Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Noriyuki Miyoshi, University of Shizuoka, Japan

REVIEWED BY Marcia Hiriart, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Mexico Iole Tomassini Barbarossa, University of Cagliari, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Raúl Beltrán-Debón Izi raul.beltran@urv.cat Ximena Terra Izi ximena.terra@urv.cat

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 02 May 2023 ACCEPTED 07 August 2023 PUBLISHED 30 August 2023

CITATION

Descamps-Solà M, Vilalta A, Jalsevac F, Blay MT, Rodríguez-Gallego E, Pinent M, Beltrán-Debón R, Terra X and Ardévol A (2023) Bitter taste receptors along the gastrointestinal tract: comparison between humans and rodents. *Front. Nutr.* 10:1215889. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2023.1215889

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Descamps-Solà, Vilalta, Jalsevac, Blay, Rodríguez-Gallego, Pinent, Beltrán-Debón, Terra and Ardévol. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Bitter taste receptors along the gastrointestinal tract: comparison between humans and rodents

Maria Descamps-Solà[†], Adrià Vilalta[†], Florijan Jalsevac, M. Teresa Blay[®], Esther Rodríguez-Gallego, Montserrat Pinent, Raúl Beltrán-Debón*, Ximena Terra* and Anna Ardévol

Departament de Bioquímica i Biotecnologia, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, MoBioFood Research Group, Tarragona, Spain

For decades bitter taste receptors (TAS2R) were thought to be located only in the mouth and to serve as sensors for nutrients and harmful substances. However, in recent years Tas2r have also been reported in extraoral tissues such as the skin, the lungs, and the intestine, where their function is still uncertain. To better understand the physiological role of these receptors, in this paper we focused on the intestine, an organ in which their activation may be similar to the receptors found in the mouth. We compare the relative presence of these receptors along the gastrointestinal tract in three main species of biomedical research (mice, rats and humans) using sequence homology. Current data from studies of rodents are scarce and while more data are available in humans, they are still deficient. Our results indicate, unexpectedly, that the reported expression profiles do not always coincide between species even if the receptors are orthologs. This may be due not only to evolutionary divergence of the species but also to their adaptation to different dietary patterns. Further studies are needed in order to develop an integrated vision of these receptors and their physiological functionality along the gastrointestinal tract.

KEYWORDS

extraoral TAS2R, taste sensing, orthology, nutrient sensors, gut

1. Introduction

Animals constantly need to consume energy-providing foods and micronutrients in order to maintain optimal functionality. When absorbing nutrients, their senses promote satiety and maintenance of good homeostatic control. Specifically, taste sensing promotes adequate digestive and hormonal responses (1). This capability also facilitates recognition of beneficial or potentially harmful food components (2, 3). The first step in taste sensing takes place within the oral cavity via the recognition of nutrients by specific receptors (2, 4). However, some of these receptors have also been described in extraoral tissues such as the gastrointestinal tract, the respiratory system, and the reproductive organs (1, 2, 5).

Taste receptors (TASRs) share their role in detecting food components. However, they are structurally and functionally different. Salt and sour taste are detected by specialized ion channels (6) while sweet, umami and bitter tastes are detected by specific G-protein-coupled receptors. The recognition of taste in the oral cavity is mediated through taste organs named taste buds (7). Moreover, each of the five basic tastes (salty, sour, sweet, umami, and bitter) (8, 9) are detected by taste cells, which are divided into three types: types I, II, and III (8, 9). Type II cells are further divided into sweet, umami, and bitter cells (9, 10). Here, the corresponding receptors interact

with carbohydrates, proteins, lipids, and other tasting substances to promote taste perception (6, 11).

TASRs can be divided into two gene families: the taste-1 receptor family (TAS1R) and the taste-2 receptor family (TAS2R). TAS1R comprises three members: TAS1R1, TAS1R2, and TAS1R3 (12). TAS1Rs form oligomeric complexes among the various subunits that enable them to detect sweet- and umami-tasting L-amino acids. The umami receptor complex is made up of TAS1R1 and TAS1R3 subunits, while the sweet receptor complex is made up of TAS1R2 and TAS1R3 subunits (12). TAS2R comprises the receptors in charge of bitter taste sensing. Unlike TAS1R, this family comprises multiple different receptors whose number varies from species to species (3, 12). This wide diversity in TAS2R, their variability among species, and a lack of information on their role beyond oral taste perception highlight the need to analyse them to learn about their functions and regulation. In this paper we address this issue by comparing their relative presence along the gastrointestinal tract in three widely used species at the preclinical and clinical levels (mice, rats and humans). In this context, we are not addressing the sensitivity of each type of bitter taste receptor to ligand stimulation, as this constitutes a separate extensive topic. It is noteworthy that certain TAS2R receptors display high promiscuity, whereas others exhibit greater selectivity, further demonstrating variations in specificities across different species (13, 14). Although only partial information is available on this aspect, some intriguing reviews have been published, offering insights into the existing data (15, 16).

2. Bitter taste receptors (TAS2R)

Receptors in the TAS2R family, i.e., bitter taste receptors, belong to a diverse group of GPCRs expressed in the tongue and, specifically, in *vallate papilla* cells (VP) (9). As their name suggests, their main role is to sense bitter components in food. This is a defence mechanism since, by sensing bitter, a food's potentially toxic components can be detected (4) and their ingestion prevented, thus avoiding potential harmful effects. Not all bitter compounds are toxic, however, as they can also be found in high concentrations and with health benefits in foods of vegetal origin (3, 4, 12).

2.1. TAS2R action mechanism on the tongue

Although different taste receptors detect nutrients and non-nutrients on the tongue, bitter, sweet, and umami receptors work in a similar way. The taste pathway (Figure 1) begins with the binding of the taste ligand to the specific receptor complex. This activates the G-protein-coupled receptor (9) and leads to dissociation of the GTP-bound heterotrimeric G proteins. These proteins work as a molecular switch inside cells and are made up of three G-subunits, i.e., the α -, β -, and γ -subunits (14). In the case of bitter taste receptors, α -gustducin was the first and is currently the best-characterized G protein in taste cells (1, 15). These proteins activate the membranebound phospholipase C2 (PLC β 2), thus inducing the production of inositol-1,4,5-thriphosphate (IP3) and diacylglyceride (DAG) (1, 3). IP3 binds to its receptor located in the membrane of the endoplasmic reticulum, thus activating calcium channels, and leading to an increase in intracellular calcium ions (3, 15). The calcium ions and DAG activate the transient receptor potential melastatin channel subtype 5 (TRPM5), causing depolarization and therefore the activation of voltage-gated Na+channels (VGNC). This activates CALHM1/ CALHM3 channels, which induces the release of ATP as a neurotransmitter, thus activating purinergic receptors on afferent nerve fibres and leading to taste perception (1, 3, 9, 16).

2.2. Extraoral bitter taste receptors

In the last decade, several studies have reported that, as well as orally, bitter taste receptors are expressed in tissues such as the gastrointestinal tract, the lungs, the skin and the reproductive system (2, 9, 13, 17–19). Since in these extraoral locations sensing taste is not required, the locations must be involved in other physiological events (20, 21).

The morphology of extraoral bitter receptors resembles that of oral receptors, which enables them to detect the same ligands despite their different physiological role (9). Recent studies suggest that bitter taste receptors play a role in, for example, inflammation, bronchial relaxation in the respiratory system, and control of enteroendocrine systems (3, 12, 22). Despite recent research, these extraoral receptors are still a novel topic and much is still unknown, though their action mechanism is believed to have similarities with that of oral receptors. An increase in calcium levels in the enteroendocrine cells has been shown to lead to hormone secretion, which modulates physiological functions in the gastrointestinal tract such as hunger regulation and metabolic homeostasis (15, 19).

2.3. TAS2R diversity among species

Since bitter taste receptors play such an important role in an organism's protective and homeostasis system, unsurprisingly they can be found in all animal species from insects to primates (23). Though they are found throughout the animal kingdom, the number of different bitter taste receptors varies between species. Though evolutionary separate and specialized to the requirements of the species, the taste-sensing pathways of insects and mammals are remarkably similar. *Drosophila* (commonly known as fruit flies) have specialized taste sensilla on their legs (24). Since fruit flies typically walk on the fruits they feed on, it is advantageous for them to sense their nutritional components before ingesting them. The typical taste receptors discussed earlier are not present in these animals, which sense most bitter compounds via specialized L2 sensilla that are also stimulated by salts (24).

The taste receptors of vertebrates have developed relatively recently. Their absence in cartilaginous fish suggests that they developed after the evolutionary split from teleostean fish, which do have bitter taste receptors (25). However, this is a theoretical explanation and other scenarios have been proposed. Despite their uncertain origin, the diversity of these receptors between species is now clear. In fact, their numbers vary dramatically from one species to another – from lost taste-sensing receptors in penguins (26) to 80 genes reported in coelacanths (27). Explaining such a large difference between species has been the focus of several recent studies in which a clear connection has been made between a species' specific diet and its number of bitter taste receptors (28). Research has shown that carnivorous animals do not need such a wide range of taste receptors and so have fewer genes for this type of receptor (29, 30). On the other hand, since plants carry more toxic compounds



than meat, it is logical that herbivores possess more sensitive systems in order to detect possible toxins (31).

Most of our knowledge of bitter taste receptors comes from human and animal studies (primarily on mice and rats). In humans, 25 functional genes and 11 pseudogenes encoded in chromosomes 5, 7 and 12 have been described (32–34). Mice have 36 functional receptors (4), which are encoded in chromosomes 2, 6, and 15 (33), and 6 pseudogenes (30). Rats possess 37 subtypes of bitter taste receptors (4), which are encoded in chromosomes 2, 3, and 4 (33) and possess 5 pseudogenes (30).

Here a question arises, however: if the fundamental role of bitter taste receptors is to detect bitter compounds, why are there so many different receptors? The answer could lie in their specific nature. Within this broad range of receptors, some are more specific and are activated by just a few ligands, while others are a target for many different compounds (4, 35). At least in humans, TAS2Rs have been divided into four groups: (i) receptors that detect a broad spectrum of ligands, (ii) more selective receptors that detect just a few ligands, (iii) receptors that lie somewhere between these first two groups, and (iv) receptors that recognize specific chemical motifs (35). Moreover, since rodents have significantly more functional receptors than humans, they may have a wider bitter-sensing spectrum than humans (4, 12).

2.4. Comparison of TAS2R orthology between species

Several studies have evaluated the evolutionary pathway followed by TAS2Rs in humans, rats, mice, and other species (4, 36, 37). To establish the various orthologs among different species, we combined data from the phylogenetic trees based on similarity in the alignment of amino acid sequences (the Neighbour-Joining Method) (Table 1). In this review only the receptors from humans, rat and mice were considered.

As well as the scarcity of reports addressing TAS2R function and localization, a further challenge when comparing TAS2Rs among different species is that two nomenclatures exist for rats. One of these is similar to that used for human bitter receptors, while the other is similar to that used for mouse receptors. However, the one most widely used today is mouse nomenclature thus, the human nomenclature will not be utilized in the reminder of the review. Table 1 shows the nomenclatures used and compares the structural orthologs of these three species.

Table 1 shows that some receptors possess only one ortholog in each species, thus indicating a similar evolutionary pathway. Due to the difference in the number of receptors found in different species, some receptors present one ortholog in humans while in rodents the receptor diverges into several subtypes. This phenomenon can also be found in the opposite direction, i.e., one ortholog in rodents and several subtypes in humans, though human orthologs are fewer and less frequent. The remaining receptors diverged in the early stages of evolution and can be found in only one species with no similar orthologs in the other species analysed.

For comparison between phylogenetic studies, the data presented were robust in most cases. However, some receptors, i.e., TAS2r7l (4), TAS2R13 (4), and rT2R33/mTas2r133 (36) are described only in one study showing some discrepancies.

Human	Ra	it	Mouse
	Human nomenclature	Mouse nomentature	
TAS2R1	rTas2r1	rTas2r119	mTas2r119
TAS2R3	rTas2r37	rTas2r137	mTas2r137
TAS2R4	rTas2r16	rTas2r108	mTas2r108
TAS2R5	Х	Х	Х
TAS2R7	rTas2r6	rTas2r130	mTas2r130
TAS2R8	Х	Х	Х
TAS2R9	Х	Х	Х
	rTas2r18	rTas2r104	mTas2r104
	rTas2r9	rTas2r105	mTas2r105
TAS2R10	rTas2r30	rTas2r106	mTas2r106
	rTas2r4	rTas2r107	mTas2r107
	rTas2r5	rTas2r114	mTas2r114
	_	rTas2r13	X
	rTas2r8/rTas2r21	rTas2r102	mTas2r102
TAS2R13	rTas2r7	rTas2r121	mTas2r121
	rTas2r24	rTas2r124	mTas2r124
	rTas2r23	rTas2r103	mTas2r103
	rTas2r26	rTas2r109	mTas2r109
	rTas2r10	rTas2r110	mTas2r110
	rTas2r31	rTas2r113	mTas2r113
	X	X	mTas2r115
TAS2R14	rTas2r32	rTas2r116	mTas2r116
	rTas2rr17	rTas2r117	mTas2r117
	rTas2r2	rTas2r123	mTas2r123
	rTas2r25	rTas2r125	mTas2r125
	rTas2r29	rTas2r129	mTas2r129
	rTas2r40	rTas2r140	mTas2r140
	rTAS2R3	rTas2r118	mTas2r118
TAS2R16	rTas2r34	rTas2r134	mTas2r134
	rTas2r43	rTas2r143	mTas2r143
TAS2R19			
TAS2R20/			
TAS2R49	rTas2r36	rTas2r136	mTas2r136
TAS2R30/			
TAS2R47	rTas2r20	rTas2r120	mTas2r120
TAS2R31/			
TAS2R44			
TAS2R38	rTas2r38	rTas2r138	mTas2r138
TAS2R39	rTas2r39	rTas2r139	mTas2r139
TAS2R40	rTas2r44	rTas2r144	mTas2r144
TAS2R41	rTas2r12	rTas2r126	mTas2r126
TAS2R42	rTas2r13	rTas2r145	mTas2r131

TABLE 1 Comparison between the bitter taste receptors of human, rat (human and mouse nomenclatures), and mouse structural orthologs.

(Continued)

Frontiers in Nutrition

TAS2R43 TAS2R45 TAS2R46 TAS2R50	-	rTas2r136 and rTas2r120	mTas2r136 and mTas2r120
TAS2R60	rTas2r35	rTas2r135	mTas2r135
Х	Х		mTas2r122
?	rTas2r33*	-	mTas2r133*
Х	-	mTas2r7	Х

X means that there are no orthologs in the species; – means that the nomenclature is nonexistent; and ? means that no information exists on the presence of the ortholog in that species. Based on (4, 36, 37).

3. The role of bitter taste receptors in the gastrointestinal tract

Since the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) is the primary point of interaction for ingested nutrients, it is an important organ for metabolic regulation and whole-body function (38). Luminal contents can transit over the GIT via peristaltic motions, whereas smooth muscle segmentation allows for appropriate contact time with the epithelial mucosal area, thus enabling proper absorption. In the GIT, nutrients are digested by digestive enzymes and absorbed (39). Nutrient interactions with the small and large intestine produce feedback responses that, for example, slow stomach emptying, cause satiation, or lower postprandial hyperglycaemia excursions.

As mentioned earlier, TAS2R receptors are expressed in some cells of the GIT. Bitter components of ingested food bind to these receptors and begin a signalling pathway that is believed to be shared at least partially with the lingual receptors. However, in the GIT, the physiological response is completely different from the oral response, where the signal is sent to the brain and interpreted as bitter taste.

3.1. TAS2R regulate the GIT barrier and communication with the body

One group of intestinal cell types that express TAS2Rs are the enteroendocrine cells, which act as nutrient sensors and control the release of gut peptides called enterohormones (16, 40). Activation of this pathways leads to the control of food intake and metabolic homeostasis (3, 21). Depending on the intestinal segment, bitter taste receptors can modulate the secretion of different enterohormones through α -gustducin. The main enterohormones involved are ghrelin (41, 42), glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) (43–45), peptide YY (PYY) (43), and cholecystokinin (CCK) (20, 44, 45). The main effects of some of these enterohormones are exerted in the vague nerve, with an increase in the sensation of satiation and the motility of the gastrointestinal tract (16). Enterohormones also play a crucial role in coordinating digestion, gastric emptying, absorption, food output, and overall metabolic homeostasis (46).

Tuft cells are shown to express bitter, sweet and umami receptors and act as chemosensory epithelial cells. These cells mainly detect helminths and protozoa, and their activation leads to a broad secretion of cytokines such as interleukin 25, prostaglandin E2 and D2, cysteinyl

TABLE 2 TAS2R expression profile along the GIT in mice.

GIT								r	nTas2r	#							
section	108	109	113	115	117	118	119	125	126	129	131	134	135	137	138	140	143
Stomach	+	+	+	+					+				+	+		+	+
Duodenum	+						+		+			+	+	+	+		+
Jejunum	+						+		+				+	+	+		+
Ileum	+						+		+	+			+	+	+		+
Caecum	+								+				+	+	+		+
Colon	+		+		+	+	+	+	+		+		+	+	+	+	+

The "+" signs mean that expression has been reported while the blanks mean that no information is available. Colour intensity correlates with relative expression intensity. The data were obtained from (36, 49–52).

leukotriene C4, acetylcholine, thymic stromal lymphopoietin, and β -endorphins, some of which possess immunomodulatory effects. Together, these effects enhance the immune response and drive the excretion of parasites (45, 47).

Other authors have reported that Goblet and Paneth cells found in jejunal crypts also express Tas2R. The activation of these receptors by bitter molecules can modulate the mRNA expression of antimicrobial peptides such as α -defensin, β -defensin and regenerating family member 3 alpha (REG3A), mucus protein-encoding genes and mucins, and chemokines such as CCL3 and CCL4. Simultaneously, treatment with bitter substances activates the NRF2-mediated oxidative stress response, the unfolded protein response, integrinlinked kinase (ILK), AMP activated protein kinase (AMPK), p38 mitogen-activated protein kinases (p38 MAPK), and phospholipase C signalling while downregulating the integrin and Eukaryotic Initiation Factor 2 (EIF2) signalling pathways. All these effects on mucus production and innate immune response may be beneficial in the modulation of metabolic homeostasis via control of bacterial growth (22). In fact, distinct microbiota traits have been associated with genetic variants of TAS2R38 (54).

Recent research has unveiled the immunomodulatory potential of TAS2R activation in immune cells, with Tas2R agonists demonstrating anti-inflammatory effects against various inflammatory airway diseases, such as asthma (55-57), and bone inflammation in orthopaedic disorders (58). Notably, Tran et al. (59) identified the expression of T2R38 in human peripheral mononuclear blood cells (PMBCs), encompassing lymphocytes, monocytes, and granulocytes. Additionally, Grassin-Delyle et al. (60) discovered TAS2R subtypes that are likely involved in inhibiting lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced cytokine production in human lung macrophages, aside from their role as bronchodilators on smooth muscle cells. In human gingival fibroblasts, TAS2R16 activation was found to inhibit the production of LPS-induced proinflammatory cytokines by repressing cAMP levels. Furthermore, TAS2R16 demonstrated the ability to antagonize nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-KB) signaling by impeding its translocation to the nucleus (61). The diverse roles of bitter taste receptors in managing inflammation, infection, and modulating the epithelial barrier and inflammatory response are becoming evident through these findings.

Despite the significant progress in understanding the properties of extra-oral TAS2Rs, the link between TAS2R activation and the development of metabolic syndrome remains not completely understood (62, 63). Encouraging preclinical studies on animal models of obesity and inflammation have demonstrated that oral administration of ARD-101, a potential TAS2R agonist, led to reduced food intake, decreased body weight, and downregulated inflammatory cytokines through their effects at the gut level (64). Currently, the potential of ARD-101 is being evaluated in phase 2 trials involving patients with metabolic and inflammatory disorders (64). Furthermore, Kok et al. (65) highlighted that activating Tas2r108 in the gut can remodel enteroendocrine hormone release and bile acid metabolism, ameliorating multiple features of metabolic syndrome. This suggests that targeting extraoral bitter taste receptors could hold promise in managing metabolic diseases.

Overall, the emerging evidence suggests that human intestinal bitter taste receptors play crucial roles in regulating innate immune responses and metabolic regulators in the context of obesity. Further investigations in this field can open up new avenues for therapeutic interventions targeting TAS2R activation in immune and metabolic disorders.

4. Bitter taste receptor expression through the GIT

Although overall understanding of the TAS2R expression profile along the gastrointestinal tract is still incomplete, it is now clear that the distribution and abundance of bitter taste receptors along the GI system is complex (21). Numerous TAS2R have been observed in the intestinal tissues of humans and rodents with noticeable differences in expression profiles in different parts of the GIT (2, 36, 49).

The different number of receptors between humans and rodents suggest that the expression profile will differ between species. Moreover, the intestine is more restrictive than the oral cavity (specifically the VP on the tongue) with regard to the expression of TAS2R subtypes. All the above leads to a unique expression profile for each species and each intestinal segment, which must be compared if we are to acquire knowledge about their role in the GIT.

Since the expression of TAS2R subtypes is significantly lower in extraoral tissues than in the VP of the tongue (49), it is more difficult to study the expression profile of extraoral bitter taste receptors. TAS2Rs can be divided into three groups according to their expression profile: TAS2Rs that are expressed in all types of tissues, TAS2Rs that are expressed only in the gastrointestinal tract, and TAS2Rs that are expressed only in a specific segment of the GIT or specific organs (49).

In this paper we have evaluated several studies conducted on different intestinal segments and with different methodologies

GIT										rTas2	r#									
section	102	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	114	118	119	121	123	126	130	134	135	138	143	144
Fundus	+		+		I	+		I	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+		
Antrum	+		+		I	+		+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+		
Duodenum	+	+	+	+	+	+		I	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Colon						+	+				+									
The + signs mean th	lat expressio	m has been n	eported, the -	– signs mean	that no expr	ession is dete	cted, and the	blanks mear	that no info	ormation is a	available. Th	e data were o	btained fron	n (36, 51, 53	ć					

(e.g., relative expression studies, DNA amplification, and transcriptomics) to establish the presence of TAS2Rs in humans, rats and mice. In rodent studies, only reports with similar experimental conditions (quantitative PCR, DNA amplification, similar initial cDNA concentration) were used to combine multiple data. Genomic databases such as Rat Genome Database¹ and Mouse Genome Informatics² were consulted to verify the experimental data with transcriptomic experiments. For humans, quantitative PCR and DNA amplification studies were consulted along with tissue microarray data from the publicly available The Human Protein Atlas.³

4.1. TAS2R expression in the GIT of mice

Only a few authors have quantified the expression of all Tas2r subtypes genes along the entire mouse GIT (36, 49–52). Since the GIT begins at the mouth, every Tas2r is expressed in the tongue, though not all at the same level, with a 100-fold difference between the lowest and highest expression levels. Globally, the expression of these receptors in the tongue is much lower than in regular genes. No information is available about the presence of bitter receptors in the walls of the oral cavity since studies focus mainly on the expression of Tas2r in the tongue.

Table 2 summarizes the receptors whose expression has been reported and the intestinal segment in which it was detected (36, 49–52). Receptors whose expression has never been described are excluded from this table. Some of the data analysed were inconsistent between studies and in case of contradiction, detection of expression prevailed over non-detection. This criterion was established because of the particularly low expression of bitter taste receptors and because the lack of expression in certain receptors should not always be interpreted as repressed expression but as yet undetected expression.

The bitter taste receptors expressed throughout the GIT are mTas2r108, mTas2r126, mTas2r135, mTas2r137, and mTas2r143 (49). In addition to the above receptors, in the stomach we also find mTas2r109, mTas2r113, mTas2r115, and mTas2r140 (49). The duodenum, jejunum, and ileum share a similar expression profile and additionally express mTas2r119 (49, 51, 52) and mTas2r138 (36, 50, 52). However, some differences exist between segments of the small intestine since the duodenum also expresses mTas2r134 (36) and the ileum also expresses mTas2r129 (49). Interestingly, of all segments of the intestine, the colon was where the highest number of receptors was expressed as it shared receptors such as mTas2r113, mTas2r118, mTas2r138, and mTas2r131 (these latter receptors were expressed only in this segment) (49, 52).

Data show that of the receptors expressed throughout the GIT, mTas2108, mTas2r126, mTas2r135, mTas2r137, and mTas2r143 possess the highest expression levels of all bitter receptors (49). These are followed by specific receptors such as mTas2r119 and mTas2r138 (49, 52) and finally those found only in specific parts of the intestine where expression is extremely low (49, 52).

TABLE 3 TAS2R expression profile along the GIT in rats

¹ https://rgd.mcw.edu

² https://www.informatics.jax.org

³ http://www.proteinatlas.org

TABLE 4 TAS2R expression profile along the GIT in humans.

GIT												hTAS	2R#									
section	1	3	4	5	7	8	10	13	14	19	20	30	31	38	39	40	42	43	45	46	50	60
Oesophagus	_	-	+	+	_	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	-
Stomach	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Duodenum	_	-	+	+	_	-	-	-	+		+	-	-	+	_	-	-			_	_	-
Jejunum	_	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+		+	-	-
Ileum	_	+	+	+	_	-	+	-	+	+	+	_	+	+	_	_	_	+	_	_	_	-
Colon	+	+	+	+	_	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

The "+" signs mean that expression has been reported, the - signs mean that no expression is detected, and the blanks mean that no information is available. The data were obtained from Human Protein Atlas (54), Genome Database (55) and Bertran et al. (1), Kaji et al. (53), Liszt et al. (22), and Rozengurt et al. (56).

4.2. TAS2R expression in the GIT of rats

Little is known about Tas2r expression in the intestine of rats since few studies have evaluated the expression of bitter taste receptors in segments of their intestine (36, 51, 53). These studies focused mainly on the stomach (antrum and fundus), duodenum and colon. Table 3 summarizes the data collected on receptors with their reported expression. Here only mouse nomenclature is considered.

The data indicate that the stomach can express some bitter taste receptors [even differentially between the fundus and the antrum (rTas2r110 and rTas2r114)] though the receptors are mainly expressed in both parts of the stomach. In the duodenum, the number of receptors expressed is higher since, in addition to those expressed in the stomach, the expression of rTas2r104, rTas2r106, rTas2r107, rTas2r135, rTas2r143, and rTas2r144 has been reported (36, 51). In the colon, the number of receptors found is very low mainly due to a lack of research conducted on this intestinal segment. Information on the jejunum or ileum is not available (53).

With regard to transcriptomic validation using RGD for bitter taste receptors, levels of expression in intestinal segments were not always available or were below the cut-off value. As we could not confirm the results obtained with transcriptomics assays, we have not included them in our report.

Taking into account the limitations associated with data availability, we found a clear difference in the expression profiles of the two rodent species. In mice, the stomach has a higher number of expressed receptors than the duodenum while in rats the reverse is true. Also, in mice the colon is the intestinal segment with the highest number of receptors whereas, at least from the existing data, in rats it is the segment with the lowest number. Surprisingly, despite their evolutionary proximity, rats express a higher number of receptors in the stomach and duodenum than mice do.

4.3. TAS2R expression in the GIT of humans

TAS2R expression in the human intestine is more widely reported than it is in the intestine of rodents. The data analysed were obtained from RNA-sequencing studies and PCR analysis. For most receptors the data are consistent between these methodologies. In some cases, however, discrepancies arise mainly in relation to the detection level. Transcriptomics enables the detection of multiple gene transcripts at the same time but lower expressed genes are not always reported. Highly expressed receptors are often reported in all databases consulted, thus validating the expression results. On the other hand, RT-PCR is a highly specific technique that enables detection at low concentrations. When we combined the two data sources, therefore, we found that some genes detected by RT-PCR were not detected by transcriptomic analysis.

In humans, several receptors, such as TAS2R4, TAS2R5, TAS2R14, andTAS2R20/49, are expressed through the intestine (1, 22, 53–56). Table 4 summarizes the TAS2Rs that have so far been detected in the human GIT. Tissue specificity applies to TAS2R1 (53), TAS2R42, TAS2R45, TAS2R46, TAS2R50, and TAS2R60 (56), which are expressed only in the colon, and to TAS2R7 and TAS2R8, which have been observed only in the jejunum (22). Other receptors expressed are TAS2R3, TAS2R10, TAS2R19, TAS2R31/44, TAS2R38, and TAS2R43 in the jejunum, ileum and colon (22, 54, 56). In addition, TAS2R10, TAS2R19, and TAS2R31/44 are expressed simultaneously in the oesophagus and TAS2R38 is also expressed in the duodenum (54). Several other receptors are expressed in different parts of the intestine, including receptors TAS2R13, TAS2R30, TAS2R39, and TAS2R40, which are found in the jejunum and colon (1, 22, 56).

As in mice, the human colon has the highest number of receptors, with almost all receptors being expressed there (Table 4). The colon expresses several receptors that are shared with other intestinal segments but also has tissue specificity as for T2R1, T2R42, T2R50, and T2R60 (56, 57). One probable explanation for this is that, in the colon, microbiota may generate bitter ligands associated with the fermentation process of molecules. Another feasible explanation is that the greater availability of human colon samples means that more data are present in the literature.

4.4. Comparison of human and rodent TAS2R expression

To better understand the role of these receptors in the GIT, we compared the different GIT locations of rodents and humans and paired the receptors according to the evolutionary pathway. In Table 5 we consider only those receptors whose expression is reported and included in Tables 2–4.

It has been reported that that TAS2R groups were present before the divergence of the primate and rodent lineages. However, differences in subsequent evolutionary processes led to speciesspecific sequences and shaped the diversity of the current TAS2R receptor families (58). In agreement with this, our analysis reveals

	Stomach			Duodenum	า		Jejunum			lleum			Colon	
Human	Rat	Mice												
T2R1	rT2r119	mTAS2r119												
T2R3	?	mTAS2r147	T2R3	?	mTAS2r147	T2R3	?	mTAS2r147	T2R3	<u>;</u>	mTAS2r147	T2R3	?	mTAS2r147
T2R4	rTAS2r108	mTAS2r108												
T2R5	?	?												
T2R7	rTAS2r130	mTAS2r130												
T2R8	?	;	T2R8	?	ś	T2R8	?	ś	T2R8	ś	?	T2R8	;	?
	rTAS2r104	mTAS2r104												
	rTAS2r105	mTAS2r105												
T2R10	rTAS2r106	mTAS2r106												
	rTAS2r107	mTAS2r107												
	rTAS2r114	mTAS2r114												
	rTAS2r102	mTAS2r102												
T2R13	rTAS2r121	mTAS2r121												
	rTAS2r124	mTAS2r124												
	rTAS2r103	mTAS2r103												
	rTAS2r109	mTAS2r109												
	rTAS2r110	mTAS2r110												
	rTAS2r113	mTAS2r113												
	rTAS2r115	mTAS2r115												
T2R14	rTAS2r116	mTAS2r116												
	rTAS2r117	mTAS2r117												
	rTAS2r123	mTAS2r123												
	rTAS2r125	mTAS2r125												
	rTAS2r129	mTAS2r129												
	rTAS2r140	mTAS2r140												

TABLE 5 Comparison of TAS2R expression profiles of humans and rodents.

(Continued)

TABLE 5 (Continued)

	Stomach			Duodenum	า		Jejunum			lleum			Colon	
Human	Rat	Mice												
	rTAS2r118	mTAS2r118												
T2R16	rTAS2r134	mTAS2r134												
	rTAS2r143	mTAS2r143												
T2R19 T2R20 T2R30	rTAS2r136 and rTAS2r120	mTAS2r136 and mTASr120												
T2R31			T2R31			T2R31			T2R31			T2R31		
T2R38	rTAS2r138	mTAS2r138												
T2R39	rTAS2r139	mTAS2r139												
T2R40	rTAS2r144	mTAS2r144												
T2R41	rTAS2r126	mTAS2r126												
T2R42	rTAS2r145	mTAS2r131												
T2R43 T2R45 T2R46 T2R50	rTAS2r136 and rTAS2r120	mTAS2r136 and mTASr120												
T2R60	rTAS2r135	mTAS2r135												
-	rTAS2r137	mTAS2r137												
;	;	mTAS2r122	?	3	mTAS2r122	;	;	mTAS2r122	ś	;	mTAS2r122	;	;	mTAS2r122

Cells shaded green show that the expression is reported, those shaded red shows that no expression is reported, those shaded white show that no data are available, ? means that there are no orthologs in the species and – means that no information exists on the presence of the ortholog in that species. Data are obtained from (1, 22, 36, 49–56).

that the three species do not follow the same expression pattern. Very few receptors are reported to be expressed in all the species: these are T2R4/Tas2r108 in almost all tissues, T2R38/Tas2r138 in the duodenum, and T2R1/Tas2r119 in the colon (1, 36, 49, 52, 53).

Taking into account currently available data, if we compare humans and rodents we observe that some receptors are maintained in humans but diverged in rodents (e.g., T2R10 or T2R14) (4). Whereas T2R14 is expressed throughout the intestine in humans, not all the orthologs are expressed in rodents. Moreover, in the duodenum, jejunum and ileum of rodents only one or no receptor is expressed. This type of expression pattern is also seen in individual receptors such as T2R4/mTas2r108, T2R38/mTas2r138, T2R42/mTas2r131, and T2R60/mTas2r135 (49, 52, 53, 56). On the other hand, some receptors, such as Tas2r119, Tas2r134, Tas2r143, Tas2r135, and Tas2r126, are expressed in both rodent species but not in humans (36, 51). The remaining expressed receptors, which are highest in number, are expressed only in one species. These include multiple receptors in mice, rats and humans in all intestinal tissues. On the whole, humans have the lowest number of such receptors expressed.

As stated earlier, the amount of data available in the literature on these three species varies considerably since analyses of rodent expression are scarce. On the other hand, since the available human datasets cover every identified TAS2R, it is easier to obtain more reliable results and, in agreement with other reports, we found that humans present a lower comparative number of TAS2R than rodents. It has been suggested that positive selection, which provides a diverse repertoire of bitter-tasting binding receptors in rodents (mainly mice), may account for the greater diversity in the TAS2R family during their evolution. Our analysis strengthens the idea that, as well as being a central warning pathway against the ingestion of potentially toxic substances, the expression of bitter taste receptors is mainly related to the specialization of organisms to different diets and the detection of molecules responsible for their activation (58).

In further studies it would be interesting to determine which receptors are activated by similar ligands in order to establish functional orthologs between species. Moreover, expression analysis on the intestinal tissue of rats should be carried out so as to expand the available information. Further studies are needed to find possible differences in the activation pathway between oral and extraoral receptors and discover the role of these extraoral receptors in each intestinal segment.

References

1. Bertran L, Portillo-Carrasquer M, Martínez S, Aguilar C, Lopez-Dupla M, Riesco D, et al. Expression of Jejunal taste receptors in women with morbid obesity. *Nutrients.* (2021) 13:2437. doi: 10.3390/NU13072437

2. Behrens M, Prandi S, Meyerhof W. Taste receptor gene expression outside the gustatory system. *Topics Med Chem.* (2014) 23, 1–34. doi: 10.1007/7355_2014_79

3. Gilca M, Dragos D. Extraoral taste receptor discovery: new light on ayurvedic pharmacology. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* (2017) 2017:5435831. doi: 10.1155/2017/5435831

4. Grau-Bové C, Grau-Bové X, Terra X, Garcia-Vallve S, Rodríguez-Gallego E, Beltran-Debón R, et al. Functional and genomic comparative study of the bitter taste receptor family TAS2R: insight into the role of human TAS2R5. *FASEB J.* (2022) 36:e22175. doi: 10.1096/FJ.202101128RR

5. Bachmanov AA, Beauchamp GK. Taste receptor genes. Annu Rev Nutr. (2007) 27:389–414. doi: 10.1146/annurev.nutr.26.061505.111329

6. Bachmanov AA, Bosak NP, Lin C, Matsumoto I, Ohmoto M, Reed DR, et al. Genetics of taste receptors. *Curr Pharm Des.* (2014) 20:2669–83. doi: 10.2174/13816128113199990566

7. Roper SD, Chaudhari N. Taste buds: cells, signals and synapses. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* (2017) 18:485–97. doi: 10.1038/nrn.2017.68

8. Kinnamon SC, Finger TE. Recent advances in taste transduction and signalling. *F1000Res.* (2019) 8:21099. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.21099.1

Author contributions

MD-S and AV contributed to design of the review and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. FJ wrote sections of the manuscript. XT and RB-D provided critical feedback. AA conceived the original idea, provided critical feedback, and leadership responsibility for the research activity planning and execution. MB, ER-G, and MP contirbuted to the conception of the inicial idea, funding provision and critical review. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This research was funded by Grant PID2021-122636OB-I00 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033/ and "ERDF A way of making Europe." Martí Franqués program of Universitat Rovira i Virgili. FISDUR and Serra Húnter fellows from Generalitat de Catalunya. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska Curie grant agreement no. 945413 and from the Universitat Rovira i Virgili (URV).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

9. Behrens M, Meyerhof W. Oral and extraoral bitter taste receptors. *Results Probl Cell Differ*. (2010) 52:87–99. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-14426-4_8

10. Taruno A, Nomura K, Kusakizako T, Ma Z, Nureki O, Foskett JK. Taste transduction and channel synapses in taste buds. *Pflugers Arch.* (2021) 473:3–13. doi: 10.1007/s00424-020-02464-4

11. Xie C, Wang X, Young RL, Horowitz M, Rayner CK, Wu T. Role of intestinal bitter sensing in enteroendocrine hormone secretion and metabolic control. *Front Endocrinol.* (2018) 9:576. doi: 10.3389/FENDO.2018.00576

12. Jalševac F, Terra X, Rodríguez-Gallego E, Beltran-Debón R, Blay MT, Pinent M, et al. The hidden one: what we know about bitter taste receptor 39. *Front Endocrinol.* (2022) 13:854718. doi: 10.3389/FENDO.2022.854718/FULL

13. Tuzim K, Korolczuk A. An update on extra-oral bitter taste receptors. J Transl Med. (2021) 19:440. doi: 10.1186/S12967-021-03067-Y

14. Duc NM, Kim HR, Chung KY. Structural mechanism of G protein activation by G protein-coupled receptor. *Eur J Pharmacol.* (2015) 763:214–22. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2015.05.016

15. Jeruzal-Świątecka J, Fendler W, Pietruszewska W. Clinical role of extraoral bitter taste receptors. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2020) 21:1–23. doi: 10.3390/IJMS21145156

16. Depoortere I. Taste receptors of the gut: emerging roles in health and disease. *Gut.* (2014) 63:179–90. doi: 10.1136/GUTJNL-2013-305112

17. Yamamoto K, Ishimaru Y. Oral and extra-oral taste perception. Semin Cell Dev Biol. (2013) 24:240-6. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2012.08.005

18. Wang Q, Liszt KI, Depoortere I. Extra-oral bitter taste receptors: new targets against obesity? *Peptides*. (2020) 127:170284. doi: 10.1016/J.PEPTIDES.2020.170284

19. Jaggupilli A, Singh N, Upadhyaya J, Sikarwar AS, Arakawa M, Dakshinamurti S, et al. Analysis of the expression of human bitter taste receptors in extraoral tissues. *Mol Cell Biochem*. (2017) 426:137–47. doi: 10.1007/s11010-016-2902-z

20. Jeon T-I, Zhu B, Larson JL, Osborne TF. SREBP-2 regulates gut peptide secretion through intestinal bitter taste receptor signalling in mice. *J Clin Invest.* (2008) 118:3693. doi: 10.1172/JCI36461

21. Kok BP, Galmozzi A, Littlejohn NK, Albert V, Godio C, Kim W, et al. Intestinal bitter taste receptor activation alters hormone secretion and imparts metabolic benefits. *Mol Metab.* (2018) 16:76. doi: 10.1016/J.MOLMET.2018.07.013

22. Liszt KI, Wang Q, Farhadipour M, Segers A, Thijs T, Nys L, et al. Human intestinal bitter taste receptors regulate innate immune responses and metabolic regulators in obesity. *J Clin Investig.* (2022) 132:e144828. doi: 10.1172/JCI144828

23. Yarmolinsky DA, Zuker CS, Ryba NJP. Common sense about taste: from mammals to insects. *Cell*. (2009) 139:234-44. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.10.001

24. Meunier N, Marion-Poll F, Rospars JP, Tanimura T. Peripheral coding of bitter taste in Drosophila. *J Neurobiol.* (2003) 56:139–52. doi: 10.1002/neu.10235

25. Grus WE, Zhang J. Origin of the genetic components of the vomeronasal system in the common ancestor of all extant vertebrates. *Mol Biol Evol.* (2009) 26:407–19. doi: 10.1093/MOLBEV/MSN262

26. Zhao H, Li J, Zhang J. Molecular evidence for the loss of three basic tastes in penguins. *Curr Biol.* (2015) 25:R141–2. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2015.01.026

27. Syed AS, Korsching SI. Positive Darwinian selection in the singularly large taste receptor gene family of an "ancient" fish, Latimeria chalumnae. *BMC Genomics*. (2014) 15:650. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-650

28. Li D, Zhang J. Diet shapes the evolution of the vertebrate bitter taste receptor gene repertoire. *Mol Biol Evol.* (2014) 31:303–9. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst219

29. Jiang P, Josue J, Li X, Glaser D, Li W, Brand JG, et al. Major taste loss in carnivorous mammals. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. (2012) 109:4956-61. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1118360109

30. Hu L-L, Shi P. Smallest bitter taste receptor (T2Rs) gene repertoire in carnivores. Zool Res. (2013) 34:24575-E. doi: 10.11813/J.ISSN.0254-5853.2013.E3.E75

31. Liu Z, Liu G, Hailer F, Orozco-terWengel P, Tan X, Tian J, et al. Dietary specialization drives multiple independent losses and gains in the bitter taste gene repertoire of laurasiatherian mammals. *Front Zool.* (2016) 13:28. doi: 10.1186/s12983-016-0161-1

32. Roudnitzky N, Behrens M, Engel A, Kohl S, Thalmann S, Hübner S, et al. Receptor polymorphism and genomic structure interact to shape bitter taste perception. *PLoS Genet.* (2015) 11:e1005530. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1005530

33. Dong D, Jones G, Zhang S. Dynamic evolution of bitter taste receptor genes in vertebrates. *BMC Evol Biol.* (2009) 9:1–9. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-9-12/FIGURES/2

34. Fischer A, Gilad Y, Man O, Pääbo S. Evolution of bitter taste receptors in humans and apes. *Mol Biol Evol*. (2005) 22:432. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msi027

35. Meyerhof W, Batram C, Kuhn C, Brockhoff A, Chudoba E, Bufe B, et al. The molecular receptive ranges of human TAS2R bitter taste receptors. *Chem Senses*. (2010) 35:157–70. doi: 10.1093/CHEMSE/BJP092

36. Wu SV, Chen MC, Rozengurt E. Genomic organization, expression, and function of bitter taste receptors (T2R) in mouse and rat. *Physiol Genomics*. (2005) 22:139–49. doi: 10.1152/PHYSIOLGENOMICS.00030.2005/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/ZH70100507780010.JPEG

37. Lossow K, Hübner S, Roudnitzky N, Slack JP, Pollastro F, Behrens M, et al. Comprehensive analysis of mouse bitter taste receptors reveals different molecular receptive ranges for orthologous receptors in mice and humans. *J Biol Chem.* (2016) 291:15358. doi: 10.1074/JBC.M116.718544

38. Martchenko A, Martchenko SE, Biancolin AD, Brubaker PL. Circadian rhythms and the gastrointestinal tract: relationship to metabolism and gut hormones. *Endocrinology*. (2020) 161:bqaa167. doi: 10.1210/ENDOCR/BQAA167

39. Greenwood-Van Meerveld B, Johnson AC, Grundy D. Gastrointestinal physiology and function. *Handb Exp Pharmacol.* (2017) 239:1. doi: 10.1007/164_2016_118

40. Avau B, Bauters D, Steensels S, Vancleef L, Laermans J, Lesuisse J, et al. The gustatory signalling pathway and bitter taste receptors affect the development of obesity and adipocyte metabolism in mice. *PLoS One.* (2015) 10:e0145538. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0145538

41. Avau B, Thijs T, Laermans J, Tack J, Depoortere I. Endocrine and smooth muscle responses of the bitter agonist, denatonium benzoate, in the stomach. *Regul Pept.* (2012) 177:S15. doi: 10.1016/J.REGPEP.2012.05.019

42. Janssen S, Laermans J, Verhulst PJ, Thijs T, Tack J, Depoortere I. Bitter taste receptors and α -gustducin regulate the secretion of ghrelin with functional effects on food intake and gastric emptying. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2011) 108:2094–9. doi: 10.1073/PNAS.1011508108

43. Kim KS, Egan JM, Jang HJ. Denatonium induces secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1 through activation of bitter taste receptor pathways. *Diabetologia*. (2014) 57:2117–25. doi: 10.1007/S00125-014-3326-5

44. Vegezzi G, Anselmi L, Huynh J, Barocelli E, Rozengurt E, Raybould H, et al. Dietinduced regulation of bitter taste receptor subtypes in the mouse gastrointestinal tract. *PLoS One.* (2014) 9:107732. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0107732

45. Zhao A, Jeffery EH, Miller MJ. Is bitterness only a taste? The expanding area of health benefits of Brassica vegetables and potential for bitter taste receptors to support health benefits. *Nutrients*. (2022) 14:1434. doi: 10.3390/NU14071434

46. Gribble FM, Reimann F. Function and mechanisms of enteroendocrine cells and gut hormones in metabolism. *Nat Rev Endocrinol.* (2019) 15:226–37. doi: 10.1038/ S41574-019-0168-8

47. Hendel SK, Kellermann L, Hausmann A, Bindslev N, Jensen KB, Nielsen OH. Tuft cells and their role in intestinal diseases. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:822867. doi: 10.3389/ FIMMU.2022.822867

48. Zhou Z, Xi R, Liu J, Peng X, Zhao L, Zhou X, et al. TAS2R16 activation suppresses LPS-induced cytokine expression in human gingival fibroblasts. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:726546. doi: 10.3389/FIMMU.2021.726546/FULL

49. Prandi S, Voigt A, Meyerhof W, Behrens M. Expression profiling of Tas2r genes reveals a complex pattern along the mouse GI tract and the presence of Tas2r131 in a subset of intestinal Paneth cells. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* (2018) 75:49–65. doi: 10.1007/s00018-017-2621-y

50. Chen MC, Wu SV, Reeve JR, Rozengurt E. Bitter stimuli induce Ca2+ signalling and CCK release in enteroendocrine STC-1 cells: role of L-type voltage-sensitive Ca2+ channels. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol.* (2006) 291:726–39. doi: 10.1152/ AJPCELL.00003.2006/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/ZH00100649270010.JPEG

51. Wu SV, Rozengurt N, Yang M, Young SH, Sinnett-Smith J, Rozengurt E. Expression of bitter taste receptors of the T2R family in the gastrointestinal tract and enteroendocrine STC-1 cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2002) 99:2392–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.042617699

52. Prandi S, Bromke M, Hübner S, Voigt A, Boehm U, Meyerhof W, et al. A subset of mouse colonic goblet cells expresses the bitter taste receptor Tas2r131. *PLoS One.* (2013) 8:e82820. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0082820

53. Kaji I, Karaki SI, Fukami Y, Terasaki M, Kuwahara A. Secretory effects of a luminal bitter tastant and expressions of bitter taste receptors, T2Rs, in the human and rat large intestine. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol.* (2009) 296:971–81. doi: 10.1152/ajpgi.90514.2008

54. Human Protein Atlas. Available at: https://proteinatlas.org (Accessed September 15, 2023)

55. Rat Genome Database. Available at: https://rgd.mcw.edu/rgdweb/homepage/ (Accessed March 9, 2023)

56. Rozengurt N, Wu SV, Chen MC, Huang C, Sternini C, Rozengurt E. Colocalization of the α -subunit of gustducin with PYY and GLP-1 in L cells of human colon. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol.* (2006) 291:792–802. doi: 10.1152/AJPGI.00074.2006/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/ZH30100645310007.JPEG

57. Wang Q, Liszt KI, Deloose E, Canovai E, Thijs T, Farré R, et al. Obesity alters adrenergic and chemosensory signalling pathways that regulate ghrelin secretion in the human gut. *FASEB J.* (2019) 33:4907–20. doi: 10.1096/FJ.201801661RR

58. Conte C, Ebeling M, Marcuz A, Nef P, Andres-Barquin PJ. Evolutionary relationships of the Tas2r receptor gene families in mouse and human. *Physiol Genomics*. (2003) 14:73–82. doi: 10.1152/PHYSIOLGENOMICS.00060.2003/ASSET/IMAGES/ LARGE/H70140378005.JPEG

59. Hollenhorst MI, Nandigama R, Evers SB, Gamayun I, Wadood NA, Salah A, et al. Bitter taste signalling in tracheal epithelial brush cells elicits innate immune responses to bacterial infection. *J Clin Invest.* (2022) 132:e150951. doi: 10.1172/JCI150951

60. Lu P, Zhang CH, Lifshitz LM, ZhuGe R. Extraoral bitter taste receptors in health and disease. J Gen Physiol. (2017) 149:181–97. doi: 10.1085/JGP.201611637