Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Gene A. Cardarelli, Brown University, United States

REVIEWED BY Ali Mahta, Brown University, United States Michael Karsy, The University of Utah, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Xin Liao liaoxinlxlx@126.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Radiation Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

RECEIVED 17 June 2022 ACCEPTED 23 September 2022 PUBLISHED 11 November 2022

CITATION

Luo Y-L, Gu F, Fan H-Q, Du J-H, Yu Y, Liu L-K and Liao X (2022) Diagnostic value of magnetic resonance imaging ectopic posterior pituitary hyperintense signal in pituitary macroadenoma. *Front. Oncol.* 12:971730. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.971730

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Luo, Gu, Fan, Du, Yu, Liu and Liao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Diagnostic value of magnetic resonance imaging ectopic posterior pituitary hyperintense signal in pituitary macroadenoma

Yi-Lin Luo^{1,2†}, Fang Gu^{1,2†}, Hai-Qing Fan², Jin-Hui Du², Yue Yu², Li-Kun Liu² and Xin Liao^{2*}

¹Department of Medical Imaging, The Second People's Hospital of Guiyang, Guiyang, China, ²Department of Medical Imaging, The Affiliated Hospital of Guizhou Medical University, Guiyang, China

Objective: When the lesions in the sellar region are large, they can involve both the inside and outside the sella, which brings challenges to the differential diagnosis of pituitary macroadenoma and lesions other than macroadenoma. Therefore, this study explored the diagnostic value of an ectopic posterior pituitary hyperintense signal (EPPHS) in pituitary macroadenoma and its possible causes.

Methods: The clinical and imaging data of 131 patients with sellar tumors or tumor-like lesions involving both intrasellar and extrasellar regions in the Affiliated Hospital of Guizhou Medical University from February 2011 to December 2021 were analyzed retrospectively. The diagnostic value of EPPHS in pituitary macroadenoma was analyzed. The differences in clinical and imaging indexes between the EPPHS-positive group and the EPPHS-negative group were compared.

Results: These 131 cases of sellar tumors or tumor-like lesions involving both intrasellar and extrasellar regions included 91 cases of pituitary macroadenoma and 40 cases of lesions other than macroadenoma. The receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve analysis suggested that EPPHS had a diagnostic value in diagnosing pituitary macroadenoma [area under the curve (AUC) = 0.857, P = 0.0001]. Compared with the EPPHS negative group, the median prolactin level in the EPPHS positive group was significantly higher (P < 0.05). Through ROC curve analysis, prolactin value was found to be of diagnostic value for EPPHS (AUC = 0.612, P = 0.0312).

Conclusion: In sellar tumors or tumor-like lesions involving both intrasellar and extrasellar regions, the appearance of EPPHS is helpful in the diagnosis of pituitary macroadenoma. The formation of EPPHS may be related to injuries to the pituitary stalk.

KEYWORDS

pituitary macroadenoma, ectopic posterior pituitary hyperintense signal, magnetic resonance imaging, diagnosis, sellar lesion

Introduction

The sellar region is a complex anatomical region. This relatively narrow space contains multiple important tissue structures, so a variety of diseases occur in this area; among these diseases, pituitary adenoma is the most common lesion in the sellar region (1). It is essential to correctly identify these different sellar diseases before an operation because the best treatment strategies for various diseases differ, and a wrong preoperative diagnosis can cause unnecessary harm to patients (2-7). However, when the lesions in the sellar region are large and involve both intrasellar and extrasellar regions, the normal pituitary is often difficult to identify. At this time, it becomes difficult to distinguish between pituitary macroadenoma and lesions other than macroadenoma. Ectopic posterior pituitary hyperintense signal (EPPHS) has a high incidence in pituitary macroadenomas. However, whether there is a difference in the incidence of EPPHS between pituitary macroadenoma and lesions other than macroadenoma in the sellar region has not yet been studied and reported. This study compared and analyzed the incidence of EPPHS in different sellar lesions and found that it can be used as a valuable diagnostic sign of pituitary macroadenoma. The possible causes for this are also discussed in this study.

Data and methods

Clinical data

The clinical and imaging data of patients with sellar tumors or tumor-like lesions involving both the intrasellar and extrasellar regions in the Affiliated Hospital of Guizhou Medical University from February 2011 to December 2021 were analyzed retrospectively. Inclusion criteria: (1) At least one non-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the sellar region was performed before the operation; (2) Patients with pathological results after the operation. Exclusion criteria: (1) There were widespread hyperintense signals in the lesions on the T1 weighted image (T1WI), which affected the reading of the anatomical structures; (2) Patients with a history of radiotherapy and chemotherapy before the operation. Finally, 131 patients were included in the study. The pituitary hormone levels of the patients were collected, including the thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH), adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH), luteinizing hormone (LH), follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), growth hormone (GH), and prolactin (PRL).

Detection methods

A Philips Achieva 3.0 TX-Series superconducting MRI system (USA) was used in this study. Sagittal T_1WI images were taken

using spin echo (SE). The time of repetition (TR)/time of echo (TE) was 400/12 ms, the acquisition matrix was 156×125 , and the slice thickness was 3 mm. Sagittal T2 weighted imaging (T2WI) images were taken using fast spin echo (FSE). The TR/TE was 3,500/100 ms, the acquisition matrix was 168×133 , and the slice thickness was 3 mm. Coronal T₁WI images were taken using SE, the TR/TE was 500/20 ms, the acquisition matrix was 136 \times 109, and the slice thickness was 2.5 mm. Coronal T₂WI images were taken using FSE, the TR/TE was 3,000/90 ms, the acquisition matrix was 168 × 126, and the slice thickness was 2.5 mm. Gadolinium diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid was bolus injected by highpressure syringe through the elbow vein, the dose was 0.2 mL/kg, and the injection rate was 2.5-3.0 mL/s. Coronal and sagittal T1WI scans were performed after the injection of the contrast medium. After scanning, all images were transmitted to the picture archiving and communication system (PACS).

Image analysis

Two experienced central nervous system radiologists evaluated these imaging data at PACS workstation and reached a consensus. According to the imaging data, the general imaging characteristics of the sellar lesions were analyzed, including the size of the lesion, the texture of the lesion (solid, cystic-solid, cystic), and the enhancement characteristics (with or without enhancement). The imaging manifestations of the posterior pituitary in different sellar diseases were observed on T₁WI. When the posterior pituitary hyperintense signal was located within the sella turcica, it was recorded as a normal posterior pituitary hyperintense signal. When the hyperintense signal of the posterior pituitary was located outside the sella, it was recorded as EPPHS, and its specific location and morphology were recorded. When there was no hyperintense signal of the posterior pituitary on the coronal and sagittal T1WI images, it was recorded that the hyperintense signal of the posterior pituitary undetected.

Statistical analysis

All the data in this study were built and entered in Excel, and statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 22.0 software. Count data were expressed as n (%) and compared between the two groups using Chi-square tests. Normally distributed measurement data were expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation (M \pm SD) and compared between two groups using independent sample t-tests. Non-normally distributed measurement data were compared using a nonparametric Mann–Whitney U test and a Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to determine the diagnostic value of the indicators, and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patient characteristics

A total of 131 patients were included in this research, including 59 men and 72 women. The age of these patients ranged from 5 to 76, with an average of 48.2 ± 15.6 years old. The diagnoses of these 131 patients included pituitary macroadenoma (n = 91), meningioma (n = 14), Rathke cleft cyst (n = 9), craniopharyngioma (n = 8), germ cell tumor (n = 4), metastasis (n = 2), glioma (n = 1), pituitary abscess (n = 1), and pituitary cryptococcal granuloma (n = 1).

Imaging manifestations of different sellar diseases

In 91 cases of pituitary macroadenoma, the tumor height was 15.0–60.0 mm. In 83 of the patients, it was \geq 20.0 mm, with an average height of about 30.9 mm. In 40 cases of lesions other than macroadenoma in the sellar region, the tumor height was 10.0–65.0 mm. In 26 of the patients, it was \geq 20.0 mm, with an average height of about 25.2 mm (Table 1). In 65 of the 91 cases of pituitary macroadenomas, EPPHS was found. On T₁WI, it showed strip or nodular hyperintense signals, which were mainly located at the posterior edge (n = 36) and lateral edge (n = 12) of the tumor and the distal end of the pituitary stalk (n = 17) (Figure 1). No EPPHS was found in the other 40 cases other than macroadenoma in the sellar region (Figure 2).

Comparison of the EPPHS detection rate between pituitary macroadenoma and lesions other than macroadenoma

On T_1WI , the detection rate of EPPHS was 71.4% in pituitary macroadenomas (65/91) and 0% (0/40) in lesions

TABLE 1 The imaging features of different sella area diseases.

other than macroadenoma. The ROC curve analysis suggested that EPPHS had a diagnostic value in the diagnosis of pituitary macroadenoma (area under the curve [AUC] = 0.857, P = 0.0001, Figure 3).

Comparison of various indexes between the EPPHS-positive group and EPPHSnegative group

There were no significant differences in age, gender, right-toleft diameter, anterior–posterior diameter, height, TSH, ACTH, FSH, LH, and GH between the EPPHS-positive group and the EPPHS-negative group (P > 0.05). Only the median PRL level in the EPPHS positive group was significantly higher than in the EPPHS negative group (P < 0.05, Table 2). The ROC curve analysis showed that the prolactin value had diagnostic value for EPPHS (AUC = 0.612, P = 0.0312, Figure 4).

Discussion

The normal posterior pituitary is located in the sella turcica and shows hyperintense signals on T_1WI . These hyperintense signals are related to the storage of antidiuretic hormones in neuroendocrine granules (8). In some congenital or acquired lesions, this hyperintense signal can be ectopic to other extrasellar locations, called EPPHS. In congenital lesions, EPPHS is mainly found in pituitary stalk blocking syndrome, usually near the median eminence, and it is often accompanied by the absence of pituitary stalk and adenohypophyseal dysplasia (9). Acquired EPPHS is rare and is mainly reported in pituitary macroadenomas. In pituitary macroadenomas with a height greater than 20 mm, its incidence is very high (10). In this study, of 91 cases of pituitary macroadenomas, 91.2% of pituitary macroadenomas were ≥ 2 cm in height, and 71.4% of them had EPPHS. No EPPHS was found in the other 40 cases

Sellar lesion	Lesion texture			Hyperintense signal in posterior pituitary			Enhancement
	Solid	Cystic-solid	Cystic	Normal	Ectopic	Undetected	
Pituitary macroadenoma (n=91)	76.9% (70/91)	23.1% (21/91)	0% (0/91)	5.5% (5/91)	71.4% (65/91)	23.1% (21/91)	+
Meningioma (n=14)	100% (14/14)	0% (0/14)	0% (0/14)	85.7% (12/14)	0% (0/14)	14.3% (2/14)	+
Rathke cleft cyst (n=9)	0% (0/9)	0% (0/9)	100% (9/9)	44.4% (4/9)	0% (0/9)	55.6% (5/9)	_
Craniopharyngioma (n=8)	0% (0/8)	62.5% (5/8)	37.5% (3/8)	25.0% (2/8)	0% (0/8)	75.0% (6/8)	+
Germ cell tumor (n=4)	50.0% (2/4)	50.0% (2/4)	0% (0/4)	0% (0/4)	0% (0/4)	100% (4/4)	+
Metastatic tumor (n=2)	100% (2/2)	0% (0/2)	0% (0/2)	0% (0/2)	0% (0/2)	100% (2/2)	+
Glioma (n=1)	0% (0/1)	100% (1/1)	0% (0/1)	0% (0/1)	0% (0/1)	100% (1/1)	+
Pituitary abscess (n=1)	0% (0/1)	0% (0/1)	100% (1/1)	0% (0/1)	0% (0/1)	100% (1/1)	_
Cryptococcal granuloma of pituitary (n=1)	100% (1/1)	0% (0/1)	0% (0/1)	0% (0/1)	0% (0/1)	100% (1/1)	+

"+" indicates enhancement, "-" indicates no enhancement.



FIGURE 1

Imaging manifestations of EPPHS at different positions in pituitary macroadenoma. (1A) Sagittal T_1WI reveals that EPPHS is arc-shaped and hyperintense, which is located at the posterior upper edge of pituitary macroadenoma (arrowhead). (1B) Sagittal T_2WI reveals that the residual sellar septum at the anterior and posterior edges of pituitary macroadenoma is a strip-shaped hypointense signal (arrow). (1C) Pattern diagram reveals that the arc-shaped white structure is EPPHS, the brown structure is the pituitary macroadenoma, the yellow structure is the optic nerve, the green structure is the sellar septum, and the yellow-gray structure is the sella turcica. (2A) Sagittal T_1WI reveals that EPPHS is nodular and hyperintense, which is located at the distal end of the pituitary stalk (arrowhead). (2B) Sagittal T_2WI reveals that the residual sellar septum at the anterior and posterior edges of the pituitary macroadenoma is the strip-shaped hypointense signal (arrow). (2C) Pattern diagram reveals the arc-shaped white structure is the optic nerve, the green structure is EPPHS, the orange structure is the hypothalamus and pituitary stalk, the brown structure is the pituitary macroadenoma, the yellow structure is the optic nerve, the green structure is the sellar septum, and the yellow-gray structure is the sellar turcica. (3A) Sagittal T_1WI reveals that EPPHS is arc-shaped and hyperintense, which is located at the right margin of pituitary (arrowhead). (3B) Sagittal T_2WI reveals that the residual sellar septum at the left and right edges of the pituitary macroadenoma is a strip-shaped hypointense signal (arrow). (3C) Pattern diagram reveals the arc-shaped white structure is EPPHS, the brown structure is the pituitary macroadenoma, the yellow structure is the optic nerve, the green structure is the sellar septum, the yellow-gray structure is the pituitary macroadenoma, the yellow structure is the optic nerve, the green structure is the sellar septum, the yellow-gray structure is the sella turcica, and t

of tumors or tumor-like lesions involving both the inside and outside of the sella. The ROC curve analysis suggested that EPPHS had diagnostic value in the diagnosis of pituitary macroadenoma.

There are rarely investigations on the formation mechanism of EPPHS in pituitary macroadenoma. By observing and analyzing the morphology of pituitary macroadenoma, Saeki believed that the formation of EPPHS in pituitary macroadenomas was related to the compression of the transverse septum on the hypothalamic neurohypophyseal axis (11). The present study revealed that the median PRL level was significantly higher in the EPPHS-positive group than in the EPPHS-negative group. It also suggested that the pituitary stalk may be more compressed in the EPPHS-positive group (12, 13). Saeki believed that it is precisely this compression of the pituitary stalk that causes neuroendocrine particles



FIGURE 2

Imaging manifestations of hyperintense signal in posterior pituitary in different sellar diseases. Figures (A–I) show an MRI sagittal T_1 WI nonenhanced scan of different lesions in the sellar region. (A) A 30-year-old female, pituitary macroadenoma, ectopic hyperintense signal in the posterior pituitary, which is located at the posterior upper edge of the tumor (arrowhead). (B) A 64-year-old male, meningioma, normal hyperintense signal in the posterior pituitary, which is located behind the intrasellar adenohypophysis. (C) A 39-year-old male, craniopharyngioma, hyperintense signal in the posterior pituitary disappears. (D) A 54-year-old female, Rathke cleft cyst, hyperintense signal in the posterior pituitary disappears. (E) A 35-year-old female, germ cell tumor, hyperintense signal in the posterior pituitary disappears. (F) A 60year-old male, metastatic tumor, hyperintense signal in the posterior pituitary disappears. (G) A 14-year-old female, gloma, hyperintense signal in the posterior pituitary disappears. (H) A 56-year-old female, cryptococcal granuloma of pituitary, hyperintense signal in the posterior pituitary disappears. (I) A 40-year-old female, abscess of the pituitary, hyperintense signal in the posterior pituitary disappears.

to accumulate locally at the distal end of the pituitary stalk, which is manifested as EPPHS on T_1WI non-enhanced images (11). However, some studies revealed that even if the compression on the pituitary stalk was relieved after the resection of a pituitary macroadenoma, the position of EPPHS relative to the pituitary stalk did not change, and the hyperintense signal of the normal posterior pituitary did not reappear. The EPPHS can also show enhancement characteristics similar to a normal posterior pituitary, suggesting that it has a good blood supply (14). These results suggest that the formation of EPPHS in pituitary macroadenoma is accompanied by complex pathophysiological changes. Some animal and human studies have confirmed that after the hypothalamic neurohypophyseal axis is partially severed, the nerve fiber bundle at the proximal end of the injury can proliferate and reconstruct to form an ectopic posterior pituitary tissue. This tissue has abundant blood vessels and a large number of neuroendocrine substances and is functionally equivalent to the normal posterior pituitary (15–17). Therefore, it is speculated that the formation of EPPHS in pituitary macroadenoma may be related to the compression and injury of the hard diaphragm on the pituitary stalk during the



TABLE 2 Comparison of indicators of EPPHS negative and positive groups.

	EPPHS positive group	EPPHS negative group	P value
Age (mean ± SD)	50.00 ± 13.86	46.34 ± 17.00	0.181
Gender	Male (32, 50.8%) Female (33, 49.2%)	Male (27, 40.9%) Female (39, 59.1%)	0.338
Right-to-left diameter (mm) (M, P25, P75)	21 (20.81, 24.79)	22.5 (20.64, 24.69)	0.120
Anterior-posterior diameter (mm)	26	23	0.067
(M, P25, P75)	(24.06, 27.73)	(21.33, 25.88)	
Height (mm)	29	27	0.094
(M, P25, P75)	(28.22, 32.94)	(25.20, 30.29)	
TSH mIU/L	2.65	1.75	0.062
(M, P25, P75)	(2.22, 3.43)	(1.75, 3.23)	
ACTH pg/mL	24.55	23.55	0.809
(M, P25, P75)	(20.90, 27.53)	(21.56, 28.19)	
PRL mIU/L (M, P25, P75)	568.95 (487.16, 1154.54)	339.21 (342.43, 616.83)	0.017
FSH IU/L	7.00	5.72	0.726
(M, P25, P75)	(7.10, 14.22)	(9.85, 18.69)	
LH IU/L	2.54	4.08	0.093
(M, P25, P75)	(2.68, 4.08)	(4.97, 14.26)	
GH ug/lL	0.22	0.24	0.915
(M, P25, P75)	(0.79, 4.22)	(0.24, 3.97)	



growth of pituitary macroadenoma. This injury may cause the reconstruction of the proximal nerve fiber bundles and ectopic posterior pituitary tissue formation and then show a hyperintense signal on T_1WI .

The formation of ectopic posterior pituitary tissue mainly depends on the injury level of the hypothalamic neurohypophyseal axis. When the injury is located in the hypothalamus, no new ectopic posterior pituitary tissue will be formed (18, 19). A variety of pituitary inflammatory lesions (pituitary granuloma, Langerhans histiocytosis, lymphocytic hypophysitis, etc.) and sellar tumor lesions (craniopharyngioma, germ cell tumor, sellar glioma, lymphoma, metastasis, etc.) often involve the hypothalamus. These diseases are often accompanied by the occurrence of central diabetes insipidus and the disappearance of hyperintense signals in the posterior pituitary (20-22). Six cases (75%) of craniopharyngioma, four cases (100%) of germ cell tumors, one case (100%) of glioma, two cases (100%) of pituitary metastases, and two cases (100%) of pituitary inflammatory diseases did not show hyperintense signals in the posterior pituitary. There was no EPPHS in nine cases of Rathke cleft cyst and 14 cases of tuberculum sellae meningioma. The authors of this study speculate that the former may be due to the soft texture of the lesion, which is not enough to compress and damage the pituitary stalk. The latter mainly compresses the adenohypophysis in the process of growing into the sella, which is not closely related to the spatial position of the neurohypophysis. Therefore, it may not lead to the compression and injury of the pituitary stalk. In addition, some Rathke cleft cysts are accompanied by the disappearance of hyperintense signals in the posterior pituitary. This is considered to be related to the inflammatory response secondary to a Rathke cleft cyst. A previous study revealed the incidence of a hyperintense signal in the posterior pituitary of patients with Rathke cleft cysts with an inflammatory response that was significantly lower than that of patients with Rathke cleft cysts without an inflammatory response (23).

Conclusion

When sellar tumors or tumor-like lesions are large, they often need to be differentiated from pituitary macroadenoma. Additionally, EPPHS is very common in pituitary macroadenomas. In sellar tumors or tumor-like lesions involving both intrasellar and extrasellar regions, the appearance of EPPHS is helpful to the diagnosis and differential diagnosis of pituitary macroadenoma. The formation of EPPHS in pituitary macroadenoma may be related to the injury of the pituitary stalk.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

Conception and design of the research, Y-LL, FG, and XL. Acquisition of data, YY and L-KL. Analysis and interpretation of the data, Y-LL, H-QF, and J-HD. Statistical analysis, Y-LL, FG, and XL. Obtaining financing, XL. Writing of the manuscript, Y-LL and FG. Critical revision of the manuscript for intellectual content, XL. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 81960537).

References

1. Zamora C, Castillo M. Sellar and parasellar imaging. *Neurosurgery* (2017) 80 (1):17-38. doi: 10.1093/neuros/nyw013

2. Li A, Liu W, Cao P, Zheng Y, Bu Z, Zhou T. Endoscopic versus microscopic transsphenoidal surgery in the treatment of pituitary adenoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *World Neurosurg* (2017) 101:236–46. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2017.01.022

3. Joshi MN, Whitelaw BC, Carroll PV. Mechanisms in endocrinology: Hypophysitis: diagnosis and treatment. *Eur J Endocrinol* (2018) 179(3):R151-63. doi: 10.1530/EJE-17-0009

4. Barkhoudarian G, Palejwala SK, Ansari S, Eisenberg AA, Huang X, Griffiths CF, et al. Rathke's cleft cysts: A 6-year experience of surgery vs. observation with comparative volumetric analysis. *Pituitary* (2019) 22(4):362–71. doi: 10.1007/s11102-019-00962-y

 Giammattei L, Starnoni D, Cossu G, Bruneau M, Cavallo LM, Cappabianca P, et al. Surgical management of tuberculum sellae meningiomas: Myths, facts, and controversies. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* (2020) 162(3):631–40. doi: 10.1007/s00701-019-04114-w

6. Kutin MA, Fomichev DV, Shkarubo AN, Chernov IV, Sharipov OI, Andreev DN, et al. Endoscopic transsphenoidal approach in treatment of germinomas of the chiasmosellar region. *Asian J Neurosurg* (2019) 14(4):1190–5. doi: 10.4103/ajns.AJNS_156_19

7. Gao L, Guo X, Tian R, Wang Q, Feng M, Bao X, et al. Pituitary abscess: clinical manifestations, diagnosis and treatment of 66 cases from a large pituitary center over 23 years. *Pituitary* (2017) 20(2):189–94. doi: 10.1007/s11102-016-0757-7

8. Klyn V, Dekeyzer S, Van Eetvelde R, Roels P, Vergauwen O, Devolder P, et al. Presence of the posterior pituitary bright spot sign on MRI in the general population: A comparison between 1.5 and 3T MRI and between 2D-T1 spinecho- and 3D-T1 gradient-echo sequences. *Pituitary* (2018) 21(4):379–83 doi: 10.1007/s11102-018-0885-3

9. Wang CZ, Guo LL, Han BY, Su X, Guo QH, Mu YM. Pituitary stalk interruption syndrome: From clinical findings to pathogenesis. *J Neuroendocrinol* (2017) 29(1): 1–7. doi: 10.1111/jne.12451

10. BonnevilleJ-F, Bonneville F. *The Ectopic Posterior Lobe*. In Bonneville JF, Bonneville F, Cattin F, Naggi S editors. MRI of the Pituitary Gland. Cham, Switzerland: Springer (2016). 347–54.

11. Saeki N, Hayasaka M, Murai H, Kubota M, Tatsuno I, Takanashi J, et al. Posterior pituitary bright spot in large adenomas: MR assessment of its disappearance or relocation along the stalk. *Radiology* (2003) 226(2):359–65. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2262011616

Acknowledgments

We are particularly grateful to all the people who have given us help on our article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

12. Vilar L, Vilar CF, Lyra R, Freitas MDC. Pitfalls in the diagnostic evaluation of hyperprolactinemia. *Neuroendocrinology* (2019) 109(1):7–19. doi: 10.1159/000499694

13. Eren E, Törel Ergür A, İşgüven ŞP, Çelebi Bitkin E, Berberoğlu M, Şıklar Z, et al. Clinical and laboratory characteristics of hyperprolactinemia in children and adolescents: National survey. *J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol* (2019) 11(2):149–56. doi: 10.4274/jcrpe.galenos.2018.2018.0206

14. Takahashi T, Miki Y, Takahashi JA, Kanagaki M, Yamamoto A, Fushimi Y, et al. Ectopic posterior pituitary high signal in preoperative and postoperative macroadenomas: dynamic MR imaging. *Eur J Radiol* (2005) 55(1):84–91. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2004.10.003

15. Daniel PM, Prichard MM. Regeneration of hypothalamic nerve fibres after hypophysectomy in the goat. *Acta Endocrinol (Copenh)* (1970) 64(4):696–704. doi: 10.1530/acta.0.0640696

16. Antunes JL, Louis KM, Huang S, Zimmerman E, Carmel PW, Ferin M. Section of the pituitary stalk in the rhesus monkey: Morphological and endocrine observations. *Ann Neurol* (1980) 8(3):308–16. doi: 10.1002/ana.410080315

17. Daniel PM, Prichard MM. The human hypothalamus and pituitary stalk after hypophysectomy or pituitary stalk section. *Brain* (1972) 95(4):813–24. doi: 10.1093/brain/95.4.813

18. Dellmann HD. Degeneration and regeneration of neurosecretory systems. Int Rev Cytol (1973) 36:215–315. doi: 10.1016/S0074-7696(08)60219-3

19. Ruszała A, Wójcik M, Krystynowicz A, Starzyk J. Distinguishing between post-trauma pituitary stalk disruption and genetic pituitary stalk interruption syndrome - case presentation and literature overview. *Pediatr Endocrinol Diabetes Metab* (2019) 25(3):155–62. doi: 10.5114/pedm.2019.87708.

20. Patti G, Ibba A, Morana G, Napoli F, Fava D, di Iorgi N, et al. Central diabetes insipidus in children: Diagnosis and management. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* (2020) 34(5):101440. doi: 10.1016/j.beem.2020.101440

21. Shah LM, Phillips CD. Imaging sellar and suprasellar pathology. *Appl Radiol* (2009) 38(4):9–21. doi: 10.37549/AR1686

22. Caranci F, Leone G, Ponsiglione A, Muto M, Tortora F, Muto M, et al. Imaging findings in hypophysitis: A review. *Radiol Med* (2020) 125(3):319–28. doi: 10.1007/s11547-019-01120-x

23. Wang S, Nie Q, Wu Z, Zhang J, Wei L. MRI And pathological features of rathke cleft cysts in the sellar region. *Exp Ther Med* (2020) 19(1):611–8 doi: 10.3892/etm.2019.8272