



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Gianluca Franceschini,
Agostino Gemelli University Polyclinic
(IRCCS), Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE

Hyung Seok Park
hyungseokpark.md@gmail.com;
imgenius@yuhs.ac

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to
Surgical Oncology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Oncology

RECEIVED 05 July 2022

ACCEPTED 25 July 2022

PUBLISHED 16 August 2022

CITATION

Lee J, Park HS, Lee H, Lee DW,
Song SY, Lew DH, Kim JY, Park S
and Kim SI (2022) Corrigendum:
Post-operative complications and
nipple necrosis rates between
conventional and robotic nipple-
sparing mastectomy.
Front. Oncol. 12:985507.
doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.985507

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Lee, Park, Lee, Lee, Song, Lew,
Kim, Park and Kim. This is an open-
access article distributed under the
terms of the [Creative Commons
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use,
distribution or reproduction in other
forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright
owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is
cited, in accordance with accepted
academic practice. No use,
distribution or reproduction is
permitted which does not comply with
these terms.

Corrigendum: Post-operative complications and nipple necrosis rates between conventional and robotic nipple-sparing mastectomy

Jeea Lee¹, Hyung Seok Park^{1*}, Haemin Lee¹, Dong Won Lee²,
Seung Yong Song², Dae Hyun Lew², Jee Ye Kim¹, Seho Park¹
and Seung Il Kim¹

¹Department of Surgery, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea, ²Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea

KEYWORDS

breast neoplasms, robotic mastectomy, nipple-sparing mastectomy, minimal invasive surgery, nipple necrosis

A Corrigendum on

Post-operative complications and nipple necrosis rates between conventional and robotic nipple-sparing mastectomy

by Lee J, Park HS, Lee H, Lee DW, Song SY, Lew DH, Kim JY, Park S and Kim SI (2021) *Front. Oncol.* 10:594388. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.594388

In the published article, there was an error in [Table 2](#) as published. The margin status of pathology in the table was 240 (96.8%) in the CNSM group and 32 (94.1%) in the RNSM group ($p = 0.404$). The correct values of margin status are 245 (98.8%) in the CNSM group and 33 (97.1%) in the RNSM group ($p = 0.423$). The corrected [Table 2](#) Surgical methods and post-operative outcomes and its caption “Surgical methods and post-operative outcomes” appear below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

TABLE 2 Surgical methods and post-operative outcomes.

		CNSM (n = 270)	RNSM (n = 41)	p-value ^b
Hospital stay (days)		12 ± 3	14 ± 4	0.001 ^c
Total operation time (min)		303.9 ± 195.9	308.9 ± 75.5	< 0.001 ^c
Mastectomy time (min)		104.5 ± 40.5	181.5 ± 44.7	< 0.001 ^c
Console time (min)		–	64 ± 40	–
Reconstruction time (min)		196.8 ± 182.5	140.5 ± 52.5	0.019 ^c
Operation site	Left	139 (51.5)	19 (46.3)	0.616
	Right	131 (48.5)	22 (53.7)	
Reconstruction types	T/E	190 (70.4)	21 (51.2)	< 0.001
	DTI	5 (1.9)	20 (48.8)	
	TRAM	73 (27.0)	0 (0.0)	
	LD	2 (0.7)	0 (0.0)	
Incision types	IMF	51 (18.9)	0 (0.0)	< 0.001
	Radial	32 (11.9)	0 (0.0)	
	Upper-periareolar with extension	120 (44.4)	0 (0.0)	
	Lower-periareolar with extension	52 (19.3)	0 (0.0)	
	Circumareolar	3 (1.1)	0 (0.0)	
	Elliptical	12 (4.4)	0 (0.0)	
	Lateral or axillary	0 (0.0)	41 (100.0)	
SLNB ^a	No	20 (7.7)	2 (5.9)	> 0.99
	Yes	239 (92.3)	32 (94.1)	
ALND ^a	No	224 (86.5)	31 (91.2)	0.592
	Yes	35 (13.5)	3 (8.8)	
Margin status ^a	No	245 (98.8)	33 (97.1)	0.423
	Yes	3 (1.2)	1 (2.9)	

Values are represented as mean ± SD or number (percentage). ALND, axillary lymph node dissection; CNSM, conventional nipple-sparing mastectomy; DTI, direct-to-implant; IMF, inframammary fold; LD, latissimus dorsi flap; RNSM, robot-assisted nipple-sparing mastectomy; SLNB, sentinel lymph node biopsy; T/E, tissue expander; TRAM, transverse rectus abdominis musculocutaneous flap.

^a29 cases of benign disease or BRCA mutation carriers were not included (n = 282).

^bChi-square test or Fisher's exact test.

^cStudent's t test or Mann Whitney test.