Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Mitchell Albert, Lakehead University, Canada

REVIEWED BY Emina Talakic, Medical University of Graz, Austria

*CORRESPONDENCE Simon D. Taylor-Robinson S.taylor-robinson@imperial.ac.uk

RECEIVED 09 February 2024 ACCEPTED 26 March 2024 PUBLISHED 08 April 2024

CITATION

Syms RRA, Wadsworth CA, Kardoulaki E, Titapun A, Boonphongsathien W, Sa-Ngiamwibool P, Zhang S, Taylor-Robinson SD, Chamadol N and Loilome W (2024) Intraductal magnetic resonance imaging of cholangiocarcinoma - a practical possibility. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1306242. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1306242

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Syms, Wadsworth, Kardoulaki, Titapun, Boonphongsathien, Sa-Ngiamwibool, Zhang, Taylor-Robinson, Chamadol and Loilome. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Intraductal magnetic resonance imaging of cholangiocarcinoma - a practical possibility

Richard R. A. Syms¹, Christopher A. Wadsworth², Evdokia Kardoulaki¹, Attapol Titapun³, Wuttisak Boonphongsathien⁴, Prakasit Sa-Ngiamwibool⁵, Shuo Zhang⁶, Simon D. Taylor-Robinson^{1,2*}, Nittaya Chamadol⁴ and Watcharin Loilome⁷

¹Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom, ²Department of Surgery and Cancer at St Mary's Hospital, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom, ³Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand, ⁴Department of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand, ⁵Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand, ⁶Health Systems, Clinical Science, Philips Healthcare Germany, Hamburg, Germany, ⁷Cholangiocarcinoma Research Institute, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand

Intraductal T2 mapping based on a catheter receiver is proposed as a method of visualizing the extent of intraductal and periductal cholangiocarcinoma (CCA). Compared to external receivers, internal receivers provide locally enhanced signal-to-noise ratios by virtue of their lower field-of-view for body noise, allowing smaller voxels and higher resolution. However, inherent radial sensitivity variation and segmentation for patient safety both distort image brightness. We discuss simulated T2 weighted images and T2 maps, and *in vitro* images obtained using a thin film catheter receiver of a freshly resected liver specimen containing a polypoid intraductal tumor from a patient with CCA. T2 mapping provides a simple method of compensating non-uniform signal reception patterns of catheter receivers, allowing the visualization of tumor extent without contrast enhancement and potentially quantitative tissue characterization. Potential advantages and disadvantages of *in vivo* intraductal imaging are considered.

KEYWORDS

cholangiocarcinoma, intraductal MRI, liver fluke, T2 mapping, tumor early detection

1 Introduction

Cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) is rare in Europe and North America, where known risk factors include primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC), viral hepatitis, and occupational exposure to industrial chemicals (1). Presentation is typically late, when disease is advanced. The 5-year survival is consequently low, and surgical resection is the only real curative possibility, although recently approved targeted chemotherapy may offer some hope in a minority of patients (1, 2).

The incidence of CCA in South-East Asia is around 100 times higher than Western Europe, due to consumption of raw and partly cooked fish, contaminated with the parasitic liver flukes, *Opisthorchis viverrini* or *Clonorchis sinensis* (1). Around 60 million people in the region are at risk of infection, with CCA a possible consequence for 1-2% of flukeinfected individuals (3). Flukes can be eradicated using anthelmintic drugs, but re-infestation is common and food safety education provides only a partial solution (3).

Risk groups are screened in Thailand, using stool analyses and urinary dipsticks to detect fluke infestation and ultrasound to locate periductal fibrosis and mass-forming tumors (4). Similar programs are now being developed in Lao PDR. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) are used for confirmatory diagnosis. CCA is heterogeneous, and can present an extrahepatic and intrahepatic tumor, with mass-forming, periductal infiltrating and intraductal sub-types (5). MRI can delineate obstructed bile ducts owing to the long T2 time constant of bile, and contrastenhancement can highlight mass-forming tumors due to their enhanced micro-vascularity (6). However, the small duct wall changes in early-stage disease are hard to detect (7), so precise staging and surgical planning are difficult.

Here, we make the case for intraductal MR imaging with T2 mapping to improve tumor visualization for effective patient management. These strategies are not only applicable in Thailand where CCA is a common problem, but potentially to all healthcare systems where a hepatobiliary service is offered.

2 The clinical case for improved imaging

Except in South-East Asia, CCA remains a rare cancer, but its incidence has been rising for the past 30 years, with significant geographical variations (8). North-East Thailand has by far the highest age-standardized incidence rate (ASIR) of 85/100000 (8). By comparison, the United States has an ASIR of 1.6/100000 and the United Kingdom 2.2/100000 (8), which are more in keeping with the world average.

The early detection of CCA remains difficult (9). Guidelines recommend standard contrast-enhanced CT or MRI for the diagnosis and staging of CCA. Both can identify mass-forming tumors, whereas the periductal infiltrating form is difficult to detect. When complicating biliary strictures, these periductal cancers may be very small and thus, beyond the resolution of standard imaging techniques. Whether a bile duct stricture harbors an underlying malignancy or not in the presence of stricturing conditions such as PSC remains a pertinent issue for current technologies (9).

CCA has hitherto been a tumor with an extremely high mortality, unless operable surgically, but new targeted chemotherapy techniques offer promise (10). The need for accurate and early diagnosis has not therefore been greater.

3 The approaches to the problem

Resolution in MRI is determined by signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), with higher SNR allowing smaller voxels and higher resolution. However, SNR is limited by body noise using an external radiofrequency (RF) coil such as a "torso array" configuration (11). One solution may be intraductal MRI, with detection carried out using a catheter receiver inserted into the common bile duct at endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP). Internal RF coils offer locally increased SNR by virtue of their non-uniform signal reception, which reduces the field-of-view (FOV) for body noise (12). Higher SNR can then be obtained near the coil, but this reduces as the reciprocal of radial distance squared for rectangular RF coils, so a catheter receiver can outperform an external coil over a cylindrical volume coaxial to a duct. The local SNR advantage of internal coils in endoluminal MRI has been verified by many authors, for example in arterial imaging (13), gastrointestinal imaging (14), and endoscopy (15), and internal RF coils have already been used in biliary drainage tubes (16). Non-uniform reception complicates image interpretation but can be compensated by using relaxometry (17), estimating parameters by nonlinear least-squares fitting (18) and plotting spatial variations of time constants rather than grey scale images.

Parametric mapping of liver disease has already been demonstrated with external coils (19, 20), and we have previously reported methodology for intraductal MRI. Work has focused on duodenoscope modification for MR environments (21), the production of catheter-based receivers (22), the verification of the local SNR advantage of catheter receivers over torso array coils (23) and initial *ex vivo* imaging studies of surgical specimens (24).

Receivers have been constructed from thin-film circuits formed in copper-clad Kapton and mounted on tubular scaffolds using heat-shrink tubing. Division of the circuit into arrays of magnetically coupled, figure-of-eight-shaped L-C resonators can reduce coupling to B1 magnetic and corresponding electric fields during excitation (25), but this leads to a segmented FOV. The catheters are flexible and compatible with biopsy channels and guidewires. Technical challenges included developing the design concept, simulating electro-magnetic performance, integrating flexible circuits on catheters, connecting receivers to auxiliary coil interfaces, and performing initial assessments of RF heating potential. Imaging has been carried out using a clinically available whole-body 3T Philips AchievaTM (Philips, Best, the Netherlands) MRI system in Khon Kaen in North-East Thailand, the epicenter of liver fluke-associated cholangiocarcinoma (24). Clinical challenges included synchronizing imaging with surgery in a busy hospital, identifying specimens suitable for cannulation, and correlating T2 maps of CCA with histopathology for the first time. We explain below the principle of and make the case for intraductal T2 mapping.

4 The potential solution

The *ex vivo* intraductal imaging shown in the diagrams was performed on resection specimens from Thai patients with CCA at Khon Kaen University Hospital (KKUH), following the granting of ethics approval by the local Ethics Committee (Ref. HE581409) and the provision of prior written informed consent from patients. The imaging was conducted according to the ethical precepts set out in the Declaration of Helsinki of 1975.

Figure 1 compares simulated T2-weighted images and T2 maps of model tissue. Figure 1A shows the signal reception pattern of a 3mm diameter catheter receiver with $1/r^2$ radial sensitivity variation and 50 mm-long segments, highlighting the first two sensitive lobes; other lobes are similar. Figure 1B shows the model tissue, assumed roughly coaxial to a central receiver. Two artificially homogeneous ellipsoidal tissue volumes are shown, with T2 values of 63 ms (brown, representing tumor tissue) and 42 ms (green, representing periductal fibrosis). Surrounding tissue (liver parenchyma, not



FIGURE 1

Simulated T2-weighted axial images and T2 maps of model tissue obtained using a catheter receiver: (A) Receiver tip reception pattern; (B) model tissue showing periductal fibrosis and tumor; (C) T2-weighted images; (D) T2 maps; (E, F) volumetric data. Blue - liver parenchyma; green - fibrosis; Brown - tumor; red - catheter track.

shown) has a T2 of 28 ms. Imaging and T2 mapping are simulated using a single echo time $TE_1=140/9ms$ and five echo times $TE_n=n\times140/5ms$, respectively. T2 values are estimated using a least-squares non-linear fit to mono-exponential decay without bias correction (18). A peak signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of 800 is assumed at the catheter. Cropping at a low signal level is used to avoid presenting noise beyond the limit of effective reception.

Figures 1C, D show axial T2-weighted images and T2 maps obtained by simulation. Figures 1E, F show corresponding volumetric data. In the images, the signal variation introduced by non-uniform reception is so large that little can be seen of the important tissue boundaries; the reception pattern of the catheter is dominant. While the sensitivity variation can be compensated, the catheter axis must be accurately known, and the procedure is slow. Tissue differentiation is obtained from the T2 maps directly, which clearly show tumor (brown), fibrosis (green) and parenchyma (blue). The effect of radially decreasing SNR is to increase the scatter and bias of estimated T2 values (18). However, near the catheter, homogeneity is high and tissue boundaries are sharp.

Figure 2 shows data from an ex vivo resection specimen from a Thai patient with polypoid intraductal CCA, adapted and modified from a previous publication (24). Imaging was carried out at 3T using a catheter receiver on a resection specimen immediately postsurgery. Figure 2A shows part of a much longer panel of thin-film receiver circuits and a single circuit before mounting on a catheter. The circuits are devoid of protrusions, and each figure-of-eight loop has a half-length of 50 mm. Figure 2B shows the segmented signal reception pattern, obtained by imaging tank phantoms on either side of the catheter and plotting coronal scans as surface-rendered volumetric data. Multiple sensitive lobes can be seen, each again 50 mm long. Figure 2C shows the specimen with the catheter inserted into a visibly enlarged duct in segment 2. The region of interest (ROI) is highlighted. Figure 2D shows cropped axial T2-weighted volumetric images obtained using a spin-echo sequence with TE=9 ms. As with Figure 1E, this presentation mainly highlights the catheter location and the first one and a half lobes of the segmented receiver reception pattern. Figure 2E shows corresponding T2 maps, obtained from five excitations with TE between 9ms and 95 ms. The tissue is well differentiated, and the assigned T2 values were verified by histopathology (24). Resolution is high, and CCAinduced thickening of the duct wall is apparent; with the exclusion of T2 values below 45ms (Figure 2F), the tumor boundary is clear and correlated well with Figure 2C.

The T2 maps presented here have been compared with axial MR images obtained using external and internal coils (24). In the former case, the FOV encompasses the entire torso, and contrast-enhanced imaging allows rapid location of strictures and trapped bile *in vivo*. However, early duct wall changes and the full extent of periductal and intraductal tumors are insufficiently conspicuous. In the latter case, the FOV is limited to the immediate vicinity of the catheter, and uncorrected images cannot easily be interpreted. Duct wall enlargement is clarified after correction for non-uniform signal reception, but the procedure for optimization of the correction center is lengthy and may not be practical for oblique or curved catheter tracks.

Intraductal T2 mapping does appear to offer advantages. However, implementation may require clinic layout alterations, including co-location of endoscopy and MRI suites and provision of facilities for cleaning or disposal of catheter receivers. In addition, anesthesia and equipment for respiratory gating and software for motion tracking would be needed for patients deemed incapable of breath-holding.

5 Discussion

Few alternative imaging techniques are emerging for CCA, and all suffer from limitations (26). Established X-ray fluoroscopy visualizes stricture-induced dilation rather than duct wall changes. The FOV of endoscopic camera probes is restricted to the biliary mucosal layer. Contrast-enhanced CT suffers from relatively low resolution and soft-tissue contrast. Trans-abdominal and intraductal ultrasound also suffer from low resolution and contrast, but the former provides an effective mass screening tool (27). While molecular and nuclear imaging techniques hold theoretical promise, to date, positron emission tomography (PET) and single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) offer low resolution, unless combined with MRI or CT (9).

Questionable aspects for future adoption of intraductal MRI include the safety, complexity and cost of a procedure involving both ERCP and MRI and the resolution enhancement obtained in vivo. ERCP is routinely used to clarify difficult CCA cases, but MRcompatible endoscopic procedures under sedation would be required for patient safety. Cannulation of the ducts of interest might be difficult if strictures are present. Though additional scans are required for T2 mapping, and off-axis coil orientations will reduce the local SNR advantage, recent technical advances in imaging methodology, including artificial intelligence, can help to leverage the information available and mitigate the challenges in motion and SNR (28, 29). The radial FOV of the catheter receiver is limited, but may be sufficient for early-stage disease, and extension of the axial FOV along the entire catheter length (Figure 2B) allows catheter tracking. Necessary hardware has been demonstrated, but manufacture of disposable receivers would be needed, together with non-magnetic duodenoscopes, unless these are withdrawn before imaging, or the catheter is introduced via percutaneous transhepatic cholangiogram (PTC). Interpretation of T2 maps would require a comparison database but may reveal early-stage tissue changes not visible on contrastenhanced MRI. Potential benefits include more effective surgical planning and improved determination of R0 margins. Both might increase the survivability of CCA, currently at a very low level.

Potential barriers to adoption include additional equipment, consumable and procedure costs, and the need for training in catheter receivers and T2 mapping. Additional expenses will be mitigated by the reduction in care costs expected from increased surgical success rates. Training for endoscopists will be similar in scope to the requirements for camera probes, while training for radiographers and radiologists may amount to revision of earlier specialized courses. Infrastructure changes have been mentioned above and would be considered on a clinic-by-clinic basis.

Further pilot studies are warranted to assess *in vivo* feasibility, but the potential for increased and earlier tumor detection seems promising, particularly in populations such as in Thailand where



CCA is not uncommon and underlying causes are understood, resulting in a need for screening programs (1). Furthermore, T2 mapping with standard external phase-array RF coils, rather than the intraductal RF coils we describe here, may provide a simpler method of identifying enlarged bile ducts, albeit at lower image resolution. This may be possible because of the strong differentiation between liver parenchyma and ductal tissue. The same principles may also be useful for better and earlier assessment of hepatocellular carcinoma, particularly in the context of a nodular, cirrhotic liver.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Khon Kaen University Ethics Committee. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

RS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. CW: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Validation, Writing - review & editing. EK: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Writing - review & editing. AT: Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. WB: Data curation, Investigation, Validation, Writing - review & editing. PS-N: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. SZ: Methodology, Validation, Writing - review & editing. ST-R: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing original draft, Writing - review & editing. NC: Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. WL: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Project administration, Resources, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The work

References

1. Alsaleh M, Leftley Z, Barbera TA, Sithithaworn P, Khuntikeo N, Loilome W, et al. Cholangiocarcinoma: a guide for the non-specialist. *Int J Gen Med.* (2019) 12:13–23. doi: 10.2147/IJGM

2. Lamarca A, Edeline J, Goyal L. How I treat biliary tract cancer. ESMO Open. (2022) 7:100378. doi: 10.1016/j.esmoop.2021.100378

3. Sripa B, Kaewkes S, Sithithaworn P, Mairiang E, Laha T, Smout M, et al. Liver fluke induces cholangiocarcinoma. *PloS Med.* (2007) 4:1148–55. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0040201

4. Chamadol N, Pairojkul C, Khuntikeo N. Histological confirmation of periductal fibrosis from ultrasound diagnosis in cholangiocarcinoma patients. *J Hepatobil. Pancreat. Sci.* (2014) 21:316–22. doi: 10.1002/jhbp.2014.21.issue-5

5. Komuta M, Govaere. O, Vandecaveye V, Akiba J, Van Steenbergen W, Verslype C, et al. Histological diversity in cholangiocarcinoma reflects the different cholangiocyte phenotypes. *Hepatology*. (2012) 55:1876–88. doi: 10.1002/hep.25595

6. Kim JY, Lee JM, Han JK, Kim SH, Lee JY, Choi JY, et al. Contrast-enhanced MRI combined with MR cholangiopancreatography for the evaluation of patients with biliary structures: differentiation of Malignant from benign bile duct strictures. *J Magn Reson Imag.* (2007) 26:304–12. doi: 10.1002/jmri.20973

7. Lee DH, Lee JM, Kim KW, Park HS, Kim SH, Lee JY, et al. MR imaging findings of early bile duct cancer. J Magn Reson Imag. (2008) 28:1466–75. doi: 10.1002/jmri.21597

8. Qurashi M, Vithayil M, Khan SA. Epidemiology of cholangiocarcinoma. Eur J Surg Oncol. (2024). doi: 10.1016/j.ejso.2023.107064 reported was funded by grants from the Wellcome Trust ISSF Fund at Imperial College London and AMMF – the Cholangiocarcinoma Charity (Stansted, Essex, UK). The open access fee was paid from the Imperial College London Open Access Fund.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful for technical support from Harry Friel, Dr Christian Stehning, Dr Marc Van Cauteren and Philips Healthcare Thailand. ST-R and CW thank the UK NIHR Biomedical Facility at Imperial College London for infrastructural support. The contribution of our long-standing collaborators Prof. Ian Young, who died in 2019, and Prof. Narong Khuntikeo, who died in 2022, is gratefully acknowledged.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

9. Liu J, Ren WX, Shu J. Multimodal molecular imaging evaluation for early diagnosis and prognosis of cholangiocarcinoma. *Insights Imaging*. (2022) 13:10. doi: 10.1186/s13244-021-01147-7

10. Katoh M, Loriot Y, Brandi G, Tavolari S, Wainberg ZA, Katoh. M. FGFRtargeted therapeutics: clinical activity, mechanisms of resistance and new directions. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* (2024). 21:312–29. doi: 10.1038/s41571-024-00869-z

11. Hoult DI, Lauterbur PC. The sensitivity of the zeugmatographic experiment involving human samples. J Magn Reson. (1979) 34:425–33. doi: 10.1016/0022-2364(79)90019-2

12. Atalar E, Bottomley PA, Ocali O, Correia LC, Kelemen MD, Lima JA, et al. High resolution intravascular MRI and MRS by using a catheter receiver coil. *Magn Reson Med.* (1996) 36:596–605. doi: 10.1002/mrm.1910360415

13. Bottomley PA, Atalar E, Lee RF, Shunk KA, Lardo A. Cardiovascular MRI probes for the outside in and for the inside out. *Magn Reson Mats. Phys Biol Med.* (2000) 11:49–51. doi: 10.1007/BF02678493

14. Armenean M, Beuf O, Pilleul F, Saint-Jalmes H. Optimization of endoluminal loop radiofrequency coils for gastrointestinal wall MR imaging. *IEEE Sensors J.* (2004) 4:57–64. doi: 10.1109/JSEN.2003.820334

15. Gilderdale DJ, Williams AD, Dave U, deSouza NM. An inductively-coupled, detachable receiver coil system for use with magnetic resonance compatible endoscopes. *JMRI*. (2003) 18:131–35. doi: 10.1002/jmri.10321

16. Weiss CR, Georgiades C, Hofmann LV, Schulick R, Choti M, Thuluvath P, et al. "Intrabiliary MR imaging: assessment of biliary obstruction with the use of an intraluminal MR receiver coil". J Vasc Interv. Radiol. (2006) 17:845-53. doi: 10.1016/ S1051-0443(07)60823-9

17. Poon CS, Henkelman RM. Practical T2 quantitation for clinical applications. J Magn Reson Imag. (1992) 2:541–53. doi: 10.1002/jmri.1880020512

 Sijbers J, den Dekker AJ, Raman E, Van Dyck D. Parameter estimation from magnitude MR images. *Int J Imag Syst Technol.* (1999) 10:109–14. doi: 10.1002/(ISSN) 1098-1098

19. Banerjee R, Paavlides M, Tunnicliffe EM, Piechnik SK, Sarania N, Philips R, et al. Multiparametric magnetic resonance for the non-invasive diagnosis of liver disease. *J Hepatol.* (2014) 60:69–77. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2013.09.002

20. Mesropyan N, Kupczyk P, Kukuk GM, Dold L, Weismueller T, Endler C, et al. Diagnostic value of magnetic resonance parametric mapping for non-invasive assessment of liver fibrosis in patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis. *BMC Med Imag.* (2021) 21:65. doi: 10.1186/s12880-021-00598-0

21. Syms RRA, Young IR, Wadsworth CA, Taylor-Robinson SD, Rea M. Magnetic resonance imaging duodenoscope. *IEEE Trans Biomed Engng.* (2013) 60:3458–67. doi: 10.1109/TBME.2013.2271045

22. Syms RRA, Young IR, Ahmad MM, Taylor-Robinson SD, Rea M. Magnetoinductive catheter receiver for magnetic resonance imaging. *IEEE Trans Biomed Engng*. (2013) 60:2421–31. doi: 10.1109/TBME.2013.2258020

23. Kardoulaki E, Syms RRA, Young IR, Rea M. SNR in MI catheter receivers for MRI. *IEEE Sensors J.* (2016) 16:1700–07. doi: 10.1109/JSEN.2015.2500226

24. Khuntikeo N, Titapun A, Chamadol N, Boonphongsathien W, Sa-Ngiamwibool P, Taylor-Robinson SD, et al. *In vitro* intraductal MRI and T2 mapping using catheter coils. *Hepat. Med Evid. Res.* (2020) 12:107–14. doi: 10.2147/HMER.S266841

25. Krafft A, Müller S, Umathum R, Semmler W, Bock M. B₁ field-insensitive transformers for RF-safe transmission lines. *Magn Reson Mater Phys.* (2006) 19:257–66. doi: 10.1007/s10334-006-0055-x

26. Viragh K, Patel M, Mohammad S, Deshmukh M, Pahwa A. Imaging of cholangiocarcinoma" Ch. 7. In: Tabibian JH, editor. *Diagnosis and management of cholangiocarcinoma: a multidisciplinary approach*. Springer Nature, Switzerland (2021).

27. Chamadol N, Syms RRA, Laopaiboon V, Promsorn J, Eurboonyanun K. New imaging techniques, Ch. 6. In: Khuntikeo N, Andrews RH, Petney TN, Khan SA, editors. *Liver fluke*, Opisthorchis viverrini *related cholangiocarcinoma*. Springer Nature, Switzerland (2023).

28. Meister RL, Groth M, Juergens JHW, Zhang S, Buhk JH, Herrmann J. Compressed SENSE in pediatric brain tumor MR Imaging: assessment of image quality, examination time and energy release. *Clin Neuroradiol.* (2022) 32:725–33. doi: 10.1007/s00062-021-01112-3

29. Pezzotti N, Yousefi S, Elmahdy MS, Van Gemert JHF, Schuelke C, Doneva M, et al. An adaptive intelligence algorithm for undersampled knee MRI reconstruction. *IEEE Access.* (2020) 8:204825–38. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3034287