Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Giovanni Rosti, San Matteo Hospital Foundation (IRCCS), Italy

REVIEWED BY Benjamin Maughan, The University of Utah, United States Giuseppe Schepisi, Scientific Institute of Romagna for the Study and Treatment of Tumors (IRCCS), Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Michal Chovanec imichal.chovanec1@gmail.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Genitourinary Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

RECEIVED 16 January 2023 ACCEPTED 10 March 2023 PUBLISHED 21 March 2023

CITATION

Chovanec M, Kalavska K, Obertova J, Palacka P, Rejlekova K, Sycova-Mila Z, Orszaghova Z, Lesko P, De Angelis V, Vasilkova L, Svetlovska D, Mladosievicova B, Mardiak J, Pastorek M, Vlkova B, Celec P and Mego M (2023) Cognitive impairment and biomarkers of gut microbial translocation in testicular germ cell tumor survivors. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1146032. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1146032

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Chovanec, Kalavska, Obertova, Palacka, Rejlekova, Sycova-Mila, Orszaghova, Lesko, De Angelis, Vasilkova, Svetlovska, Mladosievicova, Mardiak, Pastorek, Vlkova, Celec and Mego. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted. provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Cognitive impairment and biomarkers of gut microbial translocation in testicular germ cell tumor survivors

Michal Chovanec^{1,2*}, Katarina Kalavska^{1,3}, Jana Obertova^{1,2}, Patrik Palacka^{1,2}, Katarina Rejlekova^{1,2}, Zuzana Sycova-Mila², Zuzana Orszaghova^{1,2}, Peter Lesko^{1,2}, Valentina De Angelis², Lucia Vasilkova⁴, Daniela Svetlovska⁵, Beata Mladosievicova⁶, Jozef Mardiak^{1,2}, Michal Pastorek⁷, Barbora Vlkova⁷, Peter Celec^{6,7} and Michal Mego^{1,2,3}

¹2nd Department of Oncology, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University and National Cancer Institute, Bratislava, Slovakia, ²Department of Medical Oncology, National Cancer Institute, Bratislava, Slovakia, ³Translational Research Unit, 2nd Department of Oncology, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University and National Cancer Institute, Bratislava, Slovakia, ⁴Department of Psychology, Faculty of Philosophy, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia, ⁵Department of Clinical Trials, National Cancer Institute, Bratislava, Slovakia, ⁶Institute of Pathological Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia, ⁷Institute of Molecular Biomedicine, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia

Background: Survivors of testicular germ cell tumors (GCT) may suffer from late cognitive impairment. We hypothesized that disruption of intestinal barrier during chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy may be a contributing factor of cognitive dysfunction within the gut-blood-brain axis.

Methods: GCT survivors (N = 142) from National Cancer Institute of Slovakia completed the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy Cognitive Function questionnaires during their annual follow-up visit at 9-year median (range 4-32). Biomarkers of gut microbial translocation and dysbiosis high mobility group box-1 (HMGB-1), lipopolysaccharide, d-lactate and sCD14 were measured from peripheral blood obtained during the same visit. Each questionnaire score was correlated with biomarkers. Survivors were treated with orchiectomy only (N = 17), cisplatin-based chemotherapy (N = 108), radiotherapy to the retroperitoneum (N = 11) or both (N = 6).

Results: GCT survivors with higher sCD14 (above median) had worse cognitive function perceived by others (CogOth domain) (mean \pm SEM; 14.6 \pm 0.25 vs 15.4 \pm 0.25, p = 0.019), lower perceived cognitive abilities (CogPCA domain) (20.0 \pm 0.74 vs 23.4 \pm 0.73, p = 0.025) and lower overall cognitive function score (109.2 \pm 0.74 vs 116.7 \pm 1.90, p = 0.021). There were no significant cognitive declines associated with HMGB-1, d-lactate and lipopolysaccharide. Survivors treated with \geq 400mg/m2 vs < 400mg/m2 of cisplatin-based chemotherapy had a higher lipopolysaccharide (567.8 μ g/L \pm 42.7 vs 462.9 μ g/L \pm 51.9, (p = 0.03).

Conclusions: sCD14 is a marker of monocytic activation by lipopolysaccharide and may also serve as a promising biomarker of cognitive impairment in longterm cancer survivors. While chemotherapy and radiotherapy-induced intestinal injury may be the underlying mechanism, further research using animal models and larger patient cohorts are needed to explore the pathogenesis of cognitive impairment in GCT survivors within the gut-brain axis.

KEYWORDS

cognitive impairment, testicular germ cell tumor (GCT), biomarker, gut microbial translocation, late toxicity, mechanism

Introduction

Testicular germ cell tumors (GCTs) are a curable malignancy (1). Approximately 90% of patients with metastatic disease is currently cured with multimodal treatment including cisplatinbased chemotherapy, radiotherapy and surgery (2, 3). As a result of the curative treatment, survivors of GCTs may suffer from late toxic sequalae years to decades after completion of their cancer therapy (4). Among the late toxicities, cognitive impairment represents one of the long-term health issues with a detrimental effect on the quality of life. Late cognitive impairment has been documented after 2-7 and more years after treatment for GCT (5, 6). The underlying mechanisms of cognitive impairment is unclear. Treatment with chemotherapy or radiotherapy has been shown to disrupt the intestinal barrier allowing for translocation of bacterial species into the bloodstream and subsequently secondary lymphoid organs. There, these bacteria stimulate the pathogenic T helper 17 cells (pTh17) which may be contributing to chronic neurotoxic injury mediated by pro-inflammatory pathways (7, 8). Several biomarkers of microbial translocation and innate immune system stimulation are currently established (9-13). Soluble CD14 (sCD14), lipopolysaccharide (LPS), high-mobility group box-1 (HMGB-1) and d-lactate have been previously described as biomarkers of gut microbial translocation (GMT) (14-18). Present study aimed to analyze these biomarkers of GMT in our cohort of GCT survivors with assessed cognitive functioning.

Methods

Patients

This study was conducted as a component of an ongoing prospective translational study (Protocol IZLO-1) evaluating long-term toxicities and their underlying mechanisms in GCT survivors. Here, we assessed the selected biomarkers of gut microbial translocation and their associations with late cognitive function in survivors who had received chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy as their curative treatment for GCT. Patients treated at National Cancer Institute in Slovakia from 1983 to 2012 for GCT have been included in the study completing an annual follow-up. The long-term annual follow-up of GCT survivors is established at our institution per the institutional guidelines for testicular cancer survivorship program. GCT survivors followed-up at least 4 years after completion of treatment were included. A significant number of patients who were treated between 1983 and 2012 were lost to follow-up. We were unable to estimate this number and reasons for non-adherence to our follow-up protocol. Due to this drop-out, the population of survivors consisted of patients adherent to our observational protocol.

We have divided patients into groups based on completed treatment regimen as described previously (5, 19). Our study groups included: orchiectomy only (AS = active surveillance; control group); treatment with orchiectomy and following radiotherapy (RT), chemotherapy (CT), chemotherapy and radiotherapy (CTRT). GCT survivors who received chemotherapy were then divided into groups of < 400mg/m² vs ≥ 400 mg/m² of cisplatin and ≥ 400 mg/m2 cisplatin versus the control group to assess the impact of cumulative dose of cytostatic treatment. Of the total number of 155 patients who completed the cognitive function assessment, peripheral blood samples for biomarker analysis was available in 142 survivors.

The approval of Institutional Review Board (IRB) (Slovak National Cancer Institute Ethics committee in Bratislava) was granted for the study and participating survivors provided their informed consent in written form in agreement with the protocol. Patients were enrolled between September 2015 and April 2017.

Cognitive functions measures

GCT survivors completed an annual follow-up visit at the 2nd Department of Oncology, Comenius University at National Cancer Institute in Bratislava, Slovakia. During the visit, survivors were asked to fill the FACT-Cog v.3 questionnaire. Questionnaires were completed by GCT survivors in a separately allocated office in a stable controlled environment that was uniform for all participating men. Survivors were alone in the room during the filling time and the study nurse was readily available at their convenience during the process.

Data including age, original histological finding, type of treatment, physical examination, cognitive functioning questionnaires FACT-Cog v.3 were collected in all participants at the outpatient clinic within the department of clinical trials during the annual follow-up. FACT-Cog questionnaires are comprised of 4 specific domains of self-reported cognitive functioning: perceived cognitive impairment (CogPCI), perceived cognitive abilities (CogPCA), QoL affected by cognitive impairment (CogQoL) and cognitive function score is total score calculated as the sum of all four individual domains. The lower the reported number on a scale of overall cognitive function score, the higher the impairment in individual who completed the questionnaire (20).

Evaluation of biomarkers of gut microbial translocation

Soluble CD14 (sCD14), high-mobility group box-1 (HMGB-1), lipopolysaccharide (LPS), and d-lactate were measured from peripheral blood samples. Peripheral blood samples were collected on a day of the annual follow-up from all participants in the present translational study (median 9 years after treatment, range: 4-32 years). FACT-Cog questionnaires were completed during the same follow up visit. Peripheral blood samples were collected into BD Vacutainer[®] K2EDTA Tubes (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) containing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid in the morning of the annual follow-up visit (n=142). Blood samples (1 ml) were initially centrifuged at 2,500 × g for 10 min and subsequently at 3,500 × g for 10 min to separate the plasma from the blood cells. Plasma aliquots were stored at -80° C until further analysis.

HMGB1 (LS-F4038; LSBio, Seattle, WA, USA), LPS (abx150357; Abbexa, Cambridge, United Kingdom) and sCD14 (HK320-02; Hycult Biotech, Uden, Holland) were all measured using commercially available ELISA kits and the corresponding calibration standards. D-lactate was assessed using an enzymatic colorimetric assay (MAK058; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Intra-assay and inter-assay coefficients of variation for all assays were below 5% and 10%, respectively.

Statistical analysis

Characteristics of patients were categorized and reported in the table form (Table 1). A t-test was used for continuous variables to compare characteristics between treatment groups. A non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test or Kruskal-Wallis test was used to analyze the associations between cognitive functions and biomarkers of GMT if data were not distributed normally. Within the multivariable analysis, we designed a logistic regression model

TABLE 1 Patients' characteristics.

	All (%)	Treatment group				
		AS	RT	СТ	CTRT	p value
	N = 142 (100%) (10)(((100)					
Age (years)						
Median (range)	39 (21-77)					
Follow up (years)						
Median (range)	9 (4-32)					
Histology						
Pure seminoma	41 (29)	9	10	18	6	< 0.01
Non-seminoma/mixed GCT	93 (66)	7	1	83	0	
Histology unknown	7 (5)	1	0	6	0	
Primary tumor						
Gonadal	132 (93)	17	11	98	6	0.75
Primary retroperitoneal	7 (5)	0	0	7	0	
Primary mediastinal	3 (2)	0	0	3	0	
IGCCCG risk group						
Good risk	67 (47)	0	0	61	6	0.14 0
Intermediate risk	20 (14)	0	0	20	0	
Poor risk	21 (15)	0	0	21	0	

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

	All (%)	Treatment group				
		AS	RT	СТ	CTRT	p value
Initial stage						
Ι	34 (24)	17	11	6	0	<0.01
I.S-III.A	64 (45)	0	0	58	6	
III.B	15 (11)	0	0	15	0	
III.C	27 (19)	0	0	27	0	
Stage unknown	1 (1)	0	0	1	0	
Treatment						
AS	17 (12)					
RT only	11 (8)					
adjuvant RT	11 (8)					
CT only	108 (76)					
1 st line only	88 (62)					
more than 1 st line	20(14)					
CTRT	6 (4)					
1 st line treatment	5 (3.5)					
2 nd line treatment	1 (0.5)**					
Initial chemotherapy						
BEPx3	35 (25)					
EPx4	18 (13)					
BEPx4	36 (25)					
other*	26 (18)					
Post-chemotherapy RPLND						
No	105	16	11	73	5	0.12
Yes	36	0	0	34	1	
Unknown	1	0	0	1	0	
Time from the end of treatment (ye	ars)					
4-10	83 (58)	16	9	53	5	< 0.01
11-15	29 (20)	0	2	26	1	
> 15	30 (21)	1	0	29	1	

*T-BEP x 4 (n = 7), BEP x 2 (n = 7), CISCA x 4 + vinblastine + bleomycin (n = 1), VAB VAI (n = 1), VIP x 4 + PVB x 2 (n = 1), VIP x 4 (n = 1), VIP x 6 (n = 1), BEP x 2 + EP x 2 (n = 1), T-BEP x 1 + EP x 3 (n = 1), high-dose VIP (n = 1), T-BEP x 4 + TEP x 1 (n = 1), PVB x 4 (n = 1), GETUG13 dose dense regimen (n = 1), PVB x 6 (n = 1).

** patient who had a relapse in CTRT group was initially treated with RT to the retroperitoneum and experienced systemic relapse 12 months later. He was treated EP x 4. Subsequent relapse was treated with second line TIPx4.

IGCCCG, International Germ Cell Cancer Collaborative Group; NA, not available; AS, active surveillance; RT, radiotherapy; CT, chemotherapy; CTRT, chemotherapy and radiotherapy; RPLND, retroperitoneal lymph node dissection; Chemotherapy regimens: BEP, bleomycin, etoposide, cisplatin; EP, etoposide cisplatin; VIP, etoposide, cisplatin; T-BEP, paclitaxel, bleomycin, etoposide, cisplatin; PVB, bleomycin, vinblastine, cisplatin; TEP, paclitaxel. Etoposide, cisplatin; CISCA, cisplatin, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide.

to adjust for age. Median time of follow-up was defined as median time from the last administered treatment in all GCT survivors. As a measure of probability, p values <0.05 were considered as significant. All reported p values were two sided. Statistical analyses were conducted using statistical software NCSS 10, 2015 (Hintze J, 2015, Kaysville, Utah, USA).

Results

Patients' characteristics

Survivor population in the study consisted of 142 GCT survivors with median age of 39 years at the follow-up (range: 21 - 77 years).

The median follow-up was 9 years (range: 4-32 years). Patients' characteristics are shown in Table 1. The majority of patients had non-seminoma, testicular primary and IGCCCG good risk category. Data regarding distribution of patients treated with radiotherapy to the retroperitoneal lymph nodes for stage I or stage II seminoma, subsequent chemotherapy for contralateral primary and chemotherapy for relapse after radiotherapy were provided in our previous study (5). When we assessed the age distribution we have found that GCT survivors treated with any treatment were older than ones who underwent active surveillance (median 40 vs 33 years, P < 0.01) (19).

Biomarkers of gut microbial translocation in association with cognitive functioning

Scores obtained from individual domains and overall cognitive function score were analyzed in association with levels of sCD14 (μ g/L), HMGB-1(ng/L), LPS (μ g/L) and d-lactate (μ mol/L). The mean score for cognitive impairment perceived by others (CogOth) was significantly lower in survivors with high plasma levels (above median) of sCD14 (mean ± standard error of mean (SEM) 14.6 ±

0.3 vs 15.4 \pm 0.25, p = 0.19). Perceived cognitive abilities were significantly higher in survivors with lower levels (below median) of sCD14 (23.4 \pm 0.73 vs 20.0 \pm 0.74, p = 0.025). GCT survivors with higher levels of sCD14 had significantly lower overall cognitive function score (109.2 \pm 0.74 vs 116.7 \pm 1.90, p = 0.021) (Table 2). Using spearman's correlation analysis sCD14 showed a weak negative correlation with overall cognitive function score (r = -0.21; p = 0.02). Analysis of HMGB-1, LPS and d-lactate did not show associations with cognitive functioning nor did we observe significant differences among subgroups of survivors treated with AS, RT or CTRT (all P > 0.05) (Table 2).

Biomarkers of gut microbial translocation in association with received treatment

Assessment of sCD14, HMGB-1, LPS and d-lactate levels in relationship with received treatment did not show significant differences among treatment subgroups including AS, CT, RT and CTRT. While no statistically significant difference was seen in GCT survivors treated with AS vs CT, RT or CTRT, respectively (all p > 0.05), a certain numerical trend (mean \pm SEM) for higher levels of

TABLE 2 Levels of biomarkers of gut microbial transfer and their association with cognitive function.

	sCD14	N	Mean	Median	SEM	p value
CogPCI	low	66	63.48	64	1.14	0.45
	high	65	60.98	63	1.15	
CogQOL	low	66	14.48	15	0.34	0.27
	high	65	13.60	15	0.34	
CogOth	low	66	15.41	16	0.25	0.02
	high	65	14.60	16	0.25	
CogPCA	low	66	23.39	24	0.73	0.03
	high	65	20.02	22	0.74	
Overal cognitive function score	low	66	116.77	118	1.90	0.02
	high	65	109.20	112	1,90483	
	HMGB1	N	Mean	Median	SEM	p value
CogPCI	HMGB1	N 66	Mean 62.91	Median 64	SEM 1.15	p value
CogPCI	HMGB1 low high	N 66 65	Mean 62.91 61.56	Median 64 62	SEM 1.15 1.16	p value
CogPCI CogQOL	HMGB1 low high low	N 66 65 66	Mean 62.91 61.56 14.09	Median 64 62 15	SEM 1.15 1.16 0.34	p value 0.99 0.94
CogPCI CogQOL	HMGB1 low high low high	N 66 65 66 65	Mean 62.91 61.56 14.09 14.00	Median 64 62 15 15	SEM 1.15 1.16 0.34 0.35	p value 0.99 0.94
CogPCI CogQOL CogOth	HMGB1 low high low high low	N 66 65 66 65 66	Mean 62.91 61.56 14.09 14.00 15.09	Median 64 62 15 15 16	SEM 1.15 1.16 0.34 0.35 0.25	p value 0.99 0.94 0.57
CogPCI CogQOL CogOth	HMGB1 low high low high low high	N 66 65 66 65 66 65	Mean 62.91 61.56 14.09 14.00 15.09 14.92	Median 64 62 15 15 16 16	SEM 1.15 1.16 0.34 0.35 0.25 0.26	p value 0.99 0.94 0.57
CogPCI CogQOL CogOth CogPCA	HMGB1 low low high low high low	N 66 65 66 65 66 65 66	Mean 62.91 61.56 14.09 14.00 15.09 14.92 21.45	Median 64 62 15 15 16 23	SEM 1.15 1.16 0.34 0.35 0.25 0.26 0.76	p value 0.99 0.94 0.57 0.40
CogPCI CogQOL CogOth CogPCA	HMGB1 low high low high low high low high	N 66 65 66 65 66 65 66 65	Mean 62.91 61.56 14.09 14.00 15.09 14.92 21.45 21.98	Median 64 62 15 15 16 23	SEM 1.15 1.16 0.34 0.35 0.25 0.26 0.76 0.77	p value 0.99 0.94 0.57 0.40
CogPCI CogQOL CogOth CogPCA Overal cognitive function score	HMGB1 low high low low high low high low	N 66 65 66 65 66 65 66 65 66	Mean 62.91 61.56 14.09 14.00 15.09 14.92 21.45 21.98 113.54	Median 64 62 15 15 16 23 23 116	SEM 1.15 1.16 0.34 0.35 0.25 0.26 0.76 0.77 1.96	p value 0.99 0.94 0.57 0.40 0.71

(Continued)

TABLE 2 Continued

	sCD14	N	Mean	Median	SEM	p value
	LPS	Ν	Mean	Median	SEM	p value
CogPCI	low	62	61.42	62	1.19	0.10
	high	69	62.99	65	1.13	
CogQOL	low	62	14.05	15	0.35	0.89
	high	69	14.04	15	0.34	
CogOth	low	62	14.90	16	0.26	0.37
	high	69	15.10	16	0.25	
CogPCA	low	62	21.53	23	0.79	0.55
	high	69	21.88	23	0.75	
Overal cognitive function score	low	62	111.90	113	2.02	0.23
	high	69	114.01	117	1.91	
	d-lactate	Ν	Mean	Median	SEM	p value
CogPCI	low	67	62.85	63	1.15	0.82
	high	62	61.53	64	1.20	
CogQOL	low	67	14.51	16	0.34	0.07
1	high	62	13.48	14,5	0.35	
CogOth	low	67	15.19	16	0.25	0.93
	high	62	14.84	16	0.26	
CogPCA	low	67	21.67	23	0.73	0.65
	high	62	22.11	23	0.76	
Overal cognitive function score	low	67	114.22	117	1.95	0.70
	high	62	111.99	115	2.03	

CogPCI, perceived cognitive impairment; CogQOL, quality of life affected by cognitive impairment; CogOth, cognitive impairment perceived by others; CogPCA, perceived cognitive abilities; SEM, standard error of mean.

sCD14, HMGB-1 and LPS was observed in survivors treated with AS vs CT (5851 μ g/L \pm 395 vs 5981 μ g/L \pm 157 for sCD14; 5852 ng/ L \pm 650 vs 6774 ng/L \pm 258 for HMGB-1; 476 μ g/L \pm 85 vs 526 μ g/L \pm 34 for LPS; all p > 0.05) or AS vs CTRT (6130 μ g/L \pm 439 vs 7280 μ g/L \pm 717 for sCD14; 5613 ng/L \pm 777 vs 7825 ng/L \pm 1269 for HMGB-1; 486 μ g/L \pm 62 vs 518 μ g/L \pm 101 for LPS; all p > 0.05). This trend towards higher plasma levels of biomarkers of gut microbial transfer was not seen in AS vs RT nor was it evident for d-lactate in any of the subgroup analyses. However, GCT survivors treated with cumulative dose of cisplatin \geq 400mg/m2 vs < 400mg/m2 had significantly higher levels of LPS in their plasma samples (568 μ g/L \pm 43 vs 463 μ g/L \pm 53, p = 0.03) (Table 3).

Discussion

Underlying molecular pathways of late cognitive impairment in GCTs are unknown. GCT survivors constitute a unique population of men cured from GCT with multimodal approach using chemotherapy, radiotherapy and surgery (21). In our prospective translational study, we have examined a set of GMT biomarkers as

potential biomarkers of cognitive impairment in long-term survivors of GCTs. Our pilot findings suggest that sCD14, but not HMGB-1, LPS and d-lactate expression is associated with cognitive impairment in GCT survivors.

Intestinal injury or mucositis is common in patients receiving chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy (22). About 40-80% of patients will experience intestinal injury during cytostatic treatment (23) which causes inefficient epithelium in terms of providing effective barrier, atrophy of villi, apoptosis of crypt cells and loss of proliferation (24). Intestinal damage may be induced also by radiotherapy resulting in delayed enteropathy occurring months or years after radiotherapy. Such enteropathy is characterized by intestinal dysfunction associated with vascular sclerosis, progressive intestinal wall fibrosis, a process involving a complex interplay of various cell types, factors and extracellular matrix (25). Gut microbes which constantly interact with intestinal epithelial cells may subsequently transfer from intestine to bloodstream through injured epithelium (26).

Research team led by Zitvogel have shown that cyclophosphamide alters composition of intestinal microbiota and induces the translocation of selected gram-positive species into

	sCD14	Ν	Mean (µg/L)	Median (µg/L)	SEM	p value
cisplatin	<400	46	5993.5	5746.0	224.5	0.66
	≥400	68	6086.5	6136.0	184.7	
	HMGB1	Ν	Mean (ng/L)	Median (ng/L)	SEM	p value
cisplatin	<400	46	6893.8	6991.3	406.0	0.64
	≥400	68	6785.0	6107.6	333.9	
	LPS	Ν	Mean (µg/L)	Median (µg/L)	SEM	p value
cisplatin	<400	46	462.9	381.4	51.9	0.03
	≥400	68	567.8	473.4	42.7	
	d-lactate	Ν	Mean (µmol/L)	Median (µmol/L)	SEM	p value
cisplatin	<400	46	28.2	28.7	1.4	0.80
	≥400	67	29.6	28.1	1.1	

TABLE 3 Biomarkers of gut microbial transfer and their associations with received dose of chemotherapy.

<400, cumulative dose of less than 400mg/m2 of cisplatin-based chemotherapy; ≥400, cumulative dose of more or equal to 400mg/m2 of cisplatin-based chemotherapy; SEM, standard error of mean.

secondary lymphoid organs (7). Perales-Puchalt et al. have also shown that treatment with cisplatin causes dysbiosis and GMT, while treatment with fecal gavage leads to reconstitution of healthy microbiota and inhibition of GMT (27). Alkylating agent ifosfamide is used in combination with cisplatin and etoposide or paclitaxel in treatment of GCTs. GMT during treatment of GCT may, therefore, lead to chronic pathogenic activation of innate immune system and pro-inflammatory responses via stimulation of toll-like receptors (TLRs). This ultimately leads into activation of microglia in the central nervous system that induce inflammatory mediated tissue damage (12, 28, 29). sCD14, LPS, HMGB-1 and d-lactate have been previously described as biomarkers of GMT (14-18). sCD14 is a coreceptor for bacterial LPS and is released from monocytes to the bloodstream after activation with LPS (15). Elevated plasma levels of sCD14 were identified in patients with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection who had global cognitive impairment, particularly in attention and learning domains (30). sCD14 is also found in cerebrospinal fluid of HIV patients who have axonal damage (31). A study on 4717 participants from 2 community-based cohorts have shown that each standard deviation unit increase in sCD14 was associated with 12% increase in risk of dementia (32). Chronic inflammatory environment therefore seems to be a contributing factor to cognitive impairment regardless of underlying health condition. This is supported by our findings of increased levels of sCD14 in GCT survivors with cognitive impairment. Both above mentioned studies and our study used different tools for measurement of cognitive performance. Consistent findings of sCD14 elevation in patients with cognitive impairment using different tools in various patient populations may be considered as an independent validation. To our knowledge, our study is the first to assess the relationship of GMT biomarkers in a long-term follow-up cohort of cancer survivors. Increased levels of sCD14 in our study were associated with greater impairment in CogOth domain and overall cognitive function score. Consistently, lower levels of sCD14 were associated with better cognitive abilities in CogPCA domain. Elevated levels of sCD14 at median 9 years of follow-up suggest

ongoing pro-inflammatory signaling in GCT survivors. Acute rise in inflammatory markers soluble tumor necrosis factor receptor II, interleukin 1 receptor antagonist and C-reactive protein was observed in a study of breast cancer survivors where authors implied these may remain elevated long into cancer survivorship. However, this assessment was done at median 27 days since the completion of adjuvant chemotherapy (33).

While cognitive impairment was obvious in our survivors with high sCD14, we did not observe significant associations with received treatment. Numerical trends were, however, observed for sCD14, HMGB-1 and LPS. Therefore, larger cohorts are needed to avoid bias of small number of survivors. One exception was the analysis of LPS in GCT survivors treated with larger cumulative dose of cisplatin (\geq 400mg/m² vs < 400mg/m²). Survivors treated with higher doses of chemotherapy had higher levels of LPS, which could imply persistent toxic injury to the intestinal barrier with increased permeability years after treatment for GCT. LPS was identified as factor promoting metastatic spread predicting poor outcome in cancer patients (34–36). To our best knowledge, the presence of LPS has not yet been studied in cohorts of cancer survivors.

Our findings are not sufficient to find clear link between received treatment and levels of GMT biomarkers. While sCD14 shows significant associations with cognitive functioning and the chronic activation of monocytes is suggested, larger cohorts and pre-clinical studies are needed to investigate in-depth molecular underpinnings of cognitive impairment. Among the strengths of our study is a uniqueness of GCT population to study late toxicities of treatment with well annotated cohort and long-term follow-up. Limitations include small number of patients in AS, RT and CTRT subgroups as well as the inability to show the clear mechanistic link between received treatment and levels of GMT biomarkers. Including a control group of age-matched males would be an important next step within this study because patients who were cured with orchiectomy only may be considered inappropriate as control group. On the other hand, since all patients had orchiectomy, we consider AS appropriate control group to see the effects of received treatment regardless of late hormonal changes. Further research is needed to validate our findings, preferably in joined international cohorts with longitudinal data.

Currently, we have insufficient data to consider sCD14 as a biomarker of cognitive impairment in GCTs. However, validation studies and pre-clinical research should be conducted to explore correlations and mechanistic background. If causality should be proven, there are possible interventions for future exploration. Since GCTs are uniquely sensitive to cisplatin-based chemotherapy and the curative treatments are strongly established, we do not advocate for lowering the dosages or intensity of chemotherapy. Such approach may result in higher mortality of GCT patients. Rather we speculate, that targeted inhibition of active monocytes may achieve better cognition in this population. Furthermore, feacal transplant arises as an intriguing therapeutic outlook for neurocognitive disorders and possibly other late toxicities in cancer survivors. To our knowledge it is not clear whether the timing of such treatment should be reserved for all patients after chemotherapy or only for survivors with developed late cognitive dysfunction, however, we believe the future research should address these scientific questions in the highest possible complexity.

Conclusion

Intestinal injury after chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy may be a contributing factor to impairment of cognitive functioning in GCT survivors. Elevated levels of sCD14 were associated with cognitive declines in our study and survivors who received higher cumulative dose of cisplatin had higher levels of LPS in their plasma. Further research is needed to validate these findings and explore mechanistic implications of gut-blood-brain axis in GCT survivors.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee, National Cancer Institute, Bratislava, Slovakia. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

MC - study design, hypotheses, funding, patient enrolment, data collection, statistical analysis, manuscript writing. KK – sample

processing, biobanking, article review, article review. JO - patient enrolment, data collection, article review. PP - patient enrolment, data collection, article review. KR - patient enrolment, data collection, article review. ZS-M - patient enrolment, data collection, article review. ZO - patient enrolment, data collection, article review. PL - patient enrolment, data collection, article review. VD - patient enrolment, data collection, article review. LV questionnaire management, data collection, article review. DS questionnaire management, data collection, article review. BM study design, data collection, article review. JM - study design, patient enrolment, data collection, article review. MP - biomarker laboratory analyses, article review. BV - biomarker laboratory analyses, article review. PC - biomarker laboratory analyses, data interpretation, article review. MM - study design, patient enrolment, statistical analysis, article review. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the Slovak Research and Development Agency under contract no. APVV-15-0086 and APVV-19-0411 (support for development of clinical database and biobank, partial salary for study nurses) and grants VEGA 1/0327/ 19 (Support for development and maintenance of biobank, manuscript charges) VEGA 1/0174/19 (Support for development and maintenance of biobank, administrative financial support), VEGA 1/0043/18 (administrative financial support, manuscript charges).

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge our patients and their families, Zlatica Pekova for administration support, Alzbeta Jancikova, Andrea Krieschova and Maria Zazrivcova for informed consent collection and oversight of study questionnaires completion.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Einhorn LH. Treatment of testicular cancer: A new and improved model. J Clin Oncol (1990) 8(11):1777–81. doi: 10.1200/JCO.1990.8.11.1777

2. Beyer J, Collette L, Sauve N, Daugaard G, Feldman DR, Tandstad T, et al. Survival and new prognosticators in metastatic seminoma: Results from the IGCCCG-update consortium. *J Clin Oncol* (2021) 39(14):1553–62. doi: 10.1200/JCO.20.03292

3. Gillessen S, Sauve N, Collette L, Daugaard G, de Wit R, Albany C, et al. Predicting outcomes in men with metastatic nonseminomatous germ cell tumors (NSGCT): Results from the IGCCCG update consortium. *J Clin Oncol* (2021) 39(14):1563–74. doi: 10.1200/JCO.20.03296

4. Chovanec M, Lauritsen J, Bandak M, Oing C, Kier GG, Kreiberg M, et al. Late adverse effects and quality of life in survivors of testicular germ cell tumour. *Nat Rev Urol* (2021) 18(4):227–45. doi: 10.1038/s41585-021-00440-w

5. Chovanec M, Vasilkova L, Setteyova L, Obertova J, Palacka P, Rejlekova K, et al. Long-term cognitive functioning in testicular germ-cell tumor survivors. *Oncologist* (2018) 23(5):617–23. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2017-0457

6. Amidi A, Wu LM, Pedersen AD, Mehlsen M, Pedersen CG, Rossen P, et al. Cognitive impairment in testicular cancer survivors 2 to 7 years after treatment. *Support Care Cancer* (2015) 23(10):2973–9. doi: 10.1007/s00520-015-2663-3

7. Viaud S, Saccheri F, Mignot G, Yamazaki T, Daillere R, Hannani D, et al. The intestinal microbiota modulates the anticancer immune effects of cyclophosphamide. *Science* (2013) 342(6161):971–6. doi: 10.1126/science.1240537

8. Viaud S, Flament C, Zoubir M, Pautier P, LeCesne A, Ribrag V, et al. Cyclophosphamide induces differentiation of Th17 cells in cancer patients. *Cancer Res* (2011) 71(3):661–5. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-1259

9. Pal GD, Shaikh M, Forsyth CB, Ouyang B, Keshavarzian A, Shannon KM, et al. Abnormal lipopolysaccharide binding protein as marker of gastrointestinal inflammation in Parkinson disease. *Front Neurosci* (2015) 9:306. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2015.00306

10. Cappellano G, Carecchio M, Fleetwood T, Magistrelli L, Cantello R, Dianzani U, et al. Immunity and inflammation in neurodegenerative diseases. *Am J Neurodegener Dis* (2013) 2(2):89–107.

11. Lotze MT, Zeh HJ, Rubartelli A, Sparvero LJ, Amoscato AA, Washburn NR, et al. The grateful dead: Damage-associated molecular pattern molecules and reduction/oxidation regulate immunity. *Immunol Rev* (2007) 220:60-81. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-065X.2007.00579.x

12. Lotze MT, Tracey KJ. High-mobility group box 1 protein (HMGB1): Nuclear weapon in the immune arsenal. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2005) 5(4):331-42. doi: 10.1038/ nri1594

13. Zhou X, Li J, Guo J, Geng B, Ji W, Zhao Q, et al. Gut-dependent microbial translocation induces inflammation and cardiovascular events after ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Microbiome* (2018) 6(1):66. doi: 10.1186/s40168-018-0441-4

14. Tulkens J, Vergauwen G, Van Deun J, Geeurickx E, Dhondt B, Lippens L, et al. Increased levels of systemic LPS-positive bacterial extracellular vesicles in patients with intestinal barrier dysfunction. *Gut* (2020) 69(1):191–3. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2018-317726

15. Shive CL, Jiang W, Anthony DD, Lederman MM. Soluble CD14 is a nonspecific marker of monocyte activation. *AIDS* (2015) 29(10):1263–5. doi: 10.1097/QAD.000000000000735

16. Hazlett LD, McClellan S, Somayajulu M, Bessert D. Targeting inflammation driven by HMGB1 in bacterial keratitis-a review. *Pathogens* (2021) 10(10):1235. doi: 10.3390/pathogens10101235

17. Yao YM, Yu Y, Wu Y, Lu LR, Sheng ZY. Plasma d (-)-lactate as a new marker for diagnosis of acute intestinal injury following ischemia-reperfusion. *World J Gastroenterol* (1997) 3(4):225–7. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v3.i4.225

18. Sheedy JR, Wettenhall RE, Scanlon D, Gooley PR, Lewis DP, McGregor N, et al. Increased d-lactic acid intestinal bacteria in patients with chronic fatigue syndrome. *Vivo* (2009) 23(4):621–8.

19. Chovanec M, et al. Long-term sexual functioning in germ-cell tumor survivors. BMC Cancer (2020) 20(1):779. doi: 10.1186/s12885-020-07301-6 20. Wagner L, Vasilkova L, Petrikova L, Obertova J, Palacka P, Rejlekova K, et al. Measuring patient self-reported cognitive function: Development of the functional assessment of cancer therapy–cognitive function instrument. *J Support Oncol* (2009) 7: W32–9. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djab027

21. Chovanec M, Cheng L. Advances in diagnosis and treatment of testicular cancer. *BMJ* (2022) 379:e070499. doi: 10.1136/bmj-2022-070499

22. Beck PL, Wong JF, Li Y, Swaminathan S, Xavier RJ, Devaney KL, et al. Chemotherapy- and radiotherapy-induced intestinal damage is regulated by intestinal trefoil factor. *Gastroenterology* (2004) 126(3):796-808. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2003.12.004

23. Elting LS, et al. The burdens of cancer therapy. clinical and economic outcomes of chemotherapy-induced mucositis. *Cancer* (2003) 98(7):1531–9. doi: 10.1002/ cncr.11671

24. Avritscher EB, Cooksley CD, Elting LS. Scope and epidemiology of cancer therapy-induced oral and gastrointestinal mucositis. *Semin Oncol Nurs* (2004) 20(1):3–10. doi: 10.1053/j.soncn.2003.10.002

25. Yu J. Intestinal stem cell injury and protection during cancer therapy. *Transl Cancer Res* (2013) 2(5):384–96.

26. Cani PD. Human gut microbiome: Hopes, threats and promises. *Gut* (2018) 67 (9):1716–25. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2018-316723

27. Perales-Puchalt A, Perez-Sanz J, Payne KK, Svoronos N, Allegrezza MJ, Chaurio RA, et al. Frontline science: Microbiota reconstitution restores intestinal integrity after cisplatin therapy. *J Leukoc Biol* (2018) 103(5):799–805. doi: 10.1002/JLB.5HI1117-446RR

28. Dina OA, Chen X, Reichling D, Levine JD. Role of protein kinase cepsilon and protein kinase a in a model of paclitaxel-induced painful peripheral neuropathy in the rat. *Neuroscience* (2001) 108(3):507–15. doi: 10.1016/S0306-4522(01)00425-0

29. Seigers R, Timmermans J, van der Horn HJ, de Vries EF, Dierckx RA, Visser L, et al. Methotrexate reduces hippocampal blood vessel density and activates microglia in rats but does not elevate central cytokine release. *Behav Brain Res* (2010) 207(2):265–72. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2009.10.009

30. Lyons JL, Uno H, Ancuta P, Kamat A, Moore DJ, Singer EJ, et al. Plasma sCD14 is a biomarker associated with impaired neurocognitive test performance in attention and learning domains in HIV infection. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* (2011) 57 (5):371–9. doi: 10.1097/QAI.0b013e3182237e54

31. Jespersen S, Pedersen KK, Anesten B, Zetterberg H, Fuchs D, Gisslen M, et al. Soluble CD14 in cerebrospinal fluid is associated with markers of inflammation and axonal damage in untreated HIV-infected patients: A retrospective cross-sectional study. *BMC Infect Dis* (2016) 16:176. doi: 10.1186/s12879-016-1510-6

32. Pase MP, Himali JJ, Beiser AS, DeCarli C, McGrath ER, Satizabal CL, et al. Association of CD14 with incident dementia and markers of brain aging and injury. *Neurology* (2020) 94(3):e254-66. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000 00008682

33. Bower JE, Ganz PA, Irwin MR, Kwan L, Breen EC, Cole SW, et al. Inflammation and behavioral symptoms after breast cancer treatment: Do fatigue, depression, and sleep disturbance share a common underlying mechanism? *J Clin Oncol* (2011) 29 (26):3517–22. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2011.36.1154

34. Wu X, Qian S, Zhang J, Feng J, Luo K, Sun L, et al. Lipopolysaccharide promotes metastasis via acceleration of glycolysis by the nuclear factor-kappaB/snail/hexokinase3 signaling axis in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Metab* (2021) 9(1):23. doi: 10.1186/s40170-021-00260-x

35. Jain S, Dash P, Minz AP, Satpathi S, Samal AG, Behera PK, et al. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) enhances prostate cancer metastasis potentially through NF-kappaB activation and recurrent dexamethasone administration fails to suppress it in vivo. *Prostate* (2019) 79(2):168–82. doi: 10.1002/pros.23722

36. Guenther M, Surendran SA, Palm MA, Heinemann V, von Bergwelt-Baildon M. Bacterial lipopolysaccharide as a negative predictor of adjuvant gemcitabine efficacy in pancreatic cancer. *JNCI Cancer Spectr* (2022) 6(3). doi: 10.1093/jncics/pkac039