Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Peter Hamar, Semmelweis University, Hungary

REVIEWED BY Weijun Fan, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center (SYSUCC), China Kazuto Tajiri, University of Toyama University Hospital, Japan Hongwei Cheng, University of Macau, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Tao Yin Zhizhuxia299@163.com Sisi Dai 73968737@qq.com

RECEIVED 11 April 2023 ACCEPTED 19 September 2023 PUBLISHED 05 October 2023

CITATION

Liu S, Xiong R, Duan C, Tang J, Yin T and Dai S (2023) PD-1 combined with lenvatinib and TACE for the transformational treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma combined with portal vein tumor thrombus: a case report and literature review. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1199143. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1199143

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Liu, Xiong, Duan, Tang, Yin and Dai. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

PD-1 combined with lenvatinib and TACE for the transformational treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma combined with portal vein tumor thrombus: a case report and literature review

Sheng Liu¹, Rui Xiong¹, Chuanyi Duan¹, Jiang Tang¹, Tao Yin^{1*} and Sisi Dai^{2*}

¹Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, Hubei Cancer Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, China, ²Department of Anaesthesiology, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China

Background: The prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma combined with portal vein tumor thrombus is poor, with a median survival of only 3-6 months. PD-1 combined with targeted therapy may provide an opportunity for patients with BCLC C stage hepatocellular carcinoma combined with portal vein tumor thrombus to undergo radical surgery, significantly prolonging their survival time.

Case presentation: A middle-aged 51-year-old male who was diagnosed with hepatocellular carcinoma combined with portal vein main stem tumor thrombus at our center in May 2020, with a BCLC stage of C, liver cirrhosis, HBV infection, and preoperative evaluation as unresectable. The liver function was Child-Pugh A. The initial treatment was lenvatinib combined with PD-1 therapy, followed by one cycle of TACE treatment. The tumor and thrombus volume significantly reduced, followed by continuous TACE combined with immunotherapy and targeted therapy, leading to the appearance of portal vein main stem emboli. After multidisciplinary discussion, surgical resection was performed, and the embolus was removed, achieving a cure. The patient has been tumor-free for over 34 months.

Conclusion: PD-1 combined with lenvatinib and local TACE create conditions for radical surgery, and it is hoped that more real-world research data can provide better evidence for the transformational treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma combined with portal vein tumor thrombus.

KEYWORDS

PD-1, lenvatinib, hepatocellular carcinoma, portal vein tumor thrombus, TACE

Introduction

Primary liver cancer is the sixth most common cancer globally, with approximately 906,000 new cases and 830,000 deaths (1). In China, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related deaths (2). Research has shown that only 30-40% of patients can undergo surgical treatment after their first visit, and most patients are diagnosed at a late stage, where treatment with chemotherapy, ablation therapy, and transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) is limited. Therefore, treating latestage liver cancer is difficult, but targeted drugs such as sorafenib and lenvatinib have achieved good treatment results in recent years. In 2018, lenvatinib was recommended as a first-line targeted therapy for advanced liver cancer by NCCN guidelines (3). It can inhibit multiple intracellular and cell surface kinases, providing a dual mechanism of action. On the one hand, it directly inhibits tumor growth by blocking some signal transduction pathways; on the other hand, it can prevent neovascularization, making it one of the most widely used anti-tumor-targeted drugs (4, 5).

It has been shown that TACE combined with targeted drugs such as lenvatinib can significantly improve patients' OS and PFS (6). TACE blocks the nutrient vessels of the tumor through interventional means and injects chemotherapy drugs, significantly improving the efficiency of embolization (7). It has achieved satisfactory results in clinical trials and is recommended for BCLC B-stage liver cancer patients (8). In addition, the 2020 Asia-Pacific Primary Liver Cancer Expert Consensus also unanimously recognized that TACE plays an important auxiliary role in the treatment of mid-to-late-stage liver cancer and can improve treatment efficacy (8). The progress in TACE technology, especially the refinement of exceptionally stable and uniform iodized oil formulations (9), has yielded encouraging outcomes in the management of liver cancer at intermediate to advanced stages. This progress has brought about a profound transformation in the paradigm of TACE therapy for liver cancer (10). Furthermore, the integration of TACE and immunotherapy represents a burgeoning therapeutic paradigm in the context of liver cancer (11). This approach endeavors to enhance treatment outcomes by synergizing the localized tumor-targeting properties of TACE with the systemic immune-enhancing attributes of immunotherapy (12). TACE can induce an immunogenic microenvironment within the tumor, rendering it more vulnerable to immune-mediated assaults. Concurrently, immunotherapy bolsters the body's immune responses against cancerous cells, encompassing those subjected to TACE (13).

Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), including PD-1 and CTLA-4, are also widely used in clinical practice. They have been approved as second-line treatments for HCC patients previously treated with sorafenib (14, 15). Immune therapy has shown excellent results in many clinical trials. PD-1 has shown significant anti-tumor effects as a treatment for HCC, particularly when used in combination with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (16, 17). PD-1 combined with targeted therapy has shown excellent results in patients, and clinical trials using atezolizumab combined with bevacizumab to treat unresectable HCC are highly anticipated, with direct entry into first-line treatment (18, 19). The study of

PD-1 combined with lenvatinib also suggests that patients with inoperable liver cancer may benefit. A prospective study by Lu et al. showed that the successful conversion rate for immune therapy combined with lenvatinib in treating HCC with vascular invasion was 42.4% (20).

Here, we report a case of successful treatment of BCLC C-stage liver cancer using PD-1 combined with lenvatinib, among other treatments, at our center.

Case presentation

In May 2022, a male patient, aged 50, sought medical evaluation. He had a documented history of hepatitis B infection and liver cirrhosis. His height was 169 cm, weight was 80 kg, and he belonged to Child-Pugh Liver Function Class A, indicating a state of moderate cirrhosis. MRI examination revealed a lesion of approximately 1098cm in size in the right liver lobe segments S7 and S6, as well as a main portal vein thrombus (baseline, Figure 1). The patient had an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status (ECOG-PS) of 1 and a Child-Pugh score of 5. The levels of protein induced by vitamin K absence-II (PIVKA-II) and alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) were 27603 ng/ml and 4.5 ng/ml, respectively.

After a multidisciplinary discussion, it was deemed that the patient was not suitable for radical surgical resection. The initial treatment plan was a one-cycle combination of PD-1 (Camrelizumab) (Jiangsu Hengrui Medicine Co. Ltd., Jiangsu, China) and lenvatinib (Eisai Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). PD-1 was given at a fixed dose of 200 mg every 3 weeks intravenously, and lenvatinib was administered at a dose of 8mg/day. After three weeks, the patient's tumor was stable according to mRECIST (Figure 1, 1 month) and the trends in PIVKA-II level were shown in Figure 2. The patient was treated with the same regimen for one more cycle, and the response was evaluated as a partial response (PR) (Figure 1, 2 months). Then, a single session of TACE treatment was conducted, followed by a continued regimen of immunotherapy and targeted therapy. The procedure involved percutaneous puncture of the femoral artery to facilitate angiography, specifically targeting the celiac and hepatic arteries. This examination revealed a complex, tortuous hepatic artery morphology, accompanied by the presence of irregular patchy and nodular staining patterns in the right hepatic lobe, along with notable atrophy of the right hepatic artery within the portal vein. The TACE treatment was administered using a catheter, with a dose of 6 mg. Additionally, a microcatheter was strategically placed into the right hepatic artery branch to perform embolization therapy, which entailed the infusion of a mixture containing 6 ml of lipiodol and gelatin sponge particles ranging from 560 to 710µm in size. Subsequent angiography demonstrated a significant reduction in tumor staining after the embolization procedure.

Four weeks later, the patient was evaluated as a PR (Figure 1, 3 months). However, the patient experienced discomforts such as abdominal distension and pain after this treatment and was readmitted to the hospital. CT examination showed that the main portal vein was occluded by an unclear thrombus (Figure 1, 4



The details of the subsequent clinical course. Baseline: MRI examination revealed a lesion of approximately 1098cm in size in the right liver lobe segments S7 and S6, as well as a main portal vein thrombus.; 1 month: After three weeks of combined targeted therapy and immunotherapy, the patient's tumor was stable according to mRECIST; 2 months: After the patient received the second round of combination targeted and immunotherapy, the response was assessed and again determined to be PR; 4 months: After undergoing another round of combined targeted and immunotherapy, the response was assessed as a complete response (CR); 27 months and 34 months: The patient was treated with the original regimen for six months after surgery and has been without recurrence for over 27months and 34 months.

months), which was believed to be a blood clot based on an MDT discussion. Surgical removal of the thrombus was recommended, and the patient agreed to the surgery. On August 15, 2022, the patient underwent a right hepatectomy with portal vein thrombus removal and cholecystectomy under general anesthesia (Figure 3A, B). The patient recovered smoothly after surgery. Pathology examination revealed moderately differentiated hepatocellular

carcinoma (grade 2) with a large amount of necrosis, no microvascular invasion, and satellite nodules in the surrounding liver with fatty degeneration. The gallbladder showed chronic inflammation, and the main portal vein thrombus contained a large number of red blood cells and a few lymphocytes. Immunohistochemistry showed Glypican-3 (-), Hepatocyte (+), CK7 (-), CK19 (-), CD34 (vascular ⁺), and Ki67 (clone: SP6) (Li:





20%) (Figure 3C, D). Supplementary immunohistochemistry experiments were performed, yielding further pathological insights. These findings confirm the existence of moderately differentiated hepatocellular carcinoma (Grade 2) characterized by extensive necrosis within the liver tumor and bile duct resection specimen after liver cancer treatment. Immunohistochemical

analysis demonstrates the presence of CD8 (partial lymphocytes +), and B-catenin (predominantly cytoplasmic+ in cancer cells; predominantly membranous+ in surrounding liver tissue), with a PD-L1 result of CPS=15 (Figure 4). The patient was treated with the original regimen for six months after surgery and has been without recurrence for over 34 months (Figure 1, 34 months). Throughout



FIGURE 4

The results of supplementary immunohistochemistry experiments. (A) the existence of moderately differentiated hepatocellular carcinoma (Grade 2) characterized by extensive necrosis within the liver tumor and bile duct resection specimen subsequent to liver cancer treatment (B) the presence of CD8 (partial lymphocytes+); (C) B-catenin (predominantly cytoplasmic⁺ in cancer cells; predominantly membranous⁺ in surrounding liver tissue); (D) a PD-L1 result of CPS=15.

10.3389/fonc.2023.1199143

treatment, the patient predominantly experienced adverse reactions characterized by abdominal distension and general fatigue. Nevertheless, these symptoms ameliorated following appropriate symptomatic intervention.

Discussion

Hepatocellular carcinoma combined with portal vein tumor thrombus generally has a poor prognosis. Traditional targeted drugs such as sorafenib have limited efficacy in such patients. However, this type of patient has achieved good results with immune combination targeted therapy, which also indicates the important role of immune checkpoint inhibitors combined with lenvatinib in the treatment of advanced liver cancer.

After using PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitors and targeted therapy, the patient's abdominal distension and diet significantly improved, which fully verified its therapeutic effect. After the second cycle of TACE, the tumor and tumor thrombus significantly reduced, abnormal prothrombin decreased, and the tumor partially relieved (PR) after evaluation. The nature of the portal vein obstruction is unclear, and a second MDT discussion was held. Based on imaging examination and abnormal prothrombin changes, the conclusion was that there was a high probability of large vessel thrombosis. After fully evaluating the patient's physical condition, we believe that there is an opportunity for surgical resection of the tumor and removal of the thrombus. We recommend surgical treatment using the liver hilum crossclamping method to remove the right lobe of the liver and the thrombus. The postoperative situation also confirmed the presence of thrombosis, and the patient's survival without tumor exceeded 34 months, highlighting the importance of MDT and the need to pay attention to the treatment window period and timely surgical intervention.

Currently, PD-1 is the mainstream of immunotherapy. Its mechanism of action inhibits tumor cells from suppressing the immune system, restarts the body's immune system, and enhances the anti-tumor effects of T cells. The activation and blockade of T cell-related important immune checkpoints are achieved through activating antibodies and blocking antibodies. Tumor cells evade the killing of T cells mainly by expressing CTLA-4 and PD-1. This approach is increasingly considered an essential part of the combined treatment for advanced liver cancer (21, 22). In our case, we used immunotherapy during the operation. We believe that the immune system needs time to restart in the treatment of liver cancer. However, targeted drugs work faster. From the observation of the patients currently being treated, especially those with liver cancer and bone metastasis with pain, clinical symptoms can only be significantly improved generally after one week of use. Numerous studies have also shown that anti-angiogenic KTI combined with immunotherapy can change the intrinsic microenvironment of tumor cells. Anti-angiogenic therapy can downregulate the expression of VEGF, inhibit tumor angiogenesis, and increase the effectiveness of treatment. Compared with sorafenib, atezolizumab plus bevacizumab has better overall survival and progression-free survival (23, 24). Shigeta et al. used an HCC in situ transplantation model or induced mouse model to reveal the mechanism of the combined treatment of immunotherapy and molecular targeting. In HCC, the dual blockade of PD-1 and VEGFR-2 not only promotes vascular normalization but also enhances anti-tumor immune responses (25). It was reported that 65 cases of HCC patients treated with combined immune checkpoint inhibitors and lenvatinib showed a significant improvement in OS and PFS, and there was no statistically significant difference in the incidence of adverse reactions (26). In my opinion, for liver cancer combined with portal vein tumor thrombus, accurate staging before surgery and the use of immune checkpoint inhibitors and molecular targeting drugs recommended by the guidelines can enhance the effectiveness of treatment. In the clinical application process, this treatment plan is effective for most patients. Y-90 radioembolization combined with immunotherapy is safe and effective, with an objective effective rate of 31% (26). TACE treatment for liver cancer can reduce the stage of liver cancer and may provide an opportunity for surgical resection (27). The KEYNOTE 524 study is an Ib clinical trial that evaluates the efficacy and safety of lenvatinib plus pembrolizumab as first-line treatment for advanced unresectable liver cancer (UHC). A total of 104 patients were enrolled in the study, and the ORR (confirmed remission) of patients receiving lenvatinib plus pembrolizumab treatment reached 46%, and the DCR reached 88%. The median OS of patients receiving lenvatinib plus pembrolizumab reached 22 months. In addition, regarding the postoperative pathology of liver cancer, we found significant differences in the composition of thrombi and cancer emboli. Thrombi contained more red blood cells and fewer lymphocytes, while treated cancer emboli exhibited weak activity and were accompanied by a large amount of necrotic material and infiltrated by a large number of lymphocytes. This also indicates that combined drug therapy with TACE can significantly control tumor growth.

In our observed cases, no adverse reactions of grade III or above were observed. Symptoms of hypertension were found in some cases, but they were improved after symptomatic antihypertensive treatment. A study showed that the incidence of adverse reactions in immunotherapy combined with targeted drugs did not result in treatment-related deaths. The incidence of grade III or above hypertension and palmar-plantar erythrodysesthesia syndrome (PPES) in the combination therapy group was 20% and 10.8%, respectively, compared to 17.8% and 4.4% in the single-drug group (25).

Finally, for postoperative adjuvant maintenance therapy, it is generally recommended to intervene with high-risk factors for early recurrence after liver cancer surgery with the presence of microvascular invasion (MVI+) (28–30). This patient stopped receiving postoperative adjuvant treatment after six months and achieved very good treatment results, which indicates the effectiveness of comprehensive treatment for liver cancer, especially by grasping the treatment window period. At present, there is a lack of real-time research data on the use of adjuvant therapy in HCC, and we look forward to better data being released.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Hubei Cancer Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

SL, RX, CD, JT, and TY were involved in the clinical care of the patient and planned the case report. SL, SD, and TY wrote the

manuscript. All authors reviewed and revised the manuscript and approved the final manuscript as submitted.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the patient and his family.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

 Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin (2021) 71(3):209–49. doi: 10.3322/caac.21660

2. Kudo M, Han KH, Ye SL, Zhou J, Huang YH, Lin SM, et al. A changing paradigm for the treatment of intermediate-stage hepatocellular carcinoma: Asia-Pacific primary liver cancer expert consensus statements. *Liver Cancer* (2020) 9(3):245–60. doi: 10.1159/000507370

3. Benson AB, D'Angelica MI, Abbott DE, Anaya DA, Anders R, Are C, et al. Hepatobiliary cancers, version 2.2021, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. J Natl Compr Canc Netw (2021) 19(5):541–65. doi: 10.6004/jnccn.2021.0022

 Llovet JM, Ricci S, Mazzaferro V, Hilgard P, Gane E, Blanc JF, et al. Sorafenib in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. N Engl J Med (2008) 359(4):378–90. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoa0708857

5. Nair A, Reece K, Donoghue MB, Yuan WV, Rodriguez L, Keegan P, et al. FDA supplemental approval summary: lenvatinib for the treatment of unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncologist* (2021) 26(3):e484–91. doi: 10.1002/onco.13566

6. Kawamura Y, Kobayashi M, Shindoh J, Kobayashi Y, Okubo S, Tominaga LJ, et al. Lenvatinib-Transarterial Chemoembolization Sequential Therapy as an Effective Treatment at Progression during Lenvatinib Therapy for Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Liver Cancer* (2020) 9(6):756–70. doi: 10.1159/000510299

7. Fu Z, Li X, Zhong J, Chen X, Cao K, Ding NJ, et al. Lenvatinib in combination with transarterial chemoembolization for treatment of unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (uHCC): a retrospective controlled study. *Hepatol Int* (2021) 15(3):663–75. doi: 10.1007/s12072-021-10184-9

 Gordan JD, Kennedy EB, Abou-Alfa GK, Beg MS, Brower ST, Gade TP, et al. Systemic therapy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: ASCO guideline. *J Clin Oncol* (2020) 38(36):4317–45. doi: 10.1200/JCO.20.02672

9. Li Z, Cheng H, Mao J, Liu G. Conversion therapy of intermediate and advanced hepatocellular carcinoma using superstable homogeneous iodinated formulation technology. *Sci China Life Sci* (2022) 65(10):2114–7. doi: 10.1007/s11427-022-2142-3

10. Cheng H, Yang X, Liu G. Superstable homogeneous iodinated formulation technology: revolutionizing transcatheter arterial chemoembolization. *Sci Bull (Beijing)* (2020) 65(20):1685–7. doi: 10.1016/j.scib.2020.06.029

11. Liang X, Cheng H, Liu C, Liu G. Antigen self-presenting nanovaccine for cancer immunotherapy. *Sci Bull (Beijing)* (2022) 67(16):1611–3. doi: 10.1016/j.scib.2022.07.018

12. Chen H, Cheng H, Dai Q, Cheng Y, Zhang Y, Li DQ, et al. A superstable homogeneous lipiodol-ICG formulation for locoregional hepatocellular carcinoma treatment. *J Control Release* (2020) 323:635–43. doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2020.04.021

13. He P, Ren E, Chen B, Chen H, Cheng H, Gao X, et al. A super-stable homogeneous Lipiodol-hydrophilic chemodrug formulation for treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Theranostics* (2022) 12(4):1769–82. doi: 10.7150/thno.68456

14. Chiang CL, Chan SK, Lee SF, Wong IO, Choi HC. Cost-effectiveness of pembrolizumab as a second-line therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma. *JAMA Netw Open* (2021) 4(1):e2033761. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.33761

15. Lai E, Astara G, Ziranu P, Pretta A, Migliari M, Dubois M, et al. Introducing immunotherapy for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma patients: Too early or too fast? *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* (2021) 157:103167. doi: 10.1016/ j.critrevonc.2020.103167

16. Fan J, Tang ZY, Yu YQ, Wu ZQ, Ma ZC, Zhou XD, et al. Improved survival with resection after transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. *Dig Surg* (1998) 15(6):674–8. doi: 10.1159/000018676

17. Peng Z, Fan W, Zhu B, Wang G, Sun J, Xiao C, et al. Lenvatinib combined with transarterial chemoembolization as first-line treatment for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: A phase III, randomized clinical trial (LAUNCH). *J Clin Oncol* (2023) 41 (1):117–27. doi: 10.1200/JCO.22.00392

 Finn RS, Qin S, Ikeda M, Galle PR, Ducreux M, Kim TY, et al. Atezolizumab plus bevacizumab in unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. N Engl J Med (2020) 382(20):1894–905. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1915745

19. Jost-Brinkmann F, Demir M, Wree A, Luedde T, Loosen SH, Müller T, et al. Atezolizumab plus bevacizumab in unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: Results from a German real-world cohort. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* (2023) 57(11):1313–25. doi: 10.1111/apt.17441

20. Liu J, Li Z, Zhang W, Lu H, Sun Z, Wang GW, et al. Comprehensive treatment of trans-arterial chemoembolization plus lenvatinib followed by camrelizumab for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma patients. *Front Pharmacol* (2021) 12:709060. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.709060

21. Mellman I, Coukos G, Dranoff G. Cancer immunotherapy comes of age. *Nature* (2011) 480(7378):480–9. doi: 10.1038/nature10673

22. Montasser A, Beaufrère A, Cauchy F, Bouattour M, Soubrane O, Albuquerque M, et al. Transarterial chemoembolisation enhances programmed death-1 and programmed death-ligand 1 expression in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Histopathology* (2021) 79(1):36–46. doi: 10.1111/his.14317

23. Lee MS, Ryoo BY, Hsu CH, Numata K, Stein S, Verret W, et al. Atezolizumab with or without bevacizumab in unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (GO30140): an open-label, multicentre, phase 1b study. *Lancet Oncol* (2020) 21(6):808–20. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30156-X

Liu et al.

24. Shigeta K, Datta M, Hato T, Kitahara S, Chen IX, Matsui A, et al. Dual programmed death receptor-1 and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 blockade promotes vascular normalization and enhances antitumor immune responses in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* (2020) 71(4):1247–61. doi: 10.1002/hep.30889

25. Chen K, Wei W, Liu L, Deng ZJ, Li L, Liang XM, et al. Lenvatinib with or without immune checkpoint inhibitors for patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma in real-world clinical practice. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2022) 71(5):1063–74. doi: 10.1007/s00262-021-03060-w

26. Tai D, Loke K, Gogna A, Kaya NA, Tan SH, Hennedige T, et al. Radioembolisation with Y90-resin microspheres followed by nivolumab for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (CA 209-678): a single arm, single centre, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* (2021) 6(12):1025–35. doi: 10.1016/S2468-1253(21)00305-8

27. Shi XJ, Jin X, Wang MQ, Wei LX, Ye HY, Liang YR, et al. Effect of resection following downstaging of unresectable hepatocelluar carcinoma by transcatheter

arterial chemoembolization. Chin Med J (Engl) (2012) 125(2):197–202. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0366-6999.2012.02.007

28. Roayaie S, Blume IN, Thung SN, Guido M, Fiel MI, Hiotis S, et al. A system of classifying microvascular invasion to predict outcome after resection in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* (2009) 137(3):850–5. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2009.06.003

29. Rodríguez-Perálvarez M, Luong TV, Andreana L, Meyer T, Dhillon AP, Burroughs AK. A systematic review of microvascular invasion in hepatocellular carcinoma: diagnostic and prognostic variability. *Ann Surg Oncol* (2013) 20(1):325–39. doi: 10.1245/s10434-012-2513-1

30. Zhang XP, Chen ZH, Zhou TF, Li LQ, Chen MS, Wen TF, et al. A nomogram to predict early postoperative recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma with portal vein tumour thrombus after R0 liver resection: A large-scale, multicenter study. *Eur J Surg Oncol* (2019) 45(9):1644–51. doi: 10.1016/j.ejso.2019.03.043