Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Guohao Wu, Fudan University, China

REVIEWED BY Wei Wang, First Affiliated Hospital of Wannan Medical College, China Roxana Uri Miranda Labra, Metropolitan Autonomous University, Mexico

*CORRESPONDENCE Xianbo Wang ⊠ wangxb@ccmu.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 20 December 2022 ACCEPTED 29 March 2023 PUBLISHED 05 May 2023

CITATION

Shi K, Hou J, Zhang Q, Bi Y, Zeng X and Wang X (2023) Neutrophil-to-highdensity-lipoprotein-cholesterol ratio and mortality among patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Front. Nutr.* 10:1127913. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2023.1127913

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Shi, Hou, Zhang, Bi, Zeng and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Neutrophil-to-highdensity-lipoprotein-cholesterol ratio and mortality among patients with hepatocellular carcinoma

Ke Shi^{1†}, Jie Hou^{2†}, Qun Zhang¹, Yufei Bi¹, Xuanwei Zeng¹ and Xianbo Wang¹*

¹Center of Integrative Medicine, Beijing Ditan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, ²Department of Spleen and Stomach Diseases, Hengshui Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Hebei, China

Background: Inflammatory responses and lipid metabolism disorders contribute to the development and prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). This study aimed to investigate the prognostic value of lipid-related inflammatory parameters in patients with HCC.

Methods: From January 2010 to June 2017, we enrolled 1,639 patients with HCC at Beijing Ditan Hospital. Multivariate Cox regression analysis and area under the receiver operating characteristic (AUC) analysis were used to evaluate and compare the predictability and reliability of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), neutrophil-to-HDL-C ratio (NHR), monocyte-to-HDL-C ratio (MHR), and lymphocyte-to-HDL-C ratio (LHR) values. A restricted cubic spline was used to explore the association between the NHR and 3-year mortality in patients with HCC. Differences in survival rates were estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. The results were validated in an internal cohort between July 2017 and October 2019 (*n*=373).

Results: After adjusting for confounding variables, NHR was independently associated with 3-year mortality, both as a continuous and categorical variable (both *p*<0.05). The correlation between the mortality and the MHR and LHR was not statistically significant. The NHR showed a suitable prognostic value (AUC at 3 years: 0.740), similar to that of the Model for End-stage Liver Disease (MELD) (AUC at 3 years: 0.761). In the validation cohort, the AUC of the NHR was 0.734 at 3 years. The optimal cut-off values of NHR and MELD were 3.5 and 9, respectively. The 3-year survival rates in the low- (NHR<3.5 and MELD <9) and high-risk (NHR \geq 3.5 and MELD \geq 9) groups were 81.8 and 19.4%, respectively, in the training cohort, and 84.6 and 27.5%, respectively, in the validation cohort.

Conclusion: Baseline NHR is a promising prognostic parameter for mortality in patients with HCC and patients with NHR \geq 3.5 and MELD \geq 9 have a high mortality rate.

KEYWORDS

hepatocellular carcinoma, dyslipidemia, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, inflammation, prognosis

Introduction

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) is a global public health problem and a major cause of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), causing approximately 200 million infected (1, 2). HCC is one of the most commonly occurring cancer and a common cause of cancerassociated mortality, accounting for 782,000 deaths worldwide every year (3). Despite substantial improvements in the treatment of HCC, the prognosis of HCC remains poor owing to a high recurrence rate (4). Given the increasing incidence and high mortality rate of HCC, early identification of the mortality risk of HCC is important to improve therapeutic intervention and longterm prognosis.

The inflammatory response plays an important role in the development and progression of HCC (5). Previous studies have shown that the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio has a good prognostic value for HCC (6, 7). Recent studies have suggested that high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) exerts anti-inflammatory, antioxidation, and anti-apoptotic functions (8, 9). Decreased HDL-C levels were proven to be correlated with poor prognosis in several diseases (10-12). The neutrophil-to-HDL-C ratio (NHR), monocyteto-HDL-C ratio (MHR), and lymphocyte-to-HDL-C ratio (LHR) have emerged as prognostic markers in cardiovascular events, diabetes, nerve diseases, and metabolic syndrome (13-17). However, research on the prognostic potential of these markers for mortality in patients with HCC is limited. Therefore, clarification on the reliability of these markers as prognostic biomarkers of HCC is necessary. In addition, the lack of consistent cutoff points for prognostic markers makes it difficult to distinguish between low-and high-risk mortality.

Patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus have an increased mortality risk due to HCC, in which inflammation and lipid metabolism disorders play important roles (18). Previous reports suggested that patients with diabetes have impaired HDL function and decreased HDL levels (19, 20). Therefore, the utility of lipid-related inflammatory markers in patients with diabetes is worth exploring.

Accordingly, we aimed to evaluate the association between the NHR, MHR, and LHR and mortality in patients with HCC using Cox regression analyses, identify high-risk populations using the Kaplan–Meier method, and conduct an early intervention to reduce mortality.

Materials and methods

Study population

We screened 2,490 patients diagnosed with HCC between January 2010 and June 2017 at Beijing Ditan Hospital, Capital Medical University. Patients aged between 18 and 75 years diagnosed with HBV-related HCC were recruited for this study. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age <18 or >75 years, (2) presence of other types of tumors or liver transplantation, (3) other viral infections or human immunodeficiency virus infection, (4) incomplete clinical data, and (5) lost of follow-up within 1 year. As per these criteria, 1,639 patients were finally enrolled in the study. We also included 373 patients as an internal validation cohort between July 2017 and October 2019 (Figure 1). This study followed the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and approval was obtained from the Ethical Review Committee of the Beijing Ditan Hospital.

Clinical definition and follow-up

Chronic hepatitis B was defined as HBsAg positivity for >6 months (21). The diagnosis of cirrhosis was based on evidence from liver biopsy, endoscopy, ultrasound, or elastography, and/or signs of complications associated with portal hypertension (22). HCC diagnosis was as per the criteria of the Asia-Pacific clinical guidelines (23). Every 3 months, routine laboratory tests [including routine blood examination, liver, renal, coagulation function tests, HBV DNA, and alpha-fetoprotein (AFP)] and radiological examination, such as computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), or ultrasound were performed. The outcome was the occurrence of mortality within 3 years or at the end of the 3 years follow-up period.

Data collection

Baseline demographic characteristics and laboratory data, including age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, smoking history, alcohol consumption history, complications, liver function, renal function, coagulation function, serum lipid level, and AFP level, were collected from electronic medical records at enrollment. In addition, tumor characteristics, such as tumor number, size, vascular invasion, and tumor metastasis, were recorded based on the imaging data at baseline. NHR was calculated as the neutrophil count divided by the HDL-C value, while MHR as the monocyte count divided by the HDL-C value. The model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) was used to estimate the severity of the liver disease (24).

Statistical analysis

SPSS (version 25.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, United States) and R (version 3.6.3; The R Foundation, Vienna, Austria) software were used for the statistical analyses. Continuous variables were reported as mean \pm standard deviation or median with interquartile range (IQR), while categorical variables were reported as frequency (percentage). Continuous variables were compared using Student's *t*-test or the Mann–Whitney test; the chi–squared test or Fisher's exact test was used for two groups, as appropriate. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were used to assess the association between the HDL-C, NHR, MHR, LHR (continuous and tertile), and mortality. Results were considered statistically significant at *p*-value <0.05.

The predictive value of lipid-related inflammatory markers was evaluated using the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC). The prognostic power of these indicators was compared with that of the MELD score at 1, 2, and 3 years using the Delong test (25). The association between the NHR and 3-year mortality were evaluated on a continuous scale using a restricted cubic spline (RCS) with four knots at the 5th, 35th, 65th, and 95th percentiles and to test for nonlinearity (26). The optimal cut-off values were determined for the NHR and MELD scores for mortality using the X-tile software (Yale University School of Medicine, New



Haven, CT, United States). Differences in survival rates among the groups were analyzed using Kaplan–Meier curves and compared using log-rank tests.

Results

Baseline characteristics

A total of 1,639 and 373 patients in the training and validation cohorts, respectively, were included in the analysis. Baseline characteristics and laboratory data of the patients are shown in Table 1. The median age of the training cohort was 57.0 years (IQR, 50.0–63.0), with male predominance (n=1,563, 77.6%). Of those patients, 181 (9.0%) patients underwent liver resection, 1,358 (67.5%) underwent minimally invasive therapy, whereas 473 (23.5%) received palliative therapy. Of the 1,639 patients in the training cohort, 1,563 patients (77.6%) were male and 1,306 patients (79.6%) were diagnosed with cirrhosis. During the 3-year follow-up period, 666 patients (40.6%) and 138 patients (37.0%) died in the training and validation cohorts, respectively. Overall, the patients in the two cohorts were similar when their baseline characteristics were considered.

Furthermore, we compared the survival and death characteristics of patients in the training cohort (Table 2). Patients who died were older, had a higher proportion of diabetes, tumor size ≥ 5 cm, AFP ≥ 400 ng/mL, higher total bilirubin (TBIL), γ -glutamyl transferase

(GGT), creatinine (Cr), and international prothrombin ratio (INR), and lower albumin levels (all p < 0.001) than those who survived. Regarding inflammation and lipid-related markers, dead patients had higher levels of neutrophils, monocytes, NHR, MHR, and LHR, and lower levels of lymphocytes, total cholesterol (TC), and HDL-C compared with the patients who survived.

Associations of biomarkers with prognosis in patients

Univariate analysis showed that low HDL-C, high NHR, MHR, and LHR levels significantly increased the risk of 3-year mortality as both continuous and categorical variables (all p < 0.001; Table 3). In addition, univariate analysis indicated that age, sex, diabetes, alcohol consumption, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, platelet count, alpha-fetoprotein, total cholesterol, Child-Pugh class, MELD score, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) stage, tumor size, and type of treatment were significantly associated with the 3-year mortality (all p < 0.05). These significant factors were included in the multivariate Cox regression analysis. After adjustment for confounding variables, these significant associations were found with low HDL-C (aHR, 0.31; 95% CI: 0.23–0.41, p < 0.001) and high NHR levels (aHR, 1.02; 95% CI: 1.01–1.03, p < 0.001) as continuous variables. However, the association of 3-year mortality with LHR and MHR was attenuated.

TABLE 1 Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics of patients with HCC in the training and validation cohorts.

	Total (<i>n</i> =2012)	Training cohort (n =1,639)	Validation cohort (n =373)	<i>p</i> -value
Patients background				
Age (year)	57.0 (50.0, 63.0)	56.0 (50.0, 63.0)	57.0 (50.0, 62.0)	0.402
Sex (male)	1,563 (77.6)	1,289 (78.6)	274 (73.4)	0.292
Family history of HCC	198 (9.8)	165 (10.1)	33 (8.8)	0.511
Cirrhosis	1,661 (82.6)	1,306 (79.6)	301 (80.6)	0.659
Smoking	895 (44.5)	747 (45.6)	148 (39.7)	0.054
Alcohol consumption	876 (43.5)	735 (44.8)	141 (37.8)	0.052
Hypertension	532 (26.4)	435 (26.5)	97 (26.0)	0.895
Diabetes	455 (22.6)	374 (22.8)	81 (21.7)	0.719
Laboratory parameters				
HBeAg (positive)	620 (30.8)	504 (30.7)	116 (31.1)	0.369
MELD score	8.8 (7.0, 11.9)	8.8 (7.0, 11.9)	8.7 (7.0, 10.9)	0.135
ALT (U/L)	32.4 (21.6, 53.8)	32.0 (21.2, 52.4)	34.7 (22.7, 58.6)	0.091
AST (U/L)	39.1 (26.6, 71.1)	39.1 (26.5, 70.4)	38.9 (27.7, 75.7)	0.098
TBIL (µmol/L)	19.5 (12.8, 33.1)	19.8 (12.8, 34.3)	18.7 (13.0, 32.4)	0.697
ALB (g/L)	36.1±6.8	35.6±6.7	36.8 ± 6.9	0.136
γ-GGT (U/L)	58.4 (27.0, 129.6)	58.3 (27.6, 129.1)	61.3 (28.4, 132.4)	0.486
PLT (×10 ⁹ /L)	97.9 (63.3, 148.0)	96.2 (62.4, 147.3)	104.7 (64.5, 151.3)	0.169
INR	1.1 (1.0, 1.3)	1.1 (1.0, 1.3)	1.1 (1.0, 1.2)	0.190
Cr (µmol/L)	67.0 (58.0, 78.2)	67.0 (58.0, 78.0)	66.6 (57.5, 78.6)	0.647
AFP (ng/mL) (≥400)	498 (24.7)	396 (24.1)	102 (27.3)	0.198
Neutrophils (×10 ⁹ /L)	2.7 (1.8, 4.0)	2.6 (1.8, 4.0)	2.8 (1.8, 4.1)	0.741
Lymphocytes (×10 ⁹ /L)	1.1 (0.8, 1.6)	1.1 (0.8, 1.6)	1.1 (0.7, 1.6)	0.645
Monocytes (×10 ⁹ /L)	0.4 (0.3, 0.5)	0.4 (0.3, 0.5)	0.4 (0.3, 0.5)	0.292
TC (mmol/L)	3.7 (3.1, 4.3)	3.6 (3.0, 4.3)	3.7 (3.2, 4.4)	0.798
TG (mmol/L)	0.8 (0.6, 1.1)	0.8 (0.6, 1.1)	0.9 (0.6, 1.1)	0.536
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.0 (0.7, 1.2)	1.0 (0.6, 1.2)	1.0 (0.8, 1.3)	0.526
LDL-C (mmol/L)	2.0 (1.7, 2.6)	2.0 (1.6, 2.6)	1.9 (1.6, 2.7)	0.199
NHR	2.7 (1.6, 4.8)	2.8 (1.6, 5.0)	2.5 (1.6, 4.4)	0.276
MHR	0.4 (0.2, 0.6)	0.4 (0.3, 0.6)	0.3 (0.2, 0.5)	0.301
LHR	1.2 (0.8, 1.8)	1.2 (0.8, 1.6)	1.1 (0.7, 1.8)	0.321
Child Pugh class				0.426
А	1,077 (53.5)	882 (53.8)	195 (52.3)	
В	558 (27.7)	456 (27.8)	102 (27.3)	
С	377 (18.8)	301 (18.4)	76 (20.4)	
Tumor-related indicators				
Tumor multiplicity (multiple)	937 (46.5)	753 (45.9)	184 (49.3)	0.237
Tumor size, cm (≥5)	670 (33.3)	555 (33.9)	115 (30.8)	0.291
BCLC stage				0.517
0-A	687 (34.1)	564 (34.4)	123 (33.0)	
В	669 (33.3)	539 (32.9)	130 (34.8)	
С	364 (18.1)	292 (17.8)	72 (19.3)	
D	292 (14.5)	244 (14.9)	48 (12.9)	

(Continued)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

	Total (<i>n</i> =2012)	Training cohort (n =1,639)	Validation cohort (n =373)	<i>p</i> -value
Types of treatment				0.108
Resection	181 (9.0)	151 (9.2)	30 (8.0)	
Minimally invasive	1,358 (67.5)	1,125 (68.7)	233 (62.5)	
Palliative	473 (23.5)	363 (22.1)	110 (29.5)	

TABLE 2 Baseline characteristics of survival and death patients in the training cohort.

	Survived (<i>n</i> =973)	Death (<i>n</i> =666)	p-value
Patients background			
Age (year)	56.0 (50.0, 62.0)	57.0 (50.0, 64.0)	0.054
Sex (male)	754 (77.5)	535 (80.3)	0.169
Family history of HCC	106 (10.9)	55 (8.8)	0.236
Cirrhosis	775 (79.6)	531 (79.7)	0.182
Smoking	432 (44.4)	315 (47.3)	0.251
Alcohol consumption	415 (42.6)	320 (48.0)	0.027
Hypertension	244 (25.1)	191 (28.7)	0.104
Diabetes	195 (20.0)	179 (26.9)	0.001
Laboratory parameters			
HBeAg (positive)	308 (31.6)	196 (29.4)	0.241
MELD score	7.7 (6.7, 9.7)	11.3 (8.6, 15.7)	<0.001
ALT (U/L)	28.9 (19.9, 44.3)	38.6 (23.8, 64.0)	0.002
AST (U/L)	30.7 (23.1, 48.1)	62.2 (38.4, 121.1)	<0.001
TBIL (µmol/L)	16.2 (11.3, 24.4)	30.4 (17.3, 56.8)	<0.001
ALB (g/L)	37.7±6.3	32.6±6.3	<0.001
γ-GGT (U/L)	40.8 (23.4, 81.2)	121.0 (51.5, 231.9)	<0.001
PLT (×10 ⁹ /L)	92.2 (60.3, 142.0)	101.4 (64.0, 157.8)	<0.001
INR	1.1 (1.0, 1.2)	1.2 (1.1, 1.4)	<0.001
Cr (µmol/L)	67.0 (58.0, 76.7)	68.0 (58.0, 81.8)	<0.001
AFP (ng/mL) (≥400)	124 (12.7)	272 (40.8)	<0.001
Neutrophils (×10 ⁹ /L)	2.3 (1.6, 3.2)	3.4 (2.2,5.2)	<0.001
Lymphocytes (×10 ⁹ /L)	1.2 (0.8, 1.7)	0.9 (0.7, 1.3)	<0.001
Monocytes (×10 ⁹ /L)	0.4 (0.3, 0.5)	0.5 (0.3, 0.7)	0.011
TC (mmol/L)	3.7 (3.2, 4.3)	3.4 (2.8, 4.2)	0.029
TG (mmol/L)	0.8 (0.6, 1.1)	0.8 (0.6, 1.1)	0.376
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.0 (0.9, 1.3)	0.8 (0.5, 1.1)	0.004
LDL-C (mmol/L)	2.0 (1.6, 2.6)	2.0 (1.5, 2.7)	0.140
NHR	2.2 (1.4, 3.5)	4.3 (2.3, 9.5)	<0.001
MHR	0.3 (0.2, 0.5)	0.5 (0.3,1.0)	0.011
LHR	1.2 (0.7, 1.7)	1.3 (0.8, 2.2)	<0.001
Child Pugh class			<0.001
А	712 (73.2)	170 (25.5)	
В	203 (20.9)	253 (38.0)	
С	58 (5.9)	243 (36.5)	

(Continued)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

	Survived (<i>n</i> =973)	Death (<i>n</i> =666)	<i>p</i> -value
Tumor-related indicators			
Tumor multiplicity (multiple)	303 (31.1)	450 (67.5)	<0.001
Tumor size, cm (\geq 5)	212 (21.8)	323 (48.4)	<0.001
BCLC stage			<0.001
0-A	498 (51.2)	66 (9.9)	
В	404 (41.5)	135 (20.3)	
С	34 (3.5)	258 (38.7)	
D	37 (3.8)	207 (31.1)	
Types of treatment			<0.001
Resection	138 (14.2)	13 (1.9)	
Minimally invasive	817 (84.0)	308 (46.2)	
Palliative	18 (1.8)	345 (51.8)	

HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; MELD, Model for End-Stage Liver Disease; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; TBIL, total bilirubin; ALB, albumin; GGT, γ-glutamyl transferase; PLT, platelet count; Cr, creatinine; INR, international normalized ratio; AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; NHR, neutrophil to HDL-C ratio; MHR, monocyte to HDL-C ratio; LHR, lymphocyte to HDL-C ratio; BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer.

TABLE 3 Univariate and multivariate Cox hazards analysis for 3-year mortality among patients with HCC.

Variable	Univariate HR	p-value	Adjusted HR*	p-value
	(95%CI)		(95%CI)	
HDL-C	0.13 (0.10-0.17)	<0.001	0.31 (0.23-0.41)	<0.001
Q1	Reference		Reference	
Q2	0.21 (0.18-0.25)	<0.001	0.46 (0.37–0.57)	<0.001
Q3	0.21 (0.16-0.26)	<0.001	0.38 (0.28-0.51)	<0.001
NHR	1.04 (1.03–1.05)	<0.001	1.02 (1.01–1.03)	<0.001
Q1	Reference		Reference	
Q2	2.33 (2.05-2.65)	0.014	1.75 (1.25–2.31)	0.001
Q3	5.08 (4.07-6.35)	<0.001	3.08 (2.25-4.22)	<0.001
LHR	1.08 (1.06–1.10)	<0.001	1.00 (0.96–1.04)	0.966
Q1	Reference		Reference	
Q2	1.11 (0.89–1.40)	0.337	1.24 (0.98–1.56)	0.067
Q3	2.13 (1.68-2.70)	<0.001	1.45 (1.13–1.86)	0.003
MHR	1.01 (1.00-1.02)	<0.001	1.00 (0.99–1.01)	0.277
Q1	Reference		Reference	
Q2	0.90 (0.74–1.09)	0.288	0.92 (0.75-1.18)	0.551
Q3	1.58 (1.28–1.93)	<0.001	1.43 (1.19–1.98)	0.001

*Adjusted for age, sex, diabetes, alcohol consumption, ALT, AST, PLT, AFP, TC, Child-Pugh class, MELD score, BCLC stage, tumor size, and type of treatment. HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; PLT, platelet count; AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; TC, total cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; NHR, neutrophil to HDL-C ratio; MHR, monocyte to HDL-C ratio; LHR, lymphocyte to HDL-C ratio; MELD, Model for End-Stage Liver Disease; HR, Hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Prognosis value of lipid-related biomarkers in patients

Figures 2A–C show the 1-, 2-, and 3-year prognostic values of HDL-C, NHR, MHR, and LHR. Moreover, the performance of these markers was compared with that of the MELD score, a

well-established prognosis score. In the training cohort, the AUCs of the NHR for mortality were 0.777 (95% CI 0.752–0.802), 0.760 (95% CI 0.722–0.784), and 0.740 (95% CI 0.715–0.767) at 1, 2, and 3 years, respectively. The NHR showed a similar predictive ability, compared to the MELD score (AUCs 1, 2, and 3 years: 0.786, 0.770, and 0.761, respectively). In addition, we compared the NHR with



other lipid-related indicators, including HDL-C, MHR, and LHR. The results indicated that the AUC of the NHR was significantly higher than those of the HDL-C, MHR, and LHR at 1, 2, and 3 years (all p < 0.05).

As shown in Figures 2D,E, the risk was relatively low in the low NHR range and then increased in patients with HCC. These results indicate that the NHR was associated with the 1-and 3-year mortality risk and the test for nonlinearity was statistically significant (p < 0.001).

Optimal cut-off points for the NHR and MELD score

The optimal cut-off points for the NHR and MELD scores were determined using the X-tile software. When NHR \geq 3.5 and MELD \geq 9, the difference was the most statistically significant. The sensitivity and specificity of the NHR \geq 3.5 were 70.1 and 74.7%, respectively, and those of the MELD \geq 9 were 76.3 and 67.4%, respectively. Scatterplots were used to visualize the relationship between NHR, MELD score, and mortality in patients with HCC (Figure 2F). The scatterplots revealed that patients with NHR \geq 3.5 and MELD \geq 9 had poor outcomes in patients with HCC.

Risk stratification for patients with HCC

The Kaplan–Meier survival curves based on the NHR and MELD optimal cut-off values are shown in Figure 3. We observed a statistical difference between cut-off values of these two biomarkers and survival probability. The 3-year survival probability was 73.7% in patients with NHR < 3.5, whereas those with NHR \geq 3.5 were 37.0% (p < 0.0001; Figure 3A). Furthermore, patients with MELD score < 9 had a significantly higher survival probability than those with MELD score \geq 9 (77.3 vs. 39.0%, p < 0.0001; Figure 3B). Next, all patients were divided into three groups: low- (NHR < 3.5 and MELD <9, n = 628), medium-(NHR \geq 3.5 or MELD \geq 9, n = 615), and high-risk groups (NHR \geq 3.5 and MELD \geq 9, n = 396). The 3-year survival probabilities were 81.8, 62.1, and 19.4% in the low-, medium-, and high-risk groups, respectively (p < 0.0001; Figure 3C).

To examine the risk stratification for different ages, we divided patients into the following three subgroups: <40, 40–60, and >60 years. The Kaplan–Meier curve analysis showed that the 3-year survival probability in patients with a NHR <3.5 and a MELD score <9 was significantly higher than in patients with a NHR \ge 3.5 and a MELD score \ge 9, regardless of patient's ages (all *p* <0.0001; Figures 3D–F).



Survival curves of patients with HCC in the training cohort. (A) Survival probability in patients with NHR<3.5 and \geq 3.5 (*n*=1,639, 73.7 vs. 37.0%, *p*<0.0001). (B) Survival probability in patients with MELD <9 and \geq 9 (*n*=1,639, 77.3 vs. 39.0%, *p*<0.0001). (C) Survival probability in the low-, medium-, and high-risk group (*n*=1,639, 81.8 vs. 62.1 vs. 19.4%, *p*<0.0001). (D) Survival probability of patients aged <40 years in the low-, medium-, and high-risk group (*n*=82, 85.2 vs. 69.2 vs. 62.%, *p*<0.0001). (E) Survival probability of patients aged 40–60 years in the low-, medium-, and high-risk group (*n*=82, 85.2 vs. 69.2 vs. 62.%, *p*<0.0001). (E) Survival probability of patients aged 40–60 years in the low-, medium-, and high-risk group (*n*=527, 78.0 vs. 59.0 vs. 16.2%, *p*<0.0001). (F) Survival probability of patients aged >60 years in the low-, medium-, and high-risk group (*n*=1,030, 83.7 vs. 62.7 vs. 22.3%, *p*<0.0001). HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; MELD, Model for end-stage liver disease; NHR, neutrophil to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio.

Prognostic value of NHR in the diabetic subgroup

For the diabetic subgroup, the NHR had the highest AUC at 3-year (0.735; 95% CI: 0.681–0.779). The AUCs of the HDL-C, MHR, LHR, and MELD scores were 0.631 (95% CI: 0.573–0.688), 0.617 (95% CI: 0.558–0.675), 0.538 (95% CI: 0.474–0.592), and 0.753 (95% CI: 0.704–0.805), respectively. The NHR showed better performance than HDL-C, MHR, and LHR (all p < 0.05, Figure 4A). The 3-year survival probabilities of patients in the low-, medium-, and high-risk groups were 74.2, 54.8, and 18.4%, respectively (p < 0.0001; Figure 4B).

Validation of prognostic values of the NHR and the MELD score

In the validation cohort, baseline NHR offered good prognostic value for mortality with the AUC at 1, 2, and 3 years: 0.751 (95% CI: 0.696–0.807), 0.739 (95% CI: 0.695–0.785), and 0.734 (95% CI: 0.684–0.777), respectively. In addition, the NHR showed a performance similar to that of the MELD score (0.756; 95% CI 0.706–0.807) and was noted to have the highest AUC (0.734), which was followed by AUCs of the HDL-C (0.606; 95% CI 0.517–0.640), MHR (0.605; 95%

CI 0.542–0.667), and LHR (0.500; 95% CI 0.438–0.561) at 3 years (all *p* < 0.05; Figures 5A–C).

Furthermore, patients with a NHR < 3.5 had a significantly higher survival probability than those with a NHR \geq 3.5 (72.5 vs. 44.4%, p < 0.0001; Figure 5D). Patients with a MELD score \geq 9 were associated with an increased risk of death compared with those with a MELD score < 9 (p < 0.0001; Figure 5E). The 3-year survival probabilities in patients in the low- (NHR < 3.5 and MELD <9, n = 143), medium-(NHR \geq 3.5 or MELD \geq 9, n = 161), and high-risk groups (NHR \geq 3.5 and MELD \geq 9, n = 69) were 84.6, 59.0, and 27.5%, respectively (p < 0.001; Figure 5F).

Discussion

HCC is the most common fatal malignant tumor with rapid progression and poor prognosis (27). Therefore, identifying high-risk patients and developing individualized therapies is essential. There exists an emerging interest in the relationship between lipid-related inflammatory parameters and the prognosis of liver disease. NHR, MHR, and LHR are novel parameters that can be readily acquired from routine blood examinations. However, there is little evidence regarding their prognostic value for mortality in patients with HCC.



FIGURE 4

Predictive ability of different indicators for 3-year mortality and risk stratification in patients with diabetes. (A) ROC curves of NHR, HDL-C, MHR, LHR, and MELD score predicting 3-year mortality in diabetic patients. (B) Survival probability of diabetic patients in the low-, medium-, and high-risk group (n=374, 74.2 vs. 54.9 vs. 18.4%, p<0.0001). NHR, neutrophil to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MHR, monocyte to HDL-C ratio; LHR, lymphocyte to HDL-C ratio; MELD, Model for End-stage Liver Disease.



FIGURE 5

Performance of different indicators and risk stratification in the validation cohort. ROC curves of NHR, HDL-C, MHR, LHR, and MELD score predicting 1 (A), 2 (B), and 3years mortality (C). (D) Survival probability in patients with NHR<3.5 and \geq 3.5 (*n*=373, 72.5 vs. 44.4%, *p*<0.0001). (E) Survival probability in patients with MELD <9 and \geq 9 (*n*=373, 79.2 vs. 43.8%, *p*<0.0001). (F) Survival probability in the low-, medium-, and high-risk group (*n*=373, 84.6 vs. 59.0 vs. 27.5%, *p*<0.0001).

To our knowledge, this study with a large sample size is the first to clarify the relationship between lipid-related inflammatory biomarkers and 3-year mortality in patients with HCC. Multivariate analyses revealed that the NHR was a significant independent factor for 3-year mortality in patients with HCC, regardless of continuous or categorical variables (all p < 0.05). Additionally, compared with the HDL-C, MHR, LHR, and MELD scores, the NHR exhibited a better or comparable performance in predicting prognosis. The results indicate that the NHR can effectively predict mortality in patients with HCC. Moreover, we observed that NHR had a nonlinear association with 1-and 3-year mortality (p for nonlinearity <0.001). The morbidity and mortality rates of HCC are higher in patients with diabetes than in the general population (28). In the current study, we found that the 3-year mortality rate was significantly higher in diabetic patients than in nondiabetic patients (p < 0.001, Table 2). The NHR and MELD score had excellent discrimination in assessing the 3-year prognosis in patients with diabetes.

The pathogenesis of HCC is closely related to immune status and inflammatory response (29). When immune cells, including lymphocytes and neutrophils, are activated, proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory mediators are released (30). Neutrophils are the first line of the inflammatory response and produce cytokines that affect lymphocytes and monocytes (31), which may explain why the predictive ability of NHR is better than that of single markers (HDL-C) and other ratios (MHR and LHR) in the current study. The NHR is an effective biomarker of systemic inflammation and oxidative stress, and its prognostic power has been investigated (14, 32). Furthermore, as critical oxidative mediators, monocytes reveal the response capacity of the innate immune system (33). A decrease in circulating lipoprotein levels reflects the severity of the dysfunction of liver synthesis. Reduced HDL levels and function may play important roles in the pathophysiology of systemic inflammation (34). Previous studies indicated that HDL-C and apolipoprotein A-I are negatively associated with inflammatory markers (34, 35). HDL-C inhibits the activation and transformation of monocytes, thereby inhibiting the inflammatory response (36). In this study, most patients presented with cirrhosis at the time of diagnosis. Trieb et al. (37) reported that patients with cirrhosis showed reduced levels of HDL-C, which impaired the ability of HDL-C to inhibit monocyte production of proinflammatory factors. In addition, the antiinflammatory and antioxidant activities of HDLs are impaired in patients with diabetes (38). Proinflammatory cytokines directly inhibit the activity of apolipoprotein synthesis enzymes, leading to reduced production of HDL-C (8, 39). Abnormal activation of neutrophils results in changes in the composition and function of HDL-C and increases neutrophil production (40), thereby, increasing the risk of mortality.

The MELD score is composed of three available biochemical indicators: TBIL, Cr, and INR. The MELD score is an accurate mortality risk assessment tool in chronic liver disease (41, 42). This score has predicted mortality in patients with HCC (43, 44). In the current population-based cohort study, we found that NHR and MELD scores had similar prognostic values. According to the scatter plot distribution and Kaplan–Meier curves, patients with higher NHR and MELD scores had poorer prognoses. In training cohort, patients in the low-risk group (NHR <3.5 and MELD <9) had a 3-year survival rate of 81.8%, and patients in the high-risk group

 $(NHR \ge 3.5 \text{ and MELD} \ge 9)$ had a 3-year survival rate of 19.4%. Since HCC mortality rates remain considerable despite advanced treatments, the NHR and MELD scores are critical for clinicians to identify high-risk patients and facilitate appropriate and timely patient management.

Our study has some limitations. First, this study was a singleinstitution one with retrospective data collection. However, the result was validated using an internal cohort and NHR showed good discrimination. Second, in the training and validation cohorts, 151 (9.2%) and 30 (8.0%) patients with HCC underwent liver resection, respectively. Most patients receive local treatment, such as TACE or palliative treatment. In the future, a prospective multicenter large-sample study is required to confirm its prognostic value in patients with HCC underwent liver resection. Third, since hepatitis B virus infection is a common cause of HCC in China, whether NHR may be valuable in patients with other etiologies remains unclear.

In conclusion, a high NHR is a powerful independent risk factor for mortality and can be used to evaluate the prognosis of HCC. The association of patients having MELD \geq 9 and NHR \geq 3.5 with poor prognosis can aid clinicians in identifying high-risk patients.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by The Ethics Committee of Beijing Ditan Hospital. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

XW and KS conceived and designed the project. KS, JH, QZ, and YB collected the data. KS and JH analyzed and interpreted the data. KS drafted the manuscript. QZ, YB, and XZ was responsible for manuscript modification. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the Beijing Municipal Science Technology Commission (no. Z191100006619033).

Acknowledgments

We gratefully recognize the patients who participated in this study. We thank Yan Sang for her help with the data.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

 Kulik L, El-Serag HB. Epidemiology and management of hepatocellular carcinoma. Gastroenterology. (2019) 156:477–491.e1. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2018.08.065

2. Forner A, Reig M, Bruix J. Hepatocellular carcinoma. *Lancet*. (2018) 391:1301–14. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30010-2

3. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* (2018) 68:394–424. doi: 10.3322/caac.21492

4. De Angelis N, Landi F, Carra MC, Azoulay D. Managements of recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma after liver transplantation: a systematic review. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2015) 21:11185–98. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i39.11185

5. Shawcross DL, Davies NA, Williams R, Jalan R. Systemic inflammatory response exacerbates the neuropsychological effects of induced hyperammonemia in cirrhosis. *J Hepatol.* (2004) 40:247–54. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2003.10.016

6. Liu J, Li H, Xia J, Wang X, Huang Y, Li B, et al. Baseline neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio is independently associated with 90-day transplant-free mortality in patients with cirrhosis. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. (2021) 8:726950. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.726950

7. Shi K, Li P, Xue D, Liu Y, Zhang Q, Ping R, et al. Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio and the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with hepatitis B-caused cirrhosis. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2021) 33:e686–92. doi: 10.1097/MEG.00000000002217

8. Tanaka S, Couret D, Tran-Dinh A, Duranteau J, Montravers P, Schwendeman A, et al. High-density lipoproteins during sepsis: from bench to bedside. *Crit Care*. (2020) 24:134. doi: 10.1186/s13054-020-02860-3

 Santos-Gallego CG, Badimon JJ, Rosenson RS. Beginning to understand highdensity lipoproteins. *Endocrinol Metab Clin N Am.* (2014) 43:913–47. doi: 10.1016/j. ecl.2014.08.001

10. Habib A, Mihas AA, Abou-Assi SG, Williams LM, Gavis E, Pandak WM, et al. High-density lipoprotein cholesterol as an indicator of liver function and prognosis in noncholestatic cirrhotics. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2005) 3:286–91. doi: 10.1016/s1542-3565(04)00622-6

11. Etogo-Asse FE, Vincent RP, Hughes SA, Auzinger G, Roux CWL, Wendon J, et al. High density lipoprotein in patients with liver failure; relation to sepsis, adrenal function and outcome of illness. *Liver Int.* (2012) 32:128–36. doi: 10.1111/j.1478-3231.2011.02657.x

12. Mathur K, Vilar-Gomez E, Connelly MA, He H, Sanyal AJ, Chalasani N, et al. Circulating high density lipoprotein distinguishes alcoholic hepatitis from heavy drinkers and predicts 90-day outcome: lipoproteins in alcoholic hepatitis. *J Clin Lipidol.* (2021) 15:805–13. doi: 10.1016/j.jacl.2021.10.002

13. Chen G, Yang N, Ren J, He Y, Huang H, Hu X, et al. Neutrophil counts to highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol ratio: a potential predictor of prognosis in acute ischemic stroke patients after intravenous thrombolysis. *Neurotox Res.* (2020) 38:1001–9. doi: 10.1007/s12640-020-00274-1

14. Jiang M, Sun J, Zou H, Li M, Su Z, Sun W, et al. Prognostic role of neutrophil to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio for all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in the general population. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2022) 9:807339. doi: 10.3389/ fcvm.2022.807339

15. Li C, Fan H, Liu Y, Zeng L, Chen P, Duan C, et al. The monocyte to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio and outcomes in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome. *Ann Transl Med.* (2021) 9:1627. doi: 10.21037/atm-21-4876

16. You S, Zhong C, Zheng D, Xu J, Zhang X, Liu H, et al. Monocyte to HDL cholesterol ratio is associated with discharge and 3-month outcome in patients with acute intracerebral hemorrhage. *J Neurol Sci.* (2017) 372:157–61. doi: 10.1016/j. jns.2016.11.022

17. Yu S, Guo X, Li G, Yang H, Zheng L, Sun Y. Lymphocyte to high-density lipoprotein ratio but not platelet to lymphocyte ratio effectively predicts metabolic syndrome among subjects from rural China. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2021) 8:583320. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.583320

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articless/10.3389/fnut.2023.1127913/ full#supplementary-material

18. Luo X, Sui J, Yang W, Sun Q, Ma Y, Simon TG, et al. Type 2 diabetes prevention diet and hepatocellular carcinoma risk in US men and women. *Am J Gastroenterol.* (2019) 114:1870–7. doi: 10.14309/ajg.000000000000450

19. Parhofer KG. Interaction between glucose and lipid metabolism: more than diabetic dyslipidemia. *Diabetes Metab J.* (2015) 39:353–62. doi: 10.4093/dmj.2015.39.5.353

20. Busnelli M, Manzini S, Chiara M, Colombo A, Fontana F, Oleari R, et al. Aortic gene expression profiles show how ApoA-I levels modulate inflammation, lysosomal activity, and sphingolipid metabolism in murine atherosclerosis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* (2021) 41:651–67. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.120.315669

21. Sarin SK, Kumar M, Lau GK, Abbas Z, Chan HL, Chen CJ, et al. Asian-Pacific clinical practice guidelines on the management of hepatitis B: a 2015 update. *Hepatol Int.* (2016) 10:1–98. doi: 10.1007/s12072-015-9675-4

22. Shiha G, Sarin SK, Ibrahim AE, Omata M, Kumar A, Lesmana LA, et al. Liver fibrosis: consensus recommendations of the Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the liver (APASL). *Hepatol Int.* (2009) 3:323–33. doi: 10.1007/s12072-008-9114-x

23. Bruix J, Sherman M. Management of hepatocellular carcinoma: an update. *Hepatology*. (2011) 53:1020–2. doi: 10.1002/hep.24199

24. Wiesner R, Edwards E, Freeman R, Harper A, Kim R, Kamath P, et al. Model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) and allocation of donor livers. *Gastroenterology*. (2003) 124:91–6. doi: 10.1053/gast.2003.50016

25. DeLong ER, DeLong DM, Clarke-Pearson DL. Comparing the areas under two or more correlated receiver operating characteristic curves: a nonparametric approach. *Biometrics*. (1998) 44:837–45. doi: 10.2307/2531595

26. Lee DH, Keum N, Hu FB, Orav EJ, Rimm EB, Willett WC, et al. Predicted lean body mass, fat mass, and all cause and cause specific mortality in men: prospective US cohort study. *BMJ*. (2018) 362:k2575. doi: 10.1136/bmj.k2575

27. Ma L, Chua MS, Andrisani O, So S. Epigenetics in hepatocellular carcinoma: an update and future therapy perspectives. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2014) 20:333–45. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i2.333

28. Fujita K, Iwama H, Miyoshi H, Tani J, Oura K, Tadokoro T, et al. Diabetes mellitus and metformin in hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol*. (2016) 22:6100–13. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i27.6100

29. Yang YM, Kim SY, Seki E. Inflammation and liver cancer: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic targets. Semin Liver Dis. (2019) 39:026-42. doi: 10.1055/s-0038-1676806

30. Li JY, Yao RQ, Liu SQ, Zhang YF, Yao YM, Tian YP. Efficiency of monocyte/highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol ratio combined with neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio in predicting 28-day mortality in patients with sepsis. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. (2021) 8:741015. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.741015

31. Rosales C. Neutrophils at the crossroads of innate and adaptive immunity. *J Leukoc Biol.* (2020) 108:377–96. doi: 10.1002/JLB.4MIR0220-574RR

32. Liu SL, Feng BY, Song QR, Zhang YM, Wu SL, Cai J. Neutrophil to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio predicts adverse cardiovascular outcomes in subjects with pre-diabetes: a large cohort study from China. *Lipids Health Dis.* (2022) 21:86. doi: 10.1186/s12944-022-01695-x

33. Bar-Or D, Bar-Or R, Rael LT, Brody EN. Oxidative stress in severeacute illness. *Redox Biol.* (2015) 4:340–5. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2015.01.006

34. Trieb M, Rainer F, Stadlbauer V, Douschan P, Horvath A, Binder L, et al. HDLrelated biomarkers are robust predictors of survival in patients with chronic liver failure. *J Hepatol.* (2020) 73:113–20. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2020.01.026

35. Pirillo A, Catapano AL, Norata GD. HDL in infectious diseases and sepsis. Handb Exp Pharmacol. (2015) 224:483–508. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-09665-0_15

36. Uca FM. A potential marker of bare metal stent restenosis: monocyte count-to-HDL cholesterol ratio. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord.* (2016) 16:186. doi: 10.1186/s12872-016-0367-3

37. Trieb M, Horvath A, Birner-Gruenberger R, Spindelboeck W, Stadlbauer V, Taschler U, et al. Liver disease alters high-density lipoprotein composition, metabolism and function. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. (2016) 1861:630–8. doi: 10.1016/j. bbalip.2016.04.013

38. Morgantini C, Natali A, Boldrini B, Imaizumi S, Navab M, Fogelman AM, et al. Anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties of HDLs are impaired in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes.* (2011) 60:2617–23. doi: 10.2337/db11-0378

39. Golucci A, Marson FAL, Ribeiro AF, Nogueira RJN. Lipid profile associated with the systemic inflammatory response syndrome and sepsis in critically ill patients. *Nutrition.* (2018) 55-56:7–14. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2018.04.007

40. Bergt C, Marsche G, Panzenboeck U, Heinecke JW, Malle E, Sattler W. Human neutrophils employ the myeloperoxidase/hydrogen peroxide/chloride system to oxidatively damage apolipoprotein A-I. *Eur J Biochem.* (2001) 268:3523–31. doi: 10.1046/j.1432-1327.2001.02253.x

41. Said A, Williams J, Holden J, Remington P, Gangnon R, Musat A, et al. Model for end stage liver disease score predicts mortality across a broad spectrum of liver disease. *J Hepatol.* (2004) 40:897–903. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2004.02.010

42. Bernardi M, Gitto S, Biselli M. The MELD score in patients awaiting liver transplant: strengths and weaknesses. *J Hepatol.* (2011) 54:1297–306. doi: 10.1016/j. jhep.2010.11.008

43. Cucchetti A, Ercolani G, Vivarelli M, Cescon M, Ravaioli M, Barba GL, et al. Impact of model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score on prognosis after hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma on cirrhosis. *Liver Transpl.* (2006) 12:966–71. doi: 10.1002/lt.20761

44. Delis SG, Bakoyiannis A, Biliatis I, Athanassiou K, Tassopoulos N, Dervenis C. Model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score, as a prognostic factor for post-operative morbidity and mortality in cirrhotic patients, undergoing hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma. *HPB (Oxford)*. (2009) 11:351–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1477-2574.2009.00067.x