



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY

David Christopher Nieman,
Appalachian State University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE

Diego A. Bonilla
✉ dabonilla@dbss.pro

RECEIVED 19 July 2024

ACCEPTED 20 August 2024

PUBLISHED 03 September 2024

CITATION

Bonilla DA, Petro JL, Cannataro R, Kreider RB
and Stout JR (2024) Editorial: New insights
and advances in body recomposition.

Front. Nutr. 11:1467406.

doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1467406

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Bonilla, Petro, Cannataro, Kreider and Stout. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: New insights and advances in body recomposition

Diego A. Bonilla^{1,2,3*}, Jorge L. Petro^{1,2}, Roberto Cannataro^{1,4,5},
Richard B. Kreider⁶ and Jeffrey R. Stout⁷

¹Research Division, Dynamical Business and Science Society–DBSS International SAS, Bogotá, Colombia, ²Research Group in Physical Activity, Sports and Health Sciences (GICAFS), Universidad de Córdoba, Montería, Colombia, ³Hologenomiks Research Group, Department of Genetics, Physical Anthropology and Animal Physiology, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), Leioa, Spain, ⁴Department of Pharmacy, Health and Nutritional Sciences, University of Calabria, Rende, Italy, ⁵Galascreen Laboratories, University of Calabria, Rende, Italy, ⁶Exercise and Sport Nutrition Laboratory, Human Clinical Research Facility, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, United States, ⁷Physiology of Work and Exercise Response (POWER) Laboratory, Institute of Exercise Physiology and Rehabilitation Science, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL, United States

KEYWORDS

body composition, physiological stress responses, skeletal muscle, nutritional physiological phenomena, sports nutrition, dietary supplements

Editorial on the Research Topic

New insights and advances in body recomposition

Body recomposition is commonly defined as the simultaneous process of reducing body fat while maintaining or increasing lean mass, frequently with no changes in total body mass. It has gained popularity in the exercise and sports nutrition field, particularly in the fitness and bodybuilding sector. Although body recomposition is a relatively new term, the scientific world has examined this phenomenon for decades. Researchers have studied and developed strategies to reduce fat mass (FM) while preserving skeletal muscle mass (SMM) and resting energy expenditure (REE) through the implementation of different types of exercise and diet intervention programs—for example, for the prevention and management of obesity (1).

In particular, the Research Topic “*New Insights and Advances in Body Recomposition*” offers new contributions in the areas of body composition assessment, dietary interventions, and training recommendations for diverse populations. In the article “*Deuterium oxide validation of bioimpedance total body water estimates in Hispanic adults*,” Tinsley et al. validated the use of single-frequency BIA (Quantum V, RJL Systems, USA) and bioimpedance spectroscopy (SFB7 Impedimed, Australia) devices for the estimation of total body water using the Deuterium oxide dilution technique as reference in Hispanic adults. Importantly, the standard error of estimate and total error values were ≤ 2.3 L and Lin’s concordance correlation coefficient were ≥ 0.96 for all comparisons.

From a nutritional perspective, rather than using a continuous and aggressive energy deficit, the application of a high-protein diet with intermittent and progressive energy restrictions plus resistance training (RT) might preserve fat-free mass (FFM) (2) and enhance dietary adherence (3) during body recomposition. The characteristics and effects of diet refeeds and diet breaks during a physique contest preparation are discussed elsewhere (4) albeit short-term benefits of the latter seems debatable in resistance-trained females (5). Creatine monohydrate is another valuable nutritional strategy that should be considered (6). What seems clear is the positive and protective effects of the high-protein

diets on lean mass. In the article “*Effects of 8 weeks of resistance training in combination with a high protein diet on body composition, muscular performance, and markers of liver and kidney function in untrained older ex-military men*,” Bagheri et al. demonstrated that a daily protein intake of 1.6 g/kg/day is superior to 0.8 g/kg/day for promoting greater improvements in BIA-estimated SMM and 1-RM during a 8-week RT program in untrained older ex-military men (>60 years).

Interestingly, the findings reported in the article “*Effects of 8-week alkaline diet and aerobic exercise on body composition, aerobic performance, and lipid profiles in sedentary women*” showed the potential of alkaline foods to reduce markers associated with cardiometabolic risk (Yalcinkaya et al.). This dietary regimen is also called negative potential renal acid load (PRAL) and generally consist of diets rich in vegetables and fruits, alkali-rich, and low-phosphorus beverages. Although significant improvements were found in BMI, cardiovascular performance, and TG and c-LDL concentrations, the groups with the alkaline diet intervention failed to show improvements in body recomposition, possibly due to limited protein intake. Further clinical trials are needed in sedentary and other populations before drawing definitive conclusions on health metabolic protection. This is particularly important if we consider that available meta-analytic evidence does not support the potential detrimental effects of high-phosphate intake on bone health (7) or the use of alkaline diets to prevent calcium loss (8) or to protect bone health (9).

There is collective awareness among scientists and practitioners on the importance of optimizing fat loss through interventions that avoid generalized loss of muscle mass or physical function such as RT or high-protein diets. In fact, maintaining adequate skeletal muscle status (quantity, structure, and metabolic-endocrine function) is crucial for health and disease. Since body recomposition has been demonstrated to occur in untrained, trained and highly trained populations of different ages, exercise training strategies represent an active line of study to enhance the efficiency of individual/population adaptive processes. In this context, strength training, whether combined with other anti-sarcopenia strategies (such as a high-protein diet or creatine supplementation) or not, serves as a safe and effective approach to counteract the progression of sarcopenia. It does so by enhancing body composition (increasing muscle mass) and functionality, both of which are negatively impacted by aging (10). In the article “*Characteristics of resistance training-based protocols in older adults with sarcopenic obesity: a scoping review of training procedure recommendations*,” Silva et al. summarized easy-to-understand recommendations for detailing the characteristics of RT protocols prescribed for older adults with sarcopenic obesity. This not only may contribute to clinical practice but also provides insights and highlights gaps in literature to commission future research.

Author contributions

DAB: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision,

Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JP: Conceptualization, Data curation, Validation, Writing – review & editing. RC: Writing – review & editing. RBK: Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization, Supervision, Validation. JS: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Writing – original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

Authors would like to thank the authors and reviewers who have participated in this Research Topic.

Conflict of interest

DAB serves as scientific and managing director of KreaFood—an R&D&I Project by DABSS, has served as science product manager for MTX Corporation® in Europe, has acted as a scientific consultant for MET-Rx and Healthy Sports in Colombia, and has received honoraria for speaking about body recomposition at international conferences and private courses. RBK has conducted industry-sponsored research on creatine, received financial support for presenting on dietary supplements at industry-sponsored scientific conferences, and has served as an expert witness on cases related to creatine. JS has conducted industry-sponsored research on sports nutrition over the past 25 years. Further, JS has also received financial support for presenting on the science of various nutraceuticals, at industry-sponsored scientific conferences. Finally, RBK serves as chair of the “Creatine for Health” scientific advisory board sponsored by Creapure® and Creavitalis®–Alzchem Group AG while DAB and JS serve as members of this board.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher’s note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Kreider RB. Exercise and nutritional strategies to promote weight loss: a narrative review. *OBM Integr Complement Med.* (2021) 6:041. doi: 10.21926/obm.icm.2104041
2. Campbell BI, Aguilar D, Colenso-Semple LM, Hartke K, Fleming AR, Fox CD, et al. Intermittent energy restriction attenuates the loss of fat free mass in resistance trained individuals: a randomized controlled trial. *J Funct Morphol Kinesiol.* (2020) 5:19. doi: 10.3390/jfmk5010019
3. Vargas-Molina S, Bonilla DA, Petro JL, Carbone L, Garcia-Sillero M, Jurado-Castro JM, et al. Efficacy of progressive versus severe energy restriction on body composition and strength in concurrent trained women. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* (2023) 123:1311–21. doi: 10.1007/s00421-023-05158-8
4. Escalante G, Campbell BI, Norton L. Effectiveness of diet refeeds and diet breaks as a precontest strategy. *Strength Cond J.* (2020) 42:102–7. doi: 10.1519/SSC.0000000000000546
5. Siedler MR, Lewis MH, Trexler ET, Lamadrid P, Waddell BJ, Bishop SF, et al. The effects of intermittent diet breaks during 25% energy restriction on body composition and resting metabolic rate in resistance-trained females: a randomized controlled trial. *J Hum Kinet.* (2023) 86:117–32. doi: 10.5114/jhk/159960
6. Bonilla DA, Kreider RB, Petro JL, Romance R, Garcia-Sillero M, Benitez-Porres J, et al. Creatine enhances the effects of cluster-set resistance training on lower-limb body composition and strength in resistance-trained men: a pilot study. *Nutrients.* (2021) 13:2303. doi: 10.3390/nu13072303
7. Fenton TR, Lyon AW, Eliasziw M, Tough SC, Hanley DA. Phosphate decreases urine calcium and increases calcium balance: a meta-analysis of the osteoporosis acid-ash diet hypothesis. *Nutr J.* (2009) 8:41. doi: 10.1186/1475-2891-8-41
8. Fenton TR, Lyon AW, Eliasziw M, Tough SC, Hanley DA. Meta-analysis of the effect of the acid-ash hypothesis of osteoporosis on calcium balance. *J Bone Miner Res.* (2009) 24:1835–40. doi: 10.1359/jbmr.090515
9. Fenton TR, Tough SC, Lyon AW, Eliasziw M, Hanley DA. Causal assessment of dietary acid load and bone disease: a systematic review & meta-analysis applying Hill's epidemiologic criteria for causality. *Nutr J.* (2011) 10:41. doi: 10.1186/1475-2891-10-41
10. Cannataro R, Cione E, Bonilla DA, Cerullo G, Angelini F, D'Antona G. Strength training in elderly: an useful tool against sarcopenia. *Front Sports Act Living.* (2022) 4:950949. doi: 10.3389/fspor.2022.950949