Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Saad Zafar Usmani, Levine Cancer Institute, United States

REVIEWED BY Enrico laccino, Magna Græcia University of Catanzaro, Italy Francesca Cottini, The Ohio State University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Wojciech Fendler ⊠wojciech.fendler@umed.lodz.pl

RECEIVED 30 June 2023 ACCEPTED 23 August 2023 PUBLISHED 27 September 2023

CITATION

Mikulski D, Nowicki M, Dróźdź I, Misiewicz M, Kościelny KP, Okoński K, Krawiec K, Perdas E, Wierzbowska A and Fendler W (2023) High serum miR-223-3p expression level predicts complete response and prolonged overall survival in multiple myeloma patients undergoing autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1250355. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1250355

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Mikulski, Nowicki, Dróźdź, Misiewicz, Kościelny, Okoński, Krawiec, Perdas, Wierzbowska and Fendler. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. High serum miR-223-3p expression level predicts complete response and prolonged overall survival in multiple myeloma patients undergoing autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation

Damian Mikulski^{1,2}, Mateusz Nowicki^{3,4}, Izabela Dróźdż⁵, Małgorzata Misiewicz³, Kacper Piotr Kościelny¹, Karol Okoński¹, Kinga Krawiec^{3,4}, Ewelina Perdas¹, Agnieszka Wierzbowska^{3,4} and Wojciech Fendler^{1*}

¹Department of Biostatistics and Translational Medicine, Medical University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland, ²Department of Hematooncology, Copernicus Memorial Hospital in Lodz, Lodz, Poland, ³Department of Hematology and Transplantology, Copernicus Memorial Hospital in Lodz, Lodz, Poland, ⁴Department of Hematology, Medical University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland, ⁵Department of Clinical Genetics, Medical University of Lodz, Poland

Introduction: AHSCT is the treatment of choice for newly diagnosed patients with transplant-eligible multiple myeloma (MM). However, considerable variability in response to autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (AHSCT) results in only 50% of patients achieving complete response (CR) after AHSCT, which is directly associated with improved progression-free and overall survival (OS). In this study, we aimed to investigate the potential predictive role of selected serum miRNAs in MM patients who underwent AHSCT.

Patients and methods: Serum expression level of 6 miRNAs: miR-221-3p, miR-15b-5p, miR-223-3p, miR-320c, miR-361-3p, and miR-150-5p was evaluated in 51 patients who underwent AHSCT. Blood samples were collected at two time points: before conditioning chemotherapy (T1) and fourteen days after transplant (+14) (T2).

Results: All selected miRNAs significantly changed their expression level across the procedure- two were up-regulated after AHSCT: hsa-miR-320c (FC 1.42, p<0.0001) and hsa-miR-361-3p (FC 1.35, p=0.0168); four were down-regulated: hsa-miR-15b-5p (FC 0.53, p<0.0001), hsa-miR-221-3p (FC 0.78, p=0.0004), hsa-miR-223-3p (FC 0.74, p=0.0015) and hsa-miR-150-5p (FC 0.75, p=0.0080). Notably, before AHSCT, hsa-miR-223-3p was down-regulated in International Staging System (ISS) III patients (FC=0.76, p=0.0155), and hsa-miR-320c was up-regulated (FC=1.27, p=0.0470). These differences became non-

significant after AHSCT. Eight (15.69%) patients achieved CR before AHSCT and 17 patients (33.33%) at +100 days after AHSCT. In multivariate logistic regression analysis, achievement of CR after induction and hsa-miR-223-3p at T1 were independent predictors of CR after AHSCT. In multivariate Cox regression analysis, hsa-miR-223-3p at T1 expression level was associated with prolonged OS (HR 0.06, 95%CI: 0.00 - 0.99, p=0.0488).

Conclusion: Serum expression of has-miR-223-3p is a predictor of CR and prolonged OS in MM patients undergoing AHSCT.

KEYWORDS

aHSCT, autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, complete response, CR, MiR-223-3p, miRNA, multiple myeloma

Introduction

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a hematologic malignancy characterized by the clonal proliferation of plasma cells in the bone marrow, producing aberrant monoclonal protein. In 2018, the global incidence of MM was 160,000 cases, and the global myeloma mortality rate was 106,000 patients (1). In recent years, a significant breakthrough was made in patient outcomes due to novel medications such as proteasome inhibitors (PI), immunomodulatory drugs (IMiDs), and monoclonal antibodies (2, 3). Despite the advances in treatment options, MM remains incurable, and almost all patients eventually relapse.

High-dose melphalan (HDM), followed by autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (AHSCT) was introduced in the 1980s and significantly increased the survival of MM patients (4, 5). In 2019, more than 28.700 AHSCT procedures were performed in Europe, and the majority (55%) were performed in MM patients (6). The significance of AHSCT in treating MM patients has been questioned as the availability of novel targeted therapies increases (7, 8), leading to several randomized trials to establish the role of AHSCT in the novel therapy era (9–11). Despite concerns, HDM-AHSCT remains the treatment of choice for newly diagnosed, transplant-eligible MM patients (12).

However, there is still considerable variability in response to AHSCT. Some patients achieve long-term remission, while up to 19% of patients experience early relapse (ER) within 18 months after transplant (13). CR after AHSCT was previously shown to be associated with superior OS in MM patients (14). On the other hand, early relapse after AHSCT is strongly associated with reduced survival, independently from cytogenetic risk (13, 15). Identifying predictive biomarkers that might stratify patients depending on their likelihood of achieving response following AHSCT is therefore essential to direct maintenance and or/consolidation treatment and monitoring protocols and improve patient outcomes.

An emergent class of biomarkers – circulating miRNAs has shown tremendous promise in oncology (16). MiRNAs are small non-coding RNAs that play a crucial role in post-transcriptional gene regulation by binding to the 3' untranslated region (3'UTR) of target mRNAs, leading to their degradation or translational repression (17). MiRNAs have been linked to various cellular processes, including cell proliferation, apoptosis, and differentiation, and their dysregulation has been associated with the development of cancer non- and cancer diseases (18-20). MiRNAs have been implicated as essential actors in a variety of cardiovascular disorders, neurodegenerative disorders, and immune dysfunctions (21-23). In addition, miRNAs have been shown to regulate a myriad of physiological processes including cardiac remodeling and vascular homeostasis, as well as synaptic plasticity and neuronal survival mechanisms (24, 25). MiRNAs found in serum and exosomes have exhibited utility as valuable biomarkers for diagnostic and prognostic purposes, while also offering insights into treatment refractoriness and potential therapeutic interventions (26-28). In recent years, miRNAs have emerged as potential factors associated with MM pathogenesis, including tumor initiation and progression; they can also be used for MM diagnosis, risk stratification, and drug response prediction (29-34).

In this study, we aimed to investigate the potential prognostic role of selected serum miRNAs in MM patients who underwent AHSCT (35). We hypothesized that these miRNAs may be associated with critical biological processes involved in MM progression and predict the patient's response to treatment.

Materials and methods

Patients and treatment

The study group consisted of prospectively recruited patients treated with AHSCT at the Department of Hematology and Transplantology, Copernicus Memorial Hospital in Lodz, Poland. The inclusion criteria were (1) age >18 years; (2) diagnosis of MM; (3) qualification to AHSCT during the treatment schedule. The exclusion criteria included contraindications to AHSCT, mainly Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation-Comorbidity Index score (HCT-CI) \geq 3. The myeloablative conditioning regimen was high-dose melphalan (200 mg/m2) (12). All patients have received granulocyte-colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) from +4 day after

AHSCT (72 h after transplantation) until engraftment. Engraftment was defined as the first three days with a neutrophil count $>0.5 \times 10^9$. Response to treatment and relapse/progression were classified based on International Myeloma Working Group (IMWG) criteria (36, 37). Cytogenetic abnormalities were classified according to the IMWG classification (38–40). The study was conducted according to good clinical and laboratory practice rules and the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All procedures were approved by the local ethical committee (The Ethical Committee of the Medical University of Lodz, No RNN/424/19/KE). Each patient signed the informed consent for all examinations and procedures.

miRNAs

In this study, we selected for investigation miRNAs that were previously reported to be deregulated in biology of MM, including tumor growth, drug resistance, and immune modulation: hsa-miR-15b-5p (41), hsa-miR-320c (42), hsa-miR-221-3p (43), hsa-miR-223-3p (44), hsa-miR-361-3p (45), hsa-miR-150-5p (46). In contrast to previous studies, we chose to concentrate on miRNAs expression in serum due to the clinical availability, reliability, and simplicity of introducing blood-based biomarker tests into clinical practice.

Samples collection

Blood samples were collected at two time points: before conditioning chemotherapy (T1) and fourteen days after transplant (+14) (T2). Blood samples were drawn into anticoagulant-free containers and kept at room temperature for 30 minutes. Serum was separated by centrifugation at 1500 g for 15 minutes at 4°C. The serum samples were kept at -80°C until analysis.

RT-qPCR

The expression levels of selected miRNAs were quantified by RT-qPCR using the miRCURY LNA miRNA Custom PCR Panels (QIAGEN) using the Roche Lightcycler 480 qPCR platform. Total RNA, including miRNA, was extracted using the miRNeasy Serum/ Plasma Advanced Kit (QIAGEN), following a phenol-free protocol, in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, a 200 µl volume of serum was mixed with Buffer RPL to facilitate effective lysis condition and to stabilize RNA. Subsequently, the resulting mixture was combined with Buffer RPP and subjected to centrifugation to separate out contaminants like plasma proteins. Isopropanol was then introduced to the supernatant, creating favorable conditions for RNA molecules exceeding 18 nucleotides in length to adhere to a silica membrane. The kit employs a spincolumn technology-based procedure. The sample, treated in this manner, was then applied to the RNeasy UCP MinElute spin column. During this step, RNA binds to the membrane, while undesired analytes and contaminants are removed through subsequent washing stages. In the concluding phase, total RNA molecules exceeding 18 nucleotides in length were eluted from the column using 20 μL of RNase-free water and stored at $-20^\circ C$ until further use.

The expression levels of selected miRNAs were quantified by RTqPCR using the miRCURY LNA miRNA Custom PCR Panels (QIAGEN). Briefly, 2 µL of cDNA was synthesized from the obtained total RNA including mature miRNAs (<200 bp), using the miRCURY LNA Reverse Transcription Kit (QIAGEN) according to the manual provided by the manufacturer. Mature miRNAs were polyadenylated by poly(A) polymerase and reverse transcribed into cDNA using oligo-dT primers. The cDNA was stored at -20°C until further analysis. Next, a premix of 3 µL of cDNA template (diluted 1:30), 5 µL of 2X miRCURY LNA SYBR Green PCR Master Mix and filled with RNase-free water to the final volume of 10 µL, was aliquoted into the PCR plate. Real-time PCR was performed on a LightCycler480 II Real-Time PCR System (Roche, Pleasanton, CA, USA). The reaction was performed at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 55 amplification cycles at 95°C for 10 s and 56°C for 1 min. Absolute quantification of miRNA was determined using the LightCycler® 480 Software, Version 1.5 (Roche, Mannheim, Germany).

Statistical analysis

The expression of miRNAs was calculated according to the Δ Ct method (47). RT-qPCR data were normalized by using the mean expression value of two miRNAs in a given sample (hsa-miR-27b-3p and hsa-miR-148b-3p) which were found to be the most stable factor according to NormiRazor Software in our previous study on AHSCT recipients (35, 48). The formula used to calculate the normalized Ct values was: Normalized Δ Ct = (mean Ct of hsa-miR-27b-3p and hsa-miR-148b-3p) – Ct miRNA of interest.

This approach results in higher values for higher miRNA expression enabling straightforward biomarker interpretation.

Differential expression analysis was performed using an unequal variances t-test. Paired measurements were compared using paired t-test. Differences between groups for continuous variables were compared using the Student's t-test or U Mann-Whitney test depending on the variable distribution. Logistic regression univariate and multivariate were conducted to establish the association of selected miRNA and clinical variables with CR achievement after AHSCT. WEKA was used to train a classifier using as an outcome of CR achievement after AHSCT. Cox univariate and multivariate models were performed to establish the prognostic value of miRNAs. Kaplan-Meier plots and log-rank tests were performed to visualize and compare survival curves.

Our study was conducted according to the pre-planned sample size estimation, as we expected a difference in the experimental and control means of 0.5 Δ Ct with a standard deviation of 0.5, we needed to study 17 experimental subjects and 17 control subjects to be able to reject the null hypothesis that the means of the experimental and control groups are equal with probability (power) 0.8 and type I error probability of 0.05.

All statistical analyses were conducted using Statistica Version 13.1 (TIBCO, Palo Alto, CA, USA) and R programming language (version 4.0.2). Normalized miRNA expression data with crucial variables were provided in Supplementary File 1. Most of the

Results

Study group characteristics

The study group consisted of 27 women and 24 men with a mean age of 59.5 ± 8.9 (range 35.5-71.9) years. Among CRAB symptoms at the time of diagnosis, the most prevalent symptoms were bone disease (66.7%) and anemia (19.6%). In the majority of patients (72.6%) IgG was the dominant paraprotein, followed by light chain disease (LCD) (17.6%). Nineteen patients (37.3%) had stage III disease, according to the International Staging System (ISS). Among 13 patients with available cytogenetic assessment, 4 had high-risk cytogenetic abnormalities.

Most patients (68.6%) received a bortezomib-based induction regimen, most commonly VCD (bortezomib, cyclophosphamide, dexamethasone, 56.9%). Seventeen patients (33.3%) had refractory disease to the first line and required subsequent systemic therapy line before AHSCT- most commonly in second-line patients received bortezomib-based regimens (29.4%) with or without thalidomide (VTD/VCD/VD) and lenalidomide-dexamethasone (Rd) (23.5%). Two patients underwent tandem AHSCT; samples were collected during the first procedure. Eight patients achieved complete response (CR) before AHSCT. The detailed characteristics of the study cohort were provided in Table 1.

The AHSCT course and outcome

Most patients (N=34, 66.7%) received a melphalan 200 mg/m2 conditioning regimen, 17 (33.3%) received a reduced dose of melphalan. Patients received a median of 3.9 x 10⁶ CD34+ cells/kg (IQR 3-5.3 x 10⁶ CD34+ cells/kg). The median ANC engraftment was 11 days (interquartile range, IQR: 11-12), and the median PLT engraftment time was 16 days (IQR: 13-19). At +100 days after AHSCT, 17 patients (33.33%) achieved CR, 18 patients (35.29%) had very good partial response (VGPR), 9 (17.65%) had a partial response (PR), two patients had stable disease and one patient had disease progression. There was no reimbursement for standard lenalidomide maintenance after AHSCT in Poland at the time of the study, so only five patients received maintenance treatment: 2 patients received lenalidomide, two patients received thalidomide, and one patient received bortezomib in monotherapy. The median PFS time in our study cohort was 29.83 months, 95% CI: 22.77 - 37.00 months, and the median OS was 58.83 months, 95% CI: 37.43 - 93.90 months.

Influence of the AHSCT procedure and other clinical factors on the miRNA expression levels

Overall, 3 of 102 (2.94%) samples were excluded due to technical issues from analysis. All selected miRNAs significantly changed their

TABLE 1 Characteristics of the study group.

| Characteristics | Total |
|------------------------------------|----------------|
| Number of patients | 51 (100) |
| Gender | M: 24 (47.06) |
| | F: 27 (52.94) |
| Age | 59.53 ± 8.85 |
| mean + SD (range) | (35.46-71.89) |
| Treatment | |
| VCD | 29 (56.86) |
| VTD | 5 (9.80) |
| CTD | 12 (23.53) |
| Other | 5 (9.80) |
| Bortezomib-based induction regimen | |
| Yes | 35 (68.63) |
| No | 16 (31.37) |
| Paraprotein | |
| IgG | 37 (72.55) |
| IgA | 4 (7.84) |
| IgM | 1 (1.96) |
| LCD Lambda | 5 (9.80) |
| LCD Kappa | 4 (7.84) |
| Bone disease at diagnosis | 34 (66.67) |
| Calcium > 2.75 mmol/L at diagnosis | 4 (7.84) |
| HB < 10 g/dL at diagnosis | 10 (19.61) |
| Creatinine > 2 mg/dL at diagnosis | 6 (11.76) |
| International Staging System (ISS) | I-18 (35.29) |
| | II-14 (27.45) |
| | III-19 (37.25) |
| Conditioning regimen | |
| Mel-175, 140, 100 | 17 (33.3) |
| Mel-200 | 34 (66.67) |
| Cytogenetics* | N = 13 |
| t(4;14) | 1 |
| t(14;16) | 2 |
| del(17p) | 1 |
| t(11;14) | 2 |
| Cytogenetic risk groups | |
| High Risk | 4 (7.84) |
| Standard Risk | 9 (17.65) |
| Unknown Risk | 38 (74.51) |

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

| Characteristics | Total |
|-----------------------|------------|
| Response before AHSCT | |
| CR | 8 (15.69) |
| VGPR | 22 (43.14) |
| PR | 16 (31.37) |
| SD | 3 (5.88) |
| missing | 2 (3.92) |
| Response after AHSCT | |
| CR | 17 (33.33) |
| VGPR | 18 (35.29) |
| PR | 9 (17.65) |
| SD | 2 (3.92) |
| PD | 1 (1.96) |
| missing | 4 (7.84) |

*Cytogenetics data were available for 13 patients (25.49%).

expression level across the procedure- two were up-regulated after AHSCT: hsa-miR-320c (FC 1.42, p<0.0001) and hsa-miR-361-3p (FC 1.35, p=0.0168); four were down-regulated: hsa-miR-15b-5p (FC 0.53, p<0.0001), hsa-miR-221-3p (FC 0.78, p=0.0004), hsa-miR-223-3p (FC 0.74, p=0.0015) and hsa-miR-150-5p (FC 0.75, p=0.0080) (Table 2). Notably, before AHSCT, hsa-miR-223-3p was down-regulated in ISS III patients (FC=0.76, p=0.0155) and hsa-miR-320c was up-regulated (FC=1.27, p=0.0470) (Supplementary Table 1). These differences became non-significant after AHSCT (FC 0.98, p=0.9249 and FC 1.15, p=0.4195, respectively) (Figure 1). There were no significant differences in miRNA expression level according to the myeloma type (LCD vs other), induction treatment (bortezomib-based regimen vs other), age (>60 years vs younger), presence of the CRAB symptoms at the time of diagnosis. There were also no significant differences in high-risk cytogenetic group compared to standard risk group, however, it should be noted that cytogenetic data were available only for 13 patients (25.49%). In

TABLE 2 Expression of selected miRNAs before and after AHSCT.

addition, there were no significant differences in miRNAs expression in patients with and without CR before AHSCT.

Factors affecting response to AHSCT

To investigate the potential factors associated with CR achievement after AHSCT, univariate logistic regression of clinical variables and miRNAs expression levels were conducted. We found that CR achievement before AHSCT was the only significant clinical factor associated with complete response after AHSCT (OR 23.10, 95%CI: 2.53-210.89, p=0.0054) (Table 3). ISS III (OR 0.25) and ≥ 2 chemotherapy lines before AHSCT (OR 0.31) were associated with poorer response, however, they did not yield a statistically significant effect (p=0.0596 and p=0.1027, respectively). Among investigated miRNAs, two were significantly associated with CR after AHSCT: hsa-miR-223-3p at T1 (OR 5.76, 95%CI: 1.63-20.28, p=0.0065) and hsa-miR-221-3p at T2 (OR 3.57, 95%CI: 1.09-11.75, p=0.0362). hsamiR-223-3p (AUC 0.76, 95%CI: 0.61-0.91) had generally higher discriminative power for differentiating CR from non-CR patients than hsa-miR-221-3p (AUC 0.68, 95%CI: 0.52-0.84), however, the difference was not statistically significant (p=0.4983). ROC analysis showed that hsa-miR-223-3p expression level T1 at a cut point of 4.494 achieved sensitivity and specificity of 88.2% and 62.1%, respectively (Figure 2). hsa-miR-221-3p T2 expression level had at a cut point of 0.374 reached 52.9% sensitivity and 78.6% specificity. In multivariate logistic regression analysis, only CR before AHSCT and hsa-miR-223-3p at T1 were independent predictors of CR after AHSCT.

Development of classification model of CR

To evaluate the discriminant power of hsa-miR-223-3p and clinical variables in discriminating patients with CR after AHSCT, a model was trained using CostSensitiveClassifier from the set of meta-classifiers of the WEKA software. CR before AHSCT and hsamiR-223-3p expression at T1 were used as input variables. RandomTree classifier was used with weights adjustment applied during learning due to class imbalance (14 CR vs 32 non-CR cases). Our model in 10-fold cross-validation preserved its diagnostic

| miR | Before AHSCT Mean ∆Ct | Before AHSCT SD | After AHSCT Mean ∆Ct | After AHSCT SD | FC | log2FC | p-value |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|------|--------|---------|
| hsa-miR-15b-5p | 0.23 | 0.76 | -0.68 | 0.72 | 0.53 | -0.91 | <0.0001 |
| hsa-miR-320c | 0.40 | 0.56 | 0.90 | 0.84 | 1.42 | 0.50 | <0.0001 |
| hsa-miR-221-3p | 0.46 | 0.51 | 0.09 | 0.59 | 0.78 | -0.37 | 0.0004 |
| hsa-miR-223-3p | 4.62 | 0.60 | 4.18 | 0.95 | 0.74 | -0.43 | 0.0015 |
| hsa-miR-361-3p | -5.20 | 0.58 | -4.77 | 1.08 | 1.35 | 0.43 | 0.0168 |
| hsa-miR-150-5p | -0.34 | 0.78 | -0.76 | 0.93 | 0.75 | -0.42 | 0.0080 |

*The comparison was performed using paired t-test.



TABLE 3 Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses of factors associated with CR achievement after AHSCT.

| Variable | | Univariate | | | | Multivariate | | | |
|------------------------|------------------|------------|----------------|----------------|--------|--------------|----------------|----------------|--------|
| | | OR | 95%Cl Lower | 95%Cl Upper | р | OR | 95%Cl Lower | 95%Cl Upper | р |
| CR before | AHSCT | 23.10 | 2.53 | 210.89 | 0.0054 | 38.55 | 3.04 | 489.24 | 0.0048 |
| ≥2 lines befor | e AHSCT | 0.31 | 0.07 | 1.27 | 0.1027 | | | | |
| | Unknown | Reference | | | | | | | |
| Cytogenetic risk group | Standard Risk | 0.21 | 0.02 | 1.90 | 0.1662 | - | | | |
| | High Risk | 1.71 | 0.22 | 13.56 | 0.6094 | | | | |
| Maintenance | treatment | 0.65 | 0.06 | 6.72 | 0.7145 | | | | |
| Malalandara | Mel 200 | 0.60 | 0.18 | 2.01 | 0.4028 | - | | | |
| Melphalan dose | Reduced dose | | Refe | erence | | - | | | |
| Induction regimen | Bortezomib-based | 2.89 | 0.69 | 12.02 | 0.1448 | - | | | |
| ICC | I/II | Reference | | | | - | | | |
| 155 | III | 0.25 | 0.06 | 1.06 | 0.0596 | - | | | |
| A | <60 | | Refe | erence | | - | | | |
| Age | >60 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 1.63 | 0.2438 | - | | | |
| Demonstein trac | Other | Reference | | | | - | | | |
| Paraprotein type | LCD | 1.00 | 0.22 | 4.61 | 1.0000 | - | | | |
| hsa-miR-22 | 1-3p T1 | 2.97 | 0.83 | 10.59 | 0.0926 | | | | |
| hsa-miR-15 | b-5p T1 | 0.78 | 0.36 | 1.70 | 0.5329 | | | | |
| hsa-miR-22 | 3-3p T1 | 5.76 | 1.63 | 20.28 | 0.0065 | 4.72 | 1.14 | 19.59 | 0.0327 |
| hsa-miR-3 | 20c T1 | 0.73 | 0.26 | 2.10 | 0.5647 | | | | |
| hsa-miR-36 | 1-3p T1 | 0.82 | 0.29 | 2.27 | 0.6954 | - | | | |
| hsa-miR-15 | 0-5p T1 | 1.37 | 0.63 | 2.98 | 0.4222 | - | | | |
| hsa-miR-221-3p T2 | | 3.57 | 1.09 | 11.75 | 0.0362 | 3.29 | 0.61 | 17.76 | 0.1659 |
| hsa-miR-15b-5p T2 | | 1.18 | 0.51 | 2.73 | 0.6936 | | | | |
| hsa-miR-22 | 3-3p T2 | 1.70 | 0.84 | 3.44 | 0.1390 | | | | |
| hsa-miR-3 | 20c T2 | 0.76 | 0.37 | 1.58 | 0.4628 | | | | |
| hsa-miR-36 | 1-3p T2 | 1.30 | 0.75 | 2.26 | 0.3432 | | | | |
| hsa-miR-150-5p T2 | | 0.92 | 0.48 | 1.73 | 0.7903 | | | | |



potential with an accuracy of 84.78% (95%CI: 71.13%-93.66%), sensitivity- 78.57% (95%CI: 49.20% to 95.34%) and specificity-87.50% (95%CI: 71.01% to 96.49%). WEKA dataset and model file are provided as Supplementary Files 2, 3.

The impact of miRNAs on OS in AHSCT recipients

None of the analyzed clinical variables significantly affected OS in univariate Cox regression analyses (Table 4). Among selected miRNAs, hsa-miR-223-3p at T1(HR 0.37, 95%CI: 0.14- 0.97, p=0.0432), hsa-miR-15b-5p at T2 (HR 0.47, 95%CI: 0.22-1.00, p=0.0493) and hsa-miR-320c at T2 (HR 1.98, 95%CI: 1.04-3.74, p=0.0362) had significant impact on OS (Figure 3). In multivariate analyses with p<0.1- Hgb<10 at diagnosis and CR after AHSCT and established prognostic factor- ISS were included with significant miRNAs in univariate analysis. In this model, only hsa-miR-223-3p at T1 retained its significance and was an independent prognostic factor of OS.

Discussion

This study identified the predictive and prognostic significance of hsa-miR-223-p in MM patients undergoing AHSCT. In our cohort, 17 patients (33.33%) had a CR at +100 days after AHSCT. In multivariate models, hsa-miR-223 was an independent factor of both achieving CR and for OS in multivariate survival analysis. Our research focused on miRNA expression in serum samples, which is a non-invasive and clinically feasible method for miRNA evaluation. Serum miRNAs are known to be relatively stable and easily identified and quantifiable, making them attractive as potential biomarkers. Our study uniquely evaluated miRNA expression in serum samples explicitly obtained during the AHSCT procedure, providing a more accurate assessment of their predictive role in this clinical setting. Furthermore, within the specific context of AHSCT, serum miRNAs have the potential to capture systemic changes and mirror the overall response of the entire organism, including the microenvironment of the bone marrow niche. This aspect is particularly crucial in the realm of MM biology concerning the AHSCT procedure. Released into circulation by various cell types, both hematopoietic and nonhematopoietic, serum miRNAs offer a more comprehensive insight into the prognosis of outcomes.

In this study, we selected miRNAs that have been previously reported to be dysregulated in the biology of MM, including tumor growth, drug resistance, and immune modulation. Recently, Papanota et al. showed that low expression of miR-223-3p in CD-138+ plasma cells in MM patients was related to inferior OS (44). In this study, 76 newly diagnosed MM patients were evaluated and only 28% of patients were subsequently referred to the AHSCT. On the other hand, in our study, using non-invasive methods, we could easily provide valuable insight of hsa-miR-223-3p on prognosis omitting bone marrow biopsy. MiR-223 is widely expressed in the hematopoietic system and serves as a crucial modulator of hematopoietic differentiation by orchestrating the expression of hematopoietic stem cells, erythroid cells, and granulocytemonocyte progenitors at various phases of development (50). Wang et al. found that miR-223, together with other miRNAslet-7a, miR-15a, miR-20a, miR-21, miR-106b, and miR-361 were decreased in the bone marrow microenvironment, peripheral blood, and CD138+ plasmocytes of MM (41). Moreover, it was hypothesized that down-regulation of these miRNAs might parallel disease progression from the precursor lesion of monoclonal gammopathy of unknown significance (MGUS) to the entirely malignant and symptomatic phase of myeloma. In addition, miR-223-3p was also reported to be downregulated in bortezomib-resistant MM cell lines and patients (51). In this study, the authors found that silencing of circular RNA chaperonin containing TCP1 subunit 3 (circ-CCT3) enhanced the sensitivity of bortezomib-resistant MM cells to bortezomib via modulating miR-223-3p. miR-223-3p increased bortezomib sensitivity by inhibiting bromodomain-containing 4 (BRD4). Taken together, we could hypothesize that hsa-miR-223-3p acts in a similar manner on the cellular and tissue level.

We also demonstrated that all 6 investigated miRNAs significantly changed their expression after AHSCT compared to baseline- two were up-regulated- hsa-miR-320c and hsa-miR-361-3p, and four were down-regulated- hsa-miR-15b-5p, hsa-miR-223-3p, hsa-miR-221-3p and hsa-miR-150-5p. Notably, measurement of miRNAs expression before and after AHSCT allowed us to demonstrate that baseline differences in hsa-miR-223-3p and hsa-miR-320c expression in regard to ISS stage rebalanced after AHSCT. It was demonstrated that after AHSCT, unique changes in the immunological system occur. For example, regulatory T cells (Tregs) decline after AHSCT with the cooccurring expansion of CD8⁺ T cells (52). Chung et al. described a subpopulation of

TABLE 4 Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis of OS in AHSCT recipients.

| | | | Uni | variate | Multivariate | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Varia | ble | HR | 95% Cl Lower | 95% Cl Upper | P value | HR | 95% Cl Lower | 95% CI Upper | P value |
| Age >60 | years | 2.55 | 0.72 | 8.98 | 0.1463 | | | | |
| | Standard | | Re | ference | - | | | | |
| Cytogenetic risk group | Unknown | 0.48 | 0.12 | 1.86 | 0.3236 | - | | | |
| 0 1 | High Risk | 0.90 | 0.09 | 8.83 | 0.8003 | - | | | |
| Sex | F | 1.40 | 0.50 | 3.88 | 0.5192 | | | | |
| Malahalan dasa | Mel 200 | | Re | ference | | | | | |
| Melphalan dose – | Reduced dose | 0.60 | 0.12 | 2.93 | 0.5303 | | | | |
| 100 | I/II | | Re | ference | | Reference | | | |
| 155 | III | 1.30 | 0.47 | 3.56 | 0.6151 | 0.28 | 0.05 | 1.77 | 0.1764 |
| LCI |) | 1.99 | 0.55 | 7.18 | 0.2956 | | | · | |
| Calcium > 2.75 mm | nol/L at diagnosis | 1.81 | 0.45 | 7.21 | 0.4024 | | | | |
| Creatinine > 2 mg | /dL at diagnosis | 0.59 | 0.13 | 2.77 | 0.5051 | | | | |
| HGB< 10 at | diagnosis | 0.13 | 0.01 | 1.28 | 0.0808 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 1.15 | 0.0606 |
| Bone disease a | at diagnosis | 0.92 | 0.28 | 2.99 | 0.8876 | | | | |
| Induction regimen | Bortezomib-based | 0.47 | 0.15 | 1.51 | 0.2069 | | | | |
| ≥2 lines befo | re AHSCT | 2.06 | 0.72 | 5.84 | 0.1762 | | | | |
| Maintenance | treatment | 1.70 | 0.48 | 6.08 | 0.4120 | | | | |
| CR before | AHSCT | 0.74 | 0.09 | 5.99 | 0.7817 | | | | |
| CR after A | AHSCT | 0.15 | 0.02 | 1.12 | 0.0649 | 0.71 | 0.08 | 6.76 | 0.7695 |
| hsa-miR-22 | 21-3p T1 | 0.57 | 0.22 | 1.42 | 0.2262 | | | | |
| hsa-miR-15 | 5b-5p T1 | 1.05 | 0.55 | 2.00 | 0.8775 | | | | |
| hsa-miR-22 | 23-3p T1 | 0.37 | 0.14 | 0.97 | 0.0432 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.99 | 0.0488 |
| hsa-miR-3 | 320c T1 | 1.37 | 0.55 | 3.44 | 0.5039 | | | | |
| hsa-miR-36 | 51-3p T1 | 0.59 | 0.21 | 1.61 | 0.3008 | | | | |
| hsa-miR-150-5p T1 | | 1.22 | 0.57 | 2.64 | 0.6049 | - | | | |
| hsa-miR-221-3p T2 | | 0.37 | 0.13 | 1.07 | 0.0667 | - | | | |
| hsa-miR-15b-5p T2 | | 0.47 | 0.22 | 1.00 | 0.0493 | 2.06 | 0.49 | 8.61 | 0.3223 |
| hsa-miR-22 | 23-3p T2 | 0.57 | 0.31 | 1.06 | 0.0759 | 59 | | | |
| hsa-miR-3 | 320c T2 | 1.98 | 1.04 | 3.74 | 0.0362 | 1.09 | 0.50 | 2.38 | 0.8190 |
| hsa-miR-36 | 51-3p T2 | 1.02 | 0.69 | 1.52 | 0.9222 | | | | |
| hsa-miR-150-5p T2 | | 0.99 | 0.54 | 1.82 | 0.9828 | | | | |

exhausted/senescent CD8⁺ T cells, which were present in higher levels in patients who relapsed at +3 months after AHSCT but before clinically confirmed progression, indicating potential in discriminating patients in higher risk of relapse (52). In recent study by Parmar et al., comprehensive assessment of humoral and cellular tumor immune microenvironment (iTME) was performed (53). Two distinctive patterns of cellular iTME were described, one of them was associated with higher levels of naïve and terminally differentiated/exhausted T cells. This group had inferior outcomes in terms of time to progression and OS. The reason for that may be reduced immunosurveillance- naive T cells have not been yet activated, whereas differentiated effector T cells which lost their ability to control the disease. Notably, this effect was independent of other established high-risk factors, including cytogenetics. It is possible to speculate that other unknown immunological factors beyond T cells may contribute to the outcomes of AHSCT. The



iTME is a complex ecosystem that involves interactions between various immune cells, tumor cells, and the tumor microenvironment. Serum miRNAs may act as signaling molecules that facilitate communication between components of iTME (54). They have the potential to regulate gene expression and influence cellular functions in recipient cells, contributing to the regulation of cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, immune responses, and metabolism in recipient cells and tissues.

In our study, miR-320c was significantly up-regulated after AHSCT compared to the baseline level. In general, miR-320 family members were reported to be tumor suppressors in MM. miR-320a enhances apoptosis and inhibits tumor growth by targeting pre-Bcell leukemia transcription factor 3 (*PBX3*) (55). On the other hand, the oncogene *EZH2* was reported to inhibit the expression of miR-320c to promote MM development (56). In our study miR-320c expression increase in serum may demonstrate one of the potential mechanisms of action of AHSCT increasing suppressor miRNAs. On the other hand, according to the Human miRNA tissue atlas by Ludwig et al., the main tissues with miR-320c high expression are the skin and small intestine (duodenum). That is why the increase in serum expression may also result from common AHSCT complications- oral and intestinal mucositis (57).

We also noted the upregulation of hsa-miR-361-3p after AHSCT. Similarly, recently it was found that upregulation of miR-361-3p inhibited MM cells viability and enhanced apoptosis through targeting tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6) (45). A microarray profiling of specimens and cell lines revealed that 1,799 miRNAs were found to be abnormally expressed in MM samples, with miR-361-3p being down-regulated (46). Multiple studies demonstrate that miR-361-3p plays a crucial role in cancer and metastasis. For example, Chen W. et al. discovered that miR-361-3p inhibits tumor growth in non-small-cell lung cancer and that its antitumor activity may be related to the inhibition of the target gene SB2B1 (58). According to the Human miRNA tissue atlas main organs with high has-miR-361-3p are the thyroid, bones, and spleen (57). In summary, the direct cause and mechanism of the increase of serum has-miR-361-3p in MM patients after AHSCT are not clear; however, the potential suppressor role of this miRNA was reported.

In preclinical studies, melphalan sensitivity of MM cells was inversely proportional to miR-221/222 expression (43). In the presence or absence of human bone marrow stromal cells, inhibition of miR-221/222 overcame melphalan resistance and induced apoptosis of MM cells. Combining melphalan and miR-221/222 inhibition decreased MM proliferation with significant upregulation of the pro-apoptotic BBC3/PUMA protein. In our study, AHSCT caused a global decrease in the serum of MM patients.

Up to day, only several studies have evaluated the role of miRNAs in MM patients undergoing AHSCT (59–67). However, differences in study designs, including sample collection timing and miRNA evaluation methods, make direct comparisons of results challenging. Some studies evaluated miRNA expression before AHSCT (66) or at the time of diagnosis (61), some studies reported results with a mixed population of MM and refractory lymphoma patients (65, 67). However, the main limitation of these studies may be the reduced number of studied miRNAs limiting the biological impact of complex miRNA-mRNA interactions (65–67).

Among miRNAs evaluated as predictive factors for early relapse (ER) after AHSCT in MM patients was miR- 193a-5p (66). Park et al. proposed a multivariate model of 1-year PFS, where ISS II/III (HR 3.495, p=0.011) and higher expression of miR-193a-5p at pre-AHSCT (HR 0.286, p<0.001) were significant variables. In another study, Rafiee et al. demonstrated that both miR-125b in extracellular vesicles (HR 2.2) and in plasma (HR 6.7) at mobilization before AHSCT were independent factors of relapse (65). However, in this study, both MM and lymphoma patients were included. In a large cohort of 156 newly diagnosed MM patients, who received bortezomib and dexamethasone as induction and AHSCT, Manier et al. identified two exosomal miRNAs- let-7b and miR-18a, which lower expression were independent predictors of shorter both PFS and OS (61). However, as samples were collected at the diagnosis, these results seem to be rather prognostic for MM course rather than predictive for AHSCT outcome. Similarly, Navarro et al. evaluated serum miRNA expression at the time of diagnosis and at the time of CR)achievement after AHSCT (60). They found that patients at the time of CR with higher expression of miR-19b (6 vs. 1.8 years; P< 0.001) or miR-331 (8.6 vs. 2.9 years; p = 0.001) had longer PFS.

Several studies have assessed the role of miRNAs in relation to engraftment time. Rafiee et al. (67) evaluated four miRNAs expression, miR-125b, mir-126, miR-150, and miR-155 as potential engraftment predictors and the miR-155 expression after the administration of the conditioning regimen was the most significant predictor of platelet/neutrophil engraftment. However, in this study, among 50 included patients, only 24 were MM patients. In another study, the serum expression of miR-223-3p at day +7 of AHSCT was positively correlated with the engraftment time (62).

The study findings have several potential applications in the field of MM and miRNA biomarkers. The results indicate that the expression levels of miRNAs, especially hsa-miR-223-3p, could be used as biomarkers to predict the treatment response and outcomes of AHSCT patients and can contribute to the optimization of treatment. Especially, potentially patients with low has-miR-223-3p may be candidates for more intensive posttransplant treatment. Recently, more intensive posttransplant treatments, like carfilzomib, lenalidomide and dexamethasone (KRD) are being proposed (10, 68). Even more, ongoing randomized trials address whether CAR T-cell or other T-cell-engaging therapies could replace up-front AHSCT as a standard treatment. For example, CARTITUDE-6 is a phase 3 trial comparing cilta-cel and AHSCT in patients receiving initial induction therapy with daratumumab, bortezomib, lenalidomide and dexamethasone (DVRd) (69).

Our study has several limitations. Firstly, it should be mentioned that only two patients in our cohort received lenalidomide in maintenance treatment after AHSCT, as at the time of enrollment, there was no lenalidomide reimbursement in this indication in Poland (70). However, our cohort was mainly treated with bortezomib-based regimens, contrary to the previous studies on miRNAs in AHSCT recipients. Secondly, a significant limitation is missing cytogenetic data. In Poland, the availability of cytogenetic testing for multiple myeloma was limited in the previous several years. Even if obtained, cytogenetics had minimal impact on therapeutic decisions in routine clinical practice due to the absence of novel drugs. Then, outside of clinical trials, only younger "fit" patients underwent cytogenetic analysis as part of the qualification process for standard/tandem AHSCT. For example, in a recent study of the Polish Myeloma Group in 197 patients with early mortality, the cytogenetics data were available for only 59 patients (71). Only recent reimbursement of novel drugs in Poland, including daratumumab (2019), carfilzomib (2019), and ixazomib (2021), has led to routine cytogenetics testing. To compensate for missing cytogenetics data, in the final model predicting CR after AHSCT we included only one clinical variable- response after induction therapy. This model achieved satisfactory accuracy in predicting CR achievement after AHSCT. We hypothesize that adding cytogenetics data may potentially increase the clinical value of our observations, but we cannot address this issue because of the lack of collected bone marrow samples for conducting FISH/cytogenetics.

hsa-miR-223-3p could serve as a prognostic indicator, assisting in identifying patients likely to have favorable long-term outcomes. Importantly, our study adds to the growing body of literature by providing evidence for the predictive value of miRNA signatures, specifically in AHSCT. By evaluating miRNA expression profiles during the AHSCT procedure, our findings offer unique insights into the predictive role of miRNAs in this setting, which may have translational relevance for posttransplant treatment decisions. Further validation of our miRNA signature in larger cohorts and prospective studies may lead to the development of clinically useful prognostic biomarkers to guide treatment decisions and improve outcomes in MM patients undergoing AHSCT.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by The Ethical Committee of the Medical University of Lodz (No RNN/424/19/ KE). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

DM wrote the first version of the manuscript. DM and WF designed and planned the experiments. DM, MN, MM, KPK, KO, KiK collected the data. DM, MN, MM and KiK collected the samples. DM and IZ performed the experiments. DM, KPK, KO and WF performed statistical analyses. All authors reviewed and approved the submitted version. All authors contributed to the article.

Funding

The study was funded by the National Science Center grant number 2019/33/B/NZ5/00536 awarded to WF and 2022/45/N/NZ6/02904 awarded to DM.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2023.1250355/ full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1 Expression of selected miRNAs according to the ISS stage.

References

1. Ferlay J, Colombet M, Soerjomataram I, Mathers C, Parkin DM, Piñeros M, et al. Estimating the global cancer incidence and mortality in 2018: GLOBOCAN sources and methods. *Int J Cancer* (2019) 144(8):1941–53. doi: 10.1002/ijc.31937

2. Kumar SK, Dispenzieri A, Lacy MQ, Gertz MA, Buadi FK, Pandey S, et al. Continued improvement in survival in multiple myeloma: changes in early mortality and outcomes in older patients. *Leukemia* (2014) 28(5):1122-8. doi: 10.1038/ leu.2013.313

3. Rodríguez-Lobato LG, Pereira A, Fernández de Larrea C, Cibeira MT, Tovar N, Jiménez-Segura R, et al. Real-World Data on Survival Improvement in Patients with Multiple Myeloma Treated at a Single Institution over a 45-Year Period. *Br J Haematol* (2022) 196(3):649–59. doi: 10.1111/bjh.17888

4. Barlogie B, Alexanian R, Dicke KA, Zagars G, Spitzer G, Jagannath S, et al. Highdose chemoradiotherapy and autologous bone marrow transplantation for resistant multiple myeloma. *Blood* (1987) 70(3):869–72. doi: 10.1182/blood.V70.3.869.869

5. Attal M, Harousseau JL, Stoppa AM, Sotto JJ, Fuzibet JG, Rossi JF, et al. Prospective, randomized trial of autologous bone marrow transplantation and chemotherapy in multiple myeloma. Intergroupe français du myélome. *N Engl J Med* (1996) 335(2):91–7. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199607113350204

6. Baldomero H, Passweg J. 30 Years EBMT ACTIVITY SURVEY and 2019 annual report. Available at: https://www.ebmt.org/sites/default/files/2021-03/Transplant Activity Survey.pdf.

7. Kazandjian D, Mo CC, Landgren O, Richardson PG. The role of high-dose melphalan with autologous stem-cell transplant in multiple myeloma: is it time for a paradigm shift? *Br J Haematol* (2020) 191(5):692–703. doi: 10.1111/bjh.16764

8. Mina R, Gay F. The role of autologous stem-cell transplantation in multiple myeloma in 2021. *Curr Opin Oncol* (2021) 33(6):642–7. doi: 10.1097/CCO.000000000000783

9. Attal M, Lauwers-Cances V, Hulin C, Leleu X, Caillot D, Escoffre M, et al. Lenalidomide, bortezomib, and dexamethasone with transplantation for myeloma. N Engl J Med (2017) 376(14):1311–20. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1611750

10. Gay F, Musto P, Rota-Scalabrini D, Bertamini L, Belotti A, Galli M, et al. Carfilzomib with Cyclophosphamide and Dexamethasone or Lenalidomide and Dexamethasone plus Autologous Transplantation or Carfilzomib plus Lenalidomide and Dexamethasone, Followed by Maintenance with Carfilzomib plus Lenalidomide or Lenalidomide Alone For. *Lancet Oncol* (2021) 22(12):1705–20. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(21)00535-0

11. Richardson PG, Jacobus SJ, Weller EA, Hassoun H, Lonial S, Raje NS, et al. Triplet therapy, transplantation, and maintenance until progression in myeloma. *N Engl J Med* (2022) 387(2):132–47. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2204925

12. Dimopoulos MA, Moreau P, Terpos E, Mateos MV, Zweegman S, Cook G, et al. Multiple myeloma: EHA-ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up[†]. *Ann Oncol* (2021) 32(3):309–22. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2020.11.014

13. Corre J, Montes L, Martin E, Perrot A, Caillot D, Leleu X, et al. Early relapse after autologous transplant for myeloma is associated with poor survival regardless of cytogenetic risk. *Haematologica* (2020) 105(9):e480–3. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2019.236588

14. Martinez-Lopez J, Blade J, Mateos M-V, Grande C, Alegre A, García-Laraña J, et al. Long-term prognostic significance of response in multiple myeloma after stem cell transplantation. *Blood* (2011) 118(3):529–34. doi: 10.1182/blood-2011-01-332320

15. Kumar S, Mahmood ST, Lacy MQ, Dispenzieri A, Hayman SR, Buadi FK, et al. Impact of early relapse after auto-SCT for multiple myeloma. *Bone Marrow Transplant* (2008) 42(6):413–20. doi: 10.1038/bmt.2008.180

16. Mitchell PS, Parkin RK, Kroh EM, Fritz BR, Wyman SK, Pogosova-Agadjanyan. EL, et al. Circulating microRNAs as stable blood-based markers for cancer detection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* (2008) 105(30):10513–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0804549105

17. Zhang R, Su B. Small but influential: the role of microRNAs on gene regulatory network and 3'UTR evolution. *J Genet Genomics* (2009) 36(1):1–6. doi: 10.1016/S1673-8527(09)60001-1

18. Krol J, Loedige I, Filipowicz W. The widespread regulation of microRNA biogenesis, function and decay. *Nat Rev Genet* (2010) 11(9):597–610. doi: 10.1038/nrg2843

19. Mori MA, Ludwig RG, Garcia-Martin R, Brandão BB, Kahn CR. Extracellular miRNAs: from biomarkers to mediators of physiology and disease. *Cell Metab* (2019) 30(4):656–73. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2019.07.011

SUPPLEMENTARY FILE 1 Normalized miRNA expression data with clinical variables.

SUPPLEMENTARY FILE 2 Weka dataset for classifier construction and 10-fold cross-validation.

SUPPLEMENTARY FILE 3 Final RandomTree classifier file.

20. Bracken CP, Scott HS, Goodall GJA. Network-biology perspective of microRNA function and dysfunction in cancer. *Nat Rev Genet* (2016) 17(12):719–32. doi: 10.1038/nrg.2016.134

21. Bronze-da-Rocha E. MicroRNAs expression profiles in cardiovascular diseases. BioMed Res Int (2014) 2014:985408. doi: 10.1155/2014/985408

22. Liu N-K, Xu X-M. MicroRNA in central nervous system trauma and degenerative disorders. *Physiol Genomics* (2011) 43(10):571–80. doi: 10.1152/physiolgenomics.00168.2010

23. Mehta A, Baltimore D. MicroRNAs as regulatory elements in immune system logic. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2016) 16(5):279–94. doi: 10.1038/nri.2016.40

24. Chen C, Ponnusamy M, Liu C, Gao J, Wang K, Li P. MicroRNA as a therapeutic target in cardiac remodeling. *BioMed Res Int* (2017) 2017:1278436. doi: 10.1155/2017/1278436

25. Elramah S, Landry M, Favereaux A. MicroRNAs regulate neuronal plasticity and are involved in pain mechanisms. *Front Cell Neurosci* (2014) 8. doi: 10.3389/ fncel.2014.00031

26. Wood H. Exosomal microRNAs may aid differential diagnosis of movement disorders. *Nat Rev Neurol* (2022) 18(1):1. doi: 10.1038/s41582-021-00598-2

27. De Benedittis S, Fortunato F, Cava C, Gallivanone F, Iaccino E, Caligiuri ME, et al. Circulating microRNA: the potential novel diagnostic biomarkers to predict drug resistance in temporal lobe epilepsy, a pilot study. *Int J Mol Sci* (2021) 22(2):e702. doi: 10.3390/ijms22020702

28. Lempriere S. Exosomal microRNA is promising biomarker in PD. Nat Rev Neurol (2022) 18(2):65. doi: 10.1038/s41582-021-00611-8

29. Chen D, Yang X, Liu M, Zhang Z, Xing E. Roles of miRNA dysregulation in the pathogenesis of multiple myeloma. *Cancer Gene Ther* (2021) 28(12):1256–68. doi: 10.1038/s41417-020-00291-4

30. Dong X, Lu G, Su X, Liu J, Chen X, Tian Y, et al. Identification of key miRNA signature and pathways involved in multiple myeloma by integrated bioinformatics analysis. *Hematology* (2021) 26(1):976–84. doi: 10.1080/16078454.2021.2003980

31. Tiruneh T, Melku M. Circulating microRNAs in multiple myeloma: A literature review. *Clin Lab* (2020) 66(12). doi: 10.7754/Clin.Lab.2020.191229

32. Lionetti M, Agnelli L, Lombardi L, Tassone P, Neri A. MicroRNAs in the pathobiology of multiple myeloma. *Curr Cancer Drug Targets* (2012) 12(7):823-37. doi: 10.2174/156800912802429274

33. Rocci A, Hofmeister CC, Pichiorri F. The potential of miRNAs as biomarkers for multiple myeloma. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn* (2014) 14(8):947–59. doi: 10.1586/14737159.2014.946906

34. Robak P, Dróżdż I, Jarych D, Mikulski D, Węgłowska E, Siemieniuk-Ryś M. The value of serum microRNA expression signature in predicting refractoriness to bortezomib-based therapy in multiple myeloma patients. *Cancers* (2020) 12(9):e2569. doi: 10.3390/cancers12092569

35. Mikulski D, Dróżdż I, Perdas E, Stawiski K, Nowicki M, Misiewicz M, et al. Changes in the microrna expression profile in patients undergoing autologous steam cell transplantation. *Blood* (2021) 138(Supplement 1):4789. doi: 10.1182/blood-2021-152179

36. Kyle RA, Rajkumar SV. Criteria for diagnosis, staging, risk stratification and response assessment of multiple myeloma. *Leukemia* (2009) 23(1):3–9. doi: 10.1038/ leu.2008.291

37. Durie BGM, Harousseau J-L, Miguel JS, Bladé J, Barlogie B, Anderson K, et al. International uniform response criteria for multiple myeloma. *Leukemia* (2006) 20 (9):1467–73. doi: 10.1038/sj.leu.2404284

38. Palumbo A, Avet-Loiseau H, Oliva S, Lokhorst HM, Goldschmidt H, Rosinol L, et al. Revised international staging system for multiple myeloma: A report from international myeloma working group. *J Clin Oncol* (2015) 33(26):2863–9. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2015.61.2267

39. Sonneveld P, Avet-Loiseau H, Lonial S, Usmani S, Siegel D, Anderson KC, et al. Treatment of multiple myeloma with high-risk cytogenetics: A consensus of the international myeloma working group. *Blood* (2016) 127(24):2955–62. doi: 10.1182/blood-2016-01-631200

40. Rajkumar SV. Updated diagnostic criteria and staging system for multiple myeloma. Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ B (2016) 36:e418–23. doi: 10.1200/EDBK_159009

41. Wang W, Corrigan-Cummins M, Barber EA, Saleh LM, Zingone A, Ghafoor A, et al. Aberrant levels of miRNAs in bone marrow microenvironment and peripheral blood of myeloma patients and disease progression. *J Mol Diagn* (2015) 17(6):669–78. doi: 10.1016/j.jmoldx.2015.06.006

42. Alzrigat M, Jernberg-Wiklund H. The miR-125a and miR-320c are potential tumor suppressor microRNAs epigenetically silenced by the polycomb repressive complex 2 in multiple myeloma. *RNA Dis (Houston Tex)* (2017) 4(2):e1529. doi: 10.14800/rd.1529

43. Gullà A, Di Martino MT, Gallo Cantafio ME, Morelli E, Amodio N, Botta C, et al. 13 mer LNA-i-miR-221 inhibitor restores drug sensitivity in melphalan-refractory multiple myeloma cells. *Clin Cancer Res* (2016) 22(5):1222–33. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-0489

44. Papanota A-M, Karousi P, Kontos CK, Artemaki PI, Liacos C-I, Papadimitriou M-A, et al. Cancer-related microRNA signature shows biomarker utility in multiple myeloma. *Int J Mol Sci* (2021) 22(23):e13144. doi: 10.3390/ijms222313144

45. Fan Z, Wu Z, Yang B. The effect of miR-361-3p targeting TRAF6 on apoptosis of multiple myeloma cells. *J Microbiol Biotechnol* (2021) 31(2):197–206. doi: 10.4014/jmb.2010.10059

46. Bong IPN, Ng CC, Baharuddin P, Zakaria Z. MicroRNA expression patterns and target prediction in multiple myeloma development and malignancy. *Genes Genomics* (2017) 39(5):533–40. doi: 10.1007/s13258-017-0518-7

47. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2-\Delta\Delta$ CT method. *Methods* (2001) 25(4):402–8. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262

48. Grabia S, Smyczynska U, Pagacz K, Fendler W. NormiRazor: tool applying GPUaccelerated computing for determination of internal references in microRNA transcription studies. *BMC Bioinf* (2020) 21(1):425. doi: 10.1186/s12859-020-03743-8

49. Stawiski K, Kaszkowiak M, Mikulski D, Hogendorf P, Durczyński A, Strzelczyk J, et al. OmicSelector: automatic feature selection and deep learning modeling for omic experiments. *bioRxiv* (2022). doi: 10.1101/2022.06.01.494299

50. Johnnidis JB, Harris MH, Wheeler RT, Stehling-Sun S, Lam MH, Kirak O, et al. Regulation of progenitor cell proliferation and granulocyte function by microRNA-223. *Nature* (2008) 451(7182):1125–9. doi: 10.1038/nature06607

51. Liu D, Wang Y, Li H, Peng S, Tan H, Huang Z. Circular RNA circ-CCT3 promotes bortezomib resistance in multiple myeloma via modulating miR-223-3p/BRD4 axis. *Anticancer Drugs* (2022) 33(1):e145–54. doi: 10.1097/CAD.00000000001166

52. ChungDJ,PronschinskeKB,ShyerJA,SharmaS,LeungS,CurranSA,etal.T-cellexhaustion in multiple myeloma relapse after autotransplant: optimal timing of immunotherapy. *Cancer Immunol Res* (2016) 4(1):61–71. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-15-0055

53. Parmar H, Gertz M, Anderson EI, Kumar S, Kourelis TV. Microenvironment immune reconstitution patterns correlate with outcomes after autologous transplant in multiple myeloma. *Blood Adv* (2021) 5(7):1797–804. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2020003857

54. Xing Y, Ruan G, Ni H, Qin H, Chen S, Gu X, et al. Tumor immune microenvironment and its related miRNAs in tumor progression. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:624725. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.624725

55. Lu Y, Wu D, Wang J, Li Y, Chai X, Kang Q. MiR-320a regulates cell proliferation and apoptosis in multiple myeloma by targeting pre-B-cell leukemia transcription factor 3. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (2016) 473(4):1315–20. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.04.069

56. Alzrigat M, Párraga AA, Agarwal P, Zureigat H, Österborg A, Nahi H, et al. EZH2 inhibition in multiple myeloma downregulates myeloma associated oncogenes and upregulates microRNAs with potential tumor suppressor functions. *Oncotarget* (2017) 8(6):10213–24. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.14378

57. Ludwig N, Leidinger P, Becker K, Backes C, Fehlmann T, Pallasch C, et al. Distribution of miRNA expression across human tissues. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2016) 44 (8):3865–77. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw116

58. Chen W, Wang J, Liu S, Wang S, Cheng Y, Zhou W, et al. MicroRNA-361-3p suppresses tumor cell proliferation and metastasis by directly targeting SH2B1 in NSCLC. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* (2016) 35:76. doi: 10.1186/s13046-016-0357-4

59. Wu H, Huang T, Ye Z, Fu X, Hu K, Yang X. Correlation of microRNA 17-92 cluster host gene (MIR17HG) polymorphisms with susceptibility and prognosis for multiple myeloma. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk* (2019) 19(7):e359–66. doi: 10.1016/j.clml.2019.03.018

60. Navarro A, Díaz T, Tovar N, Pedrosa F, Tejero R, Cibeira MT, et al. Serum microRNA signature associated with complete remission and progression after autologous stem-cell transplantation in patients with multiple myeloma. *Oncotarget* (2015) 6(3):1874–83. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.2761

61. Manier S, Liu C-J, Avet-Loiseau H, Park J, Shi J, Campigotto F, et al. Prognostic role of circulating exosomal miRNAs in multiple myeloma. *Blood* (2017) 129(17):2429–36. doi: 10.1182/blood-2016-09-742296

62. Nowicki M, Szemraj J, Wierzbowska A, Misiewicz M, Małachowski R, Pluta A, et al. MiRNA-15a, miRNA-16, miRNA-126, miRNA-146a, and miRNA-223 expressions in autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation and their impact on engraftment. *Eur J Haematol* (2018) 100(5):426–35. doi: 10.1111/ejh.13036

63. Bai Z, Shen J. Effect of autologous stem cell transplantation combined with modified VTD regimen on elderly patients with multiple myeloma and its influence on miRNA cytokines. *Comput Math Methods Med* (2022) 2022:6320329. doi: 10.1155/2022/6320329

64. de Larrea CF, Navarro A, Tejero R, Tovar N, Díaz T, Cibeira MT, et al. Impact of miRSNPs on survival and progression in patients with multiple myeloma undergoing autologous stem cell transplantation. *Clin Cancer Res* (2012) 18(13):3697–704. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-0191

65. Rafiee M, Amiri F, Mohammadi MH, Hajifathali A. MicroRNA-125b as a valuable predictive marker for outcome after autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *BMC Cancer* (2023) 23(1):202. doi: 10.1186/s12885-023-10665-0

66. Park S-S, Lim J-Y, Kim TW, Ko YH, Jeon W-J, Lee S-Y, et al. Predictive impact of circulating microRNA-193a-5p on early relapse after autologous stem cell transplantation in patients with multiple myeloma. *Br J Haematol* (2020) 189 (3):518–23. doi: 10.1111/bjh.16413

67. Rafiee M, Farsani MA, Amiri V, Hajifathali A, Gharehbaghian A, Mohammadi MH. Circulatory miR-155 correlation with platelet and neutrophil recovery after autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, a multivariate analysis. *Int J Hematol* (2021) 114(2):235–45. doi: 10.1007/s12185-021-03154-2

68. Dytfeld D, Wróbel T, Jamroziak K, Kubicki T, Robak P, Walter-Croneck A, et al. Lenalidomide, and dexamethasone or lenalidomide alone as maintenance therapy after autologous stem-cell transplantation in patients with multiple myeloma (ATLAS): interim analysis of a randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* (2023) 24 (2):139–50. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(22)00738-0

69. A Study of Daratumumab, Bortezomib, Lenalidomide and Dexamethasone (DVRd) Followed by Ciltacabtagene Autoleucel Versus Daratumumab, Bortezomib, Lenalidomide and Dexamethasone (DVRd) Followed by Autologous Stem Cell Transplant (ASCT) in Participants With Newly Diagnosed Multiple Myeloma. ClinicalTrials.gov. Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT05257083 (Accessed Jun 20, 2023).

70. Białkowska-cybula B, Demczur E, Rokicki Ł. ACCESS TO MODERN MEDICAL THERAPIES FOR MULTIPLE Poland vs Europe. (2020). Wrocław, Poland: Wiesława Adamiec Carita Foundation.

71. Charliński G, Tyczyńska A, Małecki B, Fornagiel S, Barchnicka A, Kołkowska A, et al. Risk factors and causes of early mortality in patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma in a "Real-world" Study: experiences of the Polish myeloma group. *Polish Arch Intern Med* (2021) 131(6):527–34. doi: 10.20452/PAMW.15980