



General commentary to the “Management of biochemical recurrence after primary localized therapy for prostate cancer” by Darwish O. M. and Raj G. V.

Mark Shilkrut, Felix Feng, Daniel A. Hamstra*

Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Michigan Health System, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

*Correspondence: dhamm@med.umich.edu

Edited by:

Gennady Bratslavsky, SUNY Upstate Medical University, USA

A commentary on

Management of biochemical recurrence after primary localized therapy for prostate cancer

by Darwish O. M., and Raj G. V. (2012). *Front. Oncol.* 2:48 doi: 10.3389/fonc.2012.00048

TO THE EDITOR: In a recently published review on management of patients with biochemical failure (BF) following primary definitive therapy for localized prostate cancer (PCa), Darwish and Raj (2012) stated that following radical prostatectomy (RP) patients who are at high risk for recurrence after radiation therapy (RT), based upon a salvage nomogram, should be spared from this treatment modality justifying it by a range of toxicities it causes. Additionally, in the algorithm for management of BF after primary therapy they suggested that patients with a short prostate-specific antigen (PSA) doubling time (PSA-DT) and PSA > 10 ng/mL should not be offered salvage RT at all. Do these recommendations provide the optimal use of salvage RT following RP? Unfortunately, we feel that they do not.

While we agree with the authors that patients with higher PSA at the time of radiation (RT), short PSA-DT, high Gleason score, and other “bad” prognostic characteristics do generally worse than patients without those features, it does not mean that salvage therapy should categorically not be discussed and potentially offered to patients at high risk of recurrence. As a matter of fact, in the study that was cited in the manuscript (Stephenson et al., 2007), select patients with some “bad” prognostic features did remarkably well after salvage

RT. The 6-year progression-free estimate in patients with PSA-DT ≤ 10 months and GS 8–10 with low PSA was 41%. When looking at PSA-DT, in particular those with the shortest doubling time may be at the greatest risk for PCa-specific mortality (PCSM). Therefore, although salvage RT may be less likely to prevent recurrence in this group in the ones who are salvaged with RT the benefit may be profound. This is supported by the analysis from Trock et al. (2008) who found, in a retrospective analysis of 635 patients with BF after RP, that there was an increase in PCa-specific survival after salvage RT in patients with short PSA-DT ≤ 6 months only and not in those with a longer PSA-DT. In a similar retrospective analysis of 432 patients with BF after RP, the beneficial effect of salvage RT on all-cause survival was more prominent in patients with PSA-DT ≤ 6 months than in patients with PSA-DT > 6 months, with adjusted hazard ratios of 0.35 (95% CI 0.17–0.72, $p = 0.042$) and 0.6 (95% CI 0.37–0.98, $p = 0.04$), respectively (Cotter et al., 2011). All these data suggest that salvage RT is effective and local control is still important in many patients with short PSA-DT, and PSA-DT should not be used to select patients that will not receive RT. As a result the suggestion by Darwish and Raj to avoid salvage RT in these patients would potentially deprive those with the greatest relative benefit in regard to PCSM and overall survival from receiving this therapy.

Similarly, we disagree with the authors that “every salvage local therapy...for patients with BF is associated with a significant risk of complications...” Late complications after adjuvant or salvage RT are well documented from prospective randomized

trials and from retrospective series. The rate of severe side-effects ranges from low (all grade 3–4, ≤5%; Bolla et al., 2005; Pearse et al., 2008) to very low (<1%; Feng et al., 2007; Wiegel et al., 2009) and should not preclude caretakers from offering this life-saving treatment to their patients. While every treatment decision should be based on patient’s preferences and informed consent, one should remember how little benefit from toxic antineoplastic therapies some patients will accept, when it is their only chance for cure. When considering adjuvant chemotherapy for early breast cancer (with less impressive HR for all-cause mortality (Early Breast Cancer Trialists’ Collaborative Group, 2005), and whose toxicities include death, life-threatening infections, neuropathy, cardiomyopathy, secondary cancers, infertility etc., a 1%-improvement in the chance of cure, or additional life expectancy of 6 months were found to be sufficient by 50% of women to decide that adjuvant chemotherapy was worthwhile (Duric and Stockler, 2001). For a man with a rising PSA after RP the use of salvage RT is the only potentially curative therapy, it can be delivered with a low risk of long-term toxicities, and should be discussed with patients as part of multidisciplinary management.

Further, we would support enrollment of these men on a number of key clinical trials now being evaluated in this setting which aim to further refine the impact of the timing of RT, the use, and duration of androgen deprivation therapy, and the use of pelvic RT in this critical patient population (RADICALS; RTOG-0534). However, we would not, as the authors suggest, recommend that these patients be recommended

to go directly to systemic therapy due to a misconception about both the potential benefits and likely harms of salvage RT.

REFERENCES

- Bolla, M., van Poppel, H., Collette, L., van Cangh, P., Vekemans, K., Da Pozzo, L., de Reijke, T. M., Verbaeys, A., Bosset, J. F., van Velthoven, R., Maréchal, J. M., Scalliet, P., Haustermans, K., and Piérart, M. (2005). Postoperative radiotherapy after radical prostatectomy: a randomised controlled trial (EORTC trial 22911). *Lancet* 366, 572–578.
- Cotter, S. E., Chen, M. H., Moul, J. W., Lee, W. R., Koontz, B. F., Anscher, M. S., Robertson, C. N., Walther, P. J., Polascik, T. J., and D'Amico, A. V. (2011). Salvage radiation in men after prostate-specific antigen failure and the risk of death. *Cancer* 117, 3925–3932.
- Darwish, O. M., and Raj, G. V. (2012). Management of biochemical recurrence after primary localized therapy for prostate cancer. *Front. Oncol.* 2:48 doi: 10.3389/fonc.2012.00048
- Duric, V., and Stockler, M. (2001). Patients' preferences for adjuvant chemotherapy in early breast cancer: a review of what makes it worthwhile? *Lancet Oncol.* 2, 691–697.
- Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group. (2005). Effects of chemotherapy and hormonal therapy for early breast cancer on recurrence and 15-year survival: an overview of the randomised trials. *Lancet* 365, 1687–1717.
- Feng, M., Hanlon, A. L., Pisansky, T. M., Kuban, D., Catton, C. N., Michalski, J. M., Zelefsky, M. J., Kupelian, P. A., Pollack, A., Kestin, L. L., Valicenti, R. K., DeWeese, T. L., and Sandler, H. M. (2007). Predictive factors for late genitourinary and gastrointestinal toxicity in patients with prostate cancer treated with adjuvant or salvage radiotherapy. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 68, 1417–1423.
- Pearse, M., Choo, R., Danjoux, C., Gardner, S., Morton, G., Szumacher, E., Loblaw, A., and Cheung, P. (2008). Prospective assessment of gastrointestinal and genitourinary toxicity of salvage radiotherapy for patients with prostate-specific antigen relapse or local recurrence after radical prostatectomy. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 72, 792–798.
- RADICALS. (2012). *Radiotherapy and Androgen Deprivation in Combination after Local Surgery*. Available at: <http://www.radicals-trial.org> (accessed August 30, 2012).
- RTOG-0534. (2012). *Prostate Radiation Therapy or Short-Term Androgen Deprivation Therapy and Pelvic Lymph Node Radiation Therapy with or without Prostate Radiation Therapy in Treating Patients With a Rising PSA after Surgery for Prostate Cancer*. Available at: <http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT00567580> (accessed August 23, 2012).
- Stephenson, A. J., Scardino, P. T., Kattan, M. W., Pisansky, T. M., Slawin, K. M., Klein, E. A., Anscher, M. S., Michalski, J. M., Sandler, H. M., Lin, D. W., Forman, J. D., Zelefsky, M. J., Kestin, L. L., Roehrborn, C. G., Catton, C. N., DeWeese, T. L., Liauw, S. L., Valicenti, R. K., Kuban, D. A., and Pollack, A. (2007). Predicting the outcome of salvage radiation therapy for recurrent prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 25, 2035–2041.
- Trock, B. J., Han, M., Freedland, S. J., Humphreys, E. B., DeWeese, T. L., Partin, A. W., and Walsh, P. C. (2008). Prostate cancer-specific survival following salvage radiotherapy vs observation in men with biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy. *JAMA* 299, 2790–2799.
- Wiegel, T., Bottke, D., Steiner, U., Siegmann, A., Golz, R., Störkel, S., Willich, N., Semjonow, A., Souchon, R., Stöckle, M., Rube, C., Weissbach, L., Althaus, P., Rebmann, U., Kälble, T., Feldmann, H. J., Wirth, M., Hinke, A., Hinkelbein, W., and Miller, K. (2009). Phase III postoperative adjuvant radiotherapy after radical prostatectomy compared with radical prostatectomy alone in pT3 prostate cancer with postoperative undetectable prostate-specific antigen: ARO96-02/AUO AP 09/95. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 27, 2924–2930.

Received: 10 September 2012; accepted: 11 September 2012; published online: 27 September 2012.

Citation: Shilkrut M, Feng F and Hamstra DA (2012) General commentary to the “Management of biochemical recurrence after primary localized therapy for prostate cancer” by Darwish O. M. and Raj G. V. *Front. Oncol.* 2:126. doi:10.3389/fonc.2012.00126

This article was submitted to *Frontiers in Genitourinary Oncology*, a specialty of *Frontiers in Oncology*.

Copyright © 2012 Shilkrut, Feng and Hamstra. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited and subject to any copyright notices concerning any third-party graphics etc.