



## OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY  
Alessandro Isidori,  
AORMN Hospital, Italy

## \*CORRESPONDENCE

Liren Qian  
✉ qlr2007@126.com

RECEIVED 02 August 2023

ACCEPTED 07 August 2023

PUBLISHED 14 August 2023

## CITATION

Qian L, Chavez JC and Prakash G (2023)  
Editorial: Advances in the treatment of  
primary central nervous system lymphoma.  
*Front. Oncol.* 13:1271566.  
doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1271566

## COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Qian, Chavez and Prakash. This is an  
open-access article distributed under the  
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution  
License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or  
reproduction in other forums is permitted,  
provided the original author(s) and the  
copyright owner(s) are credited and that  
the original publication in this journal is  
cited, in accordance with accepted  
academic practice. No use, distribution or  
reproduction is permitted which does not  
comply with these terms.

# Editorial: Advances in the treatment of primary central nervous system lymphoma

Liren Qian<sup>1\*</sup>, Julio C. Chavez<sup>2</sup> and Gaurav Prakash<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Senior Department of Hematology, The Fifth Medical Center of PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China,

<sup>2</sup>Department of Malignant Hematology, Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL, United States,

<sup>3</sup>Department of Clinical Hematology and Medical Oncology, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India

## KEYWORDS

central nervous system, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, PCNSL, autologous stem-cell transplantation, chimeric antigen receptor, CD79b

## Editorial on the Research Topic

### [Advances in the treatment of primary central nervous system lymphoma](#)

Primary central nervous system lymphoma was first reported by Percival Bailey in 1929, but he did not explicitly name it “lymphoma”, but considered this type of tumor to be a perivascular sarcoma, originating from the perivascular sheath of the leptomeninges (1). In 1938, Yuile C. L. described it as “primary reticulum cell sarcoma of the brain” by a case report (2). Until 1963, Bursrein, S. D. et al. found that reticuloendothelial neoplasms of the central nervous system were histologically similar to lymphoma (3). Nevertheless, they included this type in the category of reticulum cell sarcoma. In 1974, James M. Henry et al. found that primary “reticular cell sarcomato-microgliomatosis” of central nervous system was histologically identical to malignant lymphoma, so it was named primary central nervous system lymphoma (PCNSL) (4).

After nearly a century of development, great progress has been made in the diagnosis, classification, staging, treatment and prognosis of PCNSL. The ultimate goal of these basic and clinical researches is to prolong the life of patients and improve their quality of life. This Research Topic focuses on the advances in the treatment of PCNSL, wish to improve the prognosis of this rare central nervous system tumor. This Research Topic contains 5 articles, involving chimeric antigen receptor T(CAR-T) cell therapy, autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, new chemotherapy regimens and new prognostic models.

In the article by Miyao et al. from Japanese Anjo Kosei Hospital, they reviewed the role of Chimeric antigen receptor T (CAR-T) cells in PCNSL. CAR-T cell therapy has been proved to be effective in peripheral B-cell lymphoma, myeloma and other hematologic malignancies, but its role in PCNSL is still under clinical study. This review highlighted current state of CAR-T cell therapy in PCNSL, its resistance mechanisms and future directions in the field. It was suggested that the response rate and durable response of PCNSL could be improved by CAR-T cells combined with conventional high-dose MTX chemotherapy. Combining CAR-T cells with conventional high-dose MTX chemotherapy

could also improve the response rate and durable response for PCNSL, and reduce the dose of conventional high-dose chemotherapy and its toxicity.

Liu et al. from the Fifth Medical Center of Chinese PLA General Hospital attempted to identify the status of autologous stem-cell transplantation (ASCT) by meta-analysis. The status of ASCT in the PCNSL is still controversial. By analyzing data from PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane Library databases on newly diagnosed PCNSL, they found that consolidation with ASCT after chemotherapy may achieve better therapeutic outcomes: complete remission rate, overall response rate, and relapse rate were 80%, 95% and 19%, 5-year PFS and OS rates were 65% and 69%, comparable to whole brain radiation. Most of the current studies on the application of ASCT in PCNSL are single-arm studies or retrospective studies. Based on this meta-analysis, we expect further randomized double-blind controlled studies with a large sample size and higher evidence level.

Sun et al. from Chinese Beijing Tiantan Hospital analyzed 243 patients with PCNSL retrospectively. They found that among 95 patients receiving non-myeloablative sequential consolidation chemotherapy by either pemetrexed or etoposide plus cytarabine, median PFS was 28 months, and estimated 4 years overall survival was 78.7%, higher than 14 months and 61.6% for all patients. Age and early treatment response were independent predictors of survival. It was suggested that high-dose MTX induction chemotherapy with sequential non-myeloablative consolidation chemotherapy may be a better treatment for PCNSL. Compared with the results in the article by Liu et al. in this Research Topic, non-myeloablative sequential consolidation chemotherapy was not inferior to ASCT. However, more rigorous prospective controlled studies are still needed for further demonstration.

In the article by Zhou et al. from Chinese Shenzhen People's Hospital, primary CNS diffuse large B cell lymphoma was divided into CDP group and non-CDP group. The biggest difficulty of targeted precision therapy in DLBCL is the heterogeneity of genetic characteristics and phenotypes of DLBCL. It is now clear that DLBCL is not a single disease, but a series of subgroups of diseases, each with distinct molecular and biological characteristics and dependent on different carcinogenic pathways. This biological heterogeneity divided DLBCL into more subgroups by genotyping. In 2000, Alizadeh et al. divided DLBCL into GCB type and ABC type (5). In 2002, Rosenwald et al. divided DLBCL into GCB type, ABC type and Type 3 (6), opening a chapter of precise treatment of lymphoma. Since then, with the improvement of detection technology, the genotyping of DLBCL has made rapid progress until the 5 classification by Chapuy et al. in 2018 (7), the 7 classification in 2020 and 2021 by National Cancer Institute (NCI) and UK Hematological Malignancies Research Network (HMRN) (8–10). These genotypes provided a reliable basis for the precise treatment of DLBCL. It is not clear whether the primary CNS diffuse large B cell lymphoma is consistent with the gene subtype of peripheral DLBCL. This study divided PCNSL patients into CDP group and non-CDP group based on whether they had

CD79B and PIM1 mutations. They found that CDP patients had a higher 2-year survival rate than non-CDP patients (76% VS 40%). Further research is needed to confirm the exact mechanism and whether primary CNS DLBCL can be further subdivided like peripheral DLBCL. Perhaps this study will open a new chapter of PCNSL precision therapy.

A novel prognostic model of PCNSL was established based on the systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) and the Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) model by Li et al. from Chinese Huashan Hospital. The MSKCC model has been used to predict the prognosis of PCNSL since it was reported in 2006. In recent 2 decades, the diagnosis, treatment and prognosis of PCNSL have made great progress, so the model needs to be updated. In addition, SII has also been used to predict the prognosis of various malignant tumors, and has also been used to predict the prognosis of PCNSL. In this paper, a new SII-MSKCC prognostic model (including SII, age, and KPS score) was established and validated by combining SII and MSKCC models. It was found that SII-MSKCC model is more precise than MSKCC model, which needs to be further verified in clinical practice and may be useful for clinical application.

In conclusion, this Research Topic brings together the latest cutting-edge researches on the treatment and prognosis of PCNSL, which makes a contribution to the development of the treatment of PCNSL and points out the direction of further research. Finally, we would like to thank the authors for publishing their excellent papers on our Research Topic, as well as the reviewers for their professional opinions and the editorial staff for their support.

## Author contributions

LQ: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JC: Writing – review & editing, Resources. GP: Resources, Writing – review & editing.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The authors declared that they were an editorial board member of *Frontiers*, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

1. Bailey P. Intracranial sarcomatous tumors of leptomeningeal origin. *Arch Surg* (1929) 18(4):1359–402. doi: 10.1001/archsurg.1929.01140130449031
2. L. YC. Case of primary reticulum cell sarcoma of the brain. *Arch Pathol* (1938) 26 (1036-1044):30.
3. Burstein SD, Kernohan JW, Uihlein A. Neoplasms of the reticuloendothelial system of the brain. *Cancer* (1963) 16:289–305.
4. Henry JM, Hefner RR Jr., Dillard SH, Earle KM, Davis RL. Primary Malignant lymphomas of the central nervous system. *Cancer* (1974) 34(4):1293–302.
5. Alizadeh AA, Eisen MB, Davis RE, Ma C, Lossos IS, Rosenwald A, et al. Distinct types of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma identified by gene expression profiling. *Nature* (2000) 403(6769):503–11. doi: 10.1038/35000501
6. Rosenwald A, Wright G, Chan WC, Connors JM, Campo E, Fisher RI, et al. The use of molecular profiling to predict survival after chemotherapy for diffuse large-B-cell lymphoma. *N Engl J Med* (2002) 346(25):1937–47. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa012914
7. Chapuy B, Stewart C, Dunford AJ, Kim J, Kamburov A, Redd RA, et al. Molecular subtypes of diffuse large B cell lymphoma are associated with distinct pathogenic mechanisms and outcomes. *Nat Med* (2018) 24(5):679–90. doi: 10.1038/s41591-018-0016-8
8. Wright GW, Huang DW, Phelan JD, Coulibaly ZA, Roulland S, Young RM, et al. A probabilistic classification tool for genetic subtypes of diffuse large B cell lymphoma with therapeutic implications. *Cancer Cell* (2020) 37(4):551–68 e14. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2020.03.015
9. Runge HFP, Lacy S, Barrans S, Beer PA, Painter D, Smith A, et al. Application of the lymphgen classification tool to 928 clinically and genetically-characterised cases of diffuse large B cell lymphoma (Dlbcl). *Br J Haematol* (2021) 192(1):216–20. doi: 10.1111/bjh.17132
10. Lacy SE, Barrans SL, Beer PA, Painter D, Smith AG, Roman E, et al. Targeted sequencing in dlbl, molecular subtypes, and outcomes: A haematological Malignancy research network report. *Blood* (2020) 135(20):1759–71. doi: 10.1182/blood.2019003535