways directly contributes to the characteristics of sustained proliferative signaling is crucial.

PFASs underscore the connection between receptor modulation and proliferative signaling because of their ability to act as PPARs agonists or antagonists.

Tetrabromobisphenol A (TBBPA), a widely used HFR, interacts with both ER and AR, leading to a combined effect (74), which has been proposed as a mechanism in the carcinogenesis of triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) (75), and with THR (76, 77), modulating genes involved in thyroid cancer, through epigenetic alterations (77, 78).

Arsenite can impede GR-mediated transcription at non-toxic levels, impacting nuclear function without affecting hormoneinduced activation or translocation (79) Other chemicals, such as benzophenone-1 (BP1), affect ER pathways, which regulate cell proliferation and cell cycle. BP1 stimulates ER-positive cancer cells and modulates cyclin D1 expression, highlighting the importance of these pathways in maintaining proliferative signaling (80).

Taken together, these insights argue for a paradigm that acknowledges that screening for environmental toxins that mediate receptor-mediated modulation must essentially focus on sustained proliferative signaling as one of the majors read out (81).

# 8 Causes immortalization: altered lengthening of telomeres & evasion of cell death

The focus on immortalization and evasion of apoptosis, two critical hallmarks, contributes significantly to the understanding of environmental toxin-driven cancer via KCCs.

Telomere dynamics, influenced by environmental factors such as bisphenol A (TBBPA) and persistent organic pollutants (POPs), underscores the role of toxins in aging and disease susceptibility (82, 83). Investigations of telomere alterations among astronauts and arsenic exposure further highlight the complex interplay between toxins and telomere length regulation (84, 85).

Disrupted regulation of apoptosis by environmental mutagens, including endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs) such as bisphenol A, is implicated in cancer development (86). Climate change exacerbates this risk by altering environmental stressors, contributing to air pollution complexity, and disrupting the apoptotic signaling pathway (87).

PAHs have been implicated in oral squamous cell carcinoma by influencing cell fate decisions and promoting cell immortalization during senescence (88).

Exposure to PFAS has been associated with altered plasma membrane fluidity, affecting calcium signaling and increasing platelet response to agonists, potentially influencing cell survival and evasion of cell death (89). High arsenite concentrations may decrease telomerase activity and telomere length, leading to apoptosis (90).

# 9 Deregulating cellular energetics: a fulcrum for KCC - alters cell proliferation, cell death, or nutrient supply

The final key characteristic of carcinogens, instead of focusing on three different aspects, which have already been addressed via other characteristics, may benefit from specifically focusing on deregulating cellular energetics. This focus will be crucial for mitigating toxin exposure and cancer metabolic underpinnings.

PAHs offer a good example of how chemical exposure can deregulate cellular energetics, highlighting the intricate relationship between environmental toxins and cancer metabolism. PAHs can induce metabolic reprogramming by generating ROS and causing mitochondrial dysfunction. This leads to a shift towards glycolysis and away from oxidative phosphorylation, creating an environment favorable to cancer cell growth and survival (91). PAHs reactive intermediates such as diol epoxides can bind proteins leading to the generation of advanced glycation end products (AGEs) through the Maillard reaction (92). AGEs, interacting with their receptors (RAGE), induce metabolic disruption and histone glycation and trigger. the activation of key inflammatory signaling pathways (93). This mechanism has been confirmed in occupational exposure to PAHs, and metal fumes in shipyard welders (94).

PFAS are implicated in inducing epigenetic alterations and influencing cell proliferation, potentially contributing to cancer development (19). Phthalates are associated with the redox control of cancer cell destruction, where factors such as insufficient oxygen and nutrients can lead to cell death in tumor masses (95).

OPFRs affect diverse molecular pathways controlling cell proliferation and death, potentially contributing to cancer development (96).

Arsenic exposure has been linked to alterations in the gut microbiome, which can influence nutrient supply and potentially contribute to cancer development (97).

# **10** Discussion

KCCs are intrinsic properties of chemical molecules that contribute to carcinogenesis initiation and sustenance. However, assessing whether these characteristics lead to adverse carcinogenic effects depends on factors such as the exposure concentration, immune system integrity, and tissue-specific response variability (17, 98, 99) (Chemical molecules may exhibit multiple characteristics depending on factors such as the exposure route and the target organ. This complexity underscores the need to consider the interrelationships among KCCs and between KCCs and cancer hallmarks.

We examined chemicals previously evaluated for their potential to cause cancer and found that although the evidence of carcinogenicity varies in strength, they share similar KCCs. Our analysis r suggested that receptor activation is a primary molecular event driving the mechanisms supported by KCCs. Receptor binding plays a crucial role in activating other KCCs, including electrophilicity, immune response disruption, oxidative stress, and inflammation, highlighting the connections between KCCs and their role in cancer initiation.

This complexity highlights the need to study the links between KCCs and cancer hallmarks, investigating how chemical molecule



behavior aligns with hallmark responses (e.g., pathway analysis for common downstream events, multi-omics and spatial data analysis combined with phenotypic activity). The OECD Integrated Approach for Testing and Assessment (IATA) for non-genotoxic carcinogens integrates multiple sources of information and data to assess the carcinogenic potential of a substance and incorporates cancer hallmarks as part of the evaluation process of chemical substances (98, 99). It is rooted in the Adverse Outcome Pathway (AOP) framework, to use key events and molecular pathways in carcinogenesis to pinpoint chemical targets crucial for sustaining cancer progression (98, 99). From this perspective, KCCs appear to be associated with early events that manifest at the molecular or cellular level, but do not involve processes more directly linked to cellular transformation towards malignancy, such as dedifferentiation or transdifferentiation, and the plasticity stemming from cytoskeletal rearrangement to epithelial-mesenchymal transition (Figure 1).

### **11** Perspective

While KCCs may relate to a chemical's potential to initiate the carcinogenesis process, they do not encompass the cellular context's ability to adapt, show resilience, or mount defense mechanisms. Examining the transition from adaptive to potentially harmful responses could offer further clarity in assessing carcinogenic risks.

To ensure the accuracy of testing, it is important to incorporate the ability to identify malignancy (81, 100). For instance, microenvironment changes can help identify the early influences of carcinogens in promoting tumorigenesis (81, 101, 102) and serve as biological markers of chemical exposure (103). This ensures a comprehensive evaluation that not only identifies the initial stages of carcinogenesis, but also captures the ultimate endpoint of malignancy, providing a system toxicology-oriented and more holistic understanding of the carcinogenic potential of the tested chemicals. This aligns with the Carcinogenicity Health Effects Innovation Program's goal (104), part of the new NIEHS FY 2025-2029 Strategic Plan, of creating a deeper understanding of the mechanisms through which environmental exposures affect biological processes leading to cancer disease (104).

The cancer hallmarks have greatly improved our knowledge of the mechanisms underlying cancer. However, toxicologists require a comprehensive framework that not only identifies carcinogenic agents using the KCCs, but also incorporates the intricate principles of cancer hallmarks. This integration is crucial for creating a robust methodology that can proactively detect potential carcinogens.

Targeted identification of prevalent carcinogenic toxins can be facilitated by integrating the KCC concept with hallmark-based mechanisms, thereby enabling the development of zone-specific prevention tactics. This methodology paves the way for precision toxicology utilizing modern technologies, including artificial intelligence, to screen segregated zones using an integrated framework composed of the KCCs and cancer hallmarks.

As our spatial-temporal comprehension of cancer deepens with the advent of sophisticated tools and methodologies, there is an opportunity to expand the existing and evolving hallmarks of cancer development and carcinogenesis. This enriches our conceptual model of disease and disease transition starting from pre-disease states. Toxicologists must integrate emerging hallmarks into a comprehensive set of key features, including the existing KCCs, to evaluate routine exposure to potential toxins and mitigate the global health impacts of cancer. Collaboration between KCCs and cancer hallmark communities and the development of a next-generation framework for methods such as NAMs and human exposure -based mechanistic biomarkers are vital for toxicology and cancer research. It is essential to advance cancer prevention strategies, precision environmental health, and align research with the regulatory requirements and global public health needs.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

## Author contributions

SS: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Conceptualization. WB: Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization. AC: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Conceptualization.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the publication of this article. This work did not receive any external funding. The publication fee was covered by internal funds from the University of Bologna, Alma Mater Institute on Healthy Planet.

# Acknowledgments

SS dedicates this in loving memory of his mother, Kalavathi. WB dedicates this in loving memory of his mother, Agnes. AC dedicates this in loving memory of her mother, Johanna.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# References

1. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. The hallmarks of cancer. Cell. (2000) 100:57-70. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81683-9

2. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell.* (2011) 144:646–74. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013

3. Senga SS, Grose RP. Hallmarks of cancer-the new testament. Open Biol. (2021) 11:200358. doi: 10.1098/rsob.200358

4. Hanahan D. Hallmarks of cancer: New dimensions. *Cancer Discovery*. (2022) 12:31-46. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-21-1059

5. Wild CP. Complementing the genome with an "exposome": the outstanding challenge of environmental exposure measurement in molecular epidemiology. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* (2005) 14:1847–50. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-05-0456

6. Miller GW. The exposome at NIEHS: from workshops to manuscripts. *Exposome*. (2023) 3:osad011. doi: 10.1093/exposome/osad011

7. Goodson WH 3rd, Lowe L, Carpenter DO, Gilbertson M, Manaf Ali A, Lopez de Cerain Salsamendi A, et al. Assessing the carcinogenic potential of low-dose exposures to chemical mixtures in the environment: the challenge ahead. *Carcinogenesis.* (2015) 36 Suppl 1:S254–96. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgv039

8. Smith MT, Guyton KZ, Gibbons CF, Fritz JM, Portier CJ, Rusyn I, et al. Key characteristics of carcinogens as a basis for organizing data on mechanisms of carcinogenesis. *Environ Health Perspect*. (2016) 124:713–21. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1509912

 Smith MT, Guyton KZ, Kleinstreuer N, Borrel A, Cardenas A, Chiu WA, et al. The key characteristics of carcinogens: Relationship to the hallmarks of cancer, relevant biomarkers, and assays to measure them. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* (2020) 29:1887–903. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-19-1346

10. IARC. *IARC Preamble as Amended in 2019*. Monographs I, editor. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer (2021).

11. Bisson W, Arroyave W, Atwood S, Jahnke G, Mehta S, Schwingl P, et al. Framework for evaluating the level of evidence of carcinogenicity from mechanistic studies: The Report on Carcinogens Handbook. The Toxicologist (Supplement to Toxicologistal Sciences (2024) (SO, Reston VA), pp 75–6. Available at: https://www.toxicology.org/pubs/docs/Tox/2023Tox.pdf (Accessed August 2024).

12. IARC. Agents classified by the IARC Monographs Vol. 1–135. Monographs I, editor. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer (2023).

13. NTP. *Report on Carcinogens. Fifteenth Edition.* Research Triangle Park, NC, USA: National Toxicology Program Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service (2021).

14. Zahm S, Bonde JP, Chiu WA, Hoppin J, Kanno J, Abdallah M, et al. Carcinogenicity of perfluorooctanoic acid and perfluorooctanesulfonic acid. *Lancet Oncol.* (2024) 25:16–7. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(23)00622-8

15. Batal M, Boudry I, Mouret S, Clery-Barraud C, Wartelle J, Berard I, et al. DNA damage in internal organs after cutaneous exposure to sulphur mustard. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.* (2014) 278:39–44. doi: 10.1016/j.taap.2014.04.003

16. Smith MT. The mechanism of benzene-induced leukemia: a hypothesis and speculations on the causes of leukemia. *Environ Health Perspect.* (1996) 104 Suppl 6:1219–25 doi: 10.1289/ehp.961041219.

17. Mascolo MG, Perdichizzi S, Vaccari M, Rotondo F, Zanzi C, Grilli S, et al. The Transformics Assay: first steps for the development of an integrated approach to investigate the Malignant cell transformation in vitro. *Carcinogenesis*. (2018) 39:955–67. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgy037

18. Pillo G, Mascolo MG, Zanzi C, Rotondo F, Serra S, Bortone F, et al. Mechanistic interrogation of cell transformation *in vitro*: The transformics assay as an exemplar of oncotransformation. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23:7603. doi: 10.3390/ijms23147603

19. Temkin AM, Hocevar BA, Andrews DQ, Naidenko OV, Kamendulis LM. Application of the key characteristics of carcinogens to per and polyfluoroalkyl substances. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17051668

20. Goutte A, Alliot F, Budzinski H, Simonnet-Laprade C, Santos R, Lachaux V, et al. Trophic transfer of micropollutants and their metabolites in an urban riverine food web. *Environ Sci Technol.* (2020) 54:8043–50. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.0c01411

21. Grandjean P, Clapp R. Perfluorinated alkyl substances: emerging insights into health risks. *New solutions: J Environ Occup Health policy*. (2015) 25:147-63. doi: 10.1177/1048291115590506

22. Sunderland EM, Hu XC, Dassuncao C, Tokranov AK, Wagner CC, Allen JG. A review of the pathways of human exposure to poly- and perfluoroalkyl substances

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1420687/ full#supplementary-material

(PFASs) and present understanding of health effects. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. (2019) 29:131–47. doi: 10.1038/s41370-018-0094-1

23. Peng M, Xu Y, Wu Y, Cai X, Zhang W, Zheng L, et al. Binding affinity and mechanism of six PFAS with human serum albumin: Insights from multi-spectroscopy, DFT and molecular dynamics approaches. *Toxics.* (2024) 12. doi: 10.3390/toxics12010043

24. Yang J, Zhao W, Li Y. Human health risk regulation of reproductive toxicity, neurotoxicity, and endocrine disruption in special populations exposed to organophosphorus flame retardants. *Expo Health.* (2021) 13:551–66. doi: 10.1007/s12403-021-00402-y

25. Danes JM, Palma FR, Bonini MG. Arsenic and other metals as phenotype driving electrophiles in carcinogenesis. *Semin Cancer Biol.* (2021) 76:287–91. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2021.09.012

26. Knudson AG Jr. Mutation and cancer: statistical study of retinoblastoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. (1971) 68:820–3. doi: 10.1073/pnas.68.4.820

27. Leach FS, Nicolaides NC, Papadopoulos N, Liu B, Jen J, Parsons R, et al. Mutations of a mutS homolog in hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. *Cell.* (1993) 75:1215–25. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(93)90330-S

28. Al-Tassan N, Chmiel NH, Maynard J, Fleming N, Livingston AL, Williams GT, et al. Inherited variants of MYH associated with somatic G:C->T:A mutations in colorectal tumors. *Nat Genet*. (2002) 30:227–32. doi: 10.1038/ng828

29. Bachrati CZ, Hickson ID. RecQ helicases: suppressors of tumorigenesis and premature aging. *Biochem J.* (2003) 374:577-606. doi: 10.1042/bj20030491

30. Kennedy RD, D'Andrea AD. DNA repair pathways in clinical practice: lessons from pediatric cancer susceptibility syndromes. *J Clin Oncol.* (2006) 24:3799–808. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2005.05.4171

31. Ripperger T, Gadzicki D, Meindl A, Schlegelberger B. Breast cancer susceptibility: current knowledge and implications for genetic counselling. *Eur J Hum Genet.* (2009) 17:722–31. doi: 10.1038/ejhg.2008.212

32. Cleaver JE. Cancer in xeroderma pigmentosum and related disorders of DNA repair. *Nat Rev Cancer.* (2005) 5:564–73. doi: 10.1038/nrc1652

33. Ranchoux B, Meloche J, Paulin R, Boucherat O, Provencher S, Bonnet S. DNA damage and pulmonary hypertension. Int J Mol Sci. (2016) 17. doi: 10.3390/ijms17060990

34. Ng F, Berk M, Dean O, Bush AI. Oxidative stress in psychiatric disorders: evidence base and therapeutic implications. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol.* (2008) 11:851–76. doi: 10.1017/S1461145707008401

35. Henriksen EJ, Diamond-Stanic MK, Marchionne EM. Oxidative stress and the etiology of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. *Free Radic Biol Med.* (2011) 51:993–9. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2010.12.005

36. Potter SJ, Kumar DL, DeFalco T. Origin and differentiation of androgenproducing cells in the gonads. *Results Probl Cell Differ*. (2016) 58:101-34. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-31973-5\_5

37. Jomova K, Jenisova Z, Feszterova M, Baros S, Liska J, Hudecova D, et al. Arsenic: toxicity, oxidative stress and human disease. *J Appl Toxicol.* (2011) 31:95–107. doi: 10.1002/jat.1649

38. Meng Y, Xu X, Xie G, Zhang Y, Chen S, Qiu Y, et al. Alkyl organophosphate flame retardants (OPFRs) induce lung inflammation and aggravate OVA-simulated asthmatic response via the NF-small ka, CyrillicB signaling pathway. *Environ Int.* (2022) 163:107209. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2022.107209

39. Alegria-Torres JA, Barretta F, Batres-Esquivel LE, Carrizales-Yanez L, Perez-Maldonado IN, Baccarelli A, et al. Epigenetic markers of exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Mexican brickmakers: a pilot study. *Chemosphere*. (2013) 91:475–80. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.11.077

40. Pavanello S, Bollati V, Pesatori AC, Kapka L, Bolognesi C, Bertazzi PA, et al. Global and gene-specific promoter methylation changes are related to anti-B[a]PDE-DNA adduct levels and influence micronuclei levels in polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-exposed individuals. *Int J Cancer.* (2009) 125:1692–7. doi: 10.1002/ijc.24492

41. Liu Y, Eliot MN, Papandonatos GD, Kelsey KT, Fore R, Langevin S, et al. Gestational perfluoroalkyl substance exposure and DNA methylation at birth and 12 years of age: A longitudinal epigenome-wide association study. *Environ Health Perspectives*. (2022) 130:037005. doi: 10.1289/EHP10118

42. Kumar S, Michealraj A, Kim L, Rich J, Taylor M. ETMM-08 metabolic regulation of the epigenome drives lethal infantile ependymoma. *Neuro-Oncology Adv.* (2021) 3:15–i6. doi: 10.1093/noajnl/vdab024.064

43. Imir OB, Kaminsky AZ, Zuo QY, Liu YJ, Singh R, Spinella MJ, et al. Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substance exposure combined with high-fat diet supports prostate cancer progression. *Nutrients*. (2021) 13. doi: 10.3390/nu13113902

44. Boyd RI, Ahmad S, Singh R, Fazal Z, Prins GS, Madak Erdogan Z, et al. Toward a mechanistic understanding of poly- and perfluoroalkylated substances and cancer. *Cancers (Basel).* (2022) 14. doi: 10.3390/cancers14122919

 Grindler NM, Vanderlinden L, Karthikraj R, Kannan K, Teal S, Polotsky AJ, et al. Exposure to phthalate, an endocrine disrupting chemical, alters the first trimester placental methylome and transcriptome in women. *Sci Rep.* (2018) 8:6086. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-24505-w

46. Thorson JLM, Beck D, Ben Maamar M, Nilsson EE, Skinner MK. Ancestral plastics exposure induces transgenerational disease-specific sperm epigenome-wide association biomarkers. *Environ Epigenet*. (2021) 7:dvaa023. doi: 10.1093/eep/dvaa023

47. Maury E, Hashizume R. Epigenetic modification in chromatin machinery and its deregulation in pediatric brain tumors: Insight into epigenetic therapies. *Epigenetics*. (2017) 12:353–69. doi: 10.1080/15592294.2016.1278095

48. Reichard JF, Schnekenburger M, Puga A. Long term low-dose arsenic exposure induces loss of DNA methylation. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (2007) 352:188–92. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2006.11.001

49. Treas JN, Tyagi T, Singh KP. Effects of chronic exposure to arsenic and estrogen on epigenetic regulatory genes expression and epigenetic code in human prostate epithelial cells. *PLoS One*. (2012) 7:e43880. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0043880

50. Jacobs MN, Marczylo EL, Guerrero-Bosagna C, Rüegg J. Marked for life: epigenetic effects of endocrine disrupting chemicals. *Annu Rev Environ Resources*. (2017) 42:105–60. doi: 10.1146/annurev-environ-102016-061111

51. Arroyave W, Sethi M, Lemeris C, Hodgson ME, Mehta S, Lunn R. Mapping the mechanistic evidence of wood smoke and wildfire studies in humans. *ISEE Conf Abstracts.* (2022) 2022. doi: 10.1289/isee.2022.O-OP-203

52. Amieva M, Peek RM Jr. Pathobiology of helicobacter pylori-induced gastric cancer. *Gastroenterology*. (2016) 150:64–78. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2015.09.004

53. Blaser MJ, Atherton JC. Helicobacter pylori persistence: biology and disease. J Clin Invest. (2004) 113:321–33. doi: 10.1172/JCI20925

54. Usui G, Matsusaka K, Huang KK, Zhu F, Shinozaki T, Fukuyo M, et al. Integrated environmental, lifestyle, and epigenetic risk prediction of primary gastric neoplasia using the longitudinally monitored cohorts. *EBioMedicine*. (2023) 98:104844. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2023.104844

55. Paget G. Remarks on a case of alternate partial anaesthesia. *Br Med J.* (1889) 1:1–3. doi: 10.1136/bmj.1.1462.1

56. Rous P. A sarcoma of the fowl transmissible by an agent separable from the tumor cells. J Exp Med. (1911) 13:397–411. doi: 10.1084/jem.13.4.397

57. Dolberg DS, Bissell MJ. Inability of Rous sarcoma virus to cause sarcomas in the avian embryo. *Nature*. (1984) 309:552–6. doi: 10.1038/309552a0

58. Fearon ER, Vogelstein B. A genetic model for colorectal tumorigenesis. Cell. (1990) 61:759-67. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(90)90186-I

59. Tu P, Chi L, Bodnar W, Zhang Z, Gao B, Bian X, et al. Gut microbiome toxicity: Connecting the environment and gut microbiome-associated diseases. *Toxics*. (2020) 8:19. doi: 10.3390/toxics8010019

60. Sun I, Xie C, Wang G, Wu Y, Wu Q, Wang X, et al. Gut microbiota and intestinal FXR mediate the clinical benefits of metformin. *Nat Med.* (2018) 24:1919–29. doi: 10.1038/s41591-018-0222-4

61. Salihovic S, Dickens AM, Schoultz I, Fart F, Sinisalu L, Lindeman T, et al. Simultaneous determination of perfluoroalkyl substances and bile acids in human serum using ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Anal Bioanal Chem.* (2020) 412:2251–9. doi: 10.1007/s00216-019-02263-6

62. Laue HE, Moroishi Y, Palys TJ, Christensen BC, Criswell RL, Peterson LA, et al. Early-life exposure to per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances and infant gut microbial composition. *Environ Epidemiol.* (2023) 7:e238. doi: 10.1097/EE9.00000000000238

63. Lei M, Menon R, Manteiga S, Alden N, Hunt C, Alaniz RC, et al. Environmental chemical diethylhexyl phthalate alters intestinal microbiota community structure and metabolite profile in mice. *mSystems*. (2019) 4. doi: 10.1128/mSystems.00724-19

64. Lu K, Abo RP, Schlieper KA, Graffam ME, Levine S, Wishnok JS, et al. Arsenic exposure perturbs the gut microbiome and its metabolic profile in mice: an integrated metagenomics and metabolomics analysis. *Environ Health Perspect*. (2014) 122:284–91. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1307429

65. Lu K, Mahbub R, Cable PH, Ru H, Parry NM, Bodnar WM, et al. Gut microbiome phenotypes driven by host genetics affect arsenic metabolism. *Chem Res Toxicol*. (2014) 27:172-4. doi: 10.1021/tx400454z

66. McDermott TR, Stolz JF, Oremland RS. Arsenic and the gastrointestinal tract microbiome. *Environ Microbiol Rep.* (2020) 12:136–59. doi: 10.1111/1758-2229.12814

67. Landsberg J, Kohlmeyer J, Renn M, Bald T, Rogava M, Cron M, et al. Melanomas resist T-cell therapy through inflammation-induced reversible dedifferentiation. *Nature*. (2012) 490:412–6. doi: 10.1038/nature11538

68. Hill W, Lim EL, Weeden CE, Lee C, Augustine M, Chen K, et al. Lung adenocarcinoma promotion by air pollutants. *Nature*. (2023) 616:159-67. doi: 10.1038/s41586-023-05874-3

69. Fenton SE, Ducatman A, Boobis A, DeWitt JC, Lau C, Ng C, et al. Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substance toxicity and human health review: Current state of

knowledge and strategies for informing future research. *Environ Toxicol Chem.* (2021) 40:606–30. doi: 10.1002/etc.4890

70. Hansen JF, Nielsen CH, Brorson MM, Frederiksen H, Hartoft-Nielsen ML, Rasmussen AK, et al. Influence of phthalates on in *vitro* innate and adaptive immune responses. *PLoS One.* (2015) 10:e0131168. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0131168

71. Bock KW. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR): From selected human target genes and crosstalk with transcription factors to multiple AHR functions. *Biochem Pharmacol.* (2019) 168:65–70. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2019.06.015

72. Cheng HS, Yip YS, Lim EKY, Wahli W, Tan NS. PPARs and tumor microenvironment: The emerging roles of the metabolic master regulators in tumor stromal-epithelial crosstalk and carcinogenesis. *Cancers (Basel)*. (2021) 13. doi: 10.3390/cancers13092153

73. Lee C. Collaborative power of nrf2 and PPARγ Activators against metabolic and drug-induced oxidative injury. *Oxid Med Cell Longevity*. (2017) 2017:1378175. doi: 10.1155/2017/1378175

74. Vera-Badillo FE, Templeton AJ, de Gouveia P, Diaz-Padilla I, Bedard PL, Al-Mubarak M, et al. Androgen receptor expression and outcomes in early breast cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JNCI: J Natl Cancer Institute*. (2013) 106. doi: 10.1093/jnci/dit319

75. Honma N, Matsuda Y, Mikami T. Carcinogenesis of triple-negative breast cancer and sex steroid hormones. *Cancers (Basel)*. (2021) 13. doi: 10.3390/cancers13112588

76. Ren X-M, Yao L, Xue Q, Shi J, Zhang Q, Wang P, et al. Binding and activity of tetrabromobisphenol A mono-ether structural analogs to thyroid hormone transport proteins and receptors. *Environ Health Perspectives*. (2020) 128:107008. doi: 10.1289/ EHP6498

77. Otsuka S, Ishihara A, Yamauchi K. Ioxynil and tetrabromobisphenol a suppress thyroid-hormone-induced activation of transcriptional elongation mediated by histone modifications and RNA polymerase II phosphorylation. *Toxicological Sci.* (2014) 138 (2):290–9. doi: 10.1093/toxsci/kfu012

78. Liu M, Li A, Meng L, Zhang G, Guan X, Zhu J, et al. Exposure to novel brominated flame retardants and organophosphate esters and associations with thyroid cancer risk: A case-control study in eastern China. *Environ Sci Technology*. (2022) 56:17825–35. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.2c04759

79. Kaltreider RC, Davis AM, Lariviere JP, Hamilton JW. Arsenic alters the function of the glucocorticoid receptor as a transcription factor. *Environ Health Perspect.* (2001) 109:245–51. doi: 10.1289/ehp.01109245

80. Park MA, Hwang KA, Lee HR, Yi BR, Jeung EB, Choi KC. Benzophenone-1 stimulated the growth of BG-1 ovarian cancer cells by cell cycle regulation via an estrogen receptor alpha-mediated signaling pathway in cellular and xenograft mouse models. *Toxicology*. (2013) 305:41–8. doi: 10.1016/j.tox.2012.12.021

81. Colacci A, Corvi R, Ohmori K, Paparella M, Serra S, Da Rocha Carrico I, et al. The cell transformation assay: A historical assessment of current knowledge of applications in an integrated approach to testing and assessment for non-genotoxic carcinogens. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2023) 24. doi: 10.3390/ijms24065659

82. Liang J, Shao Y, Huang D, Yang C, Liu T, Zeng X, et al. Effects of prenatal exposure to bisphenols on newborn leucocyte telomere length: a prospective birth cohort study in China. *Environ Sci pollut Res Int.* (2023) 30:25013–23. doi: 10.1007/s11356-021-14496-z

83. Roberts EK, Boss J, Mukherjee B, Salerno S, Zota A, Needham BL. Persistent organic pollutant exposure contributes to Black/White differences in leukocyte telomere length in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Sci Rep.* (2022) 12:19960. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-24316-0

84. Luxton JJ, McKenna MJ, Taylor LE, George KA, Zwart SR, Crucian BE, et al. Temporal telomere and DNA damage responses in the space radiation environment. *Cell Rep.* (2020) 33:108435. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108435

85. Ferrario D, Collotta A, Carfi M, Bowe G, Vahter M, Hartung T, et al. Arsenic induces telomerase expression and maintains telomere length in human cord blood cells. *Toxicology*. (2009) 260:132–41. doi: 10.1016/j.tox.2009.03.019

86. Egle A, Harris AW, Bath ML, O'Reilly L, Cory S. VavP-Bcl2 transgenic mice develop follicular lymphoma preceded by germinal center hyperplasia. *Blood.* (2004) 103:2276–83. doi: 10.1182/blood-2003-07-2469

87. LaKind JS, Overpeck J, Breysse PN, Backer L, Richardson SD, Sobus J, et al. Exposure science in an age of rapidly changing climate: challenges and opportunities. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol.* (2016) 26:529–38. doi: 10.1038/jes.2016.35

88. Stanford EA, Ramirez-Cardenas A, Wang Z, Novikov O, Alamoud K, Koutrakis P, et al. Role for the aryl hydrocarbon receptor and diverse ligands in oral squamous cell carcinoma migration and tumorigenesis. *Mol Cancer Res.* (2016) 14:696–706. doi: 10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-16-0069

89. Meneguzzi A, Fava C, Castelli M, Minuz P. Exposure to perfluoroalkyl chemicals and cardiovascular disease: Experimental and epidemiological evidence. *Front Endocrinol.* (2021) 12. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2021.706352

90. Kim Y-D, Jang S-J, Lim E-J, Ha J-S, Shivakumar SB, Jeong G-J, et al. Induction of telomere shortening and cellular apoptosis by sodium meta-arsenite in human cancer cell lines. *Anim Cells Systems*. (2017) 21:241–54. doi: 10.1080/19768354.2017.1342691

91. Monteverde T, Muthalagu N, Port J, Murphy DJ. Evidence of cancer-promoting roles for AMPK and related kinases. *FEBS J.* (2015) 282:4658–71. doi: 10.1111/febs.13534

92. Maillard LC. Action des acides amines sur les sucres; formation de melanoidines par voie méthodique" [Action of amino acids on sugars. Formation of melanoidins in a methodical way]. *Comptes Rendus.* (1912) 154::66–8.

93. Uribarri J, del Castillo MD, de la Maza MP, Filip R, Gugliucci A, Luevano-Contreras C, et al. Dietary advanced glycation end products and their role in health and disease. *Adv Nutr.* (2015) 6:461–73. doi: 10.3945/an.115.008433

94. Lai C-H, Chou C-C, Chuang H-C, Lin G-J, Pan C-H, Chen W-L. Receptor for advanced glycation end products in relation to exposure to metal fumes and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon in shipyard welders. *Ecotoxicology Environ Safety.* (2020) 202:110920. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.110920

95. Hegedűs C, Kovács K, Polgár Z, Regdon Z, Szabó É, Robaszkiewicz A, et al. Redox control of cancer cell destruction. *Redox Biol.* (2018) 16:59–74. doi: 10.1016/ j.redox.2018.01.015

96. Trembley JH, Wang G, Unger G, Slaton J, Ahmed K. Protein kinase CK2 in health and disease. Cell Mol Life Sci. (2009) 66:1858–67. doi: 10.1007/s00018-009-9154-y

97. Griggs JL, Chi L, Hanley NM, Kohan M, Herbin-Davis K, Thomas DJ, et al. Bioaccessibility of arsenic from contaminated soils and alteration of the gut microbiome in an *in vitro* gastrointestinal model. *Environmental Pollution*. (2022) 309:119753. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119753

98. Jacobs MN, Colacci A, Corvi R, Vaccari M, Aguila MC, Corvaro M, et al. Chemical carcinogen safety testing: OECD expert group international consensus on the development of an integrated approach for the testing and assessment of chemical non-genotoxic carcinogens. *Arch Toxicol.* (2020) 94:2899–923. doi: 10.1007/s00204-020-02784-5

99. Jacobs MN, Colacci A, Louekari K, Luijten M, Hakkert BC, Paparella M, et al. International regulatory needs for development of an IATA for non-genotoxic carcinogenic chemical substances. *ALTEX*. (2016) 33:359–92. doi: 10.14573/altex

100. Corton JC, Mitchell CA, Auerbach S, Bushel P, Ellinger-Ziegelbauer H, Escobar PA, et al. A collaborative initiative to establish genomic biomarkers for assessing tumorigenic potential to reduce reliance on conventional rodent carcinogenicity studies. *Toxicological Sci.* (2022) 188:4–16. doi: 10.1093/toxsci/kfac041

101. Bisson WH, Amedei A, Memeo L, Forte S, Felsher DW. Tumor-promoting/ associated inflammation and the microenvironment: A state of the science and new horizons. In: *Translational Toxicology and Therapeutics: Windows of Developmental Susceptibility in Reproduction and Cancer* Eds. Waters M., Hughes C. (2018) (John Wiley & Sons).

102. Casey SC, Vaccari M, Al-Mulla F, Al-Temaimi R, Amedei A, Barcellos-Hoff MH, et al. The effect of environmental chemicals on the tumor microenvironment. *Carcinogenesis.* (2015) 36 Suppl 1:S160–83. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgv035

103. Aguilar D, Colacino JA. Single cell approaches to understand environmental impacts on aggressive breast cancers. *Curr Opin Toxicology*. (2024), 100459. doi: 10.1016/j.cotox.2024.100459

104. NIH, NIEHS. Carcinogenicity Health Effects Innovation North Carolina. Available online at: https://www.niehs.nih.gov/research/atniehs/dtt/strategic-plan/health/carcinogenicity. (Accessed June 2024).