Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jian Li, Harbin Engineering University, China

REVIEWED BY Edoardo Andrea Cutolo, University of Verona, Italy Borhane Samir Grama, University of Oum El Bouaghi, Algeria

*CORRESPONDENCE Li Feng fengli0702@jhun.edu.cn

† These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 28 June 2024 ACCEPTED 05 September 2024 PUBLISHED 25 September 2024

CITATION

Cao G, Hu K, Hu Z, Wu Q, Liu S, Chen X, Meng X, Hu Z and Feng L (2024) Mutagenesis selection and large-scale cultivation of non-green *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* for food applications. *Front. Nutr.* 11:1456230. [doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1456230](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2024.1456230)

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Cao, Hu, Hu, Wu, Liu, Chen, Meng, Hu and Feng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Mutagenesis selection and](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2024.1456230/full) [large-scale cultivation of](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2024.1456230/full) non-green *[Chlamydomonas](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2024.1456230/full) reinhardtii* [for food applications](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2024.1456230/full)

Gang Cao^{1,2†}, Kun Hu^{1†}, Zhewen Hu¹, Qianlong Wu¹, Siyuan Liu¹, Xiaoping Chen¹, Xiangrui Meng^{1,3}, Zhangfeng Hu^{1,4,5} and Li Feng $1,4,5*$

1 Institute of Microalgae Synthetic Biology and Green Manufacturing, School of Life Sciences, Jianghan University, Wuhan, China, ²Engineering Training Center, Jianghan University, Wuhan, China,
³School of Medicine, Jianghan University, Wuhan, China, ⁴Hubei Engineering Research Center for Protection and Utilization of Special Biological Resources in the Hanjiang River Basin, School of Life Sciences, Jianghan University, Wuhan, China, ⁵Hubei Key Laboratory of Environmental and Health Effects of Persistent Toxic Substances, Jianghan University, Wuhan, China

Background: The green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* is an accepted food ingredient in the United States of America (United States), the European Union, Singapore, and China. It can be consumed in unlimited quantities. As this alga is rich in nutrients, proteins, and rough polysaccharides and contains a balanced proportion of various amino acids, it is an excellent raw material for food production. Although various edible brown and green algae are available on the market, their color and strong grassy flavor have constrained their popularity among consumers, thereby limiting their application in food additives and animal feed.

Methods: Chlorophyll-deficient *C. reinhardtii* mutants were developed using atmospheric and room temperature plasma (ARTP) technology.

Results: A yellow-colored *C. reinhardtii* variant (*A7S80*) cultivated in dark conditions was isolated. This light-sensitive variant has a mutation in the *chlM* gene, and it can grow heterotrophically using acetate as a carbon source.

Conclusion: Compared to wild-type *C. reinhardtii*, *A7S80* has significantly lower chlorophyll levels, reduced grassy flavor, and more diverse pigments, with considerable potential for commercial application in human and animal food production, as well as in pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries.

KEYWORDS

Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, ARTP mutagenesis, chlorophyll deficiency, high-density heterotrophic cultures, nutritional applications

1 Introduction

Microalgae are autotrophic microorganisms that grow in fresh and marine waters and soil ecosystems. They are coveted for use in nutraceuticals and as a dietary supplement. In addition to proteins, microalgae are rich in biologically active components such as *β*-carotene, eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) ([1\)](#page-7-0). As a common model organism, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* is often used for research on topics, such as photosynthetic systems, flagellar assembly, and recombinant protein factories [\(2](#page-7-1)[–4](#page-7-2)). Recently, *C. reinhardtii* has been acknowledged by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as "Generally Regarded as Safe" (GRAS) for human consumption, highlighting its significant potential as a functional food. This alga has also been officially approved by the National Health Commission of China. It is recognized as a safe food ingredient in the European Union and Singapore, and it is also permitted for sale in Hong Kong.

Chlamydomonas reinhardtii is widely distributed in nature, grows rapidly, and is highly adaptable. It can be photosynthetically autotrophic or heterotrophic, using organic carbon sources, and the cultivation process is straightforward, earning it the moniker "photosynthetic yeast" ([5\)](#page-7-3). This alga, rich in nutrients, boasts a dry-weight protein content of up to 46.9%, which is comparable to *Chlorella vulgaris* (45.3%) and *Spirulina platensis* (50.4%), two alternative renowned superfoods [\(6](#page-7-4)). In addition, its crude polysaccharide content is 12.5%, its dietary fiber content is 11.9%, and it contains eight essential amino acids, various trace elements, unsaturated fatty acids, and biotin, which are essential for human health [\(7](#page-7-5)). Secondary metabolites, unsaturated fatty acids, terpenes, and other nutrients produced during the fermentation render the algal taste unique, have a hypoglycemic effect ([8\)](#page-7-6), improve visual acuity, and enhance immunity ([9,](#page-7-7) [10](#page-7-8)).

Although *Chlorella vulgaris* is highly nutritious, its cell wall is difficult to digest, which leads to low nutrient absorption [\(11](#page-7-9)). On the other hand, *Spirulina platensis* is usually produced in runway ponds, which are susceptible to contamination by stray bacteria that can affect its quality [\(12\)](#page-7-10). In contrast, the cell wall of *C. reinhardtii* has a multilayered polysaccharide-protein structure that enables easy digestion and absorption of the alga in the human body [\(13\)](#page-7-11), and its production involves a cleaner process of heterotrophic fermentation. Due to its high nutritional value, *C. reinhardtii* shows considerable potential in fields such as alternative protein raw materials, pharmaceuticals, brewing industry, food additives, and animal feed. Through sustainable and efficient cultivation, this alga may alleviate the increased demand for more conventional land-crop resources [\(14\)](#page-7-12).

In industrial production, an algal strain is often modified according to product requirements to improve its productivity ([15](#page-7-13)). Mutagenesis is a common technique that usually involves methods such as ultraviolet mutagenesis, ionizing radiation, base analogs, or the addition of alkylating agents. Atmospheric and room temperature plasma (ARTP) is an emerging microbial mutagenesis technology that was first employed to microbial breeding in 2010 [\(16\)](#page-7-14). Since 2020, the number of research reports and citations regarding ARTP mutagenesis technology has increased significantly, indicating that it is being discussed more frequently by researchers [\(17](#page-7-15)). The ejection temperature of ARTP is close to room temperature, and the discharge is more uniform, ensuring increased micro-organismal survival rates ([18](#page-7-16)). The plasma jet contains chemically active particles, such as the excited states of He, O, N_2 , and OH, which can penetrate the cell wall and cell membrane and damage the DNA ([19](#page-7-17)). It activates the DNA repair mechanism in cells. Repairing severe DNA damage is prone to phenomena such as base mismatch, deletion, and frameshift, leading to numerous gene mutations [\(20](#page-7-18)). Compared with traditional mutagenesis, the ARTP instrument is easy to use and enables fast sample preparation; it damages the DNA in a unique way, and there are many induced mutation sites. In addition, no chemical pollutants or toxins are emitted during use. Electromagnetic pollution is well below the international standards, making it safe for operators to use

without requiring any special protection. Despite these advantages, it is difficult to apply this method in plants and animals.

ARTP mutagenesis has been successfully applied to microalgae. For example, the high-protein yellow mutant A4-1 ([21](#page-7-19)) obtained from *Auxenochlorella pyrenoidosa* represents a high-quality algal strain for fermenting and producing alternative proteins. An algal strain (M8) with high lipid production was obtained from *Parachlorella kessleri*, and its fatty acid composition complies with the standards of highquality biodiesel [\(22\)](#page-7-20), which could help alleviate pressure on the reserves of traditional fossil energy. A mutant (A4) with 1.8–5.2× higher H₂ production in *C. reinhardtii* enables the production of low-energy-consumption and environmentally friendly hydrogen through water photolysis mediated by photosynthesis [\(23\)](#page-7-21).

Additionally, chlorophyll deficiency in the alga reduces its grassy flavor [\(24\)](#page-7-22). We employed ARTP mutagenesis technology to treat the wild-type *C. reinhardtii* CC-1690 (*21gr*) variant, a strain that deviates from conventional green algae. We screened for chlorophyll-deficient mutants under dark light conditions. This alga can exist in the dark and steadily proliferate over an extended period of time using acetate as a carbon source, which proves its versatility, with additional potential applications in food, healthcare products, pharmaceuticals, and cosmetics.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Microalgae materials

Wild-type *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* CC-1690 (*21gr*) was purchased from the *Chlamydomonas* Resource Center (University of Minnesota) and subcultured in our laboratory.

2.2 Algae strain culture

A tris-acetate-phosphate (TAP) [\(25\)](#page-7-23) medium was configured in a 250mL cultivation bottle, with high-temperature autoclave sterilization. A small amount of the algae was inoculated into a sterile medium, aerated, and incubated in photoperiods (light: dark cycle 14h: 10h, 144μmol∙m[−]² ∙s[−]¹) at 24°C for 72–96h until the cells reached the logarithmic growth phase. The cells were then placed into sterile centrifugal tubes and spun at 1,200g for 3min on an ultra-clean workbench. Microalgal pellets were washed thrice with deionized water and then diluted to 5×10^6 cells mL⁻¹ with deionized water for ARTP mutagenesis.

The algae were spread on TAP agar plates and cultured in darkness or different photon flux densities with a spotlight on the top at 54 μmol•m⁻²•s⁻¹, 144 μmol•m⁻²•s⁻¹, and 360 μmol•m⁻²•s⁻¹.

2.3 ARTP mutagenesis

When the lethality rate reaches 90%, the mutation rate of the algal strain is relatively high and the reversion mutation is reduced; however, the lethality and mutation rates are not positively correlated ([26](#page-7-24)). The wild-type strain *21gr* was treated with a time gradient (0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, and 90s) and then added to the TAP medium in an orbital shaker (Zwy-240; Zhicheng Analytical Instrument

Manufacturing Company, Shanghai, China) for 100r/min at 24°C for 8h. The cells were then collected by centrifugation at 1,200 g for 3min (Avanti J-E centrifuge; Beckman Coulter Incorporation, United States); 1mL of the TAP medium was added to resuspend them, and the solution was then placed onto agar plates at 24°C for 5–7days in photoperiods. All experimental trials were performed in triplicate. Surviving algal monoclone were counted and lethality was determined ([27](#page-7-25)), using the [equation \(1\)](#page-2-0):

$$
F = \left(1 - \frac{N_1}{N_0}\right) \times 100\%
$$
 (1)

In this equation, F represents the "lethality rate," N_0 is the "number" of the control plate algae," and N_1 is the "number of the treated plate algae."

A 10μL sample of the diluted algal liquid was spread evenly over the surface of a sterile TAP plate medium; the plate was then transferred to the operating room of the ARTP breeders (ARTP-M mutualized breeding instrument; Tianmu Biotechnology Company, Wuxi, China) and placed in a corresponding groove using sterile tweezers. The equipment parameters were power (120W) and gas volume (10 SLM), with the optimal treatment time determined by trial tests. After the carrier was drawn into the eppendorf (EP) tube with 1mL TAP medium in an orbital shaker for 1 min. Then, the cells were transferred to a 50mL centrifuge tube with a fresh TAP medium, wrapped in tin foil, and shaken (100r/min) at 24°C for 8h. The recovered algal cells were centrifuged at 1,200g for 3min and then evenly plated onto TAP agar plates.

2.4 Isolation of the chlorophyll-deficient mutants

The TAP agar plates were completely protected from light at 24°C. After at least 7days, the appearance of algal colonies was carefully observed under dim light. The non-green colonies were removed and placed onto fresh TAP plates for complete dark culturing at 24°C. This screening operation was repeated more than 5 times until the phenotypic was stable, preserve them in TAP plates and liquid nitrogen, and preserve in TAP plates activated once a week to ensure the growth vitality of algae.

2.5 Cloning of the mutation site

The entire genomic DNA of both the *21gr* and *A7S80* mutant strains was recovered using cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) ([28](#page-7-26)), extracted with PCI (50% v/v phenol; 48% v/v chloroform; and 2% v/v isoamyl alcohol), mixed by inverting, and then centrifuged at 18,000g for 10min. The aqueous layer was transferred to a new tube with PCI (96% v/v chloroform and 4% v/v isoamyl alcohol), mixed by inverting, and centrifuged again at 18,000g for 10min. The aqueous layer was then transferred to a new tube with 0.7 volume of isopropanol to precipitate the DNA for 20min at −20°C; the DNA was then pelleted by centrifugation at 18,000g for 10min. The pellet was washed thrice with 70% ethanol, after which 80μL of a TE buffer was added to dissolve the pellet to obtain the genomic DNA.

Using the genomic DNA as the template, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Veriti 96-Well PCR instrument; Thermo Fisher Scientific Incorporation, United States) amplification was performed using Phanta Super-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Nanjing Vazyme Biotech Co., Ltd). The amplification reaction program involved pre-denaturation at 95°C for 2min; denaturation at 95°C for 10s, annealing at 60°C for 30s, and an extension at 72°C (30s kb[−]¹) for 35cycles; and a final extension at 72°C for 10min. After electrophoresis on a 1.5% agarose gel, the target fragments were excised and sent to Sangon Biotech (Wuhan) Co., Ltd. for sequencing. The sequences of the mutant strains were compared with those of the wild-type algal strain.

2.6 Algal cultivation

The seed for heterotrophic growth was cultured in a 500mL Erlenmeyer flask placed in an orbital shaker at 24°C and 150r/min for 72h. This culture was used to inoculate a 5L fermenter (Biotech-5JG fermenter, Baoxing Bio-Engineering Equipment Co., Shanghai, China). The primary fermentation broth had a TAP medium maintained at 26 ± 0.3 °C, with a pH value of 7.8 ± 0.2 (pH sensor, Mettler Toledo, Switzerland). This pH balance was achieved and maintained by adding 20% v/v acetic acid or 0.5 mol L⁻¹ sodium hydroxide. The feeding medium consisted of 50×TAP, concentrate without tris base, and was supplemented with 0.5 mol L⁻¹ sodium acetate and 20% v/v acetic acid. Additionally, corn oil with 30% m/m triglyceride was used as a defoamer ([29](#page-7-27)).

For the seed, the wild type and the mutant grown on the TAP plates were inoculated in a liquid TAP medium in an orbital shaker at 24°C and 150r/min; the mutant was cultured in darkness. Flame inoculation into the fermenter was performed when the optical density (OD) reached 0.6–1.0; this initial algae density was approximately 10⁵ cells mL⁻¹. The fermenter parameters were set as follows: temperature at 26 ± 0.3 °C, pH at 7.8 ± 0.2 , initial agitation rate at 100r/min, air inlet flow rate at 4Lmin[−]¹ , and dissolved oxygen above to 30%. Feeding commenced after 48h in accordance with [equation \(2\).](#page-2-1) Foam was monitored after 12h of feeding, after which the defoamer was added; the fermentation time depended on the tank biomass, but it was approximately 144–168h. The fermentation broth was centrifuged at 4,200g for 5min. The algal mud was refrigerated at −80°C for pre-cooling. The sample was dried using a freeze dryer lyophilizer (ZLGJ-18, Ningbo Scientz Biotechnology Company, China) until no obvious surface moisture remained. The *C. reinhardtii* powder was obtained by grinding and sieving.

$$
v = v_0 \times e^{u \times (t - t_0)}
$$
\n⁽²⁾

In this equation, "v" represents the fermentation process feeding rate (mLh⁻¹), "v₀" represents the initial feeding rate (mLh⁻¹), "e" is a natural constant, "u" is the specific microbial growth rate, "t" is the fermentation time, and " t_0 " is the initial feeding time.

2.7 Protein content

The protein content was determined using a modified Lowry method [\(30](#page-7-28), [31](#page-7-29)). The sample was diluted with distilled water in an ultrasonic cell pulverizer (JY 92-IIDN, Ningbo Scientz Biotechnology Company, China). A sample of 1mL was added into an EP tube with 0.9mL of solution A (100gL[−]¹ of sodium carbonate, 2gL[−]¹ of potassium sodium tartrate, and 0.5 mol L⁻¹ of sodium hydroxide). This mixture was then incubated at 50°C for 10min and cooled to room temperature. Then, 1mL of solution B (0.2gL[−]¹ potassium sodium tartrate, 0.1 gL⁻¹ copper sulfate pentahydrate, and 0.1 molL⁻¹ sodium hydroxide) was added and mixed well and was left for 10min. A 3mL sample of solution C (1/16v/v Folin–Ciocalteu phenol aqueous solution) was added, and then, the sample was incubated at 50°C for 10min. The standard curve was plotted with bovine serum proteins (0, 0.200, 0.400, 0.600, 0.800, and 1.000gL[−]¹), with absorbance measured at OD_{650} . The sample protein contents were estimated from the standard curve.

2.8 Crude polysaccharide content

The crude polysaccharide content was determined using a phenolsulfate acid method [\(32–](#page-7-30)[34](#page-7-31)). A homogeneous lyophilized algal powder $(0.500 \pm 0.001$ g) was added to 15 mL of deionized water; then, the solution was extracted by microwave at 140°C for 2h, boiled, and kept without liquid flow. Then, after adding 5mL of water, the solution was stirred and cooled to room temperature; 75mL of anhydrous ethanol was added to the solution, and the solution was maintained at 4°C overnight, before being centrifuged at 4,800g for 10min. The supernatant was discarded, the residual liquid was evaporated with heat, the sample was diluted to 250mL, and the middle filtrate was collected. The standard curve was prepared using a 100 mgL⁻¹ glucose standard solution (0, 0.200, 0.400, 0.600, 0.800, and 1.000mL). The solution was diluted to 1mL with water. After that, 1mL of a 5% v/v phenol solution was added and mixed quickly, then 5mL of sulfuric acid was added and mixed for 10min, and finally, the solution was mixed and incubated with water at 30°C for 20min. The absorbance was monitored at 490nm; the concentration of the solution was determined using [equation \(3\).](#page-3-0)

$$
X = \frac{m_1 \times V_1}{m_2 \times V_2} \times 0.9 \times 10^{-6} \times 100
$$
 (3)

In this equation, "X" represents the crude polysaccharide content of the sample (g∙0.1kg[−]¹), "m1" is the sugar content in the assay solution corresponding to the standard curve (μg), "m₂" is the sample mass (g), " V_1 " is the sample volume (mL), " V_2 " is the volume of the assay solution for colorimetric determination (mL), "0.9" is the conversion factor for glucose to dextran, and "10[−]⁶ " is a conversion factor.

2.9 Total chlorophyll content

The chlorophyll concentration was determined by spectrophotometry [\(35,](#page-7-32) [36\)](#page-7-33). Homogeneous lyophilized algal powder weighing homogeneous lyophilized algal powder 0.500 ± 0.005 g, added mixture, equal volume of 95% ethanol and 99.5% acetone mixed, for extraction. The volume was diluted to 100mL, vortexed well, and kept still for 8h. It was then filtered, and the absorbance was determined at OD_{644} and OD_{662} . The chlorophyll content was determined using [equation \(4\).](#page-3-1)

$$
F = (5.134 \times A_1 + 20.436 \times A_2) \times \frac{V}{1000 \times m}
$$
 (4)

In this equation, "F" represents the total chlorophyll content (mgg[−]¹), "A1" is the absorbance value of the test solution at 662nm, " A_2 " is the absorbance value of the test solution at 644 nm, "m" is the specimen mass (g), and "v" is the test solution volume (mL).

3 Results

3.1 ARTP mutagenesis of the *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*

The number of algae that survived the ARTP treatment at different times was counted to determine the lethality rate. The relationship between the mutagenesis time and lethality rate is shown in [Figure 1.](#page-3-2) For a mutagenesis time of 45s, the lethality rate was 86.29%, and for ≥60s, it was approximately 100%. Accordingly, we used 45s as the optimal time for the ARTP mutagenesis of *C. reinhardtii*.

3.2 Pigment profile of the chlorophyll-deficient mutants in different light intensities

After multiple rounds of the ARTP mutagenesis and dark selection, a yellow colony, which we named *A7S80*, was isolated ([Supplementary Figure S1](#page-7-34)). The yellow coloration indicated a chlorophyll deficiency. We selected and isolated this variant in the absence of light (using acetate as a carbon source) to suppress the demand for energy via photosynthesis. This mutant remained yellow at low light (54 μ mol•m⁻²•s⁻¹), and its growth was inhibited at light intensities of 144 μmol•m⁻²•s⁻¹ and 360 μmol•m⁻²•s⁻¹ [\(Figure 2](#page-4-0)).

To better understand the differences in growth between the *A7S80* and *21gr* strains when exposed to different light conditions, a series of liquid cultures of both strains was examined [\(Supplementary](#page-7-34) [Figures S2, S3](#page-7-34)). At 54μmol∙m[−]² ∙s −1 , the growth rate and final concentration of *21gr* were significantly higher than those of *A7S80*. At

144μmol∙m[−]² ∙s −1 , *A7S80* survived, and its growth rate was slightly slower than that under the light condition of 54µmol•m⁻²•s⁻¹, but on day 5, its growth rate suddenly increased, accompanied by a gradual change in color from yellow to green—a phenomenon also reported for Chinese cabbage and *C. reinhardtii* ([37,](#page-7-35) [38\)](#page-7-36). At 360μmol∙m[−]² ∙s −1 , *A7S80* could not survive and its cells broke down. Under dark conditions, the growth rate of *A7S80* was slightly higher than that of *21gr*. These phenomena indicated that *21gr* was better adapted to light and *A7S80* to darkness.

3.3 Mutation characterization

There are few reports of edible "non-green" *C. reinhardtii*. Color variation is mainly caused by a defect in chlorophyll synthesis. In all photosynthetic organisms, the biosynthesis step of protoporphyrin IX (PIX) only occurs within the chloroplast, with PIX being the precursor of chlorophyll and heme [\(39,](#page-7-37) [40](#page-8-0)). Magnesium ion chelatase has three subunits, CHLD, CHLI, and CHLH. Two AAA+ subunits form the CHLD complex [\(41](#page-8-1), [42](#page-8-2)), which interacts transiently with the body region of the CHLH protein through the C-terminal integrin domain of CHLD and then hydrolyzes ATP, driving the conformational change of the CHLH-porphyrin complex and promoting the insertion of Mg2+ ions into the PIX ring to form magnesium protoporphyrin IX (MgPIX) ([43](#page-8-3), [44\)](#page-8-4). MgPIX methyltransferase (*chlM*) is another key rate-limiting enzyme in the chlorophyll-synthesis pathway, catalyzing the transfer of the methyl group from S-adenosylmethionine to the carboxyl C13 propionate side chain of MgPIX ([45](#page-8-5)). After accepting the methyl group, MgPIX undergoes cyclization and forms protochlorophyll under the action of divinyl reductase ([46](#page-8-6)). Furthermore, chlorophyll a or chlorophyll b is formed under the action of protochlorophyll oxidoreductase (*chlL*, *chlN*, and *chlB*) [\(47](#page-8-7)).

Two mutants with a yellow and orange color for which mutant alleles were identified in the *chlH* coding region have been reported ([48](#page-8-8)); two more yellow mutant alleles have been identified in the *chlM* gene [\(49\)](#page-8-9), and a dark yellow mutant for which the mutation site was localized in the *chlL* gene has also been reported [\(50\)](#page-8-10). Combining the chlorophyll synthesis pathway and these findings of non-green mutants, we considered that *chlD*, *chlH*, *chlI*, and *chlM* were the main candidate mutant genes. The primers for the PCR amplification and the primer sequences for the related genes are shown in [Table 1](#page-5-0).

The mutations in the gene were identified by sequencing the fragments generated with the gDNA of *21gr* and *A7S80* [\(Figure 3A\)](#page-5-1). Regarding *A7S80*, a "T" to "C" single base mutation occurred in exon 6 of the *chlM* gene at the 95th base position as compared with *21gr*, resulting in the mutation of leucine to proline ([Figure 3B\)](#page-5-1).

3.4 Fermentation yield and nutritional components

After 144h of fermentation, 3.5L of the "algal liquid" was collected. Following centrifugation and freeze-drying, dried algal powders of *21gr* and *A7S80* were prepared (72g and 76g, with yields of 20.57gL[−]¹ and 21.7gL[−]¹ , respectively). The previous highest concentration of wild-type *C. reinhardtii* achieved in a fermented culture was 25.44 g L^{-1} [\(51\)](#page-8-11), but this required 237h; we achieved a higher output per unit time. We cultured algae in 200L and 2T fermenters and achieved a maximum yield of 18.26gL[−]¹ , which was slightly lower than that in a 5L fermenter. This difference might have been caused by an ineffective defoamer, which limited the rotation speed and led to low dissolved oxygen levels, causing the plateau phase to arrive earlier. An alternative defoamer with a low inhibitory effect

TABLE 1 Analysis of the non-green trait gene information.

S represents the sense primer, and AS represents the anti-sense primer.

and excellent defoaming performance is required. Compared with the *21gr* powder, the *A7S80* algal powder was bright yellow in color ([Figure 4\)](#page-6-0). The protein, crude polysaccharide, and chlorophyll components of *21gr* and *A7S80* were simultaneously detected. Although the protein and crude polysaccharide contents in *A7S80* were slightly lower, the differences were not significant; the chlorophyll content in *A7S80* was significantly lower. The slight difference in the protein content might have been caused by the mutation [\(Table 2](#page-6-1)).

4 Discussion

In the early stages of microalgal industry development, attention was focused on healthcare products derived from *Chlorella vulgaris* and *S. platensis*. During this period, technological and economic environments were very different. Culture conditions and other factors, such as smell, reduced the market demand for the product. The cost of *Chlorella* powder has increased due to post-processing steps, such as wall breaking and defishability [\(52\)](#page-8-12). The current price of the algae is still not affordable for the general public, making it difficult for most people to benefit from it.

Chlamydomonas reinhardtii has been used as a model organism in biological research for decades. Its genome has been sequenced,

its genetic background is clear, its culture and related technical systems are established, its cell wall is more readily broken down in the human gut, and it smells significantly less fishy than *Chlorella*. These attributes are conducive to both its processing and its use as a food resource. In addition, because of its reduced odor, high protein content, rough polysaccharides, and other nutrient contents, *C. reinhardtii* was approved as a new food ingredient by the National Health Commission of China in 2022. Although the development of products remains in its infancy, products incorporating this alga, such as pastries, yogurt, and milk tea, have already been marketed.

Microalgae contain a variety of antioxidants such as carotenoids, phenols, flavonoids, polyunsaturated fatty acids, vitamins, phycobilin coenzyme Q, and other compounds composed of peptides. These chemicals have anti-cancer properties [\(53\)](#page-8-13). High doses of ascorbic acid significantly impede cancer growth, whether administered alone or with conventional anticancer drugs, but they should be administered intravenously; ascorbic acid taken orally only leads to modest increases in plasma concentration [\(54\)](#page-8-14). Cancer cells are destroyed by epigenetic regulation, such as DNA and histone demethylation, and reconstitution of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine by oral vitamin C supplementation ([55](#page-8-15)). Biomaterials composed of living microalgae and biocompatible components have unique physiological

TABLE 2 Composition of the nutrients of the *21gr* and *A7S80* freezedried powder.

Algae strain	Proteins (g/100 g)	Rough polysaccharide (g/100 g)	Chlorophyll (mg/g)
21gr	$50.55 \pm 1.56^{\circ}$	$12.04 + 0.59^{\circ}$	$20.53 + 0.56^a$
A7S80	$47.79 + 1.42^a$	10.48 ± 0.8^a	$0.16 \pm 0.01^{\rm b}$

Different letters indicate significant differences (*p* >0.05) between the strains and treatments. Values are given as means \pm standard deviation for the three biological replicates ($n = 3$). Different letters of the superscript indicate significant differences (*p* >0.05) between the strains.

characteristics, such as photosynthetic activity, autofluorescence, and autonomous movement. By encapsulating microalgae in conventional biomaterials to maintain their photosynthetic activity, these materials can provide local oxygen and serve as biocompatible interfaces to regulate cellular activities. In addition, the autonomous movement of microalgae has influenced the development of biohybrid microrobots. These microrobots through precisely controlled movements can deliver drug molecules to target areas for therapeutic purposes ([56](#page-8-16)).

Cosmeceuticals are cosmetics that have both cosmetic and pharmaceutical applications. Cosmeceuticals are often used in dermatology to enhance skin tone and skin shine, as well as to provide anti-aging effects. The cosmeceutical industry is a growing and highly profitable industry globally ([57](#page-8-17)). Microalgae contain various active substances, such as phosphophenols, polysaccharides, and carotene, which can inhibit the activity of tyrosinase, reduce ultraviolet radiation damage, prevent melanin production, whiten skin, and reduce wrinkling ([58](#page-8-18)). In addition, polysaccharides have moisturizing and mollifying effects [\(59\)](#page-8-19), and the steroid fucosterol and PYP1-5 peptide can inhibit matrix metalloproteinase expression and promote collagen synthesis and elastin production [\(60,](#page-8-20) [61](#page-8-21)).

Microalgal biomass can be converted into biodiesel, bioethanol, biohydrogen, and bioactive substances and products, such as animal feed, biofertilizers, bioplastics, cosmetics, and pharmaceuticals. With the support of ARTP technology, high-yielding algal strains with targeted traits can be engineered to both improve production efficiency and save production costs. The cultivation of microalgae can also capture $CO₂$, thereby reducing GHG emissions.

Although ARTP mutagenesis is a non-transgenic technology, it has shown great potential in energy and other fields, but the safety of mutant strains in food must be strictly evaluated. Combined with modern biotechnology, such as genomics, metabolomics, and epigenetics, how ARTP mutagenesis affects microalgal cell metabolic pathways can be better understood. Conditions to optimize mutagenesis can be developed and microalgal mutants that produce high yields of target products can be engineered. Through interdisciplinary research, the synergistic effect of ARTP mutagenesis and other breeding techniques can be explored to further improve the efficiency and effectiveness of microalgae breeding.

We used ARTP technology to directionally screen under dark conditions [\(Supplementary Figure S4](#page-7-34)), in which the yellow mutant *A7S80* grew using acetate as a carbon source, and the chlorophyll content and "green grass" taste were reduced. The nutrient contents of the protein and rough polysaccharide in *A7S80* were similar in values to those in *21gr*. Cultivated using a high-density fermentation technology developed by our laboratory, the biomass of *C. reinhardtii* can be significantly increased. This advancement enables us to quickly obtain large amounts of algal powder so that new food formulas can be developed to cater existing *C. reinhardtii* markets for human and animal food, healthcare products, drugs, fuels, and cosmetics.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found at: [https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/info/](https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/info/CreinhardtiiCC_4532_v6_1) [CreinhardtiiCC_4532_v6_1.](https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/info/CreinhardtiiCC_4532_v6_1)

Author contributions

GC: Data curation, Writing – original draft. KH: Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. ZEH: Writing – review & editing. QW: Writing – review & editing. SL: Writing – review & editing. XC: Writing – review & editing. XM: Writing – review & editing. ZGH: Writing – review & editing. LF: Funding acquisition, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (grant number: 2020YFA0907400),which would bear the payment of the article processing fee; the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number: 32170702), which was beared reagents and consumables for this work, and the Major Special Funding Program for First-class Discipline Construction of Jianghan University (grant number: 2023XKZ021), which provided the equipments for these experiments.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Jesionowska M, Ovadia J, Hockemeyer K, Clews AC, Xu Y. EPA and DHA in microalgae: health benefits, biosynthesis, and metabolic engineering advances. *J Am Oil Chem Soc*. (2023) 100:831–42. doi: [10.1002/aocs.12718](https://doi.org/10.1002/aocs.12718)

2. Dupuis P, Merchant S. *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*: a model for photosynthesis and so much more. *Nat Methods*. (2023) 20:1441–2. doi: [10.1038/s41592-023-02023-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-023-02023-6)

3. Mittag M, Wagner V. The circadian clock of the unicellular eukaryotic model organism *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Biol Chem*. (2003) 384:689–95. doi: [10.1515/](https://doi.org/10.1515/bc.2003.077) [bc.2003.077](https://doi.org/10.1515/bc.2003.077)

4. Arias C, Oliveira CFMD, Molino JVD, Ferreira-Camargo LS, Matsudo MC, Carvalho JCMD. Production of recombinant biopharmaceuticals in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Int J Plant Biol*. (2022) 14:39–52. doi: [10.3390/ijpb14010004](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijpb14010004)

5. Rochaix JD. *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* as the photosynthetic yeast. *Annu Rev Genet*. (1995) 29:209–30. doi: [10.1146/annurev.ge.29.120195.001233](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ge.29.120195.001233)

6. Darwish R, Gedi MA, Akepach P, Assaye H, Zaky AS, Gray DA. *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* is a potential food supplement with the capacity to outperform Chlorella and Spirulina. *Appl Sci*. (2020) 10:1–17. doi: [10.3390/app10196736](https://doi.org/10.3390/app10196736)

7. Murbach TS, Glávits R, Endres JR, Hirka G, Vértesi A, Béres E, et al. A toxicological evaluation of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, a green algae. *Int J Toxicol*. (2018) 37:53–62. doi: [10.1177/1091581817746109](https://doi.org/10.1177/1091581817746109)

8. Niu ZG, Zhao YF. The efficacy and functions of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* powder. *China Food Ind*. (2021) 24:119–22.

9. Hwang OJ, Back K. Functional characterization of Arylalkylamine N -acetyltransferase, a pivotal gene in antioxidant melatonin biosynthesis from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Antioxidants*. (2022) 11:1531. doi: [10.3390/antiox11081531](https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox11081531)

10. Liu C, Guo H, Zhao X, Zou B, Sun T, Feng J, et al. Overexpression of 18S rRNA methyltransferase CrBUD23 enhances biomass and lutein content in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol*. (2023) 11:1102098. doi: [10.3389/fbioe.2023.1102098](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2023.1102098)

11. Wang LY, Huang ZL, Li G, Zhao HX, Xing XH, Sun WT, et al. Novel mutation breeding method for *Streptomyces avermitilis* using an atmospheric pressure glow discharge plasma. *J Appl Microbiol*. (2010) 108:851–8. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04483.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04483.x)

12. Zong X, Wen L, Wang XY, Li L. Glimpse of atmospheric and room temperature plasma (ARTP) mutagenesis technology in the past decade. *Authorea*. (2024) 24:12016. doi: [10.22541/au.170669803.39674760/v1](https://doi.org/10.22541/au.170669803.39674760/v1)

13. Lopes PA, Coelho D, Prates JA. Testimony on a successful lab protocol to disrupt *Chlorella vulgaris* microalga cell wall. *Pub Lib Sci ONE*. (2022) 17:e0268565. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0268565](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0268565)

14. Janice AR, Sakhawat H, Kristen AM, Stephanie FV, Vikas K. A review on Spirulina: alternative media for cultivation and nutritive value as an aquafeed. *Rev Aquac*. (2020) 12:2371–95. doi: [10.1111/raq.12439](https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12439)

15. Roberts K. Crystalline glycoprotein cell walls of algae: their stucture, composition and assembly. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond*. (1974) 268:129–46. doi: [10.1098/rstb.1974.0021](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1974.0021)

16. Masi A, Leonelli F, Scognamiglio V, Gasperuzzo G, Antonacci A, Terzidis MA. *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*: a factory of nutraceutical and food supplements for human health. *Molecules*. (2023) 28:1185. doi: [10.3390/molecules28031185](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28031185)

17. Zhong TH, Zeng XM, Zhang YH, Chan ZH, Luo ZH, Yang XW, et al. Discovery, gene modification, and optimization of fermentation of an enduracidin-producing strain. *J Asian Nat Prod Res*. (2018) 20:633–48. doi: [10.1080/10286020.2018.1451517](https://doi.org/10.1080/10286020.2018.1451517)

18. Li HP, Sun WT, Wang HB, Li G, Bao CY. Electrical features of radio-frequency, atmospheric-pressure, bare-metallic-electrode glow discharges. *Plasma Chem Plasma Process*. (2007) 27:529–45. doi: [10.1007/s11090-007-9079-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11090-007-9079-x)

19. Christoph O, Margarete N, Jin W. Microbial mutagenesis by atmospheric and room-temperature plasma (ARTP): the latest development. *Bioresour Bioprocess*. (2018) 5:1–14. doi: [10.1186/s40643-018-0200-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40643-018-0200-1)

20. Volkova N, Meier B, Víctor GH, Bertolini S, González S, Vöhringer H, et al. Mutational signatures are jointly shaped by DNA damage and repair. *Nat Commun*. (2020) 11:2169. doi: [10.1038/s41467-020-15912-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15912-7)

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2024.1456230/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2024.1456230/full#supplementary-material) [full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2024.1456230/full#supplementary-material)

21. Chen X, Wang BL, Wei D. Breeding of Chlorella mutants deficient in chlorophyll synthesis and evaluation of its protein yield and quality. *Chin J Biotechnol*. (2023) 39:1247–59. doi: [10.13345/j.cjb.220675](https://doi.org/10.13345/j.cjb.220675)

22. Felshobary ME, Zabed HM, Qi XH, El-Shenody RA. Enhancing biomass and lipid productivity of a green microalga Parachlorella kessleri for biodiesel production using rapid mutation of atmospheric and room temperature plasma. *Biotechnol Biofuels Bioprod*. (2022) 15:122. doi: [10.1186/s13068-022-02220-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-022-02220-z)

23. Ban SD, Lin WX, Zhang L, Luo JF. Improving hydrogen production of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* by reducing chlorophyll content via atmospheric and room temperature plasma. *Bioresour Technol*. (2019) 275:425–9. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.12.062) [biortech.2018.12.062](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.12.062)

24. Lelyveld LJ, Brian LS. The association between residual chlorophyll and grassy taste in black tea. *S Afr J Plant Soil*. (1988) 6:280–1. doi: [10.1080/02571862.1989.10634528](https://doi.org/10.1080/02571862.1989.10634528)

25. Gorman DS, Levine RP. Cytochrome f and plastocyanin: their sequence in the photosynthetic electron transport chain of Chlamydomonas reinhardi. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (1965) 54:1665–9. doi: [10.1073/pnas.54.6.1665](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.54.6.1665)

26. Liu K, Fang H, Cui F, Nyabako BA, Tao T, Zan X, et al. ARTP mutation and adaptive laboratory evolution improve probiotic performance of *Bacillus coagulans*. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*. (2020) 104:6363–73. doi: [10.1007/s00253-020-10703-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-020-10703-y)

27. Yu G, Peng H, Cao J, Liao A, Long P, Huang J, et al. Avilamycin production enhancement by mutagenesis and fermentation optimization in *Streptomyces viridochromogenes*. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol*. (2022) 38:50. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-021-03191-3) [s11274-021-03191-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-021-03191-3)

28. Elena LP. CTAB/chloroform-Isoamyl alcohol DNA extraction protocol. *Protocols. io*. (2023). 1–6.doi: [10.17504/protocols.io.261gednpdv47/v1,](https://doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.261gednpdv47/v1)

29. Stoyan IK, Michaela VG. Foams and antifoams. *Adv Colloid Interf Sci*. (2012) 176-177:1–17. doi: [10.1016/j.cis.2012.04.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2012.04.001)

30. Maria H. Measuring protein content in food: an overview of methods. *Food Secur*. (2020) 9:1340. doi: [10.3390/foods9101340](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9101340)

31. Hartree EF. Determination of protein: a modification of the Lowry method that gives a linear photometric response. *Anal Biochem*. (1972) 48:422–7. doi: [10.1016/0003-2697\(72\)90094-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(72)90094-2)

32. Song JJ, Weng H, Zhang L, Zhao YY. Determination on the content of polysaccharides in mongolian medicine qingrebaweisan crude polysaccharide. *J Inner Mongolia Univ National*. (2012) 27:527–9. doi: [10.14045/j.cnki.15-1220.2012.05.017](https://doi.org/10.14045/j.cnki.15-1220.2012.05.017)

33. Jin LY, Huang YZ, Zhou YF, Li Y. Process optimization and content determination of crude polysaccharide content from Ganoderma Lucidum green tea. *Strait Pharmaceutical J*. (2013) 25:89–92.

34. Dubois M, Gilles KA, Hamilton JK, Rebers PA, Smith F. Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. *Anal Chem*. (1956) 28:350–6. doi: [10.1021/ac60111a017](https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60111a017)

35. Asimovic Z, Cengic L, Jones I, Senad M. Spectrophotometry determination of total chlorophyll content in fresh vegetables. *Conference of Agriculture and Food Industry*. University of Sarajevo. (2016) *66*:104–107.

36. Luo G, Xu Y, He L. Effect of extraction conditions on the spectrophotometric determination of chlorophyll content in Spirulina platensis powder. *Food Sci*. (2014) 35:151–4. doi: [10.7506/spkx1002-6630-201414029](https://doi.org/10.7506/spkx1002-6630-201414029)

37. Huo JY, Zhang NA, Gong Y, Bao YR, Li YY, Zhang LG, et al. Effects of different light intensity on leaf color changes in a Chinese cabbage yellow cotyledon mutant. *Front Plant Sci*. (2024) 15:1371451. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2024.1371451](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2024.1371451)

38. Chan CK, Gaines GL, Fleming GR, Mets LJ. Chlorophyll fluorescence lifetime studies of greening in yellow mutants of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*: assembly of the photosystem I core complex. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*. (1989) 975:59–65. doi: [10.1016/S0005-2728\(89\)80201-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-2728(89)80201-4)

39. Beale SI. Enzymes of chlorophyll biosynthesis. *Photosynth Res*. (1999) 60:43–73. doi: [10.1023/A:1006297731456](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006297731456)

40. Papenbrock J, Grimm B. Regulatory network of tetrapyrrole biosynthesis-studies of intracellular signalling involved in metabolic and developmental control of plastids. *Planta*. (2001) 213:667–81. doi: [10.1007/s004250100593](https://doi.org/10.1007/s004250100593)

41. Nathan BPA, Reid JD. The allosteric role of the AAA+ domain of ChlD protein from the magnesium chelatase of synechocystis species PCC 6803. *J Biol Chem*. (2013) 288:28727–32. doi: [10.1074/jbc.m113.477943](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m113.477943)

42. Adams NBP, Brindley AA, Hunter CN, Reid JD. The catalytic power of magnesium chelatase: a benchmark for the AAA+ATPases. *Federation Eur Biochem Soc*. (2016) 590:1687–93. doi: [10.1002/1873-3468.12214](https://doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.12214)

43. Farmer DA, Brindley AA, Hitchcock A, Jackson PJ, Johnson B, Dickman MJ, et al. The ChlD subunit links the motor and porphyrin binding subunits of magnesium chelatase. *Biochem J*. (2019) 476:1875–87. doi: [10.1042/bcj20190095](https://doi.org/10.1042/bcj20190095)

44. Adams NBP, Bisson C, Brindley AA, Farmer DA, Davison PA, Reid JD, et al. The active site of magnesium chelatase. *Nature Plants*. (2020) 6:1491–502. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-00806-9) [s41477-020-00806-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-020-00806-9)

45. Chen XM, Wang X, Feng JX, Chen YH, Fang Y, Zhao SP, et al. Structural insights into the catalytic mechanism of Synechocystis magnesium Protoporphyrin IX O-methyltransferase (ChlM). *J Biol Chem*. (2014) 289:25690–8. doi: [10.1074/jbc.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m114.584920) [m114.584920](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m114.584920)

46. Willows RD, Gibson LC, Kanangara CG, Hunter CN, Von WD. Three separate proteins constitute the magnesium chelatase of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*. *Eur J Biochem*. (1996) 235:438–43. doi: [10.1111/j.1432-1033.1996.00438.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1996.00438.x)

47. Beale SI. Green genes gleaned. *Trends Plant Sci*. (2005) 10:309–12. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2005.05.005) [tplants.2005.05.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2005.05.005)

48.Chekounova E, Voronetskaya V, Jutta P, Bernhard G, Christoph FB. Characterization of Chlamydomonas mutants defective in the H subunit of mg-chelatase. *Mol Gen Genomics*. (2001) 266:363–73. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s004380100574) [s004380100574](https://doi.org/10.1007/s004380100574)

49. Meinecke L, Alawady A, Schroda M, Willows R, Kobayashi MC, Niyogi KK, et al. Chlorophyll-deficient mutants of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* that accumulate magnesium protoporphyrin IX. *Plant Mol Biol*. (2010) 72:643–58. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-010-9604-9) [s11103-010-9604-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-010-9604-9)

50. Cahoon AB, Michael PT. Yellow-in-the-dark mutants of Chlamydomonas lack the CHLL subunit of light-independent Protochlorophyllide reductase. *Plant Cell*. (2000) 12:559–68. doi: [10.1105/tpc.12.4.559](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.12.4.559)

51. Zhang Z, Tan YY, Wang WL, Bai WM, Fan JH, Huang JK, et al. Efficient heterotrophic cultivation of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *J Appl Phycol*. (2018) 31:1545–54. doi: [10.1007/s10811-018-1666-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-018-1666-0)

52. Hu J, Chuai WH, Li KP, Hou GL, Wu MC, Chen JP, et al. Ultrahigh-cell-density heterotrophic cultivation of the unicellular green alga *Chlorella sorokiniana* for biomass production. *Biotechnol Bioeng*. (2021) 118:4138–51. doi: [10.1002/bit.27890](https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.27890)

53. Abd El-Hack ME, Abdelnour SA, Alagawany M, Abdo M, Sakr M, Khafaga AF, et al. Microalgae in modern cancer therapy: current knowledge. *Biomed Pharmacother*. (2019) 111:42–50. doi: [10.1016/j.biopha.2018.12.069](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.12.069)

54. Blaszczak W, Barczak W, Masternak J, Kopczynski P, Zhitkovich A, Rubis B. Vitamin C as a modulator of the response to cancer therapy. *Molecules*. (2019) 24:453. doi: [10.3390/molecules24030453](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24030453)

55. Ferdous UT, Yusof ZNB. Medicinal prospects of antioxidants from algal sources in Cancer therapy. *Front Pharmacol*. (2021) 12:12. doi: [10.3389/fphar.2021.593116](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2021.593116)

56. Zhang ZY, Chen YM, Klausen LH, Skaanvik SA, Wang D, Chen JF, et al. The rational design and development of microalgae-based biohybrid materials for biomedical applications. *Engineering*. (2023) 24:102–13. doi: [10.1016/j.eng.2022.09.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eng.2022.09.016)

57. Wang HMD, Chen CC, Huynh P, Chang JS. Exploring the potential of using algae in cosmetics.*Bioresoures*. *Technology*. (2015) 184:355–62. doi: [10.1016/j.biortech.2014.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.12.001)

58. Jesumani V, Du H, Aslam M, Pei PB, Huang N. Potential use of seaweed bioactive compounds in skincare—a review. *Mar Drugs*. (2019) 17:688. doi: [10.3390/md17120688](https://doi.org/10.3390/md17120688)

59. Shao P, Shao J, Han L, Lv R, Sun P. Separation, preliminary characterization, and moisture-preserving activity of polysaccharides from *Ulva fasciata*. *Int J Biol Macromol*. (2015) 72:924–30. doi: [10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2014.09.048](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2014.09.048)

60. Kim MS, Oh GH, Kim MJ, Hwang JK. Fucosterol inhibits matrix metalloproteinase expression and promotes type-1 procollagen production in UVB-induced HaCaT cells. *Photochem Photobiol*. (2013) 89:911–8. doi: [10.1111/php.12061](https://doi.org/10.1111/php.12061)

61. Ryu J, Park SJ, Kim IH, Choi YH, Nam TJ. Protective effect of porphyra-334 on UV A-induced photoaging in human skin fibroblasts. *Int J Mol Sci*. (2014) 34:796–803. doi: [10.3892/ijmm.2014.1815](https://doi.org/10.3892/ijmm.2014.1815)