Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Chandra K. Singh, University of Wisconsin-Madison, United States

REVIEWED BY Sireesh Kumar Teertam, University of Wisconsin-Madison, United States Li-Da Wu, Nanjing Medical University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Wei Wang ⊠ w2wangwei@126.com

RECEIVED 09 July 2024 ACCEPTED 20 November 2024 PUBLISHED 23 December 2024

CITATION

Zhou Q, Wang W, Wang J, Li C and Ji J (2024) Exploring the relationship between total serum calcium and melanoma development: a cross-sectional study. *Front. Nutr.* 11:1461818. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1461818

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Zhou, Wang, Wang, Li and Ji. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Exploring the relationship between total serum calcium and melanoma development: a cross-sectional study

Qiaochu Zhou, Wei Wang*, Jinhui Wang, Changchang Li and Jianle Ji

Wenzhou Hospital of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine, Wenzhou, China

Background: Melanoma is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide. The continuous exploration and reporting of risk factors of melanoma is important for standardizing and reducing the incidence of the disease. Calcium signaling is a promising therapeutic target for melanoma; however, the relationship between total serum calcium levels and melanoma development remains unclear.

Methods: In this study, we included patients with melanoma from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) database from 2003 to 2006 and from 2009 to 2016. The baseline clinical characteristics of the participants were analyzed using the chi-square and rank-sum tests. Subsequently, a fitted model was constructed to evaluate the relationship between total serum calcium levels and melanoma development. The performance of total serum calcium levels and covariates in predicting the risk of melanoma was assessed based on ROC curves. Finally, LASSO regression analysis was performed using the "glmnet" R package to identify clinical characteristics associated with melanoma.

Results: A total of 13,432 participants were included in this study. Age, race, household poverty-to-income ratio, response of the skin to sunlight after a certain period of non-exposure, wearing long-sleeved shirts, frequency of sunscreen use, and arthritis were significantly correlated with the development of melanoma. The *p*-values of total serum calcium levels in three fitted models were < 0.05, and the OR values were < 1. According to the ROC curves, the AUC values of models 2 and 3 were 0.728 and 0.766, respectively, indicating that the combination of total serum calcium levels and covariates showed better performance in predicting the occurrence of melanoma. Furthermore, LASSO regression analysis revealed seven clinical characteristics significantly associated with melanoma.

Conclusion: This study revealed a relationship between total serum calcium levels and melanoma development. Total serum calcium levels combined with phenotypic and clinical characteristics were found to be more effective in predicting the occurrence of melanoma. Therefore, the relationship between total serum calcium levels and melanoma development warrants further investigation in prospective cohort studies.

KEYWORDS

melanoma, NHANES, total serum calcium, LASSO, cross-sectional study

1 Introduction

Melanoma is a life-threatening malignant skin tumor that originates from melanocytes. In addition to affecting the skin, melanocytes can form pigments in the eyes, ears, leptomeninges, gastrointestinal tract, oral mucous membranes, genital mucous membranes, and sinonasal mucous membranes. Based on clinical and pathological features, nine subtypes or pathways of melanoma have been identified (1). Melanoma is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide (2), representing a serious public health concern. In 2017, the age-adjusted incidence of melanoma in the United States was 22.7 cases per 100,000 people, which was projected to increase by 5.8% by 2021 (3). In a study, a combinatorial cluster analysis of melanoma images revealed five morphological subtypes of melanoma as follows: typical, nevuslike, non-pigmented/non-melanoma skin cancer-like, seborrheic keratosis-like, and freckle-like/lentigo maligna-like (4). Cutaneous melanoma accounts for >90% of all melanoma cases in White populations (5). Although melanoma accounts for only 3% of all skin cancer cases, 65% of all skin cancer-related deaths are caused by melanoma (6). The mainstay of treatment for melanoma is surgical removal of the primary tumor, whereas other treatment options are recommended based on staging. The time interval between diagnosis and treatment is a determinant of survival in patients with melanoma (7). With the progress and development of society, the living environments and habits of individuals are also changing. Therefore, to reduce the incidence of melanoma, its risk factors should be continuously explored and updated and actively applied to the screening of high-risk patients.

Mitochondria primarily perform many functions related to cellular metabolism and homogenous stability. The outer mitochondrial membrane plays an important role in calcium signaling between mitochondria and the endoplasmic reticulum. Endoplasmic reticulum stress in the tumor microenvironment is a common driver of tumor progression. The uncontrolled proliferation of malignant tumor cells leads to the production of an unfavorable microenvironment characterized by high metabolic demands, hypoxia, nutrient deficiencies, and acidosis, all of which in turn disrupt calcium homeostasis. These harmful conditions alter the protein-folding capacity of the endoplasmic reticulum in cancer cells and tumor-infiltrating immune cells. This alteration promotes the accumulation of misfolded or unfolded proteins within the endoplasmic reticulum, leading to endoplasmic reticulum stress (8). Hypoxia may have different effects on cancer cells and tumorinfiltrating immune cells throughout cancer development. It induces the release of exosomes via monocarboxylate transporter isoform 1 (MCT1) and CD147 in a calcium-dependent manner (9). The planar cell polarity (PCP) pathway is divided into Wnt and calcium pathways (10). The Wnt signaling pathway influences cellular phenotypic changes, promotes treatment resistance, and regulates the immune microenvironment in melanoma (11). The pathway that identified pathways associated with cancer progression included the calcium signaling pathway (12). Calcium ions are involved in regulating cell motility, gene transcription, muscle contraction, and exocytosis. Intracellular Ca²⁺ signaling plays a crucial role in modulating various cellular functions. Moreover, the spatial and temporal coordination of Ca²⁺ signals within cells is as important as stimulation by chemoattractants extracellularly. During cell migration, Ca2+ signaling is involved in directional sensing, cytoskeleton redistribution, and relocation of focal adhesion (13). Disruption of calcium homeostasis has been identified as a key factor involved in various processes related to cancer development, including cancer cell growth, migration, and invasion (14).

Altered mitochondrial function in melanoma cells can directly affect melanoma development, whereas altered mitochondrial function in immune cells can indirectly affect melanoma development (15). Intracellular calcium concentration ([Ca²⁺]i), calcium channels on the cell membrane, calcium signaling-related proteins (S100 family proteins, E-Ca²⁺, E-calmodulin, and calpain), cytosolic calcium channels, and the Wnt/Ca²⁺ pathway have been associated with the development and progression of melanoma. According to a crosssectional study, an increase in albumin-corrected serum calcium levels may serve as a marker of disease progression in cutaneous malignant melanoma (16). Calcium signaling affects the melanoma microenvironment, including immune cells, the extracellular matrix (ECM), the vascular network, and the chemical and physical environments. A study reveals that under conditions of extracellular Ca²⁺ presence, mouse melanoma cells show a reduction in both actin cables and tubulin networks of mouse melanoma cells. This change in the morphology of melanoma cells is attributed to an abnormally excessive uptake of Ca2+ by the cells from the culture medium, resulting in a distortion of the cell shape (17). The ionophore application in a basal medium containing Ca²⁺ initiated a sustained influx, and Ca2+ is a potential down-regulator of alpha2beta1-integrin ligation of A2058 human melanoma cell chemotaxis to type IV collagen (18).

Other ion channels, such as sodium and potassium channels, are also involved in the calcium signaling pathway in melanoma. Calcium signaling is a promising therapeutic target for melanoma, and its dysregulation may serve as a predictive marker for the disease (19). Although serum calcium is reported to be involved in the development and progression of melanoma, its specific molecular mechanisms remain elusive. Moreover, observational studies investigating the relationship between total serum calcium levels and the risk of melanoma are lacking at present.

This study aimed to investigate the role of total serum calcium in melanoma. The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) is a continuous survey of the health and nutrition of the US population. In this study, we used serum calcium data from the NHANES database to investigate the relationship between total serum calcium levels and the risk of melanoma.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study population

Data reported between 2003 and 2006 and between 2009 and 2016 were retrieved from the NHANES database.¹ Participants lacking data on melanoma and covariates and having a history of other cancers were excluded. Eventually, a total of 13,432 participants were selected for subsequent analysis (control = 13,393; case = 39).

¹ https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/search/

2.2 Disease definition

Participants who had responded "Yes" to MCQ220 of the Medical Conditions Questionnaire (MCQ), "Have you ever been told by a doctor or health professional that you have a malignant tumor?" and "Melanoma" to MCQ230, "What kind of cancer?" were identified as patients with melanoma and included in the case group. Participants who had responded "No" to question MCQ220 were included in the control group.

2.3 Covariates

The following covariates were included in this study: age (years, mean ± SD) (RIDAGEYR), race (Mexican American, Other Hispanic, Non-Hispanic White, Non-Hispanic Black, and Other Races) (RIDRETH1), sex (male and female) (RIAGENDR), education level (9th grade or lower, 9-11th grade, high school graduate, some college, and college graduate or above) (DMDEDUC2), household poverty-toincome ratio (mean \pm SD) (INDFMPIR), body mass index (mean \pm SD) (BMXBMI), drinking status (yes and no) (ALQ101), cigarette smoking (yes and no) (SMQ020), response of the skin to sunlight after a certain period of non-exposure (get a severe sunburn with blisters, a severe sunburn for a few days with peeling, mildly burned with some tanning, turning darker without a sunburn, nothing would happen in half an hour, and other reactions) (DED031), staying in shadows (always, most of the time, sometimes, rarely, and never) (DEQ034A), wearing longsleeved shirts (always, most of the time, sometimes, rarely, and never) (DEQ034C), frequency of sunscreen use (always, most of the time, sometimes, rarely, and never) (DEQ034D), sunburn (yes and no) (DEQ038G), high cholesterol levels (yes and no) (BPQ080), arthritis (yes and no) (MCQ160A), chronic bronchitis (yes and no) (MCQ160K), diabetes mellitus (yes and no) (DIQ010), high blood pressure (yes and no) (BPQ020), and serum total calcium levels (mean \pm SD) (LBXSCA). Baseline statistics were shown in Table 1.

2.4 Statistical analysis

The chi-square test (for categorical variables) and the rank-sum test (for continuous variables) were used to compare clinical characteristics between the control and case groups, and baseline statistical tables were used to present the results. The relationship between total serum calcium levels and the risk of melanoma was estimated by constructing fitted models using the "survey" package in R (version 4.4-1) (20).

This process included weighting, first, we calculated a new variable, preweight, by dividing WTMEC2YR (the weight of the sample over a two-year cycle) by six. Subsequently, using the svydesign function, we defined a sampling design object. This object contained the following core components: the primary sampling unit (PSU) was specified through ids = ~SDMVPSU, where SDMVPSU was the key variable for sample grouping; the stratification variable was identified through strata = ~SDMVSTRA, which represented the basis for stratification in the sampling process; the weights = ~ preweight specifies the weight variable, here the preweight variable that had been calculated earlier is used; by setting nest = TRUE, a weighted sampling design object called stamoch lead was finally obtained, which

integrated the information of stratification, weight and clustering and ensured that the subsequent analyses can accurately reflect the NHANES sampling design characteristics. Model 1 incorporated the risk of melanoma and total serum calcium levels. Model 2 was adjusted for age, sex, household poverty-to-income ratio, education level, and body mass index based on model 1. Model 3 further incorporated drinking status, smoking status, response of the skin to sunlight after non-exposure, staying in shadows, wearing long-sleeved shirts, frequency of sunscreen use, sunburn, high cholesterol levels, arthritis, chronic bronchitis, diabetes, and high blood pressure. The "pROC" package in R (version 1.18.0, PMID:21414208) was used to plot the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves of the three models and calculate the area under the curve (AUC) values. The "glmnet" package in R (version 4.1-4, PMID: 20808728) was used to perform LASSO regression analysis to identify clinical characteristics that were significantly associated with the risk of melanoma. A p-value of <0.05 indicated statistical significance. Sample information and number of covariates are in Supplementary Table S1.

3 Results

3.1 Baseline statistics

A total of 13,432 participants (control = 13,393; case = 39) from the NHANES database were included in this study. The baseline clinical characteristics of these participants are shown in Table 1. Several covariates were compared between the control and case (melanoma) groups. The results showed that age, race, household poverty-toincome ratio, response of the skin to sunlight after non-exposure, wearing long-sleeved shirts, frequency of sunscreen use, and arthritis were significantly associated with the development of melanoma.

3.2 Association of total serum calcium levels with melanoma risk

Three fitted models were constructed to assess the relationship between total serum calcium levels and the risk of melanoma. The risk ratios of the three models are shown in Table 2 and Figures 1, 2. In all three models, the *p*-values of total serum calcium levels were < 0.05 and the OR values were < 1, which indicated that total serum calcium had a significant effect on the development of melanoma and was negatively correlated with the risk of melanoma. In addition, age had a significant effect on the development of melanoma.

3.3 ROC curves

The ROC curve is considered the most important tool for evaluating the performance of medical diagnostic tests and predictive models. The closer the AUC value to 1, the stronger the predictive ability of the test or the model. The AUC values of models 2 and 3 were 0.728 and 0.766, respectively (Figure 3). These results indicated that total serum calcium levels combined with some other covariates had a superior ability to predict the occurrence of melanoma.

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of the participants.

	level	Overall	0	1	р
n		13432	13393	39	
Drink (%)	No	3389 (25.2)	3382 (25.3)	7 (17.9)	0.388
	Yes	10043 (74.8)	10011 (74.7)	32 (82.1)	
BMI (mean (SD))		29.40 (7.31)	29.40 (7.30)	29.03 (7.85)	0.749
High_cholesterol (%)	No	9658 (71.9)	9634 (71.9)	24 (61.5)	0.206
	Yes	3774 (28.1)	3759 (28.1)	15 (38.5)	
High_blood_pressure					
(%)	No	10099 (75.2)	10072 (75.2)	27 (69.2)	0.499
	Yes	3333 (24.8)	3321 (24.8)	12 (30.8)	
Age (mean (SD))		39.72 (11.11)	39.70 (11.11)	47.64 (9.96)	<0.001
Race (%)	Mexican American	1969 (14.7)	1969 (14.7)	0 (0.0)	<0.001
	Non-Hispanic Black	2911 (21.7)	2908 (21.7)	3 (7.7)	
	Non-Hispanic White	5861 (43.6)	5827 (43.5)	34 (87.2)	
	Other Hispanic	1103 (8.2)	1102 (8.2)	1 (2.6)	
	Other Race	1588 (11.8)	1587 (11.8)	1 (2.6)	
Gender (%)	Female	6941 (51.7)	6917 (51.6)	24 (61.5)	0.283
	Male	6491 (48.3)	6476 (48.4)	15 (38.5)	
Education_level (%)	9-11th Grade	1553 (11.6)	1550 (11.6)	3 (7.7)	0.366
	College Graduate or above	3811 (28.4)	3798 (28.4)	13 (33.3)	
	High School Graduate	2843 (21.2)	2839 (21.2)	4 (10.3)	
	Less Than 9th Grade	752 (5.6)	750 (5.6)	2 (5.1)	
	Some College	4473 (33.3)	4456 (33.3)	17 (43.6)	
PIR (mean (SD))		2.69 (1.67)	2.69 (1.67)	3.34 (1.65)	0.015
	A severe sunburn for a				
Skin_reaction (%)	few days with peeling	1105 (8.2)	1096 (8.2)	9 (23.1)	0.001
	Get a severe sunburn with				
	blisters	270 (2.0)	269 (2.0)	1 (2.6)	
	Mildly burned with some	2420 (25.6)	2422 (25.6)	16 (41.0)	
	tanning	5459 (25.6)	5425 (25.6)	16 (41.0)	
	half an hour	5366 (39.9)	5358 (40.0)	8 (20.5)	
	Other	119 (0.9)	119 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	
	Turning darker without a				
	sunburn	3133 (23.3)	3128 (23.4)	5 (12.8)	
Stay_in_the_shade					
(%)	always	1310 (9.8)	1307 (9.8)	3 (7.7)	0.136
	Most of the time	3398 (25.3)	3381 (25.2)	17 (43.6)	
	Never?	1260 (9.4)	1257 (9.4)	3 (7.7)	
	rarely, or	2136 (15.9)	2131 (15.9)	5 (12.8)	
	sometimes	5328 (39.7)	5317 (39.7)	11 (28.2)	
Long_sleeved_shirt					
(%)	always	619 (4.6)	617 (4.6)	2 (5.1)	0.034
	Most of the time	760 (5.7)	754 (5.6)	6 (15.4)	
	Never?	6584 (49.0)	6572 (49.1)	12 (30.8)	
	rarely, or	2735 (20.4)	2724 (20.3)	11 (28.2)	

(Continued)

	level	Overall	0	1	р
n		13432	13393	39	
	sometimes	2734 (20.4)	2726 (20.4)	8 (20.5)	
Sunscreen (%)	always	1465 (10.9)	1456 (10.9)	9 (23.1)	0.009
	Most of the time	1828 (13.6)	1818 (13.6)	10 (25.6)	
	Never?	5391 (40.1)	5383 (40.2)	8 (20.5)	
	rarely, or	1977 (14.7)	1972 (14.7)	5 (12.8)	
	sometimes	2771 (20.6)	2764 (20.6)	7 (17.9)	
Sunburn (%)	No	8192 (61.0)	8173 (61.0)	19 (48.7)	0.159
	Yes	5240 (39.0)	5220 (39.0)	20 (51.3)	
Diabetes (%)	No	12371 (92.1)	12337 (92.1)	34 (87.2)	0.399
	Yes	1061 (7.9)	1056 (7.9)	5 (12.8)	
Arthritis (%)	No	11278 (84.0)	11254 (84.0)	24 (61.5)	<0.001
	Yes	2154 (16.0)	2139 (16.0)	15 (38.5)	
chronic_bronchitis (%)	No	12799 (95.3)	12764 (95.3)	35 (89.7)	0.208
	Yes	633 (4.7)	629 (4.7)	4 (10.3)	
Smoke (%)	No	7924 (59.0)	7904 (59.0)	20 (51.3)	0.414
	Yes	5508 (41.0)	5489 (41.0)	19 (48.7)	
Total_Calcium (mean (SD))		9.40 (0.36)	9.40 (0.36)	9.30 (0.40)	0.104

TABLE 1 (Continued)

TABLE 2 Risk ratios in the fitted models.

Characteristic	OR ¹	95% Cl ¹	p-value
Total_Calcium	0.22	0.08, 0.65	0.007

¹OR = Odds Ratio, CI = Confidence Interval

3.4 LASSO regression analysis

Clinical characteristics significantly associated with melanoma were identified using LASSO regression analysis. The LASSO model with the smallest error (lambda.min = 0.00056) was considered optimal (Figure 4). According to this model, age, household povertyto-income ratio, response of the skin to sunlight after non-exposure, wearing long-sleeved shirts, frequency of sunscreen use, arthritis, and serum total calcium levels were significantly associated with the development of melanoma.

4 Discussion

In this study, we evaluated the relationship between total serum calcium levels and the risk of melanoma using data from the NHANES database. The clinical data of 13,432 participants (control = 13,393; case = 39) reported between 2003 and 2006 and between 2009 and 2016 were retrieved. Data on melanoma, total serum calcium levels, and some other covariates were used for summary analysis and model fitting. The relationship between each clinical characteristic and the risk of melanoma was analyzed using the chi-square (categorical variables) and rank-sum (continuous variables) tests. The results showed that age, race, household

poverty-to-income ratio, response of the skin to sunlight after non-exposure, wearing long-sleeved shirts, frequency of sunscreen use, and arthritis were significantly associated with the occurrence of melanoma (p < 0.05). Subsequently, three fitted models were constructed to assess the relationship between total serum calcium levels and the risk of melanoma. In all three models, the *p*-values of total serum calcium levels were < 0.05 and the OR values were < 1. These results indicated that total serum calcium levels had a significant effect on the risk of melanoma and the two factors were negatively correlated. Among the other covariates, age was found to have a significant effect on the risk of melanoma. The AUC values of models 2 and 3 were 0.728 and 0.766, respectively, indicating that the combination of total serum calcium levels with some other covariates had a superior ability to predict the occurrence of melanoma. In addition, we reviewed previous studies and found similar results. One study showed that pathophysiological abnormalities associated with serum calcium levels appeared to be related to the accelerated development of arterial stiffness with age (21). There was also a study that concluded female gender was an independent favourable prognostic factor for melanoma survival (22). Cancer has various paraneoplastic effects, including hypercalcemia. A cross-sectional study of 644 patients with malignant melanoma showed that albumin-corrected calcium levels were positively associated with the stage of the disease. This finding suggests that albumin-corrected



Risk ratios in model 2. Model 2 was adjusted for age, sex, household poverty-to-income ratio, education level, and body mass index based on model 1, and model 3 further incorporated drinking status, smoking status, response of the skin to sunlight after non-exposure, staying in shadows, wearing long-sleeved shirts, frequency of sunscreen use, sunburn, high cholesterol levels, arthritis, chronic bronchitis, diabetes, and high blood pressure.

serum calcium levels can indicate melanoma progression before clinical signs are evident (16). Another study proposed a model demonstrating that metastatic melanoma leads to reprogramming of organ function through the formation of platelet-activating factor from long-chain polyunsaturated phosphatidylcholine under oxidative conditions and through the systemic induction of intracellular calcium mobilization. Calcium mobilization in platelets can alter the levels of disease markers therein (23). An increase or decrease in extracellular Ca2+ concentration significantly affected B16F10 melanoma cell motility, and the critical role of resting Ca2+ influx in driving the migration of B16F10 melanoma cells is emphasised (24). A decrease in serum albumin levels may increase the "free" fraction of serum calcium; however, parathyroid-related protein (PTHrP), the major cause of malignancy-induced hypercalcemia, is more likely to contribute to the increase in serum calcium levels (25). Melanoma cell growth and invasion are inversely controlled by Orai1 and STIM2 in a dynamic manner. Melanoma cells express high levels of Orai1 and STIM2, both of which control store-operated Ca2+ entry (26). Silencing Orai1/STIM2 or decreasing extracellular Ca²⁺ levels can decrease intracellular Ca²⁺ levels.

In this study, the combination of total serum calcium levels with some other covariates showed better performance in predicting the occurrence of melanoma. LASSO regression analysis revealed seven clinical characteristics that were significantly associated with the development of melanoma, namely, age, household poverty-toincome ratio, response of the skin to sunlight after non-exposure, wearing long-sleeved shirts, frequency of sunscreen use, arthritis, and total serum calcium levels. According to the three fitted models, age, in addition to total serum calcium levels, had a significant effect on the development of melanoma. In a study based on the Centers for Disease Control Wide-Ranging Online Data for Epidemiologic Research (CDC WONDER) database, participants aged > 65 years had a higher mortality rate (27). Consistently, a meta-analysis revealed that age exceeding 50 years was one of the prognostic factors associated with disease-specific survival after surgery (28). These findings are consistent with those of the present study. In younger adults with sun-exposed skin with minimal solar elastosis, superficial spreading melanomas frequently develop on the trunk or back, which are often associated with precursor nevi, whereas lentigo maligna-like melanomas usually develop on the head. In addition to protective clothing, hats, and eyewear, sunscreen plays a crucial role in preventing UV radiation from penetrating the skin (5). With regard to the relationship between sun-protective behaviors and a family history of melanoma, a study based on data from NHANES 2003-2004 showed that a larger proportion of individuals with a known family history of melanoma preferred sunscreen to other sun-protective behaviors (29). An analysis of 1999-2018 NHANES data with serum carotenoids associated with sunburn severity and cancer risk found a positive association between sunburn severity and cancer risk (30). The melanoma-associated clinical characteristics identified in this study are consistent with the abovementioned characteristics. Th9 cells exhibit both positive and negative relationships with melanoma and can trigger inflammatory responses in autoimmune diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis (31). Compared with the general population, patients with treated rheumatoid arthritis are at a higher risk of developing melanoma. Therapeutic breakthroughs might have mitigated disease activity or on the contrary by impairing antitumoral immune response (32). In

Trail_Calcum Prailer Odds ratio Grade 0.007 0.25 (0.00,007) 0.007 Filler 0.018 107 (10,11,10) 0.018 Grade 0.018 107 (10,11,10) 0.007 Filler 0.018 0.010,000 0.000,000 School Cancel 0.3 0.47 (0,11,208) 0.000,000 Filler 0.000 0.000,000 0.000,000 School Cancel 0.3 0.97 (0.82, 103) 0.000,000 Filler 0.8 0.89 (0.42, 189) 0.000,000 No 0.8 0.89 (0.42, 189) 0.000,000 Was 0.6 0.78 (0.33, 1.84) 0.000,000 Was 0.6 0.78 (0.33, 1.84) 0.000,000,000 Was 0.4 1.20 (0.47, 711) 0.000,000,000 Was 0.4 1.20 (0.47, 711) 0.000,000,000 No 0.4 1.20 (0.47, 711) 0.000,000,000 As avere subtrom for a few days with peting 0.11 (0.000,000,000 0.000,000,000 Singlanding 0.11 (0.000,000,000,000,000,000 0.000,000,000,000,000 0.000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0				;
Table_Calculum 0,007 0,25 (0.09,0.07) 0,00 Gender Fermate Male 0,9 0,09 (0.47, 1.92) Lises Tan 100 Crade 0,09 0,09 (0.47, 1.92) Lises Tan 100 Crade 0,09 0,09 (0.47, 1.92) Lises Tan 100 Crade 0,09 0,09 (0.42, 1.92) Pit 0,012 (1.30 (0.43, 1.83) Pit 0,012 (1.30 (0.43, 1.84) Pit 0,010 (1.30 (1.30 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.40 (1.		pvalue	odds ratio	
Gender Freihale Male 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 2) behanne, mel p-1 III Stadie College Cataluate p-1 IIII Stadie College Cataluate p-1 IIII Stadie College Cataluate p-1 III Stadie College Cataluate p-1 IIII Stadie College Cataluate p-1 IIIII Stadie College Cataluate p-1 IIII Stadie College Cataluate p-1 IIIII Stadie College Cataluate p-1 IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Total_Calcium	0.007	0.25 (0.09, 0.67)	H - 4
Fendel Male 0.09 0.98 (0.47, 1.92) Additional president College Caduate or above 0.3 0.47 (0.11, 1.13) College Caduate or above 0.3 0.47 (0.11, 2.09) Hips School Graduate or above 0.3 0.47 (0.11, 2.09) Hips School Graduate or above 0.3 0.97 (0.21, 3.02) Some College 0.09 0.98 (0.42, 1.99) Hips School Graduate 0.5 0.5 1.40 (0.52, 3.77) Was 0.80 (0.42, 1.99) Was 0.80 (0.41, 1.82 (0.47, 7.11) Star_section No Was 0.80 (0.41, 1.82 (0.47, 7.11) Was 0.80 (0.41, 1.82 (0.47, 7.11) Was 0.80 (0.81, 1.40) Was 0.80 (0.8	Gender			
Male 0,9 0.96 0.97, 1522 Education Level	Female			
Age 0.118 1.07 (1 01, 1.13) 9-110 Gradue 0.3 0.47 (0 11, 2.08) 9-110 Gradue 0.3 0.87 (0.93, 153) 9-110 Gradue 0.3 0.97 (0.92, 1.03) 9-10 0.98 (0.42, 1.98) 0.99 (0.42, 1.99) 9-110 0.99 (0.42, 1.99) 0.99 (0.42, 1.99) No 0.99 (0.42, 1.99) 0.91 (0.13, 1.94) No 0.99 (0.42, 1.99) 0.91 (0.13, 1.94) No 0.99 (0.42, 1.99) 0.91 (0.13, 1.94) No 0.99 (0.92, 0.93, 1.94) 0.91 (0.13, 0.93) Yes 0.4 (1.82 (0.47, 7.11) 0.91 (0.13, 0.93) Yes 0.91 (0.03, 0.93) 0.91 (0.23, 1.92) Yes 0.91 (0.03, 0.93) 0.91 (0.03, 0.93) Yes 0.91 (0.03, 0.93) 0.91 (0.03, 0.93) Yes 0.93 (0.08, 1.94) 0.91 (0.03, 0.93) Yes 0.93 (0.08, 0.19, 0.91) 0.91 (0.02, 0.92) <	Male	0.9	0.96 (0.47, 1.92)	
Education level College Cardualse or above College Cardualse or above College Cardualse or above 0.0 80 (0.13, 6.26) Piter Minode 0.0 80 (0.13, 6.26) Piter Minode 0.0 80 (0.13, 6.26) Piter Minode No No No No No No No No No No	Age	0.018	1.07 (1.01, 1.13)	
D=11h Grade Del the Grade del to the set of	Education_level			
Didinge Carbonic above 0, 3 0, 47 (011, 2.08) High School Graduation 4, 50, 50, 54 (008, 31, 83, 58) Fin 0, 12 1, 30 (038, 1.83) Fin 0, 12 1, 30 (038, 1.84) Fin 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 12 1, 10 0, 13 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 10 0, 1	9-11th Grade			
High School Graduate 0, 5 0, 64 (009, 315) Some College 0, 84 (018, 022, 413) Some College 0, 84 (018, 022, 413) BMI 0, 3 0, 07 (0.92, 1.03) We show 0, 5 1, 40 (0.52, 3,77) We show 0, 5 1, 5 1, 5 1, 5 1, 5 1, 5 1, 5 1, 5	College Graduate or above	0.3	0.47 (0.11, 2.08)	
Set Tan 40 Grade >0.09 0.09 (0.13, 6.26) PIR 0.12 1.30 (0.93, 1.83) Simo College 0.8 0.89 (0.42, 1.89) Simo College 0.8 0.89 (0.42, 1.89) Simo College 0.5 1.40 (0.22, 3.77) Vis 0.5 1.40 (0.22, 3.77) Vis 0.5 1.40 (0.52, 3.77) Vis 0.5 1.40 (0.52, 3.77) Vis 0.6 0.78 (0.33, 1.64) Vis 0.6 0.78 (0.33, 1.64) Vis 0.6 0.78 (0.33, 1.64) Vis 0.6 0.79 (0.23, 1.50) Vis 0.6 0.79 (0.23, 1.50) Vis 0.6 0.71 (1.25 (0.31, 5.03) Vis 0.63 (0.26, 1.84) 0.63 (0.26, 1.84) Vis 0.63 (0.26, 1.84) 0.63 (0.26, 1.84) <td>High School Graduate</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>0.54 (0.09, 3.15)</td> <td></td>	High School Graduate	0.5	0.54 (0.09, 3.15)	
Some College bunch Some C	ess Than 9th Grade	>0.9	0.89 (0.13, 6.26)	
org 0.12 1.30 (0.33, 1.83)	Some College	0.8	1 14 (0 32 4 13)	· · _ · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
mn 0.12 1.02 (0.22, 1.03) obside 0.3 0.57 (0.22, 1.03) isse 0.5 1.40 (0.52, 3.77) isse 0.5 1.40 (0.52, 3.77) isse 0.5 1.40 (0.52, 3.77) isse 0.6 0.78 (0.33, 1.84) isse 0.6 0.77 (0.5, 47) isse 0.7 1.25 (0.31, 5.03) isse 0.80 (0.22, 1.52)		0.0	1 30 (0 03 1 83)	
Band 0.3 0.97 (0.52, 1.00) b 0 0.8 0.89 (0.42, 1.69) b 0 0.9 0.92 (0.36, 2.40) c 0 0.9 0.93 (0.26, 1.54) c 1 0.90 0.90 0.93 (0.16, 1.54) c 1 0.90 0.90 0.93 (0.16, 1.54) c 1 0.90 0.90 0.97 (0.13, 1.74) c 2 0.90 0.95 (0.19, 4.69) c 0 0.90 0.95 (0.19, 4.69) c 0 0.91 0.93 (0.16, 0.27) c 0 0.95 (0.19, 4.69) c 0 0.95 (0.19, 2.35) c 0 0.95 (0.23, 2.49) c 0 0 0.95 (0.23, 2.49) c 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0.12	1.00(0.00, 1.00)	
Binume Since See 1 0.0 See 1 0		0.3	0.87 (0.82, 1.03)	
No See 0.5 1.40 (0.52, 3.77) See 0.5 1.40 (0.51, 1.20 (0.52, 3.57) See 0.5 1.40 (0.51, 1.20 (0.53, 3.55) See 0.5 1.40 (0.51, 1.2	Бтоке			i i
Yes 0.8 0.99 (0.42, 1.89) Orink 0.5 1.40 (0.52, 3.77) Yes 0.9 0.92 (0.36, 2.40) Yes 0.6 0.78 (0.33, 1.84) Yes 0.2 1.95 (0.70, 5.47) Yes 0.2 1.95 (0.70, 5.47) Yes 0.4 1.82 (0.47, 7.11) Yes 0.001 (0.00, 0.00) Yes 0.010 (0.000, 0.00) Yes 0.010 (0.000, 0.00) Yes 0.010 (0.000, 0.00) Yes 0.03 (0.26, 1.54) Yes 0.9 0.95 (0.15, 1.24) Yes 0.9	NO			
Drink No Yes Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes So Yes Yes So Yes Yes Yes So Yes Yes So Yes Yes So Yes Yes S Yes Yes Yes S Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	Yes	0.8	0.89 (0.42, 1.89)	┝╼ <mark>╤</mark> ╼╼╾┥
No Mage 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Drink			1
res 0.5 1.40 (0.52, 3.77) Vio 0.9 0.92 (0.36, 2.40) Vio 0.9 0.92 (0.36, 2.40) Vio 0.9 0.92 (0.33, 1.84) Vio 0.9 0.91 (0.70, 5.47) Vio 0.9 0.91 (0.02, 1.82) Vio 0.9 0.91 (0.02, 1.82) Vio 0.9 0.92 (0.38, 1.84) Vio 0.93 (0.26, 1.54) 0.91 (0.00, 0.00) Say resultoring traits maining 0.3 0.63 (0.26, 1.54) Violing void happen in half an hour 0.2 0.38 (0.80, 1.94) Violing void happen in half an hour 0.2 0.38 (0.81, 9.49) Violing void happen in half an hour 0.3 0.47 (0.13, 1.74) Viewer? 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 8.9.79) Violing void happen in half an hour 0.2 0.88 (0.16, 6.02) Viewer? 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 6.02) Viewer? 0.8 0.88 (0.16, 6.02) Viewer	No			
High_boold_pressure No Yes 0,06 0.78 (0.33, 1.84) High_booldsterol No Yes 0,06 0.78 (0.33, 1.84) No High_booldsterol No Yes 0,07 1.25 (0.31, 5.03) Yes 0,07 1.25 (0.31, 5.03) No Yes 0,07 1.25 (0.31, 5.03) No Yes 0,07 1.25 (0.31, 5.03) No Yes 0,04 1.82 (0.47, 7.11) Yes 0,04 1.82 (0.47, 7.11) Stin _reaction A severe surburn with bislers 0,15 0,19 (0.02, 182) No High_boold with some taning 0,15 0,19 (0.02, 182) No High_boold with some taning 0,15 0,19 (0.02, 182) Diabetes 0,03 0,47 (0.13, 1.74) Diabetes 0,03 0,47 (0.13, 1.74) Diabetes 0,03 0,47 (0.13, 7.27) Yes 0,03 0,47 (0.13, 7.27) Yes 0,03 0,47 (0.13, 7.27) Yes 0,03 0,48 (0.16, 6.23) Sometimes 0,8 0,47 (0.13, 7.27) Yes 0,4 0,45 (0.07, 3.05) Sometimes 0,8 0,44 (0.16, 6.53) Sometimes 0,8 0,47 (0.13, 7.27) Yes 0,4 0,45 (0.25, 155) Sometimes 0,8 0,47 (0.13, 7.27) Yes 0,4 0,45 (0.25, 155) Sometimes 0,8 0,47 (0.13, 7.27) Yes 0,4 0,45 (0.25, 155) Sometimes 0,8 0,44 (0.16, 6.23) Sometimes 0,8 0,71 (0.21, 2.28) West? A dot 50 (0.52, 155) Sometimes 0,8 0,44 (0.16, 6.23) Sometimes 0,8 0,71 (0.21, 2.28) West? A dot 50 (0.52, 155) Sometimes 0,8 0,98 (0.16, 6.02) No Yes 0,09 0,98 (0.16, 6.02) Yes 0,09 0,99 (0.25, 155) Yes 0,09 0,99 (0.25, 156) Yes 0,09 0,99 (0.25, 156) Yes 0,09 0,99 (Yes	0.5	1.40 (0.52, 3.77)	
No Wess 0.9 0.92 (0.36, 2.40) No No No No No No No No No No	High_blood_pressure			
Yes 0.9 0.92 (0.36, 2.40) High_cholesterol 0.6 0.78 (0.33, 1.84) Yes 0.6 0.78 (0.33, 1.84) No 0.2 1.95 (0.70, 5.47) Yes 0.2 1.95 (0.31, 5.03) No 0.8 0.82 (0.47, 7.11) Yes 0.4 1.82 (0.47, 7.11) Sin_reaction A severe surburn with bilsters 0.15 A severe surburn for a few days with peeling 0.19 (0.02, 1.82) Get a severe surburn with bilsters 0.15 Nothing would happen in half an hour 0.2 Other 0.000 00.000 Turning darker without a sunburn 0.3 Sitay_reaction 3 Newer? 0.8 Other 0.000 00.000 Turning darker without a sunburn 0.3 Sitay_reaction 3 Newer? 0.8 Other 0.000 00.000 Sitay = 0.99 0.95 (0.11, 7.27) Graves 0.84 (0.18, 4.29) Sitay = 0.99 0.96 (0.25, 3.87) Sitay = 0.99 0.99 (0.25, 3.87) Sitay = 0.99	No			i i
High_cholesterol No Yes 0.6 0.78 (0.33, 1.84) Prese 0.2 1.95 (0.70, 5.47) No Yes 0.2 1.95 (0.70, 5.47) No Yes 0.4 1.82 (0.47, 7.11) Mo Yes 0.4 1.82 (0.47, 7.11) Cell a severe surburn for a few days with peeling Get a severe surburn moti bisters 0.15 0.19 (0.02, 1.82) Nothing would happen in hair an hour 0.2 0.38 (0.08, 1.84) Nothing would happen in hair an hour 0.2 0.38 (0.08, 1.84) Nothing would happen in hair an hour 0.2 0.38 (0.08, 1.84) Nothing would happen in hair an hour 0.2 0.38 (0.08, 1.84) Nothing would happen in hair an hour 0.2 0.38 (0.08, 1.84) Nothing would happen in hair an hour 0.3 0.47 (0.13, 1.74) Never? 0.8 1.34 (0.18, 9.79) sometimes 0.8 1.16 (0.31, 4.34) Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.65) Sunscreen always Most of the time 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Sunscreen always Most of the time 0.8 0.8 0.112 (0.35, 3.55) Sunscreen always Most of the time 0.8 0.8 0.91 (0.13, 7.27) Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.27, 3.65) Sunscreen always Most of the time 0.8 0.8 0.91 (0.16, 6.22) Sunscreen always Most of the time 0.8 0.8 0.91 (0.28, 1.85) Sunscreen always Most of the time 0.8 0.8 0.91 (0.28, 1.85) Sunscreen always Most of the time 0.8 0.8 0.91 (0.28, 1.85) Most of the time 0.8 0.8 0.91 (0.28, 0.85) Most of the time 0.8 0.8 0.91 (0.28, 0.	Yes	0.9	0.92 (0.36, 2.40)	
No Yes 0.6 0.78 (0.33, 1.84) No Yes 0.2 1.95 (0.70, 5.47) Phronic pronchitis No Yes 0.7 1.25 (0.31, 5.03) Diabetes No No Yes 0.7 1.25 (0.31, 5.03) No Yes 0.7 1.25 (0.31, 5.03) No Yes 0.4 1.82 (0.47, 7.11) A severe sunburn for a few days with peeling Get a severe sunburn with bifsen 0.15 0.19 (0.02, 1.82) Nothing would happen in half an hou 0.2 0.38 (0.08, 1.94) Other 0.0001 0.00 (0.00, 0.00) Stay_in_tbe_shade always Sunscrient always West of the time 0.4 1.87 (0.42, 8.34) Never? 0.8 1.14 (0.18, 9.79) Sunstitues 0.8 1.16 (0.31, 4.34) Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.05) Sunscrient always West of the time 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Sunscrient always West of the time 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Sunscrient always West of the time 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Sunscrient always West of the time 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Sunscrient always Sunscrient always Not of the time 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Sunscrient always Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) Sunscrient always Sunstren Always Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) Sunscrient always Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) Sunscrient Always Not of the time 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Sunscrient Always Not of the time 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Sunscrient Always Not of the time 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Sunscrient Always No No No No No No No No No No	High cholesterol	2.0	(, =	1
Difference 0.6 0.78 (0.33, 1.84) 0.6 0.78 (0.33, 1.84) No Yes 0.2 1.95 (0.70, 5.47) 0.6 0.76 (0.33, 1.84) No Yes 0.2 1.95 (0.70, 5.47) 0.6 0.76 (0.33, 1.84) No Yes 0.7 1.25 (0.31, 5.03) 0.6 0.76 (0.22, 1.82) Sin_prescion 0.8 0.83 (0.26, 1.54) 0.60 (0.00, 0.00) 0.76 (0.00, 0.00, 0.00) Sin_prescion 0.3 0.63 (0.26, 1.54) 0.60 (0.00, 0.00) 0.76 (0.00, 0.00) Other 0.00 (0.00, 0.00) 0.00 (0.00, 0.00) 0.00 (0.00, 0.00) 0.00 0.00 Turning darker without a sunburn 0.3 0.47 (0.13, 1.74) 0.65 (0.19, 4.69) 0.65 (0.19, 4.69) Stay_in the_shade 0.81 (1.61 (0.31, 4.34) 0.47 (0.13, 1.74) 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) Sunscreen 0.88 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) 0.66 (0.27, 3.62) 0.66 (0.27, 3.62) 0.66 (0.27, 3.62) Verey? 0.40 (0.60, 0.26) 0.66 (0.26, 1.66, 0.20) 0.66 (0.26, 1.64, 6.20) 0.66 (0.26, 1.65, 0.26) 0.66 (0.26, 1.64, 6.20) 0.66 (0.26, 1.65, 0.26) 0.66 (0.26, 1.64, 6.20) 0.66 (0.26,	No			1
integend 0.3 0.10 (0.00, 1.04) No No Yes 0.2 1.95 (0.70, 5.47) Phonic bonchilis No No No Yes 0.7 1.25 (0.31, 5.03) Diabetes 0.4 1.82 (0.47, 7.11) Star graction 0.4 1.82 (0.47, 7.11) A severe sunburn for a few days with peeling 0.3 0.63 (0.26, 1.54) Geta severe sounburn with bisters 0.15 0.19 (0.02, 1.82) Mildly burned with some tanning 0.3 0.63 (0.26, 1.54) Nothing would happen in half an hour 0.2 0.38 (0.81, 1.94) Stay jin the_shade always Most of the time 0.4 1.87 (0.42, 8.34) Never? 0.8 1.34 (0.18, 9.79) Sometimes 0.8 1.16 (0.31, 4.34) Sometimes 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Sometimes 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Surgence 3.84 (0.16, 4.53)	Vec	0.6	0 78 (0 33 1 84)	
New Yes 0.2 1.95 (0.70, 5.47) Yes 0.7 1.25 (0.31, 5.03) Diabetes 0.7 1.25 (0.31, 5.03) No Yes 0.4 1.82 (0.47, 7.11) Skin_reaction A severe surburn with biasters 0.15 0.19 (0.02, 1.82) Nothing would happen in half an hour 0.2 0.38 (0.88, 1.94) Other <0.001 0.00 (0.00, 0.00) Other <0.001 0.00 (0.00, 0.00) Stay_in_t_shade always 0.4 1.87 (0.42, 8.34) Never? 0.8 1.34 (0.18, 9.79) Most of the time 0.4 1.187 (0.42, 8.34) Notes 0.8 1.16 (0.31, 4.34) Most of the time 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Sunscreen 3.0 8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Sunscreen 3.0 8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Sunscreen 3.0 9.0 90 (0.37, 2.49) Yes >0.9 0.96	Arthritic	0.0	0.70 (0.00, 1.04)	
No Yes 0.2 1.95 (0.70, 5.47) Yes 0.7 1.25 (0.31, 5.03) No Yes 0.4 1.82 (0.47, 7.11) A severe sunburn for a few days with peeling Get a sevre sunburn with biters 0.15 0.19 (0.02, 1.82) Midly burned with some tanning 0.3 0.63 (0.26, 1.54) Nothing would happen in half an hour 0.2 0.38 (0.08, 1.94) Diter = <0.01 0.00 (0.00, 0.00) Diter = <0.01 0.00 (0.00, 0.00) Most of the time 0.4 1.87 (0.42, 8.34) Most of the time 0.4 1.87 (0.42, 8.34) Most of the time 0.4 0.58 0.16 (0.21, 4.34) Most of the time 0.9 0.97 (0.13, 7.27) Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.05) Sometimes 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 6.22) Jarely, or >0.9 0.98 (0.16, 6.02) Jarely, or >0.9 0.98 (Arthritis			
Yes 0.2 1.99 (0.70, 5.47) homoic_bronchitis No Yes 0.7 1.25 (0.31, 5.03) Diabetes 0.7 1.25 (0.31, 5.03) No Skin_reaction A A severe surburn with bilsters 0.15 0.19 (0.02, 1.82) Midly burned with some tanning 0.3 0.63 (0.26, 1.54) Nothing would happen in half an hour 0.2 0.38 (0.08, 1.94) Nothing would happen in half an hour 0.2 0.38 (0.08, 1.94) Turning darker without a sunburn 0.3 0.47 (0.13, 1.74) Turning darker without a sunburn 0.3 0.47 (0.13, 1.74) Hence 0.4 1.87 (0.42, 8.34) Never? 0.48 1.134 (0.18, 8.79) Most of the time 0.4 0.4 1.87 (0.42, 8.34) Long_sleeved_shirt aways Sunscreen 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Vest of the time 0.4 0.46 (0.07, 3.05) arely, or >0.9 0.99 (0.13, 7.27) Never? 0.4 0.46 (0.07, 3.05) arely, or >0.9 0.99 (0.13, 7.27) Never? 0.4 0.46 (0.07, 3.05) Sunscreen 3 Wost of the time 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Vest of the time 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Sunscreen 3 Wost of the time 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Sunscreen 3 Wost of the time 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Sunscreen 3 Wost of the time 0.8 0.99 0.99 (0.25, 3.87) Sunscreen 3 Wost of the time 0.8 0.99 0.99 (0.25, 3.87) Sunscreen 3 Wost of the time 0.8 0.77 (0.21, 2.28) West 0.9 0.99 (0.37, 2.49) Vest 0.9 0.99 0.99 (0.27, 2.49) Mathematical data data data data data data data da	No			i _
chronic joronchilis No Yes 0.7 1.25 (0.31, 5.03) Diabetes No No Singreaction A severe sunburn for a few days with peeling Get a severe sunburn with bilistris 0.15 0.19 (0.02, 1.82) Midly burned with some tanning 0.3 0.63 (0.26, 1.54) Nothing would happen in half an hour 0.2 0.38 (0.08, 1.94) Differ <0.001 0.00 (0.00, 0.00) Cher <0.001 0.00 (0.00, 0.00) Subject is between the time 0.4 1.87 (0.42, 8.34) Never? 0.8 1.34 (0.18, 9.79) Most of the time 0.4 1.87 (0.42, 8.34) Never? 0.8 1.34 (0.18, 9.79) Sometimes 0.8 1.18 (0.31, 7.27) Sometimes 0.8 0.99 (0.97 (0.13, 7.27) Sometimes 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Subscreen 3 Mays Wost of the time 0.68 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Subscreen 3 Mays Subscreen 3 Subscreen 3 Subscreen 3 Subscreen 4 Mays Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.28, 1.65) Subscreen 4 Mays Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.28, 1.65) Mays Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.28, 1.65) Mays Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.28, 1.65) Mays Mays Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.28, 1.65) Mays Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.2	Yes	0.2	1.95 (0.70, 5.47)	
No Yes 0,7 1,25 (0,31,5,03) Diabetes No Some reaction A severe surburn for a few days with peeling Get a severe surburn for a few days with peeling Get a severe surburn for a few days with peeling Get a severe surburn for a few days with peeling Other 0,100,000,000 Other 0,000,000,000 Other 0,000,000,000 Dinning darker without a sunburn 0,3 0,47 (0,13,1,74) Most of the time 0,4 1,87 (0,42,8,34) Never? 0,8 1,34 (0,18,9,79) sometimes 0,8 1,16 (0,31,4,34) Most of the time 0,4 0,47 (0,13,7,27) Never? 0,8 0,9 0,97 (0,13,7,27) Most of the time 0,4 0,46 (0,07,03) Sunscreen always Most of the time 0,8 1,12 (0,35,3,55) Sunscreen always Most of the time 0,8 1,12 (0,35,3,55) Sunscreen always Sometimes 0,8 0,84 (0,16,4,53) Jung darker of 0,9 0,99 (0,27,2,49) Jung darker of 0,9 0,99 (0,37,2,49) Jung darker of 0,9 0,99 (0,37,2,49) Jung darker of 0,9 0,99 (0,27,2,49) Jung darker of 0,9 0,90 (0,37,2,49) Jung darker of 0,9 0,90 (0,37,2,49) Ju	chronic_bronchitis			
Yes 0.7 1.25 (0.31, 5.03) Dibetes No Yes 0.4 1.82 (0.47, 7.11) A severe sunburn for a few days with peeling Get a severe sunburn with bilsters 0.15 0.19 (0.02, 1.82) Midly burned with some tanning 0.3 0.63 (0.26, 1.54) Midly burned with some tanning 0.3 0.63 (0.26, 1.54) Other <0.001	No			
Diabetes No Yes 0,4 1.82 (0.47, 7.11) Skin_reaction A severe sunburn for a few days with peeling Get a severe sunburn with bisters 0,15 0.19 (0.02, 1.82) Nothing would happen in half an hour 0,2 0.38 (0.08, 1.94) Dither <0,001 0,000 (0.00, 0.00) Citry <0,001 0,000 (0.00, 0.00) Citry <0,001 0,000 (0.00, 0.00) Stay_in_the_shade always Most of the time 0,4 1.87 (0.42, 8.34) Never? 0,8 1.34 (0.18, 9.79) Sometimes 0,8 1.16 (0.31, 4.34) Most of the time <0,9 0.97 (0.13, 7.27) Citry arely, or >0,9 0.98 (0.16, 6.02) Sunscreen always Most of the time 0,4 0.007, 0.35, 55) Sunscreen always Sunscreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Never? 0,4 0.65 (0.24, 1.85) Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Never? 0,4 0.65 (0.24, 1.85) Sunstreen always Sunstreen Always Never? 0,4 0.65 (0.24, 1.85) Sunstreen always Sunstreen Always Never? 0,4 0.65 (0.24, 1.85) Sunstreen Always Never? 0,4 0.65 (0.24, 1.28) Always Never? 0,4 0.65 (0.24, 1.28) Always No No No No No No No No No No	Yes	0.7	1.25 (0.31, 5.03)	⊧
No Yes 0.4 1.82 (0.47, 7.11) A severe sunburn (ho lew days with peeling Get a severe sunburn (ho listers 0.15 0.19 (0.02, 1.82) Mildly burned with some tanning 0.3 0.63 (0.26, 1.54) Nothing would happen in half an hour 0.2 0.38 (0.08, 1.94) Other -0.001 0.00 (0.00, 0.00) Turning darker without a sunburn 0.3 0.47 (0.13, 1.74) Most of the time 0.4 1.87 (0.42, 8.34) Never? 0.8 1.34 (0.18, 9.79) Tarrely, or >0.9 0.95 (0.19, 4.69) Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.05) Long_sleeved_shirt always Most of the time 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Sunscreen always Most of the time 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Sunscreen always Most of the time 0.8 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Most of the time 0.8 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Most of the time 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 0.24) Most of the time 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 0.24) Most of the time 0.6 0.71 (0.	Diabetes			1
Yes 0.4 1.82 (0.47, 7.11) Skin_reaction A 8 evere sunburn for a few days with peeling Get a severe sunburn with blisters 0.15 0.19 (0.02, 1.82) Wildly burned with some tanning 0.3 0.63 (0.26, 1.64) Turning darker without a sunburn 0.3 0.47 (0.13, 1.74) Stay_in_the_shade 3 0.47 (0.13, 1.74) Ways 0.8 1.87 (0.42, 8.34) Newer? 0.8 1.34 (0.18, 9.79) arely, or >0.9 0.97 (0.13, 7.27) Maways 0.8 1.16 (0.31, 7.27) Most of the time >0.9 0.97 (0.13, 7.27) Most of the time >0.9 0.97 (0.13, 7.27) Maways 0.88 0.84 (0.16, 6.02) Most of the time >0.9 0.97 (0.13, 7.27) Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.26, 1.65) Sunscreen 3 0.68 (0.16, 6.02) Maways 0.88 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) West of the time 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) Sunscreen 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Ways 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) West of the time 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Vies >0.9	No			
Skin_reaction A severe sunburn with bilsters 0.15 0.19 (0.02, 1.82) Skin_reaction 0.3 0.63 (0.26, 1.54) Mildly burned with some tanning 0.3 0.63 (0.26, 1.54) Other <0.001	Yes	0.4	1.82 (0.47, 7.11)	
A severe sunburn for a few days with peeling Get a severe sunburn with bilsters 0.15 0.19 (0.02, 1.82) Mothing would happen in half an hour 0.2 0.38 (0.08, 1.94) Other <0.001 0.000 (0.00, 0.00) Stay_in_the_shade always Most of the time 0.4 1.87 (0.42, 8.34) Never? 0.8 1.34 (0.18, 9.79) sometimes 0.8 1.16 (0.31, 4.34) Most of the time 0.4 1.87 (0.42, 8.34) Most of the time 0.4 1.87 (0.42, 8.34) Long_sleeved_shirt always Most of the time 0.4 0.97 (0.13, 7.27) Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.05) Sunscreen always Most of the time 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Sunscreen always Most of the time 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) Jung classes 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Most of the time 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Most of the time 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Most of the time 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Most of the time 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Most of the time 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.49) Most of the time 0.8 0.99 0.96 (0.37, 2.49) Most of the time 0.99 0.96 (0.37, 2.49) Most of the time 0.99 0.96 (0.37, 2.49) Most of the time 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Most of the time 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.72	Skin reaction			
Cast a severe sunburn with bilsters 0.15 0.19 (0.02, 1.82) H Wildly burned with some tanning 0.3 0.63 (0.26, 1.54) H Othing would happen in half an hour 0.2 0.38 (0.08, 1.94) H Ditter <0.001	A severe sunburn for a few days with pe	elina		
Det a server substration with functions of the local integration with some training 0.3 0.10 0.01 0.02 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 1.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 1.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.15 <	Cet a severe suppurp with blisters	0.15	0 19 (0 02 1 82)	
Wind younded with spen in half an hour 0.3 0.30 (0.20, 1.94) Other <0.001	Mildly burned with some tanning	0.15	0.63(0.26, 1.02)	
Notify Would happen in har an hour 0.2 0.30 (0.00, 0.39) Turning darker without a sunburn 0.3 0.47 (0.13, 1.74) Stay_in_the_shade always Most of the time 0.4 1.87 (0.42, 8.34) Never? 0.8 1.34 (0.18, 9.79) sometimes 0.8 1.16 (0.31, 4.34) Most of the time >0.9 0.97 (0.13, 7.27) Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.05) sometimes 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.52) Most of the time >0.9 0.97 (0.13, 7.27) Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.05) sometimes 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.52) Most of the time 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Most of the time 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.52) Most of the time 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4	Nothing would happen in half an hour	0.3	0.00(0.20, 1.04)	
Other <0.001		0.2	0.36 (0.06, 1.94)	
umming barker without a sundum 0.3 0.47 (0.13, 1.74) bilding barker 0.4 1.87 (0.42, 8.34) vever? 0.8 1.34 (0.18, 9.79) vever? 0.9 0.95 (0.19, 4.69) sometimes 0.8 1.16 (0.31, 4.34) uong_sleeved_shirt 0.4 0.47 (0.13, 7.27) wever? 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.05) vever? 0.4 0.46 (0.25, 3.55) sometimes 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) vever? 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) sometimes 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) vever? 0.4 0.66 (0.26, 1.65) vever? 0.4 0.66 (0.27, 2.49) vever? 0.9 0.99 (0.21, 2.38) vever? 0.90 0.90 (0.37, 2.49) vever	Jther	< 0.001	0.00(0.00, 0.00)	
Stay_m_the_snade always Most of the time 0.4 1.87 (0.42, 8.34) Never? 0.8 1.34 (0.18, 9.79) Tarely, or >0.9 0.95 (0.19, 4.69) Long_sleeved_shirt always Most of the time >0.9 0.97 (0.13, 7.27) Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.05) Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.05) Tarely, or >0.9 0.98 (0.16, 6.02) Sunscreen always Most of the time 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen Always Sunstreen S	iuming darker without a sunburn	0.3	0.47 (0.13, 1.74)	
always 0.4 1.87 (0.42, 8.34) Never? 0.8 1.34 (0.18, 9.79) rarely, or >0.9 0.95 (0.19, 4.69) sometimes 0.8 1.16 (0.31, 4.34) Long_sleeved_shirt always Wost of the time >0.9 0.97 (0.13, 7.27) Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.05) sometimes 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 6.02) sometimes 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Sunscreen 0.8 0.12 (0.35, 3.55) always 0.8 0.12 (0.35, 3.55) Nost of the time 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Nost of the time 0.8 0.12 (0.35, 3.55) Sunscreen 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) always 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Wost of the time 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Ves	stay_in_the_shade			i
Most of the time 0.4 1.87 (0.42, 8.34) Never? 0.8 1.34 (0.18, 9.79) sometimes 0.8 1.67 (0.42, 8.34) Long_sleeved_shirt 0.8 1.16 (0.31, 4.34) always 0.8 1.16 (0.31, 4.34) Most of the time >0.9 0.97 (0.13, 7.27) Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.05) Yarrely, or >0.9 0.98 (0.16, 6.02) Sunscreen 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) always 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Most of the time 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.26, 1.65) Sunscreen 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) always 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Most of the time 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Sunburn No Yes Yes >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49) Vet 2 4 0.dds ratio 0 2 4 0 2 4 0 2 4 0 2 4 0 2	always			
Never? 0.8 1.34 (0.18, 9.79) arely, or >0.9 0.95 (0.19, 4.69) sometimes 0.8 1.16 (0.31, 4.34) always 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.05) vever? 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.05) sometimes 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) sometimes 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) vever? 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.05) sometimes 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) sometimes 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Vever? 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) sometimes 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Vever? 0.4 0.66 (0.37, 2.49) vess >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49) vess >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49)	Most of the time	0.4	1.87 (0.42, 8.34)	⊢;∎
arely, or >0.9 0.95 (0.19, 4.69) sometimes 0.8 1.16 (0.31, 4.34) Long_sleeved_shirt always Most of the time >0.9 0.97 (0.13, 7.27) Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.05) sometimes 0.8 0.8 (0.16, 6.02) Sunscreen 3 0.8 always 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Most of the time 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Sunscreen 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) always 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Most of the time 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) No >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49) Yes >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49)	Never?	0.8	1.34 (0.18, 9.79)	+ + -
sometimes 0.8 1.16 (0.31, 4.34) Long_sleeved_shirt always Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.05) rarely, or >0.9 0.98 (0.16, 6.02) Sunscreen 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Sunscreen 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Sunscreen 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Sunscreen 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Sunburn 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Yes >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49) Vers >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49)	rarely, or	>0.9	0.95 (0.19, 4.69)	⊢
Long_sleeved_shirt always Most of the time >0.9 0.97 (0.13, 7.27) Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.05) Tarely, or >0.9 0.98 (0.16, 6.02) Sometimes 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Most of the time 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) Sometimes 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Sunburn No Yes >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49)	sometimes	0.8	1.16 (0.31, 4.34)	⊢
always >0.9 0.97 (0.13, 7.27) Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.05) rarely, or >0.9 0.98 (0.16, 6.02) sometimes 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Sunscreen always Most of the time 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) rarely, or >0.9 0.99 (0.25, 3.87) sometimes 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) sometimes 0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49) Ves >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49) Ves >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49) Max >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49) Most of the time >0.9 0.9	Long sleeved shirt			
Most of the time >0.9 0.97 (0.13, 7.27) Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.05) arely, or >0.9 0.98 (0.16, 6.02) sometimes 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) Sunscreen 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) arely, or >0.9 0.99 (0.25, 3.87) arely, or >0.9 0.99 (0.27, 2.49) Sunburn 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) No >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49) Vers >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49) Most of the time >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49)	always			i
Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.10, 1.2) Never? 0.4 0.45 (0.07, 3.05) sometimes 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 6.02) Sunscreen 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) always 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) wost of the time 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) sometimes 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) arely, or >0.9 0.99 (0.25, 3.87) sometimes 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) sometimes 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) wo 0 2 4 odds ratio fes >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49)	Most of the time	>0 0	0.97 (0 13 7 27)	
Vever r 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.60 (0.07, 0.02) arely, or >0.9 0.98 (0.16, 6.02) Sunscreen 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) always 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) sometimes 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Sunburn 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Ves >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49) Ves >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49) VERE 2 Vertice Vertice		-0.9	0.45 (0.07 3.05)	
arely, or >0.9 0.50 (0.10, 0.02) sometimes 0.8 0.84 (0.16, 4.53) subays 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) vever? 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) arely, or >0.9 0.99 (0.25, 3.87) sometimes 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Suburn No Yees >0.9 0.90 (0.37, 2.49)	arely or	0.4	0.08 (0.16 6.00)	
sometimes 0.8 0.4 (0.16, 4.53) Sunscreen always 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) arely, or >0.9 0.99 (0.25, 3.87) sometimes 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Sunscreen 0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49)	arciy, U	>0.9	0.80 (0.10, 0.02)	
Sunscreen always Most of the time 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) rarely, or >0.9 0.99 (0.25, 3.87) sometimes 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Sunburn No Yes >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49)	sometimes	0.8	0.84 (0.16, 4.53)	⊢ ,
aways 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) rarely, or >0.9 0.99 (0.25, 3.87) sometimes 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Sunburn No Yes >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49)	Sunscreen			
Most of the time 0.8 1.12 (0.35, 3.55) Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) 'arely, or >0.9 0.99 (0.25, 3.87) Sometimes 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Sunburn No Yes >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49)	always			i
Never? 0.4 0.65 (0.26, 1.65) rarely, or >0.9 0.99 (0.25, 3.87) sometimes 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Sunburn No Yes >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49) 0 2 4 odds ratio 6	Most of the time	0.8	1.12 (0.35, 3.55)	⊢
arely, or >0.9 0.99 (0.25, 3.87) sometimes 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38) Sunburn No Yes >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49) Image: Constraint of the second seco	Never?	0.4	0.65 (0.26, 1.65)	┝╋╪╼┥
sometimes 0.6 0.71 (0.21, 2.38)	rarely, or	>0.9	0.99 (0.25, 3.87)	⊢
Sunburn No Yes >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49) 0 2 4 odds ratio 6 8 JRE 2	sometimes	0.6	0.71 (0.21, 2.38)	┝╍┓┿╼╼╼╼┥
No Yes >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49) 0 2 4 odds ratio 6 8 JRE 2	Sunburn			
Ves >0.9 0.96 (0.37, 2.49)	No			
JRE 2	Ves	NO 0	0.96 (0.37 2.40)	
0 2 ⁴ odds ratio ⁶ 8	165	-0.9	5.55 (0.57, 2.48)	
JRE 2 4 odds ratio 6 8				
JRE 2				<u>6</u> 2 <u>4</u> 6 <u>6</u>
JRE 2				jodds ratio
JRE 2				
2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	JRE 2			
(ratios in model 5.	ratios in model 3			

previous studies, attempts have been made to identify a single clinical feature as a risk factor for melanoma. However, in this study, we found that the total serum calcium level on its own is not suitable as a diagnostic marker for melanoma and that combining it with phenotypic and other clinical characteristics is necessary to predict the risk of melanoma more effectively. To ensure the validity of the results, we used appropriate weights for confounder adjustment during the analysis. Overall, the findings of this study have important implications for future research.

However, this study has some limitations that should be acknowledged. Although we obtained a large and nationally representative population cohort from the NHANES database, the



(A–C) ROC curves of the three models. The combination of total serum calcium levels with other covariates showed better performance in predicting the occurrence of melanoma.

number of cases in our study was relatively small and due to the cross-sectional nature of the present study, the causal relationship between total serum calcium levels and melanoma could not be established. The findings suggest that total serum calcium levels combined with phenotypic and clinical traits were more effective in predicting the occurrence of melanoma. However, given that the NHANES database contains self-reported data, recall bias cannot be ruled out, and the recall bias may affect our ability to make accurate judgements about the relationships between variables, leaving a degree of uncertainty in the conclusions we draw. Therefore, in the future, we will expand the time frame of data collection to include more participants in our study, using mechanistic analyses to determine causality. We plan to validate our self-reported data using methods such as repeated measures and cross-validation with other data sources to improve the reliability of self-reported data. In addition, we will further support our findings with *in vitro* experiments. We will continue to monitor research in this direction. Gene expression profiling approaches have been used for risk stratification, either independently or in combination with staging parameters. Circulating tumor DNA is also gaining significance as a tool for predicting the risk of early-stage melanoma (23). Serum calcium



FIGURE 4

(A,B) Results of LASSO regression analysis. LASSO regression analysis correlation plot. The image on the left shows the plot of the penalty term parameter, with the horizontal coordinate representing the log(lambda) value and the vertical coordinate representing the degree of freedom, which represents the cross-validation error. In the actual analysis, it is hoped that the cross-validation of the smallest position, in the figure, the left dashed position is the cross-validation of the smallest error, according to the position (lambda, min) to determine the topmost cross coordinate log(Lambda), the top shows the number of traits, find the optimal log(Lambda) value, find the corresponding trait and its coefficient in the right figure. In the image on the right, the horizontal coordinate represents log(lambda), whereas the vertical coordinate represents the coefficient of the trait (different variables with *λ*-penalization).

is an inexpensive laboratory test that is routinely ordered. Therefore, prospective studies are warranted to validate the clinical utility of total serum calcium levels in predicting melanoma progression and investigate the common mechanisms underlying the regulation of serum total calcium levels and melanoma development.

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, this study revealed a relationship between total serum calcium levels and the risk of melanoma, providing a theoretical basis for the clinical detection of melanoma based on total serum calcium levels. However, when used independently, the total serum calcium level is not suitable as a diagnostic marker for melanoma. Therefore, it should be combined with phenotypic and other clinical characteristics to accurately predict the occurrence of melanoma. In addition, the common mechanisms involved in the regulation of total serum calcium levels and melanoma development warrant further investigation.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the National Center for Health Statistics Research Ethics Review Board. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

QZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. WW: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. JW: Writing – original draft. CL: Writing – original draft. JJ: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

We thank all the participants in NHANES for their selfless contributions to this study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Elder DE, Barnhill RL, Bastian BC, Cook MG, de la Fouchardiere A, Gerami P. Melanocytic tumour classification and the pathway concept of melanoma pathogenesis. In: DE Elder, D Massi, RA Scolyer and R Willemze, editors. WHO classification of skin Tumours. *4th* ed. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer (2018). 66–71.

2. Zhang W, Xie X, Huang Z, Zhong X, Liu Y, Cheong KL, et al. The integration of single-cell sequencing, TCGA, and GEO data analysis revealed that PRRT3-AS1 is a biomarker and therapeutic target of SKCM. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:919145. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.919145

3. Bedaiwi A, Wysong A, Rogan EG, Clarey D, Arcari CM. Arsenic exposure and melanoma among US adults aged 20 or older, 2003–2016. *Public Health Rep.* (2022) 137:548–56. doi: 10.1177/00333549211008886

4. Klebanov N, Gunasekera NS, Lin WM, Hawryluk EB, Miller DM, Reddy BY, et al. Clinical spectrum of cutaneous melanoma morphology. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* (2019) 80:178–188.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2018.08.028

5. Long GV, Swetter SM, Menzies AM, Gershenwald JE, Scolyer RA. Cutaneous melanoma. Lancet. (2023) 402:485–502. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(23)00821-8

6. D'Ambrosio AE, Albonico G, Enide I, Mordà O, Maisano M, Mondello B. Pleural Melanoma Metastasis. *Ann Thorac Surg.* (2021) 112:e103–5. doi: 10.1016/j. athoracsur.2020.09.088

7. Conic RZ, Cabrera CI, Khorana AA, Gastman BR. Determination of the impact of melanoma surgical timing on survival using the National Cancer Database. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* (2018) 78:40–46.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2017.08.039

8. Chen X, Cubillos-Ruiz JR. Endoplasmic reticulum stress signals in the tumour and its microenvironment. Nat Rev Cancer. (2021) 21:71-88. doi: 10.1038/s41568-020-00312-2

9. He G, Peng X, Wei S, Yang S, Li X, Huang M, et al. Exosomes in the hypoxic TME: from release, uptake and biofunctions to clinical applications. *Mol Cancer*. (2022) 21:19. doi: 10.1186/s12943-021-01440-5

10. Gajos-Michniewicz A, Czyz M. WNT signaling in melanoma. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2020) 21:4852. doi: 10.3390/ijms21144852

11. Webster MR, Kugel CH 3rd, Weeraratna AT. The Wnts of change: how Wnts regulate phenotype switching in melanoma. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. (2015) 1856:244–51. doi: 10.1016/j.bbcan.2015.10.002

12. JIang W, Dong J, Zhang W, Huang Z, Guo T, Zhang K, et al. Development and validation of a prognostic model based on 11 E3-related genes for Colon Cancer patients. *Curr Pharm Des.* (2024) 30:935–51. doi: 10.2174/0113816128292398240306160051

13. Prevarskaya N, Skryma R, Shuba Y. Calcium in tumour metastasis: new roles for known actors. *Nat Rev Cancer*. (2011) 11:609–18. doi: 10.1038/nrc3105

14. Chen YF, Chen YT, Chiu WT, Shen MR. Remodeling of calcium signaling in tumor progression. J Biomed Sci. (2013) 20:23. doi: 10.1186/1423-0127-20-23

15. du F, Yang LH, Liu J, Wang J, Fan L, Duangmano S, et al. The role of mitochondria in the resistance of melanoma to PD-1 inhibitors. *J Transl Med.* (2023) 21:345. doi: 10.1186/s12967-023-04200-9

16. Datta M, Savage P, Lovato J, Schwartz GG. Serum calcium, albumin and tumor stage in cutaneous malignant melanoma. *Future Oncol.* (2016) 12:2205–14. doi: 10.2217/ fon-2016-0046

17. Goshima K, Owaribe K, Yamanaka H, Yoshino S. Requirement of calcium ions for cell degeneration with a toxin (vibriolysin) from Vibrio parahaemolyticus. *Infect Immun.* (1978) 22:821–32. doi: 10.1128/iai.22.3.821-832.1978

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2024.1461818/ full#supplementary-material

18. Hodgson L, Dong C. [Ca2+]i as a potential downregulator of alpha2beta1integrin-mediated A2058 tumor cell migration to type IV collagen. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol.* (2001) 281:C106–13. doi: 10.1152/ajpcell.2001.281.1.C106

19. Zhang H, Chen Z, Zhang A, Gupte AA, Hamilton DJ. The role of calcium signaling in melanoma. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23:1010. doi: 10.3390/ijms23031010

20. Linacre JM. R statistics: survey and review of packages for the estimation of Rasch models. *Int J Med Educ.* (2022) 13:171–5. doi: 10.5116/ijme.629d.d88f

21. Kimura K, Tomiyama H, Matsumoto C, Odaira M, Shiina K, Nagata M, et al. Longitudinal changes of the serum calcium levels and accelerated progression of arterial stiffness with age. *Atherosclerosis.* (2015) 243:486–92. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis. 2015.10.002

22. Behbahani S, Maddukuri S, Cadwell JB, Lambert WC, Schwartz RA. Gender differences in cutaneous melanoma: demographics, prognostic factors, and survival outcomes. *Dermatol Ther.* (2020) 33:e14131. doi: 10.1111/dth.14131

23. Muqaku B, Eisinger M, Meier SM, Tahir A, Pukrop T, Haferkamp S, et al. Multiomics analysis of serum samples demonstrates reprogramming of organ functions via systemic calcium mobilization and platelet activation in metastatic melanoma. *Mol Cell Proteomics*. (2017) 16:86–99. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M116.063313

24. Cox JL, Lancaster T, Carlson CG. Changes in the motility of B16F10 melanoma cells induced by alterations in resting calcium influx. *Melanoma Res.* (2002) 12:211–9. doi: 10.1097/00008390-200206000-00004

25. Mundy GR, Edwards JR. PTH-related peptide (PTHrP) in hypercalcemia. J Am Soc Nephrol. (2008) 19:672–5. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2007090981

26. Stanisz H, Saul S, Müller CS, Kappl R, Niemeyer BA, Vogt T, et al. Inverse regulation of melanoma growth and migration by Orai1/STIM2-dependent calcium entry. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res.* (2014) 27:442–53. doi: 10.1111/pcmr. 12222

27. Didier AJ, Nandwani SV, Watkins D, Fahoury AM, Campbell A, Craig DJ, et al. Patterns and trends in melanoma mortality in the United States, 1999-2020. *BMC Cancer*. (2024) 24:790. doi: 10.1186/s12885-024-12426-z

28. Xia Q, Ma Q, Zhu J, Gu L, Zhou F. Prognostic factors in postoperative patients with cutaneous melanoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Cancer Res.* (2024) 14:1947–56. doi: 10.62347/SQZT7285

29. Li AR, Valdebran M. Association between sun-protective behaviors and family history of melanoma: results from NHANES 2003 to 2004. *JAAD Int.* (2022) 8:19–20. doi: 10.1016/j.jdin.2022.04.001

30. Cheng B, Wu X, Li R, Tu J, Lin S, Zhang X, et al. Associations of serum carotenoids with the severity of sunburn and the risk of cancer: a cross-sectional analysis of 1999–2018 NHANES data. *Front Nutr.* (2022) 9:1051351. doi: 10.3389/ fnut.2022.1051351

31. Khokhar M, Purohit P. The emerging role of T helper 9 (Th9) cells in immunopathophysiology: a comprehensive review of their effects and responsiveness in various disease states. *Int Rev Immunol.* (2024) 43:341–60. doi: 10.1080/08830185. 2024.2364586

32. Beydon M, Pinto S, de Rycke Y, Fautrel B, Mariette X, Seror R, et al. Risk of cancer for patients with rheumatoid arthritis versus general population: a national claims database cohort study. *Lancet Reg Health Eur.* (2023) 35:100768. doi: 10.1016/j. lanepe.2023.100768