Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Feng Zhu, Xi'an Jiaotong University, China

REVIEWED BY Yi Xu, Hefei University of Technology, China Binosha Fernando, Edith Cowan University, Australia

*CORRESPONDENCE Masaki Kakeyama 🖂 kake@waseda.jp Mitsuharu Matsumoto 🖂 m-matumoto@meito.co.jp

RECEIVED 13 February 2023 ACCEPTED 09 May 2023 PUBLISHED 06 June 2023

CITATION

Ikuta K, Joho D, Kakeyama M and Matsumoto M (2023) *Bifidobacterium animalis* subsp. *lactis* and arginine mixture intake improves cognitive flexibility in mice. *Front. Nutr.* 10:1164809. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2023.1164809

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Ikuta, Joho, Kakeyama and Matsumoto. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Bifidobacterium animalis subsp. *lactis* and arginine mixture intake improves cognitive flexibility in mice

Kayo Ikuta¹, Daisuke Joho², Masaki Kakeyama^{2,3*} and Mitsuharu Matsumoto^{1,3*}

¹Dairy Science and Technology Institute, Kyodo Milk Industry Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan, ²Laboratory for Environmental Brain Science, Faculty of Human Sciences, Waseda University, Tokorozawa, Japan, ³Research Institute for Environmental Medical Sciences, Comprehensive Research Organization, Waseda University, Tokorozawa, Japan

The relationship between intestinal microbiota and cognitive function has been investigated as one of the major topics within the intestinal microbiota-gutbrain axis. Although an increasing number of studies have demonstrated an improvement in learning and memory when using probiotics or prebiotics, to date, there are no studies that target the cognitive flexibility observed in the early stages of several neuropsychiatric diseases, including dementia. We have recently developed a novel behavioral task using the touchscreen operant system to assess cognitive flexibility. We found that the disruption of the intestinal microbiota in mice induced a decline in cognitive flexibility. In the present study, we investigated the effects of treatments consisting of Bifidobacterium animalis subsp. lactis and arginine (Bifal + Arg), which promote the production of intestinal bacterial polyamine, on cognitive flexibility in the mouse model. Male C57BL6 mice orally treated with Bifal + Arg three times a week gradually decreased the 1st-choice incorrect diagonal rate with repeated reversals compared with the control group. Furthermore, in serial reversal phases, Bifal + Arg-treated mice shifted to the behavior of choosing a new correct spot more quickly after the reversal, and this was faster with repeated reversals. These results indicate that this treatment adapts to change and improves cognitive flexibility. This is the first report to show that intestinal environmental control, including probiotics and prebiotics, improves cognitive flexibility in mice.

KEYWORDS

touchscreen operant system, microbiota-gut-brain axis, reversal learning, polyamines, functional food, learning-set

1. Introduction

Cognitive flexibility, a characteristic that is considered to be a part of executive functions, is the ability to organize appropriate goal-directed actions in an everchanging environment. Difficulties in cognitive flexibility are observed in various neuropsychiatric diseases such as autism, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, schizophrenia, and dementia during all life stages (1–5). In particular, in the case of dementia, an impairment in cognitive flexibility appears during the early stages as a delay in adaptation to a changing environment, which may be recoverable; hence, cognitive flexibility may be considered a therapeutic target for preventing the onset and/or progression of dementia.

In previous studies using mice, such adaptation ability was analyzed using a simple reversal task in the Morris water maze (6, 7) and visual discrimination tests (8), in which correct and incorrect behaviors were switched. It was indicated that mice could not form a reversal learning-set, involving not only the discrimination reversal learning task but also the "learn to learn" reversal task, resulting in a small error rate after reversal task repetition, which is the case in humans, monkeys, birds, and rats (9-11). However, using IntelliCage (12, 13) and a touchscreen operant system (14), we reported in our previous studies that mice can also form a reversal learning-set after the repetition of behavioral sequencing-based reversal tasks. The cognitive flexibility test using a touchscreen operant system is new and, to date, it has few examples of applications. However, the cognitive flexibility test with a touchscreen operant system is similar to the IntelliCage task, which has been employed in many mouse studies (15). Accordingly, we applied the cognitive flexibility analysis task of IntelliCage to that of a touchscreen operant system with the aim of achieving higher probability of extrapolation to humans. During these tasks, mice were initially required to distinguish between rewarded and never-rewarded corners/spots and move between the two distantly positioned rewarded corners/spots. Then, serial reversals were introduced, in which diagonal spatial patterns of rewarded and never-rewarded corners/spots were switched repetitively. These methods could be used for the study of functional foods for diseases related to cognitive flexibility impairment such as dementia, autism, and schizophrenia. However, to date, no study has investigated the effects of functional foods, including probiotics and prebiotics, on impaired cognitive flexibility using these methods.

Recent studies have revealed that intestinal microbiota affects the gut-brain axis, which influences bidirectional signaling between the gastrointestinal tract and the brain via the nervous system and neurotransmitters, a concept termed as the "microbiota-gutbrain axis" (16). Cognitive dysfunction and reduced brain-derived neurotrophic factor expression in the hippocampus have been reported in germ-free mice and mice with antibiotic-induced dysbiosis (17–19). In addition, we reported that dysbiosis caused by antibiotic administration impairs cognitive flexibility in mice (14).

Polyamines are bioactive amines that are ubiquitously present in all cells and essential for maintaining cellular health (20-22). Although cellular polyamine homeostasis is maintained by synthesis and degradation enzymes in healthy young animals, polyamine production and concentration in tissues and organs, including the brain, decrease with age (23). However, polyamines can also be introduced exogenously with the diet or from the intestinal microbiota upon decreased cellular production. Oral spermidine administration has been shown to improve learning and memory in Drosophila (24, 25) and mice (26). Furthermore, we found that the combined administration of Bifidobacterium animalis subsp. lactis LKM512 and arginine (Bifal + Arg) promoted a stable production of intestinal bacteriaderived polyamines (27, 28) and that it improved spatial learning memory in aged mice in the Morris water maze test (27). However, the effects of Bifal + Arg on cognitive flexibility have not yet been reported. Thus, this study aimed to investigate the effects of Bifal + Arg administration on cognitive flexibility in young mice.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

This study is a randomized controlled trial conducted on the Bifal + Arg group and the control group of equal size with seven mice each. Male C57BL6/J mice (8 weeks old) were purchased from The Jackson Laboratories Japan, Inc. (Yokohama, Japan). All mice were housed in Micro Bio-Clean capsules (Tokiwa Kagaku Kikai Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) with one mouse per cage under temperature-, humidity-, and light-controlled environment ($25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C, 50% humidity, 12-h light/dark cycle). The animals were bred on CRF-1 (Oriental Yeast Co., Tokyo, Japan) until the start of the study. The animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the protocols approved by the Kyodo Milk Industry Animal Use Committee (permit 2019-022).

2.2. Preparation and administration of Bifal + Arg

The mice were randomly divided into two groups (n = 7 per group), namely, control group and Bifal + Arg group. *Bifidobacterium animalis* subsp. *lactis* LKM512 was anaerobically pre-cultured [37° C for 48 h using Anaero Pack Kenki (Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Company, Inc., Tokyo, Japan)] on MRS agar medium (BD), and the colonies were used for the study. The growing colonies were collected with cotton swabs and suspended in a Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (D-PBS) solution containing L-Arg (0.1 mg/g body weight), and the suspension was administered in the Bifal + Arg group. The mice were treated with D-PBS only in the control group. The solution was administered three times a week via oral gavage using a sonde from 9 days prior to the behavioral test until the end of the test.

2.3. Cognitive flexibility test

The cognitive flexibility test was performed using a touchscreen operant apparatus modified from a previously reported method (14). The flowchart and definition of movements on the touchscreen are shown in Figure 1. On the touchscreen, four holes were placed asymmetrically on the left, right, top, and bottom. The test summary is as follows:

Acclimatization: The mice were habituated to the touchscreen apparatus and the pellet dispenser (1 day) and then trained to choose a spot by the nose-poking behavior into the hole (6 days).

Behavioral sequencing task: After habituation, the behavioral sequencing task was conducted. In this task, the mice had to distinguish correct and incorrect diagonals and active and inactive spots on the correct diagonal. At the start of each trial, four spots on the touchscreen were displayed. The mice were rewarded when they chose an active spot on the correct diagonal, followed by the next trial. The four spots were displayed again after a timeout when the mice chose an incorrect spot (spots on the incorrect diagonal or inactive spots on the



active spot and was rewarded. After the reward, the active and inactive spots were reversed for the next trial. This behavioral

correct diagonal). The trial continued until the mouse chose the

spot to an incorrect diagonal spot.

sequencing task was performed for 10 sessions as an acquisition phase. Each session consisted of 150 trials and lasted for 60 min.

performed the acquisition phase on diagonal 1, they performed it on diagonal 2 in Rev. 1, 3, and 5, and then again back on diagonal 1 in Rev. 2, 4, and 6. Diagonal 1 and diagonal 2 were alternated to evaluate the behavior of reversal. (**D**) The definition of the first choice behavior pattern following correct spot choice. "Diagonal move" indicates a move from an active spot to the next active spot in the correct diagonal. "Re-entry" indicates a move from an active spot to the next inactive spot (previous active spot) in the correct diagonal. "Adjacent move" indicates a move from an active Serial reversal learning: In the serial reversal learning using the behavior sequencing task, correct and incorrect diagonals were repeatedly reversed six times. Each reversal learning phase lasted for eight sessions.

Behavioral scores: The behavior of each session was assessed using the following behavioral scores (Supplementary Table S1): [1] "1st-choice incorrect diagonal rate": percentage of choosing incorrect diagonal spots at the first choice of the trial. We defined it as the "1st-choice never rewarded rate" in our previous reports (14). [2] The rates of three choosing patterns a following correct spot choice during the acquisition phase (1st-choice behavior analysis): "diagonal move" (the first choice in a trial: move diagonally from the active spot to the next active spot), "adjacent move" (the first choice in a trial: move from the active spot to either of the two spots in the incorrect diagonal), and "re-entry move" (the first choice in a trial, nose-poke to the same spot). [3] "Cumulative diagonal correct move count" and "cumulative diagonal error move count": Two consecutive choices on the correct diagonal were counted as the "diagonal correct move," and two consecutive choices on the incorrect diagonal were counted as the "diagonal error move." [4] "Diagonal correct move rate": the rates of diagonal correct move in every 20-choice block of the first 100 choices in the first session of Rev. 5.

2.4. Statistics

All statistical analyses for finding differences performed SPSS in behavioral scores were using version 22 (IBM Corp, USA). Statistics Armonk, NY. The behavioral data were compared using а twoway repeated measure ANOVA using the Bonferroni correction. Error bars indicate the standard error the Statistical significance of mean. was set at а *p*-value of < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Behavioral sequencing task

The acquisition level of the behavioral sequencing task was assessed. The 1st-choice incorrect diagonal rate in the first session of the acquisition phase was $28.2 \pm 8.7\%$ in the control group and $23.7 \pm 3.5\%$ in the Bifal + Arg group, and these values decreased to <15% in the second session and <5% after ten sessions in both groups, with no differences between groups (Figure 2A). In the 1st-choice behavioral analysis (Figure 2B), the diagonal and adjacent move rates were comparable in both groups in the first session. However, diagonal move rates increased after the second session, and the adjacent move rates decreased. After 10 sessions, the adjacent move rates were under 5%, and the diagonal move rates were over 60%. The re-entry move rates were \sim 50% in the first session but decreased to \sim 35% after 10 sessions, with no differences between groups, as well as in the acquisition levels of this process, showing that both groups have a good understanding of the rules of the behavioral sequencing task and that the mice in both groups are ready for the serial reversal learning to analyze cognitive flexibility.

3.2. Adaptation to serial reversal learning

The 1st-choice incorrect diagonal rate during the cognitive flexibility test was analyzed. In the first session of Reversal 1 (Rev. 1), the 1st-choice incorrect diagonal rate was the highest during the test period, with 63.7 \pm 7.6% in the control group and 74.8 \pm 5.8% in the Bifal + Arg group (p = 0.27). In the second session, it decreased in both groups and was around the chance level of 50% (control group: $48.4 \pm 4.9\%$, Bifal + Arg group: $50 \pm 5.1\%$; Figure 2C). After Rev. 2, the 1st-choice incorrect diagonal rate in the first session gradually decreased, and in Rev. 3, the scores of both groups were below the chance level of 50% in the first session (control group: 48.6 \pm 5.8%, Bifal + Arg group: 41.9 \pm 3.5%, p =0.35). The values of the 1st-choice incorrect diagonal rate in the first session after reversals were extracted (Figure 2D). In the Bifal + Arg group, this rate gradually decreased with repeated reversals, while in the control group, the 1st-choice incorrect diagonal rate increased slightly in Rev. 5 compared to that in Rev. 4.

3.3. Analysis of the first 200 choices in the first session of each reversal

We analyzed the behavior of the first 200 choices in the first session of each reversal to assess the choice behavior immediately after the reversal in detail. The cumulative count of consecutive choices of the two correct diagonal spots (cumulative diagonal correct move) together with the cumulative count of consecutive choices of the two incorrect diagonal spots (correct diagonal behavior in the previous reversal; cumulative diagonal error move) were analyzed (Figure 3A). The temporal increase dynamics of the cumulative diagonal correct move and error move counts were compared. There were no differences in these cumulative counts between the groups from Rev. 1 to Rev. 4. In Rev. 5, the increase in the cumulative diagonal correct move count was observed around the 150th choice in the control group. In contrast, in the Bifal + Arg group, an earlier increase was observed around the 100th choice. In addition, the cumulative diagonal correct move count was higher than the cumulative diagonal error move count before the 200th choice in the Bifal + Arg group. However, this count was consistently lower than the cumulative diagonal error move count in the control group. In Rev. 6, the control group showed an increase in the cumulative diagonal correct move count around the 100th choice, but the Bifal + Arg group showed an earlier increase around the 50th choice (see reference values of cumulative diagonal correct move and error move at the 50th, 100th, and 200th choices shown in Supplementary Table S2). These results indicate that adaptation to reversals was faster in the Bifal + Arg group than in the control group.

To precisely clarify the difference in the speed of recognizing the reversal rule, the diagonal correct move rates of the first 100 choices compiled for every 20-choice block were analyzed in the first session of Rev. 5 (Figure 3B). The diagonal correct move rate of the Bifal + Arg group was significantly higher than that of the control group in Block 5 (choices 81–100) in Rev. 5 (p = 0.026). Furthermore, in the Bifal + Arg group, the diagonal correct move rate gradually increased, and, in Block 4 (choices 61–80, p = 0.024)



and Block 5 (choices 81-100, p = 0.001), it was significantly higher than that in Block 1 (choices 1-20) in Rev. 5. In contrast, a gradual and significant increase in the diagonal correct move rate was not observed in the control group.

4. Discussion

Recent studies have revealed that intestinal microbiota affect brain functions via the intestinal microbiota-gutbrain axis; hence, intestinal microbiota has been proposed as a target for the prevention and treatment of brainrelated diseases (29–32). Dysbiosis occurs in patients with dementia, and probiotic administration improves cognitive function (33–35). However, few studies have targeted cognitive flexibility, which is impaired in the initial stages of dementia.

Previous cognitive flexibility tests in mice were performed using the Morris water maze test and the visual discrimination reversal task, which are very simple. The Morris water maze is a spatial learning test motivated by pain avoidance behavior toward water; hence, it is not suitable for extrapolation to humans. However, our cognitive flexibility test based on the performance determined using the touchscreen operant apparatus, which rewards motivation for learning, is similar to the Brixton spatial anticipation test used as a clinical assessment method of human executive function and thus is highly extrapolatable to humans (14). This test can estimate the animal reversal learning-set, indicating that animals can learn to learn (the formation of a learning-set). The concept of learning-set refers to the ability to acquire progressive shifts from trial-and-error to the immediate solving of new problems based on previously learned experiences. It is considered an executive function of the brain. We demonstrated that mice form a reversal learningset by adapting their behavior more quickly to a change from previous experiences through repeated learning (12, 14). Bifal + Arg-treated mice switched to the new diagonal correct move after reversal faster than the control group. In addition, only Bifal + Arg-treated mice showed a gradually decreasing 1stchoice incorrect diagonal rate in the first session in each stage, indicating that Bifal + Arg promotes the formation of reversal learning-set and induces the early onset of the optimal behavior upon a change from past experiences through repeated reversal tasks, that is, the enhanced cognitive flexibility of mice.



This is the first report to demonstrate the effects of intestinal microbial control, such as probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics, on cognitive flexibility.

The present results clearly demonstrate the possibility of enhanced cognitive flexibility with the administration of Bifal + Arg in mice. However, the detailed underlying mechanism remains unclear. Several reports have shown a relationship between polyamines and brain function. For example, polyamines are stored in astrocytes and neuronal vesicles in the brain and are involved in neurotransmitter secretion (36–38). Polyamines modulate memory consolidation by interacting with the polyamine-binding site on N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors associated with memory and learning (39). In *Drosophila*, oral polyamine (spermidine) supplementation improves age-dependent memory impairment by enhancing autophagy, neuroprotection, and synaptic plasticity (24, 25). In aged mice, dietary polyamine (spermidine) supplementation is transported to brain tissue, thereby improving cognition through increased hippocampal eIF5a hypusination and mitochondrial respiratory competence (26).

Furthermore, we have previously reported that intestinal polyamine production using Bifal + Arg improved spatial learning and memory in aged mice using the Morris water maze (27). Based on these findings, together with the results of the present study, we speculate that Bifal + Arg may

influence brain function through the intestinal microbiota-gutbrain axis via polyamines. We also found that antibiotic-induced dysbiosis impaired cognitive flexibility in mice using the same test methods, suggesting that metabolite-derived microbiota, including polyamines, are probably involved in cognitive flexibility (14). Although the polyamine synthesis capacity of tissue declines with age and the tissue polyamine concentration decreases (23, 40), the polyamine level in the body is well maintained in the young mice used in this study. A few studies showed that the excessive administration of exogenous polyamine induces emaciation, aggressive behavior, and convulsion (41). However, the intercellular polyamine level is strictly regulated at an optimal concentration by the polyamine generation/degradation pathway in healthy mammals (21). Hence, healthy mice are unlikely to be affected by excessive polyamine dosage. In fact, our previous study demonstrated that Bifal + Arg administration for ~ 1 year promoted longevity without adverse effects (27). Therefore, intestinal microbiota-derived polyamines may undergo a different mechanism of cognitive flexibility than through the hippocampal eIF5a hypusination and mitochondrial respiratory competence described above. Further investigation is required to elucidate the mechanism underlying the microbiota-derived polyamine-gutbrain axis.

In this study, each mouse (9–23 weeks old) was independently bred in a separate cage for dietary restriction, maintaining their reward motivation. Several reports indicate that social isolation in separate cages affects the formation of sociality and learning memory (42–44), suggesting that our results were influenced by social isolation. However, since the greatest impact of social isolation occurs after weaning to 8 weeks old, when our mice were group-housed, and when other studies have shown results inconsistent with these studies, the impact of social isolation on this study appears to be limited.

There is a limitation to this study. The significance of the parallel administration of Bifal and Arg is unclear. The mixture of Bifal and Arg was administered because Bifal + Arg produced polyamines very efficiently in the previous study (27), but it is required for single administration of each solution in order to clarify the significance of parallel administration in the near future.

We conclude that the administration of Bifal + Arg improves cognitive flexibility. This study provides the first evidence that intestinal environmental control using functional food can improve cognitive flexibility.

Since impairment of cognitive flexibility occurs not only in the early stages of dementia but also in mental disorders that can develop at any stage, these findings may be applicable to other mental disorders in the future.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material,

further	inquiries	can	be	directed	to	the
correspon						

Ethics statement

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Kyodo Milk Industry Animal Use Committee (permit 2019-022).

Author contributions

KI and MM designed the study and created the figures. KI performed the experiments, statistically analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript draft. MM supported the experiments. KI, DJ, MK, and MM interpreted the data. DJ revised the manuscript. MK and MM critically revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was supported by the JSPS KAKENHI with the grant number: JP20H00575 awarded to MM.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Ayano Yamashita for helping with mice care.

Conflict of interest

KI and MM were employed by Kyodo Milk Industry Co., Ltd. The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2023. 1164809/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Weinberger DR. Schizophrenia and the frontal lobe. *Trends Neurosci.* (1988) 11:367–70. doi: 10.1016/0166-2236(88)90060-4

2. Landry O, Al-Taie S. A meta-analysis of the Wisconsin card sort task in autism. J Autism Dev Disord. (2016) 46:1220–35. doi: 10.1007/s10803-015-2659-3

3. Lai CLE, Lau Z, Lui SSY, Lok E, Tam V, Chan Q, et al. Meta-analysis of neuropsychological measures of executive functioning in children and adolescents with high-functioning autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Res.* (2017) 10:911–39. doi: 10.1002/aur.1723

4. Berry AS, Shah VD, Baker SL, Vogel JW, O'Neil JP, Janabi M, et al. Aging affects dopaminergic neural mechanisms of cognitive flexibility. *J Neurosci.* (2016) 36:12559–69. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0626-16.2016

5. Guarino A, Forte G, Giovannoli J, Casagrande M. Executive functions in the elderly with mild cognitive impairment: a systematic review on motor and cognitive inhibition, conflict control and cognitive flexibility. *Aging Ment Health.* (2020) 24:1028–45. doi: 10.1080/13607863.2019.1584785

6. Morice E, Billard JM, Denis C, Mathieu F, Betancur C, Epelbaum J, et al. Parallel loss of hippocampal LTD and cognitive flexibility in a genetic model of hyperdopaminergia. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* (2007) 32:2108–16. doi: 10.1038/sj.npp.1301354

7. Magnusson KR, Hauck L, Jeffrey BM, Elias V, Humphrey A, Nath R, et al. Relationships between diet-related changes in the gut microbiome and cognitive flexibility. *Neuroscience*. (2015) 300:128–40. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.05.016

8. Zeleznikow-Johnston A, Burrows EL, Renoir T, Hannan AJ. Environmental enrichment enhances cognitive flexibility in C57BL/6 mice on a touchscreen reversal learning task. *Neuropharmacology.* (2017) 117:219–26. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2017.02.009

9. van der Plasse G, Feenstra MG. Serial reversal learning and acute tryptophan depletion. *Behav Brain Res.* (2008) 186:23–31. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2007.07.017

10. Bond AB, Kamil AC, Balda RP. Serial reversal learning and the evolution of behavioral flexibility in three species of North American corvids (*Gymnorhinus cyanocephalus*, *Nucifraga columbiana*, *Aphelocoma californica*). J Comp Psychol. (2007) 121:372–9. doi: 10.1037/0735-7036.121.4.372

11. Harlow HF. The formation of learning sets. *Psychol Rev.* (1949) 56:51-65. doi: 10.1037/h0062474

12. Endo T, Maekawa F, Voikar V, Haijima A, Uemura Y, Zhang Y, et al. Automated test of behavioral flexibility in mice using a behavioral sequencing task in IntelliCage. *Behav Brain Res.* (2011) 221:172–81. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2011.02.037

13. Endo T, Kakeyama M, Uemura Y, Haijima A, Okuno H, Bito H, et al. Executive function deficits and social-behavioral abnormality in mice exposed to a low dose of dioxin in utero and via lactation. *PLoS ONE.* (2012) 7:e50741. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0050741

14. Tamada H, Ikuta K, Makino Y, Joho D, Suzuki T, Kakeyama M, et al. Impact of intestinal microbiota on cognitive flexibility by a novel touch screen operant system task in mice. *Front Neurosci.* (2022) 16:882339. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2022.882339

15. Kiryk A, Janusz A, Zglinicki B, Turkes E, Knapska E, Konopka W, et al. IntelliCage as a tool for measuring mouse behavior - 20 years perspective. *Behav Brain Res.* (2020) 388:112620. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2020.112620

16. Rhee SH, Pothoulakis C, Mayer EA. Principles and clinical implications of the brain-gut-enteric microbiota axis. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2009) 6:306–14. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2009.35

17. Gareau MG, Wine E, Rodrigues DM, Cho JH, Whary MT, Philpott DJ, et al. Bacterial infection causes stress-induced memory dysfunction in mice. *Gut.* (2011) 60:307–17. doi: 10.1136/gut.2009.202515

18. Desbonnet L, Clarke G, Traplin A, O'Sullivan O, Crispie F, Moloney RD, et al. Gut microbiota depletion from early adolescence in mice: implications for brain and behaviour. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2015) 48:165–73. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2015.04.004

19. Luczynski P, McVey Neufeld KA, Oriach CS, Clarke G, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. Growing up in a bubble: using germ-free animals to assess the influence of the gut microbiota on brain and behavior. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol.* (2016) 19:pyw020. doi: 10.1093/ijnp/pyw020

20. Madeo F, Eisenberg T, Pietrocola F, Kroemer G. Spermidine in health and disease. *Science*. (2018) 359:eaan2788. doi: 10.1126/science.aan2788

21. Igarashi K, Kashiwagi K. Modulation of cellular function by polyamines. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. (2010) 42:39–51. doi: 10.1016/j.biocel.2009.07.009

22. Pegg AE. Mammalian polyamine metabolism and function. *IUBMB Life*. (2009) 61:880–94. doi: 10.1002/iub.230

23. Das R, Kanungo MS. Activity and modulation of ornithine decarboxylase and concentrations of polyamines in various tissues of rats as a function of age. *Exp Gerontol.* (1982) 17:95–103. doi: 10.1016/0531-5565(82)90042-0

24. Gupta VK, Scheunemann L, Eisenberg T, Mertel S, Bhukel A, Koemans TS, et al. Restoring polyamines protects from age-induced memory impairment in an autophagy-dependent manner. *Nat Neurosci.* (2013) 16:1453–60. doi: 10.1038/nn.3512

25. Gupta VK, Pech U, Bhukel A, Fulterer A, Ender A, Mauermann SF, et al. Spermidine suppresses age-associated memory impairment by preventing adverse increase of presynaptic active zone size and release. *PLoS Biol.* (2016) 14:e1002563. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002563

26. Schroeder S, Hofer SJ, Zimmermann A, Pechlaner R, Dammbrueck C, Pendl T, et al. Dietary spermidine improves cognitive function. *Cell Rep.* (2021) 35:108985. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.108985

27. Kibe R, Kurihara S, Sakai Y, Suzuki H, Ooga T, Sawaki E, et al. Upregulation of colonic luminal polyamines produced by intestinal microbiota delays senescence in mice. *Sci Rep.* (2014) 4:4548. doi: 10.1038/srep04548

28. Kitada Y, Muramatsu K, Toju H, Kibe R, Benno Y, Kurihara S, et al. Bioactive polyamine production by a novel hybrid system comprising multiple indigenous gut bacterial strategies. *Sci Adv.* (2018) 4:eaat0062. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aat0062

29. Saji N, Murotani K, Hisada T, Tsuduki T, Sugimoto T, Kimura A, et al. The relationship between the gut microbiome and mild cognitive impairment in patients without dementia: a cross-sectional study conducted in Japan. *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9:19227. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-55851-y

30. Liu P, Wu L, Peng G, Han Y, Tang R, Ge J, et al. Altered microbiomes distinguish Alzheimer's disease from amnestic mild cognitive impairment and health in a Chinese cohort. *Brain Behav Immun.* (2019) 80:633–43. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2019. 05.008

31. Cryan JF, O'Riordan KJ, Sandhu K, Peterson V, Dinan TG. The gut microbiome in neurological disorders. *Lancet Neurol.* (2020) 19:179–94. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(19)30356-4

32. Escobar YH, O'Piela D, Wold LE, Mackos AR. Influence of the microbiotagut-brain axis on cognition in Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis.* (2022) 87:17– 31. doi: 10.3233/JAD-215290

33. Hwang Y-H, Park S, Paik J-W, Chae S-W, Kim D-H, Jeong D-G, et al. Efficacy and safety of *Lactobacillus plantarum* C29-fermented soybean (DW2009) in individuals with mild cognitive impairment: a 12-week, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Nutrients*. (2019) 11:305. doi: 10.3390/nu11020305

34. Akbari E, Asemi Z, Daneshvar Kakhaki R, Bahmani F, Kouchaki E, Tamtaji OR, et al. Effect of probiotic supplementation on cognitive function and metabolic status in Alzheimer's disease: a randomized, double-blind and controlled trial. *Front Aging Neurosci.* (2016) 8:256. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2016. 00256

35. Kobayashi Y, Kinoshita T, Matsumoto A, Yoshino K, Saito I, Xiao JZ. *Bifidobacterium breve* A1 supplementation improved cognitive decline in older adults with mild cognitive impairment: an open-label, single-arm study. *J Prev Alzheimers Dis.* (2019) 6:70–5. doi: 10.14283/jpad.2018.32

36. Williams K. Modulation and block of ion channels: a new biology of polyamines. *Cell Signal.* (1997) 9:1–13. doi: 10.1016/S0898-6568(96)00089-7

37. Ogden KK, Traynelis SF. New advances in NMDA receptor pharmacology. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* (2011) 32:726–33. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2011.08.003

38. Hiasa M, Miyaji T, Haruna Y, Takeuchi T, Harada Y, Moriyama S, et al. Identification of a mammalian vesicular polyamine transporter. *Sci Rep.* (2014) 4:6836. doi: 10.1038/srep06836

39. Ribeiro DA, Mello CF, Signor C, Rubin MA. Polyaminergic agents modulate the reconsolidation of conditioned fear. *Neurobiol Learn Mem.* (2013) 104:9-15. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2013.04.008

40. Nishimura K, Shiina R, Kashiwagi K, Igarashi K. Decrease in polyamines with aging and their ingestion from food and drink. *J Biochem.* (2006) 139:81-90. doi: 10.1093/jb/mvj003

41. Til HP, Falke HE, Prinsen MK, Willems MI. Acute and subacute toxicity of tyramine, spermidine, spermine, putrescine and cadaverine in rats. *Food Chem Toxicol.* (1997) 35:337–48. doi: 10.1016/S0278-6915(97)00121-X

42. Wang B, Wu Q, Lei L, Sun H, Michael N, Zhang X, et al. Long-term social isolation inhibits autophagy activation, induces postsynaptic dysfunctions and impairs spatial memory. *Exp Neurol.* (2019) 311:213–24. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2018. 09.009

43. Wongwitdecha N, Marsden CA. Effects of social isolation rearing on learning in the Morris water maze. *Brain Res.* (1996) 715:119-24. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(95)01578-7

44. Yusufishaq S, Rosenkranz JA. Post-weaning social isolation impairs observational fear conditioning. *Behav Brain Res.* (2013) 242:142–9. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2012.12.050