Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Hossein Khorramdelazad, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Iran

REVIEWED BY Neveen Said, Wake Forest Baptist Medical Center, United States Jamshid Hadjati, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran

*CORRESPONDENCE Xichun Hu xchu2009@hotmail.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Cancer Immunity and Immunotherapy, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

RECEIVED 30 August 2022 ACCEPTED 17 October 2022 PUBLISHED 07 November 2022

CITATION

Wang J, Xie Y, Qin D, Zhong S and Hu X (2022) CXCL12, a potential modulator of tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) of bladder cancer: From a comprehensive analysis of TCGA database. *Front. Oncol.* 12:1031706. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.1031706

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Wang, Xie, Qin, Zhong and Hu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in

accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

CXCL12, a potential modulator of tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) of bladder cancer: From a comprehensive analysis of TCGA database

Jinyan Wang^{1,2}, Yizhao Xie^{1,2}, Dongmei Qin³, Shanliang Zhong⁴ and Xichun Hu^{1,2*}

¹Department of Oncology, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, Shanghai, China, ²Department of Oncology, Shanghai Medical College, Fudan University, Shanghai, China, ³Department of Pathology, Nanjing Jiangning Hospital, The Affiliated Jiangning Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China, ⁴Center of Clinical Laboratory Science, The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Nanjing Medical University & Jiangsu Cancer Hospital & Jiangsu Institute of Cancer Research, Nanjing, China

Background: Tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) plays a significant role in the initiation and progression of bladder urothelial carcinoma (BLCA). However, there are only a few researches regarding the association between immune-related genes and tumor-infiltrating immune cells (TICs) in TIME of BLCA.

Methods: We calculated the proportion of immune/stromal component and TICs of 414 BLCA samples and 19 normal samples downloaded from TCGA database with the help of ESTIMATE and CIBERSORT algorithms. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were obtained from the comparison between Stromal and Immune Score and further analyzed by GO and KEGG enrichment analysis, as well as PPI network and COX regression analysis. CXCL12 was overlapping among the above analyses. Single gene analysis of CXCL12 was carried out through difference analysis, paired analysis and GSEA. The association between CXCL12 and TICs was assessed by difference analysis and correlation analysis.

Results: Immune and stromal component in TIME of BLCA were associated with patients' clinicopathological characteristics. 284 DEGs were primarily enriched in immune-associated activities, among which CXCL12 was the most significant gene sharing the leading nodes in PPI network and being closely related with patients' survival. Single gene analysis and immunohistochemistry revealed that CXCL12 was down-regulated in BLCA samples and significantly related with the clinicopathological characteristics of patients. Further analysis suggested that CXCL12 was involved in the immune-associated activities probably through its close cross-talk with TICs.

Conclusions: CXCL12 down-regulation could be a potential biomarker to predict the unbalanced immune status of TIME of BLCA, which might provide an extra insight for the immunotherapy of BLCA.

KEYWORDS

bladder cancer, tumor immune microenvironment (TIME), tumor-infiltrating immune cells (TICs), CXCL12, biomarker

Introduction

Since the application of cisplatin-based chemotherapy in the mid-1980s, only a few advances have been made in the treatment of bladder urothelial carcinoma (BLCA) (1). Fortunately, immunotherapy has emerged as a novel potential therapy recently. Several clinical trials, such as IMvigor 210 study (2) and CheckMate 275 study (3), revealed that some BLCA patients benefited greatly from the treatment of immune-checkpoint blockade (ICB). Although multiple biomarkers have been associated with the prediction of immunotherapy effect, including the expression of programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1), PD-L1 and tumor mutation burden (TMB), it is still less than satisfactory to select BLCA patients who are likely to benefit most from immunotherapy (4).

In recent years, the tumor microenvironment (TME) has drawn our attention as its important role in modulating the initiation and progression of cancers, including BLCA (5-10). TME is composed of nonmalignant cells, vessels, lymphoid organs or lymph nodes, nerves, intercellular components and metabolites (11). In brief, stromal component and immune component constitute the TME (12). Furthermore, accumulating research found that tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) had great potential in influencing tumor initiation, predicting immunotherapeutic responsiveness and new therapeutic targets (13). Numerous studies manifested that immune-related genes (IRGs), which were obtained from TME, could predict the survival of cancer patients, including breast cancer (14), endometrial cancer (15), liver cancer (16), gastric cancer (5), bladder cancer (17) and so on. For example, Q. Ding, et al. (18) found that a nine-gene signature was closely related with immune infiltration in TME and the survival of ovarian cancer patients. Besides, tumor-infiltrating immune cells (TICs) in TIME, such as tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) and tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), had the potential to be biomarkers and predictors of multiple cancers (19-21). For instance, elevated level of TILs was associated with better overall survival (OS), higher pathological complete response (pCR) rate, lower risk of recurrence, and more benefit from trastuzumab treatment in breast cancer (22-25). Deficient CD4+ T cells helped to suppress the response of cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs), which means they could establish efficient and durable antitumor activity (26). TAMs acted to inhibit T cell recruitment and modulate the immunity of various tumors, thus affecting the response of immunotherapy (27). Galectin-9+ TAMs predicted prognosis and response to adjuvant chemotherapy in BLCA patients (28). However, there are few researches regarding the association between IRGs and TICs in the TME of BLCA. The exploration of the relationship between IRGs and TICs in TIME could provide us a new sight into the progression and immunotherapy of BLCA.

Fortunately, with the rapid development of transcriptome profiling based on functional genomics analysis, comprehensive analysis of IRGs and TICs in the TIME of BLCA has become possible. In our study, we applied ESTIMATE and CIBERSORT algorithms to calculate the proportion of immune and stromal component, as well as TICs proportion of BLCA samples from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database. Next, we started with differentially expressed genes (DEGs) acquired from the comparison of immune and stromal component in BLCA samples, and found out that CXC chemokine ligand-12 (CXCL12) acted to be a potential biomarker and a promising modulator of TIME through its communicating with multiple TICs,

Methods

Data acquisition

The transcriptome profiling and clinical data of 414 BLCA samples and 19 normal samples were downloaded from TCGA database (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/).

The assessment of immune component, stromal component and the total component in the TME of BLCA

We applied ESTIMATE algorithm to calculate the proportion of immune and stromal component of each tumor sample in R language (version 3.6.3). Immune Score represented

the ratio of immune component in TME of tumor samples. Stromal Score represented the ratio of stromal component in TME of tumor samples. ESTIMATE Score represented the sum of immune and stromal component in TME of tumor samples. The higher Score indicated the larger proportion of the corresponding immune or stromal component in TME.

Difference analysis between scores and the clinicopathological characteristics

Difference analysis was conducted to learn the correlation between Immune/Stromal/ESTIMATE Score and clinicopathological characteristics, such as age, gender, pathology grade, stage and TNM classification. It was also carried out to find the association between the expression of CXCL12 and clinicopathological characteristics as well as the association between the expression of CXCL12 and TICs. Wilcoxon test was used to compare two groups, and Kruskal-Wallis followed by *post-hoc* Dunn test was used for multiple groups. P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

DEGs acquisition

414 BLCA samples were grouped into to two subgroups, including high Immune/Stromal Score group and low Immune/Stromal Score group based on the comparison with the median. Package limma in R was applied for the analysis. A fold change (FC, $\log_2 (^{high \ score/low \ score}) > 2$ and false discovery rate (FDR) <0.05 were used to search the DEGs. Pheatmap package in R was used to plot the heatmaps of DEGs.

Intersection analysis

VennDiagram package in R was used to plot the venn diagram of DEGs.

Gene ontology and kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes enrichment analysis

GO and KEGG enrichment analysis of the above DEGs were further carried out by using the ClusterProfiler, enrichplot, and ggplot2 packages in R. P< 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Protein-protein interaction network and gene set enrichment analsis

The preliminary PPI network of 284 DEGs was acquired from the Search Tool for Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins (STRING) database (version 11.0) and further reconstructed in Cytoscape (3.6.1). The confidence of interactive relationship of the nodes was >0.95. GSEA (4.1.0) based on the different gene sets, was applied to learn the specific functional profile of CXCL12. P< 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

COX regression analysis

Package survival in R was applied to conduct univariable COX regression.

Difference analysis and paired analysis of CXCL12

Package Beeswarm in R was used to assess the expression of CXCL12 in bladder tumor samples and normal samples. Packages Ggpubr and BiocManager were applied to learn the expression of CXCL12 in bladder tumor samples and the paired normal samples. Wilcoxon test was used for the comparison. P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Survival analysis

Packages survival and survminer in R were used to carry out the survival analysis. Kaplan–Meier plot and log-rank tests were conducted to learn the associations between the expression of CXCL12 and the survival of BLCA patients. P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

TICs Profile

TICs profile in BLCA samples was evaluated by CIBERSORT algorithm.

Correlation analysis

Correlation analysis was carried out by using spearman's correlation analysis.

Tissue samples acquisition and Immunohistochemistry

BLCA and corresponding normal tissues were harvested from BLCA patients at Nanjing Jiangning Hospital. The study was permitted by the Ethics Committee of Nanjing Jiangning Hospital. Slides (4 μ m) of formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue sections were incubated with CCL19 (1:200; Proteintech) antibody. The specific procedure was consistant with that described in our previous study (29).

Results

Analysis process of the study

We downloaded the transcriptome profiling and clinical data of 414 BLCA samples and 19 normal samples from TCGA database and further analyzed the proportion of immune and stromal component in TME of each tumor sample through ESTIMATE algorithm. Difference analysis was conducted to find out the association between immune/stromal component and the clinicopathological characteristics of BLCA patients. A total of 284 DEGs were further acquired based on the immune and stromal component in TME of BLCA. GO and KEGG enrichment analysis of these 284 DEGs were performed to learn their biological functions. Finally, CXCL12 was found out through the intersection analysis of PPI network and univariable COX regression. Then, we focused on the expression of CXCL12 in BLCA samples and normal samples, the association between the expression of CXCL12 and survival and clinic-pathological characteristics of BLCA patients. GSEA of different gene collections was also carried out to learn the function of CXCL12. TICs profile in TIME of BLCA samples was calculated by using CIBERSORT algorithm. Difference analysis and correlation analysis were applied to find out the correlation between the expression of CXCL12 and TICs. The analysis process was shown in Figure 1.

Immune and stromal component in TME were associated with the clinicopathological characteristics of BLCA

To learn the association between the proportion of immune/ stromal component in TME and the clinicopathological characteristics of BLCA patients, we set up the immune/stromal component evaluating system of TME in BLCA samples. Immune, Stromal and ESTIMATE Score represented the proportion of immune, stromal and the total component in TME of each tumor sample, respectively. The higher Score suggested the larger proportion of immune or stromal component in TME. The clinicopathological characteristics of BLCA patients, including age, gender, pathology grade, clinical stage and TNM classification, were concluded in Supplement Table 1. Difference analysis suggested that Stromal Score was associated with patients' age and gender, especially the pathology grade (Figures 2A-C, p=0.018; 0.032; <0.001). The higher Stromal Score predicted the higher pathology grade of BLCA (Figure 2C, p<0.001). It was also found that Stromal Score was related with T, N classification and clinical stage of BLCA (Figures 2D-G). Regarding Immune Score, it was significantly up-regulated in female patients (Figure 2I, p=0.037) and the higher Immune Score suggested the higher pathology grade of BLCA (Figures 2H-J). However, neither TNM classification nor clinical stage of BLCA was associated with Immune Score (Figures 2K-N, p>0.05). As for ESTIMATE Score, the results showed that it was up-regulated in female patients and predicted the higher pathology grade of BLCA (Figures 2O-Q). In addition, ESTIMATE Score was connected with T classification and clinical stage of BLCA (Figures 2R-U). From above, we could conclude that the stromal and immune component in TME was significantly associated with the pathology grade of BLCA, and partly related with TNM classification and clinical stage. This provided a new sight for us to explore the underlying mechanisms of the development and progression of BLCA.

DEGs were primarily enriched in immune-associated activities

In order to understand the underlying mechanisms of BLCA TME, we conducted difference analysis to acquire the DEGs profile in TME. BLCA samples were divided into two groups, including high Stromal/Immune Score group and low Stromal/ Immune Score group. Heat map shows the DEGs profile in TME of BLCA (Figures 3A, B). To be specific, there were 537 DEGs obtained from the comparison between high Stromal Score and low Stromal Score, among which 496 DEGs were up-regulated and 41 DEGs were down-regulated (Supplement Table 2). Besides, there were 531 DEGs obtained from the comparison between high Immune Score and low Immune Score, among which 466 DEGs were up-regulated and 65 DEGs were downregulated (Supplement Table 3). In conclusion, a total of 284 DEGs were synchronously up-regulated or down-regulated in Stromal or Immune group (Figure 3C; Supplement Table 4). Next, we carried out GO and KEGG enrichment analysis to assess the biological functions of these 284 DEGs. Go enrichment analysis revealed that 284 DEGs were primarily enriched in immune-associated activities, such as leukocyte proliferation, migration and chemotaxis, lymphocyte and mononuclear cell proliferation and so on (Figures 3D-F). Similarly, KEGG enrichment analysis suggested that these DEGs were mainly enriched in immune-associated activities,



including complement and coagulation cascades, cytokinecytokine receptor interaction, B cell receptor signaling pathway, chemokine signaling pathway and so on (Figures 3G–I). Therefore, we considered that DEGs acquired from Stromal and Immune group of BLCA were significantly associated with immune-associated activities in TME.

PPI network and univariable COX regression analysis of 284 DEGs

To learn the detailed reciprocity among these 284 DEGs, we plotted PPI network through STRING database and cytoscape software. The potential interactions among DEGs were shown in Figure 4A. DEGs, which shared more than seven nodes, were ranked in Figure 4B (Supplement Table 5). Univariable COX regression of 284 DEGs was conducted at the same time to find out the specific DEGs, which were significantly associated with BLCA patients' survival (Figure 4C). MMP9, COMP, F13A1 and CXCL12 drew our attention (Figure 4C, p<0.05; Supplement Table 6). Intersection analysis was finally carried out to search the DEGs who shared the leading nodes in PPI network and were significantly related with BLCA patients' survival (Figure 4D). Fortunately, CXCL12 emerged.

CXCL12 was down-regulated in BLCA tissues and associated with the clinicopathological characteristics

The CXC chemokine CXCL12 participated greatly in multiple physiological and pathological processes through interacting with its receptors CXC chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR4) and atypical chemokine receptor 3 (ACKR3) (30). In our study, CXCL12 was significantly down-regulated in BLCA tissues compared with normal tissues (Figure 5A, p<0.001). Besides, the paired analysis found that CXCL12 was obviously down-regulated in BLCA tissues compared with the paired normal tissues (Figure 5B, p<0.001). In order to further validate the expression of CXCL12 in BLCA, we carried out IHC and the result was consistent with the above analysis (Figure 5C). However, survival analysis suggested that the expression of CXCL12 was not significantly associated with the survival of BLCA patients (Figure 5D, p=0.050). As for clinicopathological characteristics of BLCA patients, the results showed that the expression of CXCL12 varied with ages and higher expression of CXCL12 predicted the higher pathology grade of BLCA (Figures 5E-G; p<0.001, p<0.001). In addition, the expression of CXCL12 was partly related with T, N classification and clinical stages of BLCA (Figures 5H-K). Hence, we concluded that CXCL12 had the potential to participate in the progression of BLCA.



CXCL12 participated greatly in immuneassociated activities

On account of the potential role of CXCL12 in the progression of BLCA, we further explored the underlying

mechanisms of CXCL12. BLCA samples were first divided into two groups, including high CXCL12 expression group and low CXCL12 expression group. GSEA suggested that for C5 collection, the gene ontology sets, genes in high CXCL12 expression group were enriched in cytokine binding, leukocyte



migration and negative regulation of interleukin 6 production (Figure 6A, p<0.05). For C2 collection, the KEGG gene sets database, genes were enriched in allograft rejection, antigen processing and presentation, B cell receptor signaling pathway,

complement and coagulation cascades, and so on (Figure 6B, p<0.05). For hallmark gene sets, genes in high CXCL12 expression group were primarily enriched in allograft rejection, complement, IL2 stats signaling, IL6 jak stat3



signaling and so on (Figure 6C, p<0.05). For C7 collection defined by MSigDB, the immunologic gene sets, genes were found to be enriched in multiple immune-associated activities, which were related with CD4 T cell, CD8 T cell, B cell and so on (Figure 6D, p<0.05). As a result, according to GSEA, CXCL12 was significantly related with immune-associated activities and had the potential to regulate TIME of BLCA.

CXCL12 could communicate with TICs in TIME

Since GSEA suggested that CXCL12 was significantly correlated with immune-associated activities, we speculated that there could be underlying connections between CXCL12 and TIME of BLCA. In consequence, we calculated the proportion of TICs in BLCA samples through CIBERSORT algorithm. The specific ratio of 22 TICs in BLCA sample was shown in Figure 7A. And the association among these TICs was exhibited in Figure 7B. Difference analysis was carried out to learn the association between the expression of CXCL12 and specific TICs. The results showed that 10 kinds of TICs, such as Macrophages M2, naïve B cell, gamma delta T cells, CD4 naïve T

cells, follicular helper T cells and so on, were significantly associated with the expression of CXCL12 (Figure 8A; Supplement Table 7). The correlation analysis suggested that the expression of CXCL12 were related with 8 kinds of TICs, among which naïve B cells, macrophages M2 and resting mast cells were positively associated, and activated dendritic cells, resting dendritic cells, resting NK cells, CD4 naïve T cells and follicular helper T cells were negatively associated (Figures 8C–J, p<0.05; Supplement Table 7). Finally, intersection analysis between difference analysis and correlation analysis suggested that 6 kinds of TICs were significantly related with the expression of CXCL12 (Figure 8B). In conclusion, CXCL12 was obviously related with various TICs in TIME of BLCA and had the potential to regulate the TIME of BLCA probably *via* communicating with multiple TICs.

Discussion

In this study, we focused on the associations between IRGs and TICs in the TME of BLCA. Firstly, we downloaded the transcriptome profiling and clinical data of 414 BLCA samples and 19 normal samples from TCGA database, and calculated the



proportion of immune and stromal component in TME of each BLCA samples with the help of ESTIMATE algorithm. Secondly, difference analysis found out that Immune/Stromal Score was associated with the clinicopathological characteristics of BLCA. Thirdly, DEGs were obtained through the comparison between high Immune Score and low Immune Score (or high Stromal Score and low Stromal Score), and GO and KEGG enrichment analysis suggested that these DEGs were mainly enriched in immune-related activities. Fourthly, PPI network and univariable COX regression analysis found that CXCL12 shared the leading nodes in PPI network and was significantly related with BLCA patients' survival. Fifthly, signal gene analysis was conducted and found out that CXCL12 was down-regulated in BLCA samples compared with normal sample and significantly related with the clinicopathological characteristics of BLCA. GSEA revealed that CXCL12 was significantly associated with immune-associated activities and could play an important role in regulating TIME of BLCA. Finally, CIBERSORT algorithm was applied to calculate the proportion of TICs in BLCA samples, and difference analysis as well as correlation analysis suggested that CXCL12 was obviously connected with multiple TICs in TME of BLCA and could modulate the TIME of BLCA *via* closely communicating with TICs.

CXCL12 was traditionally classified as a homeostatic CXC chemokine and took a great part in modulating kinds of physiological and pathological processes *via* binding to its receptors CXCR4 and ACKR3 (30, 31). CXCL12/CXCR4 axis had been proved to be associated with the progression and therapy of cancers. For example, CXCL12/CXCR4 axis advanced the invasion and metastasis of pancreatic cancer through complex crosstalk with other pathways, and was correlated with the poor prognosis of patients (32). Treatment targeting the CXCL12/CXCR4 pathway increased the efficacy of radiotherapy of locally advanced cervical cancer (33). The role of CXCL12 in tumor development mainly depended on the



specific microenvironment of tumors (34). In addition, CXCL12-CXCR4/CXCR7 axis had a great influence in gastrointestinal malignancies through immune resistance (35). Further researches suggested that the mechanisms of immunotherapy resistance could be associated with the CXCL12/CXCR4 axis (36). However, only a few studies explored the association between CXCL12 and tumorigenesis and progression of BLCA (37). Researches found that CXCL12

was down-regulated in BLCA tissues compared with normal bladder mucosal tissues, and positively associated with the differentiation degree and invasive depth of BLCA tissues (38). Additionally, CXCL12/CXCR4 promoted the invasion of BLCA cells through activating Stat3 (39).

Furthermore, increasing studies have revealed that TICs played an important role in the progression and treatment of BLCA. For example, intratumoral TIGIT+ CD8+ T-cell abundance functioned



as a potential prognostic factor for patients' survival and a predictive biomarker for adjuvant chemotherapeutic effect (40). CD19+ tumor-infiltrating B-cells activated CD4+ tumor-infiltrating Tcells in the TMB of BLCA and acted as an independent prognostic factor for post-surgery survival and adjuvant chemotherapy benefits of BLCA patients (41). Besides, DC-SIGN+ TAM infiltration was significantly associated with a tumor-promoting TIME and functioned as a prognostic indicator and therapeutic target in the immunotherapy of BLCA (42). However, there are few researches focusing on the associations between CXCL12 and TICs in TIME of BLCA.

In our study, we confirmed that CXCL12 was significantly down-regulated in BLCA tissues and associated with patients' clinicopathological characteristics. In addition, functional analysis revealed that CXCL12 participated in immuneassociated activities and could regulate TIME of BLCA probably through communicating with multiple TICs, such as macrophages M2, B cells naïve, T cells follicular helper, mast



cells resting, dendritic cells resting and T cells CD4 naive. Further researches should be carried out to clarify the accuracy of the above combined analyses, and focused on the underlying mechanisms of the communication between CXCL12 and TICs in TIME of BLCA.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Materials. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by The Ethics Committee of Nanjing Jiangning Hospital. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: JW; methodology: JW, SZ, DQ; software, validation, formal analysis, investigation, resources, data curation, writing—original draft preparation: JW, YX; Writing —review and editing, supervision and project administration: XH; Funding acquisition: JW and XH. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript

Funding

This research was funded by the National Nature Science Foundation of China, grant number 82103032, Medical Research Grant of Jiangsu Commission of Health, grant number M2020010, the Medical Science and Technology Development Foundation of Nanjing, grant number YKK21224, the Science Foundation of Jiangsu Health vocational college, grant number JKC201948, the Science and Technology Development Fund of Nanjing Medical University, grant number NMUB2019235, the Research and

References

1. Pardo JC, Ruiz de Porras V, Plaja A, Carrato C, Etxaniz O, Buisan O, et al. Moving towards Personalized Medicine in Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer: Where Are We Now and Where Are We Going? *Int J Mol Sci* (2020) 21((17)):6271. doi: 10.3390/ijms21176271

2. Rosenberg JE, Hoffman-Censits J, Powles T, van der Heijden MS, Balar AV, Necchi A, et al. Atezolizumab in patients with locally advanced and metastatic urothelial carcinoma who have progressed following treatment with platinumbased chemotherapy: a single-arm, multicentre, phase 2 trial. *Lancet (London England)* (2016) 387:1909–20. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00561-4

3. Sharma P, Retz M, Siefker-Radtke A, Baron A, Necchi A, Bedke J, et al. Nivolumab in metastatic urothelial carcinoma after platinum therapy (CheckMate 275): a multicentre, single-arm, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol* (2017) 18:312–22. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(17)30065-7

4. Hussain SA, Birtle A, Crabb S, Huddart R, Small D, Summerhayes M, et al. From Clinical Trials to Real-life Clinical Practice: The Role of Immunotherapy with PD-1/PD-L1 Inhibitors in Advanced Urothelial Carcinoma. *Eur Urol Oncol* (2018) 1:486–500. doi: 10.1016/j.euo.2018.05.011

5. Cai WY, Dong ZN, Fu XT, Lin LY, Wang L, Ye GD, et al. Identification of a Tumor Microenvironment-relevant Gene set-based Prognostic Signature and Related Therapy Targets in Gastric Cancer. *Theranostics* (2020) 10:8633–47. doi: 10.7150/thno.47938

6. Joshi S. Targeting the Tumor Microenvironment in Neuroblastoma: Recent Advances and Future Directions. *Cancers* (2020) 12:(8):2057. doi: 10.3390/cancers12082057

7. Wang S, Li Y, Xing C, Ding C, Zhang H, Chen L, et al. Tumor microenvironment in chemoresistance, metastasis and immunotherapy of pancreatic cancer. *Am J Cancer Res* (2020) 10:1937–53.

development fund of Kangda College of Nanjing Medical University, grant number KD2020KYJJZD006 and Youth Innovation Research Fund project of Jiangning Hospital, grant number JNYYZXKY202023.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fonc.2022.1031706/full#supplementary-material

8. Yang Y, Yang Y, Yang J, Zhao X, Wei X. Tumor Microenvironment in Ovarian Cancer: Function and Therapeutic Strategy. *Front Cell Dev Biol* (2020) 8:758. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2020.00758

9. Zhang Z, Karthaus WR, Lee YS, Gao VR, Wu C, Russo JW, et al. Tumor Microenvironment-Derived NRG1 Promotes Antiandrogen Resistance in Prostate Cancer. *Cancer Cell* (2020) 38:279–296.e9. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2020.06.005

10. Lin T, Zhang Q, Yuan A, Wang B, Zhang F, Ding Y, et al. Synergy of Tumor Microenvironment Remodeling and Autophagy Inhibition to Sensitize Radiation for Bladder Cancer Treatment. *Theranostics* (2020) 10:7683–96. doi: 10.7150/thno.45358

11. Jin MZ, Jin WL. The updated landscape of tumor microenvironment and drug repurposing. *Signal transduction targeted Ther* (2020) 5:166. doi: 10.1038/s41392-020-00280-x

12. Zhang L, Chen J, Cheng T, Yang H, Li H, Pan C. Identification of the key genes and characterizations of Tumor Immune Microenvironment in Lung Adenocarcinoma (LUAD) and Lung Squamous Cell Carcinoma (LUSC). J Cancer (2020) 11:4965–79. doi: 10.7150/jca.42531

13. Binnewies M, Roberts EW, Kersten K, Chan V. Understanding the tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) for effective therapy. *Nat Med* (2018) 24:541–50. doi: 10.1038/s41591-018-0014-x

14. Zhao Y, Pu C, Liu Z. Exploration the Significance of a Novel Immune-Related Gene Signature in Prognosis and Immune Microenvironment of Breast Cancer. *Front Oncol* (2020) 10:1211. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.01211

15. Wang G, Wang D, Sun M, Liu X, Yang Q. Identification of prognostic and immune-related gene signatures in the tumor microenvironment of endometrial cancer. *Int Immunopharmacol* (2020) 88:106931. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp. 2020.106931

16. Liu X, Niu X, Qiu Z. A Five-Gene Signature Based on Stromal/Immune Scores in the Tumor Microenvironment and Its Clinical Implications for Liver Cancer. DNA Cell Biol (2020) 39:1621–38. doi: 10.1089/dna.2020.5512

17. Luo Y, Zeng G, Wu S. Identification of Microenvironment-Related Prognostic Genes in Bladder Cancer Based on Gene Expression Profile. *Front Genet* (2019) 10:1187. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2019.01187

18. Ding Q, Dong S, Wang R, Zhang K, Wang H, Zhou X, et al. A nine-gene signature related to tumor microenvironment predicts overall survival with ovarian cancer. *Aging* (2020) 12:4879–95. doi: 10.18632/aging.102914

19. Bense RD, Sotiriou C, Piccart-Gebhart MJ, Haanen J, van Vugt M, de Vries EGE, et al. Relevance of Tumor-Infiltrating Immune Cell Composition and Functionality for Disease Outcome in Breast Cancer. *J Natl Cancer Institute* (2017) 109(1):djw192. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djw192

20. Nam SJ, Kim YH, Park JE, Ra YS, Khang SK, Cho YH, et al. Tumorinfiltrating immune cell subpopulations and programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression associated with clinicopathological and prognostic parameters in ependymoma. *Cancer immunology immunotherapy CII* (2019) 68:305–18. doi: 10.1007/s00262-018-2278-x

21. Liu X, Wu S, Yang Y, Zhao M, Zhu G, Hou Z. The prognostic landscape of tumor-infiltrating immune cell and immunomodulators in lung cancer. *Biomedicine pharmacotherapy* (2017) 95:55–61. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2017.08.003

22. Loi S, Michiels S, Salgado R, Sirtaine N, Jose V, Furnagalli D, et al. Tumor infiltrating lymphocytes are prognostic in triple negative breast cancer and predictive for trastuzumab benefit in early breast cancer. results from the FinHER trial. Ann oncology: Off J Eur Soc Med Oncol (2014) 25:1544–50. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdu112

23. Salgado R, Denkert C, Campbell C, Savas P, Nuciforo P, Aura C, et al. Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes and Associations With Pathological Complete Response and Event-Free Survival in HER2-Positive Early-Stage Breast Cancer Treated With Lapatinib and Trastuzumab: A Secondary Analysis of the NeoALTTO Trial. *JAMA Oncol* (2015) 1:448–54. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2015.0830

24. Denkert C, Loibl S, Noske A, Roller M, Müller BM, Komor M, et al. Tumorassociated lymphocytes as an independent predictor of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol* (2010) 28:105– 13. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2009.23.7370

25. Denkert C, von Minckwitz G, Brase JC, Sinn BV, Gade S, Kronenwett R, et al. Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy with or without carboplatin in human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive and triple-negative primary breast cancers. J Clin Oncol Off J Am Soc Clin Oncol (2015) 33:983–91. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2014.58.1967

26. Borst J, Ahrends T, Babała N, Melief CJM, Kastenmüller W. CD4(+) T cell help in cancer immunology and immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2018) 18:635–47.

27. DeNardo DG, Ruffell B. Macrophages as regulators of tumour immunity and immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2019) 19:369–82. doi: 10.1038/s41577-018-0044-0

28. Qi Y, Chang Y, Wang Z, Chen L, Kong Y, Zhang P, et al. Tumor-associated macrophages expressing galectin-9 identify immunoevasive subtype muscleinvasive bladder cancer with poor prognosis but favorable adjuvant chemotherapeutic response. *Cancer Immunology Immunotherapy:CII* (2019) 68:2067–80. doi: 10.1007/s00262-019-02429-2 29. Wang J, Qin D, Ye L, Wan L, Wang F, Yang Y, et al. CCL19 has potential to be a potential prognostic biomarker and a modulator of tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) of breast cancer: a comprehensive analysis based on TCGA database. *Aging (Albany NY)* (2022) 14:4158–75. doi: 10.18632/aging.204081

30. Janssens R, Struyf S, Proost P. The unique structural and functional features of CXCL12. *Cell Mol Immunol* (2018) 15:299-311. doi: 10.1038/cmi.2017.107

31. Janssens R, Struyf S, Proost P. Pathological roles of the homeostatic chemokine CXCL12. *Cytokine Growth factor Rev* (2018) 44:51–68. doi: 10.1016/ j.cytogfr.2018.10.004

32. Sleightholm RL, Neilsen BK, Li J, Steele MM, Singh RK, Hollingsworth MA, et al. Emerging roles of the CXCL12/CXCR4 axis in pancreatic cancer progression and therapy. *Pharmacol Ther* (2017) 179:158–70. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2017.05.012

33. Lecavalier-Barsoum M, Chaudary N, Han K, Koritzinsky M, Hill R, Milosevic M. Targeting the CXCL12/CXCR4 pathway and myeloid cells to improve radiation treatment of locally advanced cervical cancer. *Int J Cancer* (2018) 143:1017–28. doi: 10.1002/ijc.31297

34. Mortezaee K. CXCL12/CXCR4 axis in the microenvironment of solid tumors: A critical mediator of metastasis. *Life Sci* (2020) 249:117534. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2020.117534

35. Daniel SK, Seo YD, Pillarisetty VG. The CXCL12-CXCR4/CXCR7 axis as a mechanism of immune resistance in gastrointestinal malignancies. *Semin Cancer Biol* (2020) 65:176–88. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2019.12.007

36. Zhou W, Guo S, Liu M, Burow ME, Wang G. Targeting CXCL12/CXCR4 Axis in Tumor Immunotherapy. *Curr medicinal Chem* (2019) 26:3026–41. doi: 10.2174/0929867324666170830111531

37. Nazari A, Khorramdelazad H, Hassanshahi G. Biological/pathological functions of the CXCL12/CXCR4/CXCR7 axes in the pathogenesis of bladder cancer. *Int J Clin Oncol* (2017) 22:991–1000. doi: 10.1007/s10147-017-1187-x

38. Yang DL, Xin MM, Wang JS, Xu HY, Huo Q, Tang ZR, et al. Chemokine receptor CXCR4 and its ligand CXCL12 expressions and clinical significance in bladder cancer. *Genet Mol Res GMR* (2015) 14:17699–707. doi: 10.4238/2015.December.21.43

39. Shen HB, Gu ZQ, Jian K, Qi J. CXCR4-mediated Stat3 activation is essential for CXCL12-induced cell invasion in bladder cancer. *Tumour Biol J Int Soc Oncodevelopmental Biol Med* (2013) 34:1839–45. doi: 10.1007/s13277-013-0725-z

40. Liu Z, Zhou Q, Wang Z, Zhang H, Zeng H, Huang Q, et al. Intratumoral TIGIT(+) CD8(+) T-cell infiltration determines poor prognosis and immune evasion in patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Cancer Res* (2020) 8 (2):1707–19. doi: 10.1136/jitc-2020-000978

41. Jiang Q, Fu Q, Chang Y, Liu Z, Zhang J, Xu L, et al. CD19(+) tumorinfiltrating B-cells prime CD4(+) T-cell immunity and predict platinum-based chemotherapy efficacy in muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Cancer Immunology Immunotherapy:CII* (2019) 68:45–56. doi: 10.1007/s00262-018-2250-9

42. Hu B, Wang Z. Blockade of DC-SIGN(+) Tumor-Associated Macrophages Reactivates Antitumor Immunity and Improves Immunotherapy in Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer. *Cancer Res* (2020) 80:1707–19. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-2254