#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Melanie Charron, Soremartec Italia Srl, Italy

REVIEWED BY Alessandra Mazzocchi, University of Milan, Italy

\*CORRESPONDENCE Romdhane Karoui ⊠ romdhane.karoui@univ-artois.fr

RECEIVED 29 January 2024 ACCEPTED 11 June 2024 PUBLISHED 05 July 2024

#### CITATION

Karoui R and Bouaicha I (2024) A review on nutritional quality of animal and plant-based milk alternatives: a focus on protein. *Front. Nutr.* 11:1378556. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1378556

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Karoui and Bouaicha. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# A review on nutritional quality of animal and plant-based milk alternatives: a focus on protein

#### Romdhane Karoui\* and Inès Bouaicha

Univ. Artois, Univ. Lille, Univ. Littoral Côte d'Opale, Univ. Picardie Jules Verne, Univ. de Liège, INRAE, Junia, Lens, France

In recent years, the demand of consumers for products rich in protein is of significant growth. Due to its structure in tissues, protein is considered an essential nutrient for maintenance and growth. It is well known that dairy foods differ from plant-based milk alternatives in their composition. In addition to protein content, nutrients in milk and plant-based beverages vary greatly in composition and content, such as: Calcium, fiber and fat. The nutritional quality of dairy protein sources depends on both their amino acid composition and bioavailability. Indeed, dairy products are considered to be excellent sources of proteins with high Digestible Indispensable Amino Acid Score (DIAAS) values varying from 100 to 120. However, plant proteins are considered to have generally lower essential amino acid contents and lower DIAAS values than dairy proteins. For example, pea and rice proteins are known to have medium and lower DIAAS with values of 62 and 47, respectively. The present review is dedicated to study the nutritional quality of animal and plant-based milk alternatives, where a focus on protein composition and amount are determined.

#### KEYWORDS

animal dairy products, plant-based milk alternatives, protein, nutritional, quality

## **1** Introduction

In recent years, consumers have increasingly opted for plant-based diets (1, 2). In fact, according to Battisti et al. (3), plant-based milk alternatives are one of the emerging areas in the food industry, as the consumption of plant-based milk alternatives has increased significantly and is rapidly gaining popularity, mainly due to its nutritional value and numerous positive effects and health benefits to humans (4). Given that approximately 65% of the world's population has reduced lactose digestibility and allergies, reliance on plant-based milk alternatives has emerged as an ideal alternative to meet the daily nutritional needs of these consumers.

In this context, both research and industry are interested in developing plant-based milk alternatives as an alternative to animal milk products. The market is expected to reach \$66.9 billion by 2030, according to a new report from Grand View Research, Inc. as indicated in previous research studies (5, 6). As depicted by Pritulska et al. (6), plant-based milk alternatives could be produced from nuts (almond milk), grains (oat milk), legumes (soy milk), seeds (hemp milk) and so on.

Numerous research studies were conducted to determine the quality, functionality, and nutritional properties of plant-based milk alternatives. For example, Le Roux et al. (7) depicted that plant-based milk alternatives produced with pea and faba beans presented protein digestibility varying between 51–66 and 42–73% for static and dynamic digestion systems,

respectively. In another approach, Khalesi and FitzGerald (8) blended soybean or pea protein at a level of 25% with animal milk protein and observed an amelioration in the digestibility level since soy and animal milk protein blend was found to be digested in the gastric phase, while pea and animal milk protein blend was mainly digested during the intestinal phase.

Despite the popularity of plant-based milk alternatives, these products sometimes presented some unpleasant sensory notes such as beany off-flavor, chalky or grainy mouthfeel, darker appearance, instability manifested by liquid separation (9, 10). To counteract these disadvantages and increase the acceptability of plant-based milk alternatives, flavorings and stabilizers are used in the formulation of plant-based milk alternatives (11). However, the use of additives in the formulation of plant-based milk alternatives may lead to concerns among consumers who are increasingly scrutinizing the nature of ingredient lists (12). To address this problem, recent research studies have been conducted by combining different plant proteins such as soy and almond milk blend, oat, and cashew blend, and flaxmilk and pea protein allowing to produce products with a high nutritional value (12). Therefore, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive review of the quality of plant-based milk alternatives compared to animal dairy products by focusing on protein.

# 2 Milk and milk analogs in terms of their composition in protein and digestibility

Dairy milk is considered to be a source of protein, fat, mineral especially calcium and phosphorus, and several other micronutrients (Table 1). For the production of plant-based milk alternatives, different ingredients such as: (i) vitamins A and D, minerals and so on (16); and (ii) sugars, flavors, are used to improve taste and texture, thus affecting the overall health profile.

Protein is fundamental to maintain human body function. The nutritional quality of proteins is affected by their amino acid composition and bioavailability. The protein level is calculated using the nitrogen conversion factor, depending on the protein's origin. Table 2 expressed the nitrogen to protein conversion factor for animal and plant protein, while Table 3 indicated the amino acid composition varied according to the plant-based milk alternatives (25–27).

The digestible indispensable amino acid score (DIAAS) of some plant-based milk alternatives has recently determined by Khamzaeva et al. (27) (Table 4). For cow's milk, all DIAAS were higher than 100% with the lowest one (117%) for tryptophan and the highest one (198%) for histidine. Lower DIAAS values were determined for individual amino acids for the other plant-based beverages. Indeed, for soy plantbased beverages, the lowest DIAAS values (111%) was noted for valine and tryptophan and the highest one (164%) for histidine. Again, all DIAAS values for soy beverages were higher than 100%. Regarding oat beverages, histidine and lysine presented, respectively, the highest (183%) and the lowest (73%) DIAAS values, respectively. For the oat almond beverages, lysine, threonine and tryptophan presented the lowest DIAAS values, respectively: 34, 93 and 94%. The highest DIAAS values was noted for Histidine with 187%.

# 2.1 Soybean milk

The production and consumption of soybean milk have increased significantly over the last two decades due to its nutritional value and health benefit (25, 26). For example, besides the absence of lactose and cholesterol in soy milk, its protein composition is quite similar to that of cow milk (27).

According to the United States Department of Agriculture Food Composition Databases, soybean milk contains a protein level of 3.65 g/100 g. In order to increase the bioavailability of bioactive compounds present in soybean milk, a fermentation process could be applied. Indeed, it has been reported that the fermentation process reduced anti-nutritional factors (proteinase- inhibitors, phytic acid, urease, oxalic acids) and increase the bioavailability of bioactive components (28). The authors explained this trend by the fact that during the fermentation process, micro-organisms break down complex organic substances into simpler molecules increasing the number of free isoflavones and peptides (28). In another approach, Sanjukta and Rai (29) fermented soybean with *B. subtilis MTCC5480* and observed a higher amount of free amino acids level due to the protein hydrolysis. The authors mentioned that *B. subtilis* increase the free radical scavenging property to an appreciable level and inhibits

TABLE 2 Nitrogen to protein conversion factor for animal and plant protein.

Protein type	Factor	Reference		
Milk	6.38	(17)		
Almond	5.18	(18)		
Rice	5.95	(17)		
Soybean	5.71	(17)		
Coconut	5.31	(19)		

TABLE 1 Composition of bovine milk compared to some plant-based milk alternatives.

		Macromolec	ules	Mine	References	
Milk type	Protein (%)	Fat (%)	Carbohydrates (%)	Ca (mg/100 g)	P (mg/100 g)	
Bovine milk	2.9-6	3.4-6.4	3.20-5.40	122–134	119–121	(13)
Almond milk	1.9–2.50	3.20-3.60	4.30-4.70	13.05-13.15	75.03-75.33	(14)
Soy milk	3.82-3.98	3.1-4.3	4.64-4.92	4-5.4	49-62.6	(14)
Rice milk	0.28-1.26	0.97-1.11	9.41-12.7	118-121.35	55.91-56.86	(15)
Coconut milk	0.59–2	4.12-6	3.75-9.41	176-178.1	240-256.35	(15)

	Some amino acids (mg/100 g)							References
Milk type	Lysine	Methionine	phenylalanine	tryptophan	Leucine	Histidine	Valine	
Bovine milk	49-96	17–27	38-56	n.d.	90-108	15-26	33-53	(5, 20)
Soy bean milk	0.88-3.92	0.31-0.85	1.86-2.79	0.3-0.8	2.94-4.24	0.55-1.49	1.32-2.59	(5, 21)
Almond milk	36.2-57.4	27.1-27.95	50.9-50.55	13.9–13.98	83.2-83	21.8-25.7	38.3-73.6	(5)
Rice milk	118.4-179.4	155.6-168.9	393.3-448.5	n.d.	496.9-585.2	186.6-206.6	306.2-375.2	(5, 22)
Peanut milk	36.75-36.7	n.d.	n.d.	30.02-30.3	64.5-64.3	27.2-27.73	32.63-32.79	(5, 23)
Coconut milk	3.50-5.1	1.2-2.9	2.7-5.9	3.20-3.30	3.9-6.5	1.8-1.9	3.5-7.5	(5, 24)

#### TABLE 3 Comparative overview of some amino acid profile of bovine milk with commercially nondairy plant-based milk alternatives.

n.d., not determined.

TABLE 4 Digestible indispensable amino acid score (DIAAS)<sup>a</sup> ratio for Histidine, Threonine, Valine, Isoleucine, Leucine, Lysine and Tryptophan in soy, oat, and almond plant-based beverages and cow's milk (27).

	DIAA reference ratio						
	Histidine	Threonine	Valine	Isoleucine	Leucine	Lysine	Tryptophan
Cow's milk	1.98	1.48	1.35	1.51	1.38	1.60	1.17
Soy beverage	1.64	1.39	1.11	1.47	1.14	1.24	1.11
Oat beverage	1.83	1.17	1.24	1.30	1.18	0.73	0.95
Almond beverage	1.87	0.93	1.08	1.26	1.10	0.34	0.94

"The DIAA reference ratio was calculated by dividing the content of the indispensable amino acid by the reference pattern of the respective amino acid (27).

angiotensin I-converting enzyme resulting in decreasing blood pressure level. Recently, Battisti et al. (3) analyzed 15 different commercial soy milk using a label-free quantitative proteomics approach and found different levels of essential amino acids and non-essential amino acids. The authors depicted a relative lower amount of histidine, methionine, tryptophan and cysteine in soy milk and recommended the necessity of fortifying commercial soy milk with these amino acids. The obtained results are confirmed, recently, by others who depicted the absence of tryptophan in soybean grain (27).

#### 2.2 Almond milk

Almond is considered one of the "brain-foods" since it is considered to promote mental alertness, concentration, recall skills, memory and helps to get good sleep when taken at night (30). Patients who are suffering from lactose intolerance are advised to consume almond milk instead of soy milk (31). Recently, Ashkanani (32) compared the nutritional quality of almond and oat milk and found that the former was more effective to increase protein level among others. Ashkanani (32) depicted that the *in vitro* digestion of almond proteins by pepsin led to the destabilization and coalescence of almond oil bodies that did not significantly affect the rate of protein delivery to the small intestine.

In a different approach, Wang et al. (33) determined the DIAAS of almond milk compared to cow milk. It is well known that the higher the DIAAS score, the greater the quality of the protein material in the food. The authors depicted a DIAAS of 0.39 and 1.45 for almond and cow milk, respectively indicating the higher digestibility of the former milk. The same authors used another universal score called PDCAAS

and again found that cow milk scored higher than almond milk (1 vs. 0.4, respectively). One of the main conclusions of their study was that almond milk is not a substitute for cow's milk because of its lower DIAAS value.

Almond is ranked as fourth among other tree nuts allergy that could be presented as mild such as simple oral allergy and complex as fatal anaphylaxis. Among allergy compounds, amandin is the major protein in almond, legumin, and pruning. The amandin allergen is highly resistant to heat treatments but sensitive to pepsin enzyme (34). As for soy bean milk, the application of mechanical and fermentation treatments removed easily allergen proteins allowing almond milk to make its position among other plant-based milk alternatives substitutes in the market.

#### 2.3 Rice milk

Rice milk is made primarily from ground rice and water. It is easy to digest, and suitable for allergy sufferers. Like other plantbased beverages, rice milk presents a creamy texture that resembles dairy milk (35). Although rice contains a relatively high level of proteins (10%), it suffers from the absence of threonine and lysine. On the contrary, it contains significant amounts of ferulic acid, sinapic acid and p-coumaric acid. The most abundant amino acids in black rice milk are leucine, glutamic acid, serine, and aspartic acid (36). The low protein content in black rice milk contributed to the low number of amino acids in agreement with the findings of others (35).

It has been reported that soaking is effective in increasing the minerals and vitamins (B6 and B12), insoluble fiber and bioactive components in rice (37). As for soy and almond milk, fermentation with the use of lactic acid bacteria breaks down the anti-nutritional

factors and enhances calcium, magnesium, and iron levels, and helps in the digestion and immunity of other internal organs (37).

#### 2.4 Coconut milk

Coconut milk is prepared by a mechanical method that starts by shelling the nut and separating the meat, which is cleaned and grated. Mixing with warm water to extract oil, milk, and aromatic components (38). Different parameters such as grinding time and incubation time present a major impact on coconut milk yield production. Coconut milk contains protein, fat, carbohydrates, minerals (calcium, phosphorus, and potassium), and vitamins (vitamins B1, B3, B5, and B6, C, E) (39). Coconut protein presents a large number of essential amino acids, which are more easily digested and absorbed with a DIAAS value of 0.79 versus 1.45 for cow milk (40).

Thaiphanit and Anprung (41) produced yoghurt samples with different ratios of cow and coconut milk (100:0, 80:20, 60:40, 50:50, 40:60, 20:80, 0:100). The authors found that producing yoghurt with cow and coconut blends is more nutritious than the ordinary one and suggested more exploration of the use of coconut and cow blend milk for the production of yoghurt.

#### 2.5 Oat milk

Oat presents nutritional components including phenolic compounds, saponin, sterol, phytic acid and other anti-oxidant components. Oat contains various fiber components such as polysaccharides, oligosaccharides, lignin. Plant-based beverages containing lentils and peas or just adding peas to oat drinks increase the concentration of amino acids (42). The authors found that the most ideal mixture to obtain a complete amino acid composition was obtained with: (i) a raw material containing 1.1% oat protein, 1.5% each pea and lentil protein; (ii) 1.1% oat protein, 2.9% pea protein; (iii) 0.8% oat protein, 1.1% pea protein, and 2.1% lentil protein. These mixtures were found to significantly increase the amounts of phenylalanine, leucine, and threonine, and to a lesser extent isoleucine, valine, methionine, histamine and lysine. One of the main conclusions of their study is that most plant-based beverages made from singleplant ingredients do not have an amino acid profile that meets human needs.

As observed for other plant-based milk alternatives, the fermentation process induces the formation of active ingredients improving thus the quality of plant-based milk alternatives, plant based dairy products (43). In this context, germinated oat beverages fermented with *Lactobacillus reuteri*, *Lactobacillus plantarum* B28, and *Streptococcus thermophilus* was found to present health benefits for consumers (44, 45).

## References

1. Herreman L, Nommensen P, Pennings B, Laus MC. Comprehensive overview of the quality of plant- and animal-sourced proteins based on the digestible indispensable amino acid score. *Food Sci Nutr.* (2020) 8:5379–91. doi: 10.1002/fsn3.1809

2. Mathai JK, Liu Y, Stein HH. Values for digestible indispensable amino acid scores (DIAAS) for some dairy and plant proteins may better describe protein quality than values calculated using the concept for protein digestibility-corrected amino acid scores (PDCAAS). *Brit J Nut*. (2017) 117:490–9. doi: 10.1017/S0007114517000125

# **3** Conclusion

Plant-based milk alternatives will continue to be an important research area in the new product development category of food science and technology by setting a more strategic direction for innovation and next-generation protein blends. Plant-based milk alternatives meet the changing consumer behavior toward novel plant-based milk alternatives, the scientific community expects continuous efforts to improve plant-based milk alternatives quality through R&D activities and technological interventions. It is noted that deep and continuous research studies should be realized in the next years to ameliorate the nutritional quality of plant -based milk, particularly in their composition in amino acids. This could be achieved by combining different plant proteins that induce an amelioration in the composition of amino acids of plant-based milk alternatives. In addition, research on plant-based milk alternatives should be deepened regarding the amelioration of their organoleptic properties and the prolongation of their shelf life. This can be achieved by inactivating plant enzymes using new process techniques such as high-pressure treatment, pulsed electric fields, ohmic heating and cold plasma.

#### Author contributions

RK: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. IB: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

<sup>3.</sup> Battisti I, Ebinezer LB, Lomolino G, Masi A, Arrigoni G. Protein profile of commercial soybean milks analyzed by label-free quantitative proteomics. *Food Chem.* (2021) 352:129299. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021. 129299

<sup>4.</sup> Sethi S, Tyagi SK, Anurag RK. Plant-based milk alternatives an emerging segment of functional beverages: a review. *J Food Sci Technol*. (2016) 53:3408–23. doi: 10.1007/s13197-016-2328-3

5. Walther B, Guggisberg D, Badertscher R, Egger L, Portmann R, Dubois S, et al. Comparison of nutritional composition between plant-based drinks and cow's milk. *Front Nutr.* (2022) 9:988707. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.988707

6. Pritulska N, Motuzka I, Koshelnyk A, Motuzka O, Yashchenko L, Jarossová M, et al. Consumers preferences on the mrket of plant-based milk analogues. *Potravinarstvo*. (2021) 15:131–42. doi: 10.5219/1485

7. Le Roux L, Menard O, Chacon R, Dupont D, Jeantet R, Deglaire A, et al. Are faba bean and pea proteins potential whey protein substitutes in infant formulas? An in vitro dynamic digestion approach. *Food Secur*. (2020) 9:362. doi: 10.3390/foods9030362

8. Khalesi M, FitzGerald RJ. In vitro digestibility and antioxidant activity of plant protein isolate and milk protein concentrate blends. *Catalysts.* (2021) 11:787. doi: 10.3390/catal11070787

9. Sakthi TS, Meenakshi V, Kanchana S, Vellaikumar S. Study on standardisation and quality evaluation of peanut milk by different processing methods. *Eur J Nut Food Safety*. (2020) 12:60–72. doi: 10.9734/ejnfs/2020/v12i530228

10. Vanga SK, Singh A, Raghavan V. Review of conventional and novel food processing methods on food allergens. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* (2015) 57:2077–94. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2015.1045965

11. Pua A, Tang VCY, Goh RMV, Sun J, Lassabliere B, Liu SQ. Ingredients, processing, and fermentation: addressing the organoleptic boundaries of plant-based dairy analogues. *Food Secur.* (2022) 11:875. doi: 10.3390/foods11060875

12. Lee PY, Leong SY, Oey I. The role of protein blends in plant-based milk alternative: a review through the consumer lens. *Trend Food Sci Technol.* (2024) 143:104268. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2023.104268

13. Guetouache M, Guessas B, Medjekal S. Composition and nutritional value of raw milk. *Issues Bio Sci Pharma Res.* (2014) 2350:115–22. doi: 10.15739/ibspr.005

14. Alozie YE, Udofia US. Nutritional and sensory properties of almond (Prunus amygdalu Var. Dulcis) seed milk. *World J Dairy Food Sci.* (2015) 10:117–21. doi: 10.5829/ idosi.wjdfs.2015.10.2.9622

15. Chalupa-Krebzdak S, Long CJ, Bohrer BM. Nutrient density and nutritional value of milk and plant-based milk alternatives. *Int Dairy J.* (2018) 87:84–92. doi: 10.1016/j. idairyj.2018.07.018

16. Vanga SK, Raghavan V. How well do plant based alternatives fare nutritionally compared to cow's milk? *J Food Sci Technol.* (2018) 55:10–20. doi: 10.1007/s13197-017-2915-y

17. FAO. Food energy-methods of analysis and conversion factors. Rome: FAO (2003).

18. Mariotti F, Tomé D, Mirand P. Converting nitrogen into protein – beyond 6.25 and Jones' factors. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. (2008) 48:177–84. doi: 10.1080/10408390701279749

19. Petrozzi S. Practical instrumental analysis: methods, quality assurance, and laboratory management. Weinheim: Wiley-Vch (2012).

20. Rafiq S, Huma N, Pasha I, Sameen A, Mukhtar O, Khan MI. Chemical composition, nitrogen fractions and amino acids profile of milk from different animal species. *Asian-Austr J Anim Sci.* (2015) 29:1022–8. doi: 10.5713/ajas.15.0452

21. Carrera CS, Reynoso CM, Funes GJ, Martinez MJ, Dardanelli J, Resnik SL. Amino acid composition of soybean seeds as affected by climatic variables. *Pesqui Agropecu Bras.* (2011) 46:1579–87. doi: 10.1590/S0100-204X2011001200001

22. Freitas JB, Fernandes DC, Czeder LP, Lima JCR, Sousa AG, Naves MMV. Edible seeds and nuts grown in Brazil as sources of protein for human nutrition. *Food Nut Sci.* (2012) 3:857–62. doi: 10.4236/fns.2012.36114

23. Mota C, Santos M, Mauro R, Samman N, Matos AS, Torres D, et al. Protein content and amino acids profile of pseudocereals. *Food Chem*. (2016) 193:55–61. doi: 10.1016/j. foodchem.2014.11.043

24. Patil U, Benjakul S. Coconut milk and coconut oil: their manufacture associated with protein functionality. J Food Sci. (2018) 83:2019–27. doi: 10.1111/1750-3841.14223

25. Badger TM, Ronis MJJ, Simmen RCM, Simmen FA. Soy protein isolate and protection against Cancer. J Am College Nut. (2005) 24:146S–9S. doi: 10.1080/07315724.2005.10719456

26. Aydar EF, Tutuncu S, Ozcelik B. Plant-based milk substitutes: bioactive compounds, conventional and novel processes, bioavailability studies, and health effects. *J Funct Foods*. (2020) 70:103975. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2020.103975

27. Khamzaeva N, Kunz C, Schamann A, Pferdmenges L, Briviba K. Bioaccessibility and digestibility of proteins in plant-based drinks and cow's milk: antioxidant potential of the bioaccessible fraction. *J Agric Food Chem.* (2024) 72:2300–8. doi: 10.1021/acs. jafc.3c07221

28. Sanjukta S, Rai AK, Muhammed A, Jeyaram K, Talukdar NC. Enhancement of antioxidant properties of two soybean varieties of Sikkim Himalayan region by proteolytic Bacillus subtilis fermentation. *J Func Foods*. (2015) 14:650–8. doi: 10.1016/j. jff.2015.02.033

29. Sanjukta S, Rai AK. Production of bioactive peptides during soybean fermentation and their potential health benefits. *Trends Food Sci Technol.* (2016) 50:1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2016.01.010

30. Grundy MM, Carrière F, Mackie AR, Gray DA, Butterworth PJ, Ellis PR. The role 499 of plant cell wall encapsulation and porosity in regulating lipolysis during the digestion of almond 500 seeds. *Food Funct*. (2016) 7:69–78. doi: 10.1039/C5FO00758E

31. Lee J, Townsend JA, Thompson T, Garitty T, De A, Yu Q, et al. Analysis of the cariogenic potential of various almond Milk beverages using a Streptococcus mutans biofilm model in vitro. *Caries Res.* (2018) 52:51–7. doi: 10.1159/000479936

32. Ashkanani RHH. Comparative study of the use of Cow's Milk and plant-based alternatives such as almond Milk and oat Milk on diabetic rats. *Int J Food Sci.* (2023) 6:50–64. doi: 10.47604/ijf.2212

33. Wang X, Ye A, Singh H. Structural and physicochemical changes in almond milk during in vitro gastric digestion: impact on the delivery of protein and lipids. *Food Func.* (2020) 11:4314–26. doi: 10.1039/C9FO02465D

34. Moore SS, Costa A, Pozza M, Vamerali T, Niero G, Censi S, et al. How animal milk and plant-based alternatives diverge in terms of fatty acid, amino acid, and mineral composition. *npj Sci Food*. (2023) 7:50. doi: 10.1038/s41538-023-00227-w

35. Mandalari G, Mackie AR. Almond allergy: an overview on prevalence, thresholds, regulations and allergen detection. *Nutrients*. (2018) 10:1706. doi: 10.3390/nu10111706

36. Romulo A, Sadek NF. Fatty acids and amino acids profile of organic black rice (Oryza sativa L.) milk. *IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci.* (2022) 980:012032. doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/980/1/012032

37. Sharma NM, Vishnu GR, Priya V. Assessment of nutritional value of overnight soaked cooked rice over unsoaked cooked rice. *Int J Res Pharma Sci.* (2018) 9:616–9.

38. Wijaya C, Romulo A. Proximate analysis and antioxidant activity of red rice (Oryza sativa L.) Milk. J Phys Conf Ser. (2021) 2049:12012. doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/2049/1/012012

39. Chen Y, Chen Y, Fang Y, Pei Z, Zhang W. Coconut milk treated by atmospheric cold plasma: effect on quality and stability. *Food Chem.* (2024) 430:137045. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2023.137045

40. Belewu MA, Belewu KY. Comparative Physico chemical evaluation of tiger nut, soybean, and coconut milk sources. *Int J Agric Biol.* (2007) 5:787.

41. Thaiphanit S, Anprung P. Physico-chemical and emulsion properties of edible protein concentrate from coconut (*Cocos nucifera* L.) processing by-products and the influence of heat treatment. *Food Hydrocoll.* (2016) 52:756–65. doi: 10.1016/j. foodhyd.2015.08.017

42. Peters OO, Afolabi MO, Makinde FM. Chemical, physico-chemical and sensory properties of yoghurt and yoghurt simulates produced from the blends of cow milk and coconut milk. *IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci.* (2023) 1219:12020. doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/1219/1/012020

43. Bonke A, Sieuwerts S, Petersen IL. Amino acid composition of novel plant drinks from oat, lentil and pea. *Food Secur.* (2020) 9:429. doi: 10.3390/foods9040429

44. Yu Y, Li X, Zhang J, Li X, Wang J, Sun B. Oat milk analogue versus traditional milk: comprehensive evaluation of scientific evidence for processing techniques and health effects. *Food Chem.* (2024) 19:100859. doi: 10.1016/j.fochx.2023.100859

45. Angelov A, Gotcheva V, Kuncheva R, Hristozova T. Development of a new oatbased probiotic drink. *Int J Food Microb.* (2006) 112:75–80. doi: 10.1016/j. ijfoodmicro.2006.05.015