Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Haoming Zhou, Nanjing Medical University, China

REVIEWED BY

Xiaofeng Wu, Nanjing Medical University, China Songyun Zhao, Wuxi People's Hospital Affiliated to Nanjing Medical University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Bing Han Manbing@qduhospital.cn Weidong Guo gwdrk@126.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Surgical Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

RECEIVED 05 January 2023 ACCEPTED 22 February 2023 PUBLISHED 09 March 2023

CITATION

Sun H, Wang H, Wang Y, Zhong W, Meng Y, Lv Z, Guo W and Han B (2023) Adjuvant transarterial chemoembolization timing after radical resection is an independent prognostic factor for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1129065. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1129065

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Sun, Wang, Wang, Zhong, Meng, Lv, Guo and Han. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Adjuvant transarterial chemoembolization timing after radical resection is an independent prognostic factor for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma

Hongfa Sun^{1†}, Hanlin Wang^{1†}, Youpeng Wang¹, Wenqing Zhong¹, Yushan Meng², Ziqiang Lv¹, Weidong Guo^{1*} and Bing Han^{1*}

¹Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery, The Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University, Qingdao, Shandong, China, ²Department of Gastroenterology, The Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University, Qingdao, Shandong, China

Background: It has been reported that postoperative adjuvant TACE (PA-TACE) treatment decreases recurrence and significantly improves the survival of patients who undergo radical resection of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) with high-risk recurrence factors. However, when to perform PA-TACE has not been fully studied.

Methods: We retrospectively collected the clinicopathologic characteristics of the patients with HCC between October 2013 and June 2020. The optimal cutoff value for PA-TACE time was determined based on the R package "maxstat". Logistic regression and Cox regression analysis were used to determine the effect of the choice of PA-TACE timing on prognosis.

Results: The analysis was performed on 789 patients with HCC, and 484 patients were finally involved and were divided into training cohort (378) and validation cohort (106). The PA-TACE timing was found to be associated with survival outcomes. Multivariate logistic analysis found independent predictors of the PA-TACE timing, including gender and history of HBV. Multivariate Cox analysis showed that Ki-67, tumor size, MVI and the PA-TACE timing were independent prognostic factors for RFS in HCC patients.

Conclusions: Based on this study, HCC patients with high-risk recurrence factors can receive personalized assistance in undergoing PA-TACE treatment and improve their survival outcomes.

KEYWORDS

HCC, TACE (transarterial chemoembolization), prognosis, timing, treatment

Highlights

This retrospective analysis was performed on 789 patients with high-risk recurrence factors who had undergone radical hepatectomy for HCC. 484 patients were finally entered into the analysis and were divided into training cohort (378) and validation cohort (106). With the results of this study, the PA-TACE timing after radical resection is an independent prognostic factor for patients with HCC. HCC patients with high-risk recurrence factors can receive personalized assistance in undergoing PA-TACE treatment and improve their survival outcomes.

Introduction

On a global scale, liver cancer is the fourth most common cause of cancer-related death and ranks sixth in terms of incidence (1). The most prevalent type of liver cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), accounts for approximately 90% of all cases (2). There are curative treatments available for HCC patients, including resection, transplantation and ablation (3, 4). For most HCC cases, resection is the primary therapeutic option (4, 5). Despite this, tumor recurrence following hepatectomy remains a major hurdle in managing HCC effectively, with the 5-year recurrence rates reaching 60%-70% (6, 7). The conventional risk factors for recurrence include nonanatomical resection, tumor size, microvascular invasion (MVI), serum alphafetoprotein level (AFP) and multiple tumors (8–10). To improve the long-term prognosis of postoperative HCC, postoperative adjuvant treatments are urgently needed.

A variety of strategies employing adjuvant therapeutic modalities (both systemic and locoregional) have been proposed over the years, including transarterial chemoembolization (TACE), interferon (11), capecitabine (12), hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy (13), sorafenib (14), immunotherapy and heparanase inhibitor PI-88 (15, 16), which have been proposed with varying degrees of success. When HCC is at an intermediate stage (BCLC), TACE is the first-line treatment recommended by the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) staging system. Meanwhile, TACE is regarded as a critical adjuvant therapy after radical resection in cases of HCC with highrisk recurrence factors to prevent recurrence. The effectiveness of postoperative adjuvant TACE (PA-TACE) in preventing recurrence and improving the prognosis of HCC has been established by a large number of studies (17-19). However, when to perform PA-TACE following radical hepatectomy and the factors affecting the PA-TACE timing have not been fully studied.

A retrospective analysis was conducted of the PA-TACE time, clinicopathological characteristics, and prognosis in HCC patients with high-risk recurrence factors. The recommended timing of PA-TACE was determined by using the optimal cutoff value method, and then the patients were divided into early and later TACE groups with significant prognostic differences. The potential factors affecting the PA-TACE timing were obtained by logistic regression analysis. Our final step was to incorporate the timing of PA-TACE into a multivariate Cox regression model and develop a prognostic nomogram to demonstrate that the PA-TACE timing was independently associated with the prognosis of HCC patients. Internal validation and comparison with conventional prognostic evaluation systems were also carried out. In our study, we assessed how the PA-TACE timing affects the prognosis of HCC patients, which provided recommendations for the PA-TACE timing after hepatectomy in HCC patients with high-risk recurrence factors and contributed to improving the prognosis of HCC.

Methods

Study population

We retrospectively identified consecutive patients with HCC who received radical hepatectomy as their primary therapy between October 2013 and June 2020 at the Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University from our prospective database, and a diagnosis of HCC was confirmed by pathological reports. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) diagnosis of HCC by pathologic criteria; (2) >18 years and \leq 80 years of age; (3) histopathologically confirmed HCC with a high risk of recurrence after resection and not receive targeted therapy and immunotherapy before recurrence.; (4) Child-Pugh classification A or B; (5) Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance score (ECOG PS) \leq 2; and (6) R0 resection. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) preoperative treatment, such as TACE, radiofrequency ablation, and antineoplastic agents; (2) hepatectomy of recurrent HCC; (3) a history of other malignancies; (4) invaded macrovasculature, such as portal or hepatic veins, or extrahepatic metastasis; (5) incomplete follow-up data; and (6) intrahepatic recurrence before PA-TACE, which made PA-TACE impossible. The training cohort included 378 patients, and 244 were excluded. We set up a cohort of 167 patients from the Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University between July 2019 and June 2020 as external validation. According to the inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria, 106 patients were included as the validation cohort. Table 1 summarized the demographic and pathological characteristics of HCC patients. Figure 1 shows the flow chart of the entire process.

Anonymized or confidential patient data were maintained, and patient privacy was protected. We followed the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards in all procedures. The Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University Research Ethics Committee approved the study protocol, protocol code QYFY WZLL 27141.

Clinicopathologic characteristics and definitions

A variety of clinical information of patients was collected through preoperative imaging examinations (including abdominal Bultrasound and contrast-enhanced computer tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)), laboratory examinations (including routine blood test, blood biochemical examination, coagulation tests, tumor marker examination and hepatitis serology tests), pathologic features, tumor recurrence, and details of the follow-up or date of death. Age, gender, body mass index (BMI), and history of HBV were included in the basic data collected from patients. We collected clinical characteristics, including alanine

	Training cohort (n=378)	Validation cohort (n=106)	P value
PA-TACE time (day)	41.03 (35.05,49.99)	41.91 (35.06,55.95)	0.646
TALT (40)	111 (29.37)	28 (26.42)	0.553
TAST (U/L)	25 (19,33.1)	23.55 (19.83,30)	0.479
TALB (g/L)	40.38 (36.74,46.15)	40.9 (36.65,47.7)	0.975
TTBIL (umol/L)	15.39 (12.2,20.71)	14.93 (11.87,19.7)	0.331
TAFP (ug/L)	6.09 (2.89,39.06)	5.92 (2.66,32.9)	0.817
TPT (t/s)	11.3 (10.4,12.3)	11.65 (10.6,12.7)	0.099
ALT (40)	146 (38.62)	36 (33.96)	0.381
AST (U/L)	29.15 (22.4,43)	28.1 (21,45.03)	0.810
ALB (g/L)	42.6 (38.79,48.47)	40.5 (37.6,49.3)	0.127
TBIL (umol/L)	16.9 (12.8,22.5)	16.07 (11.49,21.83)	0.484
AFP (20)	225 (59.52)	62 (58.49)	0.848
PT (t/s)	10.9 (10,11.73)	11.2 (10.1,11.9)	0.292
Ki-67	30 (20,50)	30 (20,40)	0.722
tumor size (cm)	4.2 (3,7)	5.1 (3.3,8)	0.219
tumor number	1 (1,1)	1 (1,1)	0.764
MVI	242 (64.02)	65 (61.32)	0.61
satellite lesions	54 (14.29)	18 (16.98)	0.491
high (cm)	1.7 (1.65,1.73)	1.7 (1.65,1.73)	0.456
weight (kg)	70 (62,76)	70 (64,80)	0.249
BMI	24.22 (22.02,26.35)	25.01 (22.84,27.02)	0.059
history of HBV	198 (52.38)	57 (53.77)	0.8
age	61 (54,68)	61 (55,67)	0.864
gender	311 (82.28)	90 (84.91)	0.525

TABLE 1 The demographic and pathological characteristics of the HCC patients.

PA-TACE, postoperative adjuvant TACE; ALT, alanine aminotransaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALB, albumin; TBIL, total bilirubin; AFP, serum alpha- fetoprotein; PT, prothrombin time; MVI, microvascular invasion; BMI, body mass index. The indicators before PA-TACE were displayed as "T + indicators", such as "TALT".

aminotransaminase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), albumin (ALB), total bilirubin (TBIL), serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) and prothrombin time (PT), before surgery and PA-TACE. The indicators before PA-TACE were displayed as "T + indicators", such as "TALT". Since TALT, ALT and AFP are extremely skewed distribution, we converted TALT and ALT into binary variables with the upper limit of normal value of 40U/L, and AFP into binary variables with the boundary of 20ug/L (20, 21), and conduct subsequent analysis. Ki-67, tumor size, tumor number, microvascular invasion (MVI) and satellite lesions were confirmed based on imaging examinations and pathologic examination. PA-TACE time was defined as the number of days from hepatectomy to PA-TACE. The optimal cutoff value for PA-TACE time was obtained through the "survminer" package's surv_cutpoint() function of R software (22, 23). The clinicopathologic characteristics of the patients in training cohort are summarized in Table 2.

All specimens were sampled according to the "Evidence-based Practice Guidelines for Standardized Pathological Diagnosis of Primary Liver Cancer in China: 2015 Update" using a 7-point baseline sampling protocol (24). MVI is a condition in which tumor cells are visible on microscopy in a portal vein, hepatic vein, or large capsular vessel of the surrounding hepatic tissue (25). The maximum diameter of the pathology specimen was used to define tumor size. Tumor number was classified as 1, 2 and 3. The term satellite lesions refers to microscopic HCC nodules separated from the tumor by at least 2 cm of uninvolved liver parenchyma and not included in tumor counts. The surgical specimens were examined by two senior pathologists with more than 10 years of hepatic pathology experience. For discordant cases, consensus was reached through discussion.

Based on tumor characteristics identified by pathology reports, we evaluated the risk of recurrence for resection and included patients with high-risk recurrence factors. When a single tumor with MVI, two or three tumors, or a single tumor larger than 5 cm without MVI was present, patients were considered to have high-risk recurrence factors (8, 9, 18, 19).



Hepatectomy and TACE

Based on the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) staging system, we developed a treatment strategy for our patients. Child–Pugh classification and the indocyanine green (ICG) test were used to assess hepatectomy. Intraoperative sonography was used to determine the resection route. For inflow control of the liver during the operation, intermittent Pringle's maneuver (15 min of clamping followed by 5 min of release) was applied in selected cases. We used an ultrasonic dissector or a pean-clamp for the transection of the liver parenchyma. The histologic examination showed that all patients had achieved R0 resection, which was defined as no residual tumor and a negative margin.

For all patients, the liver function, serum AFP level and contrast-enhanced CT or MRI of the abdomen were evaluated approximately one month after surgery. Following the exclusion of patients who were not suitable for PA-TACE, those with highrisk recurrence factors were recommended to undergo PA-TACE. Socioeconomic status and compliance with doctors played a major role in whether patients followed physicians' recommendations. For this reason, we included patients up to 4 months after surgery in our study so we can study how the PA-TACE timing affected prognosis.

The Seldinger method was used to apply PA-TACE to the entire remnant liver. Any obvious tumor staining in the remnant liver was detected by hepatic angiography, computed tomography angiography, or both. An emulsion of lipiodol (5-10 mL) was applied after chemotherapeutic agents, including doxorubicin hydrochloride (10 mg), pirarubicin (THP), or pharmorubicin (20-40 mg), were administered slowly through the right and left hepatic arteries if tumor staining was not found. Based on body surface area and liver function, the dosage of lipiodol and doxorubicin was determined (26).

Suspicious imaging findings or biopsy-proven tumors were considered to be signs of recurrence (8). An evaluation of the therapeutic strategy was conducted once tumor recurrence was diagnosed based on tumor number, tumor location, liver function and general patient condition. Surgical reresection and ablation were used in the treatment with curative intent. The other treatment methods included TACE, targeted therapy, immunotherapy, etc.

Follow-up

Recurrence-free survival (RFS) was the primary endpoint of this study; overall survival (OS) and safety of PA-TACE were the secondary endpoints. In the first two years after surgery, patients were followed up once every 2 months and then once every 3 months thereafter. Each follow-up visit included liver function assessments, tumor markers, and abdominal ultrasounds. The patients were scheduled for contrast-enhanced CT or MRI once every 6 months or when recurrence/metastasis was suspected. RFS was defined as the time from the date of operation to the first TABLE 2 The clinicopathologic characteristics of the HCC patients in training cohort.

Clinicopathologic characteristics	Total Patients	Early TACE group	Later TACE group	P value
RFS grouping	378	272	106	
gender	311 (82.28)	220 (80.88)	91 (85.85)	0.26
age (year)	61 (54,68)	60 (52.25,68)	64 (56.75,69)	0.03
PA-TACE time (day)	41.03 (35.05,49.99)	37.49 (33.93,41.99)	60.99 (52.94,75.06)	0.00
recurrence	232 (61.38)	157 (57.72)	75 (70.75)	0.02
RFS (year)	2.6 (0.75,3.64)	2.66 (0.85,3.70)	2.04 (0.55,3.60)	0.18
death	129 (34.13)	80 (29.41)	49 (46.23)	0.00
OS (year)	3.4 (2.62,5.03)	3.43 (2.66,5.03)	3.28 (2.29,5.04)	0.35
TALT (40)	111 (29.37)	91 (33.46)	20 (18.87)	0.01
TAST (U/L)	25 (19,33.1)	25.7 (19.03,33.30)	23.95 (17.9,32.98)	0.48
TALB (g/L)	40.38 (36.74,46.15)	40.3 (36.77,45.38)	41.1 (36.49,47.56)	0.40
TTBIL (umol/L)	15.39 (12.2,20.71)	15.2 (12.1,20.72)	15.94 (12.58,20.75)	0.53
TAFP (ug/L)	6.09 (2.89,39.06)	6.48 (2.98,42.56)	4.53 (2.48,33.41)	0.15
TPT (s)	11.3 (10.4,12.3)	11.3 (10.4,12.4)	11.25 (10.5,12.2)	0.56
ALT (40)	146 (38.62)	108 (39.71)	38 (35.85)	0.49
AST (U/L)	29.15 (22.4,43)	29.45 (22.27,42)	28.5 (22.38,43.3)	0.99
ALB (g/L)	42.6 (38.79,48.47)	42.66 (38.9,48.70)	42 (38.35,47.06)	0.31
TBIL (umol/L)	16.9 (12.8,22.50)	16.9 (13.1,22.28)	16.9 (11.96,22.73)	0.66
AFP (20)	225 (59.52)	162 (59.52)	63 (59.43)	0.98
PT (s)	10.9 (10,11.73)	10.9 (10.1,11.8)	10.8 (9.9,11.5)	0.24
Ki-67	30 (20,50)	30 (20,50)	30 (20,40)	0.75
tumor size (cm)	4.2 (3,7)	4.3 (2.85,7)	4.1 (3,7)	0.45
tumor number	1 (1,1)	1 (1,1)	1 (1,1)	0.35
MVI	242 (64.02)	185 (68.01)	57 (53.77)	0.01
satellite lesions	54 (14.29)	44 (16.18)	10 (9.43)	0.09
high (cm)	1.7 (1.65,1.73)	1.7 (1.65,1.74)	1.7 (1.65,1.73)	0.23
weight (kg)	70 (62,76)	70 (62,76)	69 (60,76.5)	0.35
BMI	24.22 (22.02,26.35)	24.24 (22.04,26.33)	23.88 (21.27,26.56)	0.58
history of HBV	198 (52.38)	127 (46.69)	71 (66.98)	0.00

RFS, disease free survival; OS, overall survival; PA-TACE, postoperative adjuvant TACE; ALT, alanine aminotransaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALB, albumin; TBIL, total bilirubin; AFP, serum alpha- fetoprotein; PT, prothrombin time; MVI, microvascular invasion; BMI, body mass index. The indicators before PA-TACE were displayed as "T + indicators", such as "TALT".

documented disease recurrence through independent radiological evaluation or liver biopsy, and or death by any cause, whichever occured first. OS was defined as the time from date of surgery to date of death regardless of the cause of death. We recorded adverse events (AEs) from the day of PA-TACE to the last day of follow-up. Using the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) version 4.0, the safety of PA-TACE was evaluated (27). All follow-up data were summarized as of the end of January 2022.

External validation of the nomogram model was performed through the validation cohort. Exploratory subgroup analyses of RFS were performed in patients by age (≤ 65 years vs. >65 years), gender (male vs. female) and BMI (normal vs. abnormal).

Statistical analysis

SPSS software (version 25, IBM, New York, USA) was used for statistical analysis. The median (interquartile range) and the Mann –Whitney U test were performed for continuous variables with a skewed distribution, while the mean \pm SD and t test were used for variables with a normal distribution. We compared categorical variables using the χ 2 test or Fisher's exact test and presented them as frequencies and proportions. The Kaplan–Meier method was used to plot survival curves, and the log-rank test was used to compare them. Univariate logistic regression analysis was performed. Then, to evaluate the risk factors affecting the PA- TACE timing, multivariate logistic regression analysis was conducted using the stepwise backward elimination procedure. The model fit was assessed with the Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness of fit test. Backward stepwise regression analysis was used to evaluate independent prognostic factors in univariate and multivariate Cox analyses.

We established a nomogram based on the results of multivariate Cox analysis using the package "rms" in R (version 4.1.2, Vienna, Austria). The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve and area under the ROC curve (AUC) were used to quantify the discriminatory ability of the nomogram (28). With the Kaplan -Meier method, the calibration curve was depicted to assess whether the nomogram prediction was in agreement with observed real outcomes. Bootstraps with 1000 resamples were used for the validation of the nomogram and calibration curve construction. As described by Vickers and colleagues (29), the R package "rmda" was used to perform decision curve analysis (DCA) based on the net benefit, which was used to evaluate the performance of the established nomogram in clinical decisionmaking. The C-index was used for external validation, internal validation and comparison of the nomogram and conventional prognostic evaluation systems. Statistical significance was defined as a P value <0.05 for two-tailed tests.

Results

Prognostic value of the PA-TACE time

The optimal cutoff value of the PA-TACE time was determined to be 48.63 days for RFS (Figure 2A), to confirm the effect of the PA-TACE timing on prognosis. We then divided the patients into early and later TACE groups based on the optimal cutoff value. The Kaplan–Meier analysis showed that the PA-TACE timing was a significant poor prognostic factor for RFS (P<0.05) (Figure 2B).

Patients and clinicopathological characteristics

There was no significant difference in baseline characteristics between the training cohort and validation cohort (Table 1). A total of 789 HCC patients were treated at our center with radical (R0) partial hepatectomy. 484 qualified patients were enrolled in the final study and were divided into training cohort (378) and validation cohort (106). In training cohort: The median age of the patients was 61 (54-68) years, and 82.28% of them were male. The median time of PA-TACE was 41.03 (35.05-49.99) days. Postoperative pathologic examination confirmed that 242 (64.02%) patients had MVI, the median tumor diameter was 4.2 (3-7) cm, and 198 (52.38%) patients had HBV infection. As shown in Table 2, we divided the patients into early and later TACE groups based on the optimal cutoff value for RFS.

At the last follow-up in January 2022, the median RFS for all patients in training cohort was 2.6 (0.75-3.64) years, and the median OS was 3.4 (2.62-5.03) years. In the follow-up period, 232 (61.38%)

patients relapsed, and 129 (34.13%) died, failing to reach the median survival. Compared to the late TACE group, the early TACE group had a significantly lower recurrence rate and mortality (57.72% vs. 70.75%, P<0.05; 29.41% vs. 46.23%, P<0.01). The RFS was 0.62 years longer in the early TACE group (2.66 years; 95% CI, 0.85-3.70 years) than in the later TACE group (2.04 years; 95% CI, 0.55-3.60 years). The OS was 0.15 years longer in the early TACE group (3.43 years; 95% CI, 2.66-5.03 years) than in the later TACE group (3.28 years; 95% CI, 2.29-5.04 years). The 1-, 3-, and 5-year RFS rates of the early TACE group were 72.1%, 48.8% and 37.7%, respectively; the 1-, 3-, and 5-year RFS rates of the later TACE group were 59.4%, 37.5% and 26.1%, respectively. In addition, the 1-, 3-, and 5-year OS rates of the early TACE group were 94.5%, 79.8% and 65.4%, respectively; the 1-, 3-, and 5-year OS rates of the later TACE group were 93.4%, 66.7% and 51.2%, respectively. In the validation cohort, the median RFS of HCC patients was 1.73 (0.93-2.07) years, and the median OS was 2.01 (1.71-2.22) years.

Risk factors related to the PA-TACE timing

Logistic regression analysis was conducted to identify risk factors associated with the PA-TACE timing. Regarding RFS, univariate logistic regression analysis revealed that TALT, ALT, AFP, MVI, satellite lesions, age and history of HBV may be risk factors associated with the PA-TACE timing (Figure 3A). In the RFS, multivariate logistic regression analysis found that independent predictors of the PA-TACE timing included gender (OR=2.099,95% CI,1.021-4.312, P<0.05) and history of HBV (OR=2.886, 95%CI,1.723-4.835, P<0.001) (Figure 3B). The values of Nagelkerke's R2 were 0.224, while the results of the Hosmer –Lemeshow test were 0.762 in RFS analysis. These results showed that the overall model fit was good, with a median effect size.

The PA-TACE timing included in cox analysis of prognosis of training cohort

Cox regression analysis was used to explore the effect of the PA-TACE timing on the prognosis of HCC. Univariate Cox analysis showed that TAFP, TBIL, AFP, KI-67, tumor size, MVI and the PA-TACE timing were risk factors for RFS in HCC patients (Figures 3C, D). Then, multivariate Cox analysis showed that Ki-67(HR=1.014, 95%CI,1.007-1.020, P<0.001), tumor size(HR=1.056, 95%CI,1.021-1.092, P=0.001), MVI(HR=1.503, 95%CI,1.114-2.028, P<0.01) and the PA-TACE timing (HR=1.515, 95%CI,1.139-2.015, P<0.01) were independent prognostic factors for RFS in HCC patients.

Development and validation of the nomogram for the prognosis of HCC

To predict the prognosis of HCC patients, a nomogram was developed based on the training cohort that integrated the PA-TACE timing with significant clinical characteristics, such as Ki-67, tumor size and MVI, for RFS (Figure 4A). The ROC curve and AUC



FIGURE 2

To determine the PA-TACE time cutoff values with significant prognostic differences. (A) Use the "survminer" package to get the optimal cutoff value for PA-TACE time. (B) K-M survival analysis of the early and later TACE groups for RFS. Abbreviations: PA-TACE, postoperative adjuvant TACE; RFS, disease-free survival.

were calculated to evaluate and compare the discriminatory power of the nomogram model. The nomogram showed good predictive performance on the ROC curve. It was observed that the AUC values for 1-, 3- and 5-year RFS were 0.699, 0.685 and 0.700, respectively (Figures 4B–D). When comparing the constructed nomogram with the ideal model, the calibration plot showed good performance (Figure 4E). DCA also confirmed the predictive capacity of the nomogram (Figure 4F).

Internal validation was performed based on gender (male vs. female), age (> 65 years vs. \leq 65 years), and BMI (normal vs. abnormal) groupings to further validate the predictive power of the model for RFS. The results showed good predictive performance of the nomogram model for RFS in both the male and female subgroups (Figures 5A, B), the >65 and \leq 65 age subgroups (Figures 5C, D), and the normal and abnormal BMI subgroups (Figures 5E, F). In the validation cohort, the 1-year and 2-year C-index of the nomogram were 0.698 and 0.697, respectively

(Figures 6A, B). In addition, for the validation cohort, the 1-year and 2-year calibration plot of external validation of nomogram model performed well (Figure 6C). Furthermore, conventional prognostic evaluation systems, such as the Milan criteria (MC) (30), Albumin-Bilirubin (ALBI) grade and Glasgow Prognostic Score (GPS) (31, 32), were compared with the established nomogram to confirm which prognostic model was more reasonable and efficient. Our nomogram outperformed the conventional prognostic evaluation systems for RFS (Figures 6D– F) as measured by ROC curves of 1-, 3- and 5-year.

Safety of TACE treatment and treatment after recurrence

Table 3 summarizes the adverse events (AEs) related to PA-TACE in HCC patients. Overall, we found that most AEs were mild



Factors affecting the PA-TACE timing and the prognosis of HCC. (**A**, **B**) Univariate and multivariate logistic analyses to evaluate the factors affecting the PA-TACE timing for RFS. (**C**, **D**) Univariate and multivariate Cox analyses to evaluate independent prognostic factors of HCC for RFS. ALT, alanine aminotransaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALB, albumin; TBIL, total bilirubin; AFP, serum alpha- fetoprotein; PT, prothrombin time; MVI, microvascular invasion; BMI, body mass index. The indicators before PA-TACE were displayed as "T + indicators", such as "TALT".

and manageable, and no toxicity-associated deaths occurred in this study. Nausea/vomiting (33.07%), pain (14.55%) and fever (13.49%) were the most common AEs. A few patients developed liver dysfunction (1.06%), leukopenia (0.53%) or thrombocytopenia (0.53%). No grade 3 or 4 AEs were observed based on the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) version 4.0.

The patients in our study received subsequent antitumor therapies after recurrence, including TACE (40.52%), locoregional ablation (13.36%), hepatectomy (3.02%), targeted therapy and immunotherapy (14.66%) (Table 4). There were 34 patients who received targeted therapy and immunotherapy at the time of recurrence or suspected recurrence. We analyzed the effects of targeted therapy and immunotherapy on patients' OS in Table 5. The analysis results showed that the OS of patients with targeted and immunotherapy was better than that of patients without targeted and immunotherapy, although there was no statistical difference (P>0.05).

Discussion

The 5-year recurrence rate after radical resection of HCC is as high as 60-70% (6, 7), which is an important reason for the poor survival outcomes of HCC patients (6, 33). A variety of adjuvant therapies, including interferon (11), capecitabine (12), hepatic



arterial infusion chemotherapy (13), targeted therapy and immunotherapy (14, 15), have been reported with limited success. In the STORM trial of adjuvant sorafenib for HCC after resection or ablation, the primary endpoint of prolonged RFS was not reached (14). In recent years, a number of retrospective studies and prospective RCT trials have shown that PA-TACE treatment after radical resection of HCC can significantly reduce the tumor recurrence rate and improve the RFS and OS of patients with high-risk recurrence factors (18, 19, 34). As an important adjuvant therapy, TACE has formed a standardization of the technique to a certain extent through long-term development.

Conventional TACE (cTACE), which uses Lipiodol, and TACE with drug-eluting beads (DEB-TACE) are the two types of TACE techniques (35–38). The two TACE technologies are similar in tumor response and survival, while DEB-TACE has less systemic

toxicity and adverse events (AEs) (37, 39). The chemotherapeutic agents used in TACE are generally doxorubicin or cisplatin (40, 41), and the choice of chemotherapeutic agent for TACE may not significantly affect the prognosis of patients (42). The fixed TACE schedule and tumor response guided retreatment (treatment on demand) strategy are both considered in retreatment decision-making, but fixed treatment strategies may have deleterious effects on liver function (43). The frequency of TACE also varies widely and is spaced as close as 2 weeks or as far as 8 weeks apart (41, 44). The STATE score, HAP score and ABCR score were developed to evaluate the criteria for the first and repeated TACE treatment of patients with intermediate stage HCC (BCLC) (45–47). These tools have shown limited predictive value. However, the PA-TACE timing after radical resection in patients with high-risk recurrence factors has not been reported. The PA-TACE time mentioned in



Internal validation of the nomogram model. (A, B) The predictive performance of the nomogram based on gender (male vs. female) for RFS. (C, D) The predictive performance of the nomogram based on age (>65 vs. \leq 65) for RFS. (E, F) The predictive performance of the nomogram based on BMI (normal vs. abnormal) for RFS.

various studies is approximately one month based on experience (17–19, 34). This study demonstrated that the PA-TACE timing after radical resection was an independent prognostic factor for HCC patients with high-risk recurrence factors.

In this retrospective study, the usual time for patients to undergo PA-TACE was approximately one month. Patients who undergo PA-TACE prematurely are prone to liver failure and other serious complications, as their liver function has not fully recovered, their albumin level is low, and infection has not been completely controlled (48–51). Furthermore, when major abdominal surgery is performed, such as hepatectomy, growth factors and proinflammatory cytokines (such as macrophage inflammatory protein-2, interleukin-6, and tumor necrosis factor alpha) are released that promote regeneration of the remaining liver tissue but may also inadvertently enhance the proliferation of these remaining tumor cells (52–54). Therefore, it is important to administer PA-TACE treatment before the tumor becomes difficult to control. Nevertheless, whether patients follow doctors' recommendations is largely determined by their socioeconomic status and compliance with doctors. Interestingly, the phenomena found in our study can partially explain the above theory. ALT (TACE) in the early TACE group was higher than that in the later TACE group (31.2, 20.93-48 vs. 25, 19.08-36.18, P<0.01), while the age of the later TACE group was older than that of the early TACE group (60, 52.25-68 vs. 64, 56.75-69, P<0.05) in training cohort. One possible reason is that the elderly are less motivated with regard to disease treatment than relatively young people. The difference in the PA-TACE timing is thought to explain the different prognoses of HCC patients.

Using the optimal cutoff value method, we determined that the grouping cutoff values for RFS in the samples were 48.63 days, and



FIGURE 6

External validation and comparison of the nomogram model with the conventional prognostic evaluation systems. (A, B) ROC curves of the nomogram model predicting the 1- and 2-year RFS in validation cohort. (C) The calibration plot of the nomogram for RFS in validation cohort. (D–F) ROC curves of the nomogram model and the conventional prognostic evaluation systems predicting the 1-, 3- and 5-year RFS of HCC patients. MC, Milan criteria; ALBI, Albumin-Bilirubin grade; GPS, Glasgow Prognostic Score.

TABLE 3 The adverse events (AEs) related to PA-TACE in HCC patients.

Adverse events	Total Patients (n=378)	Early TACE group (n=272)		Later TACE group (n=106)		
Grade	Grade 1-2	Grade 3-4	Grade 1-2	Grade 3-4	Grade 1-2	Grade 3-4
Nausea/vomiting	125 (33.07)	0	87 (31.99)	0	38 (35.85)	0
Pain	55 (14.55)	0	39 (14.34)	0	16 (15.09)	0
Fever	51 (13.49)	0	37 (13.60)	0	14 (13.21)	0
Leukopenia	2 (0.53)	0	0	0	2 (1.89)	0
Thrombocytopenia	2 (0.53)	0	0	0	2 (1.89)	0
Liver dysfunction	4 (1.06)	0	3 (1.10)	0	1 (0.94)	0

the prognosis difference between the two groups was statistically significant. Logistic regression analysis showed that gender and history of HBV may be significant indicators to distinguish patients in early and later TACE groups. These indicators can provide guidance for the PA-TACE timing. We recommend that HCC patients with high-risk recurrence factors should undergo PA-TACE approximately one month after surgery and no later than 48.63 days. A large number of studies have confirmed that men have

TABLE 4 The subsequent antitumor therapies after recurrence	nce.
---	------

	Total Patients (n=378)	Early TACE group (n=272)	Later TACE group (n=106)
recurrence	232 (61.38)	157 (57.72)	75 (70.75)
TACE	94 (40.52)	67 (42.68)	27 (36)
Locoregional ablation	31 (13.36)	21 (13.38)	10 (13.33)
Hepatectomy	7 (3.02)	5 (3.18)	2 (2.67)
Targeted therapy and immunotherapy	34 (14.66)	21 (13.38)	13 (17.33)
Conservative treatment	66 (28.45)	43 (27.39)	23 (30.67)

	Targeted therapy and immunotherapy (n=34)	No-targeted therapy and immunotherapy (n=344)	P value
Total	4.45 (2.83-5.53)	3.36 (2.60-4.92)	0.073
Early TACE group	4.36 (2.82-5.51)	3.38 (2.65-4.93)	0.179
Later TACE group	4.62 (2.75-5.62)	3.24 (2.22-4.90)	0.227

TABLE 5 Effects of targeted therapy and immunotherapy on OS in HCC patients after recurrence.

a greater risk of developing HCC than women worldwide, 2.35-fold more men were expected to die from HCC than women, and the same is true in China (55). However, it is not clear why men are more likely to develop HCC than women. The possible reasons are that the lower adiponectin levels found in men account for the increased incidence of HCC in men (56), and the different roles of the sex hormones (including androgens and estrogens and their corresponding receptors) and inflammatory mediators (IL-6, etc.) in the progression of HCC in men and women (57, 58). Due to the high incidence and mortality of HCC in male patients, we recommend that PA-TACE should particularly be performed in time after radical resection in male patients.

HBV was the first virus associated with the development of HCC and is the leading cause of HCC worldwide (59, 60). As a major aetiological factor, HBV infection changes the hepatic microenvironment, induces an inflammatory response, promotes angiogenesis and vascular invasion and affects the prognosis of HCC patients (61, 62). Similarly, we suggest that HCC patients with high-risk recurrence factors and HBV infection after radical resection should receive PA-TACE treatment in time under the guidance of doctors to obtain the best treatment outcome. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses finally proved that the PA-TACE timing, Ki-67, tumor size and MVI were independent prognostic factors for HCC patients with highrisk recurrence factors. Ki-67 is a marker of proliferation. High-level Ki-67 expression in HCC tumors is associated with more rapid early recurrence (63, 64). Tumor size plays an important role in predicting HCC progression, and the risk of recurrence increases significantly as the tumor grows (65, 66). MVI is now widely used as a tool for assessing tumor aggressiveness and has been proven to be correlated with tumor recurrence and prognosis (67, 68). The nomogram model is more accurate in predicting RFS at 1, 3, and 5 years than individual clinicopathological risk factors. Additionally, the calibration curve and DCA results of the retest of the nomogram also showed a high level of prediction accuracy and good net benefit for RFS. Subgroup analysis suggested that the nomogram model provided predictive benefit to all the subpopulations. In external validation, the 1-year, 2-year calibration plot and ROC curves of nomogram model performed well. Furthermore, compared with conventional prognostic evaluation systems such as the Milan criteria (MC), albuminbilirubin (ALBI) grade and Glasgow Prognostic Score (GPS), our nomogram still revealed good superiority.

Targeted therapy and immunotherapy after radical resection of HCC can improve the prognosis of patients (69, 70). There were 34

patients who received targeted therapy and immunotherapy at the time of recurrence or suspected recurrence. The results showed that targeted therapy and immunotherapy can improve the OS of HCC patients with recurrence, but further research was still needed.

There are several limitations to the present study. First, this was a retrospective, single-center study. A prospective, well-designed, multicenter, and randomized trial is required to validate the significance of the PA-TACE timing in HCC prognosis. Second, the majority of patients in this study (52.69%) had HBV-associated HCC. These results may not generalize to other causes of HCC. Third, all of the samples originated from China. Consequently, our findings may not be generalizable beyond Eastern Asia.

In conclusion, the PA-TACE timing is an independent factor affecting the prognosis of HCC patients with high-risk recurrence factors after radical resection. We have proposed that the recommended time for PA-TACE is about one month, no later than 48.63 days. Then, the gender and history of HBV are guiding indicators for PA-TACE. Moreover, based on multivariate Cox regression analysis, we established a nomogram model to predict the prognosis of HCC patients by combining the PA-TACE timing, Ki-67, tumor size and MVI. This study can provide personalized assistance for HCC patients with high-risk recurrence factors to undergo PA-TACE treatment and improve the survival outcomes of patients.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by The Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University Research Ethics Committee approved the study protocol. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

HS: Manuscript writing, research design, data collection, management and data analysis. HW: Research design, manuscript

writing, data collection and data analysis. YW: Data collection, management and data analysis. WZ: Data collection and analysis. YM: Data collection and analysis. ZL: Data collection and analysis. WG: Project development and manuscript editing. BH: Project development, research design, and manuscript editing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express the deepest appreciation to Dr. Han and Dr. Guo. We are grateful for the help of teachers and partners in the Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University. The language of this article was polished by American Journal Experts (AJE).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Villanueva A. Hepatocellular carcinoma. N Engl J Med (2019) 380(15):1450–62. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1713263

2. Llovet JM, Kelley RK, Villanueva A, Singal AG, Pikarsky E, Roayaie S, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* (2021) 7(1):6. doi: 10.1038/s41572-020-00240-3

3. Reig M, Forner A, Rimola J, Ferrer-Fàbrega J, Burrel M, Garcia-Criado Á, et al. BCLC strategy for prognosis prediction and treatment recommendation: The 2022 update. J Hepatol (2022) 76(3):681–93. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2021.11.018

4. EASL. Clinical practice guidelines: Management of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol (2018) 69(1):182-236. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.03.019

5. Marrero JA, Kulik LM, Sirlin CB, Zhu AX, Finn RS, Abecassis MM, et al. Diagnosis, staging, and management of hepatocellular carcinoma: 2018 practice guidance by the American association for the study of liver diseases. *Hepatology* (2018) 68(2):723-50. doi: 10.1002/hep.29913

6. Tabrizian P, Jibara G, Shrager B, Schwartz M, Roayaie S. Recurrence of hepatocellular cancer after resection: patterns, treatments, and prognosis. *Ann Surg* (2015) 261(5):947–55. doi: 10.1097/SLA.000000000000710

7. Roayaie S, Obeidat K, Sposito C, Mariani L, Bhoori S, Pellegrinelli A, et al. Resection of hepatocellular cancer ≤2 cm: Results from two Western centers. *Hepatology* (2013) 57(4):1426–35. doi: 10.1002/hep.25832

8. EASL-EORTC clinical practice guidelines: management of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol (2012) 56(4):908-43. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2011.12.001

9. Imamura H, Matsuyama Y, Tanaka E, Ohkubo T, Hasegawa K, Miyagawa S, et al. Risk factors contributing to early and late phase intrahepatic recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after hepatectomy. *J Hepatol* (2003) 38(2):200–7. doi: 10.1016/S0168-8278(02)00360-4

10. [Chinese clinical practice guidelines for transarterial chemoembolization of hepatocellular carcinoma]. *Zhonghua Gan Zang Bing Za Zhi* (2019) 27(3):172–81. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.1007-3418.2019.03.003

11. Chen LT, Chen MF, Li LA, Lee PH, Jeng LB, Lin DY, et al. Long-term results of a randomized, observation-controlled, phase III trial of adjuvant interferon Alfa-2b in hepatocellular carcinoma after curative resection. *Ann Surg* (2012) 255(1):8–17. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3182363ff9

12. Xia Y, Qiu Y, Li J, Shi L, Wang K, Xi T, et al. Adjuvant therapy with capecitabine postpones recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after curative resection: A randomized controlled trial. *Ann Surg Oncol* (2010) 17(12):3137–44. doi: 10.1245/ s10434-010-1148-3

13. Nitta H, Beppu T, Imai K, Hayashi H, Chikamoto A, Baba H. Adjuvant hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy after hepatic resection of hepatocellular carcinoma with macroscopic vascular invasion. *World J Surg* (2013) 37(5):1034–42. doi: 10.1007/s00268-013-1957-1

14. Bruix J, Takayama T, Mazzaferro V, Chau GY, Yang J, Kudo M, et al. Adjuvant sorafenib for hepatocellular carcinoma after resection or ablation (STORM): A phase 3, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* (2015) 16(13):1344–54. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(15)00198-9

15. Lee JH, Lee JH, Lim YS, Yeon JE, Song TJ, Yu SJ, et al. Adjuvant immunotherapy with autologous cytokine-induced killer cells for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* (2015) 148(7):1383–91.e6. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2015.02.055

16. Liu CJ, Lee PH, Lin DY, Wu CC, Jeng LB, Lin PW, et al. Heparanase inhibitor PI-88 as adjuvant therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma after curative resection: A

randomized phase II trial for safety and optimal dosage. J Hepatol (2009) 50(5):958–68. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2008.12.023

17. Liu S, Guo L, Li H, Zhang B, Sun J, Zhou C, et al. Postoperative adjuvant transarterial chemoembolization for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma and portal vein tumor thrombus. *Ann Surg Oncol* (2018) 25(7):2098–104. doi: 10.1245/s10434-018-6438-1

18. Wang Z, Ren Z, Chen Y, Hu J, Yang G, Yu L, et al. Adjuvant transarterial chemoembolization for HBV-related hepatocellular carcinoma after resection: A randomized controlled study. *Clin Cancer Res* (2018) 24(9):2074–81. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-2899

19. Wei W, Jian PE, Li SH, Guo ZX, Zhang YF, Ling YH, et al. Adjuvant transcatheter arterial chemoembolization after curative resection for hepatocellular carcinoma patients with solitary tumor and microvascular invasion: A randomized clinical trial of efficacy and safety. *Cancer Commun (Lond)* (2018) 38(1):61. doi: 10.1186/s40880-018-0331-y

20. Cao X, Cao Z, Ou C, Zhang L, Chen Y, Li Y, et al. Combination of serum paraoxonase/arylesterase 1 and antithrombin-III is a promising non-invasion biomarker for discrimination of AFP-negative HCC versus liver cirrhosis patients. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol* (2021) 45(5):101583. doi: 10.1016/j.clinre. 2020.11.013

21. Duvoux C, Roudot-Thoraval F, Decaens T, Pessione F, Badran H, Piardi T, et al. Liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma: A model including α -fetoprotein improves the performance of Milan criteria. *Gastroenterology* (2012) 143(4):986–94.e3; quiz e14-5. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2012.05.052

22. Hothorn T, Zeileis A. Generalized maximally selected statistics. *Biometrics* (2008) 64(4):1263-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1541-0420.2008.00995.x

23. Duan F, Li J, Huang J, Hua X, Song C, Wang L, et al. Establishment and validation of prognostic nomograms based on serum copper level for patients with early-stage triple-negative breast cancer. *Front Cell Dev Biol* (2021) 9:770115. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.770115

24. Cong WM, Bu H, Chen J, Dong H, Zhu YY, Feng LH, et al. Practice guidelines for the pathological diagnosis of primary liver cancer: 2015 update. *World J Gastroenterol* (2016) 22(42):9279–87. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i42.9279

25. Roayaie S, Blume IN, Thung SN, Guido M, Fiel MI, Hiotis S, et al. A system of classifying microvascular invasion to predict outcome after resection in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* (2009) 137(3):850–5. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2009.06.003

26. Sun JJ, Wang K, Zhang CZ, Guo WX, Shi J, Cong WM, et al. Postoperative adjuvant transcatheter arterial chemoembolization after R0 hepatectomy improves outcomes of patients who have hepatocellular carcinoma with microvascular invasion. *Ann Surg Oncol* (2016) 23(4):1344–51. doi: 10.1245/s10434-015-5008-z

27. Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: A new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. *Ann Surg* (2004) 240(2):205–13. doi: 10.1097/01.sla.0000133083.54934.ae

28. Huitzil-Melendez FD, Capanu M, O'Reilly EM, Duffy A, Gansukh B, Saltz LL, et al. Advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: Which staging systems best predict prognosis? *J Clin Oncol* (2010) 28(17):2889–95. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2009.25.9895

29. Vickers AJ, Cronin AM, Elkin EB, Gonen M. Extensions to decision curve analysis, a novel method for evaluating diagnostic tests, prediction models and molecular markers. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak* (2008) 8:53. doi: 10.1186/1472-6947-8-53

30. Poon RT, Fan ST, Lo CM, Liu CL, Wong J. Difference in tumor invasiveness in cirrhotic patients with hepatocellular carcinoma fulfilling the Milan criteria treated by resection and transplantation: Impact on long-term survival. *Ann Surg* (2007) 245 (1):51–8. doi: 10.1097/01.sla.0000225255.01668.65

31. Johnson PJ, Berhane S, Kagebayashi C, Satomura S, Teng M, Reeves HL, et al. Assessment of liver function in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: A new evidence-based approach-the ALBI grade. *J Clin Oncol* (2015) 33(6):550–8. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2014.57.9151

32. Dréanic J, Maillet M, Dhooge M, Mir O, Brezault C, Goldwasser F, et al. Prognostic value of the Glasgow prognostic score in metastatic colorectal cancer in the era of anti-EGFR therapies. *Med Oncol* (2013) 30(3):656. doi: 10.1007/s12032-013-0656-y

33. Cucchetti A, Piscaglia F, Cescon M, Ercolani G, Terzi E, Bolondi L, et al. Conditional survival after hepatic resection for hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic patients. *Clin Cancer Res* (2012) 18(16):4397–405. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-2663

34. Wang H, Du PC, Wu MC, Cong WM. Postoperative adjuvant transarterial chemoembolization for multinodular hepatocellular carcinoma within the Barcelona clinic liver cancer early stage and microvascular invasion. *Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr* (2018) 7(6):418–28. doi: 10.21037/hbsn.2018.09.05

35. Llovet JM, Real MI, Montaña X, Planas R, Coll S, Aponte J, et al. Arterial embolisation or chemoembolisation versus symptomatic treatment in patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* (2002) 359(9319):1734–9. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)08649-X

36. Lo CM, Ngan H, Tso WK, Liu CL, Lam CM, Poon RT, et al. Randomized controlled trial of transarterial lipiodol chemoembolization for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* (2002) 35(5):1164–71. doi: 10.1053/ jhep.2002.33156

37. Golfieri R, Giampalma E, Renzulli M, Cioni R, Bargellini I, Bartolozzi C, et al. Randomised controlled trial of doxorubicin-eluting beads vs conventional chemoembolisation for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Br J Cancer* (2014) 111(2):255–64. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2014.199

38. Facciorusso A, Di Maso M, Muscatiello N. Drug-eluting beads versus conventional chemoembolization for the treatment of unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: A meta-analysis. *Dig Liver Dis* (2016) 48(6):571–7. doi: 10.1016/j.dld.2016.02.005

39. Varela M, Real MI, Burrel M, Forner A, Sala M, Brunet M, et al. Chemoembolization of hepatocellular carcinoma with drug eluting beads: Efficacy and doxorubicin pharmacokinetics. *J Hepatol* (2007) 46(3):474–81. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2006.10.020

40. Raoul JL, Forner A, Bolondi L, Cheung TT, Kloeckner R, de Baere T. Updated use of TACE for hepatocellular carcinoma treatment: How and when to use it based on clinical evidence. *Cancer Treat Rev* (2019) 72:28–36. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2018.11.002

41. Sieghart W, Hucke F, Peck-Radosavljevic M. Transarterial chemoembolization: Modalities, indication, and patient selection. *J Hepatol* (2015) 62(5):1187–95. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.02.010

42. Zhao M, Xiang P, Jiang H. TransArterial ChemoEmbolization (TACE) with platinum versus anthracyclines for hepatocellular carcinoma: A meta-analysis. Int J Surg (2018) 53:151-8. doi: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2018.03.049

43. Raoul JL, Sangro B, Forner A, Mazzaferro V, Piscaglia F, Bolondi L, et al. Evolving strategies for the management of intermediate-stage hepatocellular carcinoma: Available evidence and expert opinion on the use of transarterial chemoembolization. *Cancer Treat Rev* (2011) 37(3):212–20. doi: 10.1016/j.cttv.2010.07.006

44. Esagian SM, Kakos CD, Giorgakis E, Burdine L, Barreto JC, Mavros MN. Adjuvant transarterial chemoembolization following curative-intent hepatectomy versus hepatectomy alone for hepatocellular carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Cancers (Basel)* (2021) 13(12):2984. doi: 10.3390/cancers13122984

45. Hucke F, Pinter M, Graziadei I, Bota S, Vogel W, Müller C, et al. How to STATE suitability and START transarterial chemoembolization in patients with intermediate stage hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* (2014) 61(6):1287–96. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2014.07.002

46. Kadalayil L, Benini R, Pallan L, O'Beirne J, Marelli L, Yu D, et al. A simple prognostic scoring system for patients receiving transarterial embolisation for hepatocellular cancer. *Ann Oncol* (2013) 24(10):2565–70. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdt247

47. Adhoute X, Penaranda G, Naude S, Raoul JL, Perrier H, Bayle O, et al. Retreatment with TACE: the ABCR SCORE, an aid to the decision-making process. *J Hepatol* (2015) 62(4):855–62. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2014.11.014

48. Mullen JT, Ribero D, Reddy SK, Donadon M, Zorzi D, Gautam S, et al. Hepatic insufficiency and mortality in 1,059 noncirrhotic patients undergoing major hepatectomy. *J Am Coll Surg* (2007) 204(5):854–62; discussion 862-4. doi: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2006.12.032

49. Li B, Qin Y, Qiu Z, Ji J, Jiang X. A cohort study of hepatectomy-related complications and prediction model for postoperative liver failure after major liver

resection in 1,441 patients without obstructive jaundice. Ann Transl Med (2021) 9 (4):305. doi: 10.21037/atm-20-5472

50. Rahbari NN, Garden OJ, Padbury R, Brooke-Smith M, Crawford M, Adam R, et al. Posthepatectomy liver failure: A definition and grading by the international study group of liver surgery (ISGLS). *Surgery* (2011) 149(5):713–24. doi: 10.1016/j.surg.2010.10.001

51. Wei T, Zhang XF, Bagante F, Ratti F, Marques HP, Silva S, et al. Postoperative infectious complications worsen long-term survival after curative-intent resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol* (2022) 29(1):315–24. doi: 10.1245/s10434-021-10565-2

52. Kollmar O, Menger MD, Schilling MK. Macrophage inflammatory protein-2 contributes to liver resection-induced acceleration of hepatic metastatic tumor growth. *World J Gastroenterol* (2006) 12(6):858–67. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v12.i6.858

53. Fathi F, Sanei B, Ganjalikhani Hakemi M, Saidi RF, Rezaei A. Liver resection promotes (Regulates) proinflammatory cytokines in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021* (2021) p:5593655. doi: 10.1155/2021/5593655

54. Sturm JW, Magdeburg R, Berger K, Petruch B, Samel S, Bönninghoff R, et al. Influence of TNFA on the formation of liver metastases in a syngenic mouse model. *Int J Cancer* (2003) 107(1):11–21. doi: 10.1002/ijc.11320

55. Chen W, Zheng R, Baade PD, Zhang S, Zeng H, Bray F, et al. Cancer statistics in China, 2015. *CA Cancer J Clin* (2016) 66(2):115–32. doi: 10.3322/caac.21338

56. Manieri E, Herrera-Melle I, Mora A, Tomás-Loba A, Leiva-Vega I, Fernández DI, et al. Adiponectin accounts for gender differences in hepatocellular carcinoma incidence. J Exp Med (2019) 216(5):1108–19. doi: 10.1084/jem.20181288

57. Prieto J. Inflammation, HCC and sex: IL-6 in the centre of the triangle. *J Hepatol* (2008) 48(2):380–1. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2007.11.007

58. Zheng B, Zhu YJ, Wang HY, Chen L. Gender disparity in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC): multiple underlying mechanisms. *Sci China Life Sci* (2017) 60 (6):575–84. doi: 10.1007/s11427-016-9043-9

59. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. *Lancet* (2018) 392 (10159):1789–858. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32279-7

60. Szmuness W, Stevens CE, Ikram H, Much MI, Harley EJ, Hollinger B. Prevalence of hepatitis b virus infection and hepatocellular carcinoma in Chineseamericans. J Infect Dis (1978) 137(6):822–9. doi: 10.1093/infdis/137.6.822

61. Lei Z, Li J, Wu D, Xia Y, Wang Q, Si A, et al. Nomogram for preoperative estimation of microvascular invasion risk in hepatitis b virus-related hepatocellular carcinoma within the Milan criteria. *JAMA Surg* (2016) 151(4):356–63. doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2015.4257

62. Yang P, Li QJ, Feng Y, Zhang Y, Markowitz GJ, Ning S, et al. TGF- β -miR-34a-CCL22 signaling-induced treg cell recruitment promotes venous metastases of HBV-positive hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Cell* (2012) 22(3):291–303. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2012.07.023

63. Bai Y, Lian Y, Chen X, Wu J, Lai J, Qiu F, et al. Immunohistochemical signature add prognostic value in patients with early and intermediate hepatocellular carcinoma underwent curative liver resection. *Front Oncol* (2020) 10:616263. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2020.616263

64. Guzman G, Alagiozian-Angelova V, Layden-Almer JE, Layden TJ, Testa G, Benedetti E, et al. p53, ki-67, and serum alpha feto-protein as predictors of hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence in liver transplant patients. *Mod Pathol* (2005) 18(11):1498–503. doi: 10.1038/modpathol.3800458

65. Tung-Ping Poon R, Fan ST, Wong J. Risk factors, prevention, and management of postoperative recurrence after resection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ann Surg* (2000) 232(1):10–24. doi: 10.1097/00000658-200007000-00003

66. Jun L, Zhenlin Y, Renyan G, Yizhou W, Xuying W, Feng X, et al. Independent factors and predictive score for extrahepatic metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma following curative hepatectomy. *Oncologist* (2012) 17(7):963–9. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2011-0447

67. Lee JC, Hung HC, Wang YC, Cheng CH, Wu TH, Lee CF, et al. Risk score model for microvascular invasion in hepatocellular carcinoma: The role of tumor burden and alpha-fetoprotein. *Cancers (Basel)* (2021) 13(17):4403. doi: 10.3390/cancers13174403

68. Lim KC, Chow PK, Allen JC, Chia GS, Lim M, Cheow PC, et al. Microvascular invasion is a better predictor of tumor recurrence and overall survival following surgical resection for hepatocellular carcinoma compared to the Milan criteria. *Ann Surg* (2011) 254(1):108–13. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e31821ad884

69. Zhang XP, Chen XJ, Li BZ, Xu S, Wu ZL, Hu MG, et al. Active targeted janus nanoparticles enable anti-angiogenic drug combining chemotherapy agent to prevent postoperative hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence. *Biomaterials* (2022) 281:121362. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2022.121362

70. Yang J, Liang H, Hu K, Xiong Z, Cao M, Zhong Z, et al. The effects of several postoperative adjuvant therapies for hepatocellular carcinoma patients with microvascular invasion after curative resection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer Cell Int* (2021) 21(1):92. doi: 10.1186/s12935-021-01790-6