Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Timothy James Kinsella, Brown University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE David Y. Lee Ødylee@salud.unm.edu

RECEIVED 26 August 2023 ACCEPTED 28 August 2023 PUBLISHED 06 September 2023

CITATION

Lee DY, Sudhandiran G and Sharma SD (2023) Editorial: Reviews in radiation oncology. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1283431. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1283431

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Lee, Sudhandiran and Sharma. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Reviews in radiation oncology

David Y. Lee^{1*}, Ganapasam Sudhandiran² and Sunil D. Sharma³

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Hematology/Oncology, Section of Radiation Oncology, University of New Mexico School of Medicine and Comprehensive Cancer Center, Albuquerque, NM, United States, ²Department of Biochemistry, University of Madras, Chennai, India, ³Radiological Physics and Advisory Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

KEYWORDS

PSMA, PET/MRI, radiotherapy, oligometastasis, meningioma, cardiac implantable electronic device (CIED), nasopharynx, HDAC inhibitor

Editorial on the Research Topic

Reviews in radiation oncology

Introduction

This Frontiers Research Topic is focused on timely updates on the prostate and pancreas staging using novel imaging modalities, new meningioma classification, efficacy of local ablative radiation therapy in oligometastatic setting, and the effects of radiation treatment interruptions and potential strategies to overcome them.

Zhang et al. provide updated reviews on the role of prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) imaging in prostate cancer staging. The authors provide data on the sensitivity and specificity of several radioactive tracers such as 18F and 68Ga – PSMA scans. As we incorporate PSMA imaging in the initial staging as well as at the time of recurrence, we have observed more opportunities for radiation therapy. PSMA scans have been particularly useful at the time of recurrence after radical prostatectomy or definitive radiation therapy. One often finds limited number of lymph nodes or skeletal metastasis which are amenable to stereotactic ablative radiotherapy (SABR) treatments (1). The advent of PSMA imaging has led to dramatic increase in the utilization of SABR treatments in prostate cancer with potential to reduce the need for androgen deprivation therapy (ADT). In addition, PSMA scans have allowed us to detect limited number of pelvic or skeletal metastasis (oligometastatic state) at the time of diagnosis with more confidence, and we have been able to deliver aggressive radiation therapy to both the primary site and the oligometastatic sites based on the results of STAMPEDE clinical trial which showed survival benefit (2).

Li et al. provide the role of PET/MRI in pancreatic cancer. PET/MRI provides greater diagnostic accuracy and staging similar to PSMA imaging for prostate cancer. From a radiation oncology perspective, the PET/MRI has the potential to more effectively incorporate SABR treatment in early stage, unresectable/borderline resectable pancreatic cancer. Often, the problem arises in target delineation with independent CT, PET, and MRI scans which are fused together in the radiation treatment planning software. Use of PET/MRI would reduce mis-registration issues and help us to precisely delineate target lesions with greater confidence. In addition, FDG-avid lesions potentially could be dose-escalated simultaneously, allowing greater dose delivery while minimizing dose to the surrounding

critical structures such as the duodenum. PET/MRI imaging is also being evaluated in other cancers such as prostate and head and neck for target delineation, initial staging, and treatment response assessment (3–5). We await to see whether PET/MRI could be incorporated into routine radiation oncology practice.

Yarabarla et al. provide an update on intracranial meningioma. A new molecular classification has been incorporated for Grade 3 meningioma with TERT promoter mutation or homozygous deletion of CDKN2A and/or CDKN2B. There is also an excellent discussion on conventionally fractionated radiation therapy vs stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) on efficacy, selection criteria and side effects.

While novel imaging modalities (PSMA and PET/MRI) have increased the use of SABR, we have limited data from clinical trials on whether such treatment leads to survival benefit in oligometastatic setting. Zhang et al. provide a wonderful update on the most recent and important clinical trials supporting the use of local ablative therapy in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). The authors provide excellent discussion on the results of the three phase II trials supporting the use of SABR in oligometastatic setting (6-8). We eagerly await the results of large phase III randomized trials such as NRG-LU002. How to incorporate immunotherapy with SABR is also an important question in the field, and there are active investigations in this area. Mirzaei et al. also provide an update and guidelines on the cardiac implantable electronic device (CIED) for patients receiving proton therapy. This is relevant since many lung cancer patients with CIED receiving proton radiation therapy will need guidelines on CIED management.

Finally, Zhao et al. provide an update on the detrimental effect of radiotherapy treatment interruption on nasopharyngeal cancer. The authors provide the impact of missing 3 to 5 days of radiation on progression free survival and overall survival. Strategies to overcome potential inferior outcome include dose intensification, twice a day treatment or the addition of systemic therapy. We also note that the time to initiation of radiation therapy after surgery should be less than 6 weeks (9, 10). Ling et al. discuss the role of HDAC inhibitors for overcoming radiation therapy resistance. Several mechanisms of HDAC inhibitors are provided including downregulation of PARP expression. The combination of HDAC inhibitor and radiation therapy should be further explored in solid tumors and in the setting of radiation treatment interruption. In summary, our Research Topic has provided additional insight on the role of new imaging modalities (PSMA and PET/MRI), novel molecular classification of meningioma, role of local ablative radiation therapy in oligometastatic setting, and potential strategies to address radiation therapy interruptions.

Author contributions

DL: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. GS: Writing – review & editing. SS: Writing – review & editing.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to all the authors and reviewers of this Frontiers Research Topic for their excellent contribution. We also thank the editorial team at Frontiers for their invaluable support.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Gruen A, Tegel K, Kluge A, Budach V, Zips D, Boehmer D. PSMA PET-based stereotactic body radiotherapy for locally recurrent prostate cancer after definitive first-line therapy. *Prostate* (2023) 83(13):1298–305. doi: 10.1002/pros.24592

2. Parker CC, James ND, Brawley CD, Clarke NW, Ali A, Amos CL, et al. Radiotherapy to the prostate for men with metastatic prostate cancer in the UK and Switzerland: Long-term results from the STAMPEDE randomised controlled trial. *PloS Med* (2022) 19(6):e1003998. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003998

3. Flygare L, Erdogan ST, Soderkvist K. PET/MR versus PET/CT for locoregional staging of oropharyngeal squamous cell cancer. *Acta Radiol* (2023) 64(5):1865–72. doi: 10.1177/02841851221140668

4. Liu LL, Zhu LL, Lu ZG, Sun JD, Zhao J, Wang HF, et al. Variability of radiotherapy volume delineation: PSMA PET/MRI and MRI based clinical target volume and lymph node target volume for high-risk prostate cancer. *Cancer Imaging* (2023) 23(1):1. doi: 10.1186/s40644-022-00518-7

5. Yoo J, Lee JM, Joo I, Lee DH, Yoon JH, Yu MH, et al. Post-neoadjuvant treatment pancreatic cancer resectability and outcome prediction using CT, (18)F-FDG PET/MRI and CA 19-9. *Cancer Imaging* (2023) 23(1):49. doi: 10.1186/s40644-023-00565-8

6. Gomez DR, Tang C, Zhang J, Blumenschein GR Jr., Hernandez M, Lee JJ, et al. Local consolidative therapy vs. Maintenance therapy or observation for patients with oligometastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: long-term results of a multi-institutional, phase II, randomized study. *J Clin Oncol* (2019) 37(18):1558–65. doi: 10.1200/JCO.19.00201

7. Iyengar P, Wardak Z, Gerber DE, Tumati V, Ahn C, Hughes RS, et al. Consolidative radiotherapy for limited metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: A phase 2 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Oncol* (2018) 4(1):e173501. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.3501

8. Palma DA, Olson R, Harrow S, Gaede S, Louie AV, Haasbeek C, et al. Stereotactic ablative radiotherapy for the comprehensive treatment of oligometastatic cancers: long-term results of the SABR-COMET phase II randomized trial. *J Clin Oncol* (2020) 38 (25):2830–8. doi: 10.1200/JCO.20.00818

9. Harris JP, Chen MM, Orosco RK, Sirjani D, Divi V, Hara W. Association of survival with shorter time to radiation therapy after surgery for US patients with head and neck cancer. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* (2018) 144(4):349–59. doi: 10.1001/jamaoto.2017.3406

10. Graboyes EM, Garrett-Mayer E, Ellis MA, Sharma AK, Wahlquist AE, Lentsch EJ, et al. Effect of time to initiation of postoperative radiation therapy on survival in surgically managed head and neck cancer. *Cancer* (2017) 123(24):4841–50. doi: 10.1002/cncr.30939