Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Matjaz Rokavec, Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, Germany

REVIEWED BY Qianqian Song, Wake Forest University, United States Francesca Bruzzese, G. Pascale National Cancer Institute Foundation (IRCCS), Italy Emil Rudolf, Charles University, Czechia

*CORRESPONDENCE Xinguo Zhu 💌 xgzhu1970@163.com Bin Wang 💌 wangbin81594@suda.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Gastrointestinal Cancers: Colorectal Cancer,

a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology RECEIVED 06 August 2022

ACCEPTED 08 December 2022 PUBLISHED 22 December 2022

CITATION

Gu J, Zhong K, Wang L, Ni H, Zhao Y, Wang X, Yao Y, Jiang L, Wang B and Zhu X (2022) ENO1 contributes to 5fluorouracil resistance in colorectal cancer cells *via* EMT pathway. *Front. Oncol.* 12:1013035. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.1013035

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Gu, Zhong, Wang, Ni, Zhao, Wang, Yao, Jiang, Wang and Zhu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

ENO1 contributes to 5fluorouracil resistance in colorectal cancer cells *via* EMT pathway

Jinrong Gu^{1†}, Kaiqiang Zhong^{1†}, Longgang Wang^{2†}, Haishun Ni¹, Yirui Zhao¹, Xuchao Wang¹, Yizhou Yao¹, Linhua Jiang¹, Bin Wang^{1*} and Xinguo Zhu^{1*}

¹Department of General Surgery, the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu, China, ²Department of Emergency Medicine, the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu, China

Introduction: Chemoresistance is a major barrier in the treatment of colorectal cancer (CRC) and many other cancers. ENO1 has been associated with various biological characteristics of CRC. This study aimed to investigate the function of ENO1 in regulating 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) resistance in CRC.

Methods: ENO1 level in 120 pairs of tumor tissues and adjacent normal tissues was examined by immunohistochemistry, and the correlation between ENO1 expression and prognosis was explored by survival analysis. Its role and potential mechanisms in regulating 5-FU resistance in CRC were studied by Western blotting, MTT assay, colony formation assay and transwell invasion assay. Murine xenograft assay was implied to verify the results *in vivo*.

Results: Our study indicated that ENO1 was elevated in CRC tissues and was associated with poor patient prognosis. High levels of ENO1 expression were detected as a significant influencing factor for overall survival. Furthermore, ENO1 expression was found to have increased in drug-resistant cells (HCT116/ 5-FU and SW620/5-FU) constructed by increasing concentrations of 5-FU. Knockdown of ENO1 markedly increased the drug susceptibility and inhibited the proliferation and migration ability of HCT116/5-FU and SW620/5-FU cells. It was found that down-regulation of ENO1 inhibited the epithelial-mesenchymal transformation (EMT) signaling process. Finally, a murine xenograft assay verified that the depletion of ENO1 alleviated 5-FU resistance.

Conclusion: This study identified that ENO1 regulated 5-FU resistance via the EMT pathway and may be a novel target in the prevention and treatment of 5-FU resistant CRC.

KEYWORDS

ENO1, 5-FU, drug resistance, EMT pathway, colorectal cancer

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the world's fourth most deadly cancer with almost 900 000 deaths reported annually in recent years (1). Accordingly, additional examinations and treatment strategies are being applied to cope with this global health burden. Application of new drugs has nearly doubled the average survival time for patients with advanced CRC over the past few years. However, for most patients, the overall survival duration remains to be less than 3 years (2). Drug resistance is a crucial factor with regard to this phenomenon. Mechanisms of drug resistance include tumor burden and growth kinetics, physical barriers, the immune system, and tumor microenvironment (3). However, the specific mechanism of chemoresistance with respect to 5fluorouracil (5-FU) in CRC needs further investigation.

Enolase 1 (ENO 1) is a type of enolase isozyme that promotes the formation of phosphoenolpyruvate via catalysis by 2-phospho-glycerate and generates ATP during glycolysis (4). It is a glycolytic enzyme with multiple functions in bacterial and fungal infections; autoantigen activities; and occurrence, development and metastasis of cancer (5, 6). ENO1 was also reported to be a plasminogen receptor involved in the invasion and metastasis of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDA) cells (7, 8). ENO1 overexpression has been demonstrated in a wide range of human cancers and is often associated with poor prognosis. In some cancer patients, increased expression of ENO1 is often accompanied by the production of anti-ENO1 autoantibodies, making the protein a tumor-associated antigen (9). It has been discovered that ENO1 expression levels were associated with tumorigenesis, proliferation, metastasis, and stem cell-like properties in various types of cancer (10, 11).

ENO1 is considered to be a potential target in novel immunotherapies and inhibiting it could contribute to the eradication of tumors to some extent (12). A previous study indicated that overexpression of ENO1 could enhance the resistance toward tamoxifen, whereas decreased expression of ENO1 could exhibit the opposite result in human breast cancer cells (13). Further, ENO1 knockdown can sensitize hypoxiainduced chemical resistance in pancreatic cancer cells by regulating REDOX homeostasis, which may be associated with an increase in intracellular reactive oxygen species levels, affecting cell cycle and proliferation (14). However, the relationship between ENO1 and resistance toward 5-FU in CRC is still unclear.

Epithelial-mesenchymal transformation (EMT) is a biological process wherein epithelial cells are transformed into cells with mesenchymal phenotypes through a specific procedure. EMT is mainly induced by EMT-activated transcription factors (EMT-TFs) from the SNAIL, TWIST, and ZEB families, resulting in the loss of cell polarity (15, 16). Such structural changes play an important role in embryonic development, e.g., by promoting the formation of the stomach, the layering of the neural crest, and the generation of different types of cells and tissues. However, abnormal activation of EMT stimulates the progression of pathological processes such as cancer development and fibrosis (17). EMT acts as a key factor in various biological processes, especially in tumorigenesis, proliferation, metastasis, and drug resistance during cancer development (18, 19). In addition, EMT is closely associated with chemotherapeutic drug resistance, e.g., 5-FU resistance in CRC, sorafenib resistance in hepatocellular carcinoma, and anti-EGFR therapy resistance in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (20–23).

In brief, our study aimed to demonstrate that ENO1 can modulate chemoresistance toward 5-FU in CRC *via* the EMT pathway. Thus, ENO1 may have application value in alleviating drug resistance in CRC.

Methods

Human tissue samples

In all, 120 pairs of tumor and adjacent tissues were obtained from postoperative specimens of patients from the General Surgery Department of the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University (Suzhou, China) between 2015 and 2016. The patient did not receive neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy before surgery and all specimens were pathologically confirmed. The study was approved by the Independent Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University (2020076), and written informed consent was acquired from all patients.

Cell culture

Human colon carcinoma HCT116 and SW620 cells were purchased from Cell Bank of the Chinese Academic of Sciences (Shanghai, China) and HT29, SW480, and LOVO cells were collected from Central Laboratory of the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University. We obtained 5-FU-resistant HCT116 (HCT116/5-FU) and 5-FU-resistant SW620 (SW620/5-FU) cells by treating HCT116 and SW620 cells with gradually increasing concentrations of 5-FU for 6 months. All cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Hyclone) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, USA), penicillin G sodium (100U/ml) and streptomycin (100 μ g/ml). Cells were preserved at 37°C with 5% CO₂. HCT116/5-FU and SW620/5-FU cells were transfected with ENO1-shRNA according to our previous study (24). Transient silencing was confirmed by Western blotting. HCT116/5-FU and SW620/5-FU cells were also treated with 2-deoxyglucose (MedChemExpress) at 20 mM for 4 h before analysis (25).

Immunohistochemical analysis

Immunohistochemical staining was performed on tumor tissue slides as previously described (24). The sections were incubated with 1:100 diluted polyclonal anti-human ENO1 (BOSTER, Wuhan, China) at 4°C overnight. The staining intensity was scored from 0 to 4 (<5% = 0, 5% to <25% = 1, 25% to <50% = 2, 50% to <75% = 3, $\ge 75\% = 4$) during semi-quantitative evaluation. The staining score of each sample was decided by two authors in a blinded manner.

Western blotting

Protein samples were obtained with the help of lysis buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was applied for protein separation. Subsequently, the samples were transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes. After blocking with 5% non-fat milk for 1 h, the PVDF membrane was incubated with antibodies at 4°C with gentle shaking, followed by treatment with horseradish peroxidaseconjugated secondary antibodies. Anti-ENO1 (Boster, Wuhan, China) and anti- β -Actin (1:5000, Bioss, Beijing, China) were the primary antibodies. We used Image J software to assess the picture quality of Western blotting.

Cell viability assay

Cell viability was detected by Methyl Thiazolyl Tetrazolium (MTT) assay according to the manufacturer's instructions. In brief, we seeded 2000 transfected cells in 96-well plates, and cells in each plate were cultured for different durations (12, 24, 36, 48, 60, and 72 h). The MTT solution was added to each plate for 4 h, and 150 μ L of dimethyl sulfoxide was then added to each well with 10 min of gentle shaking. Subsequently, the absorbance in each well was measured at 490 nm (A490) for sample detection.

Colony formation assay

Here 1000 cells with ENO1 expression knockdown or vectors were seeded in 6-well plates and cultured in a drug-free medium for 10 days until visible colonies formed. The formed clones were fixed with methanol, stained with crystal violet solution, and counted *via* microscopy.

Transwell invasion assay

Cells were added to the top chambers at the density of 10,000 cells/200 μ l, while the bottom chambers were filled with culture medium in each well. After incubation for 12 h at 37°C, the inserts were fixed and stained with crystal violet and the number of invading cells was counted randomly under a microscope.

Murine xenograft assay

SPF male mice (4 weeks old, weighing approximately 18 g) were acquired from Shanghai SLRC laboratory Animal Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). All animal experiments were approved by the First Affiliated Hospital of Suzhou University Ethics Committee. In all, 24 mice were randomly divided into four treatment groups: ENO1-Kockdown (KD), Negative control (NC), ENO1-Knockdown (KD) with 5-FU, and Negative control (NC) with 5-FU. Subsequently, the mice were subcutaneously injected with ENO1-KD or NC-shRNA HCT116, depending on the group, into the left neck back on day 0. A total of 50 mg/kg of 5-FU was intraperitoneally injected into the 5-FU-treated mice every 3 days. The other two groups received saline treatment. The subcutaneous tumor size was measured every three days and mice were killed on the 21st day. The tumors were then photographed.

Statistical analysis

All experiments were repeated at least three times, and the results are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Student's T-test (unpaired, two-tailed) was used to compare means between two groups. IHC analysis results were evaluated by Chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test. SPSS 25.0 and GraphPad Prism 8.0 were applied to analyze most of the data. Survival curves were analyzed using Hiplot (https://hiplot-academic.com/) to investigate the prognostic value of ENO1. Self-developed R program (version 3.6.1 for Windows, http://cran.r-project.org/) was used for Cluster analysis and Nomogram analysis. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

ENO1 is upregulated in CRC tissues and results in poor patient prognosis

The ENO1 protein expression was significantly increased in CRC tissues compared with that in normal colorectal tissues (Figures 1A, B). Tissues with tumor-node-metastasis (TNM)



Expression of ENO1 in CRC tissues. (A) Representative immunohistochemical (IHC) images showing *in situ* ENO1 expression in colorectal cancer (CRC) and normal tissues (scale bar = 100 μ m). (B) IHC scores of ENO1 in CRC vs normal tissues. (C) IHC scores of ENO1 in T I-II vs T III-IV tissues. (D–F) Overall survival of CRC patients with high and low expressions (D) of ENO1 with TNM staging I-II (E) and III-IV (F). Statistical analyses were performed via two-tailed Student t test. ****, P \leq 0.001.

TABLE 1	Relationship	between	ENO1	and	clinic	-pathological	factors i	n CRC	patients.
	recontoring	Decirecti	21102	arro	ounio	patriotogica	10000101		parienco.

Clinic parameters	Case	ENO1 expression		p value
		low	high	
Age				
<65	51	26	25	0.258
≥65	69	28	41	
Gender				
Male	59	28	31	0.595
Female	61	26	35	
Tumor size				
<5cm	55	28	27	0.231
≥5cm	65	26	39	
Tumor location				
Right	27	11	16	0.816
Left	30	13	17	
	·			(Continued)

Clinic parameters	Case	ENO1 expression		p value	
		low	high		
Rectum	63	30	33		
Differentiation					
Well	83	37	47	0.592	
Poor	37	18	19		
Depth of invasion					
T1-2	42	22	20	0.233	
Т3-4	78	32	46		
Lymph node metastasis					
N0	56	34	22	0.001	
N1-2	64	20	44		
Nerve invasion					
Yes	77	40	37	0.041	
No	43	14	29		
Vascular invasion					
Yes	72	38	34	0.036	
No	48	16	32		
TNM stage					
I/II	56	34	22	0.001	
III/IV	64	20	44		
P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically signific	ant.				

TABLE 1 Continued

staging I-II showed lower ENO1 expression compared with those with TNM staging III-IV in CRC (P < 0.01; Figure 1C). Thus, ENO1 expression was found to be significantly associated with lymph node invasion (P =0.001), nerve invasion (P<0.05),

vascular invasion (P<0.05), and TNM staging (P = 0.001, Table 1), whereas variables such as age, gender, tumor size, tumor location, or degree of differentiation were not considered to have much association with ENO1 expression (P > 0.05;

TABLE 2 Results of univariate and multivariate analyses of postoperative patients' survival by Cox's proportional hazard model.

Varieties	n	Univ	variate analy	sis	Multivariate analysis		
		Р	HR	95% Cl	Р	HR	95% CI
Age (<65/≥65)	51/69	0.166	0.712	0.441-1.151			
Gender (Male/Female)	59/61	0.519	0.857	0.537-1.369			
Tumor size(<5cm/≥5cm)	55/65	0.971	0.991	0.621-1.582			
Differentiation (Well/Poorly)	83/37	0.955	1.014	0.613-1.679			
Depth of invasion (T1-2/T3-4)	42/78	0.005	0.469	0.276-0.795	0.006	0.472	0.277-0.806
Lymph node metastasis (N0/N1-2)	56/64	<0.001	0.407	0.250-0.661	0.013	0.529	0.321-0.872
Nerve invasion (Yes/No)	77/43	0.012	0.543	0.337-0.875	0.037	0.598	0.369-0.970
Vascular invasion (Yes/No)	72/48	0.167	0.719	0.450-1.148			
			·				(Continued)

Varieties	n	Univariate analysis Multivariate		variate analy	te analysis		
		Р	HR	95% Cl	Р	HR	95% CI
TNM stage (I-II/III-IV)	56/64	<0.001	0.407	0.250-0.661	0.013	0.529	0.321-0.872
ENO1 (low/high)	54/66	<0.001	0.316	0.187-0.535	<0.001	0.602	0.459-0.791
HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.				1			

TABLE 2 Continued

Table 1). Univariate analysis demonstrated that the depth of invasion (P<0.01), lymph node metastases (P<0.05), nerve invasion (P<0.05), TNM stage (P<0.05, Table 2), and ENO1 expression were associated with worse survival. Moreover, multivariate analysis showed that high ENO1 expression was detected as a significant influencing factor for patient survival in CRC (P < 0.01, Table 2). Patients were divided into two groups according to ENO1 expression levels, and we found that patients in the high ENO1 group had a shorter lifespan than those in the low ENO1 group (P < 0.001; Figure 1D). Similarly, elevated ENO1 expression levels were associated with poor prognosis in patients with TNM staging III-IV but not those with staging I-II (P <0.001; P = 0.48; Figures 1E, F).

Subgroup analysis showed that high ENO1 expression was associated with worse prognosis, regardless of age, gender, lymph node metastasis, degree of differentiation, neural invasion, and TNM stage (P<0.05, Figure 2). In contrast, ENO1 expression level had no correlation with depth of tumor invasion T1-2 (P = 0.122) and venous invasion positivity (P = 0.063, Figure 2). Therefore, ENO1 expression has certain significance in analyzing and conjecturing patients survival

with different depths of tumor invasion and degree of venous metastasis.

ENO1 was up-regulated in fluorouracilresistant CRC cells

The expression of ENO1 in dozens of intestinal cancer cell lines was evaluated in Depmap Portal, online database of Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE) (Figure 3A). Subsequently, we performed immunoblotting in HCT116, SW620, HT29, SW480, and LOVO cell lines and the result showed that ENO1 expression in HCT116 and SW620 cell lines was higher than that in the other three cell lines, consistent with the trend shown in Figure 3A. Therefore, these cell lines were selected for further study (Figures 3B, C). We observed that cell viability of 5-FUresistant cells was higher than that of negative control cells at the same concentration, indicating that the resistant group cells were more tolerant to 5-FU (Figure 3D). A similar trend was also observed in SW620-116/5-Fu. Compared with the NC cells, the expression of ENO1 in 5-FU-resistant cells was significantly

Subgroup		ENO1 (low/high)	HR(95% CI)		P Value
Gender	<u>≤60</u>	28\31	3.512(1.652-7.467)		0.001*
	>60	26\35	2.780(1.329-5.816)	•••••	0.007*
Age	Male	26\25	3.818(1.690-8.462)		0.001*
	Female	28\41	2.476(1.238-4.950)	-	0.010*
Tumor size	<5	28\27	4.040(1.945-8.392)		<0.001*
	≥5	26\39	2.480(1.156-5.321)		0.020*
Depth of tumor	T1-2	22\20	2.117(0.818-5.481)	•	0.122
invasion	T3-4	32\46	4.001(2.079-7.700)		<0.001*
Lymph node	No	34\22	3.348(1.531-7.320)	-	0.002*
metastasis	Yes	20\44	2.278(1.088-4.771)		0.029*
Degree of	Well	36\47	3.084(1.621-5.867)		0.001*
differentiation	Poor	18\19	3.203(1.266-8.102)	•	0.014*
Venous invasion	Negative	38\34	3.936(1.959-7.911)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<0.001*
	Positive	16\32	2.154(0.959-4.837)	•	0.063
Neural invasion	Negative	40\37	2.802(1.474-5.326)		0.002*
	Positive	14\29	3.378(1.275-8.949)	•	0.014*
TNM stage	I-II	34\22	3.348(1.531-7.320)	•	0.002*
	III-IV	20\44	2.278(1.088-4.771)		0.029*

FIGURE 2

Subgroup analysis for the influencing factor of survival among colorectal cancer patients according to ENO1 expression. Statistical analyses were performed via two-tailed Student t test. *, $P \le 0.05$.



increased (Figures 3E, F). These results demonstrated that ENO1 may be involved in 5-FU drug resistance and may act as a predictor for chemotherapy outcomes in CRC.

Down-regulation of ENO1 inhibited the proliferation of 5-FU-resistant CRC cells *in vitro*

HCT-116/5-FU and SW620/5-FU cells were transfected with ENO1-shRNA or NC-shRNA, following which ENO1 expression was significantly decreased compared with that in NC cells, and the most representative pattern is shown (Figure 4A, B). Subsequently, we explored whether the silencing of ENO1 influenced 5-FU tolerance in drug-resistant cells. The cell viability in the ENO1-KD group was significantly decreased compared with that in the NC group, indicating that the ENO1-KD group was more sensitive toward 5-FU. The results suggested that the down-regulation of ENO1 reversed fluorouracil resistance in CRC (Figures 4C, D). Consistent with this, MTT assay showed that the absorbance in the KD group was lower than that in the NC group, which illustrated that the proliferative ability of drug-resistant cells was significantly decreased (Figure 4E). Similarly, the colony-formation ability was suppressed in HCT-116/5-FU and SW620/5-FU cells following ENO1 knockdown compared with that in the negative control group (Figure 4F). The trend was shown more obviously in quantitative display.

ENO1 promoted migration in 5-FUresistant CRC cells and is possibly closely associated with the EMT pathway

Transwell assay displayed that ENO1 knockdown significantly inhibited the migration ability of HCT-116/5-FU and SW620/5-FU cells (Figure 5A). Migration cell numbers per region in the ENO1-KD group and NC group showed the same change (Figures 5B, C). To explore the potential mechanism underlying ENO1-mediated regulation of drug resistance in CRC cells, we intended to identify a correlation between ENO1 and cell characteristics such as angiogenesis, apoptosis, and cell cycle in lung, kidney, central nervous system, and other tumor tissues. On considering studies of tumor drug resistance and related pathways (20, 26), EMT may have a potential role in the regulation of ENO1 in drug-resistant CRC cells. Thus, the expressions of EMT pathway-related proteins in ENO1-KD and



NC groups were detected through Western blotting. We found that the content of E-cadherin was increased, whereas the expression of Vimentin protein and N-cadherin protein in HCT116/5-FU cells was decreased in the ENO1-KD group compared with that in the NC group (Figures 5D, E). A similar change was observed in SW620/5-FU cells (Figures 5F, G). During the EMT process, the morphology of cells changes, making them more elongated and multipolar to better adapt to environmental changes. It was found that the morphological changes in the ENO1-KD group were suppressed compared with those in the NC group (Figure 6A). Furthermore, the CRC cells in the NC and ENO1-KD groups were treated with 20 mM 2-DG for 4 h, and it was found that the expression of E-cadherin was increased and the expressions of N-cadherin and Vimentin were decreased in the ENO1-KD group. However, no significant differences were observed in the expression of EMT-related proteins between the two groups after the treatment with 2-DG (Figures 6B-E). This revealed that 2-DG inhibited the effect of ENO1 on EMT progression, suggesting that the regulation of tumor proliferation, migration, and drug resistance by ENO1 may be related to energy metabolism.

ENO1 knockdown inhibited CRC tumorigenesis *in vivo*

To further verify the biological effect of ENO1 *in vivo*, we established a model by constructing subcutaneous tumors in mice using negative control and ENO1-knockdown HCT116 and SW620 cells. The mice were divided into four groups, and comparisons were made between them: ENO1-KD, NC, ENO1-KD with 5-FU, and NC with 5-FU. Depletion of ENO1 had a subtle effect on mouse body weight, but significantly inhibited the proliferative ability of CRC cells (Figures 7A, B). Similar phenomenon was observed after treatment with 5-FU. The tumor volume in ENO1-KD with 5-FU group was significantly decreased compared with that in the NC with 5-FU group (Figures 7C, D). Tumor weight in the ENO1-KD with 5-FU



group was the lowest, followed by that in the NC with 5-FU group, and the weight in ENO1-KD group was lower than that in the NC group (Figures 7E, F). Next, cluster analysis was performed to evaluate the combined effects of tumor volume and tumor weight (Figure 7G). We found that all NC group mice were in Cluster 1, whereas 83.33% of ENO1-KD and 16.67% of NC group mice were in Cluster 2 (Figure 7H). This suggested that there is a significant difference between ENO1-KD and NC CRC cells *in vivo*.

Discussion

Under the present circumstances, the incidence of CRC is increasing each year. Although comprehensive treatment has improved the overall survival of patients, patients with advanced CRC still face many challenges (12). Therefore, there is an urgent need to explore the mechanisms of proliferation, invasion, and metastasis in CRC and to find effective targets for inhibiting tumor progression.

ENO1 has been found to promote tumorigenesis, proliferation, and migration in many types of cancers. ENO1 regulates pancreatic cancer adhesion, invasion, and metastasis by controlling the expression of α -V/ β -3 integrin (7). A significant increase has been observed in the roughness of cell membranes in ENO1-silenced PDA cells, along with a decrease in the levels of proteins involved in cell adhesion, including S α V/ β 3 integrin. These changes cause a decrease in cell migration and invasion in vitro and in vivo. ENO1 promotes lung cancer metastasis via HGFR and WNT signaling (27). A chimeric anti-ENO1 monoclonal antibody (ChenO1-22) can attenuate cancer cell invasion by inhibiting ENO1-mediated GSK3β inactivation to promote SLUG protein ubiquitination and block metastasis (27). Similarly, studies have shown that ENO1 monoclonal antibody plays a certain role in inhibiting the proliferation and invasion of cervical cancer cells (28). Other studies have shown that POMHEX, a small enolase inhibitor, selectively kills ENO1-deficient glioma cells at low nanomolar concentrations, suggesting that ENO1 acts as a promising target for inhibiting tumor progression (29). A similar trend was



observed in bladder cancer, CRC, liver cancer, multiple myeloma, and many other diseases (30–32).

Although technological advances in radiotherapy and chemotherapy have improved local control and patient survival, treatment resistance, including chemotherapy resistance and radiation resistance, remain to be a major challenge in cancer research and treatment (33). There have been some studies on 5-FU-induced drug resistance in CRC. Some studies have found that 5-FU can promote the recurrence of CRC through the WNT pathway (34). Some specific drugs and small molecules have been known to modulate 5-FU resistance, but the specific molecular biological mechanism underlying this remains unclear (35, 36). Further, there are few studies on ENO1 affecting drug resistance in tumor cells. Overexpression of ENO1 has been shown to enhance tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer, and promote cisplatin resistance in gastric cancer cells by stimulating glycolysis, as well as methotrexate resistance in human HT29 cells (37). We knocked down ENO1 in 5-FU resistant HCT116 and SW620 cells, and found that the proliferation and migration ability of drug-resistant cells were reduced, which is an exploration of the genes related to 5-FU resistance.

Because ENO1 is a key enzyme in glucose metabolism, we attempted to explore whether ENO1-regulated tumor cell growth and drug resistance is associated with glucose metabolism. 2-DG is a glucose mimic that inhibits glycolysis and induces cell death through the formation and intracellular accumulation of 2-deoxyd-glucose-6-phosphate (2-DG6P) (38). Because of the ability of 2-DG to inhibit glycolysis and ATP synthesis, disrupt N-glycosylation of proteins, and decrease energy metabolism, it has been used as a radiation therapy option and adjuvant agent for chemotherapeutic drugs of various tumors (39, 40). The results of our experiment revealed that 2-DG reversed the effect of ENO1 on EMT progression, suggesting that ENO1-mediated regulation of tumor proliferation, migration, and drug resistance is associated with energy metabolism.

During EMT, cells lose epithelial cell-cell adhesion junctions and apical-basal cell polarity and acquire spindle cell morphology and migration ability (41). EMT is associated



with resistance to various anticancer drugs. Previous studies have shown that targeting FGFR can successfully overcome EMT-mediated resistance in EGFR-mutant NSCLC (42, 43). It has been found that the expressions of EMT and stroma-related genes in urothelial carcinoma are associated with resistance to PD-1 blockade (44). EMT could induce gemcitabine resistance in pancreatic cancer and was found to be related to the characteristics of pancreatic cancer stem cells (20, 41, 45). However, the specific mechanism by which EMT mediates drug resistance was unclear. Our 2-DG comparative study showed that ENO1 could influence EMT process through the energy metabolism pathway.

In addition, we found that the application of new statistical and research methods to the existing results could identify signaling pathways more clearly, predict patient outcomes, and explore therapeutic targets with greater accuracy (46, 47). Compared with the traditional gene-centered methods, this method based on the concept of network markers can better detect the signal nodes and axes interconnecting many differentially expressed genes, and thus provide higher prediction accuracy (47). Single-cell multi-omics analysis has great potential for the mining of biological processes. This analysis method could measure the data pattern and the spatial cell environment at the same time, and will play a role in the realization of various biological goals, including the classification of cell types, construction of network nodes, and evaluation of biological processes (48).

In summary, our study confirmed that ENO1 expression was high in CRC and was associated with poor patient prognosis. By constructing 5-FU-resistant cells and knocking down ENO1 expression, we explored the effect of ENO1 alteration on drug resistance in CRC cells *in vivo* and *in vitro*. We found that the change was associated with the EMT process and regulation of energy metabolism. Thus, based on our findings, other articles on ENO1, and studies in other related fields, we believe that ENO1 may have application value in alleviating drug resistance in CRC.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the First Affiliated Hospital of Suzhou University Ethics Committee. Written informed consent was obtained from the owners for the participation of their animals in this study.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: XZ and BW. Methodology: XZ and BW. Investigation: JG, KZ, LW, HN, YZ, XW, YY and LJ. Analysis: JG, KZ and LW. Funding acquisition: XZ, BW and YY. Project administration: XZ and BW. Supervision: XZ and BW. Writingoriginal draft: JG, KZ and LW. Writing-review and editing: XZ and BW. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by Project of National Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20161225), the BoXi cultivation program (BXQN202130) and the Project of Youth Foundation in Science and Education of Department of Public Health of Suzhou (KJXW2018001).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Dekker E, Tanis PJ, Vleugels JLA, Kasi PM, Wallace MB. Colorectal cancer. Lancet (2019) 394:1467–80. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32319-0

2. Joosten SPJ, Mizutani T, Spaargaren M, Clevers H, Pals ST. MET signaling overcomes epidermal growth factor receptor inhibition in normal and colorectal cancer stem cells causing drug resistance. *Gastroenterology* (2019) 157:1153–1155 e1. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2019.06.029

3. Vasan N, Baselga J, Hyman DM. A view on drug resistance in cancer. *Nature* (2019) 575:299–309. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1730-1

4. Ji H, Wang J, Guo J, Li Y, Lian S, Guo W, et al. Progress in the biological function of alpha-enolase. *Anim Nutr* (2016) 2:12-7. doi: 10.1016/j.aninu.2016.02.005

5. Li L, Lu H, Zhang X, Whiteway M, Wu H, Tan S, et al. Baicalein acts against candida albicans by targeting Eno1 and inhibiting glycolysis. *Microbiol Spectr* (2022) 10: e0208522. doi: 10.1128/spectrum.02085-22

6. Deng T, Shen P, Li A, Zhang Z, Yang H, Deng X, et al. CCDC65 as a new potential tumor suppressor induced by metformin inhibits activation of AKT1 *via* ubiquitination of ENO1 in gastric cancer. *Theranostics* (2021) 11:8112–28. doi: 10.7150/thno.54961

7. Principe M, Borgoni S, Cascione M, Chattaragada MS, Ferri-Borgogno S, Capello M, et al. Alpha-enolase (ENO1) controls alpha v/beta 3 integrin expression and regulates pancreatic cancer adhesion, invasion, and metastasis. *J Hematol Oncol* (2017) 10:16. doi: 10.1186/s13045-016-0385-8

8. Lee JY, Kang MJ, Choi JY, Park JS, Park JK, Lee EY, et al. Apolipoprotein b binds to enolase-1 and aggravates inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* (2018) 77:1480–9. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-213444

9. Almaguel FA, Sanchez TW, Ortiz-Hernandez GL, Casiano CA. Alphaenolase: Emerging tumor-associated antigen, cancer biomarker, and oncotherapeutic target. *Front Genet* (2020) 11:614726. doi: 10.3389/ fgene.2020.614726 10. Chang YS, Wu W, Walsh G, Hong WK, Mao L. Enolase-alpha is frequently down-regulated in non-small cell lung cancer and predicts aggressive biological behavior. *Clin Cancer Res* (2003) 9:3641–4.

11. Yang T, Shu X, Zhang HW, Sun LX, Yu L, Liu J, et al. Enolase 1 regulates stem cell-like properties in gastric cancer cells by stimulating glycolysis. *Cell Death Dis* (2020) 11:870. doi: 10.1038/s41419-020-03087-4

12. Crunkhorn S. Inhibiting enolase eradicates tumours. Nat Rev Drug Discovery (2021) 20:20. doi: 10.1038/d41573-020-00210-0

13. Tu SH, Chang CC, Chen CS, Tam KW, Wang YJ, Lee CH, et al. Increased expression of enolase alpha in human breast cancer confers tamoxifen resistance in human breast cancer cells. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2010) 121:539–53. doi: 10.1007/s10549-009-0492-0

14. Wang L, Bi R, Yin H, Liu H, Li L. ENO1 silencing impaires hypoxia-induced gemcitabine chemoresistance associated with redox modulation in pancreatic cancer cells. *Am J Transl Res* (2019) 11:4470–80.

15. Brabletz T, Kalluri R, Nieto MA, Weinberg RA. EMT in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer (2018) 18:128–34. doi: 10.1038/nrc.2017.118

16. Lund K, Olsen CE, Wong JJW, Olsen PA, Solberg NT, Hogset A, et al. 5-FU resistant EMT-like pancreatic cancer cells are hypersensitive to photochemical internalization of the novel endoglin-targeting immunotoxin CD105-saporin. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* (2017) 36:187. doi: 10.1186/s13046-017-0662-6

17. Lu W, Kang Y. Epithelial-mesenchymal plasticity in cancer progression and metastasis. *Dev Cell* (2019) 49:361–74. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2019.04.010

 Colangelo T, Carbone A, Mazzarelli F, Cuttano R, Dama E, Nittoli T, et al. Loss of circadian gene timeless induces EMT and tumor progression in colorectal cancer via Zeb1-dependent mechanism. Cell Death Differ (2022). 29:1552–68. doi: 10.1038/s41418-022-00935-y

19. Haerinck J, Berx G. Partial EMT takes the lead in cancer metastasis. *Dev Cell* (2021) 56:3174–6. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2021.11.012

20. Shibue T, Weinberg RA. EMT, CSCs, and drug resistance: the mechanistic link and clinical implications. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2017) 14:611–29. doi: 10.1038/ nrclinonc.2017.44

21. Xie P, Yuan FQ, Huang MS, Zhang W, Zhou HH, Li X, et al. DCBLD2 affects the development of colorectal cancer via EMT and angiogenesis and modulates 5-FU drug resistance. *Front Cell Dev Biol* (2021) 9:669285. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.669285

22. Zhou D, Jiang L, Jin L, Yao Y, Wang P, Zhu X. Glucose transporter-1 cooperating with AKT signaling promote gastric cancer progression. *Cancer Manag Res* (2020) 12:4151-60. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S251596

23. Nilsson MB, Sun H, Robichaux J, Pfeifer M, McDermott U, Travers J, et al. A YAP/FOXM1 axis mediates EMT-associated EGFR inhibitor resistance and increased expression of spindle assembly checkpoint components. *Sci Transl Med* (2020) 12:eaaz4589. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaz4589

24. Yao Y, Wang X, Zhou D, Li H, Qian H, Zhang J, et al. Loss of AKR1B10 promotes colorectal cancer cells proliferation and migration *via* regulating FGF1-dependent pathway. *Aging (Albany NY)* (2020) 12:13059–75. doi: 10.18632/aging.103393

25. Sun L, Yang X, Huang X, Yao Y, Wei X, Yang S, et al. 2-hydroxylation of fatty acids represses colorectal tumorigenesis and metastasis *via* the YAP transcriptional axis. *Cancer Res* (2021) 81:289–302. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-20-1517

26. Quintanal-Villalonga A, Chan JM, Yu HA, Pe'er D, Sawyers CL, Sen T, et al. Lineage plasticity in cancer: a shared pathway of therapeutic resistance. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2020) 17:360–71. doi: 10.1038/s41571-020-0340-z

27. Li HJ, Ke FY, Lin CC, Lu MY, Kuo YH, Wang YP, et al. ENO1 promotes lung cancer metastasis *via* HGFR and WNT signaling-driven epithelial-to-Mesenchymal transition. *Cancer Res* (2021) 81:4094–109. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-20-3543

28. Gou Y, Li F, Huo X, Hao C, Yang X, Pei Y, et al. ENO1 monoclonal antibody inhibits invasion, proliferation and clone formation of cervical cancer cells. *Am J Cancer Res* (2021) 11:1946–61.

29. Lin YH, Satani N, Hammoudi N, Yan VC, Barekatain Y, Khadka S, et al. An enolase inhibitor for the targeted treatment of ENO1-deleted cancers. *Nat Metab* (2020) 2:1413–26. doi: 10.1038/s42255-020-00313-3

30. Ou B, Liu Y, Yang X, Xu X, Yan Y, Zhang J. C5aR1-positive neutrophils promote breast cancer glycolysis through WTAP-dependent m6A methylation of ENO1. *Cell Death Dis* (2021) 12:737. doi: 10.1038/s41419-021-04028-5

31. Hong J, Guo F, Lu SY, Shen C, Ma D, Zhang X, et al. F. nucleatum targets lncRNA ENO1-IT1 to promote glycolysis and oncogenesis in colorectal cancer. *Gut* (2021) 70:2123–37. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2020-322780

32. Cappello P, Rolla S, Chiarle R, Principe M, Cavallo F, Perconti G, et al. Vaccination with ENO1 DNA prolongs survival of genetically engineered mice with pancreatic cancer. *Gastroenterology* (2013) 144:1098–106. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2013.01.020

33. Marine JC, Dawson SJ, Dawson MA. Non-genetic mechanisms of therapeutic resistance in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2020) 20:743–56. doi: 10.1038/ s41568-020-00302-4

34. Cho YH, Ro EJ, Yoon JS, Mizutani T, Kang DW, Park JC, et al. 5-FU promotes stemness of colorectal cancer *via* p53-mediated WNT/beta-catenin pathway activation. *Nat Commun* (2020) 11:5321. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-19173-2

35. Luo D, Zhang Y, Yang S, Tian X, Lv Y, Guo Z, et al. Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor 2 antagonists as potent 5-FU-resistance reversal agents for the treatment of colorectal cancer. *Eur J Med Chem* (2021) 225:113775. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2021.113775

36. Chen S, Yue T, Huang Z, Zhu J, Bu D, Wang X, et al. Inhibition of hydrogen sulfide synthesis reverses acquired resistance to 5-FU through miR-215-5p-EREG/ TYMS axis in colon cancer cells. *Cancer Lett* (2019) 466:49–60. doi: 10.1016/ j.canlet.2019.09.006

37. Selga E, Morales C, Noe V, Peinado MA, Ciudad CJ. Role of caveolin 1, ecadherin, enolase 2 and PKCalpha on resistance to methotrexate in human HT29 colon cancer cells. *BMC Med Genomics* (2008) 1:35. doi: 10.1186/1755-8794-1-35

38. Pajak B, Siwiak E, Soltyka M, Priebe A, Zielinski R, Fokt I, et al. 2-Deoxy-d-Glucose and its analogs: From diagnostic to therapeutic agents. *Int J Mol Sci* (2019) 21:234. doi: 10.3390/ijms21010234

39. Berthe A, Zaffino M, Muller C, Foulquier F, Houdou M, Schulz C, et al. Protein n-glycosylation alteration and glycolysis inhibition both contribute to the antiproliferative action of 2-deoxyglucose in breast cancer cells. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2018) 171:581–91. doi: 10.1007/s10549-018-4874-z

40. Singaravelu I, Spitz H, Mahoney M, Dong Z, Kotagiri N. Antiandrogen therapy radiosensitizes androgen receptor-positive cancers to (18)F-FDG. J Nucl Med (2022) 63:1177-83. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.121.262958

41. Weadick B, Nayak D, Persaud AK, Hung SW, Raj R, Campbell MJ, et al. EMT-induced gemcitabine resistance in pancreatic cancer involves the functional loss of equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1. *Mol Cancer Ther* (2021) 20:410–22. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-20-0316

42. Raoof S, Mulford IJ, Frisco-Cabanos H, Nangia V, Timonina D, Labrot E, et al. Targeting FGFR overcomes EMT-mediated resistance in EGFR mutant nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Oncogene* (2019) 38:6399–413. doi: 10.1038/s41388-019-0887-2

43. Peindl M, Gottlich C, Crouch S, Hoff N, Luttgens T, Schmitt F, et al. EMT, stemness, and drug resistance in biological context: A 3D tumor Tissue/In silico platform for analysis of combinatorial treatment in NSCLC with aggressive KRASbiomarker signatures. *Cancers (Basel)* (2022) 14:2176. doi: 10.3390/ cancers14092176

44. Wang L, Saci A, Szabo PM, Chasalow SD, Castillo-Martin M, Domingo-Domenech J, et al. EMT- and stroma-related gene expression and resistance to PD-1 blockade in urothelial cancer. *Nat Commun* (2018) 9:3503. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-05992-x

45. Fiori ME, Di Franco S, Villanova L, Bianca P, Stassi G, De Maria R. Cancerassociated fibroblasts as abettors of tumor progression at the crossroads of EMT and therapy resistance. *Mol Cancer* (2019) 18:70. doi: 10.1186/s12943-019-0994-2

46. Li H, Perino A, Huang Q, Von Alvensleben GVG, Banaei-Esfahani A, Velazquez-Villegas LA, et al. Integrative systems analysis identifies genetic and dietary modulators of bile acid homeostasis. *Cell Metab* (2022). 34:1594–610. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2022.08.015

47. Song Q, Wang H, Bao J, Pullikuth AK, Li KC, Miller LD, et al. Systems biology approach to studying proliferation-dependent prognostic subnetworks in breast cancer. *Sci Rep* (2015) 5:12981. doi: 10.1038/srep12981

48. Miao Z, Humphreys BD, McMahon AP, Kim J. Multi-omics integration in the age of million single-cell data. *Nat Rev Nephrol* (2021) 17:710–24. doi: 10.1038/s41581-021-00463-x