Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ramesh K. Ganju, The Ohio State University, United States

REVIEWED BY Sanjay K. Srivastava, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, Abilene, United States Anne Hamburger, University of Maryland, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Arun K. Rishi Rishia@Karmanos.org

† PRESENT ADDRESSES

Jaganathan Venkatesh, Department of Pathology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada Sreeja C. Sekhar, Department of Pathology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, United States Vino T. Cheriyan, Department of Biochemistry, Louisiana State University Health Science Center, Shreveport, LA, United States

RECEIVED 25 January 2024 ACCEPTED 08 April 2024 PUBLISHED 02 May 2024

CITATION

Venkatesh J, Muthu M, Singaravelu I, Cheriyan VT, Sekhar SC, Acharige NCPN, Levi E, Assad H, Pflum MKH and Rishi AK (2024) Phosphorylation of cell cycle and apoptosis regulatory protein-1 by stress activated protein kinase P38y is a novel mechanism of apoptosis signaling by genotoxic chemotherapy. Front. Oncol. 14:1376666. [doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1376666](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2024.1376666)

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Venkatesh, Muthu, Singaravelu, Cheriyan, Sekhar, Acharige, Levi, Assad, Pflum and Rishi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Phosphorylation of cell cycle and](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1376666/full) [apoptosis regulatory protein-1 by](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1376666/full) [stress activated protein kinase](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1376666/full) $P38\gamma$ [is a novel mechanism of](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1376666/full) [apoptosis signaling by](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1376666/full) [genotoxic chemotherapy](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1376666/full)

Jaganathan Venkatesh^{1,2,3†}, Magesh Muthu^{1,2,3}, Indulekha Singaravelu^{1,2,3}, Vino T. Cheriyan^{1,2,3†}, Sreeja C. Sekhar^{1,2,3†}, Nuwan C. P. N. Acharige⁴, Edi Levi^{1,5}, Hadeel Assad^{2,3}, Mary Kay H. Pflum⁴ and Arun K. Rishi^{1,2,3*}

1 John D. Dingell V.A. Medical Center, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, United States, 2Karmanos Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, United States, ³Department of Oncology, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, United States, 4Department of Chemistry, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, United States, 5Department of Pathology, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, United States

CARP-1, a perinuclear phospho-protein, regulates cell survival and apoptosis signaling induced by genotoxic drugs. However, kinase(s) phosphorylating CARP-1 and down-stream signal transduction events remain unclear. Here we find that CARP-1 Serine (S)⁶²⁶ and Threonine (T)⁶²⁷ substitution to Alanines (AA) inhibits genotoxic drug-induced apoptosis. CARP-1 T^{627} is followed by a Proline (P), and this TP motif is conserved in vertebrates. Based on these findings, we generated affinity-purified, anti-phospho-CARP-1 T⁶²⁷ rabbit polyclonal antibodies, and utilized them to elucidate chemotherapy-activated, CARP-1 dependent cell growth signaling mechanisms. Our kinase profiling studies revealed that MAPKs/SAPKs phosphorylated CARP-1 T^{627} . We then UV crosslinked protein extracts from Adriamycin-treated HeLa cervical cancer cells with a CARP-1 (614–638) peptide, and conducted liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analyses of the peptide-bound protein complexes. This experiment revealed SAPK p38g interaction with CARP-1 (614–638) peptide. Our studies further established that SAPK p38g, but not other MAPKs, phosphorylates CARP-1 T^{627} in cancer cells treated with genotoxic drugs. Loss of $p38\gamma$ abrogates CARP-1 T^{627} phosphorylation, and results in enhanced survival of breast cancer cells by genotoxic drugs. CARP-1 T^{627} phosphorylation was also noted in breast tumors from patients treated with radiation or endocrine therapies. We conclude that genotoxic drugs activate $p38y$ -dependent CARP-1 T^{627} phosphorylation to inhibit cell growth.

KEYWORDS

genotoxic chemotherapy, CCAR1/CARP-1, phosphorylation, P38g, breast cancer

Introduction

CARP-1/CCAR1 is biphasic transducer of cell growth, survival, and apoptosis signaling ([1\)](#page-13-0). CARP-1 functions to transduce growth and survival signaling by steroid/thyroid receptor superfamily members, Wnt/ β -catenin, notch receptors, APC/C E3 ligase, and NF-kB, as well as regulates endocrine differentiation ([2](#page-13-0)–[8](#page-13-0)). CARP-1 also transduces apoptosis signaling by a variety of genotoxic chemotherapies such as Adriamycin (Doxorubicin), Etoposide, Cisplatin, and CFM experimental compounds, as well as by the EGFR TKIs gefitinib, Erlotinib, and Osimertinib [\(7](#page-13-0)–[16](#page-13-0)).

CARP-1 is a ubiquitous, \sim 130kDa protein ([17](#page-13-0)) that has homologs in vertebrates, Apis millifera, and the worm Caenorhabditis elegans. CARP-1 promoter methylation as well as signaling by protein kinase A (PKA) also regulate CARP-1 expression and function respectively ([18,](#page-13-0) [19](#page-13-0)). Although the EGF as well as the ATM kinase signaling target specific serine residues of CARP-1 ([17](#page-13-0), [20,](#page-13-0) [21\)](#page-13-0), the precise role(s) and kinase(s) of CARP-1 serine phosphorylation remain unclear. High through-put phospho-proteomic analyses at the phosphosite plus portal [\(22](#page-13-0)) indicate a number of potential phosphorylation, ubiquitination, and acetylation sites in CARP-1 protein. Although highest number of hits are indicated for T^{627} phosphorylation of human CARP-1 protein, the precise identity of the kinase and significance of CARP-1 T^{627} phosphorylation and down-stream signaling remain unknown.

Here we report that expression of CARP-1 protein having substitutions of S^{626} and T^{627} amino acids to Alanines results in abrogation of cell growth suppression by DNA damage-inducing agents Adriamycin (ADR) or CFM compounds that involves reduced apoptosis. Our in vitro kinase profiling and mutagenesis studies together with LC-MS/MS analyses of the CARP-1 peptide-bound protein complexes revealed that SAPK p38g interacts with and phosphorylates CARP-1 T^{627} . Genotoxic stress-induced CARP-1 T^{627} phosphorylation regulates SAPK p38g-dependent cell growth and survival.

Materials and methods

Materials

Cell culture media (DMEM, DMEM Glutamax, EMEM, Opti-MEM) and antibiotics (penicillin and streptomycin) were purchased from Invitrogen Co. (Carlsbad, CA). DMSO and Fetal bovine serum (FBS) were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ) and Denville Scientific Inc. (Metuchen, NJ), respectively. Protein Assay Kit was purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Hercules, CA) while Chemi-luminescence Reagent was purchased from Amersham Biosciences (Piscataway, NJ). Structure and synthesis of experimental compounds CARP-1 Functional Mimetic (CFM)-4, -5, and -16 have been described previously ([14](#page-13-0)). Clinical grade Adriamycin, Cisplatin, 5-fluouracil, Gemcitabine, and Paclitaxel were obtained from the Karmanos Cancer Institute Pharmacy, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI. 5 dimethyltiazol-2-yl-2.5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co, St. Louis, MO. The affinity purified, anti-CARP-1 (α 1 and α 2) polyclonal antibodies have been described ([9](#page-13-0)). The affinity purified, anti-phospho-CARP-1 T^{627} rabbit polyclonal antibodies were generated and characterized on a fee-for-service basis by Kaneka Eurogentec, Seraing-Belgium. Additional, commercially available antibodies utilized in the study are listed in [Supplementary Table S1](#page-13-0).

Recombinant plasmid constructs, cell lines and cell culture

The plasmids for expression of various proteins were either procured from Addgene or generated in this report and are listed in [Supplementary Table S2.](#page-13-0) All the recombinant plasmids were sequenced to confirm the accuracy and validity of various inserts/epitopes.

Routine maintenance and culture of different cell lines was carried out as described in reports listed for respective cell line in [Supplementary Table S3](#page-13-0). MDA-MB-468 cells having CRISPR-based p38g knock-out were generated and characterized on a fee-forservice basis by Biocytogen Corp., Wakefield, MA. All the cell culture media were also supplemented with 10%FBS, 100units/ml of penicillin, and 100µg/ml of streptomycin, and the cells were maintained at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Generation, characterization, and culture of drug (ADR or Cisplatin)-resistant human TNBC MDA-MB-468 and MDA-MB-231 cells, ADR-resistant murine 4T1 cells, as well as MDA-MB-468 cells expressing reduced CARP-1 have been detailed before ([9](#page-13-0), [14](#page-13-0)). For cell growth and MTT studies, the cells were cultured in fresh media with 5%-10% FBS prior to their treatments with various agents.

The stable sublines are listed in [Supplementary Table S4](#page-13-0), and were generated by transfecting various plasmids into the MDA-MB-468 and Hela cells followed by selection in the presence of 800µg/ml neomycin using described methods [\(8](#page-13-0), [9](#page-13-0), [14\)](#page-13-0). The cell lysates from wild-type, untransfected cells, neomycin-resistant pools, or individual sublines were then subjected to IP and WB analyses as below.

Cell viability, immunoprecipitation, luciferase and western blot assays

Logarithmically growing cells were seeded in 96 well plate (500- 1000 cells/well) and then were either untreated (control) or treated

Abbreviations: NF-kB, nuclear factor-kappa B; TNFa, Tumor necrosis factor a; 5-FU, 5-fluouracil; CARP-1/CCAR1, cell cycle and apoptosis regulatory protein 1; CFM, CARP-1 Functional Mimetic; CDKI, cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor; IKK, Inhibitory kappa B kinase; NEMO, NF-KB essential modulator (aka, IKK γ); RIPK1, receptor-interacting protein kinase 1; STAT3 (Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3); APC/C, Anaphase-promoting Complex/ Cyclosome; EGFR TKI, epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor; eGFP, enhanced green fluorescent protein; GST, glutathione Stransferase; FBS, fetal bovine serum; HBC, human breast cancer; TNBC, Triple-negative breast cancer; ADR, Adriamycin/Doxorubicin; CIS, cisplatin; IP-WB, immunoprecipitation-western blot; MAPK/SAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase/stress-activated protein kinase.

with various agents for noted times. At the end of treatment, cells were incubated with MTT reagent (0.5mg/ml) for 2-4 hours at 37°C, followed by addition of DMSO to solubilize formazan. The plate was read at 570nm in a plate reader, and histograms indicating levels of cell viability were generated by plotting the net absorbances as described ([8,](#page-13-0) [9,](#page-13-0) [14\)](#page-13-0). For western blot and immunoprecipitation experiments, logarithmically growing cells were either untreated or treated with different agents for various time periods followed by lysis of cells to prepare protein extracts. Western blotting was carried out by electrophoresis of 50-100µg of respective protein lysate on 8-12% SDS-PAGE. For immuno-precipitation (IP), the cell lysates (1mg/ sample) were first incubated with appropriate antibodies, and the protein complexes were analyzed by SDS-PAGE as above. The proteins on gels were then transferred to supported nitrocellulose membranes followed by hybridization of membranes with primary antibodies essentially as described [\(8](#page-13-0), [9,](#page-13-0) [14\)](#page-13-0).

Immunohistochemical staining of human breast cancer tumor microarrays

Human breast tumor TMAs were obtained from Dr. George Sandusky, Indiana University Simon Cancer Center Tissue Bank Biorepository, Indianapolis, IN. TMAs were derived from 14 blocks with ~45 patients/block. A total of 504 tumor specimens with nonidentifiable patient treatment and survival outcome were analyzed. Immunostaining of the TMA slides was carried out with antiphospho-CARP-1 antibodies (1:1,500 dilution) as well as with total CARP-1 $(\alpha 2)$ antibodies essentially following methods described by us before ([8](#page-13-0), [9,](#page-13-0) [13,](#page-13-0) [14,](#page-13-0) [16](#page-13-0)). Staining for phospho-CARP was scored by Dr. Edi Levi, Staff Pathologist and Associate Chief of Staff (Research), John D. Dingell VA Medical Center, Detroit, MI. Nuclear staining was arbitrarily assigned as 1 vs 0 with minimum 20% staining as cut off. Images were taken using Zeiss LSM 510 Meta NLO (63X) essentially as described before ([11\)](#page-13-0).

Kinase profiling and K-CLASP experiments

To identify the phosphorylating kinases, we utilized two experimental strategies. In the first approach, a fee-for-service kinase profiling was carried out by SignalChem Lifesciences Corporation, Richmond, BC, Canada. Various protein kinases and their respective substrates were generated in-house at SignalChem using proprietary methods followed by their routine quality control testing of each kinase and its substrate to ensure compliance to acceptable standards. The assay condition for each of the protein kinase were optimized to yield acceptable enzymatic activity and high signal-to-noise ratio. ³³P-ATP was purchased from PerkinElmer. All other materials were of standard grade. The wild-type CARP-1 peptide (EQDEE EKDDG EAKEI STPTH WSKLD PKTMK KK), and its mutant versions (EQDEE EKDDG EAKEI AAPTH WSKLD PKTMK KK) and (EQDEE EKDDG EAKEI AAPAH WSKLD PKTMK KK) were synthesized and reconstituted in dH₂O and used in phosphorylation experiments. Protein kinase assays (in duplicate) were performed at 30°C for 30

min in a final volume of 25µl containing 5µl of diluted active protein kinase, 5µl of stock solution of regular substrate or respective CARP-1 peptide, 5µl of assay buffer, 5µl of protein kinase activator or assay buffer, and 5µl of $^{33}P-ATP$ (250µM stock solution, 0.8µCi). The reaction was terminated by spotting 20µl of the reaction mixture onto phosphocellulose P81 plate, followed by washing $(x3)$ for \sim 15 minutes each in a 1% phosphoric acid solution. The radioactivity on the plate was measured in a scintillation counter. Blank control, which included all the assay components except the addition of the appropriate substrate (replace with equal volume of assay dilution buffer), was set up for each protein kinase. The corrected activity for each protein kinase was determined by removing the blank control value. The corrected activity values for CARP-1 peptides were calculated relative to activity values for normal substrate of respective kinase that were set at 100%.

K-CLASP (Kinase- catalyzed Cross linking and streptavidin purification) method to identify the phosphorylating kinases of a known phosphosite was also used. HeLa cell lysate (500 µg total protein) was combined with protein kinase buffer (10% v/v, New England Biolabs, B6022S). Kinase-catalyzed crosslinking was initiated by adding $ATP-ArN₃$ (5 mM; synthesized as previously described; 23) and either N-biotin wild type CARP-1 (614–638) (biotin-EEEKDDGEAKEISTPTHWSKLDPKT, synthesized by ProImmune) or N-biotin mutant CARP-1 (614-638; $S^{626}, T^{627}/AA$) (biotin-EEEKDDGEAKEIAAPTHWSKLDPKT, synthesized by ProImmune) peptides (1 mM) in a total volume of 100 µL. The crosslinking reactions were incubated at 31°C for 2 hours with shaking at 300 rpm with or without UV irradiation at 365nm. Excess N-biotin peptide and endogenous biotin were removed using Amicon Ultra-0.5 centrifugal filters (0.5 mL, Millipore, UFC500396). The filtered samples (200 μ L) were then incubated with prewashed (200 μ L of phosphate-binding buffer three times) streptavidin resin (400 µL bead slurry, Genscript) for 10 minutes at room temperature with rotation. The bound resin was collected by centrifuging (500 rcf, 1 min, RT) with subsequent ten washes with phosphate-binding buffer (200µL) and then four washes with water (200 µL). Proteins were eluted by boiling in 2% SDS in water (200 µL) for 8 minutes and desalted using 10% SDS-PAGE in preparation for LC-MS/MS analysis.

For LC-MS/MS, digested peptides were separated by reversephase chromatography under acidic conditions (0.1% formic acid) using an EASY nLC-1000 UHPLC system (Thermo). Peptides were next analyzed on a Q-Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo). MS1 profiling was carried out over a 375-1600 m/z range at a resolution of 70,000. MS2 fragmentation was performed using higher energy collision-induced dissociation (HCD) on the top 15 ions using a 1.6 m/z window and normalized collision energy of 29. Dynamic exclusion was turned on (15 s). MS raw data were processed using MaxQuant (version 1.5.2.8) against a human protein database from UniProt (downloaded 2016.04.07, 20159 entries). Searches included up to 2 missed tryptic cleavages. Mass tolerances for parent ions were 20 ppm for the first search and 4.5 ppm for the second search and 20 ppm for fragment ions. The S5 iodoacetamide derivative of cysteine was specified as a fixed modification. Oxidation of methionine and acetylation of protein N-termini were set as variable modifications. Minimum protein and peptide identification probabilities were set at ≤1% false discovery rate (FDR) as determined by a reversed database search, and proteins required just 1 unique peptide. All other parameters were left at their default settings. A total of 737 proteins were observed ([Supplementary Table S5](#page-13-0)). Nonspecifically bead bound proteins were removed by requiring higher intensities in the CARP-1 (614– 638) peptide sample with UV light sample compared to without UV light. Among the remaining proteins, possible kinases and associated proteins were selected by generating an enrichment value by dividing the protein intensities from the CARP-1 (614– 638) peptide with $ATP-ArN₃$ and UV light sample by the CARP-1 (614-638; S^{626} , T^{627} /AA) with ATP-ArN₃ and UV light sample from each trial. If the enrichment values of a protein were ≥ 1.2 in at least 3 out of 4 trials, that protein was considered a hit [\(Supplementary](#page-13-0) [Table S6\)](#page-13-0).

Statistical analyses

The statistical analyses were performed using Prism 6.0 software. The data were expressed as mean ± SEM and analyzed using twotailed student t-test or one-way ANOVA followed by a post hoc test. A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

CARP-1 S^{626} , T⁶²⁷ regulate signaling by DNA damaging agents

Our prior and on-going studies have indicated that DNA damage-inducing genotoxic agents such as ADR, Cisplatin, or CFM compounds promote apoptosis in part by stimulating levels of CARP-1, phosphorylated SAPKs $p38\alpha/\beta$ and JNK1/2, cleaved PARP, and activated caspases-3, and -8 [\(7](#page-13-0)–[16](#page-13-0)). Knockdown of CARP-1 or pharmacological inhibition of caspase-3 and -8 abrogated apoptosis by ADR, CFM compounds, or EGFR TKIs ([7,](#page-13-0) [9,](#page-13-0) [11](#page-13-0), [14](#page-13-0), [16\)](#page-13-0). Moreover, expression of CARP-1 with in-frame deletion of amino acids 600-650 (CARP-1 Δ 600-650) also abrogated apoptosis by ADR and CFM compound [\(11\)](#page-13-0). CARP-1 600-650 region also contains highly conserved, putative consensus TP epitope $(T^{627}P^{628})$ for the proline-directed MAPK/SAP kinases ([Figure 1A](#page-4-0)), and a high number of hits for potential phosphorylation of T^{627} are also indicated at the phosphosite plus platform ([22\)](#page-13-0). CARP-1 S^{626} and T^{629} sites also had a few hits for phosphorylation, albeit these amino acids were also highly conserved in vertebrates with the exception of S^{626} in the rodent (mouse and rat) CARP-1 proteins. Therefore, we first investigated whether CARP-1 S^{626} , T^{627} , and/or T^{629} residues regulated signaling by DNA damage-inducing agents. We generated and characterized stable, neomycin-resistant human breast cancer (HBC) MDA-MB-468 and cervical cancer Hela cells that express myc-His-tagged CARP-1 protein where S^{626} , T^{627} , and/ or T^{629} were substituted to alanines (CARP-1 $S^{626}T^{627}/AA$ and CARP-1 $S^{626}T^{627}T^{629}$ /AAA) as detailed in Methods [\(Figure 1B;](#page-4-0) [Supplementary Figures S1A,](#page-13-0) C). ADR or CFM-4 treatments resulted in generally higher viabilities of cells expressing myc-HisCARP-1 $S^{626}T^{627}/AA$ or myc-His-CARP-1 $S^{626}T^{627}T^{629}/AAA$ when compared with their myc-His-CARP-1 expressing counterparts ([Figures 1C,](#page-4-0) D; [Supplementary Figures S1B,](#page-13-0) D). Increased viabilities of ADR or CFM-4-treated myc-His-CARP-1 $S^{626}T^{627}T^{629}$ /AAA cells was due in part to diminished expression of caspases-2, -3, -8, and -9 [\(Figure 1E\)](#page-4-0) as well as diminished activation of SAPK JNK1/2 [\(Supplementary Figures S2A,](#page-13-0) B).

We next investigated the kinases that phosphorylate CARP-1 S^{626} , T^{627} , and/or T^{629} amino acid(s). In the first instance, we conducted in vitro kinase assays utilizing CARP-1 (611–640) wildtype or CARP-1 (611–640) peptides with $S^{626}T^{627}/AA$ or S⁶²⁶T⁶²⁷T⁶²⁹/AAA substitutions in conjunction with various active serine/threonine protein kinases as detailed in methods. The initial profiling experiment indicated possible phosphorylation of the wildtype but not mutant peptides by ERK1, JNK1, CDK1/Cyclin B1, and CDK1/Cyclin A1 ([Supplementary Table S7](#page-13-0)). As CARP-1 T^{627} is followed by a proline, and the TP motif is preferred site of protein phosphorylation by MAPK/SAPKs, a subsequent kinase profiling experiment was performed by utilizing the above peptide substrates in conjunction with various active kinases that included respective MAPK/SAPK isoforms. The data revealed phosphorylation of the wild-type but not mutant peptides by ERK1, ERK2, JNK1, JNK2, JNK3, P38γ, and p38δ but not by p38α or p38β ([Table 1](#page-5-0)). Of note is a rather robust phosphorylation of CARP-1 (611–640) wild-type peptide by p38g. We then investigated whether and to the extent different MAPK/SAPK isoforms function to regulate signaling by DNA damage-inducing agents. We first generated and characterized multiple, stable neomycin-resistant MDA-MB-468 HBC and HeLa cervical cancer sublines that express catalytically-inactive MAPK/ SAPK isoforms (ERK1 AEF; JNK1a1 APF; JNK2a2 APF; p388 AGF; p38y APF; and p38y AGF) as described in methods ([Supplementary](#page-13-0) [Figures S3A-C,](#page-13-0) F, G, K, L). In addition, we generated and characterized MDA-MB-468 HBC and HeLa cervical cancer sublines that express anti-sense cDNAs of p38 δ and p38 γ to knockdown the respective kinase proteins [\(Supplementary Figures S3D,](#page-13-0) E, [H,](#page-13-0) I). MDA-MB-468 HBC and HeLa cervical cancer cells (wild-type, vector transfected, or transfected with catalytically-inactive and antisense cDNAs of above MAPK/SAPK isoforms) were then treated with DNA damage inducing agents ADR, Etoposide, and CFM-4, -5, and -4.16 compounds, followed by determination of cell viabilities by MTT assays as noted in methods. The cells expressing catalytically-inactive JNK1a1, JNK2a2, p38 δ and p38 γ as well as the sublines with knockdown of $p38\delta$ and $p38\gamma$ were resistant to inhibitory effects of DNA damage-inducing compounds when compared with their wild-type or vector expressing counterparts ([Table 2;](#page-6-0) [Supplementary Figures S4B-F,](#page-13-0) [S5B-F](#page-13-0)). However, the cells expressing catalytically inactive ERK1 were found to be more sensitive to inhibitory effects of DNA damage-inducing compounds when compared with their wild-type or vector expressing counterparts [\(Table 2;](#page-6-0) [Supplementary Figures S4A,](#page-13-0) [S5A\)](#page-13-0). These data indicate that DNA damage signaling likely activates ERKs to promote cell survival and consequent resistance. The genotoxic agents activate JNKs and p38 SAPKs to promote cell growth inhibition.

To clarify the MAPK/SAPK that functions to phosphorylate CARP-1 in the presence of genotoxic stress, we first generated and

Expression of CARP-1 mutant with substitution of S⁶²⁶T⁶²⁷/AA abrogates loss of cell viabilities following treatments with DNA damage-inducing agents, in part through reduced activation of pro-apoptotic caspases. (A) Homology analysis of amino acids of vertebrate CARP-1 proteins from amino acids 613-650. Amino acids surrounding T⁶²⁷ are shaded. (B) W.B. analysis of nitrocellulose membrane containing protein lysates derived from untransfected, wild type (WT) HBC cells and stable, neomycin-resistant, HBC sublines expressing vector, myc-His-tagged CARP-1 (WT), or myc-Histagged CARP-1 (S⁶²⁶T⁶²⁷/AA) mutant plasmid probed with anti-myc-tag (upper blot) or anti-tubulin (lower blot) antibodies. Arrowheads on the left or right side, respectively, indicate presence of the proteins and molecular weight markers. (C, D), indicated cell lines were treated with DMSO (Control), or with the noted dose and time of indicated agents. Determination of viable/live cells was carried out by MTT assays as detailed in Methods. The bar chart columns represent means of two independent experiments; bars, SE; *, @, p <0.001 relative to respective sublines expressing CARP-1 (WT)-myc-His. (E), indicated cell lines were either untreated or treated with noted time and dose of respective agent. Cell lysates were added to the wells of plate that had immobilized fluorogenic substrates of noted caspases. The fluorescence released from the activated caspase-dependent cleavage of respective substrate was detected by plate reader at the excitation and emission wavelengths of 380nm and 460nm, respectively. The columns in bar chart represent means of three independent experiments; bars, SE.

characterized rabbit polyclonal antibodies utilizing T^{627} phosphorylated CARP-1 peptide as antigen as described in methods. The serum from rabbit with high titer was affinity purified, and utilized in subsequent studies. The WB analyses showed a robust CARP-1 T^{627} phosphorylation in cell lysates derived from MDA-MB-468 HBC cells expressing myc-His-CARP-1 (WT) that were treated with ADR, Etoposide, CFM-4, or CFM-4.16 compounds when compared with untreated control cells ([Figures 2A,](#page-7-0) B). No CARP-1 phosphorylation was detectable in the protein lysates that were derived from MDA-MB-468 HBC cells expressing myc-His-CARP-1 ($S^{626}T^{627}/AA$) that were treated with either ADR, Etoposide, or CFM compounds [\(Figures 2A,](#page-7-0) B). Moreover, a time-dependent increase in phosphorylation of MKK4, JNK1/2, and ERK1/2 kinases as well as STAT3 protein occurred in ADR-treated MDA-MB-468 HBC cells expressing myc-His-CARP-1 (WT), while phosphorylation of JNK1/2 and STAT3, but not ERK1/2 or MKK4, was diminished in MDA-MB-468 HBC cells expressing myc-His-CARP-1 ($S^{626}T^{627}/AA$) that were treated with ADR ([Figure 2C\)](#page-7-0). These data suggest that ADR-induced cell growth and survival signaling by JNK1/2 and STAT3 likely involves CARP-1 phosphorylation.

Various signaling transducers interact with epitope surrounding CARP-1 T⁶²⁷

Since our kinase assays [\(Table 1](#page-5-0)) indicated phosphorylation of CARP-1 (611–640) peptide by JNK1/2/3, ERK1/2, and p38g, p38d but not $p38\alpha/\beta$ kinases, we next determined the kinase(s) that target CARP-1 phosphorylation in the presence of ADR. We first conducted a high-throughput analyses of proteins interacting with CARP-1 epitope surrounding T^{627} . We carried out Kinasecatalyzed Cross Linking and Streptavidin Purification (K-CLASP) ([23](#page-13-0)–[25\)](#page-13-0) with an aim to identify the kinases and associated proteins that interact with CARP-1 T⁶²⁷ phosphosite. ADR-treated HeLa cell lysates were incubated with biotin-labelled CARP-1 (614–638) or CARP-1 (614-638; S^{626} , T^{627}/AA) and ATP-arylazide (ATP-ArN3) as a kinase co-substrate to crosslink the phosphopeptides with kinases and interacting proteins covalently with UV light as detailed in Methods. Peptide-bound complexes were then purified using streptavidin resin and interacting proteins were identified using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/ MS). We found 252 possible kinases and associated proteins that were enriched at least 1.2-fold in their interaction with CARP-1

Venkatesh et al. [10.3389/fonc.2024.1376666](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2024.1376666)

TABLE 1 In vitro phosphorylation of CARP-1 peptides by various kinases.

	Percent Phosphorylation of CARP-1 Peptide			
Target Kinase			$Wild-type$ $S^{626}T^{627}/AA$ $S^{626}T^{627}T^{629}/A$ AAA	
JNK1	13	\overline{c}	3	
JNK2	48	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	
JNK3	46	3	3	
ASK ₂	3	\overline{c}	3	
RIPK2	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{2}$	
RIPK5	$\mathbf{1}$	$\,1$	\overline{c}	
MEK2	\overline{c}	\overline{c}	\overline{c}	
ERK1	32	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	
ERK2	46	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	
ERK5	9	$\,1$	$\mathbf 1$	
RIPK1	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{0}$	
ASK1	$\overline{7}$	3	$\overline{2}$	
$p38\alpha$	$\overline{2}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	
$p38\beta$	5	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	
р38δ	21	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	
p38γ	63	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	
MEK1	7	$\overline{0}$	$\mathbf{1}$	
TAK1	3	3	$\overline{4}$	
RIPK3	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$	

WT EQDEEEKDDGEAKEISTPTHWSKLDPKTMK.

S⁶²⁶T⁶²⁷/AA EQDEEEKDDGEAKEIAAPTHWSKLDPKTMK.

 $\overbrace{S^{626}T^{627}T^{629}}$ AAA EQDEEEKDDGEAKEI<u>AAPA</u>HWSKLDPKTMK.

(614–638) versus CARP-1 (614-638; S^{626} , T^{627} /AA) peptide in 3 out of 4 trials. A list of selected target proteins that interacted with CARP-1 (614–638) peptide is shown in [Table 3](#page-8-0). Of note is the fact that CCAR2 (DBC1; a CARP-1 paralog), Filamin C, and BRAF have been demonstrated to interact with CARP-1 in prior studies by us and others [\(7,](#page-13-0) [16,](#page-13-0) [26,](#page-13-0) [27\)](#page-13-0). CARP-1 (614–638) peptide also interacted with MAPK12/p38 γ suggesting that MAPK12/p38 γ could be the kinase among the MAPKs noted in Table 1 above that functions to transduce CARP-1 T^{627} phosphorylation by ADR.

We then validated binding of various signal transducers that interacted with and/or phosphorylated CARP-1 T^{627} . We conducted co-immunoprecipitation-Western blot analyses utilizing lysates from HBC cells that stably expressed myc-Histagged CARP-1, CARP-1 ($S^{626}T^{627}/AA$), or CARP-1 ($\Delta 600$ -650) proteins as detailed in Methods. As shown in [Supplementary](#page-13-0) [Figures S6A-C,](#page-13-0) CARP-1 interacted with JNK1/2, p38g, p38d, ERK1/2, MEK1/2, H2AX, and STAT3 proteins. Moreover, as summarized in [Table 4](#page-9-0), CARP-1 (WT) and CARP-1 $(S^{626}T^{627}/T^{627})$ AA) but not CARP-1 ($\Delta 600$ -650) interacted with JNK1/2, H2AX, and Filamin C. However, only CARP-1 (WT) protein interacted with ERK1/2, RIPK1, CDK4/6, MEK1/2, STAT3, p38g, and p38d. Neither CARP-1 (WT) nor its mutant proteins interacted with MKK4, MSK2, and $p38\alpha/\beta$ ([Table 4\)](#page-9-0). As also expected, ADR treatment caused a robust phosphorylation of CARP-1 but not its S⁶²⁶T⁶²⁷/AA mutant protein ([Supplementary Figure S6D](#page-13-0)). Of note is that CARP-1 interactions with STAT3 and ERK1/2 were appreciably diminished in ADR-treated cells when compared with their interactions in the untreated control cells ([Supplementary](#page-13-0) [Figure S6D](#page-13-0)). Since ADR-induced CARP-1 phosphorylation at T^{627} while substitution of CARP-1 $S^{626}T^{627}$ to alanines interferes with CARP-1 interactions with STAT3, RIPK1, and ERK1/2 ([Supplementary Figures S6D,](#page-13-0) E), it remains to be determined whether phosphorylation of CARP-1 T^{627} or substitution of CARP-1 T^{627} to alanine induces a conformational change that results in diminished interaction of CARP-1 with STAT3, RIPK1, and ERK1/2 proteins. Moreover, given that ADR-treated cells expressing CARP-1 ($S^{626}T^{627}/AA$) or CARP-1 ($S^{626}T^{627}T^{629}/A$ AAA) have increased viabilities when compared with their counterparts expressing wild-type CARP-1 ([Figure 1D,](#page-4-0) [Supplementary Figures S1B,](#page-13-0) D), and ADR treatment also caused robust phosphorylation of MAPKs ERK1/2 [\(Figure 3A](#page-9-0)), it is possible that ADR-induced cell growth and survival signaling by MAPK pathway is regulated in part by P38y-mediated CARP-1 phosphorylation. Whether and to the extent, ADR-induced, p38gmediated CARP-1 phosphorylation is also involved in activation of CDK4/6 to regulate cell proliferation remain to be clarified.

MAPK/SAPK p38y phosphorylates CARP-1 T⁶²⁷ in the presence of DNA damaging agents

Next, we treated wild-type MDA-MB-468 HBC cells with ADR, pan-p38 inhibitor Doramapimod ([28\)](#page-13-0), JNK inhibitor JNK-1N8 [\(11,](#page-13-0) [29\)](#page-13-0), or ERK inhibitor Ulixertinib [\(16](#page-13-0), [30\)](#page-13-0) as single agents or ADR in combination with respective MAPK/SAPK inhibitor as detailed in Methods. The cell lysates were analyzed by WB for presence of T^{627} phosphorylated CARP-1. This experiment revealed that Doramapimod, but not JNK-IN8 or Ulixertinib, abrogated ADR-induced CARP-1 phosphorylation ([Figure 3A](#page-9-0)). Since $p38\alpha$ and β isoforms failed to phosphorylate CARP-1 (611–640) peptide in kinase assays, we then determined whether ADR-dependent CARP-1 phosphorylation involved p38g and/or p38d isoforms. We utilized MDA-MB-468 HBC cells that have stable expression of vector, catalytically inactive p38 γ (p38 γ AGF), or catalytically inactive p38 δ (p38d AGF) that are described above [\(Supplementary Figures S3F,](#page-13-0) [K](#page-13-0)). Although ADR provoked a robust increase in CARP-1 phosphorylation in vector-expressing HBC cells, ADR-dependent CARP-1 T^{627} phosphorylation was diminished in HBC cells expressing catalytically inactive p38g but not in HBC cells expressing catalytically inactive p38d [\(Figures 3B,](#page-9-0) C). These data suggest that p38g transduces ADR-dependent CARP-1 phosphorylation, and would be consistent with a robust

TABLE 2 Alterations in viabilities of cancer cells expressing kinase-inactive mutants of MAPKs/SAPKs following exposure to DNA damageinducing agents.

ND, Not Done.

phosphorylation of CARP-1 (611–640) peptide by p38g that was noted in the kinase assays summarized in [Table 1.](#page-5-0) We next investigated whether p38g was required for genotoxic chemotherapy-induced CARP-1 phosphorylation. For this purpose, we utilized p38g-null mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs, [31](#page-14-0)) that were generously provided by Dr. Ana Cuenda, (Department of Immunology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CSIC), Madrid, Spain). In addition, we generated and characterized p38y-null MDA-MB-468 HBC cells as described in methods. As expected, ADR induced CARP-1 phosphorylation in MDA-MB-468, MDA-MB-231, and 4T1 Triple-negative breast cancer cells [\(Figures 4A,](#page-10-0) C). Absence of p38 γ in MDA-MB-468 HBC cells abrogated genotoxic therapy-induced CARP-1 phosphorylation, demonstrating p38g requirement for CARP-1 T^{627} phosphorylation by genotoxic chemotherapy. Moreover, genotoxic chemotherapies induced CARP-1 phosphorylation in additional HBC cells, human mammary epithelial cells (HMECs), human renal epithelial HK2 cells [\(13](#page-13-0)), as well as murine C2C12 myoblast cells [\(32\)](#page-14-0), HC11 murine breast epithelial cells [\(33\)](#page-14-0), and W0069 BRCA-deficient mouse mammary tumor cells [\(34](#page-14-0)) but failed to promote CARP-1 phosphorylation in wild-type murine MEFs as well as murine cardiomyocytes ([Figures 4B,](#page-10-0) C). The reason (s) for this intriguing absence of ADR-induced CARP-1 phosphorylation in murine MEFs and cardiomyocytes remain to be clarified. Nevertheless, our data in [Figures 1](#page-4-0)[-4](#page-10-0) collectively suggest

that SAPK p38 γ -mediated CARP-1 T⁶²⁷ phosphorylation functions to transduce apoptosis signaling by genotoxic chemotherapy.

Loss of p38y abrogates genotoxic chemotherapy induced cell growth inhibition

Since loss of p38 γ abrogated CARP-1 T⁶²⁷ phosphorylation by genotoxic chemotherapy ([Figure 4A](#page-10-0)), we next investigated whether absence of p38g would confer resistance to genotoxic chemotherapy. For this purpose, we utilized p38g-/- MDA-MB-468 cells ([Figure 4A](#page-10-0)). Parental and p38g-/- cells were treated with Adriamycin, Cisplatin, Gemcitabine, and experimental compound CFM-4.16. Loss of p38g protected cells from growth inhibition by various DNA damage-inducing agents [\(Figure 5A;](#page-11-0) [Supplementary](#page-13-0) [Figure S7D](#page-13-0)). Next, western blot analyses were carried out to determine expression of phosphorylated CARP-1 and ERK proteins following treatments of MDA-MB-468 (WT), p38y-/-, and p38y AS (p38y knockdown) cells with various DNA damageinducing agents including Adriamycin, Cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil, CFM-4.16, and Gemcitabine as noted in methods. All of these agents induced phosphorylation of CARP-1 in MDA-MB-468 (WT) cells but not in $p38\gamma$ -/- and $p38\gamma$ AS cells [\(Figures 5B,](#page-11-0) C; [Supplementary Figures S7A-C,](#page-13-0) E). ERK1/2 activation was moderately diminished in p38g-/- and p38g AS cells when compared with their WT counterparts in the presence of all the agents except Cisplatin at the 6h treatments periods. Although

DNA damaging agents induce CARP-1 phosphorylation at T^{627} and activate various transducers of cell growth and survival signaling. (A-C), indicated cell lines stably expressing myc-His-tagged wild-type CARP-1 or CARP-1 (S⁶²⁶T⁶²⁷/AA) mutant were treated with DMSO (Control), or with the noted dose and time of indicated agents. In (A, B), Protein complexes were immunoprecipitated (I.P.) with anti-myc tag antibodies followed by the analysis of the immunocomplexes by western blot (W.B.) using anti-phospho-CARP-1 (Upper blot), and anti-myc tag (Lower blot) antibodies. In (C), Cell lysates were analyzed by W.B. for levels of phosphorylated and total CARP-1, STAT3, MKK4, JNK1/2, and ERK1/2 as described in Methods. Arrowheads on the left or right side indicate presence of proteins or molecular weight markers, respectively.

CFM-4.16 treatments caused elevated activation of ERK1/2 in p38g-/- cells relative to its activation in CFM-4.16-treated WT cells, ERK1/2 phosphorylation was diminished in p38g-/- and p38g AS when compared with their WT counterparts that were treated with Adriamycin and Cisplatin but not 5-fluorouracil and Gemcitabine. Interestingly, as shown in [Supplementary Figure S8A,](#page-13-0) loss of p38g did not protect cells from inhibitory effects of Paclitaxel (a chemotherapy that does not induce DNA damage). Moreover, neither serum deprivation nor treatments with Paclitaxel induced CARP-1 phosphorylation in MDA-MB-468 (WT) and in MDA-MB-468 p38g-/- cells, respectively [\(Supplementary Figures S8B](#page-13-0), [S9\)](#page-13-0). Paclitaxel treatments resulted in a moderate decrease in levels of ERK1/2 phosphorylation in MDA-MB-468 p38y-/- cells versus MDA-MB-468 (WT) cells [\(Supplementary Figure S8B](#page-13-0)). These data collectively suggest that p38 γ is required for DNA damageinduced T^{627} phosphorylation of CARP-1 and elevated levels of CARP-1 phosphorylation transduce inhibitory effects of DNA damage-inducing chemotherapy. Paclitaxel, a chemotherapy that functions by stabilizing microtubules without inducing DNA damage, inhibits cell growth but does not induce CARP-1 phosphorylation. As serum deprivation and Paclitaxel also caused elevated CARP-1 levels in WT MDA-MB-468 cells, it is likely that

absence of T^{627} phosphorylated CARP-1 also functions to support cell growth inhibition in signaling contexts different from that involving CARP-1 T^{627} phosphorylation. Together with our prior report demonstrating involvement of CARP-1 interaction with NFkB kinase subunit IKKg/NEMO in promoting DNA damageinduced p65 activation [\(8\)](#page-13-0), our current studies reveal a biphasic signaling by CARP-1 where CARP-1 signals in cell growth and survival in part by activating NF-kB signaling while the growth inhibitory signaling by CARP-1 is dependent in part on its p38 γ mediated T^{627} phosphorylation.

CARP-1 phosphorylation associates with tumors from patients treated with radiation or endocrine therapies

Prior reports have indicated induction of p38 γ expression by Ras oncogene that functions to promote Ras-dependent transformation and invasion by cancer cells (35) (35) (35) . P38 γ was required for malignant growth and its expression was elevated in primary tumor tissues [\(35](#page-14-0)-[37\)](#page-14-0). P38 γ promoted epithelial-tomesenchymal transition (EMT), and p38g-dependent phosphorylation of topoisomerase II resulted in increased sensitivity of breast cancer cells to DNA damage-inducing TABLE 3 List of selected signal transducers that interact with CARP-1 (614–638) peptide that were identified through K-CLASP methodology as described in methods.

Proteins highlighted in yellow have been demonstrated previously by us and others or in current studies to interact with CARP-1.

therapies that target topoisomerase II [\(38,](#page-14-0) [39](#page-14-0)). On the other hand, p38g signaling also contributed to resistance to therapies that target estrogen receptor (ER) and PARP proteins [\(40,](#page-14-0) [41\)](#page-14-0), while p38g was required for transduction of γ -radiation induced stress response [\(42,](#page-14-0) [43\)](#page-14-0). Recent reports revealed P38 MAPK was expressed and activated (phosphorylated) at a higher level in ER-positive primary breast tumors when compared with ER-negative counterparts ([44,](#page-14-0) [45](#page-14-0)). In light of our findings demonstrating CARP-1 phosphorylation by $p38\gamma$, and the fact that $p38\gamma$ is overexpressed in primary colon cancers, and is a potential therapeutic target for triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC), we next investigated whether CARP-1 phosphorylation was altered in primary breast cancers. Our immunohistochemical analyses revealed CARP-1 phosphorylation in two representative specimens of invasive ductal carcinoma but not in normal ducts ([Figure 6\)](#page-12-0). On the basis of this data, we next conducted immunohistochemical analyses for phospho-CARP-1 expression in a breast cancer tumor microarray containing 504 primary breast cancers as detailed in Methods. Although all the tumors stained for presence of CARP-1, a subset of 83 tumors stained for phospho-CARP-1 ([Supplementary Table S8](#page-13-0)). Interestingly, phospho-CARP-1 presence correlated with patients who received radiation ($p=$ <0.041) or endocrine therapies ($p=$ <0.038). Since radiation and anti-estrogen signaling activate $p38\gamma$ ([41](#page-14-0), [42](#page-14-0)) our proof-of-concept findings from the TMA analysis suggest that p38y-dependent CARP-1 T^{627} phosphorylation could be a potential predictor of responses to radiation or hormonal therapies in a subset of breast cancers.

Discussion

In this report we describe for the first time that CARP-1/ CCAR1 functions as a regulator of genotoxic chemotherapy induced cell growth inhibitory signaling that involves T^{627} phosphorylation of CARP-1 by p38g MAPK/SAPK [\(Figures 3,](#page-9-0) [5\)](#page-11-0). Our findings would suggest genotoxic stress-induced, p38gmediated T⁶²⁷ phosphorylation of CARP-1 likely contributed to apoptosis as cells expressing CARP-1 (S^{626} , T^{627} , T^{629} /AAA) mutant protein had diminished activation of various caspases when treated with genotoxic chemotherapy [\(Figure 1E](#page-4-0)).

One of the key and significant finding of our current study is that CARP-1 T^{627} is a novel substrate for phosphorylation by p38 γ during genotoxic chemotherapy-induced apoptosis signaling. P38g belongs to a family of serine/threonine kinases that function to transduce mitogenic as well as stress signaling, and are categorized as MAPKs/SAPKs. A number of prior reports have indicated p38g as a potential oncogene in models of triple-negative breast, pancreatic, liver, and colon cancers [\(36](#page-14-0)–[41,](#page-14-0) [43](#page-14-0)–[46\)](#page-14-0). Although p38g functions to regulate stem cell expansion, metastasis, and AP-1 signaling pathways, a recent three-dimensional (3D) search revealed a higher degree of structural similarity among p38g and active CDK1 and CDK2 kinases, as both the CDK1 and p38g induce phosphorylation at the same residues in the Rb protein ([47\)](#page-14-0). Interestingly, our data in [Supplementary Figure S3](#page-13-0) and [Supplementary Table S7](#page-13-0) indicate that like Rb protein, CARP-1

Venkatesh et al. [10.3389/fonc.2024.1376666](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2024.1376666)

TABLE 4 List of CARP-1-interacting signal transducers identified by coimmunoprecipitation western blot (co-IP-W.B.) experiments utilizing protein lysates derived from cells stably expressing CARP-1-myc-His, CARP-1 $(S^{626}T^{627}/AA)$ -myc-His, or CARP-1 (Δ 600-650)-myc-His proteins.

Target Kinase/ Protein	CARP-1 (VV) Binding	$CARP-1$ S ⁶²⁶ T ⁶²⁷ /AA Binding	CARP-1 $(\Delta 600 -$ 650) Binding
cRAF/b-RAF	Yes	Yes	Yes
JNK1/2	Yes	Yes	No
H ₂ AX	Yes	Yes	N _o
FLNC	Yes	Yes	N _o
ERK1/2	Yes	No	N _o
RIPK1	Yes	No	N ₀
CDK4/6	Yes	No	N ₀
MEK1/2	Yes	No	N _o
STAT3	Yes	No	No
$p38\gamma$	Yes	No	No
$p38\delta$	Yes	No	No
MKK4	No	No	N _o
MSK ₂	No	No	N _o
$P38\alpha/\beta$	No	No	No

 T^{627} could also be substrate for the p38 γ and CDK1 kinases. As CARP-1 is also a co-activator of cell-cycle regulatory APC/C E3 ligase [\(7\)](#page-13-0), it remains to be clarified whether p38 γ phosphorylation of CARP-1 T^{627} or a different, yet to be characterized S/T residue(s) functions to promote APC/C E3 ligase activation for cell cycle progression, and together with p38y-dependent Rb phosphorylation, p38g kinase contributes to cell cycle progression, cell proliferation, growth, and oncogenesis. However, MKK6-p38g cascade was also involved in gamma-radiation-induced cell cycle arrest ([42\)](#page-14-0), and the fact that substitution of CARP-1 T^{627}/A abrogates cell growth inhibition by genotoxic stress ([Figure 1\)](#page-4-0) further support our hypothesis that p38g transduces cell cycle inhibitory and apoptotic signaling following genotoxic stress in part by phosphorylating CARP-1 T^{627} .

Our TMA analyses intriguingly revealed CARP-1 T^{627} phosphorylation in breast cancer biopsies from patients who received radiation or endocrine therapies. These findings would suggest for additional signaling contexts for CARP-1 T^{627} phosphorylation. Given that MAPK/SAPKs JNK1/2 and p388 also phosphorylated at CARP-1 T^{627} site as indicated by our kinase profiling analyses ([Table 1](#page-5-0)), it remains to be determined whether and to the extent endocrine therapy-induced CARP-1 T^{627} phosphorylation also involves p38 γ or a different MAPK/SAPK. On the other hand, genotoxic chemotherapy failed to induce CARP-1 T^{627} phosphorylation in murine embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) and cardiomyocytes albeit p38g was upregulated in genotoxic chemotherapy-exposed MEFs ([Figure 4\)](#page-10-0). Whether

FIGURE 3

MAPK/SAPK p38y regulates Adriamycin-induced CARP-1 phosphorylation. Wild-type HBC cells (A) and HBC cells stably expressing vector, catalytically inactive mutant of p388 (B), or catalytically inactive mutant of p38 γ (C) were treated indicated agent for noted time and dose. Cell lysates were then analyzed by western blot (W.B.) for levels of phosphorylated and total CARP-1, JNK1/2, ERK1/2 proteins as described in Methods. The W.B membranes in panels B and C were probed with anti-actin antibodies to assess protein loading. Arrowheads on the left or right side of each blot in panels (A-C) indicate presence of proteins or molecular weight markers, respectively.

p38y is required for genotoxic chemotherapy-induced CARP-1 phosphorylation. (A-F), indicated cell lines were treated with DMSO (Control), or with the noted dose and time of indicated agents. Cell lysates were analyzed by western blot (W.B.) using antibodies for phospho-CARP-1, total CARP-1, p38y, phospho-p65, total p65, and actin as described in Methods. Arrowheads on the left or right side of each panel indicate presence of proteins or molecular weight markers, respectively. Cell lines utilized are detailed in [Supplementary Table S3.](#page-13-0) HK2, renal epithelial cells; HMECs, Human Mammary Epithelial Cells; MEFs, Mouse Embryonic Fibroblasts; HC11, murine breast epithelial cells; C2C12, murine myoblast cells; HL1, murine cardiomyocytes; 4T1, murine breast cancer cells; W0069, murine BRCA-deficient mammary tumor cells.

genotoxic chemotherapy-induced cell growth inhibitory effects in murine embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) and cardiomyocytes involve phosphorylation of different S/T residue(s) of CARP-1 that are targeted by p38g or involve a different S/T phosphorylation targeted by another MAPK/SAPK(s) is also unclear. Overall, CARP-1 is a novel substrate of p38 γ and possibly other MAPK/SAPK(s) for its T^{627} phosphorylation and subsequent transduction of signaling induced by genotoxic stress and other signaling contexts.

Genotoxic stress-induced ATM kinase activates the NF-kB pathway, which regulates genes involved in inhibition of apoptosis and promotion of cell survival. ATM-induced NF-kB signaling not only permits normal cells to repair DNA but also enables malignant cells to resist genotoxic therapy. Our previous report revealed CARP-1 binding with IKKg (aka NEMO) regulated genotoxic chemotherapy-induced activation of canonical NF-kB and secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines ([8\)](#page-13-0). CARP-1 binding with NEMO facilitated ATM kinase-mediated NEMO phosphorylation in the nucleus and consequent activation of cytosolic IKK complex followed by activation and nuclear import of p65/RelA. Disruption of CARP-1binding with NEMO resulted in attenuation of p65 phosphorylation and inhibition of NF-kB signaling. We have previously noted CARP-1 interaction with RIPK1 ([15](#page-13-0)). Here we find that CARP-1 interacts with RIPK1 in cells expressing wild-type CARP-1 but this interaction is abrogated in cells expressing CARP-1 $(S^{626}T^{627}/AA)$ mutant ([Supplementary Figure S6E\)](#page-13-0). Moreover, CARP-1 interaction with RIPK1 is diminished in ADR-treated cells expressing wild-type CARP1 relative to that noted in their untreated counterparts ([Supplementary Figure S6E\)](#page-13-0).

RIPK1 is implicated in controlling NF-kB as well as cell death in response to DNA damage. Extensive DNA lesions promote p53 independent two sequential NF-kB activation phases. In the first phase ATM and NEMO promote TNFa production via IKK/RelA signaling. The second phase involves TNF α /TNFR signaling to drive RIPK1 phosphorylation followed by JNK3/MAPK10-IL-8/FADDmediated caspase-8 activation [\(48\)](#page-14-0). We previously noted that phosphorylation of NEMO and p65/RelA but not JNK1/2 occurs when cells are exposed to genotoxic insult for 1h ([8](#page-13-0)) while T^{627} phosphorylation of CARP-1 and JNK1/2 activation occurs when cells are exposed to Adriamycin for 6h or longer [\(Figure 2C\)](#page-7-0). Thus, in the context of early DNA damage, ATM likely activates NF-kB signaling through a dynamic CARP-1/NEMO/RIPK1/IKK/p65 cascade to promote survival and DNA repair. DNA damage over extended period likely results in above ATM-regulated apoptotic signaling through TNFa/JNK1/2/caspase-8 cascade as well as p38g-mediated phosphorylation of CARP-1 ([Figure 3](#page-9-0)). Loss of p38 γ abrogates inhibitory effects of genotoxic chemotherapy. Although CARP-1 also interacts with caspase-8 and FADD [\(15\)](#page-13-0) in untreated as well as CFM-4 (parent compound of CFM-4.16 utilized in this report), it appears likely that CARP-1 is a component of a dynamic, proapoptotic JNK/MAPK10-IL-8/FADD/caspase-8 proteome. Whether CARP-1 T^{627} phosphorylation contributes to apoptosis signaling by activating TNFa/JNK/RIPK1/Caspase-8 complex or interfering with

MAPK/SAPK p38g promotes CARP-1 phosphorylation in Adriamycin-treated HBC cells. (A), Cell viability was determined by MTT assay following treatments of cells with vehicle/DMSO (Control) or indicated times and dose of various agents. The columns in histogram indicate percent of live/ viable cells relative to their DMSO-treated controls and represent means of three independent experiments; bars, S.E. (B, C), indicated HBC cells (WT, p38g -/-, and cell lines stably expressing vector or p38g antisense; noted as AS) were treated with DMSO (Control), or with the noted dose and times of Adriamycin. Cell lysates were analyzed by western blot (W.B.) for levels of phosphorylated and total CARP-1, phospho-CARP-1, phospho-ERK1/2, ERK1/2, and actin proteins as described in methods. Arrowheads on the left or right side indicate presence of proteins or molecular weight markers, respectively.

TNFa/RIPK1/NEMO/p65 pathway remains to be clarified. However, the precise kinetics of genotoxic stress-induced, CARP-1-dependent cell growth, survival, and apoptosis signaling involving RIPK1 remain to be elucidated, and is a subject of our on-going studies.

In summary, we demonstrate that CARP-1 is a novel, endogenous regulator of chemotherapy-induced MAPK/SAPK p38 γ that in turn phosphorylates CARP-1 T^{627} to promote apoptosis. These findings are consistent with previously noted

biphasic roles of CARP-1 in regulating cell survival and chemotherapy resistance as well as cell growth inhibitory apoptotic signaling ([1](#page-13-0)–[4](#page-13-0), [7](#page-13-0)–[16](#page-13-0)). Our findings also reveal a novel biomarker potential of T^{627} phosphorylation of CARP-1 for radiation or endocrine therapy-treated breast cancers.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article[/Supplementary Material](#page-13-0). Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

Ethical approval was not required for the studies involving humans because Breast cancer tumor microarrays were utilized. The patient identifiers were not known. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The human samples used in this study were acquired from gifted from another research group. Written informed consent to participate in this study was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements. Ethical approval was not required for the studies on animals in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements because only commercially available established cell lines were used.

Author contributions

JV: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Software. MM: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – original draft. IS: Writing – original draft. VC: Investigation, Writing – original draft, Methodology, Validation. SS: Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft. NA: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft, Investigation, Software. EL: Writing – original draft, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Validation. HA: Writing – original draft, Investigation, Resources. MP: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Project administration, Funding acquisition. AR: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Department of Veterans Affairs Merit Review grant (AR), Department of Veterans Affairs Basic Laboratory Research & Development Research Career Scientist award (AR). We would like to thank the National Institutes of Health (GM079529 and GM131821) and Wayne State University for funding, and the Wayne State University and Karmanos Cancer Center Proteomics Core, which is supported by NIH Grants P30 ES020957, P30 CA022453, and S10 OD010700.

Acknowledgments

Authors gratefully acknowledge expert technical assistance from Drs. Harvinder Talwar in conducting some transfection, MTT and western blot studies.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

References

1. Muthu M, Cheriyan VT, Rishi AK. CARP-1/CCAR1: A biphasic regulator of cell growth and apoptosis. Oncotarget. (2015) 6:6499–510. doi: [10.18632/oncotarget.v6i9](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.v6i9)

2. Kim JH, Yang CK, Heo K, Roeder RG, An W, Stallcup MR. CCAR1, a key regulator of mediator complex recruitment to nuclear receptor transcription complexes. Mol Cell. (2008) 31:510–9. doi: [10.1016/j.molcel.2008.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2008.08.001)

3. Ou CY, Kim JH, Yang CK, Stallcup MR. Requirement of cell cycle and apoptosis regulator 1 for target gene activation by Wnt and beta-catenin and for anchorage-independent growth of human colon carcinoma cells. J Biol Chem. (2009) 284:20629– 37. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M109.014332](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.014332)

4. Ou CY, Chen TC, Lee JV, Wang JC, Stallcup MR. Coregulator cell cycle and apoptosis regulator 1 (CCAR1) positively regulates adipocyte differentiation through the glucocorticoid signaling pathway. J Biol Chem. (2014) 289:17078–86. doi: [10.1074/](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.548081) [jbc.M114.548081](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.548081)

5. Lu CK, Lai YC, Lin YF, Chen HR, Chiang MK. CCAR1 is required for Ngn3 mediated endocrine differentiation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. (2012) 418:307–12. doi: [10.1016/j.bbrc.2012.01.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2012.01.016)

6. Yoo AS, Bais C, Greenwald I. Crosstalk between the EGFR and LIN-12/Notch pathways in C. elegans vulval development. Science. (2004) 303:663–6. doi: [10.1126/](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1091639) [science.1091639](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1091639)

7. Puliyappadamba VT, Wu W, Bevis D, Zhang L, Polin L, Kilkuskie R, et al. Antagonists of anaphase-promoting complex (APC)-2-cell cycle and apoptosis regulatory protein (CARP)-1 interaction are novel regulators of cell growth and apoptosis. J Biol Chem. (2011) 286:38000–17. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M111.222398](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.222398)

8. Venkatesh J, Sekhar SC, Cheriyan VT, Muthu M, Meister P, Levi E, et al. Antagonizing binding of cell cycle and apoptosis regulatory protein 1 (CARP-1) to the NEMO/IKKγ protein enhances the anticancer effect of chemotherapy. J Biol Chem.
(2020) 295:3532–52. doi: [10.1074/jbc.RA119.009898](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.009898)

9. Rishi AK, Zhang L, Boyanapalli M, Wali A, Mohammad RM, Yu Y, et al. Identification and characterization of a cell cycle and apoptosis regulatory protein-1 as a novel mediator of apoptosis signaling by retinoid CD437. J Biol Chem. (2003) 278:33422–35. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M303173200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M303173200)

10. Rishi AK, Zhang L, Yu Y, Jiang Y, Nautiyal J, Wali A, et al. Cell cycle- and apoptosis-regulatory protein-1 is involved in apoptosis signaling by epidermal growth factor receptor. J Biol Chem. (2006) 281:13188–98. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M512279200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M512279200)

11. Sekhar SC, Venkatesh J, Cheriyan VT, Muthu M, Levi E, Assad H, et al. A H2AX (-)CARP-1 interaction regulates apoptosis signaling following DNA damage. Cancers (Basel). (2019) 11. doi: [10.3390/cancers11020221](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers11020221)

12. Muthu M, Cheriyan VT, Munie S, Levi E, Frank J, Ashour AE, et al. Mechanisms of neuroblastoma cell growth inhibition by CARP-1 functional mimetics. PloS One. (2014) 9:e102567. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0102567](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102567)

13. Cheriyan VT, Alsaab HO, Sekhar S, Stieber C, Kesharwani P, Sau S, et al. A CARP-1 functional mimetic loaded vitamin E-TPGS micellar nano-formulation for inhibition of renal cell carcinoma. Oncotarget. (2017) 8:104928–45. doi: [10.18632/](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.v8i62) [oncotarget.v8i62](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.v8i62)

14. Cheriyan VT, Muthu M, Patel K, Sekhar S, Rajeswaran W, Larsen SD, et al. CARP-1 functional mimetics are novel inhibitors of drug-resistant triple negative breast cancers. Oncotarget. (2016) 7:73370–88. doi: [10.18632/oncotarget.v7i45](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.v7i45)

15. Muthu M, Somagoni JM, Cheriyan VT, Munie S, Levi E, Ashour AE, et al. Identification and testing of novel CARP-1 functional mimetic compounds as

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1376666/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1376666/full#supplementary-material) [full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1376666/full#supplementary-material)

inhibitors of non-small cell lung and triple-negative breast cancers. J Biomed Nanotechnol. (2015) 11:1608–27. doi: [10.1166/jbn.2015.2099](https://doi.org/10.1166/jbn.2015.2099)

16. Cheriyan VT, Alsaab H, Sekhar S, Venkatesh J, Mondal A, Vhora I, et al. A CARP-1 functional mimetic compound is synergistic with BRAF-targeting in nonsmall cell lung cancers. Oncotarget. (2018) 9:29680–97. doi: [10.18632/oncotarget.v9i51](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.v9i51)

17. Beausoleil SA, Jedrychowski M, Schwartz D, Elias JE, Villen J, Li J, et al. Largescale characterization of HeLa cell nuclear phosphoproteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A. (2004) 101:12130–5. doi: [10.1073/pnas.0404720101](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0404720101)

18. Jiang Y, Puliyappadamba VT, Zhang L, Wu W, Wali A, Yaffe MB, et al. A novel mechanism of cell growth regulation by Cell Cycle and Apoptosis Regulatory Protein (CARP)-1. J Mol Signal. (2010) 5:7. doi: [10.1186/1750-2187-5-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/1750-2187-5-7)

19. Zhang L, Levi E, Majumder P, Yu Y, Aboukameel A, Du J, et al. Transactivator of transcription-tagged cell cycle and apoptosis regulatory protein-1 peptides suppress the growth of human breast cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. Mol Cancer Ther. (2007) 6:1661–72. doi: [10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-06-0653](https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-06-0653)

20. Blagoev B, Kratchmarova I, Ong SE, Nielsen M, Foster LJ, Mann M. A proteomics strategy to elucidate functional protein-protein interactions applied to EGF signaling. Nat Biotechnol. (2003) 21:315–8. doi: [10.1038/nbt790](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt790)

21. Matsuoka S, Ballif BA, Smogorzewska A, McDonald ER, Hurov KE, Luo J, et al. ATM and ATR substrate analysis reveals extensive protein networks responsive to DNA damage. Science. (2007) 316:1160–6. doi: [10.1126/science.1140321](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1140321)

22. Hornbeck PV, Kornhauser JM, Tkachev S, Zhang B, Skrzypek E, Murray B, et al. PhosphoSitePlus: a comprehensive resource for investigating the structure and function of experimentally determined post-translational modifications in man and mouse. Nucleic Acids Res. (2012) 40:D261–70. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkr1122](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr1122)

23. Dedigama-Arachchige PM, Pflum MK. K-CLASP: A tool to identify phosphosite specific kinases and interacting proteins. ACS Chem Biol. (2016) 11:3251-5. doi: [10.1021/acschembio.6b00289](https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00289)

24. Garre S, Gamage AK, Faner TR, Dedigama-Arachchige P, Pflum MK. Identification of kinases and interactors of p53 using kinase-catalyzed cross-linking and immunoprecipitation. J Am Chem Soc. (2018) 140:16299–310. doi: [10.1021/jacs.8b10160](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b10160)

25. Senevirathne C, Pflum MK. Biotinylated phosphoproteins from kinase-catalyzed biotinylation are stable to phosphatases: implications for phosphoproteomics. Chembiochem. (2013) 14:381–7. doi: [10.1002/cbic.201200626](https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.201200626)

26. Johnson GS, Rajendran P, Dashwood RH. CCAR1 and CCAR2 as gene chameleons with antagonistic duality: Preclinical, human translational, and mechanistic basis. Cancer Sci. (2020) 111:3416–25. doi: [10.1111/cas.14579](https://doi.org/10.1111/cas.14579)

27. Yu EJ, Kim SH, Heo K, Ou CY, Stallcup MR, Kim JH. Reciprocal roles of DBC1 and SIRT1 in regulating estrogen receptor α activity and co-activator synergy. Nucleic Acids Res. (2011) 39:6932–43. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkr347](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr347)

28. Adada MM, Orr-Gandy KA, Snider AJ, Canals D, Hannun YA, Obeid LM, et al. Sphingosine Kinase 1 Regulates Tumor Necrosis Factor-mediated RANTES Induction through p38 Mitogen-activated Protein Kinase but Independently of Nuclear Factor KB
Activation. *J Biol Chem.* (2013) 288:27667–79. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M113.489443](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.489443)

29. Ebeit NP, Kaoud TS, Edupuganti R, Ravenstein SV, Dalby KN, VanDerBerg CL. A c-Jun N-terminal kinase inhibitor, JNK-IN-8, sensitizes triple-negative breast cancer cells to lapatinib. Oncotarget. (2017) 8:104894–912. doi: [10.18632/oncotarget.20581](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.20581)

30. Braicu C, Buse M, Busuioc C, Drula R, Gulei D, Raduly L, et al. A comprehensive review on MAPK: A promising therapeutic target in cancer. Cancers. (2019) 11:1618. doi: [10.3390/cancers11101618](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers11101618)

31. Sabio G, Arthur JS, Kuma Y, Peggie M, Carr J, Murray-Tait V, et al. p38gamma regulates the localisation of SAP97 in the cytoskeleton by modulating its interaction with GKAP. EMBO J. (2005) 24:1134–45. doi: [10.1038/sj.emboj.7600578](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7600578)

32. Lou W, Reynolds CA, Li Y, Liu J, Hüttemann M, Schlame M, et al. Loss of tafazzin results in decreased myoblast differentiation in C2C12 cells: A myoblast model of Barth syndrome and cardiolipin deficiency. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Biol Lipids. (2018) 1863:857–65. doi: [10.1016/j.bbalip.2018.04.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2018.04.015)

33. Sheftel CM, Hernandez LL. Serotonin stimulated parathyroid hormone related protein induction in the mammary epithelia by transglutaminase-dependent serotonylation. PloS One. (2020) 15:e0241192. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0241192](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241192)

34. Kim EH, Deng C, Sporn MB, Royce DB, Risingsong R, Williams CR, et al. CDDO-methyl ester delays breast cancer development in BRCA1-mutated mice. Cancer Prev Res (Phila). (2012) 5:89–97. doi: [10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-11-0359](https://doi.org/10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-11-0359)

35. Rosenthal DT, Iyer H, Escudero S, Bao L, Wu Z, Ventura AC, et al. p38g Promotes breast cancer cell motility and metastasis through regulation of rhoC GTPase, cytoskeletal architecture, and a novel leading edge behavior. Cancer Res. (2011) 71:6338–49. doi: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-1291](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-1291)

36. Hou SW, Zhi HY, Pohl N, Loesch M, Qi XM, Li RS, et al. PTPH1 Dephosphorylates and Cooperates with p38g MAPK to Increase Ras Oncogenesis through PDZ-Mediated Interaction. Cancer Res. (2010) 70:2901–10. doi: [10.1158/0008-](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-3229) [5472.CAN-09-3229](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-3229)

37. Yin N, Qi X, Tsai S, Lu Y, Basir Z, Oshima K, et al. p38g MAPK is required for inflammation-associated colon tumorigenesis. Oncogene. (2016) 35:1039–48. doi: [10.1038/onc.2015.158](https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2015.158)

38. Qi X, Hou S, Lepp A, Li R, Basir Z, Lou Z, et al. Phosphorylation and stabilization of topoisomerase Iia protein by p38g mitogen-activated protein kinase sensitize breast cancer cells to its poisons. J Biol Chem. (2011) 286:35883–90. doi: [10.1074/](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.229260) [jbc.M111.229260](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.229260)

39. Xu M, Wang S, Wang Y, Wu H, Frank JA, Zhang Z, et al. Role of p38g MAPK in regulation of EMT and cancer stem cells. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol basis Dis. (2018) 1864:3605–17. doi: [10.1016/j.bbadis.2018.08.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbadis.2018.08.024)

40. Meng F, Zhang H, Liu G, Kreike B, Chen W, Sethi S, et al. p38g Mitogenactivated protein kinase contributes to oncogenic properties maintenance and resistance to poly (ADP-ribose)-polymerase-1 inhibition in breast cancer. Neoplasia. (2011) 13:472–82. doi: [10.1593/neo.101748](https://doi.org/10.1593/neo.101748)

41. Qi X, Zhi H, Lepp A, Wang P, Huang J, Basir Z, et al. p38g mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) confers breast cancer hormone sensitivity by switching estrogen receptor (ER) signaling from classical to non-classical pathway via stimulating ER phosphorylation and c-Jun transcription. *J Biol Chem.* (2012) 287:14681–91. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M112.349357](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.349357)

42. Wang X, McGowan CH, Zhao M, He L, Downey JS, Fearns C, et al. Involvement of the MKK6-p38gamma cascade in gamma-radiation-induced cell cycle arrest. Mol Cell Biol. (2000) 20:4543–52. doi: [10.1128/MCB.20.13.4543-4552.2000](https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.20.13.4543-4552.2000)

43. Gross EA, Callow MG, Waldbaum L, Thomas S, Ruggieri R. MRK, a mixed lineage kinase-related molecule that plays a role in gamma-radiation-induced cell cycle arrest. J Biol Chem. (2002) 277:13873–82. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M111994200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111994200)

44. Merlin J-L, Harle A, Lion M, Ramacci C, Leroux A. Expression and activation of P38 MAP kinase in invasive ductal breast cancers: Correlation with expression of the estrogen receptor, HER2 and downstream signaling phosphorylated proteins. Oncol Rep. (2013) 30:1943–8. doi: [10.3892/or.2013.2645](https://doi.org/10.3892/or.2013.2645)

45. Wang B, Jiang H, Ma N, Wang Y. Phosphorylated-p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase expression is associated with clinical factors in invasive breast cancer. SpringerPlus. (2016) 5:934. doi: [10.1186/s40064-016-2636-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-2636-0)

46. Wang F, Qi XM, Wertz R, Mortensen M, Hagen C, Evans J, et al. p38g MAPK is essential for aerobic glycolysis and pancreatic tumorigenesis. Cancer Res. (2020) 80:3251–64. doi: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-3281](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-3281)

47. Tomás-Loba A, Manieri E, González-Terán B, Mora A, Leiva-Vega L, Santamans AM, et al. p38 γ is essential for cell cycle progression and liver tumorigenesis. Nature. (2019) 568:557–60. doi: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-3281](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-3281)

48. Biton S, Ashkenazi A. NEMO and RIP1 control cell fate in response to extensive DNA damage via TNF-a Feedforward signaling. Cell. (2011) 145:92–103. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.023) [j.cell.2011.02.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.023)