Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Lin Zhou, Sichuan University, China

REVIEWED BY

Guoqing Zhang, First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, China Alessia Pellerino, University Hospital of the City of Health and Science of Turin, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Yingqiu Song Syq18900917411@163.com Tianlu Wang Wangtianlu@cancerhosp-ln-cmu.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 14 March 2024 ACCEPTED 03 May 2024 PUBLISHED 22 May 2024

CITATION

Li J, Zhang X, Wang Y, Jin Y, Song Y and Wang T (2024) Clinicopathological characteristics and prognosis of synchronous brain metastases from non-small cell lung cancer compared with metachronous brain metastases. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1400792. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1400792

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Li, Zhang, Wang, Jin, Song and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Clinicopathological characteristics and prognosis of synchronous brain metastases from non-small cell lung cancer compared with metachronous brain metastases

Jing Li^{1,2†}, Xiaofang Zhang^{2,3†}, Ye Wang^{1,2}, Yi Jin⁴, Yinggiu Song^{5*} and Tianlu Wang ^{2,5,6,7*}

 ¹School of Graduate, Dalian Medical University, Dalian, Liaoning, China, ²Department of Radiotherapy, Cancer Hospital of China Medical University, Liaoning Cancer Hospital and Institute, Shenyang, Liaoning, China, ³School of Graduate, China Medical University, Shengyang, Liaoning, China, ⁴Department of Breast Surgery, Liaoning Cancer Hospital and Institute, Shenyang, Liaoning, China, ⁵Department of Radiotherapy, Cancer Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, Liaoning, China, ⁶Department of Radiotherapy, Cancer Hospital of Dalian University of Technology, Shenyang, Liaoning, China, ⁷Faculty of Medicine, Dalian University of Technology, Shenyang, Liaoning, China

Purpose: Brain metastasis (BM) from non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is a serious complication severely affecting patients' prognoses. We aimed to compare the clinicopathological features and prognosis of synchronous and metachronous BM from NSCLC.

Methods: Clinical data of 461 patients with brain metastases from NSCLC who visited the Cancer Hospital of China Medical University from 2005 to 2017 were retrospectively collected. We analyzed the pathophysiological characteristics of synchronous and metachronous BM from NSCLC and survival rates of the patients. Propensity score matching analysis was used to reduce bias between groups. In addition, we used the Kaplan-Meier method for survival analysis, log-rank test to compare survival rates, and Cox proportional hazards regression model for multivariate prognosis analysis.

Results: Among 461 patients with BM, the number of people who met the inclusion criteria was 400 cases, and after 1:2 propensity score matching,130 had synchronous BM and 260 had metachronous BM. The survival time was longer for metachronous BM in driver mutation-negative patients with squamous cell carcinoma than synchronous BM. Conversely, metachronous and synchronous BM with gene mutations and adenocarcinoma showed no differences in survival time. Multivariate analysis showed that metachronous BM was an independent prognostic factor for overall survival. Furthermore, the pathological type squamous cell carcinoma and Karnofsky Performance Status score <80 were independent risk factors affecting overall survival.

Conclusion: BM status is an independent factor influencing patient outcome. Moreover, synchronous and metachronous BM from NSCLC differ in gene mutation profile, pathological type, and disease progression and hence require different treatments.

KEYWORDS

lung cancer, synchronous, metachronous, brain metastasis, prognosis

Introduction

Over the last several decades, lung cancer has become one of the world's most common cancers and a major cause of death (1–3), with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), representing over 80% of cases (1, 3, 4). The most common histologic subtypes of NSCLC are lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC), and large cell carcinoma (4). Central nervous system (CNS) metastasis is a common complication of NSCLC. Data from the National Cancer Institute show that the risk of CNS metastasis in patients with LUAD, LUSC, and large cell carcinoma is 11%, 6%, and 12%, respectively (5, 6).

The most common CNS metastasis in NSCLC is brain metastasis (BM). The occurrence of BM leads to a poor prognosis and severely impacts patients' quality of life and survival rates (6–8). The survival time of patients with NSCLC diagnosed with BM is significantly short, with an average of only 1–3 months if left untreated (6). However, in recent years, the development of different therapies, such as surgery, radiation therapy, medical interventions, and, particularly, targeted therapy, have led to better outcomes in patients with NSCLC-associated BM, improving their quality of life and prolonging their survival time.

EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), one of the most recently introduced therapies, have demonstrated significant improvements in survival in *EGFR*-mutated advanced NSCLC (9–12), becoming the therapeutic choice for patients with advanced NSCLC and *EGFR*-sensitive mutations.

Unfortunately, due to the blood-brain barrier (BBB), most of the available drugs cannot effectively enter brain tissue, resulting in poor therapeutic efficacy. As a consequence, the 5-year survival rate of patients with BM remains low (13). BM is classified according to the time elapsed between its occurrence and lung cancer diagnosis. However, in various literatures, the criteria for distinguishing the timing of synchronous and metachronous brain metastases vary. In this paper, we consider that synchronous BM is detected within 2 months after the diagnosis of NSCLC, while metachronous BM is detected after 2 months following the NSCLC diagnosis (14, 15). The incidence rate of NSCLC-related BM is 10% (5, 16, 17) and increases with disease progression, reaching up to 40–50% in cases of advanced disease. The factors influencing prognosis and treatment choices for NSCLC-associated BM remain unclear. In this study, we performed a retrospective analysis involving 400 patients with NSCLC, comparing the pathophysiological characteristics and survival rates between those with metachronous BM and those with synchronous BM. We aimed to determine the factors affecting NSCLC-related BM progression and explore whether patients can benefit from personalized treatment plans according to the time of BM onset.

Materials and methods

Study population

We collected data from a unicentral retrospective cohort of 461 patients with NSCLC-associated BM, who visited the Cancer Hospital of China Medical University between January 2005 and December 2017. The inclusion criteria encompassed (1) age \geq 18 years; (2) a confirmed pathological diagnosis of NSCLC through tracheoscopy, lung puncture biopsy, metastasis biopsy, or surgical biopsy; (3) a pathological diagnosis of LUSC or LUAD; (4) NSCLC-induced BM confirmed by imaging and/or pathology, such as head-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); (5) access to complete clinical data and follow-up information; (6) the absence of other malignancies. All enrolled patients who did not have an endpoint event (death) were followed up through outpatient visits, inpatient care, or telephone follow-up for at least 1 year.

Data collection

Various pathological variables in patients at the time of their BM diagnosis were documented, including age (< 65 vs. \geq 65), gender (male vs. female), pathological type (LUSC vs. LUAD), general health status score assessed by the Karnofsky Performance Status (KPS < 80 vs. \geq 80), synchronous BM occurrence (yes/no), number of intracranial metastases (single vs. multiple), number of extracranial organs affected (1–2 vs. > 2), radiotherapy (yes/no), surgery (yes/no), chemotherapy (yes/no), EGFR gene mutation(yes/no), and

PET-CT (yes/no). Overall survival is defined as the date from the date of BM diagnosis to the date of patient death or last follow-up visit.

Statistical methods

IBM SPSS 26.0 software was used to create patient groups with synchronous versus metachronous BM originating from lung cancer through a 1:2 propensity score matching (PSM) approach. This was done to reduce selection bias and account for potential confounding variables. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression analyses, for both the raw and the propensity score-matched dataset, were used to assess survival risk factors. Variables with p-values < 0.1 in the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis. Results were expressed as hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). Additionally, a univariate Cox proportional risk regression analysis was used to assess the effect of gene mutation and pathological type on survival stratification in patients with synchronous versus metachronous BM. Kaplan-Meier analysis and a two-sided log-rank test were used to assess the effect of the type of lung cancer and the presence of driver mutations on survival outcomes. A chi-square test was performed using R 4.2.1 to analyze the baseline characteristics before and after PSM. A Cox proportional risk regression model was used for assessing prognostic correlations, survival curve plotting, and survival data analysis. All hypothesis tests were twosided, and results were considered significant when p-values were less than 0.05.

Result

Patient characteristics

A total of 461 patients who were initially diagnosed with BM from NSCLC and admitted to the Cancer Hospital of China Medical University from March 2005 to December 2017 were included in this study. The selection process resulted in the exclusion of 61 patients for the following reasons: 19 patients due to an unclear diagnosis of pathological types, 11 patients with pathological types other than lung adenocarcinoma and squamous lung cancer, 23 patients due to missed visits, and 8 patients with incomplete clinical information. Ultimately, 400 patients were included in this study. Among them, 130 patients had synchronous BM and 270 patients had metachronous BM. On this basis, a 1:2 PSM was performed, resulting in 130 patients with synchronous metastases and 260 patients with metachronous metastases, achieving a balanced distribution between the groups (Figure 1).

Clinical features of the 400 patients are presented in Table 1. There was no significant stats discrepancy between the two groups of patients with synchronous versus metachronous brain metastases from lung cancer before PSM in terms of age at diagnosis of brain metastases [years], gender, KPS score, type of pathology, number of metastases, number of affected extracranial organs, brain surgery, brain radiotherapy, EGFR genetic mutations, and PET-CT (p >



0.05). There were between-group differences in whether chemotherapy was administered (p < 0.05), and further equalization of baseline characteristics was required. the distribution of baseline characteristics was well balanced between the two groups of patients with synchronous and metachronous brain metastases after PSM, and no statistically significant difference was observed between the two groups (p > 0.05).

Impact of metachronous brain metastasis on overall survival rate

Univariate analyses were used to analyze the influence of different covariates on OS. In the univariate analysis conducted before PSM, synchronous BM (HR: 1.242 [95% CI: 1.003–1.539], p = 0.047), squamous carcinoma (HR: 1.522 [95% CI: 1.145–2.024], p = 0.004), and KPS score < 80 (HR: 1.264 [95% CI: 1.024–1.558, p = 0.029) were identified as risk factors affecting OS of BM in patients with NSCLC. On the other hand, female sex (HR: 0.725 [95% CI: 0.592– 0.889], p = 0.002) and EGFR gene positivity (HR: 0.656 [95% CI: 0.513–0.839], p < 0.001) were identified as significant protective factors associated with longer OS. Multifactor analysis was performed for elements with *p*-values less than 0.1 in the univariate analysis (Table 2), depicting synchronous BM (HR: 1.335 [95% CI: 1.076–1.657], p = 0.009), pathological type of squamous carcinoma (HR: 1.361 [95% CI: 1.018–1.820], p = 0.037), KPS score < 80 (HR: 1.392 [95% CI: 1.124–1.724], p = 0.002) as risk factors affecting OS of BM in patients with NSCLC.

The univariate and multivariate analysis results for 390 patients with NSCLC-associated BM after PSM were generally consistent with those before PSM. In the univariate analysis following PSM: a male sex, a pathological type of squamous carcinoma, KPS score < 80, and EGFR gene negativity were identified as risk factors for OS in patients with NSCLC exhibiting BM. On the other hand, metachronous BM (HR: 0.771 [95% CI: 0.622–0.957], p = 0.018) emerged as a protective factor for OS. The multifactor analysis was performed on factors with *p*-values less than 0.1 in the results after

	Before PSM			After PSM						
Characteristic	synchronous brain n=130	metachronous brain n=270	р	Synchronous brain n=130	metachronous brain n=260	p				
Age										
<65	74 (18.5%)	157 (39.2%)	0.816	74 (19%)	150(38.5%)	0.885				
>=65	56 (14%)	113 (28.2%)		56 (14.4%)	110 (28.2%)					
Gender										
Female	64 (16%)	132 (33%)	0.949	64 (16.4%)	127 (32.6%)	0.934				
Male	66 (16.5%)	138 (34.5%)		66 (16.9%)	133 (34.1%)					
Pathological type										
Squamous carcinoma	19 (4.8%)	38 (9.5%)	0.885	19 (4.9%)	37 (9.5%)	0.919				
Adenocarcinoma	111 (27.8%)	232 (58%)		111 (28.5%)	223 (57.2%)					
KPS										
<80	83 (20.8%)	171 (42.8%)	0.921	83 (21.3%)	166 (42.6%)	1.000				
>=80	47 (11.8%)	99 (24.8%)		47 (12.1%)	94 (24.1%)					
No. of intracrania	l metastases									
Single shot	83 (20.8%)	175 (43.8%)	0.850	83 (21.3%)	168 (43.1%)	0.881				
Multi-incidence	47 (11.8%)	95 (23.8%)		47 (12.1%)	92 (23.6%)					
Number of affect	ed extra-cranial organs									
1-2	115 (28.7%)	223 (55.8%)	0.129	115 (29.5%)	214 (54.9%)	0.115				
>2	15 (3.8%)	47 (11.8%)		15 (3.8%)	46 (11.8%)					
radiotherapy										
No	42 (10.5%)	65 (16.2%)	0.081	42 (10.8%)	62 (15.9%)	0.075				
Yes	88 (22%)	205 (51.2%)		88 (22.6%)	198 (50.8%)					
Surgery										
NO	123 (30.8%)	256 (64%)	0.933	123 (31.5%)	247 (63.3%)	0.871				
YES	7 (1.8%)	14 (3.5%)		7 (1.8%)	13 (3.3%)					
Chemotherapy										
NO	49 (12.2%)	132 (33%)	0.035	49 (12.6%)	124 (31.8%)	0.061				
YES	81 (20.2%)	138 (34.5%)		81 (20.8%)	136 (34.9%)					
Genetic mutations										
NO	99 (24.8%)	210 (52.5%)	0.717	99 (19%)	202 (51.8%)	0.733				
YES	31 (7.8%)	60 (15%)		31 (7.9%)	58 (14.9%)					
PET-CT										
NO	109 (27.3%)	238 (59.5%)	0.235	109 (27.9%)	229 (58.7%)	0.247				
YES	21 (5.2%)	32 (8%)		21 (5.4%)	31 (7.9%)					

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of patients with synchronous versus metachronous brain metastases before and after PSM.

A total of 89 cases were positive for gene mutations after PSM. PSM, propensity score matching

univariate analysis (Table 3), identified metachronous BM (HR: 0.715 [95% CI: 0.575–0.889], p = 0.002) as an independent protective factor for OS; whereas the pathological type of squamous carcinoma and KPS score < 80 were identified as independent risk

factors for OS in NSCLC-associated BM. Moreover, subgroup analyses according to patients' clinical characteristics confirmed that metachronous BM was associated with a significantly longer OS in all subgroups (Figure 2).

TABLE 2 Univariate and Multivariate analysis of the effect of NSCLC brain metastasis on OS (before PSM).

		Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
Characteristics	Total(N)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value
Age of diagnosis of brain metastases	400		0.291		
<65	231	Reference			
≥65	169	1.116 (0.911 - 1.366)	0.289		
Gender	400		0.002		
Male	204	Reference		Reference	
Female	196	0.725 (0.592 - 0.889)	0.002	0.825 (0.666 - 1.023)	0.079
Brain metastases status	400		0.050		
metachronous	270	Reference		Reference	
synchronous	130	1.242 (1.003 - 1.539)	0.047	1.335 (1.076 - 1.657)	0.009
Pathological type	400		0.006		
Adenocarcinoma	343	Reference		Reference	
squamous carcinoma	57	1.522 (1.145 - 2.024)	0.004	1.361 (1.018 - 1.820)	0.037
KPS	400		0.027		
≥80	146	Reference		Reference	
<80	254	1.264 (1.024 - 1.558)	0.029	1.392 (1.124 - 1.724)	0.002
No. of intracranial metastases	400		0.782		
Multiple	142	Reference			
Single	258	1.030 (0.835 - 1.270)	0.783		
Number of affected extra-cranial organs	400		0.573		
1-2	338	Reference			
>2	62	1.084 (0.822 - 1.428)	0.569		
radiotherapy	400		0.807		
YES	293	Reference			
NO	107	0.972 (0.773 - 1.222)	0.807		
Surgery	400		0.332		
YES	21	Reference			
NO	379	1.244 (0.788 - 1.964)	0.348		
Chemotherapy	400		0.336		
NO	181	Reference			
YES	219	0.905 (0.740 - 1.108)	0.335		
Genetic mutations	400		<0.001		
NO	309	Reference		Reference	

(Continued)

TABLE 2 Continued

	Total(N)	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
Characteristics		Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value
YES	91	0.656 (0.513 - 0.839)	<0.001	0.914 (0.697 - 1.198)	0.514
PET-CT	400		0.093		
NO	347	Reference			
YES	53	0.779 (0.576 - 1.052)	0.103		

PSM, propensity score matching; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; OS, overall survival. Bold values means results were considered significant when p-values were less than 0.05.

The Kaplan–Meier curves of OS in patients with BM from lung cancer according to the results of multivariate analysis conducted before and after PSM, under each independent factor, showed that metachronous BM is associated with a significantly longer OS (Figure 3).

Pathology type and EGFR genes of synchronous and metachronous BM

To further evaluate the prognostic impact of synchronous versus metachronous BM on patients with NSCLC, we analyzed

TABLE 3 Univariate and Multivariate analysis of the effect of NSCLC brain metastasis on OS (after PSM).

	Total(N)	Univariate analysis	Univariate analysis		
Characteristics		Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value	Hazard (95% CI)	P value
Age of diagnosis of brain metastases	390		0.232		
<65	224	Reference			
≥65	166	1.134 (0.923 - 1.392)	0.231		
Gender	390		0.002		
Female	191	Reference		Reference	
Male	199	1.392 (1.133 - 1.711)	0.002	1.221 (0.983 -1.518)	0.072
brain metastases status	390		0.020		
synchronous	130	Reference		Reference	
metachronous	260	0.771 (0.622 - 0.957)	0.018	0.715 (0.575- 0.889)	0.002
Pathological type	390		0.004		
Adenocarcinoma	334	Reference		Reference	
squamous carcinoma	56	1.552 (1.164 - 2.069)	0.003	1.397 (1.041 -1.873)	0.026
KPS	390		0.017		
≥80	141	Reference		Reference	
<80	249	1.292 (1.044 - 1.598)	0.019	1.430 (1.151 -1.777)	0.001
No. of intracranial metastases	390		0.828		
Multiple	139	Reference			

(Continued)

TABLE 3 Continued

	Total(N)	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
Characteristics		Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value	Hazard (95% CI)	P value
Single	251	1.024 (0.828 - 1.265)	0.828		
Number of extracranial organs affected	390		0.510		
1-2	329	Reference			
>2	61	1.099 (0.832 - 1.453)	0.505		
radiotherapy	390		0.769		
YES	286	Reference			
NO	104	0.966 (0.765 - 1.219)	0.770		
surgery	390		0.278		
NO	370	Reference			
YES	20	0.779 (0.488 - 1.244)	0.296		
Chemotherapy	390		0.543		
YES	217	Reference			
NO	173	1.066 (0.868 - 1.309)	0.542		
Genetic mutations	390		<0.001		
YES	89	Reference		Reference	
NO	301	1.532 (1.194 - 1.966)	<0.001	1.094 (0.831 - 1.441)	0.520
PET-CT	390		0.098		
YES	52	Reference			
NO	338	1.283 (0.947 - 1.739)	0.108		

PSM, propensity score matching; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer.

Bold values means results were considered significant when p-values were less than 0.05.

the relationship between the presence or absence of synchronous BM and the pathological type and EGFR driver genes. The impact on OS in patients with synchronous and metachronous BM showed no significant difference when considering the pathological type of adenocarcinoma (p = 0.075). However, patients with metachronous BM of squamous carcinoma had longer OS than those with synchronous BM (p = 0.01) (Table 4 and Figure 4A). In terms of EGFR genes, there was no difference in the effect of synchronous BM with positive EGFR genes versus metachronous BM on OS (p = 0.270). However, metachronous BM with negative EGFR genes had longer OS compared to those with synchronous BM (p = 0.044) (Table 4 and Figure 4B).

Distribution characteristics of patients with BM

The distribution characteristics of patients with BM are illustrated in Sankey plots. These plots visualize the relationship between BM status, pathological type, and EGFR gene mutation. The analysis indicates that the pathological type of synchronous BM and metachronous BM is mostly LUSC and most of the LUSC do not exhibit gene mutations (Figure 5).

Patients with adenocarcinoma with positive EGFR had longer OS at 1,2, and 3 years

According to the results of univariate analysis and multivariate analysis using the COX proportional hazards regression model, we incorporated pathological types and EGFR genes into the model. Subsequently, Nomogram plots of the 1-year, 2-year, and 3-year survival probabilities of lung cancer with BM were generated (Figure 6). The Nomogram plots enable the assignment of scores for each variable by drawing an upward vertical line along the score axis for each assigned variable. These scores are then accumulated to determine the prognostic score for each variable. According to the prognosis score of each patient, a vertical line along the

-	characteristics Age of diagnosis of BM	N(%)	HR (95%CI)		P value
	<65	224 (56)	1.573 (1.176-2.105)		0.011
	≥65 Gender	166 (43)	1.028 (.737-1.435)		0.869
	Female	191 (49)	1.322 (0.969-1.804)	-	0.078
	Male Rethelesied ture	199 (51)	1.290 (0.955-1.742)		0.097
	squamous carcinoma	56 (14.4)	2.265 (1.215-4.225)		0.01
	Adenocarcinoma	334 (85.6)	1.273(0.979-1.564)	-	0.075
	KPS < 80	249 (63.8)	1.316 (1.005-1.722)		0.046
	≥80	141 (36.2)	1.281 (0.891-1.840)		0.181
	No. of intracranial metastases	251 (64.4)	1 208 (0.090 1 702)		0.06
	Multiple	139 (35.6)	1.300 (0.909-1.859)	.	0.05
	Number of affected extra-cranial organs	200 (04.4)	4 400 (4 400 4 000)		0.000
	>2	329 (84.4)	1.430 (1.132-1.806) 0.783 (0.431-1.422)		0.003
	Genetic mutations				0.122
	NO	301 (77.2)	1.305 (1.018-1.671)	he.	0.035
	radiotherapy	09 (22.0)	1.291 (0.820-2.032)		0.27
	NO	104 (26.7)	1.799 (1.188-2.724)	¦⊷•	0.035
	YES	286(73.3)	1.125 (0.871-1.452)	*	0.27
	NO	370(94.9)	1.259 (1.009-1.572)	.	0.041
	YES	20(5.1)	2.276 (0.814-6.363)		→ 0.117
	NO	173(44.4)	1.550 (1.102-2.180)		0.012
	YES	217(55.6)	1.131 (0.852-1.502)	÷-	0.394
	PET-CT	338(86.7)	1 303 (1 033-1 645)	-	0.026
		000(00.1)	4.045 (0.704.0.050)		0.020
в	YES	52(13.3)	-5.0 Favour 5	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour I	5.0 Bysius
В	Characteristics Age of diagnosis of BM	N(%)	-5.0 Favour 5	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour	0.338 5.0 Metachronou P value
В	YES characteristics Age of diagnosis of BM <65 ≥65	N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2)	-5.0 -5.0 Favour 5 HR (95%Cl) 1.372 (1.032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour	0.358 5.0 Metachronom P value 0.03 0.719
в	YES <u>Characteristics</u> Age of diagnosis of BM < 65 ≥65 Gender	N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2)	1.315 (0.734-2.338) -5:0 Favour : HR (95%C1) 1.372 (1.032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour	0.358 5.0 Metachronou P value 0.03 0.719
В	YES 	N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2) 196 (49) 204 (51)	1.315 (0.734-2.338) -5.0 Favour 5 HR (95%C1) 1.372 (1.032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470) 1.263 (0.928 - 1.719) 1.263 (0.928 - 1.719) 1.263 (0.928 - 1.719)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour 1	0.338 5.0 Metachronou 0.03 0.719 0.138 0.16
В	YES Age of diagnosis of BM < 65 265 Gender Female Mate Pathological type	N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2) 196 (49) 204 (51)	-50 Favour 5 HR (95%Cl) 1.372 (1.032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470) 1.263 (0.928 - 1.719) 1.263 (0.928 - 1.719)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour	0.338 5.0 Metachronou 0.03 0.719 0.138 0.16
В	YES Age of diagnosis of BM <65 ≥65 Gender Female Male Pathological type squamous carcinoma Adenocarcinoma	N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2) 196 (49) 204 (51) 57 (14.3) 343 (85.7)	-5'0 Favour 5 HR (95%Cl) 1.372 (1.032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470) 1.263 (0.928 - 1.470) 1.239 (0.919 - 1.670) 2.504 (1.374 - 4.564) 1.183 (0.988 - 1.493)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour	0.338 5.0 Metachronou P value 0.03 0.719 0.138 0.16 → 0.003 0.156
В	YES Age of diagnosis of BM < 65 ≤65 Gender Female Mate Pathological type squamous car cinoma Adenocar cinoma KPS o	N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2) 196 (49) 204 (51) 57 (14.3) 343 (85.7)	1.315 (0.734-2.338) -5.0 Favour 5 Favour 5 Favour 5 HR (95%C1) 1.372 (1.032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470) 1.230 (0.919 - 1.670) 2.504 (1.374 - 4.564) 1.183 (0.938 - 1.493)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour	0.338 5.0 Metachronou P value 0.03 0.719 0.138 0.16 0.003 0.156
В	YES Age of diagnosis of BM < <66 ≤65 Gender Female Male Pathological type squamous carcinoma Adenocarcinoma KPS <80 ≥80	N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2) 204 (51) 57 (14.3) 343 (85.7) 254 (63.5) 146 (36.5)	-5.0 Favour 5 HR (95%CI) 1.372 (1032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470) 1.238 (0.928 - 1.179) 1.239 (0.919 - 1.670) 2.504 (1.374 - 4.564) 1.183 (0.938 - 1.493) 1.272 (0.974 - 1.662) 1.272 (0.974 - 1.662)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour	0.388 5.0 Metachronou P value 0.03 0.719 0.138 0.16 → 0.003 0.156 0.078 0.304
В	YES characteristics Age of diagnosis of BM < 65	N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2) 196 (49) 204 (51) 57 (14.3) 343 (85.7) 254 (63.5) 146 (36.5)	1.315 (0.734-2.338) -5.0 Favour 5 Favour 5 Favour 5 HR (955%C1) 1.372 (1032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470) 1.263 (0.928 - 1.719) 1.239 (0.919 - 1.670) 2.504 (1.374 - 4.564) 1.183 (0.938 - 1.493) 1.272 (0.974 - 1.662) 1.207 (0.843 - 1.728) 1.207 (0.243 - 1.728)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour	0.338 5.0 Metachronot 0.03 0.719 0.138 0.16 - 0.003 0.156 0.304 0.304
В	YES Age of diagnosis of BM < 65 ≥65 Gender Female Pathological type squamous carinoma Adenocarinoma Adenocarinoma Adenocarinoma Adenocarinoma Single Single Multirde	N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2) 196 (49) 204 (51) 57 (14.3) 343 (85.7) 254 (63.5) 146 (36.5) 258 (64.5) 142 (35.5)	1.315 (0.734-2.358) -5.0 -5.0 Favour 5 HR (95%C1) 1.372 (1.032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470) 1.230 (0.919 - 1.670) 2.504 (1.374 - 4.564) 1.183 (0.974 - 1.463) 1.270 (0.974 - 1.662) 1.207 (0.843 - 1.728) 1.245 (0.878 - 1.788)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour 1	0.338 5.0 Metachronov 0.03 0.719 0.138 0.16 0.003 0.156 0.078 0.304 0.117 0.213
В	Obsracteristics Age of diagnosis of BM <66	N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2) 196 (49) 204 (51) 57 (14.3) 343 (85.7) 254 (63.5) 146 (36.5) 258 (64.5) 142 (35.5)	1.315 (0.734-2.338) -5.0 -5.0 Favour 5 Favour 5 HR (95%CI) 1.372 (1.032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470) 1.230 (0.919 - 1.670) 1.230 (0.919 - 1.670) 2.504 (1.374 - 4.564) 1.183 (0.938 - 1.493) 1.227 (0.974 - 1.662) 1.207 (0.943 - 1.728) 1.240 (0.947 - 1.623) 1.250 (0.878 - 1.788) 1.260 (0.947 - 1.623)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour	0.338 5.0 Metachronom P value 0.03 0.719 0.138 0.16 0.003 0.156 0.078 0.304 0.117 0.213 0.213
В	YES Characteristics Age of diagnosis of BM < < 65 Gender Female Mate Pathological type squamous carcinoma Adenocar cinoma KPS <80 ≥80 No. of intracranial metastases Single Multiple Number of affected extra-cranial organs 0-2 >0	N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2) 196 (49) 204 (51) 57 (14.3) 343 (85.7) 254 (63.5) 146 (36.5) 146 (36.5) 142 (35.5) 338 (84.5) 338 (84.5) 145.5)	1.315 (0.734-2.338) -5.0 Favour 5 HR (95%C1) 1.372 (1032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470) 1.233 (0.928 - 1.719) 1.239 (0.919 - 1.670) 2.504 (1.374 - 4.564) 1.183 (0.938 - 1.493) 1.272 (0.947 - 1.623) 1.263 (0.947 - 1.623) 1.263 (0.947 - 1.623) 1.263 (0.947 - 1.623) 1.261 (1.080 - 1.716) 0.770 (0.045 - 1.786) 1.361 (1.080 - 1.716) 0.770 (0.05 - 1.956)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour	0.338 5.0 Metachronov P value 0.03 0.719 0.138 0.16 − 0.003 0.156 0.078 0.304 0.117 0.213 0.009 0.389
В	YES Age of diagnosis of BM < 65 ≥65 Gender Fernale Pathological type squamous car droma Adenoral rule Pathological type squamous car droma Adenoral metastases Single Multiple Number of affected extra-cranial organs 0-2 Genetic mutations	N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2) 196 (49) 204 (51) 57 (14.3) 343 (85.7) 254 (63.5) 146 (36.5) 146 (36.5) 142 (35.5) 142 (35.5) 338 (84.5) 62 (15.5)	1.315 (0.734-2.368) -5.0 -5.0 Favour 5 HR (95%C1) 1.372 (1.032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470) 1.263 (0.928 - 1.719) 1.230 (0.919 - 1.670) 2.504 (1.374 - 4.564) 1.183 (0.938 - 1.493) 1.272 (0.974 - 1.662) 1.207 (0.843 - 1.728) 1.263 (0.878 - 1.788) 1.361 (1.080 - 1.716) 0.770 (0.425 - 1.396)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour 1	0.338 5.0 P value 0.038 0.719 0.138 0.16 − 0.003 0.304 0.155 0.078 0.304 0.117 0.213 0.009 0.389
В	VES Age of diagnosis of BM < 65 < 66 Female Pathological type squamous carinoma Adenocari	S2(13.3) N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2) 196 (49) 204 (51) 57 (14.3) 343 (85.7) 254 (63.5) 146 (36.5) 148 (36.5) 38 (84.5) 62 (15.5) 309 (77.3) 91 (27.27)	1.315 (0.734-2.358) -5.0 -5.0 Favour 5 -5.0 Favour 5 -5.0 HR (95%CI) 1.372 (1.032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470) 1.230 (0.919 - 1.670) 1.230 (0.919 - 1.670) 2.504 (1.374 - 4.564) 1.230 (0.919 - 1.670) 2.504 (1.374 - 1.662) 1.207 (0.843 - 1.728) 1.220 (0.947 - 1.623) 1.230 (0.878 - 1.788) 1.361 (1.080 - 1.716) 0.770 (0.425 - 1.396) 1.238 (1.026 - 1.138) 1.231 (1.026 - 1.716) 1.240 (0.71 - 1.945)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour 1	0.338 5.0 Metachronov 0.03 0.719 0.138 0.16 0.138 0.16 0.003 0.16 0.033 0.16 0.033 0.16 0.033 0.304 0.304 0.389 0.389 0.389 0.389 0.406 0.389
В	Characteristics Age of diagnosis of BM <66	S2(13.3) N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2) 196 (49) 204 (51) 57 (14.3) 343 (85.7) 254 (63.5) 146 (36.5) 146 (35.5) 338 (84.5) 62 (15.5) 309 (77.3) 91 (22.7)	1.315 (0.734-2.338) -5.0 Favour 5 Favour 5 Favour 5 HR (95%CI) 1.372 (1032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470) 1.263 (0.928 - 1.719) 1.239 (0.919 - 1.670) 2.504 (1.374 - 4.564) 1.183 (0.938 - 1.493) 1.272 (0.974 - 1.662) 1.207 (0.843 - 1.728) 1.240 (0.947 - 1.623) 1.253 (0.878 - 1.788) 1.361 (1.080 - 1.716) 0.770 (0.425 - 1.398) 1.283 (1.005 - 1.638) 1.240 (0.971 - 1.945) 1.945)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour	0.338 5-0 Metachronov 0.03 0.719 0.138 0.16 - 0.003 0.156 0.304 0.117 0.213 0.009 0.389 0.348
В	Characteristics Age of diagnosis of BM <65	N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2) 196 (49.) 204 (51) 57 (14.3) 343 (85.7) 254 (63.5) 146 (36.5) 146 (36.5) 142 (35.5) 142 (35.5) 338 (84.5) 62 (15.5) 399 (77.3) 99 (77.3) 99 (77.2) 210 (27.7)	1.315 (U/34-2.368) -5.0 -5.0 Favour 5 -5.0 HR (95%C1) 1.372 (1.032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470) 1.263 (0.919 - 1.670) 1.230 (0.919 - 1.670) 2.504 (1.374 - 4.564) 1.183 (0.938 - 1.493) 1.272 (0.974 - 1.652) 1.207 (0.843 - 1.728) 1.263 (0.878 - 1.788) 1.263 (0.878 - 1.788) 1.361 (1.080 - 1.716) 0.770 (0.425 - 1.396) 1.283 (1.005 - 1.638) 1.240 (0.791 - 1.945) 1.689 (1.122 - 2.247) 1.691 (1.123 - 2.247) 1.691 (1.123 - 2.247)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour 1	0.338 5.0 Metachronou P value 0.03 0.719 0.138 0.16 − 0.003 0.177 0.213 0.009 0.389 0.406 0.346 0.346 0.242 0.0212
В	VES Age of diagnosis of BM <pre></pre>	S2(13.3) N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2) 196 (49) 204 (51) 57 (14.3) 343 (85.7) 146 (36.5) 158 (64.5) 38 (64.5) 38 (64.5) 309 (77.3) 91 (22.7) 107 (26.8) 293(73.2)	1.315 (0.734-2.363) -5.0 -5.0 Favour 1 -5.0 Favour 2 -5.0 HR (95%C1) 1.372 (1.032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470) 1.263 (0.919 - 1.670) 1.230 (0.919 - 1.670) 2.504 (1.374 - 4.564) 1.138 (0.938 - 1.493) 1.227 (0.974 - 1.662) 1.207 (0.843 - 1.728) 1.240 (0.947 - 1.623) 1.240 (0.947 - 1.623) 1.240 (0.791 - 1.945) 1.241 (0.791 - 1.945) 1.689 (1.716) 1.231 (1.005 - 1.158) 1.240 (0.791 - 1.945) 1.691 (1.123 - 2.547) 1.084 (0.841 - 1.398)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour 1	0.338 0.338 5.0 Metachronov 0.03 0.719 0.138 0.16 0.03 0.179 0.138 0.166 0.078 0.003 0.156 0.078 0.389 0.304 0.117 0.213 0.389 0.406 0.344 0.012 0.531 0.012
В	Characteristics Age of diagnosis of BM <65	S2(13.3) N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2) 196 (49) 204 (51) 57 (14.3) 343 (85.7) 258 (64.5) 146 (36.5) 146 (35.5) 338 (84.5) 99 (77.3) 91 (22.7) 107 (26.8) 233(73.2) 370 (95)	1.315 (0.734-2.358) -5.0 Favour 5 Favour 5 Favour 5 HR (95%CI) 1.372 (1.032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470) 1.233 (0.928 - 1.719) 1.239 (0.919 - 1.670) 2.504 (1.374 - 4.564) 1.183 (0.938 - 1.493) 1.272 (0.974 - 1.662) 1.207 (0.943 - 1.728) 1.240 (0.947 - 1.623) 1.253 (0.878 - 1.788) 1.361 (1.080 - 1.716) 0.770 (0.425 - 1.398) 1.243 (1.075 - 1.638) 1.283 (1.005 - 1.638) 1.240 (0.717 - 1.945) 1.691 (1.123 - 2.547) 1.084 (0.841 - 1.398) 1.437 (1.025 - 2.213) 1.437 (1.025 - 2.213)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour 1	0.338 5.0 Metachronou 0.03 0.719 0.138 0.16 0.003 0.156 0.078 0.304 0.117 0.213 0.009 0.388 0.406 0.348 0.012 0.531 0.025
В	Characteristics Age of diagnosis of BM 265 266 Gender Fernale Pathological type squamociar origona Adeo car origona Surgery NO YES Surgery NO YES Chemotherarov	N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2) 196 (49) 204 (51) 57 (14.3) 343 (85.7) 254 (63.5) 146 (36.5) 146 (36.5) 142 (35.5) 38 (84.5) 62 (15.5) 309 (77.3) 91 (22.7) 107 (26.8) 293(73.2) 370 (95.2) 370 (25.5)	1.315 (0.734-2.369) -5.0 -5.0 Favour 5 -5.0 Favour 5 -5.0 1.372 (1.032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470) 1.263 (0.916 - 1.470) 1.263 (0.919 - 1.670) 1.293 (0.919 - 1.670) 2.504 (1.374 - 4.664) 1.183 (0.938 - 1.493) 1.272 (0.974 - 1.652) 1.207 (0.843 - 1.728) 1.243 (0.978 - 1.788) 1.361 (1.080 - 1.716) 0.770 (0.425 - 1.396) 1.243 (0.0781 - 1.945) 1.691 (1.123 - 2.947) 1.084 (0.841 - 1.945) 1.691 (1.123 - 2.947) 1.437 (1.025 - 2.013) 1.989(0.728 - 5.392)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour 1	5.0 Metachronou P value 0.03 0.719 0.138 0.156 0.003 0.156 0.078 0.304 0.344 0.117 0.213 0.009 0.389 0.406 0.348 0.012 0.031 0.012 0.031 0.012 0.031 0.012 0.031 0.012 0.032 0.039 0.039 0.038 0.014 0.038 0.117 0.038 0.117 0.038 0.118 0.038 0.118 0.038 0.119 0.038 0.128 0.038 0.128 0.038 0.156 0.038 0.156 0.038 0.156 0.038 0.156 0.038 0.156 0.038 0.157 0.038 0.156 0.038 0.157 0.038 0.046 0.038 0.0488 0.0488 0.0488 0.0488 0.048
В	Observation Age of diagnosis of BM <65	S2(13.3) N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2) 196 (49) 204 (51) 57 (14.3) 343 (85.7) 146 (36.5) 146 (36.5) 38 (64.5) 38 (64.5) 309 (77.3) 91 (22.7) 107 (26.8) 293(73.2) 370 (95) 181 (45.3)	1.315 (0.734-2.368) -5.0 -5.0 Favour 1 -5.0 Favour 2 -5.0 HR (95%C1) 1.372 (1.032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470) 1.263 (0.919 - 1.670) 1.230 (0.919 - 1.670) 2.504 (1.374 - 4.564) 1.133 (0.988 - 1.493) 1.227 (0.974 - 1.662) 1.207 (0.843 - 1.728) 1.263 (0.876 - 1.788) 1.361 (1.080 - 1.716) 0.770 (0.425 - 1.396) 1.240 (0.791 - 1.945) 1.691 (1.123 - 2.547) 1.084 (0.841 - 1.398) 1.437 (1.025 - 2.013) 1.437 (1.025 - 2.013) 1.437 (1.025 - 2.013)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour 1	0.338 0.338 0.338 0.03 0.03 0.719 0.138 0.16 0.003 0.156 0.0304 0.117 0.213 0.003 0.348 0.304 0.117 0.213 0.0389 0.346 0.349 0.0406 0.341 0.012 0.035 0.035
В	YES Age of diagnosis of BM <66	S2(13.3) N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2) 196 (49) 204 (51) 57 (14.3) 343 (85.7) 254 (63.5) 146 (36.5) 258 (64.5) 142 (35.5) 338 (84.5) 62 (15.5) 309 (77.3) 91 (22.7) 107 (26.8) 293(73.2) 370 (95) 20 (5) 181 (45.3) 219 (54.7)	1.319 (0.734-2.363) -5.0 -5.0 Favour 5 -5.0 Favour 5 -5.0 HR (95%CI) 1.372 (1.032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470) 1.230 (0.991 - 1.670) 1.230 (0.991 - 1.670) 2.504 (1.374 - 4.564) 1.133 (0.938 - 1.493) 1.227 (0.974 - 1.662) 1.207 (0.943 - 1.728) 1.240 (0.947 - 1.623) 1.263 (0.878 - 1.788) 1.361 (1.080 - 1.716) 0.770 (0.425 - 1.398) 1.243 (1.025 - 1.638) 1.261 (1.232 - 2.547) 1.084 (0.841 - 1.398) 1.437 (1.025 - 2.013) 1.437 (1.025 - 2.013) 1.437 (1.025 - 2.013) 1.152 (0.870 - 1.525)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour 1	0.338 5.0 Metachronou 0.03 0.719 0.138 0.16 0.003 0.156 0.078 0.304 0.117 0.213 0.099 0.388 0.406 0.348 0.035 0.035 0.035 0.0324
В	YES Age of diagnosis of BM 265 266 Gender Fernale Path Macal type squamodra crimona Adenocar crimona Adenocar crimona Adenocar crimona KPS Single Multiple Number of affected extra-cranial organs -2 Genetic multations NO YES radiotor apy NO YES Chemother apy NO YES PET-CT NO	N(%) 231 (57.8) 169 (42.2) 196 (49.2) 204 (51) 57 (14.3) 343 (85.7) 254 (63.5) 146 (36.5) 146 (36.5) 142 (35.5) 38 (84.5) 62 (15.5) 303 (27.7) 91 (22.7) 107 (26.8) 2937(73.2) 370 (95.2) 205 (5) 181 (45.3) 219 (54.7) 347(86.8)	1.315 (0.734-2.369) -5.0 Favour 5 Favour 5 Favour 5 1.372 (1.032 - 1.823) 1.062 (0.766 - 1.470) 1.239 (0.919 - 1.670) 1.263 (0.928 - 1.719) 1.239 (0.919 - 1.670) 2.504 (1.374 - 4.564) 1.183 (0.938 - 1.493) 1.272 (0.974 - 1.652) 1.240 (0.947 - 1.652) 1.240 (0.947 - 1.652) 1.243 (0.978 - 1.788) 1.361 (1.080 - 1.716) 0.770 (0.425 - 1.3396) 1.283 (1.005 - 1.638) 1.437 (1.025 - 2.013) 1.989(0.729 - 5.392) 1.437 (1.025 - 2.013) 1.152 (0.870 - 1.525) 1.247 (0.990 - 1.572) 1.247 (0.990 - 1.572)	-2.5 0.0 2.5 Synchronous Favour 1	5.0 Metachronou P value 0.03 0.719 0.138 0.16 − 0.053 0.078 0.304 0.117 0.213 0.009 0.389 0.406 0.348 0.012 0.351 0.035 0.324 0.035 0.18 0.035 0.344 0.012 0.035 0.344 0.012 0.035 0.344 0.012 0.035 0.344 0.012 0.035 0.344 0.012 0.035 0.344 0.012 0.035 0.344 0.012 0.038 0.012 0.038 0.012 0.038 0.012 0.038 0.012 0.038 0.012 0.038 0.012 0.038 0.012 0.038 0.012 0.038 0.048 0.038 0.048 0.038 0.048 0.048 0.038 0.048 0.035 0.0

FIGURE 2

Subgroup analysis of OS among patients. (A) before PSM; (B) after PSM. Results before and after PSM suggested that metachronous BM was associated with significantly longer OS. PSM, propensity score matching; OS, overall survival.

probability axis is drawn downward, to obtain the corresponding 1year, 2-year, and 3-year survival probability values.

The calibration of the nomogram was assessed through calibration plots. Nomogram predicted and actual 1-year, 2-year, and 3-year OS rates were plotted and compared to further validate the predictive performance of the nomogram (Figure 6).

The discriminative ability of the nomogram to predict 1-year, 2year, and 3-year OS was assessed using the area under the curve (AUC) of the receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC). The C indices of 1-year, 2-year, and 3-year predicted survival were 0.660, 0.631, and 0.685, respectively. The AUC was between 0.5 and 1.0, indicating a decent level of discriminative ability for the nomogram, with 0.5 representing a random outcome (Figure 6).

Discussion

The fundamental reason for the difference in treatment between synchronous and metachronous BM in NSCLC is the timing of BM.

Synchronous BM refers to the detection of BM within 2 months after diagnosis of NSCLC.At this time, targeted BM treatment should be carried out in addition to systemic treatment. Metachronous BM refers to BM detected 2 months after diagnosis of NSCLC.Active treatment should be given to the primary focus of the lung cancer first, and once BM occurs, treatment should be directed towards it.

Some previous studies have also shown that the prognosis of patients with synchronous BM is worse than that of patients with metachronous BM (18, 19). In other studies, there was no difference in median OS between patients with synchronous metastases and those with metachronous metastases. Nevertheless, the main limitation of these studies was the small number of patients included and unconvincing conclusions (20, 21). In addition, patients were treated mainly with radiotherapy and chemotherapy, in which there may be a time shift in analysis. In this study, we obtained data from 400 patients (including 130 patients with synchronous and 270 with metachronous BM). The results showed that metachronous BM was a protective factor for OS (p = 0.002).



Considering the heterogeneity of pathological factors, we excluded large cell lung cancer and other subtypes of lung cancer. We only collected data from the two most common pathological types of NSCLC: LUAD and LUSC. Our results showed that the pathological type of NSCLC correlated with BM status. Furthermore, the pathological type was an independent prognostic factor affecting OS. Additionally, we found significant differences in prognosis between LUAD and LUSC: patients with LUAD exhibited significantly longer OS compared to those with LUSC, a pattern consistent with previous studies (22–24).

To address potential selection bias between driver mutationnegative and mutation-positive LUAD and LUSC cases, we performed a stratified analysis. Within the dataset of patients with BM from lung cancer, patients underwent mutation gene testing using next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology, and 89 patients were found to be positive for driver gene mutations, of

TABLE 4 Stratified analysis of pathological types and driver genes before and after PSM.

		1				
Characteristics	Variable	Before PSM		After PSM		
Characteristics	vanable	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value	
	synchronous brain metastases	REF		REF		
Squamous carcinoma	metachronous brain metastases	2.504 (1.374-4.564)	0.003	2.271 (1.217-4.235)	0.010	
	synchronous brain metastases	REF		REF		
Adenocarcinoma	metachronous brain metastases	1.183 (0.938-1.493)	0.156	1.237 (0.979-1.564)	0.075	
	synchronous brain metastases	REF		REF		
EGFR Genetic mutations	Metachronous brain metastases	1.240 (0.791-1.945)	0.348	1.291 (0.820-2.032)	0.270	
	synchronous brain metastases	REF		REF		
No EGFR genetic mutation	Metachronous brain metastases	1.283 (1.005-1.638)	0.046	1.291 (1.007-1.656)	0.044	

PSM, propensity score matching; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor.

Bold values means results were considered significant when p-values were less than 0.05.



metastases. BM, brain metastasis; OS, overall survival; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor.

which 81 patients exhibited EGFR gene mutations. There were 31 simultaneous BM (all EGFR mutations) and 58 metachronous BM. Among these, 50 patients had EGFR mutations and the remaining 8 exhibited ALK mutations. Our results showed a difference in survival rates between metachronous and synchronous BM in EGFR mutation-negative patients with LUSC, whereas there was no difference in survival between metachronous and synchronous

BM in EGFR mutation-positive patients with LUAD. Three generations of targeted drugs for EGFR mutations have emerged; FLAURA research shows that the prognosis of BM treated with third-generation targeted drugs is better and the progression rate is lower (25). Our findings also suggest that patients with BM exhibiting positive EGFR gene mutation experience better treatment outcomes when subjected to targeted therapy. On the



Sankey map among the influencing factors. G0=metachronous G1=synchronous; H0=LUAD H1=LUSC; K0=NO EGFR genetic mutations K1=EGFR genetic mutations.



Prognostic modeling and ROC curves by nomogram. (A) Nomogram plots: patients with adenocarcinoma with a positive EGFR gene had higher OS at 1 year, 2 years, and 3 years than those with a negative squamous cell carcinoma with EGFR; (B) Calibration plots further validate the predictive performance of the nomogram; (C) ROC: the AUC was between 0.5 and 1.0, indicating a decent level of discriminative ability for the nomogram. OS, overall survival; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; ROC, Receiver operating characteristic; AUC, area under the curve.

other hand, for patients with EGFR mutation-negative LUSC, treatment options are limited, and the disease progresses faster. Therefore, in the context of EGFR gene mutations, synchronous BM and metachronous BM necessitate different treatment approaches, with targeted therapy offering prolonged survival and good prognosis among patients with positive EGFR gene mutations (9–12).

Currently, driver mutation-negative patients have more treatment options, owing to the rise of immunotherapy. Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) have revolutionized the treatment of patients with advanced driver gene-negative NSCLC, increasing the 5-year survival rate from 5% (26) during the chemotherapy era to 13.4-23.2% (27). This may be related to programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression, with ICIs providing more pronounced benefit to patients with PD-L1 expression (tumor proportion score \geq 50%). Still, some patients with negative PD-L1 expression still have achieved good progression-free survival with first-line immunotherapy (28). However, due to the complexity of the immunotherapeutic mechanism and the uncertainty of predicting the efficacy of immunotherapy by PD-L1 expression status alone, further studies are needed to determine which patients are more likely to benefit from ICIs. However, patients with EGFR mutations have certainly limited benefit from first-line immunotherapy (29).

One of the limitations of our study is that we included data from cases recorded before the formal approval of immunotherapy, resulting in the absence of information regarding immunotherapy. In the future, we will be expanding the sample size will enable us to explore the efficacy of immunotherapy in driver mutation-negative patients and LUSC. Indeed, disease progression differs among patients with different types of lung cancer-related BM. Consequently, tailoring individualized treatment plans based on patient characteristics is of paramount importance.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Medical Ethics Committee of Liaoning Cancer Hospital and the number is 2020X0102. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

JL: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. XZ: Writing – review & editing. YW: Writing – review & editing. YJ: Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition. YS: Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition. TW: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work is supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities [LD2023032, LD2023011, LD202221], Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China [G2023127016L].

Acknowledgments

We are very grateful to the participants who participated in the study because they spend precious time participating in the study.

References

1. Naresh G, Malik PS, Khurana S, Pushpam D, Sharma V, Yadav M, et al. Assessment of brain metastasis at diagnosis in non-small-cell lung cancer: A prospective observational study from North India. *JCO Glob Oncol.* (2021) 7:593–601. doi: 10.1200/GO.20.00629

2. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* (2018) 68:394–424. doi: 10.3322/caac.21492

3. De Carlo E, Bertoli E, Del Conte A, Stanzione B, Berto E, Revelant A, et al. Brain metastases management in oncogene-addicted non-small cell lung cancer in the targeted therapies era. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23(12):6477. doi: 10.3390/ijms23126477

4. Jiang K, Parker M, Materi J, Azad TD, Kamson DO, Kleinberg L, et al. Epidemiology and survival outcomes of synchronous and metachronous brain metastases: a retrospective population-based study. *Neurosurg Focus.* (2023) 55:E3. doi: 10.3171/2023.5.FOCUS23212

5. Goncalves PH, Peterson SL, Vigneau FD, Shore RD, Quarshie WO, Islam K, et al. Risk of brain metastases in patients with nonmetastatic lung cancer: Analysis of the Metropolitan Detroit Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) data. *Cancer*. (2016) 122:1921–7. doi: 10.1002/cncr.30000

6. Wang B, Guo H, Xu H, Yu H, Chen Y, Zhao G. Research progress and challenges in the treatment of central nervous system metastasis of non-small cell lung cancer. *Cells.* (2021) 10(10):2620. doi: 10.3390/cells10102620

7. Zhang F, Zheng W, Ying L, Wu J, Wu S, Ma S, et al. A nomogram to predict brain metastases of resected non-small cell lung cancer patients. *Ann Surg Oncol.* (2016) 23:3033–9. doi: 10.1245/s10434-016-5206-3

8. Berger A, Mullen R, Bernstein K, Alzate JD, Silverman JS, Sulman EP, et al. Extended survival in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer-associated brain metastases in the modern era. *Neurosurgery*. (2023) 93:50–9. doi: 10.1227/ neu.000000000002372

9. Maemondo M, Inoue A, Kobayashi K, Sugawara S, Oizumi S, Isobe H, et al. Gefitinib or chemotherapy for non-small-cell lung cancer with mutated EGFR. *N Engl J Med.* (2010) 362:2380–8. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0909530

10. Mok TS, Wu Y-L, Ahn M-J, Garassino MC, Kim HR, Ramalingam SS, et al. Osimertinib or platinum-pemetrexed in EGFR T790M-positive lung cancer. *New Engl J Med.* (2017) 376:629–40. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1612674

11. Soria JC, Ohe Y, Vansteenkiste J, Reungwetwattana T, Chewaskulyong B, Lee KH, et al. Osimertinib in untreated EGFR-mutated advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med.* (2018) 378:113–25. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1713137

12. Zhang S, Liu J, Yang C, Li S, Cheng Y. [Research progress of immunotherapy for brain metastases in patients with drive gene negative NSCLC]. *Zhongguo Fei Ai Za Zhi.* (2018) 21:610–4. doi: 10.3779/j.issn.1009-3419.2018.08.06

13. Li H, Harrison EB, Li H, Hirabayashi K, Chen J, Li QX, et al. Targeting brain lesions of non-small cell lung cancer by enhancing CCL2-mediated CAR-T cell migration. *Nat Commun.* (2022) 13:2154. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-29647-0

14. Wang W, Liu H, Li G. What's the difference between lung adenocarcinoma and lung squamous cell carcinoma? Evidence from a retrospective analysis in a cohort of Chinese patients. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne).* (2022) 13:947443. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.947443

15. Flannery TW, Suntharalingam M, Kwok Y, Koffman BH, Amin PP, Chin LS, et al. Gamma knife stereotactic radiosurgery for synchronous versus metachronous

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

solitary brain metastases from non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer*. (2003) 42:327–33. doi: 10.1016/S0169-5002(03)00357-X

16. Proescholdt MA, Schödel P, Doenitz C, Pukrop T, Höhne J, Schmidt NO, et al. The management of brain metastases-systematic review of neurosurgical aspects. *Cancers (Basel).* (2021) 13(7):1616. doi: 10.3390/cancers13071616

17. Hubbs JL, Boyd JA, Hollis D, Chino JP, Saynak M, Kelsey CR. Factors associated with the development of brain metastases: analysis of 975 patients with early stage nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Cancer.* (2010) 116:5038–46. doi: 10.1002/cncr.25254

18. Ashworth AB, Senan S, Palma DA, Riquet M, Ahn YC, Ricardi U, et al. An individual patient data metaanalysis of outcomes and prognostic factors after treatment of oligometastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. *Clin Lung Cancer*. (2014) 15:346–55. doi: 10.1016/j.cllc.2014.04.003

19. Chen K, Zhang F, Fan Y, Cheng G. Lung-molGPA index predicts survival outcomes of non-small-cell lung cancer patients with synchronous or metachronous brain metastases. *Onco Targets Ther.* (2020) 13:8837–44. doi: 10.2147/OTT.S255478

20. Fleckenstein J, Petroff A, Schäfers HJ, Wehler T, Schöpe J, Rübe C. Long-term outcomes in radically treated synchronous vs. metachronous oligometastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. *BMC Cancer*. (2016) 16:348. doi: 10.1186/s12885-016-2379-x

21. Shibahara I, Kanamori M, Watanabe T, Utsunomiya A, Suzuki H, Saito R, et al. Clinical features of precocious, synchronous, and metachronous brain metastases and the role of tumor resection. *World Neurosurg.* (2018) 113:e1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2017.10.145

22. Kawase A, Yoshida J, Ishii G, Nakao M, Aokage K, Hishida T, et al. Differences between squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma of the lung: are adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma prognostically equal? *Jpn J Clin Oncol.* (2012) 42:189–95. doi: 10.1093/jjco/hyr188

23. Nakamura H, Sakai H, Kimura H, Miyazawa T, Marushima H, Saji H. Difference in postsurgical prognostic factors between lung adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma. *Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* (2017) 23:291–7. doi: 10.5761/atcs.oa.17-00020

24. Meng F, Zhang L, Ren Y, Ma Q. The genomic alterations of lung adenocarcinoma and lung squamous cell carcinoma can explain the differences of their overall survival rates. *J Cell Physiol.* (2019) 234:10918–25. doi: 10.1002/jcp.27917

25. Ouyang W, Yu J, Zhou Y, Xu Y, Li J, Gong J, et al. Metachronous Brain Metastasis in patients with EGFR-mutant NSCLC indicates a worse prognosis. *J Cancer*. (2020) 11:7283–90. doi: 10.7150/jca.46462

26. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin. (2019) 69:7–34. doi: 10.3322/caac.21551

27. Garon EB, Hellmann MD, Rizvi NA, Carcereny E, Leighl NB, Ahn MJ, et al. Five-year overall survival for patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer treated with pembrolizumab: results from the phase I KEYNOTE-001 study. *J Clin Oncol.* (2019) 37:2518–27. doi: 10.1200/JCO.19.00934

28. Dantoing E, Piton N, Salaün M, Thiberville L, Guisier F. Anti-PD1/PD-L1 immunotherapy for non-small cell lung cancer with actionable oncogenic driver mutations. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 22(12):6288. doi: 10.3390/ijms22126288

29. Liu L, Li F, Zhao J, Zhuo X, Lai J, Wang J, et al. The real-world therapeutic analysis of first-line immunotherapy in Chinese patients with drive gene positive for advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *J Cancer*. (2023) 14:952–65. doi: 10.7150/ jca.77199