#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Francesco Pepe, University of Naples Federico II, Italy

REVIEWED BY Xia Li, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, China Vito Longo, National Cancer Institute Foundation (IRCCS), Italy

\*CORRESPONDENCE Hai-xiang Yu Maixiang@jlu.eu.cn

RECEIVED 12 March 2024 ACCEPTED 17 May 2024 PUBLISHED 06 June 2024

#### CITATION

Yin C, Liu Z-j, He C and Yu H-x (2024) A case of surgically treated non-metastatic SMARCA4-deficient undifferentiated thoracic tumor: a case report and literature review. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1399868. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1399868

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Yin, Liu, He and Yu. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# A case of surgically treated non-metastatic SMARCA4deficient undifferentiated thoracic tumor: a case report and literature review

### Cong Yin, Zheng-jia Liu, Chao He and Hai-xiang Yu\*

Department of Thoracic Surgery, China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China

SMARCA4-deficient undifferentiated thoracic tumor (SMARCA4-UT) is a rare malignant tumor characterized by inactivation of the SMARCA4 gene and the presence of undifferentiated or rhabdoid morphology in the tissue. This tumor is highly invasive, typically diagnosed at advanced stages III or IV, and commonly involves thoracic structures, such as the mediastinum and chest wall. Reported cases are limited and treatment guidelines have not yet been established. Here, we present a rare case of surgically treated non-metastatic SMARCA4-UT. The patient presented with blood-tinged sputum, dyspnea, and a history of heavy smoking, and underwent surgery after preoperative evaluation ruled out contraindications. The tumor was successfully removed along with the relevant lymph nodes; analysis determined it to be stage IIB T3N0M0. No recurrence was detected at two months post-surgery. However, four months after surgery, the tumor recurred and invaded the adjacent ribs. The diagnosis, differential diagnosis, and treatment of SMARCA4-deficient undifferentiated lung tumors is considered. The combination of chemotherapy and immunotherapy has shown efficacy, and other treatments such as anti-angiogenic drugs, histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACi), enhancer of zeste 2 polycomb repressive complex 2 subunit (EZH2) inhibitors, and oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) inhibitors may also be beneficial in treating SMARCA4-UT.

#### KEYWORDS

SMARCA4-UT, treatment of SMARCA4-UT, non-metastatic SMARCA4-UT, PET/CT, lung cancer

# Introduction

SMARCA4-deficient undifferentiated thoracic tumor (SMARCA4-UT) is a rare malignant tumor characterized by inactivation of the *SMARCA4* gene and the presence of undifferentiated or rhabdoid morphology in the tissue. Previously known as SMARCA4-deficient thoracic sarcoma (1), SMARCA4-UT is associated with smoking and displays

unique clinical and pathological features (2). The World Health Organization categorizes SMARCA4-UT in the other lung epithelial tumors' group (3). Typically diagnosed at advanced stages, SMARCA4-UT often extensively involves thoracic structures and metastasizes to the lymph nodes, bones, and adrenal glands (2, 4). Although radiotherapy and chemotherapy have limited efficacy, immunotherapy is effective in these patients (5–8). However, the lack of definitive treatment guidelines and scarcity of reported cases, especially those suitable for surgery, pose challenges in the diagnosis and management of SMARCA4-UT. Here, we present the case of a patient with a stage II tumor who underwent surgical intervention, and we discuss the diagnosis and treatment of SMARCA4-deficient undifferentiated lung tumors.

### Case presentation

A 63-year-old male presented to our hospital with blood-tinged sputum, dyspnea, and weight loss of approximately 5 kg. He had a history of heavy smoking, but no history of diabetes mellitus. There had no relevant family or medical history. Upon examination, he was conscious with vital signs within normal limits, except for a slightly decreased peripheral oxygen saturation of 92% on room air. Physical examination revealed decreased breath sounds in the right lung. Serum tumor marker assessment indicated elevated levels of neuron-specific enolase at 27.22 ng/mL and cytokeratin 19 fragment (Cyfra 21-1) at 3.43 ng/mL, whereas other tumor markers were within the normal ranges. Chest computed tomography (CT) revealed a mass in the right upper lobe, with a cavity (Figure 1A) and inflammation (Figure 1B). Despite antibiotic treatment, there was no improvement in the inflammation. Pathological tissue obtained via bronchoscopy confirmed the presence of poorly differentiated non-small cell lung cancer. Positron emission tomography/CT (PET/CT) showed the mass in the right upper lobe with a maximum standardized uptake value (SUV) of 44.67 (Figure 2A), and a right lung hilar lymph node metastasis could not be excluded (Figure 2B). No distant organ metastasis was observed (Figure 2C). The patient's prognosis was initially considered poor. Surgery was performed after the exclusion

of preoperative contraindications. The surgical plan included resection of the right upper and right middle lobes, as well as dissection of relevant lymph nodes, and was successfully executed as planned. Histopathological examination of the surgically resected specimen revealed undifferentiated tumor cells with eosinophilic cytoplasm, eccentrically located vesicular nuclei, prominent nucleoli, and frequent mitoses (Figure 3A), similar to SMARCA4deficient thoracic sarcoma. The immunohistochemistry results were negative for Brahma-related gene 1 (BRG1) (Figure 3B), cytokeratin (CK) 5/6, and CK7. CK19 (Figure 3C) and CK (pan) cells (Figure 3D) were focally positive. Tumor cells were positive for integrase interactor 1 (INI-1) (Figure 3E), epithelial membrane antigen (EMA) (Figure 3F), and vimentin (Figure 3G). Additionally, the proliferation index Ki-67 (Figure 3H) was 90% in the tumor cells. The final diagnosis was SMARCA4-UT; no lymph node metastasis was found in the dissected lymph nodes, and the clinical stage was T3N0M0 stage IIB. The patient was initially satisfied with the treatment, but declined further chemotherapy and genetic testing. Although no recurrence was observed two months postoperatively, the tumor reappeared and invaded the adjacent ribs four months after the operation (Figure 4).

### Discussion

BRG1, a protein encoded by *SMARAC4*, is a crucial component of the SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex. Its inactivation and the loss of gene expression are associated with cancer (9). SMARCA4-UT, characterized by mutations in the *SMARCA4* gene that lead to inactivation and an undifferentiated or rhabdoid morphology, is often found in tumors of significant size. Almost all patients had a history of smoking and were clinically characterized by non-specific symptoms such as cough, dyspnea, and hemoptysis. SMARCA4-UT is often accompanied by loss of SMARCA2 (10). Immunohistochemistry typically shows a negative or low expression of cytokeratin and claudin-4 in SMARCA4-UT cells, whereas INI-1, vimentin, and Sox2 are usually positive (2). However, in some cases, SMARCA4 staining may show a significant decrease rather than complete negativity (2). Most cases



FIGURE 1

(A) Chest CT showed a mass in the right upper lobe measuring approximately  $6.3 \times 5.7 \times 4.3$  cm with a cavity. (B) Inflammation in the right upper and middle lobes.



#### FIGURE 2

(A) PET/CT demonstrated the mass in the right upper lobe with a maximum SUV of 44.67. (B) Right lung hilar lymph node metastasis could not be excluded. (C) No distant organ metastasis was observed.

are not suitable for surgery and usually require bronchoscopy or a fine-needle biopsy to obtain the pathological tissue, making diagnosis challenging. Next-generation sequencing (NGS) has been utilized for diagnosis but may miss 12.5% of SMARCA4-UT patients (11). Sanger sequencing, although accurate and low-throughput, is expensive and time-consuming for large-scale sequencing. Therefore, a combined approach using both immunohistochemistry

and NGS is recommended for diagnosing SMARCA4-UT, with Sanger sequencing as a verification tool if needed (1).

SMARCA4-UT, previously referred to as SMARCA4-deficient thoracic sarcoma, has several unique features. It requires differential diagnosis from other tumors, such as malignant rhabdoid tumor (MRT), small cell carcinoma of the ovary hypercalcemic type (SCCOHT), and SMARCA4-deficient non-small cell lung cancer





Pathological features. (A) Stained with hematoxylin and eosin. (B) Immunohistochemistry showed BRG1 is negative. (C) CK 19 and (D) CK (pan) were focally positive. (E) INI-1, (F) EMA, and (G) Vimentin were positive. (H) The proliferation index Ki-67 was 90%.



#### FIGURE 4 Four months after the operation, the tumor recurred and invaded the adjacent ribs.

(NSCLC) (2). While SMARCA4-UT may share morphological similarities with MRT and SCCOHT, it is important to note that most patients with SMARCA4-UT are males with a history of smoking, frequently have TP53 mutations (2), and do not show a familial inheritance pattern (12). MRT is predominantly found in infants and young children (13), whereas SCCOHT primarily affects adolescents and young women (14). SMARCA4 mutations have been observed in 10% of NSCLC cases (15). SMARCA4deficient NSCLC and SMARCA4-UT predominantly occur in heavy smokers. However, there are differences in tumor cell characteristics between SMARCA4-deficient NSCLC and SMARCA4-UT. In SMARCA4-deficient NSCLC, tumor cells display differentiated epithelial structures and strong expression of epithelial markers, whereas in SMARCA4-UT, tumor cells are poorly differentiated with scattered weak or negative expression of epithelial markers. Moreover, CD34, Sox2, and Syn are frequently expressed in SMARCA4-UT cells (16, 17). Therefore, for a young male heavy smoker presenting with a large undifferentiated or poorly differentiated chest tumor, clinicians should consider the possibility of SMARCA4-UT (1).

Radical surgery is the preferred method for treating malignant tumors; however, SMARCA4-UT often shows local invasion and distant metastasis, making only a few early stage patients eligible for surgery. Although initial surgery has been reported to extend overall survival (OS), the benefits may not be significant, and rapid tumor recurrence post-surgery is common (1). In the present case, adjuvant therapy was recommended based on the postoperative clinical staging, but the patient declined further treatment. The tumor had not recurred two months after surgery, but recurred and invaded the adjacent ribs four months postoperatively, with the patient still refusing adjuvant therapy. The short follow-up period and lack of data on adjuvant treatment in this specific patient scenario are limitations of this clinical case. Therefore, additional reports on surgically managed SMARCA4-UT cases are necessary to determine the most appropriate clinical stage for surgical intervention and a comprehensive treatment plan.

Chemotherapy is a commonly used treatment for tumors; however, no study has specifically reported the effectiveness of chemotherapy alone in patients with SMARCA4-UT (3). However, chemotherapy can enhance the immune environment, thereby boosting the antitumor effects of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) (18, 19). Studies have indicated that the presence of tertiary lymphoid structures (TLS) in SMARCA4-UT is associated with a high response rate to ICI treatment. For instance, the 6-month nonprogression rate was 40% in patients with TLS-positive SMARCA4-UT, as opposed to only 4.2% in all patients (7). Patients who receive first-line ICI therapy generally exhibit longer median OS and progression-free survival compared to those who receive ICI therapy in later lines or not at all (1). Some cases have demonstrated the effectiveness of immunotherapy as a neoadjuvant treatment, resulting in radical tumor resection and a complete pathological response (20). The efficacy of immunotherapy for SMARCA4-UT seems to be independent of programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression, which is often low or negative (21). Furthermore, the combination of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibodies and antiangiogenic agents demonstrated synergistic effects (22). Studies have indicated that atezolizumab, bevacizumab, carboplatin, and paclitaxel combination therapy is effective for treating SMARCA4-UT (23). However, the efficacy of immunotherapy may be compromised in cases in which high PD-L1 expression coincides with KEAP1 mutations (23).

The most commonly mutated genes in SMARCA4-UT were *TP53*, *STK11*, *KEAP1*, and *KRAS* (24). Although *ALK* mutations have been reported in some cases, *EGFR* mutations typically observed in NSCLC have not been reported in SMARCA4-UT (5). Currently, there are no highly effective targeted drugs available.

Studies have suggested that HDACi, EZH2 inhibitors, and OXPHOS inhibitors may be effective in treating SMARCA4-UT. SMARCA4-UT is often accompanied by loss of SMARCA2. HDACi have been shown to restore SMARCA2 expression in various SMARCA2-deficient tumors, reduce tumor cell growth (9), enhance sensitivity to cisplatin, and restore sensitivity to PD-1 inhibitors. Patients with SMARCA4-UT have demonstrated the benefits of combination therapy involving HDACi, cisplatin chemotherapy, and PD-1 inhibitors (1). Targeted EZH2 inhibitors are currently under investigation for the treatment of cancers with SWI/SNF complex protein abnormalities such as SMARCA4-UT. Initial findings suggest that the therapeutic effects of EZH2 inhibitors are more pronounced when both SMARCA4 and SMARCA2 are mutated (25). Tumors harboring SMARCA4 mutations exhibit increased OXPHOS, and the use of OXPHOS inhibitors, such as IACS-010759, can reduce OXPHOS activity, leading to tumor cell death and decreased tumor progression (26). In phase I clinical trials involving solid tumors, 44% of patients treated with IACS-010759 achieved stable disease or partial response (27).

In conclusion, SMARCA4-UT is highly invasive, with most patients diagnosed at stage III or IV, precluding surgical intervention. Preoperative PET/CT is recommended to rule out distant metastases. Here, we report a rare case of SMARCA4-UT that met the criteria for surgical resection. Recent studies have indicated the effectiveness of immunotherapy for treating this condition. Furthermore, treatment with anti-angiogenic drugs, HDACi, EZH2 inhibitors, and OXPHOS inhibitors may also be beneficial for managing SMARCA4-UT.

### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

### **Ethics statement**

The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

### Author contributions

CY: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. Z-jL: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. CH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. H-xY: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing.

### Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by Jilin University and Natural Science Foundation of Jilin Province. (20210101245JC).

### Acknowledgments

We appreciate the cooperation of all participants.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# References

1. Li X, Tian S, Shi H, Ta N, Ni X, Bai C, et al. The golden key to open mystery boxes of SMARCA4-deficient undifferentiated thoracic tumor: focusing immunotherapy, tumor microenvironment and epigenetic regulation. *Cancer Gene Ther.* (2024) 31:687–97. doi: 10.1038/s41417-024-00732-4

2. Rekhtman N, Montecalvo J, Chang JC, Alex D, Ptashkin RN, Ai N, et al. SMARCA4-deficient thoracic sarcomatoid tumors represent primarily smoking-related undifferentiated carcinomas rather than primary thoracic sarcomas. *J Thorac Oncol.* (2020) 15:231–47. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2019.10.023

3. Nicholson AG, Tsao MS, Beasley MB, Borczuk AC, Brambilla E, Cooper WA, et al. The 2021 WHO classification of lung tumors: impact of advances since 2015. *J Thorac Oncol.* (2022) 17:362–87. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2021.11.003

4. Zhou P, Fu Y, Tang Y, Jiang L, Wang W. Thoracic SMARCA4-deficient undifferentiated tumor: a clinicopathological and prognostic analysis of 35 cases and immunotherapy efficacy. *Lung Cancer*. (2024) 189:107471. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2024.107471

5. Longo V, Catino A, Montrone M, Montagna ES, Pesola F, Marech I. al. Treatment of thoracic SMARCA4-deficient undifferentiated tumors: where we are and where we will go. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2024) 25(6):3237. doi: 10.3390/ijms25063237

 Li B, Li X-G. Iodine-125 seed implantation and transarterial chemoinfusion combined with immune checkpoint inhibitors for a thoracic SMARCA4-deficient undifferentiated tumor. J Vasc Interventional Radiology. (2023) 34:147–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jvir.2022.10.008 7. Gantzer J, Davidson G, Vokshi B, Weingertner N, Bougoüin A, Moreira M, et al. Immune-desert tumor microenvironment in thoracic SMARCA4-deficient undifferentiated tumors with limited efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Oncologist.* (2022) 27:501–11. doi: 10.1093/oncolo/oyac040

>8. Shi L, Lin L, Ding Y, Zeng Y, Chen X. Case report: A rapid response to immunotherapy in a thoracic SMARCA4-deficient undifferentiated tumor with respiratory failure. *Front Oncol.* (2022) 12:1020875. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.1020875

9. Yamamichi N, Yamamichi-Nishina M, Mizutani T, Watanabe H, Minoguchi S, Kobayashi N, et al. The Brm gene suppressed at the post-transcriptional level in various human cell lines is inducible by transient HDAC inhibitor treatment, which exhibits antioncogenic potential. *Oncogene*. Aug 18. (2005) 24:5471–81. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1208716

 Perret R, Chalabreysse L, Watson S, Serre L, Garcia S, Forest F, et al. SMARCA4deficient thoracic sarcomas: clinicopathologic study of 30 cases with an emphasis on their nosology and differential diagnoses. *Am J Surg pathology*. Apr. (2019) 43:455–65. doi: 10.1097/pas.000000000001188

11. Yoshida A, Kobayashi E, Kubo T, Kodaira M, Motoi T, Motoi N, et al. Clinicopathological and molecular characterization of SMARCA4-deficient thoracic sarcomas with comparison to potentially related entities. *Modern Pathology.* (2017) 30:797–809. doi: 10.1038/modpathol.2017.11

12. Agaimy A, Foulkes WD. Hereditary SWI/SNF complex deficiency syndromes. Semin Diagn Pathol. (2018) 35:193-8. doi: 10.1053/j.semdp.2018.01.002 13. Sesboue C, Le Loarer F. SWI/SNF-deficient thoraco-pulmonary neoplasms. Semin Diagn Pathol. (2021) 38:183–94. doi: 10.1053/j.semdp.2020.12.002

14. Tischkowitz M, Huang S, Banerjee S, Hague J, Hendricks W, Huntsman DG, et al. Small-cell carcinoma of the ovary, hypercalcemic type-genetics, new treatment targets, and current management guidelines. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2020) 26:3908–17. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-19-3797

15. Orvis T, Hepperla A, Walter V, Song S, Simon J, Parker J, et al. BRG1/ SMARCA4 inactivation promotes non-small cell lung cancer aggressiveness by altering chromatin organization. *Cancer Res.* (2014) 74:6486–98. doi: 10.1158/0008– 5472.Can-14–0061

16. Nambirajan A, Singh V, Bhardwaj N, Mittal S, Kumar S, Jain D. SMARCA4/ BRG1-deficient non-small cell lung carcinomas: A case series and review of the literature. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* (2021) 145:90–8. doi: 10.5858/arpa.2019-0633-OA

17. Zhou P, Fu Y, Tang Y, Jiang L, Wang W. Thoracic SMARCA4-deficient tumors: a clinicopathological analysis of 52 cases with SMARCA4-deficient non-small cell lung cancer and 20 cases with thoracic SMARCA4-deficient undifferentiated tumor. *PeerJ.* (2024) 12:e16923. doi: 10.7717/peerj.16923

18. Henon C, Blay JY, Massard C, Mir O, Bahleda R, Dumont S, et al. Long lasting major response to pembrolizumab in a thoracic Malignant rhabdoid-like SMARCA4-deficient tumor. *Ann Oncol.* (2019) 30:1401–3. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdz160

19. Takada K, Sugita S, Murase K, Kikuchi T, Oomori R, Ito R, et al. Exceptionally rapid response to pembrolizumab in a SMARCA4-deficient thoracic sarcoma overexpressing PD-L1: A case report. *Thorac Cancer.* (2019) 10:2312–5. doi: 10.1111/1759–7714.13215

20. Pan D, Kobayashi A, Jiang P, Ferrari AL, Tay RE, Luoma AM, et al. A major chromatin regulator determines resistance of tumor cells to T cell-mediated killing. *Science*. (2018) 359:770–5. doi: 10.1126/science.aao1710

21. Zhou H, Shen J, Liu J, Fang W, Zhang L. Efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors in SMARCA4-mutant NSCLC. J Thorac Oncol. (2020) 15:e133-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2020.03.030

22. Hanna NH, Robinson AG, Temin S, Baker SJ, Brahmer JR, Ellis PM, et al. Therapy for stage IV non-small-cell lung cancer with driver alterations: ASCO and OH (CCO) joint guideline update. *J Clin oncology: Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol.* (2021) 39:1040–91. doi: 10.1200/jco.20.03570

23. Kawachi H, Kunimasa K, Kukita Y, Nakamura H, Honma K, Kawamura T, et al. Atezolizumab with bevacizumab, paclitaxel and carboplatin was effective for patients with SMARCA4-deficient thoracic sarcoma. *Immunotherapy*. (2021) 13:799–806. doi: 10.2217/imt-2020-0311

24. Le Loarer F, Watson S, Pierron G, Montpreville VT, Ballet S, Firmin N, et al. SMARCA4 inactivation defines a group of undifferentiated thoracic Malignancies transcriptionally related to BAF-deficient sarcomas. *Nat Genet.* (2015) 47:1200–5. doi: 10.1038/ng.3399

25. Januario T, Ye X, Bainer R, Alicke B, Smith T, Haley B, et al. PRC2-mediated repression of SMARCA2 predicts EZH2 inhibitor activity in SWI/SNF mutant tumors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* (2017) 114:12249–54. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1703966114

26. Lissanu Deribe Y, Sun Y, Terranova C, Khan F, Martinez-Ledesma J, Gay J, et al. Mutations in the SWI/SNF complex induce a targetable dependence on oxidative phosphorylation in lung cancer. *Nat Med.* (2018) 24:1047–57. doi: 10.1038/s41591–018-0019–5

27. Yap TA, Ahnert JR, Piha-Paul SA, Fu S, Janku F, Karp DD, et al. Phase I trial of IACS-010759 (IACS), a potent, selective inhibitor of complex I of the mitochondrial electron transport chain, in patients (pts) with advanced solid tumors. *J Clin Oncol.* (2019) 37:3014–4. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2019.37.15\_suppl.3014