Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ioannis Zabetakis, University of Limerick, Ireland

REVIEWED BY Camelia Munteanu, University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Cluj-Napoca, Romania Muniyappan Madesh, Yangzhou University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Fen Rong ⊠ rf97328@163.com Xiulis Sun ⊠ sxiulis@163.com Xinhua Zhao ⊠ zhxh311@126.com

RECEIVED 27 September 2024 ACCEPTED 05 November 2024 PUBLISHED 22 November 2024

CITATION

Rong F, Mai Y, Shou L, Zhu X, Li M, Zhu L, Sun X and Zhao X (2024) Analysis of the association between non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and mortality in United States adults. *Front. Nutr.* 11:1502671. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1502671

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Rong, Mai, Shou, Zhu, Li, Zhu, Sun and Zhao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Analysis of the association between non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and mortality in United States adults

Fen Rong^{1*}, Yiyi Mai¹, Lujia Shou², Xinya Zhu¹, Meiyue Li¹, Liuchen Zhu¹, Xiuli Sun^{3*} and Xinhua Zhao^{2*}

¹School of Public Health, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, China, ²School of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, China, ³Library of Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shanghai, China

Background: Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD) is a prevalent condition characterized by the accumulation of fat in the liver, often linked with increased risk for multi-systemic diseases. This study aims to investigate the relationship between NAFLD and mortality, particularly all-cause and cardiovascular mortality, among United States adults.

Methods: Data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) were utilized, encompassing 80,312 participants from 2003–2004 to 2017–2018. After exclusions for incomplete data, potential other liver diseases, and significant alcohol consumption, the final analytical cohort included 32,698 participants. The Fatty Liver Index (FLI), a non-invasive diagnostic tool, was used to identify NAFLD. Covariates included demographic characteristics, lifestyle factors, and biochemical parameters. Survival analysis was conducted using a weighted Cox proportional hazards regression model to quantify the impact of NAFLD on mortality.

Results: The study revealed that NAFLD was significantly associated with increased risks of all-cause and cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality. The hazard ratios (HRs) from the survival analysis consistently indicated a higher risk among participants with NAFLD compared to those without. Subgroup analyses further confirmed the association, with notable exceptions in certain subgroups such as those with high school education and diabetes. Additionally, a nonlinear relationship between serum uric acid (SUA) levels and mortality risk was identified among NAFLD participants.

Conclusion: Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease is a significant risk factor for allcause and CVD mortality in US adults. The findings underscore the importance of early detection and intervention for NAFLD to mitigate its impact on public health. Further research is needed to explore the complex interactions between NAFLD, SUA levels, and mortality, particularly in high-risk subgroups.

KEYWORDS

non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, all-cause mortality, CVD mortality, fatty liver index, serum uric acid

1 Introduction

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) which is typically diagnosed by liver biopsy is defined as a degree of steatosis of the liver in the absence of excessive alcohol consumption and other known causes (1, 2) and has a global prevalence increasing from 25.5% in or before 2005 to 37.8 in 2016. The prevalence is higher in the United States, reaching 47.8%. The harm of NAFLD is not only limited to liver-related morbidity and mortality, but also a link to the increased risk of multisystem disease (3–5). If not managed properly, NAFLD could be a progressive disease that leads to serious liver fibrosis, cirrhosis, even liver cancer. There is a strong association demonstrated between NAFLD and the elevated risk of developing type 2 diabetes, obesity, sex, cardiovascular diseases and chronic kidney disease (6–8). Therefore, NAFLD has emerged as a significant global public health problem bringing us heavy clinical and economic burden calling for our great concern (9, 10).

Plenty of studies showed NAFLD was closely associated with the increased all-cause mortality, fatal or non-fatal cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) events (11, 12). In the United States, the age-standardized mortality in the participants with NAFLD has been increasing at an annual rate of 7.8% over the past decade and it is projected to reach 1.83 million deaths related to NAFLD annually by 2030 (13). Among the population with NAFLD, CVDs is identified as the second leading specific cause of death after cirrhosis (14). NAFLD is also associated with a broad range of cardiovascular symptoms including subclinical atherosclerosis, atrial fibrillation, cardiac conduction defects, aortic-valve sclerosis and left ventricular diastolic dysfunction, etc. (15, 16). However, the heterogeneity of NAFLD risks across various demographic factors, dietary habits, and metabolic status and whether NAFLD is an independent risk factor for CVDs is still under debate (17-19). This study aims to delve into the relationship between NAFLD and all-cause and CVD mortality in United States adults.

Yusha (20) and Jie pan (21) conducted analyses on the association between NAFLD and mortality, but notably omitted some key risk factors, e.g., daily eating habit and physical activity. Furthermore, they did not specifically focus on the subpopulation diagnosed with NAFLD complicated by elevated serum uric acid (SUA) levels, which have been demonstrated closely associated with increased risk of all-cause and CVDs mortality in overall population (22, 23). A nonlinear dose–response curve was reported to reveal this association (24, 25). In this study, we also conducted a similar analysis to investigate the association between SUA and mortality in NAFLD participants.

2 Methods

2.1 Data source

The analysis used the database of National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) which is a program of studies conducted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). It is designed to assess the health and nutritional status of adults and children in the United States. The database consists of data collected from interviews and physical examinations and is open to the public (26). In our analysis, we focused on two key mortality outcomes: all-cause mortality defined as the deaths from any cause, and CVD mortality specifically referring to the deaths caused by heart disease and cerebrovascular disease (27).

2.2 Study participants

We included 80,312 participants in total from 8 cycles of survey (2003–2004 to 2017–2018) of NHANES. According to the adult age criteria utilized in other studies (28), we only included the participants of 20 years old and above (n = 44,790). In consideration of the data integrity, 6,160 participants were excluded due to missing data on the calculation of Fatty Liver Index (FLI) and 118 participants were excluded due to missing data on mortality status. To further eliminate the liver diseases potentially caused by viral hepatitis and alcohol consumption, 229 with HBV positive, 638 with HCV positive and 4,947 participants with significant alcohol consumption were also excluded. Finally, there were 32,698 participants eligible for our analysis. More details about the participants screening process are shown in Figure 1.

2.3 Diagnosis of NAFLD

Although as the gold standard of NAFLD diagnosis (29), liver biopsy is not widely used in the first-line screening due to its invasive nature (30). Numerous non-invasive methods, e.g., serum marker and ultrasound are introduced to screen NAFLD. As an algorithm, fatty liver index has been in use in practice for over 17 years and demonstrated the accuracy comparable with other diagnostic methods (31, 32).

Fatty liver index was calculated as following formula:

$$\begin{split} FLI &= \\ \begin{pmatrix} e^{0.953 \times Ln(TG)} + 0.139 \times BMI + 0.718 \times \\ Ln(GGT) + 0.053 \times WC - 15.745 \end{pmatrix} \div \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 + e^{0.953 \times Ln(TG)} + 0.139 \times BMI + 0.718 \times \\ Ln(GGT) + 0.053 \times WC - 15.745 \end{pmatrix} \times 100 \end{split}$$

Where TG (mg/dL), GGT (U/L), BMI (kg/m²), and WC (cm) stand for triglycerides, gamma-glutamyl transferase, body mass index and waist circumference, respectively.

The FLI values (33, 34) ranged from 0 to 100 and were categorized into three levels: <30 no steatosis, 30–59 in intermediate state and \geq 60 with hepatic steatosis (34, 35). Therefore, in our analysis, it was diagnosed as NAFLD when FLI \geq 30 if other known causes of liver diseases could be ruled out (36).

2.4 Data source of mortality status

The information of follow-up and mortality could be obtained from the Public-Use Linked Mortality Liles where the data through



December 31, 2019 linked to the participants in NHANES. By referring to the codes of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, we were able to figure out the cause of death.

2.5 Selected covariates

Numerous studies have underscored the relationship between NAFLD and mortality, with this association often being influenced by some demographic characteristics. Therefore, to further explore the potential association, some covariates were identified and included in our analysis.

The covariates included age (years: \leq 39, 40–59, \geq 60), sex, race and ethnicity (Mexican American, non-Hispanic Black, non-Hispanic White, and other including other Hispanic, other non-Hispanic, and

non-Hispanic multiple races), education level (<High school, High school and Some college or above), smoking status, daily eating frequency (DEF) which was measured by the frequency of eating occasion during day 1 and day 2 (37), Body mass index (BMI: <30.0, \geq 30.0), diabetes, hypertension, physical activity measured by Metabolic equivalent (MET) intensity score (VLPA: <150 MET-min/week, LPA: 150–960 MET-min/week, MPA: 961–1800 MET-min/week and HPA: >1800 MET-min/week), alanine aminotransferase (ALT in U/L), aspartate aminotransferase (AST in U/L), high-density lipoprotein (HDL in mmol/L) and low-density lipoprotein (LDL in mmol/L).

2.6 Statistical analysis

In this study, we aimed to explore the long-term increased risk associated with NAFLD by performing a survival analysis on

NHANES data during 2003–2018. The effect size was measured using hazard ratio (HR) by comparing those with NAFLD to those without.

According to the official guidance by NHANES, survey weights were utilized in NHANES to account for the complex survey design, including oversampling, survey non-response and post-stratification adjustment (38). To combine two or more 2-year cycles of the continuous NHANES data, the appropriate weights should be constructed to ensure the estimates accurately represented each survey cycle.

For demographic characteristics, the summary for categorical variables was presented by frequency with percentage and medians with interquartile range (IQR) for continuous variables given their non-normal distribution. The comparison between NAFLD and Non-NAFLD was performed by using rank sum test, e.g., Kruskal-Wallis rank test for continuous variables and Chi-square test for categorical variables, with corresponding p values reported. In the survival analysis, we applied weighted Cox proportional hazards regression model to examine the link between NAFLD and all-cause mortality and CVDs mortality. The results were presented as HR with 95% Cis and Kaplan–Meier survival curves. Additionally, forest plots were also presented to detect the heterogeneity of this association across various demographic characteristics.

To detect the dose–response relationship between SUA and all-cause and CVDs mortality, a restricted cubic spline with four knots was employed. To ensure the robustness of our outcomes, the sensitivity analyses were also conducted: (1) only considered the participants with hepatic steatosis (FLI \geq 60) as NAFLD to perform survival analysis; (2) excluded the participants who died within the first 2 years of follow-up to exclude the potential mortality by competing risk, e.g., cancer, etc.

Multiple imputation method was applied to impute the covariates with missing values. All statistical analyses were conducted by using R software (version 4.3.2). The statistical significance was indicated by two-sided p value less than 0.05.

3 Results

3.1 Baseline characteristics of study participants

In this study, a total of 32,698 participants consisting of 2,752 diagnosed with NAFLD and 29,946 without NAFLD were included. The comparison of baseline characteristics between NAFLD and Non-NAFLD (Table 1) showed that a higher percentage of 40–59 age, male, race of Black and White, education level at high school, former or current smokers, \leq 3.5 and 3.6–4.9 of daily eating frequency, BMI \geq 30.0, hypertension in NAFLD group compared with Non-NAFLD. The values of ALT, AST, HDL and Serum uric acid of NAFLD were significantly larger than Non-NAFLD.

3.2 Association between FLI and mortality

Based on the three categories by FLI values, the HRs of intermediate state and hepatic steatosis were estimated with no steatosis as the reference level from model 1 to model 3 shown in Table 2. The nonlinear association was found between FLI and all-cause mortality and CVDs mortality in Figure 2. The risk of all-cause mortality was increasing with larger FLI values while the risk of CVDs mortality was the lowest around 30 of FLI and increasing as less or larger FLI.

3.3 Association between NAFLD and mortality

The comparable mortality rates were noted between the participants of NAFLD and Non-NAFLD. Specifically, the rates of all-cause mortality were 11.3% vs. 11.7% and the rates of CVDs mortality were 3.96% vs. 3.75%, respectively.

The survival analysis was conducted using a weighted Cox proportional hazards regression model. Model 1 was a crude model. In model 2 and 3, except the variable indicating NAFLD or not, for multivariable adjustment, age and sex were included in model 2 and all the significant variables including age, sex, race and ethnicity, education level, smoking status, daily eating frequency, BMI, diabetes, hypertension, serum uric acid group, ALT, AST, HDL, and serum uric acid value were included in model 3. In terms of all-cause mortality, all the HRs of model 1 to model 3 were consistently larger than 1 with statistical significance indicating increased risk in the participants with NAFLD compared to Non-NAFLD. The trend was mirrored in the analysis of CVDs mortality, suggesting NAFLD was associated with an elevated risk of dying from all-cause and cardiovascular causes detailed in Table 3 (39).

The Kaplan–Meier curves derived from model 1 are depicted in Figure 3. Notably, the curve of CVDs mortality appeared flatter than all-cause mortality due to fewer events cumulated during the follow-up.

3.4 Subgroup analyses of the association between NAFLD and mortality

To further detect any variability in the impact of NAFLD on mortality based on different baseline characteristics (40, 41), the subgroup analyses were performed on all-cause mortality and CVDs mortality, respectively, by including the risk factors of sex, age, race and ethnicity, education level, daily eating frequency, BMI, hypertension, physical activity, serum uric acid with 3 and 4 tertiles as illustrated in Figure 4, 5.

Regarding the endpoint of all-cause mortality, the statistical significance indicating NAFLD as a risk factor of mortality was observed among the subpopulation of female, aged during 40–59, other race, education level of college or above, 5 or more of daily eating frequence, non-hypertension, physical activity of MPA and HPA. An interesting finding was that as the serum uric acid levels increased, the risk of mortality reached the bottom at tertile 2 before rising again, suggesting a need for further investigation into the relationship. It is also worth noting that in the participants with diabetes or hypertension, HRs were less than 1, although without statistical significance. It might be attributed to its complicated mix of mortality causes and excess mortality irrelated to CVDs occurring in Non-NAFLD group.

For CVDs mortality, with the exception of high school of education level, daily eating frequency (\leq 3.5) and physical activity (VLPA), most HRs supported the trend or conclusion that NAFLD elevated the risk of mortality. Especially among the participants of diabetes and hypertension, the results were consistent among all the sub-levels.

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of study participants.

	Total	NAFLD	Non-NAFLD	<i>p</i> value
Participants, No.	32,698	2,752	29,946	
Age, years (%)				<0.001
≤ 39	11,086 (33.9)	827 (30.1)	10,259 (34.3)	
40-59	10,266 (31.4)	1,136 (41.3)	9,130 (30.5)	
≥60	11,346 (34.7)	789 (28.6)	10,557 (35.2)	
Sex (%)				0.02
Male	15,193 (46.5)	1,336 (48.5)	13,857 (46.3)	
Female	17,505 (53.5)	1,416 (51.5)	16,089 (53.7)	
Race and ethnicity				<0.001
Mexican American	5,598 (17.1)	462 (16.8)	5,136 (17.2)	
Non-Hispanic Black	6,575 (20.1)	637 (23.1)	5,938 (19.8)	
Non-Hispanic White	13,953 (42.7)	1,295 (47.1)	12,658 (42.3)	
Other	6,572 (20.1)	358 (13.0)	6,214 (20.7)	
Education level				0.002
<high school<="" td=""><td>8,476 (25.9)</td><td>661 (24.1)</td><td>7,815 (26.1)</td><td></td></high>	8,476 (25.9)	661 (24.1)	7,815 (26.1)	
High school	7,517 (23.0)	703 (25.5)	6,814 (22.8)	
Some college or above	16,705 (51.1)	1,388 (50.4)	15,317 (51.1)	
Smoking status				<0.001
Never	19,471 (59.5)	1,484 (53.9)	17,987 (60.1)	
Former	7,402 (22.6)	730 (26.5)	6,672 (22.3)	
Current	5,825 (17.9)	538 (19.6)	5,287 (17.6)	
BMI				<0.001
<30.0	20,101 (61.5)	12 (4)	20,089 (67.1)	
≥30.0	12,597 (38.5)	2,740 (99.6)	9,857 (32.9)	
Diabetes				<0.001
Yes	4,274 (13.1)	776 (28.2)	3,498 (11.7)	
No	28,424 (86.9)	1976 (71.8)	26,448 (88.3)	
Hypertension				<0.001
Yes	12,446 (38.1)	1,433 (52.1)	11,013 (36.8)	
No	20,252 (61.9)	1,319 (47.9)	18,933 (63.2)	
Physical activity				0.27
VLPA	987 (5.3)	68 (4.5)	919 (5.3)	
LPA	5,870 (31.3)	457 (30.1)	5,413 (31.4)	
MPA	3,053 (16.3)	259 (17.1)	2,794 (16.2)	
НРА	8,838 (47.1)	735 (48.3)	8,103 (47.1)	
ALT (U/L), median (IQR)	20 (16–27)	26 (19–38)	20 (15–26)	<0.001
AST (U/L), median (IQR)	22 (19–27)	24 (19–31)	22 (19–27)	<0.001
HDL (mmol/L), median (IQR)	1.29 (1.06–1.58)	1.09 (0.91–1.29)	1.32 (1.09–1.6)	<0.001
LDL (mmol/L), median (IQR)	2.90 (2.30-3.52)	2.92 (2.30-3.46)	2.90 (2.30-3.52)	0.90
Serum uric acid (mg/dL)	5.3 (4.2-6.2)	6.1 (5.2–7.2)	5.2 (4.3-6.1)	<0.001

3.5 Association between serum uric acid and mortality among the participants with NAFLD

To detect the dose-response relationship between SUA and all-cause and CVDs mortality among the participants with NAFLD,

we constructed three models by using restricted cubic spline depicted in Figure 6.

The median follow-up duration was 7.25 years with 310 all-cause mortality and 109 CVDs mortality recorded among the participants with NAFLD (42). The HRs and 95% CIs were listed in Table 4. Model 1 is a crude model, model 2 included age and sex as covariates and

TABLE 2 Association between FLI and all-cause mortality and CVD mortality.

Catagorias by EU	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3			
	HR (95%)	p	HR (95%)	p	HR (95%)	p		
All-cause mortality								
No steatosis	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.			
Intermediate state	1.25 (1.03, 1.52)	0.023	1.05 (0.91, 1,22)	0.486	1.14 (0.97, 1.33)	0.110		
Hepatic steatosis	1.41 (1.11, 1.79)	0.006	1.31 (1.10, 1.58)	0.003	1.33 (1.10, 1.61)	0.004		
CVD mortality								
No steatosis	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.			
Intermediate state	1.60 (1.16, 2.22)	0.004	1.55 (1.11, 2.14)	0.009	1.44 (1.01, 2.04)	0.044		
Hepatic steatosis	1.49 (0.93, 2.38)	0.094	1.79 (1.12, 2.88)	0.015	1.65 (1.00, 2.71)	0.049		

Model 1: Crude model; Model 2: age and sex included; Model 3: all the significant variables including age, sex, race and ethnicity, education level, smoking status, BMI, diabetes, hypertension, ALT, AST, HDL and serum uric acid value included.



Mortality	NAFLD n/N (%)	Non- NAFLD n/N (%)	Hazard ratio (95%)	p value			
All-cause mortalit	y						
Model 1	310/2752	3517/29946	1.31 (1.13, 1.53)	< 0.001			
Model 2	(11.3)	(11.7)	1.36 (1.16, 1.59)	< 0.001			
Model 3			1.40 (1.18, 1.66)	< 0.001			
CVD mortality							
Model 1	109/2752	1122/29946	1.51 (1.18, 1.95)	0.001			
Model 2	(3.96)	(3.75)	1.59 (1.24, 2.05)	< 0.001			
Model 3			1.52 (1.14, 2.01)	0.004			

Model 1: Crude model; Model 2: age and sex included; Model 3: all the significant variables including age, sex, race and ethnicity, education level, smoking status, BMI, diabetes, hypertension, ALT, AST, HDL, and serum uric acid value included.

model 3 included all significant covariates in Table 1. In model 3, an increase of 1 mg/dL in serum uric acid was associated with an 18% increased risk of all-cause mortality [HR (95%): 1.18 (1.07, 1.30)]. For CVDs mortality, while no significant results were observed across various serum uric acid levels relative to the reference level, an increment of 1 mg/dL in serum uric acid was associated with an 22% increased risk of CVDs mortality [HR (95%): 1.22 (1.03, 1.43)].

3.6 Sensitivity analyses

In the sensitivity analyses, we applied a more rigorous criterion to define NAFLD, i.e., identifying only those with hepatic steatosis (FLI \geq 60) as NAFLD. Survival analyses were then performed on model 1-3 with their corresponding 95% CIs presented in Table 5. Consistent with the results of Table 3, HRs were all greater than 1 with statistical significance, thereby reinforcing the conclusion that

TABLE 3 Hazard ratios of NAFLD vs. Non-NAFLD for all-cause mortality

and CVD mortality.



NAFLD was a risk factor for both all-cause mortality and CVDs mortality.

Furthermore, to mitigate the impact of potential mortality due to competitive risks, the participants who died within the first 2 years of follow-up were exclude from the analysis. The resulting HRs and 95% CIs were detailed in Table 6. The results remained consistent with the primary analysis and NAFLD demonstrated a substantial risk factor for all-cause mortality and CVDs mortality.

4 Discussion

In this large prospective cohort study, NAFLD has been established as a factor associated with increased risk of long-term all-cause and CVDs mortality compared to individuals without NAFLD. After adjusting for a spectrum of relevant covariates including age, sex, race and ethnicity, education level, smoking status, daily eating frequency, BMI, diabetes, hypertension, serum uric acid group, ALT, AST, HDL, and serum uric acid, the substantial association was solidified, providing compelling new evidence for the growing concern surrounding NAFLD.

For the diagnosis of NAFLD, except imaging techniques such as abdominal CT, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and liver ultrasonography, a range of non-invasive algorithms were introduced into medical practice (43). The FLI was the one of indexes easily calculated and validated for diagnosis and identifying potential population with NAFLD of future attention (44, 45). Several studies have reported a high degree of concordance between NAFLD diagnosis using FLI and those determined by non-invasive diagnostic markers both biochemical and imaging based. Notably, the agreement was heightened when the intermediate value of FLI, i.e., 30–59 were excluded. Our study revealed the critical finding that hepatic steatosis corresponding to an FLI of 60 or higher was an independent risk factor of all-cause and CVDs mortality. Based on this finding, we conducted a sensitivity survival analysis considering only FLI of 60 or above as indictive of NAFLD and the consistent results were observed. Considering FLI was derived from the variables of triglycerides, gamma-glutamyl transferase, body mass index and waist circumference routinely collected in NHANES, the use of FLI for diagnosis represented an accessible and efficient method.

The association between NAFLD and mortality is complicated and has been a subject of debate (46, 47). For instance, one study involving 619 patients with biopsy-confirmed NAFLD found no difference of mortality between those with and without NAFLD (48). NAFLD is frequently linked to the features of metabolic syndrome and numerous other CVDs risk factors. CVDs has been identified as the leading cause of death among individuals with NAFLD (49, 50). Therefore, in addition to all-cause mortality, to estimate the cause specific association, we also examined the associations between NAFLD and CVDs mortality. Our findings, which controlled for the potential confounders, confirmed the risk association between NAFLD and all-cause and CVDs mortality. The sufficient sample size enabled us to ascertain CVDs mortality attributable to NAFLD with an excess risk rate of 0.2 compared to all-cause mortality.

In the subgroup analysis, homogeneity was observed for the majority of variables, with the exception of a few, e.g., physical activity, hypertension, diabetes for all-cause mortality, and physical activity for CVDs mortality (51, 52). For physical activity, the result at the level of VLPA suggested a paradoxical protective effect of NAFLD, contradicting findings at other levels. This could be attributed to the population with very low physical activity often being older people or those with prolonged bed rest and multiple comorbidities, which could introduce competing risks for NAFLD. Additionally, NAFLD appeared to be protective for all-cause mortality in the population with hypertension and diabetes, potentially due to the introduction of numerous competing risks from various causes of death. This confounding effect was mitigated when focusing on CVDs specific mortality.

Subgroup	NAFLD	NONNAFLD		HR (95% CI)
Sex				
Male	1336	13857	}_ _ i	1.27(1.02 to 1.57)
Female	1416	16089	 −−−−1	1.34(1.06 to 1.68)
Age				
<=39	827	10259	▶ ↓	→ 1.47(0.85 to 2.55)
40-59	1136	9130	<u> </u>	→ 2.33(1.74 to 3.12)
>=60	789	10557	+ ¦∎ →	1.09(0.91 to 1.31)
Race and Ethnicity				
Mexican American	462	5136	⊢ <u>∔</u> ∎1	1.20(0.78 to 1.85)
Non-Hispanic White	1295	12658	¦,∎i	1.26(1.04 to 1.51)
Non-Hispanic Black	637	5938	⊢ ∳i	1.02(0.76 to 1.37)
Other	358	6214	i +	- 2.48(1.49 to 4.13)
Education Level			1	
<high school<="" td=""><td>661</td><td>7815</td><td>i</td><td>1.38(1.07 to 1.77)</td></high>	661	7815	i	1.38(1.07 to 1.77)
High School	703	6814	⊨ ∎ i	0.93(0.68 to 1.26)
Some college or above	1388	15317	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.57(1.24 to 1.97)
Daily eating frequency			1	
<=3.5	1135	11670		1.24(1.00 to 1.54)
3.6-4.9	1062	11373		1.24(0.98 to 1.57)
>=5.0	555	6903	¦	- 1.61(1.13 to 2.29)
BMI	111.		1	
<30	12	20089		0.00(0.00 to 0.00)
>=30	2740	9857		1.38(1.18 to 1.60)
Diabetes	27.10		1	
Yes	776	3498	, ⊨∎ ¦	0.83(0.63 to 1.08)
No	1976	26448	↓ ↓ ₩ →↓	1 13(0 95 to 1 34)
Hypertension				
Yes	1433	11013	, ,	0.83(0.65 to 1.04)
No	1319	18933	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	- 1 81(1 44 to 2 28)
Physical Activity	1010			
VIPA	68	919	⊢ ∎−−−−−4	0 22(0 06 to 0 79)
IPA	457	5413		1.27(0.84 to 1.91)
MPA	259	2794	 	\rightarrow 1.84(1.12 to 3.03)
НРА	735	8103	 	→ 1.70(1.25 to 2.31)
Sorum Uric Acid with 3 Tortilos (m	na/dl)	0105		1.10(1.25 to 2.51)
Tartila 1	331	9647		1 41/0 95 to 2 09
Tortilo 2	845	10745		0.94(0.71 to 1.25)
Tortilo 3	1676	0554		1 18(0 06 to 1 45)
Sorum Urio Asid with 4 Tartilas (a	0/cl	3004		1.10(0.30 [0 1.45)
Serum One Acia with 4 Tertiles (n	ig/ai)	7042		1 25/0 00 1- 0 000
	210	0247		- 1.35(0.80 to 2.28)
	513	6347		0.91(0.63 to 1.31)
Tertile 3	6/5	68/3		1.14(0.85 to 1.53)
Tertile 4	1346	/483		1.14(0.91 to 1.43)
			0.5 1 2	
		NA	FLD Better NonNAFLD B	etter

FIGURE 4 Subgroup analysis of the association between NAFLD and all-cause mortality.

Subgroup	NAFLD	NonNAFLD		HR (95% CI)
Sex			1	
Male	1336	13857		1.44(1.01 to 2.04)
Female	1416	16089	·	1.56(1.10 to 2.22)
Age				
<=39	827	10259		→ 4.14(1.46 to 11.75)
40-59	1136	9130		→ 3.24(1.92 to 5.46)
>=60	789	10557	⊢ ∎1	1.23(0.87 to 1.73)
Race and Ethnicity				
Mexican American	462	5 <mark>1</mark> 36		0.88(0.37 to 2.07)
Non-Hispanic White	1295	12658	·	1.49(1.11 to 2.00)
Non-Hispanic Black	637	5938		1.14(0.69 to 1.88)
Other	358	6214	· · · · •	→ 2.63(1.13 to 6.09)
Education Level				
<high school<="" td=""><td>661</td><td>7815</td><td></td><td>1.58(1.08 to 2.30)</td></high>	661	7815		1.58(1.08 to 2.30)
High School	703	6814	⊢ ∎ <u>−</u> −1	0.87(0.51 to 1.46)
Some college or above	1388	15317	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.01(1.37 to 2.97)
Daily eating frequency				
<=3.5	1135	11670	⊢ ∎1	0.94(0.59 to 1.48)
3.6-4.9	1062	11373	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.79(1.27 to 2.53)
>=5.0	555	6903	·	- 2.07(1.17 to 3.68)
BMI				
<30	12	20089	•	0.00(0.00 to 0.00)
>=30	2740	9857		1.51(1.15 to 1.99)
Diabetes				
Yes	76	382		1.51(0.86 to 2.65)
No	234	3135	⊢ ∎⊸(1.35(1.01 to 1.81)
Hypertension			1	
Yes	58	560	······	1.29(0.77 to 2.17)
No	252	2957		1.37(1.01 to 1.84)
Physical Activity				x
VLPA	68	919	•	0.04(0.00 to 0.28)
LPA	457	5413	·	1.70(0.90 to 3.24)
MPA	259	2794		→ 2.33(1.02 to 5.31)
HPA	735	8103	, ⊢ ∎i	1.50(0.83 to 2.71)
Serum Uric Acid with 3 Tertiles (ma/dl)			
Tertile 1	331	9647	<u> </u>	1.22(0.57 to 2.61)
Tertile 2	845	10745	, i a dia dia dia dia dia dia dia dia dia	1.20(0.75 to 1.92)
Tertile 3	1576	9554	, , ,	1 29(0 91 to 1 82)
Serum Uric Acid with 4 Tertiles (ma/dl)			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Tertile 1	218	7243	, <u> </u>	0.95(0.32 to 2.79)
Tertile 2	513	8347	, ,	0.92(0.47 to 1.80)
Tertile 3	675	6873	F.	1.25(0.76 to 2.04)
Tertile 4	1346	7483	₩ ₩	1 31(0 92 to 1 86)
Tortilo 4	1340	1403		1.5 (0.52 (0 1.00)

FIGURE 5 Subgroup analysis of the association between NAFLD and CVD mortality.



TABLE 4	Hazard ratios of s	erum uric acid by	tertiles for all-cause	mortality and CVD	mortality among th	ne population with NAFLD.
				~	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Serum uric acid (mg/dL)	Мос	Model 1 Model 2		Model 3					
	HR (95%)	p	HR (95%)	p	HR (95%)	p			
All-cause mortality	All-cause mortality								
Continuous tertiles	1.21 (1.14, 1.30)	< 0.001	1.17 (1.09, 1.26)	<0.001	1.18 (1.07, 1.30)	<0.001			
Tertile 1 (<4.3)	1.21 (0.70, 2.08)	0.489	1.55 (0.89, 2.68)	0.118	1.32 (0.68, 2.57)	0.415			
Tertile 2 (4.3–5.3)	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.				
Tertile 3 (5.3–6.2)	1.39 (0.93, 2.08)	0.107	1.30 (0.87, 1.95)	0.199	1.20 (0.76, 1.89)	0.443			
Tertile 4 (>6.2)	1.93 (1.35, 2.76)	<0.001	1.81 (1.26,2.59)	0.001	1.51 (0.81,2.81)	0.193			
<i>p</i> for trend		<0.001		<0.001		<0.001			
CVD mortality									
Continuous tertiles	1.23 (1.10, 1.39)	< 0.001	1.20 (1.06, 1.35)	0.003	1.22 (1.03, 1.43)	0.019			
Tertile 1 (<4.3)	0.43 (0.13, 1.39)	0.159	0.61 (0.19, 1.93)	0.397	0.42 (0.10, 1.79)	0.242			
Tertile 2 (4.3–5.3)	0.60 (0.27, 1.32)	0.203	0.66 (0.30, 1.44)	0.293	0.74 (0.34, 1.61)	0.500			
Tertile 3 (5.3–6.2)	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.				
Tertile 4 (>6.2)	1.52 (0.85, 2.70)	0.156	1.61 (0.90, 2.88)	0.108	1.97 (0.93, 4.13)	0.075			
<i>p</i> for trend		0.01		<0.001		<0.001			

Model 1: Crude model; Model 2: age and sex included; Model 3: all the significant variables including age, sex, race and ethnicity, education level, smoking status, BMI, diabetes, hypertension, ALT, AST, HDL and serum uric acid value included.

Hyperuricemia characterized by the reduction of renal excretion or overproduction of UA, is commonly found in the patients with metabolic disease, which are also the root cause of NAFLD (53). While UA has been associated with NAFLD, its role as a predictive factor remains unconfirmed. The studies by Xinyi et al. indicated that NAFLD patients with elevated UA levels had a relatively higher mortality rate, but UA level in conjunction with NAFLD did not emerge as an independent factor for survival (23). Consequently, we explored the association between UA levels and mortality in the NAFLD participants. Consistent with overall population, the risk reached the lowest level in 4–6 mg/dL for all-cause mortality. For CVDs mortality, the risk increased monotonically with UA levels, diverging from the overall population trend. This discrepancy highlighted the complicated mechanism for CVDs mortality due to the interaction between high FLI and UA levels.

Several limitations should be acknowledged. Firstly, the nature of observation study precluded the establishment of a definitive causal relationship. Secondly, the diagnosis of NAFLD was algorithm-based rather than biopsy-based, which was the golden standard. This could lead to false positive and consequently biased conclusion. Thirdly, TABLE 5 Hazard ratios of NAFLD vs. Non-NAFLD for all-cause mortality and CVD mortality with FLI > =60 as NALFD.

Mortality	NAFLD n/N (%)	Non- NAFLD n/N (%)	Hazard ratio (95%)	<i>p</i> value			
All-cause morta	lity						
Model 1	120/1113	3707/31585	1.39 (1.09,1.77)	0.008			
Model 2	(10.8)	(11.7)	1.62 (1.26, 2.08)	< 0.001			
Model 3			1.58 (1.23, 2.04)	< 0.001			
CVD mortality							
Model 1	40/1113	1191/31585	1.52 (1.01, 2.29)	0.045			
Model 2	(3.59)	(3.77)	1.82 (1.21, 2.76)	0.004			
Model 3			1.64 (1.06,2.54)	0.026			

Model 1: Crude model; Model 2: age and sex included; Model 3: all the significant variables including age, sex, race and ethnicity, education level, smoking status, BMI, diabetes, hypertension, ALT, AST, HDL and serum uric acid value included.

given the large sample size and the extended duration of follow up, concerns may arise regarding data quality, including missing values and recalling bias. This suggested our further research would concentrate on conducting exploratory analyses of various NAFLD diagnose approaches, as well as examining subpopulations with some concurrent diseases.

5 Conclusion

Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease emerges as a serve metabolic disorder threatening public health, particularly in terms of its association with the increased risk of all-cause and CVDs mortality. Moreover, when NAFLD is comorbid with other diseases, it can lead to an even higher risk of mortality. It emphasizes the need for targeted interventions and preventive strategies.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found at: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/index.htm.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

FR: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration,

Mortality	NAFLD	NAFLD Non- NAFLD		p				
	n/N (%)	n/N (%)	1800 (95%)	value				
All-cause mortality								
Model 1	265/2707	3022/29451	1.30 (1.10, 1.53)	0.002				
Model 2	(9.79)	(10.3)	1.33 (1.12, 1.57)	< 0.001				
Model 3			1.36 (1.13, 1.63)	< 0.001				
CVD mortality								
Model 1	92/2735	968/29792	1.56 (1.19, 2.05)	0.001				
Model 2	(3.36)	(3.25)	1.63 (1.24, 2.15)	< 0.001				
Model 3			1.51 (1.11, 2.05)	0.008				

Model 1: Crude model; Model 2: age and sex included; Model 3: all the significant variables including age, sex, race and ethnicity, education level, smoking status, BMI, diabetes, hypertension, ALT, AST, HDL and serum uric acid value included.

Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YM: Data curation, Validation, Writing – review & editing. LS: Data curation, Software, Validation, Writing – review & editing. XZhu: Data curation, Software, Validation, Writing – review & editing. ML: Data curation, Software, Validation, Writing – review & editing. LZ: Data curation, Software, Validation, Writing – review & editing. XS: Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. XZha: Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by 2024 Education Scientific Research Project of Shanghai (C2024167); 2023 Shanghai University Municipal Key Curriculum Construction (306); and the 23rd Issue of Curriculum Construction of Key Projects of Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine (KECJ2024012).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Eslam M, Newsome PN, Sarin SK, Anstee QM, Targher G, Romero-Gomez M, et al. A new definition for metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease: an international expert consensus statement. *J Hepatol.* (2020) 73:202–9. doi: 10.1016/j. jhep.2020.03.039

2. Powell EE, Wong VW, Rinella M. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Lancet.* (2021) 397:2212–24. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32511-3

3. Agyapong G, Dashti F, Banini BA. Nonalcoholic liver disease: epidemiology, risk factors, natural history, and management strategies. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* (2023) 1526:16–29. doi: 10.1111/nyas.15012

4. Byrne CD, Targher G. NAFLD: a multisystem disease. *J Hepatol.* (2015) 62:S47–64. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2014.12.012

5. Duell PB, Welty FK, Miller M, Chait A, Hammond G, Ahmad Z, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and cardiovascular risk: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* (2022) 42:e168–85. doi: 10.1161/ATV.00000000000153

6. Targher G, Tilg H, Byrne CD. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a multisystem disease requiring a multidisciplinary and holistic approach. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2021) 6:578–88. doi: 10.1016/S2468-1253(21)00020-0

7. Targher G, Corey KE, Byrne CD, Roden M. The complex link between NAFLD and type 2 diabetes mellitus-mechanisms and treatments. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2021) 18:599–612. doi: 10.1038/s41575-021-00448-y

8. Byrne CD, Targher G. NAFLD as a driver of chronic kidney disease. *J Hepatol.* (2020) 72:785–801. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2020.01.013

9. Younossi Z, Anstee QM, Marietti M, Hardy T, Henry L, Eslam M, et al. Global burden of NAFLD and NASH: trends, predictions, risk factors and prevention. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2018) 15:11–20. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2017.109

10. Lazarus JV, Mark HE, Anstee QM, Arab JP, Batterham RL, Castera L, et al. Advancing the global public health agenda for NAFLD: a consensus statement. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2022) 19:60–78. doi: 10.1038/s41575-021-00523-4

11. Simon TG, Roelstraete B, Khalili H, Hagström H, Ludvigsson JF. Mortality in biopsy-confirmed nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: results from a nationwide cohort. *Gut.* (2021) 70:1375–82. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2020-322786

12. Mantovani A, Csermely A, Petracca G, Beatrice G, Corey KE, Simon TG, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and risk of fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2021) 6:903–13. doi: 10.1016/S2468-1253(21)00308-3

13. Estes C, Razavi H, Loomba R, Younossi Z, Sanyal AJ. Modeling the epidemic of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease demonstrates an exponential increase in burden of disease. *Hepatology*. (2018) 67:123–33. doi: 10.1002/hep.29466

14. Anstee QM, Mantovani A, Tilg H, Targher G. Risk of cardiomyopathy and cardiac arrhythmias in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2018) 15:425–39. doi: 10.1038/s41575-018-0010-0

15. Paik JM, Henry L, De Avila L, Younossi E, Racila A, Younossi ZM. Mortality related to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease is increasing in the United States. *Hepatol Commun.* (2019) 3:1459–71. doi: 10.1002/hep4.1419

16. Zhou YY, Zhou XD, Wu SJ, Fan DH, van Poucke S, Chen YP, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease contributes to subclinical atherosclerosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hepatol Commun.* (2018) 2:376–92. doi: 10.1002/hep4.1155

17. Lonardo A, Nascimbeni F, Mantovani A, Targher G. Hypertension, diabetes, atherosclerosis and NASH: cause or consequence? *J Hepatol.* (2018) 68:335–52. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2017.09.021

18. Pal P, Palui R, Ray S. Heterogeneity of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: implications for clinical practice and research activity. *World J Hepatol.* (2021) 13:1584–610. doi: 10.4254/wjh.v13.i11.1584

19. Kasper P, Martin A, Lang S, Kütting F, Goeser T, Demir M, et al. NAFLD and cardiovascular diseases: a clinical review. *Clin Res Cardiol.* (2021) 110:921–37. doi: 10.1007/s00392-020-01709-7

20. Zhang Y, Wang F, Tang J, Shen L, He J, Chen Y. Association of triglyceride glucoserelated parameters with all-cause mortality and cardiovascular disease in NAFLD patients: NHANES 1999–2018. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2024) 23:262. doi: 10.1186/ s12933-024-02354-4

21. Pan J, Zhou Y, Pang N, Yang L. Dietary niacin intake and mortality among individuals with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *JAMA Netw Open*. (2024) 7:e2354277. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.54277

22. Li S, Fu Y, Liu Y, Zhang X, Li H, Tian L, et al. Serum uric acid levels and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a 2-sample bidirectional Mendelian randomization study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2022) 107:e3497–503. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgac190

23. Yang X, Lin Y, Huang J, Chi Y, Wu Y, Lin S. Serum uric acid levels and prognosis of patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Sci Rep.* (2024) 14:5923. doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-55845-5

24. Hu L, Hu G, Xu BP, Zhu L, Zhou W, Wang T, et al. U-shaped association of serum uric acid with all-cause and cause-specific mortality in US adults: a cohort study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2019) 105:dgz068. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgz068

25. Sun Q, Zhang T, Manji L, Liu Y, Chang Q, Zhao Y, et al. Association between serum uric acid and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Epidemiol.* (2023) 15:683–93. doi: 10.2147/CLEP.S403314

26. Vásquez E, Alam MT, Murillo R. Race and ethnic differences in physical activity, osteopenia, and osteoporosis: results from NHANES 2009-2010, 2013-2014, 2017-2018. *Arch Osteoporos*. (2023) 19:7. doi: 10.1007/s11657-023-01356-1

27. Du X, Xin H. Association between cholesterol intake and all-cause mortality: NHANES-linked mortality study. *Cent Eur J Public Health*. (2021) 29:117–21. doi: 10.21101/cejph.a6276

28. Zang X, Feng L, Qin W, Wang W, Zang X. Using machine learning methods to analyze the association between urinary polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and chronic bowel disorders in American adults. *Chemosphere*. (2024) 346:140602. doi: 10.1016/j. chemosphere.2023.140602

29. Zhang JZ, Cai JJ, Yu Y, She ZG, Li H. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: an update on the diagnosis. *Gene Expr.* (2019) 19:187–98. doi: 10.3727/105221619X1555343383860 9

30. Papatheodoridi M, Cholongitas E. Diagnosis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): current concepts. *Curr Pharm Des.* (2018) 24:4574–86. doi: 10.217 4/1381612825666190117102111

31. Leung PB, Davis AM, Kumar S. Diagnosis and Management of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. JAMA. (2023) 330:1687–8. doi: 10.1001/jama.2023.17935

32. Rinella ME, Sookoian S. From NAFLD to MASLD: updated naming and diagnosis criteria for fatty liver disease. *J Lipid Res.* (2024) 65:100485. doi: 10.1016/j.jlr.2023.100485

33. Ho B, Thompson A, Jorgensen AL, Pirmohamed M. Role of fatty liver index in risk-stratifying comorbid disease outcomes in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *JHEP Rep.* (2023) 5:100896. doi: 10.1016/j.jhepr.2023.100896

34. Zhao Q, Deng Y, Gong R, Chen T, Yang L. Association between dietary inflammatory index and risk of fatty liver disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Dig Liver Dis.* (2024) 56:541–50. doi: 10.1016/j.dld.2023.09.024

35. Kaneva AM, Bojko ER. Fatty liver index (FLI): more than a marker of hepatic steatosis. *J Physiol Biochem*. (2024) 80:11–26. doi: 10.1007/s13105-023-00991-z

36. Kim KS, Hong S, Han K, Park CY. Association of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease with cardiovascular disease and all cause death in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: nationwide population-based study. *BMJ*. (2024) 384:e076388. doi: 10.1136/bmj-2023-076388

37. Wang X, Zhang J, Xu X, Pan S, Cheng L, Dang K, et al. Associations of daily eating frequency and nighttime fasting duration with biological aging in National Health and nutrition examination survey (NHANES) 2003–2010 and 2015–2018. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* (2024) 21:104. doi: 10.1186/s12966-024-01654-y

38. Leroux A, Di J, Smirnova E, et al. Organizing and analyzing the activity data in NHANES. *Stat Biosci.* (2019) 11:262–87. doi: 10.1007/s12561-018-09229-9

39. Lim WH, Yong JN, Ong CEY, Ng CH, Tan DJH, Zeng RW, et al. Ethnic disparities in waitlist outcomes of patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis listed for liver transplantation in the US. *Liver Transpl.* (2023) 29:1181–91. doi: 10.1097/LVT.00000000000148

40. Song R, Li Z, Zhang Y, Tan J, Chen Z. Comparison of NAFLD, MAFLD and MASLD characteristics and mortality outcomes in United States adults. *Liver Int.* (2024) 44:1051–60. doi: 10.1111/liv.15856

41. Huang S, Bao Y, Zhang N, Niu R, Tian L. Long-term outcomes in lean and nonlean NAFLD patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Endocrine*. (2024) 85:134–41. doi: 10.1007/s12020-023-03351-5

42. Heerkens L, van Westing AC, Voortman T, Kardys I, Boersma E, Geleijnse JM. Serum uric acid is related to liver and kidney disease and 12-year mortality risk after myocardial infarction. *Front Endocrinol.* (2023) 14:1240099. doi: 10.3389/ fendo.2023.1240099

43. Biciusca T, Stan SI, Balteanu MA, Cioboata R, Ghenea AE, Danoiu S, et al. The role of the fatty liver index (FLI) in the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review. *Diagnostics*. (2023) 13:3316. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics13213316

44. Bedogni G, Bellentani S, Miglioli L, Masutti F, Passalacqua M, Castiglione A, et al. The fatty liver index: a simple and accurate predictor of hepatic steatosis in the general population. *BMC Gastroenterol.* (2006) 6:33. doi: 10.1186/1471-230X-6-33

45. Han K, Gao L, Xu H, Li J, Han L, Shen J, et al. Analysis of the association between urinary glyphosate exposure and fatty liver index: a study for US adults. *BMC Public Health*. (2024) 24:703. doi: 10.1186/s12889-024-18189-3

46. Angulo P, Kleiner DE, Dam-Larsen S, Adams LA, Bjornsson ES, Charatcharoenwitthaya P, et al. Liver fibrosis, but no other histologic features, is associated with Long-term outcomes of patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology*. (2015) 149:389–397.e10 e10. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2015.04.043

47. Dulai PS, Singh S, Patel J, Soni M, Prokop LJ, Younossi Z, et al. Increased risk of mortality by fibrosis stage in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hepatology*. (2017) 65:1557–65. doi: 10.1002/hep.29085

48. Hartleif S, Hodson J, Lloyd C, Cousin VL, Czubkowski P, D'Antiga L, et al. Longterm outcome of asymptomatic patients with graft fibrosis in protocol biopsies after pediatric liver transplantation. Transplantation. (2023) 107:2394–405. doi: 10.1097/TP.000000000004603

49. Zhao E, Cheng Y, Yu C, Li H, Fan X. The systemic immune-inflammation index was non-linear associated with all-cause mortality in individuals with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Ann Med.* (2023) 55:2197652. doi: 10.1080/07853890.2023.2197652

50. Huang J, Wu Y, Zheng J, Wang M, Goh GBB, Lin S. The prognostic role of diet quality in patients with MAFLD and physical activity: data from NHANES. *Nutr Diabet.* (2024) 14:4. doi: 10.1038/s41387-024-00261-x

51. Nabi O, Lapidus N, Boursier J, de Ledinghen V, Kab S, Renuy A, et al. The NAFLD burden on mortality and morbidities in general population: a community-based longitudinal study (NASH-CO study). *Liver Int.* (2023) 43:2096–106. doi: 10.1111/liv.15674

52. Li M, Wang H, Zhang XJ, et al. NAFLD: an emerging causal factor for cardiovascular disease. *Physiology (Bethesda).* (2023) 38:0. doi: 10.1152/physiol.00013.2023

53. Konta T, Ichikawa K, Kawasaki R, Fujimoto S, Iseki K, Moriyama T, et al. Association between serum uric acid levels and mortality: a nationwide community-based cohort study. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:6066. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-63134-0