Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Luciane Bresciani Salaroli, Federal University of Espirito Santo, Brazil

REVIEWED BY Monica Cattafesta, Federal University of Espirito Santo, Brazil Camila Bruneli Do Prado, Federal University of Espirito Santo, Brazil Virginia Maria Muniz, Secretaria de Estado da Saúde do Espírito Santo, Brazil

\*CORRESPONDENCE Kaiying Yang ⊠ yangkaiying1123@126.com

RECEIVED 25 September 2024 ACCEPTED 21 October 2024 PUBLISHED 31 October 2024

#### CITATION

Liang H, Si W, Li L and Yang K (2024) Association between body roundness index and osteoarthritis: a cross-sectional analysis of NHANES 2011–2018. *Front. Nutr.* 11:1501722. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1501722

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Liang, Si, Li and Yang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Association between body roundness index and osteoarthritis: a cross-sectional analysis of NHANES 2011–2018

Huazheng Liang<sup>1,2</sup>, Wenyue Si<sup>3</sup>, Lin Li<sup>4</sup> and Kaiying Yang<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatric Surgery, Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center, National Children's Medical Center for South Central Region, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>School of Pediatrics, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Science Research and Education Management, Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center, National Children's Medical Center for South Central Region, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>4</sup>Department of Pediatric Surgery, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Research in Structural Birth Defect Disease, Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangdong Provincial Clinical Research Center for Child Health, Guangzhou, China

**Objective:** The objective of this study was to investigate the potential association between body roundness index (BRI) and the risk of osteoarthritis (OA) in US adults.

**Methods:** A cross-sectional analysis consisting of 20,232 participants was conducted using data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) from 2011 to 2018. Participants (≥20 years of age) were included and divided into OA and non-OA groups. Then, the demographics and characteristics of the participants were compared between the two groups. The relationship between BRI and OA was assessed using a multivariate logistic regression model with fitted smoothed curve techniques. Additionally, subgroup analyses on the correlation between BRI and OA were performed.

**Results:** The BRI scores in OA group were significantly higher than in the non-OA group ( $6.60 \pm 2.62$  vs.  $5.46 \pm 2.34$ , p < 0.001). Multivariate logistic analysis revealed that a significantly positive association between BRI and OA (OR = 1.12, 95% CI: 1.09-1.14, p < 0.001). In the subgroup analysis, only the race subgroup showed a significant difference between BRI and OA (p < 0.001).

**Conclusion:** Our findings highlight a significantly positive association between BRI and OA prevalence in the general US population.

#### KEYWORDS

osteoarthritis, body roundness index, obesity, cross-sectional study, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey

# **1** Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA), a common chronic joint disease, is characterized by the progressive deterioration of articular cartilage, the formation of secondary osteophytes, and adaptive changes in subchondral bone (1, 2). Recently, a study by Hunter et al. in 2020 reported that OA affects approximately 3.8% of the global population, equating to approximately 250 million people (3). The incidence and prevalence of OA are estimated to increase significantly in the coming decades, primarily due to an aging population, increasing obesity rates, and a high incidence of traumatic knee injuries (4). OA represents

a significant burden on global public health, causing widespread pain, severely limiting daily activities, and in some cases leading to disability, all of which significantly affect socioeconomic status and quality of life (5). Nevertheless, the etiology of OA remains unclear, and its risk factors are complex, including demographic-level factors such as age, sex, and obesity, as well as joint-level factors such as injury and malalignment (6). Therefore, determining modifiable risk factors may contribute to the development of strategies aimed at delaying OA progression and reducing its burden on public health.

Recently, an increasing number of scientific studies have indicated a strong association between obesity and the development of OA. Obesity may affect the development of OA by altering metabolism and inflammation in the body (7, 8). Obesity is a chronic and complex disease characterized by excessive fat deposition, which can lead to health damage. It can be diagnosed by measuring body mass index (BMI) or waist circumference (9, 10). However, the use of BMI and waist circumference to diagnose obesity has significant limitations. BMI does not account for the specifics of an individual's fat distribution and is subject to significant racial and individual differences, which may lead to misclassification of obesity (11, 12). While the waist circumference index reflects abdominal fat accumulation to some extent, it also fails to reveal the full extent of body fat distribution (13). In contrast, the body roundness index (BRI), as introduced by Thomas et al., is an emerging assessment tool that offers a more comprehensive evaluation of body fat distribution (14). By considering the ratio of body width to body height, the BRI provides a more detailed assessment of an individual's body shape, including the distribution of adiposity throughout the body, allowing it to more accurately reflect obesity status and its potential health risks (14).

To date, an increasing number of studies have indicated a correlation between the BRI and various health conditions, including cardiovascular disease, depression and diabetes (15–19). However, no studies have investigated the potential association between the BRI and OA. Therefore, the present study was conducted to explore the potential association between the BRI and OA among U.S. adults via data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). In addition, our study elucidates the impact of obesity patterns on the risk of developing OA and facilitates the development of targeted prevention and treatment strategies.

# 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Data sources and study participants

The NHANES is a comprehensive, population-based survey research project sponsored by the National Center for Health Statistics (20, 21). The survey incorporates a detailed methodology involving interviews, physical examinations, and laboratory tests designed to assess the health and nutritional status of adults and children in the United States (22, 23). The data collection process for NHANES adheres to the highest ethical standards, with all study procedures approved by the National Research Ethics Board (1). Furthermore, informed consent was obtained from all participants. The detailed study design and data from NHANES are publicly available for review at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/.

The data used in this study were derived from the NHANES database, which covers the results collected from four consecutive survey cycles between 2011 and 2018. A total of 39,156 participants were included in the study. Participants younger than 20 years of age (n = 16,539) were excluded. Additionally, those with missing OA (n = 51) or BRI (n = 2,334) data were also excluded. A total of 20,232 individuals participated in this study (Figure 1).

### 2.2 Assessment of OA and BRI

A previous study reported up to 81% agreement between selfreported and clinically validated outcomes of OA (24). In the NHANES database, OA status is primarily determined on the basis of a self-report questionnaire collected under the category of "medical conditions." Participants were first asked, "Has a doctor or other health professional ever told you that you had arthritis?." Those who answered "no" were excluded from further analysis in our study. If the response was positive, the participants were then asked the following question: "Which type of arthritis was it?." Those who self-reported "osteoarthritis" were defined as patients with OA, whereas those who reported having a different form of arthritis, including "rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis," and their failure to answer the question were categorized as non-OA patients. Previous studies have supported the validity of self-reported OA concerns (25-28). Each NHANES participant was required to undergo a physical examination, which included measurements of height and waist circumference. The BRI formula, developed by Thomas et al., is calculated as  $364.2-365.5 \times (1 - [(waist circumference (m)/2\pi)^2/$  $(0.5 \times height(m))^2])^{\frac{1}{2}}$  (14).

## 2.3 Covariates

When exploring the association between the BRI and OA, it is important to consider the potential influence and moderating effects of multiple covariates on this relationship. Therefore, we included a total of 14 confounders in this study. The demographic data included age (≥20 years), sex (male vs. female), race (Mexican American, non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, other Hispanic and other races including multiracial), education level (under high school, high school or equivalent, and above high school), marital status (married/ cohabiting, widowed/divorced/separated, never married), and family income-poverty ratio (PIR), classified into three categories (< 1.3, 1.3–3.49, and  $\geq$  3.5), which are distinct segments rather than tertiles as determined by relevant NHANES studies (29, 30). The examination data included weight and BMI, which were classified as low to normal weight (<25), overweight (25-29.9), or obese ( $\geq$ 30) (31). The questionnaire section included questions concerning participation in moderate recreational activities (yes/no), diabetes (yes/no), hypertension (yes/no), cancer (yes/no), and alcohol use. Alcohol use and cigarette use were also assessed. Alcohol use was defined on the basis of the average daily consumption of alcoholic beverages in the past 12 months (32). Cigarette use was differentiated between nonsmokers (total lifetime number of cigarettes <100 cigarettes) and smokers (total lifetime number of cigarettes ≥100 cigarettes) on the basis of lifetime number of cigarettes smoked (33).



### 2.4 Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as the means with standard deviations (SDs), whereas categorical variables are expressed as percentages. The Kruskal-Wallis test was employed for continuous variables, and the  $\chi^2$  test was used for categorical variables. In this study, multiple logistic regression analyses models were used to explore the correlation between the BRI scores and OA. The multiple logistic regression analysis was divided into three models: Model 1 was unadjusted; Model 2 was adjusted for age, sex, and race; and Model 3 was fully adjusted for age, sex, race, PIR, cigarette use, hypertension, education, diabetes, cancer, marital status, moderate recreational activities, and alcohol use. Furthermore, the smoothed curve fitting methods using a generalized additive model (GAM) were used to investigate the nonlinear association between the BRI index and OA. To further elucidate the potential influence of different subgroups on the correlation between BRI and OA, an interaction test was conducted to assess the impact of pertinent clinical confounders. All data analyses were conducted via Empower Stats software, version  $2.0^1$  (X&Y Solutions, Inc., Boston, MA). A two-sided p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

# **3** Results

# 3.1 Baseline characteristics of the participants

The detailed baseline characteristics of the participants with and without OA are presented in Table 1. A total of 20,232 participants were enrolled in the present study, with a mean age of 49 years, of whom 48.75% were male and 51.25% were female. Of the 20,232 participants, 2,279 were reported to have OA. Age, sex, race, education, marital status, PIR, BMI, diabetes, cigarette use, alcohol

<sup>1</sup> http://www.empowerstats.com

Characteristic	Total N = 20,232 (100%)	Non-OA N = 17,953 (88.74%)	OA N = 2,279 (11.26%)	<i>p</i> -value
Age (year)				< 0.001ª
20~39	6,872 (3.97%)	6,728 (37.48%)	144 (6.32%)	
40~59	6,775 (33.49%)	6,117 (34.07%)	658 (28.87%)	
≥60	6,585 (32.55%)	5,108 (28.45%)	1,477 (64.81%)	
Sex, <i>n</i> (%)				< 0.001ª
Male	9,863 (48.75%)	9,040 (50.35%)	823 (36.11%)	
Female	1,036 (51.25%)	8,913 (49.65%)	1,456 (63.89%)	
Race, <i>n</i> (%)				< 0.001ª
Mexican American	2,740 (13.54%)	2,555 (14.23%)	185 (8.12%)	
Non-Hispanic White	7,449 (36.82%)	6,143 (34.22%)	1,306 (57.31%)	
Non-Hispanic Black	4,591 (22.69%)	4,202 (23.41%)	389 (17.07%)	
Other Hispanic	2,126 (10.51%)	1950 (10.86%)	176 (7.72%)	
Other race/multiracial	3,326 (16.44%)	3,103 (17.28%)	223 (9.78%)	
Education, <i>n</i> (%)				< 0.001ª
Under high school	4,394 (21.72%)	3,969 (22.11%)	425 (18.65%)	
High School or equivalent	4,495 (22.22%)	3,992 (22.24%)	503 (22.07%)	
Above high school	11,343 (56.06%)	9,992 (55.66%)	1,351 (59.28%)	
Marital status, <i>n</i> (%)				< 0.001ª
Married/cohabiting	3,925 (19.40%)	3,733 (20.79%)	192 (8.42%)	
Widowed/divorced/separated	12,000 (59.31%)	10,662 (59.39%)	1,338 (58.71%)	
Never married	4,307 (21.29%)	3,558 (19.82%)	749 (32.87%)	
Moderate recreational activities, <i>n</i> (%)				< 0.001ª
Yes	8,459 (41.81%)	7,615 (42.42%)	844 (37.03%)	
No	11,773 (58.19%)	10,338 (57.58%)	1,435 (62.97%)	
PIR (%)				< 0.001ª
<1.30	5,933 (29.32%)	5,351 (29.81%)	582 (25.54%)	
1.30 ~ 3.49	8,754 (43.27%)	7,755 (43.20%)	999 (43.84%)	
≥3.50	5,545 (27.41%)	4,847 (27.00%)	698 (30.63%)	
Alcohol use, n (%)	20,232 (3.79)	3.68±23.12	$4.70\pm42.80$	< 0.001ª
Cigarette Use, n (%)				< 0.001ª

(Continued)

10.3389/fnut.2024.1501722

### TABLE 1 (Continued)

05

Characteristic	Total N = 20,232 (100%)	Non-OA <i>N</i> = 17,953 (88.74%)	OA N = 2,279 (11.26%)	<i>p</i> -value
Smoked $\geq$ 100 cigarettes in life	8,631 (42.66%)	7,444 (41.46%)	1,187 (52.08%)	
Smoked < 100 cigarettes in life	11,601 (57.34%)	10,509 (58.54%)	1,092 (47.92%)	
Diabetes, <i>n</i> (%)				< 0.001ª
Yes	2,731 (13.50%)	2,212 (12.32%)	519 (22.77%)	
No	17,501 (86.50%)	15,741 (87.68%)	1760 (77.23%)	
Hypertension, <i>n</i> (%)				< 0.001ª
Yes	7,348 (36.32%)	5,945 (33.11%)	1,403 (61.56%)	
No	12,884 (63.68%)	12,008 (66.89%)	876 (38.44%)	
Cancer, <i>n</i> (%)				< 0.001ª
Yes	1873 (9.26%)	1,407 (7.84%)	466 (20.45%)	
No	18,359 (90.74%)	16,546 (92.16%)	1813 (79.55%)	
Weight (kg)	20,232 (81.59)	81.12±21.32	85.27±23.35	<0.001ª
Height (cm)	20,232 (166.81)	$167.05 \pm 10.10$	$164.94 \pm 10.08$	< 0.001ª
BMI (%)				< 0.001ª
<25	5,860 (28.96%)	5,399 (30.07%)	461 (20.23%)	
25~29.9	6,532 (32.29%)	5,864 (32.66%)	668 (29.31%)	
≥30	7,840 (38.75%)	6,690 (37.26%)	1,150 (50.46%)	
Waist circumference (cm)	20,232 (99.61)	98.85±16.44	$105.60 \pm 17.06$	< 0.001 <sup>b</sup>
BRI	20,232 (5.59)	$5.46 \pm 2.34$	$6.60 \pm 2.62$	< 0.001 <sup>b</sup>

Continuous variables are presented as the means ± standard deviations. Categorical variables are presented as n (%). \*Chi-square test, \*Kruskal–Wallis rank sum test. OA, osteoarthritis; Non-OA, nonosteoarthritis; BMI, body mass index; BRI, body roundness index; PIR, family income-poverty ratio.

TABLE 2 Associations between BRI scores and its qu	uartiles and the risk of OA in participants.
--	--

	OR (95% CI), <i>p</i> -value			
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	
	( <i>N</i> = 20,232)	( <i>N</i> = 20,232)	( <i>N</i> = 20,232)	
BRI	1.19 (1.17, 1.20), < 0.001	1.14 (1.12, 1.16), < 0.001	1.12 (1.09, 1.14), < 0.001	
Stratified by BRI quartiles				
Q1	Reference	Reference	Reference	
Q2	1.90 (1.63, 2.21), < 0.001	1.32 (1.12, 1.55), < 0.001	1.24 (1.06, 1.46), 0.009	
Q3	2.40 (2.07, 2.79), < 0.001	1.45 (1.24, 1.70), < 0.001	1.30 (1.11, 1.53), 0.001	
Q4	3.80 (3.30, 4.38), < 0.001	2.18 (1.87, 2.54), < 0.001	1.86 (1.59, 2.18), < 0.001	
<i>P</i> for trend	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	

Model 1: adjusted for none. Model 2: adjusted for age (years), sex, and race. Model 3: adjusted for age (years), sex, race, PIR, cigarette use, hypertension, education, diabetes, cancer, marital status, moderate recreational activities, and alcohol use. BMI, body mass index; PIR, family income-poverty ratio; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

use, hypertension, cancer, height, weight, waist circumference, and BRI were significantly different between the non-OA and OA groups. Compared with the non-OA group, the OA group had a greater proportion of women (51.25% vs. 48.75%), more never-married people (78.21% vs. 21.79%), and more people with a BMI of 25 or higher (71.04% vs. 28.96%). Moreover, OA is associated with higher levels of education, PIRs, and BRIs, among others.

# 3.2 Associations between the BRI and the risk of OA

To investigate the relationship between BRI and OA, a stepwise adjusted model using multivariate logistic regression was performed, as illustrated in Table 2. Our results revealed a positive correlation between the BRI and OA. The positive association remained in Model 3, even after adjusting for all relevant variables (OR = 1.12, 95% CI: 1.09–1.14), indicating that for each unit increase in the BRI, the odds of developing OA increased by 12%. For sensitivity analysis, the BRI was further transformed from a continuous variable into categorical quartiles (Table 2). The positive correlation between the BRI and OA remained consistent across all three adjusted models. According to the fully adjusted model, the increased odds of OA were significantly greater in the highest quartile than in the lowest quartile (OR = 1.86, 95% CI: 1.59–2.18).

# 3.3 Nonlinear relationship between the BRI and OA

In this study, the use of smoothed curve fitting facilitated the investigation of the nonlinear relationship between the BRI index and its tangent into quartiles and OA. The findings of the study indicated a nonlinear, positive correlation between BRI levels and OA (Figures 2, 3).

### 3.4 Subgroup analysis

In this study, a subgroup analysis was conducted to examine the stability of the nonlinear positive association between the BRI and OA across the identified subgroups (Table 3). The results of subgroup



smoothed curve fitting methods using a generalized additive model. Adjusted for age, sex, race, PIR, cigarette use, hypertension, education, diabetes, cancer, marital status, moderate recreational activities, and alcohol use. The solid red line depicts the smooth curve fit between the variables, whereas the blue bands represent the 95% confidence intervals derived from the fit.

analyses revealed that a significant difference in the correlation between BRI and OA across different race groups (P for interaction <0.0001). For race subgroup, the OR (95%CI) of Mexican American, non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, other Hispanic and other races/multiracial were 1.13 (1.06, 1.20), 1.15 (1.07, 1.23), 1.07 (1.05, 1.10), 1.14 (1.10, 1.18), and 1.27 (1.19, 1.35), respectively. However, the association between the BRI and OA was not influenced by sex, education level, cigarette use, marital status, moderate recreational activities, PIR, hypertension, cancer, or diabetes mellitus (all P for interaction >0.05). This finding indicates that the nonlinear positive correlation between the BRI and OA remained robustly stable across all the cases except subgroup of race.

### 4 Discussion

Obesity has been considered the key modifiable risk factor for OA (34). Recently, a considerable number of clinical studies have focused



on investigating the relationships between various indicators of obesity (such as BMI, waist circumference, and muscle mass) and the development and progression of OA (34-36). An observational study by Zhang et al. demonstrated that an increase in BMI, a traditional measure of obesity, is positively associated with the risk of OA, with the effect being particularly significant in patients with knee OA (35). In addition, a regional study in the U.S. revealed that an increase in waist circumference, a measure of abdominal obesity, is not only associated with an elevated risk of OA but also may predict a future decline in physical function (36). This highlights the importance of monitoring waist circumference alongside BMI in the clinical management of OA. Moreover, interest in the relationship between the loss of muscle mass, known as sarcopenia, and OA is increasing. Several studies have suggested that sarcopenia may increase the risk of OA by affecting the body's metabolic and functional status (37-39). These findings demonstrate the close association between obesity and OA. However, these traditional obesity indicators have their limitations. For instance, BMI does not account for individual fat distribution, and waist circumference only reflects abdominal obesity without capturing overall body fat distribution. Given these limitations, our study therefore explores the relationship between BRI and OA to provide a more comprehensive understanding of how visceral fat may influence OA development. This not only reinforces the link between obesity and OA but also provides a new direction for future research, highlighting the importance of body fat distribution in OA risk assessment.

Previously, substantial evidence indicated a significant correlation between the BRI and various diseases (18, 40–43). A cross-sectional study revealed that the BRI was associated with an estimated low glomerular filtration rate in a Chinese population, providing evidence that central obesity may play a role in the progression of chronic kidney disease (40). A retrospective study conducted in Japan demonstrated a positive and non-linear correlation between the BRI and diabetes mellitus incidence, indicating a threshold effect: when BRI exceeds 4.137 in females and 3.146 in males, a significant positive correlation with the incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus is observed (41, 44). Additionally, a cross-sectional study using NHANES data indicated a strong, nonlinear relationship between BRI and metabolic syndrome (MetS), suggesting that the BRI may effectively identify individuals at risk for MetS (42). Furthermore, meta-analyses suggest a bidirectional association between MetS and OA, implying that OA can predict an increased risk of MetS, while MetS may also promote the development of OA (45). Moreover, BRI is shown to outperform traditional anthropometric indices, such as BMI, body shape index, and body adiposity index, in predicting MetS (46). In addition, BRI has been a positive association with hypertension and cardiovascular disease (47). Consequently, these studies implies that BRI may be associated with the OA risk through its association with MetS, thereby supporting its use as an indicator for assessing OA risk.

On the basis of the above research findings, we hypothesize that there may be an intrinsic association between the BRI and OA. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first cross-sectional study investigating the association between the BRI and OA. By analyzing cross-sectional data from 20,232 participants, we identified a significant and nonlinear positive association between the BRI and OA, providing compelling evidence for understanding the relationship between these two variables. Furthermore, through rigorous multivariate logistic regression analyses, we excluded the interference of other potential confounders and confirmed that a high BRI is an independent and significant risk factor for the development of OA. Subgroup analyses revealed that the associations between the BRI and OA were consistent across various factors, including sex, education level, cigarette use, marital status, moderate recreational activities, PIR, hypertension, cancer, and diabetes. Additionally, racial differences were found to partially affect the association between BRI and OA. Racial differences may influence the onset and manifestation of OA, potentially through a combination of biological and social factors, including genetic susceptibility, environmental factors, and lifestyle (48). Moreover, the significant differences in radiological, symptomatic, and clinical manifestations of hand OA between races highlight the potential role of race-specific biological mechanisms and environmental risk factors in the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis (49). These findings offer new insight into clinical risk prediction, suggesting that early identification of individuals with high BRI and targeted interventions could slow the progression of OA and improve patient quality of life.

The BRI, a recently developed indicator for assessing the degree of obesity in humans, may be associated with the development of OA through a variety of mechanisms. A review by Sampath et al. emphasized the strong correlation between obesity, metabolic syndrome, and OA (50). Specifically, obesity induces a chronic low-grade inflammatory state, which can accelerate cartilage degradation. This process is mediated by elevated levels of different mediators, especially those with proinflammatory qualities, such as interleukin-1 $\beta$  (IL-1 $\beta$ ), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ), thereby increasing the risk of developing OA. Moreover, individuals with obesity typically exhibit elevated levels of adipokines, which play pivotal roles in regulating energy metabolism, inflammation, and the immune response. Research by Xie and Chen investigated the potential of adipokines as novel therapeutic targets in osteoarthritis

TABLE 3 Subgroup analysis of the effect of the body roundness index on osteoarthritis (N = 20,232).

Subgroup	N	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	P for interaction
Age (year)				0.075
20~39	6,872	1.14 (1.08, 1.20)	<0.001	
40~59	6,775	1.14 (1.10, 1.17)	<0.001	
≥60	6,585	1.09 (1.06, 1.12)	<0.001	
Sex				0.698
Male	9,863	1.12 (1.08, 1.16)	<0.001	
Female	10,369	1.11 (1.09, 1.14)	<0.001	
Race				< 0.001
Mexican American	2,740	1.13 (1.06, 1.20)	<0.001	
Non-Hispanic White	2,126	1.15 (1.07, 1.23)	<0.001	
Non-Hispanic Black	7,449	1.07 (1.05, 1.10)	<0.001	
Other Hispanic	4,591	1.14 (1.10, 1.18)	<0.001	
Other race/multiracial	3,326	1.27 (1.19, 1.35)	<0.001	
Education				0.675
Under high school	4,394	1.10 (1.05, 1.15)	<0.001	
High School or equivalent	4,495	1.11 (1.07, 1.16)	<0.001	
Above high school	11,343	1.12 (1.09, 1.15)	<0.001	
Marital status				0.281
Married/cohabiting	3,925	1.16 (1.10, 1.21)	<0.001	
Widowed/divorced/separated	12,000	1.11 (1.08, 1.14)	<0.001	
Never married	4,307	1.11 (1.07, 1.15)	<0.001	
Moderate recreational activities				0.394
Yes	8,459	1.10 (1.07, 1.14)	<0.001	
No	11,773	1.12 (1.10, 1.15)	<0.001	
PIR				0.863
<1.30	5,933	1.11 (1.07, 1.15)	<0.001	
1.30~3.49	8,754	1.12 (1.09, 1.15)	<0.001	
≥3.50	5,545	1.12 (1.08, 1.16)	<0.001	
Cigarette Use				0.131
Smoked $\geq$ 100 cigarettes in life	8,631	1.10 (1.07, 1.13)	<0.001	
Smoked < 100 cigarettes in life	11,601	1.13 (1.10, 1.16)	<0.001	
Diabetes				0.489
Yes	2,731	1.13 (1.08, 1.17)	<0.001	
No	17,501	1.11 (1.09, 1.14)	<0.001	
Hypertension				0.487
Yes	7,348	1.11 (1.08, 1.14)	<0.001	
No	12,884	1.12 (1.09, 1.16)	<0.001	
Cancer				0.513
Yes	1873	1.10 (1.05, 1.15)	<0.001	
No	18,359	1.12 (1.09, 1.14)	<0.001	

Age, sex, race, PIR, cigarette use, hypertension, education, diabetes status, cancer status, marital status, and moderate recreational activities were adjusted. *P* for interaction were used to evaluate whether the association between BRI and OA differed across various subgroups in the regression models, such as sex, education level, cigarette use, marital status, moderate recreational activities, PIR, hypertension, cancer, and diabetes mellitus. PIR, family income–poverty ratio.

(OA) (51). Specific adipokines, such as leptin, adiponectin, and resistin, are expressed at abnormal levels in the joint fluid and serum of patients with OA, indicating that they may play critical roles in the pathological process of OA. For example, leptin and resistin have been shown to

exert proinflammatory effects and enhance the expression of proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-1 $\beta$  and TNF- $\alpha$ . This, in turn, contributes to an increased risk of developing OA. In addition, Zhu and colleagues demonstrated that increasing Sirt3 expression in mice

reduced OA lesions induced by a high-fat diet (52). These findings suggest that Sirt3 may play a role in protecting cartilage and slowing OA progression. Furthermore, the symptoms associated with decreased Sirt3 expression levels in individuals on high-fat diets could provide a potential mechanism for the observed positive correlation between BRI and OA. These studies suggest a potential mechanism by which the BRI may contribute to the development of OA.

This study has several strengths. First, this is an innovative study revealing the association between the BRI and OA. In the present study, the BRI, an emerging assessment tool, was used instead of traditional obesity measurement indicators such as BMI or waist circumference to evaluate individual body characteristics more comprehensively. Second, the reliability and representativeness of our study were significantly enhanced by the large sample size collected from the NHANES database and the precise covariate correction measures. Additionally, the sensitivity analysis enabled us to effectively minimize the probability of false-positive results. However, this study also has several limitations. Specifically, the cross-sectional study design limits our ability to explore causal relationships directly. Although selfreported OA data is commonly used in large epidemiological studies, it is important to acknowledge its limitations compared to clinically assessed OA. it is known that OA prevalence varies depending on the definition used, with radiographic OA being more frequent than symptomatic OA. However, within individual joint sites, the prevalence estimates for self-reported OA and symptomatic OA tend to be similar, providing a reasonable approximation for large-scale studies like ours (53, 54). Despite this, since the osteoarthritis data is self-reported, recall bias among participants may have affected the accuracy of the included variables. Future studies with large-scale prospective samples are needed to better elucidate the potential causal relationships.

## 5 Conclusion

The results of our study demonstrate a significantly positive correlation between BRI and OA. This finding highlights the necessity of early intervention in individuals with elevated BRI to prevent the onset of OA. However, the results of the current cross-sectional study are insufficient to establish a direct causal relationship. Further largescale prospective studies are needed to validate our results.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# References

1. Chen L, Zhao Y, Liu F, Chen H, Tan T, Yao P, et al. Biological aging mediates the associations between urinary metals and osteoarthritis among U.S. adults. *BMC Med.* (2022) 20:207. doi: 10.1186/s12916-022-02403-3

3. Hunter DJ, March L, Chew M. Osteoarthritis in 2020 and beyond: a lancet commission. Lancet. (2020) 396:1711-2. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(20)32230-3

## **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

# Author contributions

HL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – original draft. WS: Data curation, Investigation, Software, Validation, Writing – review & editing. LL: Conceptualization, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. KY: Supervision, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Software, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2023A1515012751), the Guangzhou Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2024A04J3857), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2023M730793) and the Research Foundation of Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center for Clinical Doctors (2023BS014).

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

4. Cross M, Smith E, Hoy D, Nolte S, Ackerman I, Fransen M, et al. The global burden of hip and knee osteoarthritis: estimates from the global burden of disease 2010 study. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2014) 73:1323–30. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-204763

5. Whittaker JL, Truong LK, Dhiman K, Beck C. Osteoarthritis year in review 2020: rehabilitation and outcomes. *Osteoarthr Cartil.* (2021) 29:190–207. doi: 10.1016/j. joca.2020.10.005

<sup>2.</sup> Kolasinski SL, Neogi T, Hochberg MC, Oatis C, Guyatt G, Block J, et al. 2019 American College of Rheumatology/Arthritis Foundation guideline for the Management of Osteoarthritis of the hand, hip, and knee. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. (2020) 72:220–33. doi: 10.1002/art.41142

<sup>6.</sup> Palazzo C, Nguyen C, Lefevre-Colau MM, Rannou F, Poiraudeau S. Risk factors and burden of osteoarthritis. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med.* (2016) 59:134–8. doi: 10.1016/j. rehab.2016.01.006

7. Batushansky A, Zhu S, Komaravolu RK, South S, Mehta-D'souza P, Griffin TM. An initiative of osteoarthritis and cartilage. Obesity and metabolic factors in OA. Osteoarthr Cartil. (2022) 30:501–15. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2021.06.013

8. Nedunchezhiyan U, Varughese I, Sun AR, Wu X, Crawford R. Prasadam I obesity, inflammation, and immune system in osteoarthritis. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:907750. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.907750

9. Camacho S, Ruppel A. Is the calorie concept a real solution to the obesity epidemic? Glob Health Action. (2017) 10:1289650. doi: 10.1080/16549716.2017.1289650

10. Safaei M, Sundararajan EA, Driss M, Boulila W. Shapi'i a a systematic literature review on obesity: understanding the causes & consequences of obesity and reviewing various machine learning approaches used to predict obesity. *Comput Biol Med.* (2021) 136:104754. doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2021.104754

11. Pischon T, Boeing H, Hoffmann K, Bergmann M, Schulze MB, Overvad K, et al. General and abdominal adiposity and risk of death in Europe. *N Engl J Med.* (2008) 359:2105–20. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0801891

12. Zhang FL, Ren JX, Zhang P, Jin H, Qu Y, Yu Y, et al. Strong Association of Waist Circumference (WC), body mass index (BMI), waist-to-height ratio (WHR), and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) with diabetes: a population-based Cross-sectional study in Jilin Province, China. J Diabetes Res. (2021) 2021:8812431. doi: 10.1155/2021/8812431

13. Ashwell M, Gunn P, Gibson S. Waist-to-height ratio is a better screening tool than waist circumference and BMI for adult cardiometabolic risk factors: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev.* (2012) 13:275–86. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-789X.2011.00952.x

14. Thomas DM, Bredlau C, Bosy-Westphal A, Mueller M, Shen W, Gallagher D, et al. Relationships between body roundness with body fat and visceral adipose tissue emerging from a new geometrical model. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. (2013) 21:2264–71. doi: 10.1002/oby.20408

15. Chang Y, Guo X, Chen Y, Guo L, Li Z, Yu S, et al. A body shape index and body roundness index: two new body indices to identify diabetes mellitus among rural populations in Northeast China. *BMC Public Health*. (2015) 15:794. doi: 10.1186/ s12889-015-2150-2

16. Wu M, Yu X, Xu L, Wu S, Tian Y. Associations of longitudinal trajectories in body roundness index with mortality and cardiovascular outcomes: a cohort study. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2022) 115:671–8. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqab412

17. Zhang L, Yin J, Sun H, Dong W, Liu Z, Yang J, et al. The relationship between body roundness index and depression: a cross-sectional study using data from the National Health and nutrition examination survey (NHANES) 2011-2018. *J Affect Disord*. (2024) 361:17–23. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2024.05.153

18. Zhang X, Ding L, Hu H, He H, Xiong Z, Zhu X. Associations of body-roundness index and sarcopenia with cardiovascular disease among middle-aged and older adults: findings from CHARLS. *J Nutr Health Aging*. (2023) 27:953–9. doi: 10.1007/s12603-023-2001-2

19. Zhang X, Ma N, Lin Q, Chen K, Zheng F, Wu J, et al. Body roundness index and all-cause mortality among US adults. *JAMA Netw Open.* (2024) 7:e2415051. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.15051

20. Mahemuti N, Jing X, Zhang N, Liu C, Li C, Cui Z, et al. Association between systemic immunity-inflammation index and hyperlipidemia: a population-based study from the NHANES (2015-2020). *Nutrients*. (2023) 15:1177. doi: 10.3390/nu15051177

21. Wang X, Yang S, He G, Xie L. The association between weight-adjusted-waist index and total bone mineral density in adolescents: NHANES 2011-2018. *Front Endocrinol.* (2023) 14:1191501. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1191501

22. Li W, Song J, Chen Z. The association between dietary vitamin C intake and periodontitis: result from the NHANES (2009-2014). *BMC Oral Health*. (2022) 22:390. doi: 10.1186/s12903-022-02416-7

23. Zhou E, Wu J, Zhou X, Yin Y. The neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio predicts all-cause and cardiovascular mortality among U.S. adults with rheumatoid arthritis: results from NHANES 1999-2020. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1309835. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1309835

24. March LM, Schwarz JM, Carfrae BH, Bagge E. Clinical validation of self-reported osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthr Cartil.* (1998) 6:87–93. doi: 10.1053/joca.1997.0098

25. Carlson SR, Imam N, Seidenstein A, Klein G. Evaluation of weight loss counsel for osteoarthritis patients: a cross-sectional analysis of NHANES 2011-2018. *Osteoarthr Cartil.* (2024) 32:82–92. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2023.08.013

26. Deng X, Tan Y. A national cross-sectional analysis of selenium intake and risk of osteoarthritis: NHANES 2003-2016. *Front Public Health.* (2022) 10:1047605. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.1047605

27. Huang J, Han J, Rozi R, Fu B, Lu Z, Liu J, et al. Association between lipid accumulation products and osteoarthritis among adults in the United States: a cross-sectional study, NHANES 2017-2020. *Prev Med.* (2024) 180:107861. doi: 10.1016/j. ypmed.2024.107861

28. Wang X, Xie L, Yang S. Association between weight-adjusted-waist index and the prevalence of rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis: a population-based study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* (2023) 24:595. doi: 10.1186/s12891-023-06717-y

29. Yang ZJ, Liu Y, Liu YL, Qi B, Yuan X, Shi WX, et al. Osteoarthritis and hypertension: observational and Mendelian randomization analyses. *Arthritis Res Ther.* (2024) 26:88. doi: 10.1186/s13075-024-03321-w

30. Zhang G, Zhang H, Fu J, Zhao Y. Atherogenic index of plasma as a mediator in the association between body roundness index and depression: insights from NHANES 2005-2018. *Lipids Health Dis.* (2024) 23:183. doi: 10.1186/s12944-024-02177-y

31. Dreimüller N, Lieb K, Tadić A, Engelmann J, Wollschläger D, Wagner S. Body mass index (BMI) in major depressive disorder and its effects on depressive symptomatology and antidepressant response. *J Affect Disord.* (2019) 256:524–31. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2019.06.067

32. Zhang YB, Chen C, Pan XF, Guo J, Li Y, Franco OH, et al. Associations of healthy lifestyle and socioeconomic status with mortality and incident cardiovascular disease: two prospective cohort studies. *BMJ*. (2021) 373:n604. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n604

33. AL SSY, Natto ZS, Midle JB, Gyurko R, O'Neill R, Steffensen B. Association between time since quitting smoking and periodontitis in former smokers in the National Health and nutrition examination surveys (NHANES) 2009 to 2012. *J Periodontol.* (2019) 90:16–25. doi: 10.1002/jper.18-0183

34. Wang T, He C. Pro-inflammatory cytokines: the link between obesity and osteoarthritis. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* (2018) 44:38–50. doi: 10.1016/j. cytogfr.2018.10.002

35. Zhang L, Zhang W, Wu X, Cui H, Yan P, Yang C, et al. A sex-and site-specific relationship between body mass index and osteoarthritis: evidence from observational and genetic analyses. *Osteoarthr Cartil.* (2023) 31:819–28. doi: 10.1016/j. joca.2023.02.073

36. Christiansen MB, Thoma LM, Master H, Voinier D, White DK. The Association of an Increasing Waist Circumference and Risk of incident low physical function in adults with knee osteoarthritis. *J Rheumatol.* (2020) 47:1550–6. doi: 10.3899/ jrheum.190876

37. Misra D, Fielding RA, Felson DT, Niu J, Brown C, Nevitt M, et al. Risk of knee osteoarthritis with obesity, Sarcopenic obesity, and sarcopenia. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* (2019) 71:232–7. doi: 10.1002/art.40692

38. Chen S, Han H, Jin J, Zhou G, Li Z. Osteoarthritis and sarcopenia-related traits: the cross-sectional study from NHANES 2011–2014 and Mendelian randomization study. *J Orthop Surg Res.* (2023) 18:502. doi: 10.1186/s13018-023-03960-w

39. Yang J, Liu P, Wang S, Jiang T, Zhang Y, Liu W. Causal relationship between sarcopenia and osteoarthritis: a bi-directional two-sample mendelian randomized study. *Eur J Med Res.* (2023) 28:327. doi: 10.1186/s40001-023-01322-0

40. Zhang Y, Gao W, Ren R, Liu Y, Li B, Wang A, et al. Body roundness index is related to the low estimated glomerular filtration rate in Chinese population: a cross-sectional study. *Front Endocrinol.* (2023) 14:1148662. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2023.1148662

41. Wu L, Pu H, Zhang M, Hu H, Wan Q. Non-linear relationship between the body roundness index and incident type 2 diabetes in Japan: a secondary retrospective analysis. *J Transl Med.* (2022) 20:110. doi: 10.1186/s12967-022-03321-x

42. Li Z, Fan C, Huang J, Chen Z, Yu X, Qian J. Non-linear relationship between the body roundness index and metabolic syndrome: data from National Health and nutrition examination survey (NHANES) 1999-2018. *Br J Nutr.* (2024) 131:1852–9. doi: 10.1017/s0007114524000357

43. Felson DT, Anderson JJ, Naimark A, Walker AM, Meenan RF. Obesity and knee osteoarthritis. The Framingham Study. *Ann Intern Med.* (1988) 109:18–24. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-109-1-18

44. Liu B, Liu B, Wu G, Yin F. Relationship between body-roundness index and metabolic syndrome in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes*. (2019) 12:931–5. doi: 10.2147/dmso.S209964

45. Liu SY, Zhu WT, Chen BW, Chen YH, Ni GX. Bidirectional association between metabolic syndrome and osteoarthritis: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Diabetol Metab Syndr*. (2020) 12:38. doi: 10.1186/s13098-020-00547-x

46. Rico-Martín S, Calderón-García JF, Sánchez-Rey P, Franco-Antonio C, Martínez Alvarez M, Sánchez Muñoz-Torrero JF. Effectiveness of body roundness index in predicting metabolic syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev.* (2020) 21:e13023. doi: 10.1111/obr.13023

47. Zhan Q, An Q, Zhang F, Zhang T, Liu T, Wang Y. Body roundness index and the risk of hypertension: a prospective cohort study in Southwest China. *BMC Public Health*. (2024) 24:2539. doi: 10.1186/s12889-024-20049-z

48. Allen KD, Thoma LM, Golightly YM. Epidemiology of osteoarthritis. Osteoarthr Cartil. (2022) 30:184–95. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2021.04.020

49. Pishgar F, Kwee RM, Haj-Mirzaian A, Guermazi A, Haugen IK, Demehri S. Association between race and radiographic, symptomatic, and clinical hand osteoarthritis: a propensity score-matched study using osteoarthritis initiative data. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* (2022) 74:453–61. doi: 10.1002/art.41231

50. Sampath SJP, Venkatesan V, Ghosh S, Kotikalapudi N. Obesity, metabolic syndrome, and osteoarthritis-An updated review. *Curr Obes Rep.* (2023) 12:308–31. doi: 10.1007/s13679-023-00520-5

51. Xie C, Chen Q. Adipokines: new therapeutic target for osteoarthritis? *Curr Rheumatol Rep.* (2019) 21:71. doi: 10.1007/s11926-019-0868-z

52. Zhu S, Donovan EL, Makosa D, Mehta-D'souza P, Jopkiewicz A, Batushansky A, et al. Sirt3 promotes Chondrogenesis, chondrocyte mitochondrial respiration and the development of high-fat diet-induced osteoarthritis in mice. *J Bone Miner Res.* (2022) 37:2531–47. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.4721

53. Jordan JM, Helmick CG, Renner JB, Luta G, Dragomir AD, Woodard J, et al. Prevalence of knee symptoms and radiographic and symptomatic knee osteoarthritis in African Americans and Caucasians: the Johnston County osteoarthritis project. *J Rheumatol.* (2007) 34:172–80.

54. Pereira D, Peleteiro B, Araújo J, Branco J, Santos RA, Ramos E. The effect of osteoarthritis definition on prevalence and incidence estimates: a systematic review. *Osteoarthr Cartil.* (2011) 19:1270–85. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2011. 08.009