Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Christine Mall Minnar, National Cancer Institute, United States

REVIEWED BY Wenji Ma, Columbia University, United States Walter J. Storkus, University of Pittsburgh, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Sui-Qun Guo Sui-Quo5@126.com Hui-Ping Jiang 498603712@qq.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 13 August 2023 ACCEPTED 25 October 2023 PUBLISHED 10 November 2023

CITATION

Zhang K, Xie X, Zheng S-L, Deng Y-R, Liao D, Yan H-C, Kang X, Jiang H-P and Guo S-Q (2023) Tertiary lymphoid structures in gynecological cancers: prognostic role, methods for evaluating, antitumor immunity, and induction for therapy. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1276907. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1276907

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Zhang, Xie, Zheng, Deng, Liao, Yan, Kang, Jiang and Guo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Tertiary lymphoid structures in gynecological cancers: prognostic role, methods for evaluating, antitumor immunity, and induction for therapy

Ke Zhang^{1†}, Xiao Xie^{2†}, Shuang-Lin Zheng³, Yuan-Run Deng^{4,5}, Dan Liao^{4,5}, Hai-Chen Yan², Xi Kang², Hui-Ping Jiang^{4,5*†} and Sui-Qun Guo^{4,5*†}

¹Department of Gynecology, Pingxiang People's Hospital, Pingxiang, Jiangxi, China, ²Department of Urology, Pingxiang People's Hospital, Pingxiang, Jiangxi, China, ³Department of Gynecology, The Third Hospital of Mianyang, Mianyang, Sichuan, China, ⁴Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The Third Affiliated Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China, ⁵The Third Clinical College, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

Tertiary lymphoid structures (TLSs), referred to as tertiary lymphoid organs and lymphoid tissue neogenesis, are aggregates of immune cells that occur in nonlymphoid tissues. In recent years, it has been found that TLSs within the tumor microenvironment have been associated with local adaptive immune immunity against cancer and favorable prognosis in several human solid tumors, including gynecological cancers. The issue of the prognosis of gynecological cancers, including endometrial, cervical, and ovarian cancer, is an enormous challenge that many clinical doctors and researchers are now facing. Concerning the predictive prognostic role of TLSs, effective evaluation, and quantification of TLSs in human tissues may be used to assist gynecologists in assessing the clinical outcome of gynecological cancer patients. This review summarizes the current knowledge of TLSs in gynecological cancers, mainly focusing on the potential mechanism of TLS neogenesis, methods for evaluating TLSs, their prognostic value, and their role in antitumor immune immunity. This review also discusses the new therapeutic methods currently being explored in gynecological cancers to induce the formation of TLSs.

KEYWORDS

tertiary lymphoid structures, gynecological cancers, favorable prognosis, antitumor immunity, therapeutic induction

Background

Gynecological cancers, mainly include uterine corpus, cervical, and ovarian cancer, rank as the leading cause of female death and are an essential barrier to increasing life expectancy worldwide (1). Uterine corpus cancer is often referred to as endometrial cancer, as over 90% of cases have lesions arising in the endometrium, and its survival rate has not

substantially improved since the mid-1970s (2). Ovarian cancer is the second most common cause, and cervical cancer is the fourth most common cause of cancer deaths among females worldwide (3, 4). The issue of the prognosis of gynecological cancers is an enormous challenge that many clinical doctors and researchers are now facing. In recent years, it has been found that tertiary lymphoid structures (TLSs) within the tumor microenvironment (TME) have been associated with clinical survival and outcomes in several human solid tumors (5–7).

TLSs frequently occur in many pathological conditions, such as inflammatory and infectious diseases, autoimmune diseases, and cancers (8-11). In autoimmune diseases, TLSs exacerbate local autoimmune-mediated inflammation and tissue damage, and the increased severity of the disease is associated with more or larger TLSs in the affected tissue (12-14). TLSs also develop in atherosclerotic arterial segments and correlate with plaque development (15, 16). However, TLSs, identified in several solid tumor types, are associated with enhanced immune control of cancer growth and favorable clinical outcomes (17, 18). Moreover, the presence of TLSs acts as a favorable prognostic feature in many malignant tumors, regardless of the stage of the disease (5, 19-22). Concerning the predictive prognostic role of TLSs, effective evaluation, and quantification of TLSs in human tissues may be used to assist gynecologists in assessing the clinical outcome of gynecological cancer patients.

The emergence of immunotherapy provides more opportunities for cancer treatment (23-25). However, not all cancer patients treated with immunotherapy show satisfactory response rates (26, 27), and biomarkers to select ideal patients who could benefit from immunotherapy are urgently needed. It has been reported that TLSs act as predictive biomarkers of the response to cancer immunotherapy in melanoma, soft tissue sarcoma, and renal cell carcinoma (22, 28-30). This predictive role of TLSs was independent of PD-L1 expression status and CD8+T cell-density in a large-scale retrospective analysis (31). Notably, accumulating evidence suggests that TLSs are capable of generating or enhancing adaptive immune immunity and improving the efficacy of immunotherapy in humans and mice (17). Therefore, a hypothesis that TLS induction may provide a new opportunity for cancer therapy was proposed, and it has been confirmed in mouse models of ovarian cancer that TLS induction could inhibit tumor progression (32, 33).

This review briefly summarizes the current knowledge of TLSs in gynecological cancers, mainly focusing on the potential mechanism of TLS neogenesis, methods for evaluating TLSs, their prognostic value, and their role in antitumor immune immunity. This review also discusses the new therapeutic methods currently being explored in gynecological cancers to induce the formation of TLSs.

The potential mechanism of TLS neogenesis

TLSs, also referred to as tertiary lymphoid organs and lymphoid tissue neogenesis, are aggregates of lymphocytes that occur in nonlymphoid tissues (17, 34). TLSs mainly consist of B-cell follicles with/without typical germinal centers (GCs) distinguished by interdigitating networks of CD21+ follicular DCs (fDCs), adjacent T-cell regions that contain CD4+ T cells and CD8+ T cells, and PNAd+ high endothelial venules (HEVs) (35–37) (Figure 1).

To date, the mechanism of lymphoid neogenesis in the TME remains incompletely understood. Some studies suggest a parallel relationship between the neogenesis of TLSs and SLOs in that TLS neogenesis might require the local accumulation of the homeostatic chemokines CXCL13, CCL19, CCL21, and IL-7, which mediate the migration and activation of lymphoid tissue inducer cells (LTi) (38-41). LTi cells interact with stromal organizer cells and promote the recruitment of lymphocytes and the organization of TLS structures, resulting in the induction of lymphoid neogenesis (42, 43). Unlike SLOs that form during embryogenesis, TLSs form after birth. Other molecular and cellular interactions of local chronic inflammatory stimuli also induce the neogenesis of TLSs. The accumulation of local cytokines and lymphoid chemokines and the formation of vascular structures also promote the recruitment and activation of B and T cells, which sustains the formation and assembly of TLSs (11, 44-46). Current research also suggests that neoantigens are recognized by B and T cells and can promote the neogenesis of TLSs in human tumors (47, 48). For instance, in uterine cancer, POLE-EDM and MSI tumors with a higher neoantigen burden show a significantly increased number of TLSs compared with MSS tumors, which have a lower number of mutations and neoantigens (48). In HGSOC omental metastases and esophagogastric adenocarcinoma, antigen-specific B-cell responses within TLSs include clonotype selection and expansion of B cells and somatic hypermutation and isotype switching of immunoglobulins (21, 49).

Methods for evaluating TLSs in gynecological cancers

Concerning the favorable prognostic role of TLSs, effective evaluation, and quantification of TLSs in human tissues may be used to assist clinicians in assessing the clinical outcomes of gynecological cancer patients. It should be noted that the methods and markers used to evaluate TLSs often differ in existing studies of gynecological cancer (17, 50) (Table 1).

Hematoxylin-eosin (HE) staining is the most straightforward strategy to count/quantify TLSs based on morphology. This stain is also easily obtained in clinical pathological analysis. In our previous study, TLSs were counted in HE-stained sections of HGSOC

Abbreviations: TLSs, Tertiary lymphoid structures; TME, tumor microenvironment; SLOs, secondary lymphoid organs; DCs, dendritic cells; LNs, lymph nodes; GCs, germinal centers; fDCs, follicular DCs; HEVs, high endothelial venules; LTi, lymphoid tissue inducer cells; HPV, human papillomavirus; HGSOC, high-grade serous ovarian cancer; HE, hematoxylin-eosin; IHC, immunohistochemistry; ADCC, antibodydependent cellular cytotoxicity; Tfh, T follicular helper cell; CIN2/3, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia.



FIGURE 1

The composition of TLSs in gynecological cancers. Morphologically, TLSs are heterogeneous and vary from simple, diffuse lymphoid aggregates to dense, highly organized structures similar to lymph nodes. Mature TLSs mainly consist of B-cell follicles with typical GCs distinguished by interdigitating networks of CD21+ fDCs, adjacent T-cell regions that contain CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, T follicular helper cells, and PNAd+ HEVs. In terms of cell distribution, B cells gradually occupy the center of TLSs, while the central distribution of T cells in early-stage TLSs changes to the periphery over time. TLSs, tertiary lymphoid structures; GCs, germinal centers; fDCs, follicular DCs; HEVs, high endothelial venules.

TABLE 1	Methods for	• Evaluating	TLSs and the	e prognostic role of	f TLSs in	gynecological	cancers
---------	-------------	--------------	--------------	----------------------	-----------	---------------	---------

Primary or metastasis tumors	Cancer types	Cases	Stage	HE	IHC	Gene expression	Prognostic value	Refs
Primary cancer patients	Cervical cancer	93	I-II	-	CD20, CD3, CD21, and PNAd	-	favorable	(51)
	Endometrial cancer	378	I-III	HE	L1CAM	-	favorable	(19)
	Endometrial cancer	116	I-IV	-	CD20	-	-	(48)
	Endometrial cancer	104	I-IV	HE	-	-	favorable	(52)
	Endometrial cancer	85	-	HE	-	-	negative	(53)
	Ovarian cancer	60	-	-	CD20 and CD3	CETP, CCR7, SELL, LAMP3, CCL19, CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11, and CXCL13	favorable	(54)
	HGSOC	376	I-IV	HE	_	12-chemokine genes	favorable	(20)
	HGSOC	185	I-IV	HE	CD20, CD4 and CD8	-	favorable (in the presence of CXCL13)	(55)
	HGSOC	97	I-IV	HE	CD21	-	No value (PFS)	(32)
	HGSOC	81+66	I-IV	-	LAMP and CD20	-	No value (OS)	(56)

(Continued)

Primary or metastasis tumors	Cancer types	Cases	Stage	HE	IHC	Gene expression	Prognostic value	Refs
	HGSOC	30	-	-	CD4, CD8, CD20, CD21, CD208 and PNAd	-	_	(57)
mouse model	Ovarian cancer	20	-	-	CD19 and CD3	-	favorable	(33)
	Ovarian cancer	20	-	HE	_	-	favorable (along with CXCL13)	(58)
metastasis cancer patients	HGSOC omental metastases	41	III-IV	-	CD4, CD8, MECA79, Ki67 and CD45RO	-	_	(21)
Vaccinated patients	HPV16+ CIN2/3	12	-	HE	_	-	TLS neogenesis	(59)

TABLE 1 Continued

patients based on morphology (20). Nanda Horeweg et al. detected rounded aggregates of organized lymphocytes in the myometrial wall or at the tumor-invasive border as mature TLSs in endometrial cancer (19). However, TLSs counted by HE-stained sections could be potentially underestimated, easily subjected to objective bias, and poorly reproducible between pathologists (60). The literature also suggests that it is difficult to determine whether lymphocyte aggregates common in human and mouse cancers are real TLSs or just an area containing dense lymphocyte infiltration (61).

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining of markers of TLSassociated components, such as CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, CD20+ B cells, and PNAd+ HEVs, in consecutive tumor sections is commonly used to quantify TLSs (62). Then, quantitative digital pathological software is used to analyze the density, size, and cell content of TLSs on scanned images. Ying Zhang et al. detected the presence of TLSs in cervical cancer through IHC staining of CD20, CD3, CD21, and PNAd (51). However, research using multiparametric analysis emphasized the potential of "duplicate counting" or being hampered by section-to-section variability when studying single molecules in complex environments (63). In HGSOC, David R et al. performed multicolor IHC, which could simultaneously detect CD8, CD4, CD20, CD21, CD208, and PNAd (57). The staining results of the four types of lymphocyte aggregates also indicated that the development of TLSs followed a continuous maturation stage and ultimately produced a GC reaction. IHC staining of other biomarkers may also be used to quantify TLSs. In endometrial cancer, L1CAM was only expressed in mature TLSs with GCs, suggesting that L1CAM may serve as a specific marker for quantifying mature TLSs in clinical practice (19).

Gene signatures of TLSs, for instance, genes from multiple cell populations of TLSs and lymphoid chemokines, are used to evaluate TLSs at the transcriptome level. A 12-chemokine signature (CCL2, CCL3, CCL4, CCL5, CCL8, CCL18, CCL19, CCL21, CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11, and CXCL13) was initially derived from a set of genes that were biologically related to inflammation and the immune response in colorectal carcinoma (64). It is also considered highly correlated with developing and maintaining TLSs and clinical prognosis in tumors, such as CCL19, CCL21, and CXCL13, the homeostatic chemokines that likely organize TLSs (45, 65). Recent studies quantified the presence of TLSs in tumors based on the transcriptome signatures of 12 chemokines in malignant tumors, including melanoma metastases, hepatocellular carcinoma, and invasive breast cancer (20, 66-69). A bioinformatics analysis also constructed a TLS signature of ovarian cancer with prognostic value, including CXCL11, CXCL13, and CCL19, which was further validated in another ovarian cancer dataset. In this review, we quantified the abundance of TLSs in gynecological cancer based on the 12-chemokine transcriptome signature (Figure 2). The highest 12-chemokine signature score was observed in cervical cancer, the medium score in endometrial cancer (Kruskal-Wallis test, p < 0.0001), and the lowest score in ovarian cancer, indicating the existence of abundant TLSs in cervical and endometrial cancer, while the lowest TLSs were observed in ovarian cancer.

Collectively, the methods and markers used to evaluate TLSs often differ in existing studies of gynecological cancer. In the future, it is necessary to explore more efficient and accurate methods for evaluating TLSs in different cancer types. Although the range of cellular and molecular markers used to evaluate TLSs has been variable, significant evidence suggests that these structures are markers of good prognosis in gynecological cancer.

TLSs: a prognostic biomarker in gynecology cancers

Accumulating studies indicate that the presence of TLSs is associated with clinical prognosis in gynecological cancers. In cervical cancer, patients with mature TLSs show significantly higher overall survival rates than those with no or early TLSs (51). The number of TLSs also correlates with the depth of tumor invasion, preoperative chemotherapy, human papillomavirus (HPV) infection, and high levels of PD-1 (51). In endometrial cancer, both the number and presence of TLSs are strongly related to the reduction in recurrence risk, and TLSs act as a significantly favorable predictor of recurrence, independent of other



FIGURE 2

The 12-chemokine transcriptome signature of gynecological cancers. RNA-seq data of EC (n=543), CC (n=304), and OV (n=376) patients were downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas [https://www.portal.gdc.cancer.gov/]. The raw data (FPKM form) was transformed into the log (FPKM +1) for further analysis. Based on the RNA-seq data of gynecological cancer patients, the 12-chemokine transcriptome signature was calculated by the GSVA method (70) as processed in the Sangerbox platform [https://vip.sangerbox.com/home.html]. The 12-chemokine signature of each sample is listed in Supplementary Table 1. The Kruskal–Wallis test was used to compare whether there were significant differences in the 12-chemokine transcriptome signature between each pair of groups. EC, endometrial cancer; CC, cervical cancer; OV, ovarian cancer; FPKM, fragments per kilobase million; GSVA, gene set variation analysis.

clinicopathological and molecular factors (19). In ovarian cancer, the TLSs-positive group showed better overall and progression-free survival rates than the TLSs-negative group (54). TLSs in mouse ovarian cancer models can also inhibit tumor growth (33). In high-grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSOC), the coexistence of CD8+ T cells and the B-cell lineage significantly improves the clinical prognosis and is related to the presence of TLSs (71). Another study indicated that the combination of TLSs and CXCL13 is associated with prolonged overall survival rates in HGSOC patients (55).

There is a hypothesis that TLS location may affect its prognostic value in tumors. As reported in hepatocellular carcinoma, intratumoral TLSs reflect the existence of ongoing, effective antitumor immunity and are associated with a lower risk of early relapse (5), while TLSs in nonneoplastic liver tissue promote tumor development and are associated with adverse outcomes (67). However, in gynecological cancer, there is limited exploration of the relationship between TLSs at different locations and prognosis. Only a single-center, retrospective cohort study of type II endometrial cancer found that peritumoral lymphocytes with band-like structures in the forefront of endometrial cancer are associated with fatal outcomes (19). Therefore, it is necessary to conduct more detailed research on the location of TLSs in gynecology cancers to explore the relationship between TLS location and prognosis. Collectively, TLSs could serve as a novel predictive biomarker to stratify the overall survival risk of gynecology cancer patients.

Role of TLSs in the regulation of immune responses

The generation and regulation of adaptive immune responses against cancers generally occur in secondary lymphoid organs (SLOs), such as regional lymph nodes and the spleen (72-75). Further research on the TME has found that TLSs represent the local initiation and expansion sites of antigen-specific T and B cells directly in tumor tissues, indicating that antitumor adaptive immunity also occurs in TLSs (7, 36, 76, 77) (Figure 3). Here, we summarize the antitumor immune effects of TLSs in ovarian cancer. TLSs represent well-organized clusters of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, mainly consisting of B-cell follicles, adjacent T-cell regions, and PNAd+ HEVs (35, 36). The previous consensus of the field was that T cells are the primary mediator of antitumor immunity, as CD8+ T cells can directly mediate cytolytic activity against tumors (78, 79). However, it has been found in human and mouse tumors that the prominent component of TLSs is B-cell follicles. Recently, the contribution of B cells to the antitumor



FIGURE 3

The antitumor immune responses of TLSs and their components in the TME. In the T-cell region of TLSs, DCs capture and process tumor antigens and present the processed antigens to CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, resulting in the priming and activation of effector T-cell responses against tumors. In the B-cell region of TLSs, follicular DCs present tumor antigens in the form of immune complexes to follicular B cells, leading to the proliferation and activation of B cells and the differentiation of memory B cells and plasma cells. Plasma cells migrate to the tumor nest and produce antitumor antibodies, directly forming immune complexes with tumor antigens and leading to ADCC and opsonization. In the tumor nest, CD8+ T cells directly mediate cytolytic activity against tumors, and NK cells and macrophages with Fc receptors kill target tumor cells by recognizing the Fc segment of the antibody. In addition, the killing of cancer cells releases tumor antigens that can be captured and processed by DCs in the TME, which migrate to TLSs, TLSs, tertiary lymphoid structures; TME, tumor microenvironment; DCs, dendritic cells; ADCC, antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity; NK cell, natural killer cell.

immune response has been increasingly investigated. B cells have been associated with a better clinical prognosis in human primary and metastatic ovarian cancer (21, 80, 81). B cells in GCs within mature TLSs can undergo clonotype selection, expansion, somatic hypermutation, affinity maturation, and isotype switching, suggesting active humoral antitumor immunity in these structures (71). Then, these B-cell clones differentiate into plasma cells, also known as effector B cells, that can produce mature, oligoclonal IgG transcripts, potentially leading to antibodydependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) and opsonization (57). A strong B-cell memory response within TLSs found in HGSOC omental metastases, including a restricted repertoire of antigens and production of tumor-specific IgG transcripts by plasma cells, supported the development of antitumor immunity (21). It has also been found that immune complexes with IgG transcripts promote CD86 expression on *in vitro*-generated APCs, suggesting that IgG transcripts might promote antitumor responses by enhancing DC priming (21). These findings suggest that B-cell differentiation and the production and accumulation of antitumor antibodies are critical components of the effective antitumor immunity of TLSs.

In autoimmune diseases, infiltrating B cells can serve as effective APCs to initiate and activate T cells, thereby influencing and

regulating autoimmune processes (82-84). Consistent with this, in primary HGSOC, CD20+ B cells express costimulatory molecules associated with APCs, such as CD80, CD86, CD40, MHC class I, and MHC class II (71). B cells are also involved in recruiting and supporting DCs and neutrophils in TLSs of omental metastases by secreting CXCL8 (21). These findings suggest that B cells may enhance cellular immunity against tumors in an APC-dependent manner. In addition, it has previously been shown in many studies that CD20+ B cells and CD8+ T cells often colocalize in TLSs within and adjacent to tumor islets (21, 57, 71) and significantly improve the prognosis of HGSOC. Another study of primary HGSOC found that CD8+ T cells carried prognostic benefits with the presence of PCs, CD20+ B cells, and CD4+ T cells (57). Therefore, a novel cooperative interaction between B cells and T cells that reflects a supportive role of B cells in cytolytic immune responses is proposed (71, 80). As sites for the infiltration and proliferation of T and B cells, TLSs orchestrate cellular and humoral immunity to promote a synergistic antitumor immune response.

Although most research focuses on T and B cells in TLSs, other components also play a role in antitumor immunity-for example, CD21+ DCs and PNAd+ HEVs. The majority of mature DCs were localized in the stroma of the tumor and associated with TLSs. DCs in TLSs contribute to the local initiation/activation of T-cell dependent antitumor immunity, characterized by TH1 polarization and cytotoxic functions, and correlate with improved prognosis in HGSOC patients (56). CD21+ DCs in mature TLSs can also produce CXCL13, which is known to recruit and activate immune cells and promote the formation of TLSs in tumors (32). As the characteristic component that is only found within TLSs in tumors (45), PNAd+ HEVs play a critical role in orchestrating antitumor immunity, as they mediate both the type of lymphocyte and the site of entry into lymphoid tissues through the expression of vascular addressins (85). PNAd+ HEVs are also associated with an improved prognosis in many cancers (86-88) and are thought to actively modulate antitumor immune activity.

Taken together, TLSs create an organized immune structure where tumor-infiltrating B and T cells and DCs interact and activate each other, promoting a local sustained immune response, and TLSs promote the cooperative antitumor response of cellular and humoral immunity.

The induction of TLSs in gynecological cancer therapy

Since TLSs represent the main site of the immune response against tumors in the TME, inducing TLSs as an immunomodulatory target to enhance antitumor immunity seems to be a new strategy for gynecological cancer therapy. To date, research on the induction of TLSs in cervical and endometrial cancer has been limited. In contrast, the feasibility of local TLS induction has been explored in murine models of ovarian cancer. Immunocompetent mouse recombinant CXCL13 showed a significantly increased area of TLSs per tumor area, increased infiltration of CD8+ T cells around TLSs, and prolonged survival time compared with the control group (32). In contrast, CXCL13 blockade abrogated TLS formation in intraperitoneal tumorbearing mice, increasing tumor growth (33). The literature also found that CXCL13 shapes an immunoreactive TME by facilitating the maintenance of CXCR5+CD8+ T cells in TLSs, and the combination of CXCL13 and anti-PD-1 significantly inhibited tumor growth in subcutaneous murine models of ovarian cancer (55).

Other immunotherapies can also induce the formation of TLSs in murine models of ovarian cancer. T follicular helper (Tfh) cell differentiation promoted by silencing Satb1 was shown to be sufficient to drive TLS assembly in mouse models of ovarian cancer, and mice with TLSs induced by Tfh cell differentiation showed decreased tumor growth (33). Combining an oral FAK inhibitor with TIGIT-blocking antibody immunotherapy increased TILs and CXCL13 levels, leading to TLS formation and prolonged survival in experimental mouse models of aggressive ovarian cancer (58). Moreover, the cancer vaccine was shown to promote TLS formation in cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN2/3) lesions. Patients with high-grade CIN2/3 showed induced TLSs and increased infiltration of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes after undergoing peripheral vaccination with HPV antigens (59). Our previous research speculated that TLSs are also associated with a good response to immune checkpoint block therapy in HGSOC patients. However, clinical samples are lacking to validate this inference (20). These data support the strategy of inducing TLSs to improve the efficacy of antitumor responses and provide more opportunities to control and treat gynecological cancer in the future.

As mentioned above, TLSs are usually associated with increased disease severity and adverse prognostic outcomes in many cases of autoimmunity (12–14). Inducing TLSs enhances antitumor immunity and enhances autoimmune B and T-cell responses in other parts, which are detrimental to autoimmunity. However, there are limited data on the role of TLSs in immune-related adverse events. Therefore, further exploration of the potential of TLS induction is necessary to enhance antitumor immunity and affect autoreactive T and B cells. The risk-benefit ratio of this method needs to be carefully evaluated in the future.

Concluding remarks

In conclusion, TLSs serve as a novel predictive biomarker to stratify the overall survival risk of gynecology cancer patients. Although the range of cellular and molecular markers used to evaluate TLSs has been variable, significant evidence suggests that these structures are markers of good prognosis in gynecological cancers. TLSs serve as local sites for the presentation of tumor antigens by DCs and the expansion and activation of tumorinfiltrating T and B cells, resulting in tumor antigen-specific cytotoxic T cells and the production of antibodies by plasma cells. Regarding cancer therapy, ovarian cancer studies have found that inducing TLS formation through various methods, such as immunotherapy, can inhibit tumor growth. Meanwhile, the cancer vaccine can also induce the formation of TLSs in CIN2/3 patients. Therefore, the induction of TLSs may provide more opportunities to control and treat gynecological cancers in the future.

Author contributions

KZ: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. XX: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. S-LZ: Formal Analysis, Visualization, Writing – original draft. Y-RD: Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Visualization, Writing – original draft. DL: Formal Analysis, Visualization, Writing – original draft. S-QG: Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. H-PJ: Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. H-CY: Writing – original draft, Visualization. XK: Writing – original draft, Visualization.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation Youth Program of China, 82002743.

References

1. Bray F, Laversanne M, Weiderpass E, Soerjomataram I. The ever-increasing importance of cancer as a leading cause of premature death worldwide. *Cancer* (2021) 127(16):3029–30. doi: 10.1002/cncr.33587

2. Miller KD, Nogueira L, Devasia T, Mariotto AB, Yabroff KR, Jemal A, et al. Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2022. *CA Cancer J Clin* (2022) 72(5):409– 36. doi: 10.3322/caac.21731

3. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* (2021) 71(3):209–49. doi: 10.3322/ caac.21660

4. Lheureux S, Braunstein M, Oza AM. Epithelial ovarian cancer: Evolution of management in the era of precision medicine. *CA Cancer J Clin* (2019) 69(4):280–304. doi: 10.3322/caac.21559

5. Calderaro J, Petitprez F, Becht E, Laurent A, Hirsch TZ, Rousseau B, et al. Intratumoral tertiary lymphoid structures are associated with a low risk of early recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol (2019) 70(1):58–65. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.09.003

6. Meylan M, Petitprez F, Becht E, Bougoüin A, Pupier G, Calvez A, et al. Tertiary lymphoid structures generate and propagate anti-tumor antibody-producing plasma cells in renal cell cancer. *Immunity* (2022) 55(3):527–41.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2022.02.001

7. Germain C, Gnjatic S, Tamzalit F, Knockaert S, Remark R, Goc J, et al. Presence of B cells in tertiary lymphoid structures is associated with a protective immunity in patients with lung cancer. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* (2014) 189(7):832–44. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201309-1611OC

8. Takahashi Y, Onodera T, Kobayashi K, Kurosaki T. Primary and secondary B-cell responses to pulmonary virus infection. *Infect Disord Drug Targets* (2012) 12(3):232–40. doi: 10.2174/187152612800564400

9. Zaharie SD, Franken DJ, van der Kuip M, van Elsland S, de Bakker BS, Hagoort J, et al. The immunological architecture of granulomatous inflammation in central nervous system tuberculosis. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)* (2020) 125:102016. doi: 10.1016/j.tube.2020.102016

10. Jamaly S, Rakaee M, Abdi R, Tsokos GC, Fenton KA. Interplay of immune and kidney resident cells in the formation of tertiary lymphoid structures in lupus nephritis. *Autoimmun Rev* (2021) 20(12):102980. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2021.102980

11. Pitzalis C, Jones GW, Bombardieri M, Jones SA. Ectopic lymphoid-like structures in infection, cancer and autoimmunity. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2014) 14 (7):447-62. doi: 10.1038/nri3700

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2023.1276907/ full#supplementary-material

12. Pei G, Zeng R, Han M, Liao P, Zhou X, Li Y, et al. Renal interstitial infiltration and tertiary lymphoid organ neogenesis in IgA nephropathy. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* (2014) 9(2):255–64. doi: 10.2215/CJN.01150113

13. Magliozzi R, Howell O, Vora A, Serafini B, Nicholas R, Puopolo M, et al. Meningeal B-cell follicles in secondary progressive multiple sclerosis associate with early onset of disease and severe cortical pathology. *Brain* (2007) 130(Pt 4):1089–104. doi: 10.1093/brain/awm03818

14. Carragher DM, Rangel-Moreno J, Randall TD. Ectopic lymphoid tissues and local immunity. Semin Immunol (2008) 20(1):26–42. doi: 10.1016/j.smim.2007.12.004

15. Clement M, Guedj K, Andreata F, Morvan M, Bey L, Khallou-Laschet J, et al. Control of the T follicular helper-germinal center B-cell axis by CD8+regulatory T cells limits atherosclerosis and tertiary lymphoid organ development. *Circulation* (2015) 131 (6):560–70. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.010988

16. Gräbner R, Lötzer K, Döpping S, Hildner M, Radke D, Beer M, et al. Lymphotoxin beta receptor signaling promotes tertiary lymphoid organogenesis in the aorta adventitia of aged ApoE-/- mice. *J Exp Med* (2009) 206(1):233–48. doi: 10.1084/jem.20080752

17. Sautès-Fridman C, Petitprez F, Calderaro J, Fridman WH. Tertiary lymphoid structures in the era of cancer immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2019) 19(6):307–25. doi: 10.1038/s41568-019-0144-6

18. Sautès-Fridman C, Lawand M, Giraldo NA, Kaplon H, Germain C, Fridman WH, et al. Tertiary lymphoid structures in cancers: prognostic value, regulation, and manipulation for therapeutic intervention. *Front Immunol* (2016) 7:407. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00407

19. Horeweg N, Workel HH, Loiero D, Church DN, Vermij L, Léon-Castillo A, et al. Tertiary lymphoid structures critical for prognosis in endometrial cancer patients. *Nat Commun* (2022) 13(1):1373. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-29040-x

20. Zhang K, Xie X, Zou LH, Guo SQ. Tertiary lymphoid structures are associated with a favorable prognosis in high-grade serous ovarian cancer patients. *Reprod Sci* (2023) 30(8):2468–80. doi: 10.1007/s43032-023-01188-x

21. Montfort A, Pearce O, Maniati E, Vincent BG, Bixby L, Böhm S, et al. A strong B-cell response is part of the immune landscape in human high-grade serous ovarian metastases. *Clin Cancer Res* (2017) 23(1):250–62. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-16-0081

22. Cabrita R, Lauss M, Sanna A, Donia M, Skaarup Larsen M, Mitra S, et al. Tertiary lymphoid structures improve immunotherapy and survival in melanoma. *Nature* (2020) 577(7791):561–5. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1914-8

23. Riley RS, June CH, Langer R, Mitchell MJ. Delivery technologies for cancer immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Drug Discovery* (2019) 18(3):175–96. doi: 10.1038/s41573-018-0006-z

24. Igarashi Y, Sasada T. Cancer vaccines: toward the next breakthrough in cancer immunotherapy. J Immunol Res (2020) 2020:5825401. doi: 10.1155/2020/5825401

25. Szeto GL, Finley SD. Integrative approaches to cancer immunotherapy. *Trends Cancer* (2019) 5(7):400-10. doi: 10.1016/j.trecan.2019.05.010

26. Odunsi K. Immunotherapy in ovarian cancer. Ann Oncol (2017) 28(suppl_8): viii1-7. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdx444

27. Disis ML, Taylor MH, Kelly K, Beck JT, Gordon M, Moore KM, et al. Efficacy and safety of avelumab for patients with recurrent or refractory ovarian cancer: phase 1b results from the JAVELIN solid tumor trial. *JAMA Oncol* (2019) 5(3):393–401. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.6258

28. Petitprez F, de Reyniès A, Keung EZ, Chen TW, Sun CM, Calderaro J, et al. B cells are associated with survival and immunotherapy response in sarcoma. *Nature* (2020) 577(7791):556-60. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1906-8

29. Gao J, Navai N, Alhalabi O, Siefker-Radtke A, Campbell MT, Tidwell RS, et al. Neoadjuvant PD-L1 plus CTLA-4 blockade in patients with cisplatin-ineligible operable high-risk urothelial carcinoma. *Nat Med* (2020) 26(12):1845–51. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-1086-y

30. Helmink BA, Reddy SM, Gao J, Zhang S, Basar R, Thakur R, et al. B cells and tertiary lymphoid structures promote immunotherapy response. *Nature* (2020) 577 (7791):549–55. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1922-8

31. Vanhersecke L, Brunet M, Guégan JP, Rey C, Bougouin A, Cousin S, et al. Mature tertiary lymphoid structures predict immune checkpoint inhibitor efficacy in solid tumors independently of PD-L1 expression. *Nat Cancer* (2021) 2(8):794–802. doi: 10.1038/s43018-021-00232-6

32. Ukita M, Hamanishi J, Yoshitomi H, Yamanoi K, Takamatsu S, Ueda A, et al. CXCL13-producing CD4+ T cells accumulate in the early phase of tertiary lymphoid structures in ovarian cancer. *JCI Insight* (2022) 7(12). doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.157215

33. Chaurio RA, Anadon CM, Lee Costich T, Payne KK, Biswas S, Harro CM, et al. TGF- β -mediated silencing of genomic organizer SATB1 promotes Tfh cell differentiation and formation of intra-tumoral tertiary lymphoid structures. *Immunity* (2022) 55(1):115–28.e9. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2021.12.007

34. Gago da Graça C, van Baarsen LGM, Mebius RE. Tertiary lymphoid structures: diversity in their development, composition, and role. *J Immunol* (2021) 206(2):273–81. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.2000873

35. Paijens ST, Vledder A, de Bruyn M, Nijman HW. Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in the immunotherapy era. *Cell Mol Immunol* (2021) 18(4):842–59. doi: 10.1038/s41423-020-00565-9

36. Engelhard VH, Rodriguez AB, Mauldin IS, Woods AN, Peske JD, Slingluff CLJr. Immune cell infiltration and tertiary lymphoid structures as determinants of antitumor immunity. *J Immunol* (2018) 200(2):432–42. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1701269

37. Ruddle NH. High endothelial venules and lymphatic vessels in tertiary lymphoid organs: characteristics, functions, and regulation. *Front Immunol* (2016) 7:491. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00491

38. N J, J T, Sl N, Gt B. Tertiary lymphoid structures and B lymphocytes in cancer prognosis and response to immunotherapies. *Oncoimmunology* (2021) 10(1):1900508. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2021.1900508

39. Meier D, Bornmann C, Chappaz S, Schmutz S, Otten LA, Ceredig R, et al. Ectopic lymphoid-organ development occurs through interleukin 7-mediated enhanced survival of lymphoid-tissue-inducer cells. *Immunity* (2007) 26(5):643–54. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2007.04.009

40. Hess E, Duheron V, Decossas M, Lézot F, Berdal A, Chea S, et al. RANKL induces organized lymph node growth by stromal cell proliferation. *J Immunol* (2012) 188(3):1245–54. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1101513

41. Marchesi F, Martin AP, Thirunarayanan N, Devany E, Mayer L, Grisotto MG, et al. CXCL13 expression in the gut promotes accumulation of IL-22-producing lymphoid tissue-inducer cells, and formation of isolated lymphoid follicles. *Mucosal Immunol* (2009) 2(6):486–94. doi: 10.1038/mi.2009.113

42. Drayton DL, Ying X, Lee J, Lesslauer W, Ruddle NH. Ectopic LT alpha beta directs lymphoid organ neogenesis with concomitant expression of peripheral node addressin and a HEV-restricted sulfotransferase. *J Exp Med* (2003) 197(9):1153–63. doi: 10.1084/jem.20021761

43. Evans I, Kim MY. Involvement of lymphoid inducer cells in the development of secondary and tertiary lymphoid structure. *BMB Rep* (2009) 42(4):189–93. doi: 10.5483/BMBRep.2009.42.4.189

44. Pimenta EM, Barnes BJ. Role of tertiary lymphoid structures (TLS) in anti-tumor immunity: potential tumor-induced cytokines/chemokines that regulate TLS formation in epithelial-derived cancers. *Cancers (Basel)* (2014) 6(2):969–97. doi: 10.3390/ cancers6020969

45. de Chaisemartin L, Goc J, Damotte D, Validire P, Magdeleinat P, Alifano M, et al. Characterization of chemokines and adhesion molecules associated with T cell presence in tertiary lymphoid structures in human lung cancer. *Cancer Res* (2011) 71 (20):6391–9. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-0952

46. Barone F, Nayar S, Campos J, Cloake T, Withers DR, Toellner KM, et al. IL-22 regulates lymphoid chemokine production and assembly of tertiary lymphoid organs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2015) 112(35):11024–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1503315112

47. Becht E, Goc J, Germain C, Giraldo NA, Dieu-Nosjean MC, Sautès-Fridman C, et al. Shaping of an effective immune microenvironment to and by cancer cells. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2014) 63(10):991–7. doi: 10.1007/s00262-014-1590-3

48. Workel HH, Lubbers JM, Arnold R, Prins TM, van der Vlies P, de Lange K, et al. A transcriptionally distinct CXCL13(+)CD103(+)CD8(+) T-cell population is associated with B-cell recruitment and neoantigen load in human cancer. *Cancer Immunol Res* (2019) 7(5):784–96. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-18-0517

49. Schlößer HA, Thelen M, Lechner A, Wennhold K, Garcia-Marquez MA, Rothschild SI, et al. B cells in esophago-gastric adenocarcinoma are highly differentiated, organize in tertiary lymphoid structures and produce tumor-specific antibodies. *Oncoimmunology* (2019) 8(1):e1512458. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2018.1512458

50. Izar B, Tirosh I, Stover EH, Wakiro I, Cuoco MS, Alter I, et al. A single-cell landscape of high-grade serous ovarian cancer. *Nat Med* (2020) 26(8):1271–9. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-0926-0

51. Zhang Y, Li J, Yang F, Zhang X, Ren X, Wei F. Relationship and prognostic significance of IL-33, PD-1/PD-L1, and tertiary lymphoid structures in cervical cancer. *J Leukoc Biol* (2022) 112(6):1591–603. doi: 10.1002/JLB.5MA0322-746R

52. Qin M, Hamanishi J, Ukita M, Yamanoi K, Takamatsu S, Abiko K, et al. Tertiary lymphoid structures are associated with favorable survival outcomes in patients with endometrial cancer. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2022) 71(6):1431–42. doi: 10.1007/s00262-021-03093-1

53. Shimizu Y, Suzuki S, Ukai M, Hattori S, Yoshikawa N, Kajiyama H. The prognostic significance of peritumoral lymphocytes' Band-like structure in type II endometrial cancer. *Anticancer Res* (2021) 41(1):249–58. doi: 10.21873/ anticanres.14771

54. Hou Y, Qiao S, Li M, Han X, Wei X, Pang Y, et al. The gene signature of tertiary lymphoid structures within ovarian cancer predicts the prognosis and immunotherapy benefit. *Front Genet* (2022) 13:1090640. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.1090640

55. Yang M, Lu J, Zhang G, Wang Y, He M, Xu Q, et al. CXCL13 shapes immunoactive tumor microenvironment and enhances the efficacy of PD-1 checkpoint blockade in high-grade serous ovarian cancer. *J Immunother Cancer* (2021) 9(1). doi: 10.1136/jitc-2020-001136

56. Truxova I, Kasikova L, Hensler M, Skapa P, Laco J, Pecen L, et al. Mature dendritic cells correlate with favorable immune infiltrate and improved prognosis in ovarian carcinoma patients. *J Immunother Cancer* (2018) 6(1):139. doi: 10.1186/ s40425-018-0446-3

57. Kroeger DR, Milne K, Nelson BH. Tumor-infiltrating plasma cells are associated with tertiary lymphoid structures, cytolytic T-cell responses, and superior prognosis in ovarian cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* (2016) 22(12):3005–15. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-2762

58. Ozmadenci D, Shankara Narayanan JS, Andrew J, Ojalill M, Barrie AM, Jiang S, et al. Tumor FAK orchestrates immunosuppression in ovarian cancer via the CD155/ TIGIT axis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2022) 119(17):e2117065119. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.2117065119

59. Maldonado L, Teague JE, Morrow MP, Jotova I, Wu TC, Wang C, et al. Intramuscular therapeutic vaccination targeting HPV16 induces T cell responses that localize in mucosal lesions. *Sci Transl Med* (2014) 6(221):221ra13. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3007323

60. Buisseret L, Desmedt C, Garaud S, Fornili M, Wang X, Van den Eyden G, et al. Reliability of tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte and tertiary lymphoid structure assessment in human breast cancer. *Mod Pathol* (2017) 30(9):1204–12. doi: 10.1038/modpathol.2017.43

61. Dieu-Nosjean MC, Goc J, Giraldo NA, Sautès-Fridman C, Fridman WH. Tertiary lymphoid structures in cancer and beyond. *Trends Immunol* (2014) 35 (11):571-80. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2014.09.006

62. Buisseret L, Garaud S, de Wind A, Van den Eynden G, Boisson A, Solinas C, et al. Tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte composition, organization and PD-1/ PD-L1 expression are linked in breast cancer. *Oncoimmunology* (2017) 6(1):e1257452. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2016.1257452

63. Leman JK, Sandford SK, Rhodes JL, Kemp RA. Multiparametric analysis of colorectal cancer immune responses. *World J Gastroenterol* (2018) 24(27):2995–3005. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v24.i27.2995

64. Coppola D, Nebozhyn M, Khalil F, Dai H, Yeatman T, Loboda A, et al. Unique ectopic lymph node-like structures present in human primary colorectal carcinoma are identified by immune gene array profiling. *Am J Pathol* (2011) 179(1):37–45. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2011.03.007

65. Randall TD, Carragher DM, Rangel-Moreno J. Development of secondary lymphoid organs. *Annu Rev Immunol* (2008) 26:627–50. doi: 10.1146/ annurev.immunol.26.021607.090257

66. Messina JL, Fenstermacher DA, Eschrich S, Qu X, Berglund AE, Lloyd MC, et al. 12-Chemokine gene signature identifies lymph node-like structures in melanoma: potential for patient selection for immunotherapy? *Sci Rep* (2012) 2:765. doi: 10.1038/ srep00765

67. Finkin S, Yuan D, Stein I, Taniguchi K, Weber A, Unger K, et al. Ectopic lymphoid structures function as microniches for tumor progenitor cells in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nat Immunol* (2015) 16(12):1235-44. doi: 10.1038/ni.3290

68. Lin Z, Huang L, Li S, Gu J, Cui X, Zhou Y. Pan-cancer analysis of genomic properties and clinical outcome associated with tumor tertiary lymphoid structure. *Sci Rep* (2020) 10(1):21530. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-78560-3

69. Prabhakaran S, Rizk VT, Ma Z, Cheng CH, Berglund AE, Coppola D, et al. Evaluation of invasive breast cancer samples using a 12-chemokine gene expression score: correlation with clinical outcomes. *Breast Cancer Res* (2017) 19(1):71. doi: 10.1186/s13058-017-0864-z

70. Hänzelmann S, Castelo R, Guinney J. GSVA: gene set variation analysis for microarray and RNA-seq data. *BMC Bioinf* (2013) 14:7. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-14-7

71. Nielsen JS, Sahota RA, Milne K, Kost SE, Nesslinger NJ, Watson PH, et al. CD20+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes have an atypical CD27- memory phenotype and together with CD8+ T cells promote favorable prognosis in ovarian cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* (2012) 18(12):3281–92. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-0234

72. Chen DS, Mellman I. Oncology meets immunology: the cancer-immunity cycle. *Immunity* (2013) 39(1):1-10. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2013.07.012

73. Tomar N, De RK. A brief outline of the immune system. *Methods Mol Biol* (2014) 1184:3–12. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-1115-8_1

74. Sharonov GV, Serebrovskaya EO, Yuzhakova DV, Britanova OV, Chudakov DM. B cells, plasma cells and antibody repertoires in the tumour microenvironment. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2020) 20(5):294–307. doi: 10.1038/s41577-019-0257-x

75. Lian J, Luster AD. Chemokine-guided cell positioning in the lymph node orchestrates the generation of adaptive immune responses. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* (2015) 36:1–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2015.05.003

76. Goc J, Germain C, Vo-Bourgais TK, Lupo A, Klein C, Knockaert S, et al. Dendritic cells in tumor-associated tertiary lymphoid structures signal a Th1 cytotoxic immune contexture and license the positive prognostic value of infiltrating CD8+ T cells. *Cancer Res* (2014) 74(3):705–15. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-1342

77. Teillaud JL, Dieu-Nosjean MC. Tertiary lymphoid structures: an anti-tumor school for adaptive immune cells and an antibody factory to fight cancer? *Front Immunol* (2017) 8:830. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00830

78. Santoiemma PP, Powell DJJr. Tumor infiltrating lymphocytes in ovarian cancer. *Cancer Biol Ther* (2015) 16(6):807–20. doi: 10.1080/15384047.2015.1040960

79. Hwang WT, Adams SF, Tahirovic E, Hagemann IS, Coukos G. Prognostic significance of tumor-infiltrating T cells in ovarian cancer: a meta-analysis. *Gynecol Oncol* (2012) 124(2):192–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2011.09.039

80. Nielsen JS, Nelson BH. Tumor-infiltrating B cells and T cells: Working together to promote patient survival. *Oncoimmunology* (2012) 1(9):1623–5. doi: 10.4161/ onci.21650

81. Milne K, Köbel M, Kalloger SE, Barnes RO, Gao D, Gilks CB, et al. Systematic analysis of immune infiltrates in high-grade serous ovarian cancer reveals CD20, FoxP3 and TIA-1 as positive prognostic factors. *PloS One* (2009) 4(7):e6412. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0006412

82. Arneth BM. Impact of B cells to the pathophysiology of multiple sclerosis. J Neuroinflamm (2019) 16(1):128. doi: 10.1186/s12974-019-1517-1

83. Häusler D, Häusser-Kinzel S, Feldmann L, Torke S, Lepennetier G, Bernard CCA, et al. Functional characterization of reappearing B cells after anti-CD20 treatment of CNS autoimmune disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2018) 115 (39):9773–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1810470115

84. Ellis JS, Braley-Mullen H. Mechanisms by which B cells and regulatory T cells influence development of murine organ-specific autoimmune diseases. *J Clin Med* (2017) 6(2). doi: 10.3390/jcm6020013

85. Ager A. High endothelial venules and other blood vessels: critical regulators of lymphoid organ development and function. *Front Immunol* (2017) 8:45. doi: 10.3389/fmmu.2017.00045

86. Martinet L, Garrido I, Filleron T, Le Guellec S, Bellard E, Fournie JJ, et al. Human solid tumors contain high endothelial venules: association with T- and B-lymphocyte infiltration and favorable prognosis in breast cancer. *Cancer Res* (2011) 71(17):5678–87. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-0431

87. Zhan Z, Shi-Jin L, Yi-Ran Z, Zhi-Long L, Xiao-Xu Z, Hui D, et al. High endothelial venules proportion in tertiary lymphoid structure is a prognostic marker and correlated with anti-tumor immune microenvironment in colorectal cancer. *Ann Med* (2023) 55(1):114–26. doi: 10.1080/07853890.2022.2153911

88. Sawada J, Hiraoka N, Qi R, Jiang L, Fournier-Goss AE, Yoshida M, et al. Molecular signature of tumor-associated high endothelial venules that can predict breast cancer survival. *Cancer Immunol Res* (2022) 10(4):468–81. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-21-0369