#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Dean Tian, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, China

#### REVIEWED BY

Qin He, Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong First Medical University, China Jianwei Liu, Eastern Hepatobiliary Surgery Hospital, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Deyu Li Idy0408@sohu.com Xiangming Ding Ing dingxiangming@zzu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 19 February 2024 ACCEPTED 28 June 2024 PUBLISHED 12 July 2024

#### CITATION

Li B, Che Y, Zhu P, Xu Y, Yu H, Li D and Ding X (2024) A novel basement membrane-related gene signature predicts prognosis and immunotherapy response in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1388016. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1388016

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Li, Che, Zhu, Xu, Yu, Li and Ding. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# A novel basement membranerelated gene signature predicts prognosis and immunotherapy response in hepatocellular carcinoma

Bingyao Li <sup>1,2†</sup>, Yingkun Che<sup>2†</sup>, Puhua Zhu<sup>2,3</sup>, Yuanpeng Xu<sup>2</sup>, Haibo Yu<sup>1,3</sup>, Deyu Li<sup>1,2,3\*</sup> and Xiangming Ding<sup>1,4,5\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Henan Provincial People's Hospital, Xinxiang Medical University, Xinxiang, Henan, China, <sup>2</sup>Henan Provincial Key Medical Laboratory for Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Diseases, Henan Provincial People's Hospital, Zhengzhou, Henan, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Hepatobiliary Pancreatic Surgery, People's Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Henan Provincial People's Hospital, Zhengzhou, Henan, China, <sup>4</sup>Department of Gastroenterology, People's Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Henan Provincial People's Hospital, Zhengzhou, Henan, China, <sup>5</sup>Henan Key Medical Laboratory for Molecular Immunology of Digestive Diseases, Henan Provincial People's Hospital, Zhengzhou, Henan, China

**Background:** Basement membranes (BMs) have recently emerged as significant players in cancer progression and metastasis, rendering them promising targets for potential anti-cancer therapies. Here, we aimed to develop a novel signature of basement membrane-related genes (BMRGs) for the prediction of clinical prognosis and tumor microenvironment in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).

**Methods:** The differentially expressed BMRGs were subjected to univariate Cox regression analysis to identify BMRGs with prognostic significance. A six-BMRGs risk score model was constructed using Least Absolute Shrinkage Selection Operator (LASSO) Cox regression. Furthermore, a nomogram incorporating the BMRGs score and other clinicopathological features was developed for accurate prediction of survival rate in patients with HCC.

Results: A total of 121 differentially expressed BMRGs were screened from the TCGA HCC cohort. The functions of these BMRGs were significantly enriched in the extracellular matrix structure and signal transduction. The six-BMRGs risk score, comprising CD151, CTSA, MMP1, ROBO3, ADAMTS5 and MEP1A, was established for the prediction of clinical prognosis, tumor microenvironment characteristics, and immunotherapy response in HCC. Kaplan-Meier analysis revealed that the BMRGs score-high group showed a significantly shorter overall survival than BMRGs score-low group. A nomogram showed that the BMRGs score could be used as a new effective clinical predictor and can be combined with other clinical variables to improve the prognosis of patients with HCC. Furthermore, the high BMRGs score subgroup exhibited an immunosuppressive state characterized by infiltration of macrophages and T-regulatory cells, elevated tumor immune dysfunction and exclusion (TIDE) score, as well as enhanced expression of immune checkpoints including PD-1, PD-L1, CTLA4, PD-L2, HAVCR2, and TIGIT. Finally, a multi-step analysis was conducted to identify two pivotal hub genes, PKM and ITGA3, in the high-scoring group of BMRGs, which exhibited significant associations with an unfavorable prognosis in HCC.

**Conclusion:** Our study suggests that the BMRGs score can serve as a robust biomarker for predicting clinical outcomes and evaluating the tumor microenvironment in patients with HCC, thereby facilitating more effective clinical implementation of immunotherapy.

KEYWORDS

basement membrane, hepatocellular carcinoma, tumor microenvironment, prognosis, immunotherapy

### Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a common malignancy worldwide, with its incidence continuously increasing (1). In 2020, it was the third leading cause of cancer-related deaths (2). HCC has various histological types, making early detection and predicting postoperative recurrence vital for better patient outcomes (3). Despite treatments like chemotherapy, targeted therapy, immunotherapy, surgery, and liver transplantation, the prognosis remains poor with low five-year survival rates (4). Given HCC's complex molecular characteristics and tumor microenvironment (TME), further investigation is needed. Understanding the molecular mechanisms of HCC and TME, identifying novel biomarkers for predicting clinical outcomes and serving as therapeutic targets are essential.

Basement membranes (BMs) are specialized components of the extracellular matrix, including laminins, type IV collagens, nidogens, proteoglycans, and growth factors. They provide structural support, determine tissue morphology, and act as diffusion barriers. BMs play crucial roles in tumor invasion and metastasis (5–8) and are targets for autoantibodies in immune diseases (9). Recent studies indicate that BM stiffness impacts metastatic development. For instance, the prognosis of breast cancer, kidney cancer, and melanoma is linked to the BM protein Netrin-4 (10). Changes or degradation in BM components are associated with poor tumor prognosis (11, 12). BMs significantly affect various types of tumors (13). However, research on the relationship between BM-related gene expression and clinicopathological features or prognosis in HCC is limited, necessitating further investigation.

The TME is a complex ecosystem surrounding the tumor (14), consisting of tumor cells and stromal components like extracellular

matrix (ECM), BMs, vasculature, immune cells, and fibroblasts (15). During the early stages of tumor growth, dynamic interactions between cancer cells and TME components support cancer cell survival, local invasion, and metastasis (16). The cellular composition of HCC's tumor-immune microenvironment (TIME) significantly affects tumor initiation, progression, and therapeutic response (17). Although the interaction between BMs and TME has been studied in various cancers, research on HCC remains limited.

In this study, we identified differentially expressed BM-related genes (BMRGs) between tumor and normal samples and investigated genes related to survival and prognosis. A prognostic risk model was developed using data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), categorizing HCC patients into high-risk and lowrisk groups based on median risk scores. This model was validated using the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database to assess immune cell infiltration, gene mutations, drug sensitivity, and immunotherapy response between high-risk and low-risk patients. Additionally, a protein-protein interaction (PPI) network was constructed to identify the top 10 central genes. We explored the relationships between these central genes, immune cells, clinical traits, and survival prognosis. Our findings suggest that BMRGs could serve as therapeutic targets and prognostic indicators for HCC, and the risk scoring models may enable personalized treatment approaches.

## Materials and methods

#### Data acquisition and compilation

This study utilized data from TCGA (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov) (50 samples of healthy liver and 374 samples of HCC) and GEO (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE14520) (242 HCC samples) databases to collect clinical information and transcription profiling data of patients with HCC. Gene IDs were converted into corresponding gene symbols using the human gene annotation file, with mean values used for multiple probes targeting the same gene ID. The TCGA dataset was used as the training set, while the GEO dataset served as the testing set. A total of 224 BMRGs previously identified in other studies were retrieved (Supplementary Table 1) (18), and their expression matrix was

Abbreviations: AUC, area under the curve; BM, basement membrane; BMRG, basement membrane-related gene; CAMs, cell adhesion molecules; ECM, extracellular matrix; EMT, epithelial-mesenchymal transition; GO, Gene Ontology; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; LASSO, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator; OS, overall survival; PCA, principal component analysis; PFS, Progression-Free Survival; PPI, protein-protein interaction; ROC, receiver operating characteristics; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; TMB, tumor mutation burden; TME, tumor microenvironment.

extracted for subsequent analysis after data matching, filtering, and correction.

# Enrichment analysis of the differentially expressed genes

The "Limma" R package was utilized for differential expression analysis of BMRGs in normal and cancer tissue samples, with statistical significance defined as FDR< 0.05 and LogFC< 0.585, resulting in the identification of 585 genes exhibiting significant differential expression. Subsequently, the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) underwent GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis using the "Clusterprofiler" R package to elucidate their biological characteristics and cellular functional pathways. Statistical significance was determined based on *P*-values and adjusted *P*-values< 0.05. Finally, visualization of the enrichment analysis results was performed using the "ggplot2" and "goplot" R packages. Gene mutation data were obtained from the TCGA database to calculate tumor mutation burden (TMB) in HCC patients, while exploration of DEG mutation frequency was conducted using the "Maftools" R package.

# Development and validation of a prognostic risk score model

First, the prognostic outcomes were integrated with the expression levels of DEGs in each sample. In the training set, univariate Cox regression analysis was employed to identify genes associated with prognosis among DEGs. Genes with *P*-values< 0.05 were selected for analyzing the association between gene mutation frequency and mutated genes in HCC samples from the training set using the "Maftools" R package. Subsequently, a Least Absolute Shrinkage Selection Operator (LASSO) Cox regression analysis was performed using the "glmnet" R package to further refine prognostic-related genes and develop a prognostic risk score model for predicting overall survival (OS) in HCC samples. The risk score for each sample was calculated using the following formula.

*Risk score* = 
$$\sum_{1}^{i}$$
 (Coefi \* ExpGenei)

The "Coef" represents non-zero regression coefficients obtained through LASSO Cox regression analysis (Supplementary Table 2), while "ExpGene" denotes the expression value of a gene derived from a prognostic risk score model. All samples were stratified into high-risk and low-risk groups based on the median risk score, and Kaplan-Meier analysis was conducted using the log-rank test to compare overall survival differences between these two groups. Time-dependent receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were generated using the "Survival ROC" package in R to evaluate the predictive accuracy of prognostic risk scoring patterns. Finally, the validity and applicability of the prognostic risk scoring model were further assessed in an independent test set.

### Principal component analysis

To comprehensively investigate the distinct disparities between the high-risk and low-risk score groups, we successfully employed the "Limma" package in R to conduct principal component analysis (PCA) on the gene expression profiles before and after implementing the prognostic risk score model within our training dataset. Initially, PCA was performed on all DEGs associated with the basement membrane, followed by an examination of gene expression profiles derived from the prognostic risk score model using PCA. Ultimately, these PCA results were visually presented on a two-dimensional graph utilizing the "ggplot2" package.

# Association between risk score and clinical characteristics

The risk scores for each sample were integrated with the corresponding clinical information, encompassing gender, age, pathological stage, and TNM stage. The relationship between the risk score and clinical characteristics was investigated using the "limma" R package. Based on these clinical characteristics, the samples were stratified into two groups to facilitate comparison of differences in risk scores. Statistical significance was determined by considering *P*-values< 0.05.

#### Reveal nomograms for prognosticating OS

The overall survival of individual patients with HCC was further investigated by developing nomograms using the "rms" package in R, incorporating age, gender, pathological stage, and prognostic risk score models. The predictive ability of these nomograms was evaluated through ROC curves and calibration plots.

# Characteristics of the high-risk group were compared with those of the low-risk group

Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) was conducted on the "c2.cp.kegg.v7.4.symbols" gene sets obtained from the molecular signature database using the "org.Hs.eg.db" and "clusterProfiler" packages to assess differences in biological processes between highrisk and low-risk groups (https://www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/ msigdb/). Additionally, single-sample gene set enrichment analysis (ssGSEA) was performed using the "GSVA" and "GSEABase" R packages to visualize infiltration fractions of 16 immune cells and activity levels of 13 immune-related pathways as ssGSEA scores. To predict the potential impact of immune checkpoint blockade, we also examined expression levels of PD-1, PD-L1, CTLA4, PD-L2, Havcr2, and TIGIT (19). Furthermore, we utilized the "PRRophetic" R package to predict semi-inhibitory concentrations of Sorafenib, Sunitinib, Erlotinib, and Gemcitabine in each sample as indicators for their effectiveness in inhibiting specific biological or biochemical functions (20). Lastly, we employed the Tumor Immune Dysfunction and Exclusion (TIDE) online database (http://TIDE.dfci.harvard.edu/) to forecast immunotherapy efficacy in both high-risk and low-risk populations; statistical significance was considered when *P*-value< 0.05 (21).

#### Network of PPI

Firstly, the transcription profiling data of high-risk and low-risk scoring groups were compared using the 'limma' R package. Differentially expressed genes were identified based on a false discovery rate<0.05. The differentially expressed genes were then analyzed using the STRING online database (version: 11 5; https:// cn.string-db.org/) to generate a PPI network with an interaction score >0.70 (high confidence). Subsequently, Cytoscape software (version: 3.9.1) was utilized for further processing and visualization of the PPI network data. The top 10 most central genes were screened using the cytoHubba plugin (version: 0.1). Next, all samples were stratified into high-expression and low-expression groups using the 'cut off' R package. Kaplan-Meier analysis was performed to assess survival differences between these two groups. Finally, we investigated immune cell infiltration patterns and clinical features associated with prognosis-related central genes.

#### Statistical analysis

The Wilcoxon rank-sum test was employed to compare differences between the two groups. Kaplan-Meier analysis was utilized to evaluate survival disparities between high-risk and low-risk score groups. Multivariable Cox regression analysis was conducted to identify independent predictors of OS in HCC. ROC curves were plotted to assess the predictive validity of prognostic risk scoring models and nomograms. All statistical analyses were performed using R 4.0.2, with a significance level set at P < 0.05.

Additional materials and methods details are provided in the online Supplementary Material.

### Results

# Identification of differentially expressed BMRGs in HCC

We present the study's flow chart in Supplementary Figure 1. A comprehensive analysis of the expression levels of 224 BMRGs in tumor and normal samples from the TCGA database, we identified 121 DEGs in the TCGA HCC cohort (P< 0.05, FDR< 0.585). Among these, 113 genes were upregulated, and 8 genes were downregulated in tumor samples. Figures 1A and B illustrate the heat map and volcano plot of DEGs between tumor and normal samples, respectively.

# Function and pathway enrichment analysis of DEGs

The biological functions of the differentially expressed BMRGs were further determined through GO annotation and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses. The identified BMRGs were primarily associated with extracellular matrix organization, extracellular structure organization, and cell-substrate adhesion in terms of biological processes (BP). In relation to cellular components (CC), the BMRGs were mainly enriched in collagen-containing extracellular matrix, basement membrane, and endoplasmic reticulum lumen. Regarding molecular functions (MF), the BMRGs predominantly exhibited roles as extracellular matrix structure constituents and cell adhesion molecule binders (Figure 1C). The top 80 significantly enriched genes and pathways are displayed in Figure 1D. KEGG pathway enrichment analysis revealed significant enrichments for ECM-receptor interaction, focal adhesion, human papillomavirus infection, and PI3K-Akt signaling pathway (Figure 1E). Figure 1F presents the top 45 significantly enriched genes and pathways. Collectively, these findings indicate a substantial correlation between BMRGs and extracellular matrix-associated functions and pathways in HCC progression.

#### Development of the BMRGs

The TCGA-HCC cohort was utilized as the training set in this study, leading to the identification of 121 BMRGs. Univariate Cox analysis revealed 31 BMRGs significantly associated with OS (Figure 2A). Analysis of the somatic mutation spectrum in these prognostic genes demonstrated a mutation frequency of 24.53% in the HCC samples (n=371), with a total of 91 cases exhibiting mutations (Figure 2B). ROBO1 exhibited the highest mutation frequency, followed by ADAMTS9, ITGB5, SLIT1, Lamb1, ADAMTS7, CSPG4, POSTN, ITGAM, ADAM17, ITGA3, LAMC1, MEP1A, ITGA2, ITGAV, NID1, LAMA4, MMP14, PTPRF, ROBO3, SMC3 and ITGB1, while no mutations were observed in other genes. Further analysis revealed positive associations between Robo1 and ITGAM, ADAMTS9 and CSPG4, ITGA2 and LOXL2, NID1 and CD151 (Figure 2C). These 31 genes were included in a LASSO Cox regression analysis based on the TCGA-HCC cohort. The analysis identified six genes, namely CD151, CTSA, MMP1, ROBO3, ADAMTS5, and MEP1A, which were used to construct the risk score model for BMRGs (Figures 2D, E). The risk score for each sample was calculated using the following formula: risk score =  $(0.00102 \times \text{expression of } CD151) + (0.192 \times \text{expression of})$ CTSA) + (0. 165 × expression of MMP1) + (0.0739 × expression of ROBO3) + (0.302 × expression of ADAMTS5) + (0.0203 × expression of MEP1A).

#### Prognostic significance of the BMRGs score

The established risk score model based on BMRGs was employed to effectively stratify the HCC cohorts into two distinct subgroups:



high-risk and low-risk groups (Figures 3A, B). In this risk model, TCGA-HCC patients were classified into a high-risk group (n = 185) and a low-risk group (n = 185) using the median risk score as the cutoff, while GEO-HCC patients were divided into a high-risk group

(n = 111) and a low-risk group (n = 110). Notably, in both the training and test sets, the low-risk group exhibited significantly improved clinical outcomes compared to the high-risk group (*P*-value< 0.05) (Figures 3C, D). The distribution of risk scores in



Univariate Cox regression analysis, mutation spectrum, and LASSO regression analysis of BMRGs in HCC. (A) Univariate Cox regression analysis of BMRGs in HCC patients from the TCGA cohort. (B) Mutation spectrum of the top 31 prognostic BMRGs in HCC patients. The waterfall plot shows the types and frequencies of mutations in these genes across 371 HCC samples. (C) Co-occurrence and mutual exclusivity analysis of mutations in the top 31 prognostic BMRGs. The heatmap shows significant correlations (P< 0.05) between gene mutations. (D) Partial likelihood deviance versus log (Lambda) for LASSO Cox regression analysis. (E) Coefficient profiles of the top 31 prognostic BMRGs from LASSO Cox regression analysis.

relation to age, gender, pathological stage, and TNM stage of HCC was analyzed. Although there were no significant differences observed in the association between risk scores and age, gender, or M stage, higher risk scores were associated with elevated T, N, and pathological stages (Supplementary Figure 2). Univariate prognostic Cox analysis revealed that both pathological stage and risk score independently served as prognostic factors. This finding was further confirmed by multivariate Cox analysis (*P*-values< 0.05) (Figures 3E, F). The model's reliability was assessed using ROC curves, yielding area under the curve (AUC) values of 0.773, 0.695, and 0.643 for years 1, 3, and 5, respectively (Figure 3G). Additionally, the risk model demonstrated an AUC of 0.643 (Figure 3H). These findings provide evidence supporting the reliability of the basement membrane risk model in predicting HCC progression.

## A nomograph for predicting survival

To accurately predict the probability of OS, we developed a nomogram that integrated the BMRGs score with other clinicopathological features, including gender, age, and pathological stage. The predictive performance of the nomogram for 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year OS in patients with HCC was assessed (Figure 4A). The calibration curve demonstrated close alignment with the ideal curve, indicating high predictive accuracy (Figure 4B). Furthermore, ROC curve analysis was conducted to validate the utility of the nomogram and calculate the AUC for risk assessment as well as for age, gender, and pathological stage prediction (Figure 4C). Notably, our nomogram exhibited the highest AUC value among all factors evaluated, indicating its superior prognostic accuracy. Univariate and multivariate Cox



#### FIGURE 3

Validation and prognostic analysis of the BMRGs risk score model in HCC patients. (A, B) Principal component analysis (PCA) plots showing the distribution of high-risk and low-risk HCC patients in the TCGA cohort (A) and the GEO cohort (B). (C, D) Kaplan-Meier survival curves displaying the overall survival (OS) of high-risk and low-risk HCC patients in the TCGA cohort (C) and the GEO cohort (D). (E, F) Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses in the TCGA cohort (E) and the GEO cohort (F) showing that the risk score is an independent prognostic factor for OS in HCC patients (*P*< 0.001). (G) Area under the curve (AUC) value of HCC in 1, 3 and 5 years. (H) Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of risk score and clinicopathological characteristics in HCC.



Construction and validation of the nomogram for predicting OS in HCC patients. (A) Nomogram for predicting 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year OS in HCC patients. (B) Calibration curves for the nomogram. The observed OS rates are plotted against the nomogram-predicted OS rates for 1-year, 2-year, and 3-year survival. (C) ROC curves for the nomogram, risk score, age, gender, and stage. (D, E) Univariate (D) and multivariate (E) Cox regression analyses of the nomogram and other clinical variables.

analyses performed on data from the training set confirmed that our nomogram served as an independent prognostic factor (*P*-value< 0.05) (Figures 4D, E). Collectively, these findings provide comprehensive validation of both the clinical applicability and predictive power associated with our BMRGs-based prognostic model.

#### TME characteristics in different BMRGs subgroups

To comprehensively analyze TME, we utilized CIBERSORTx to calculate the infiltration degree of immune cell profiles. Our findings revealed a significant increase in the abundance of activated dendritic cells (aDCs), immature dendritic cells (iDCs), macrophages, T helper 1 (Th1) cells, T helper 2 (Th2) cells, and regulatory T cells (Tregs) in the BMRGs high-risk group. Conversely, the BMRGs low-risk group exhibited a significantly increased abundance of natural killer (NK) cells (Figure 5A). Immune function analysis demonstrated that APC co-stimulation, CCR signaling pathway activation, checkpoint regulation, HLA expression modulation, MHC class I antigen presentation enhancement and parainflammation-related functions were highly active in the high-risk group. In contrast, Type II IFN-Response immune-related functions were prominently activated in the low-risk group (Figure 5B). Furthermore, our results indicated that the high-risk group had lower Tumor Immune Dysfunction and Exclusion (TIDE) scores compared to the low-risk group (Figure 5C), suggesting enhanced effectiveness of immunotherapy within this subgroup. Risk score and drug sensitivity analysis revealed higher



drug sensitivity to Sorafenib, Sunitinib, and Gemcitabine among patients classified into the high-risk group as opposed to those assigned to the low-risk category. However, Erlotinib displayed reduced drug sensitivity within the high-risk group (Figures 5D-G). Given its significance in checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapy approaches, we also investigated associations between risk scores and key immune checkpoints. Our findings indicated elevated levels of PD-1, PD-L1, CTLA4, PD-L2, HAVCR2, and TIGIT expression within individuals classified into the high-risk group (Figure 5H), thereby indicating an existence of immunosuppression within this subgroup. In conclusion, the quantification of BMRGs risk score represents a novel and reliable biomarker for evaluating prognosis associated with immunotherapy.

# PPI network of DEGs in high-risk and low-risk groups

The interactions between DEGs in high-risk and low-risk groups were analyzed using the STRING online database,



Interaction network, expression analysis, survival analysis, and stage-wise expression of *ITGA3* and *PKM* in HCC. (A) Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) identified in high-risk and low-risk groups. The network was constructed using the STRING database and visualized with Cytoscape software. (B) Comparison of *ITGA3* expression levels between normal and tumor samples. (C) Comparison of *PKM* expression levels between normal and tumor samples. (C) Comparison of *PKM* expression levels between normal and tumor samples. (C) Comparison of *PKM* expression levels across different tumor stages. (G) Comparison of *ITGA3* expression levels across different tumor stages. (G) Comparison of *ITGA3* expression levels across different tumor stages. (G) Comparison of *ITGA3* expression levels across different tumor stages. (G) Comparison of *ITGA3* expression levels across different tumor stages. The symbols \*\*\* represent *P*-values of < 0.001.

revealing a complex PPI network (Supplementary Figure 3A). The PPI network data were further processed and visualized using Cytoscape software, highlighting the intricate interactions among DEGs (Supplementary Figure 3B). Hub genes were identified using the cytoHubba plugin in Cytoscape, resulting in the selection of ten key genes within the network, namely MUC1, MUC6, MUC5AC, ENO2, PKM, CXCL8, ITGA3, COL3A1, GCNT1, and LIF (Figure 6A). These hub genes were sequenced using the degree method, as detailed in Supplementary Table 2. Differential analysis revealed that PKM and ITGA3 are



Significant upregulation of *PKM2* and *ITGA3* in HCC tissues and their prognostic implications. (A) Relative mRNA expression levels of *PKM2* in 165 paired HCC and adjacent nontumor specimens, measured by quantitative RT-PCR. (B) Relative mRNA expression levels of *ITGA3* in the same 165 paired HCC and adjacent nontumor specimens, measured by quantitative RT-PCR. (C, D) Western blot analysis of PKM2 (C) and ITGA3 (D) protein levels in HCC and adjacent nontumor specimens. (E) Kaplan-Meier survival curves showing overall survival (left) and progression-free survival (right) of HCC patients based on *PKM2* expression levels. (F) Kaplan-Meier survival curves showing overall survival (left) and progression-free survival (right) of HCC patients based on *ITGA3* expression levels. The symbols \*\*\* represent *P*-values of < 0.001.

significantly overexpressed in HCC patients compared to normal samples (P< 0.05) (Figures 6B, C). Moreover, both *PKM* and *ITGA3* showed a significant association with survival outcomes, where higher gene expression correlated with worse prognosis (P< 0.05) (Figures 6D, E). Furthermore, *PKM* expression was found to increase with tumor stage progression, indicating its potential involvement in the advancement of HCC (Figure 6F). In contrast, *ITGA3* expression did not show a significant correlation with tumor stage, although a decreasing trend in advanced stages was observed (Figure 6G). These results suggest that *PKM* and *ITGA3* 

could serve as potential biomarkers and therapeutic targets for HCC.

# *PKM2/ITGA3* are significant upregulated in HCC samples and predicts poor prognosis

To further validate the association of *PKM2* and *ITGA3* with HCC at the clinical level, we first examined the mRNA levels of *PKM2* and *ITGA3* in 165 paired HCC and corresponding adjacent

Variables		PKM2 expression			ITGA3 expression		
		Negative (n = 90)	Positive (n = 75)	P Value	Negative (n = 99)	Positive (n = 66)	P Value
Age	≤60 years	49	26	0.011	55	20	0.001
	>60 years	41	49		44	46	
Sex	Female	28	21	0.663	28	21	0.626
	Male	62	54		71	45	
HBV	No	26	15	0.188	25	16	0.883
	Yes	64	60		74	50	
Serum AFP	≤400ng/ml	68	44	0.021	74	38	0.021
	>400ng/ml	22	31		25	28	
<sup>a</sup> TNM stage	I-II	84	55	<0.001	90	49	0.004
	III	6	20		9	17	
<sup>b</sup> BCLC stage	0-A	78	50	0.002	85	43	0.002
	B~C	12	25		14	23	
Tumor number	Single	73	46	0.005	81	38	0.001
	Multiple	17	29		18	28	
Tumor size	≤5cm	56	33	0.019	64	25	0.001
	>5cm	34	42		35	41	
Microvascular invasion	Absent	65	38	0.004	68	35	0.042
	Present	25	37		31	31	
Cirrhosis	Absent	41	19	0.007	43	17	0.021
	Present	49	56		56	49	

TABLE 1 Correlation between PKM2/ITGA3 and clinicopathological characteristics of HCC in human HCC tissues from independent cohorts.

HBV, hepatitis B virus; AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; TNM, tumor-node-metastasis; BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

<sup>a</sup>American Joint Committee on Cancer 8th edition staging for hepatocellular carcinoma.

<sup>b</sup>Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer systems, 2022.

nontumor specimens by quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR). *PKM2* and *ITGA3* mRNA levels were significantly elevated in HCC specimens compared to adjacent nontumor specimens (Figures 7A, B).

We then assessed the protein levels of PKM2 and ITGA3 in paired HCC specimens. Western blot assay showed that PKM2 and ITGA3 were significantly elevated in HCC specimens compared to adjacent nontumor specimens (Figures 7C, D).

To explore the clinical significance of *PKM2* and *ITGA3*, HCC patients were categorized into two groups based on RT-qPCR, negative or positive of *PKM2/ITGA3*. Notably, Kaplan-Meier analysis revealed that higher *PKM2* or *ITGA3* levels were associated with worse OS and worse Progression-Free Survival (PFS) in HCC patients (Figures 7E, F). Clinicopathological characterization indicated that *PKM2* or *ITGA3* overexpression was associated with age, serum AFP, TNM stage, BCLC stage, tumor number, tumor size, and microvascular invasion (Table 1). Multivariate analysis demonstrated that *PKM2* and *ITGA3* are significant and independent predictors of OS and PFS in HCC patients (Supplementary Tables 3, 4). In conclusion, our data

suggest that regulation of *PKM2* and *ITGA3* may predict poor prognosis in HCC patients.

### GSEA of the BMRGs

To investigate the biological functions associated with BMRGs, we employed GSEA to analyze the TCGA cohort. We identified 37 pathways that were significantly enriched in the high-risk group and 8 pathways in the low-risk group (P< 0.05). Notably, the top five enrichment pathways in the high-risk group included cell adhesion molecules (CAMs), cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, ECM-receptor interaction, focal adhesion, and neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction (Supplementary Figure 4A). Conversely, the top five enrichment pathways in the low-risk group comprised beta-alanine metabolism, fatty acid metabolism, linoleic acid metabolism, primary bile acid biosynthesis and retinol metabolism (Supplementary Figure 4B). These findings underscore the distinct biological processes that are activated in high-risk and low-risk groups, providing insights into

the molecular mechanisms underlying the progression and treatment response of HCC.

### Discussion

Despite significant advancements in early detection, targeted therapy, and immunotherapy for HCC over the past decades, OS in HCC patients remain low. Therefore, there is an urgent need to comprehensively understand the pathogenesis and mechanisms underlying HCC development and identify potential clinical therapeutic targets. BMs play a pivotal role in regulating cell polarity, differentiation, migration, and survival processes (22, 23). BM proteins are also the targets of autoantibodies in immune diseases, and defective expression of BM proteins is a key pathogenic aspect of cancer, diabetes, and fibrosis (24, 25). Previous studies have demonstrated a significant association between BM components and cancer progression, thus they can be considered as potential targets for inhibiting tumor growth (10-12). Although numerous studies have utilized molecular markers based on genes to predict prognosis in HCC patients (26), no studies have systematically utilized BMRGs to predict the prognosis of HCC patients. Exploring the role of different BMRGs in HCC is helpful to understand the role of BMs in the occurrence and development of HCC and to guide effective treatment strategies.

In this study, we employed univariate Cox regression analysis and LASSO Cox regression analysis to establish a prognostic risk score model based on the differential expression of 121 BMRGs in tumor and normal liver tissue samples from the TCGA and GEO cohorts. This model was utilized to predict OS in patients with HCC, aiming to gain deeper insights into the role of these genes in HCC pathogenesis. Significant differences were observed in the survival outcomes between high-risk and low-risk score groups among HCC patients, which were further validated using an independent dataset. These findings suggest that the prognostic risk score model holds potential for identifying patients with poor survival, while also serving as an independent prognostic factor according to multivariate analysis. Furthermore, we constructed a nomogram that effectively evaluated clinical survival for individual patients. Subsequently, functional enrichment analysis revealed a correlation between differential expression of BMRGs and the occurrence and progression of HCC.

Immunotherapy aims to harness the intrinsic immune molecules within TME for cancer prevention. In our analysis of immune function, we observed distinct TME among different risk groups of HCC. Specifically, in the high-risk group, most immune cell populations exhibited elevated levels except for NK cells. Furthermore, the activity of various other immune pathways was significantly higher compared to the Type II IFN Response pathway. Consequently, we hypothesize that the diminished presence of NK cells in high-risk populations may contribute to their survival disadvantage. This highlights a promising avenue for future research, stimulating NK cell-mediated immune responses and reinforcing immunotherapy alongside other treatment strategies to effectively eliminate tumor cells. Notably, activation of type II interferon (IFN) response in the low-risk group underscores its close association with HCC progression. Interferons are classified into three types, type I (IFN- $\alpha$  and IFN- $\beta$ ), type II (IFN- $\gamma$ ), and Type III (27). IFN- $\alpha$  represents a crucial therapeutic approach for patients with liver cancer (28). The activation of interferon-stimulated gene (ISG) transcription is initiated by the binding of IFN- $\alpha$  to its receptor and subsequent mediation of signal transduction. These genes determine the biological outcomes associated with STAT1 signal transduction, including immune function modulation, cell proliferation inhibition, and apoptosis induction (29).Recent research has demonstrated that IFN-α can effectively impede HCC growth and induce apoptosis (30). IFN- $\gamma$  is primarily released by antigen-recognized and activated T cells (31), which triggers B7-H1 gene expression in lung cancer cells, cholangiocarcinoma cells, head and neck cancers, as well as HCC through the JAK/STAT1 pathway (31-34). NK cell-secreted IFN- $\gamma$  plays a significant role in maintaining dormancy to prevent liver metastasis while also preserving dormancy itself (35), aligning with the survival advantage observed in low-risk groups.

Risk score and drug sensitivity analysis revealed that patients with a high-risk score exhibited sensitivity to three commonly used drugs for HCC, namely Sorafenib, Sunitinib, and Gemcitabine. Conversely, patients with a low-risk score demonstrated increased sensitivity to Erlotinib. These findings present a novel approach for guiding clinical treatment of liver cancer. Our study identified an association between different risk scores and the expression of immune checkpoint molecules in HCC, including PD-1, PD-L1, CTLA4, PD-L2, HAVCR2, and TIGIT. Notably, PD-1 may play a crucial role in promoting cancer development. Combining PD-1 blockade with mTOR pathway targeting could potentially enhance the antitumor efficacy against cancer (36, 37). Moreover, elevated expression of PD-L1 in HCC leads to exhaustion of follicular helper T-cells and impairs cytokine expression as well as B-cell help, functionally contributing to tumor progression towards advanced stages (38). Consequently, patients with high-risk scored HCC might derive benefits from immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy.

PPI network was constructed based on the DEGs between highrisk and low-risk groups, and subsequently, 10 hub genes were identified. Significantly, PKM and ITGA3 exhibited statistical significance (P< 0.05) in both differential analysis and survival analysis. These two genes are highly expressed in HCC and belong to the high-risk gene category. Moreover, higher expression levels of these genes correlate with worse prognosis. Specifically, pyruvate kinase M2 isoform (PKM2), a crucial enzyme involved in glycolysis, facilitates glucose conversion into lactic acid within cancer cells under aerobic conditions (39, 40).. Additionally, phosphorylation of PKM2 along with STAT3 inhibition has been shown to impede lung cancer cell proliferation effectively (41). The PKM2 inhibitor can moderately attenuate the proliferation of tumor cells (42, 43). In a HIF-1 $\alpha$ dependent manner, the key glycosylase PKM2, induced by liver cancer cell-derived fibrin 1, simultaneously regulates the antitumor properties of glycosylated macrophages and inflammation-mediated PD-L1 expression. Importantly, while an increase in PKM2-regulated glycolytic macrophages predicts a poor prognosis for patients, blocking PD-L1 on these cells abolishes PD-L1 dominated immunosuppression and unleashes intrinsic antitumor properties (44). This finding is consistent with our current results. ITGA3, also known as integrin

 $\alpha$ 3, belongs to the integrin family and interacts with numerous ECM proteins. It mediates cell-cell adhesion and cell-matrix adhesion while bridging external and internal cellular structures (45). Although *ITGA3* is widely expressed in normal organisms, under the influence of tumor gene induction, changes in chromatin structure along with high expression of growth factors and their receptors disrupt its expression pattern leading to tumorigenesis. Notably, *ITGA3* has been identified as a negative prognostic factor for pancreatic cancer (46), head and neck cancer (47), and tongue squamous cell carcinoma (48). These two central gene activation states exhibit an increasing infiltration of active immune cells, suggesting that immunotherapy may potentially modify survival outcomes in patients with a poor prognosis.

Our clinical sample study further validated these findings. The *PKM* gene produces two protein isoforms through alternative splicing, the M2 isoform (*PKM2*) is expressed at higher levels than the M1 isoform (*PKM1*), and *PKM2* is the predominant isoform in all human cancer cell lines (49). Therefore, we chose *PKM2* for further validation. *PKM2* and *ITGA3* are significant upregulated in HCC tissues and are closely associated with poor clinicopathological characteristics and prognosis. Future research should aim to validate and expand upon the findings of this study, focusing on the mechanistic roles of BMs, developing targeted therapies, optimizing combination treatments, and integrating multi-omics data. Such efforts will enhance our understanding of HCC and improve therapeutic strategies, ultimately leading to better patient outcomes.

In conclusion, we have developed a risk model for BMRGs in HCC patients. Our study suggests that the BMRGs score can serve as a robust biomarker for predicting clinical outcomes and evaluating the tumor microenvironment in patients with HCC. This may help clinicians to better assess the prognosis of patients, formulate personalized treatment strategies, and ultimately improve the therapeutic outcomes and survival rates of HCC patients.

### Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## Author contributions

BL: Methodology, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YC: Data curation, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. PZ: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. YX: Writing – review & editing. HY: Writing –

review & editing. DL: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. XD: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Writing – review & editing.

### Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 82103617 and 82103618); Scientific and technological project of Henan Province (Grant No. 222102310001 and 232102311024); Henan Provincial Key Projects of Medical Science and Technology Project (Grant No. SBGJ2021030010 and SBGJ202103002); Henan Provincial Young and Middle-aged Health Science and Technology Innovation Talent (Grant No. YXKC2021049) and YXKC2021048).

## Acknowledgments

This is a short text to acknowledge the contributions of specific colleagues, institutions, or agencies that aided the efforts of the authors.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1388016/ full#supplementary-material

## References

1. Villanueva A. Hepatocellular carcinoma. N Engl J Med. (2019) 380:1450–62. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1713263

2. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for

36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. (2021) 71:209–49. doi: 10.3322/ caac.21660

3. Bruix J, Sherman MPractice Guidelines Committee AAftSoLD. Management of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology*. (2005) 42:1208–36. doi: 10.1002/hep.v42:5

4. Forner A, Llovet JM, Bruix J. Hepatocellular carcinoma. Lancet. (2012) 379:1245– 55. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61347-0

5. Yurchenco PD. Basement membranes: cell scaffoldings and signaling platforms. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. (2011) 3. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a004911

6. Jayadev R, Sherwood DR. Basement membranes. Curr Biol. (2017) 27:R207-R11. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2017.02.006

7. Jayadev R, Chi Q, Keeley DP, Hastie EL, Kelley LC, Sherwood DR. alpha-Integrins dictate distinct modes of type IV collagen recruitment to basement membranes. *J Cell Biol.* (2019) 218:3098–116. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201903124

8. Li S, Qi Y, McKee K, Liu J, Hsu J, Yurchenco PD. Integrin and dystroglycan compensate each other to mediate laminin-dependent basement membrane assembly and epiblast polarization. *Matrix Biol.* (2017) 57-58:272-84. doi: 10.1016/j.matbio.2016.07.005

9. Foster MH. Basement membranes and autoimmune diseases. *Matrix Biol.* (2017) 57-58:149–68. doi: 10.1016/j.matbio.2016.07.008

10. Reuten R, Zendehroud S, Nicolau M, Fleischhauer L, Laitala A, Kiderlen S, et al. Basement membrane stiffness determines metastases formation. *Nat Mater.* (2021) 20:892–903. doi: 10.1038/s41563-020-00894-0

11. Sathyanarayana UG, Padar A, Suzuki M, Maruyama R, Shigematsu H, Hsieh JT, et al. Aberrant promoter methylation of laminin-5-encoding genes in prostate cancers and its relationship to clinicopathological features. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2003) 9:6395–400.

12. Davies EJ, Blackhall FH, Shanks JH, David G, McGown AT, Swindell R, et al. Distribution and clinical significance of heparan sulfate proteoglycans in ovarian cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2004) 10:5178–86. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-03-0103

13. Naba A, Clauser KR, Whittaker CA, Carr SA, Tanabe KK, Hynes RO. Extracellular matrix signatures of human primary metastatic colon cancers and their metastases to liver. *BMC Cancer*. (2014) 14:518. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-14-518

14. Carloni R, Rizzo A, Ricci AD, Federico AD, De Luca R, Guven DC, et al. Targeting tumor microenvironment for cholangiocarcinoma: Opportunities for precision medicine. *Transl Oncol.* (2022) 25:101514. doi: 10.1016/j.tranon.2022.101514

15. Xin Y, Li K, Huang M, Liang C, Siemann D, Wu L, et al. Biophysics in tumor growth and progression: from single mechano-sensitive molecules to mechanomedicine. *Oncogene*. (2023) 42:3457–90. doi: 10.1038/s41388-023-02844-x

16. Giese MA, Hind LE, Huttenlocher A. Neutrophil plasticity in the tumor microenvironment. *Blood*. (2019) 133:2159–67. doi: 10.1182/blood-2018-11-844548

17. Ruf B, Bruhns M, Babaei S, Kedei N, Ma L, Revsine M, et al. Tumor-associated macrophages trigger MAIT cell dysfunction at the HCC invasive margin. *Cell.* (2023) 186:3686–705 e32. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2023.07.026

18. Jayadev R, Morais M, Ellingford JM, Srinivasan S, Naylor RW, Lawless C, et al. A basement membrane discovery pipeline uncovers network complexity, regulators, and human disease associations. *Sci Adv.* (2022) 8:eabn2265. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abn2265

19. Auslander N, Zhang G, Lee JS, Frederick DT, Miao B, Moll T, et al. Robust prediction of response to immune checkpoint blockade therapy in metastatic melanoma. *Nat Med.* (2018) 24:1545–9. doi: 10.1038/s41591-018-0157-9

20. Yang W, Soares J, Greninger P, Edelman EJ, Lightfoot H, Forbes S, et al. Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer (GDSC): a resource for therapeutic biomarker discovery in cancer cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2013) 41:D955–61. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1111

21. Jiang P, Gu S, Pan D, Fu J, Sahu A, Hu X, et al. Signatures of T cell dysfunction and exclusion predict cancer immunotherapy response. *Nat Med.* (2018) 24:1550–8. doi: 10.1038/s41591-018-0136-1

22. Sherwood DR. Basement membrane remodeling guides cell migration and cell morphogenesis during development. *Curr Opin Cell Biol.* (2021) 72:19–27. doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2021.04.003

23. Wang X, Harris RE, Bayston LJ, Ashe HL. Type IV collagens regulate BMP signalling in Drosophila. *Nature*. (2008) 455:72–7. doi: 10.1038/nature07214

24. Randles MJ, Lausecker F, Kong Q, Suleiman H, Reid G, Kolatsi-Joannou M, et al. Identification of an altered matrix signature in kidney aging and disease. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2021) 32:1713–32. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2020101442

25. Tsilibary EC. Microvascular basement membranes in diabetes mellitus. *J Pathol.* (2003) 200:537–46. doi: 10.1002/path.1439

26. Sia D, Villanueva A, Friedman SL, Llovet JM. Liver cancer cell of origin, molecular class, and effects on patient prognosis. *Gastroenterology*. (2017) 152:745–61. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2016.11.048

27. Chen J, Li Y, Lai F, Wang Y, Sutter K, Dittmer U, et al. Functional comparison of interferon-alpha subtypes reveals potent hepatitis B virus suppression by a concerted action of interferon-alpha and interferon-gamma signaling. *Hepatology*. (2021) 73:486–502. doi: 10.1002/hep.31282

28. Li T, Dong ZR, Guo ZY, Wang CH, Tang ZY, Qu SF, et al. Aspirin enhances IFN-alpha-induced growth inhibition and apoptosis of hepatocellular carcinoma via JAK1/STAT1 pathway. *Cancer Gene Ther.* (2013) 20:366–74. doi: 10.1038/cgt.2013.29

29. Khodarev NN, Roizman B, Weichselbaum RR. Molecular pathways: interferon/ stat1 pathway: role in the tumor resistance to genotoxic stress and aggressive growth. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2012) 18:3015–21. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-3225

 Herzer K, Hofmann TG, Teufel A, Schimanski CC, Moehler M, Kanzler S, et al. IFN-alpha-induced apoptosis in hepatocellular carcinoma involves promyelocytic leukemia protein and TRAIL independently of p53. *Cancer Res.* (2009) 69:855–62. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-2831

31. Li N, Wang J, Zhang N, Zhuang M, Zong Z, Zou J, et al. Cross-talk between TNF-alpha and IFN-gamma signaling in induction of B7-H1 expression in hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. (2018) 67:271–83. doi: 10.1007/s00262-017-2086-8

32. Concha-Benavente F, Srivastava RM, Trivedi S, Lei Y, Chandran U, Seethala RR, et al. Identification of the cell-intrinsic and -extrinsic pathways downstream of EGFR and IFNgamma that induce PD-L1 expression in head and neck cancer. *Cancer Res.* (2016) 76:1031–43. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-2001

33. Lee SJ, Jang BC, Lee SW, Yang YI, Suh SI, Park YM, et al. Interferon regulatory factor-1 is prerequisite to the constitutive expression and IFN-gamma-induced upregulation of B7-H1 (CD274). *FEBS Lett.* (2006) 580:755–62. doi: 10.1016/ j.febslet.2005.12.093

34. Gong AY, Zhou R, Hu G, Li X, Splinter PL, O'Hara SP, et al. MicroRNA-513 regulates B7-H1 translation and is involved in IFN-gamma-induced B7-H1 expression in cholangiocytes. *J Immunol.* (2009) 182:1325–33. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.182.3.1325

35. Ray K. Re-thinking the role of IL-11 in liver injury. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2021) 18:523. doi: 10.1038/s41575-021-00489-3

36. Li H, Li X, Liu S, Guo L, Zhang B, Zhang J, et al. Programmed cell death-1 (PD-1) checkpoint blockade in combination with a mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitor restrains hepatocellular carcinoma growth induced by hepatoma cell-intrinsic PD-1. *Hepatology*. (2017) 66:1920–33. doi: 10.1002/hep.29360

37. Munker S, De Toni EN. Use of checkpoint inhibitors in liver transplant recipients. United Eur Gastroenterol J. (2018) 6:970–3. doi: 10.1177/2050640618774631

38. Zongyi Y, Xiaowu L. Immunotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Lett.* (2020) 470:8–17. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2019.12.002

39. Wong N, Ojo D, Yan J, Tang D. PKM2 contributes to cancer metabolism. *Cancer Lett.* (2015) 356:184–91. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2014.01.031

40. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell*. (2011) 144:646–74. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013

41. Xiao M, Xie J, Wu Y, Wang G, Qi X, Liu Z, et al. The eEF2 kinase-induced STAT3 inactivation inhibits lung cancer cell proliferation by phosphorylation of PKM2. *Cell Commun Signal.* (2020) 18:25. doi: 10.1186/s12964-020-0528-y

42. Li RZ, Fan XX, Shi DF, Zhu GY, Wang YW, Luo LX, et al. Identification of a new pyruvate kinase M2 isoform (PKM2) activator for the treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Chem Biol Drug Des.* (2018) 92:1851–8. doi: 10.1111/cbdd.13354

43. Spoden GA, Mazurek S, Morandell D, Bacher N, Ausserlechner MJ, Jansen-Durr P, et al. Isotype-specific inhibitors of the glycolytic key regulator pyruvate kinase subtype M2 moderately decelerate tumor cell proliferation. *Int J Cancer.* (2008) 123:312–21. doi: 10.1002/ijc.23512

44. Lu LG, Zhou ZL, Wang XY, Liu BY, Lu JY, Liu S, et al. PD-L1 blockade liberates intrinsic antitumourigenic properties of glycolytic macrophages in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gut.* (2022) 71:2551–60. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2021-326350

45. Barczyk M, Carracedo S, Gullberg D. Integrins. Cell Tissue Res. (2010) 339:269– 80. doi: 10.1007/s00441-009-0834-6

46. Jiao Y, Li Y, Liu S, Chen Q, Liu Y. ITGA3 serves as a diagnostic and prognostic biomarker for pancreatic cancer. *Onco Targets Ther.* (2019) 12:4141–52. doi: 10.2147/OTT.S201675

47. Koshizuka K, Hanazawa T, Kikkawa N, Arai T, Okato A, Kurozumi A, et al. Regulation of ITGA3 by the anti-tumor miR-199 family inhibits cancer cell migration and invasion in head and neck cancer. *Cancer Sci.* (2017) 108:1681–92. doi: 10.1111/ cas.13298

48. Kurokawa A, Nagata M, Kitamura N, Noman AA, Ohnishi M, Ohyama T, et al. Diagnostic value of integrin alpha3, beta4, and beta5 gene expression levels for the clinical outcome of tongue squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer.* (2008) 112:1272–81. doi: 10.1002/cncr.23295

49. Bluemlein K, Gruning NM, Feichtinger RG, Lehrach H, Kofler B, Ralser M. No evidence for a shift in pyruvate kinase PKM1 to PKM2 expression during tumorigenesis. *Oncotarget.* (2011) 2:393–400. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.v2i5