Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Heba H. Salama, National Research Centre, Egypt

REVIEWED BY Anna Rafaela Cavalcante Braga, Federal University of São Paulo, Brazil Bo Wang, Australian Catholic University, Australia \*CORRESPONDENCE

Sungkwon Park Sungkwonpark@sejong.ac.kr

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 29 November 2022 ACCEPTED 12 April 2023 PUBLISHED 09 May 2023

#### CITATION

Bakhsh A, Park J, Baritugo KA, Kim B, Sil Moon S, Rahman A and Park S (2023) A holistic approach toward development of plant-based meat alternatives through incorporation of novel microalgae-based ingredients. *Front. Nutr.* 10:1110613. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2023.1110613

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Bakhsh, Park, Baritugo, Kim, Sil Moon, Rahman and Park. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# A holistic approach toward development of plant-based meat alternatives through incorporation of novel microalgae-based ingredients

Allah Bakhsh<sup>1†</sup>, Juhee Park<sup>1†</sup>, Kei Anne Baritugo<sup>1</sup>, Bosung Kim<sup>1</sup>, Sung Sil Moon<sup>2</sup>, Attaur Rahman<sup>3</sup> and Sungkwon Park<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Food Science and Biotechnology, College of Life Science, Sejong University, Seoul, Republic of Korea, <sup>2</sup>Healthy Food Technology, Sunjin Co., Ltd., Icheon, Republic of Korea, <sup>3</sup>Department of Medicine and Therapeutics, Faculty of Medicine, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

This study explored the changes in the physiochemical, textural, sensory, and functional characteristics of plant-based meat (PBM) after incorporating novel plant-based ingredients including spirulina (SPI), duck Weed (DW), and yellow Chlorella (YC). In the chromaticity evaluation, the YC group (YCI YC2, and YC3%) displayed significant differences (p < 0.05) in lightness (L\*) indices as compared to the control. Whereas, based on concertation gradient of SPI microalgae (SP0.5, SP0.7, and SP1%) incorporated into PBM patties demonstrated that SPI 1 had the lowest values (p < 0.05) in redness (a<sup>\*</sup>) and yellowness (b<sup>\*</sup>) followed by SPI 0.7 and SPI 0.5% concentration, respectively. The concentration gradient of the YC group indicated that YC3 was intended to be the highest crude fat value followed by YC2 and YCI. The ash content in PBM patties increased considerably (p < 0.05) as the concentration level of microalgae advanced in all treated groups. Based on the concentration level of YC incorporated microalgae into PBM patties indicated that YC 3 had the highest (p < 0.05) gumminess and chewiness while YC 1 had the lowest reported values in terms of gumminess and chewiness. Moreover, springiness and cohesiveness showed considerable differences between SPI and YC groups. In the sensory evaluation, SPI 1 showed the lowest value only in color and appearance (p < 0.05), conversely, the other sensory parameters were non-significant among all treatment groups (p > 0.05). The micronutrient in PBM presented an irregular pattern after incorporating various ingredients. However, levels were higher (p < 0.05) in the DW group (DW 0.5 DW 0.7, and DW% 1) than those in the other groups. Moreover, the SPI and YC groups showed detectable levels of diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging activity with, SP 1 showing the highest level of antioxidant activity. Acknowledging the limited research on PBM production, extraction technologies, and selecting various novel suitable ingredients in meat substitutes. Hence, to fill this knowledge gap an attempt has been made to incorporate various concentrations of microalgae including SPI, YC, and DW to

enhance the quality and functionality of meat alternatives. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report that describes the physiochemical, textural, sensory, and nutritional attributes of PBM incorporated with novel microalgae. Collectively these results indicate that the incorporation of SPI, DW, and YC may improve the quality of PBM without showing deleterious outcomes on the quality and functionality of the ultimate PBM products.

KEYWORDS

plant-based meat, microalgae, spirulina, duckweed, yellow chlorella

# Introduction

It is imminent that population growth and the subsequent increase in food demand will affect food markets worldwide (1). Therefore, the production of the PBM as a protein source is needed to reduce the widening gaps between traditional meat production and its higher consumer demand in the near future (2, 3). Meat plays a vital role in human nutrition, and red meat contains highly valued biological proteins with vitamins, iron, zinc, and other micronutrients (4–6). However, excessive intake of red meat increases the risk of type 2 diabetes cardiovascular complications, other metabolic disorders, and some forms of cancer (7–9). In contrast, approximately 50% of people, who consume vegetable-based diets, have a lower risk of metabolic diseases (9). Because of the potential health benefits of PBM, religious reasons, and environmental concerns with meat-based products, the demand for PBM is on the rise (10).

As the demand for sustainable-healthy food increases, PBM has become an innovative meat alternative because of its healthpromoting functionality (11, 12). Important sources for PBM are soy, pea, and wheat protein, while mushrooms, rice, and wheat gluten have also been used in PBM to enhance meatlike characteristics (10, 13–15). To date, an extruded product, called Textured Vegetable Protein (TVP), is mostly used in PBM production because of its desirable physical characteristics as well as consumer satisfaction with the product (16–18). TVP is a byproduct obtained from various plant proteins such as soy, pea, and wheat protein, through extrusion. It has been used to improve the textural profiles of meat and processed meat products (19).

Generally, vegetarian diets contain low levels of saturated fat and no *trans*-fat, but they lack micronutrients such as zinc, iron, and iodine (20). Notably, trace elements are known to regulate cell function to maintain homeostasis in the whole body. Regulatory activities of trace elements include heart protection, antioxidants, anti-inflammatory, and immune functions when the appropriate concentrations are applied (21). Subsequently, in recent years spirulina has attracted the attention of researchers because of its outstanding protein content, which is comparable to conventional meat (22). The use of spirulina in partial meat protein replacement can be beneficial to human health owing to its amino acid composition, absence of cholesterol, and high amounts of vitamins, minerals, essential fatty acids, polyphenols, and pigments (23). Likewise, dried duckweed contains 30% protein, 5% fat, and 7% starch, it has a strong anti-oxidative capacity because of the high level of lutein and zeaxanthin (24). Moreover, chlorella products also contain numerous nutrients and vitamins, including vitamin D and vitamin B12, which are absent in most plant-derived food sources. Dietary chlorella supplementation in mammals, including humans, has been reported to exhibit various pharmacological activities, including, antioxidant, antidiabetic, and antihypertensive effects (25).

Previously we have conducted a series of experiments on the production and development of PBMs with a detailed accent on texture, taste, and color characteristics (1, 4, 11, 15, 18). However, the available scientific literature is limited based on the current market projections and future demand for PBMs. Consequently, the current attempt has been made to incorporate the novel microalgae-based ingredient into PBM. Therefore, we hypothesized that the incorporation of appropriate binders, extenders, and other functional ingredients including spirulina, duckweed, and yellow chlorella may improve the overall palatability of PBM products. Hence, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first report that describes the physiochemical, textural, sensory, and nutritional attributes of PBM incorporated with novel ingredients.

# Materials and methods

## Raw material and extraction

Duckweed (DW) (echoherb, Chungcheongnam-do, Republic of Korea) protein was extracted using hot distilled water (90°C) for 1 h and diluted 20 times with distilled water (w/v) concentrated in a vacuum concentrator (EYELA, Buenos Aires, Argentina) and dried in a freeze dryer (LABOGENE, Allerød, Denmark) and evenly ground. Powdered DW was added to the PBM.

Spirulina (SPI) (INGREDIENTS BY NATURE, New Brunswick, NJ, USA), yellow chlorella (YC) (Daesang, Seoul, Republic of Korea), and DW were added to the PBM. YC replaced TVP amount by 1.0, 2.0, and 3.0%, and SPI and DW by 0.5, 0.7, and 1.0%, respectively. The new material mix was formulated using TVP, shiitake mushrooms, Isolate soy protein, tapioca starch, isolate wheat protein, smoked flavor, emulsion, garlic, emulsifiers, oils, color, seasoning, salt, and binders. The pre-mix ratios are listed in **Table 1**. The control group of the current experiment is red meat (round beef steak). The control samples were obtained from the local supermarket in Seoul Korea. The quality characteristics



of novel PBM patties measured in the present experiment were compared with the beef patty control.

## Sample preparation and processing

Figure 1 shows the flow diagram of the PBM patties from processing to preparation. Briefly, a pre-specified volume of rehydrated TVP was added separately to the individual patty formulations. Consequently, for each patty formulation, novel ingredients including SPI, DW, and YC were independently incorporated into each mixture with TVP. All the ingredients listed in **Table 1** were mixed using a Kitchen Aid mixer (Kitchen Art, Incheon, Republic of Korea) until a homogeneous mixture was obtained. Based on special considerations the pre-mixture was shaped into 90 g patties using a patty press maker (Hamburger Press Burger Patty Maker 304 Stainless, Incheon, Republic of Korea) with novel microalgae ingredients (**Figure 2**).

The present experiment consists of three major novel formulae (SPI, DW, and YC) and each novel formulation had

Ingredients %				Treatme	nts (conce	ntrations)			
		Spirulina			Duck wee	d	Ye	llow chlore	ella
	0.5%	0.7%	1%	0.5%	0.7%	1%	1%	2%	3%
TVP	53.50	53.30	53.0	53.50	53.30	53.0	53.0	52.0	51.0
ISP	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50
IWP	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50
MC	1.0	1.00	1.00	1.0	1.00	1.00	1.0	1.00	1.00
Shiitake mushrooms	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Tapioca starch	2.50	2.50	2.05	2.50	2.50	2.05	2.50	2.50	2.50
Smoked flavor	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
Emulsion (Lecithin+ oleogels)	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0
Salt	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
Seasoning	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Coconut oil	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.80
Spirulina	0.50	0.70	1.0	-	-	-	_	-	-
Duckweed	-	-	-	0.50	0.70	1.00	-	-	-
Yellow chlorella	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	2.00	3.00
Color	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Garlic	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50

TABLE 1 Novel PBM patties formulae incorporated with microalgae proteins.

Purified water 3 times of TVP. TVP, texture vegetable protein; ISP, isolate soy protein; IWP, isolate wheat protein; MC, methylcellulose.



three concentrations. Based on current specifications, six patties were prepared for each concentration with novel microalgae incorporated. Consequently, in total 54 patties were generated. In further classification, 27 patties were assigned to each raw and cooked batch, respectively. The patties were cooked at 180°C for 5 min on both sides with a non-sticking pan (Kitchen Art, Incheon, Republic of Korea). During the cooking process, the patties' internal temperature was balanced using a probe thermometer until reached 75°C. The patties were allowed to cool for 30 min at room temperature. Subsequently, the physicochemical, textural, and sensory features were investigated.

#### Visible appearance

The visible appearance of PBM patties incorporated with various concentrations of microalgae were photographed and analyzed using a digital camera (EOS 700D, Canon, Tokyo, Japan), and various structures were demonstrated (26).

#### Color measurement

The surface color of the PBM was measured using a crosssection shaped on a  $60 \times 15$  mm cell culture plate and measured with a colorimeter (KONICA MINOLTA, Chiyoda, Tokyo). The values were L\*(brightness/lightness), a\* (redness), b\* (yellowness). The value of the standard white plate were 99.73 for L\*, -2.3 for a\*, and 6.23 for b\*, respectively, and the chromaticity was measured from three random locations in each patty sample.

## Proximate composition

The proximate composition of the PBM was analyzed at Chungbuk National University (Chungcheongbuk-do, Republic of Korea). Approximately 100 g of PBM patty sample was used to determine the moisture content, crude fat, and ash content. The method for these analyses followed the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) method (27).

# TPA

The textural attributes of PBM were determined using the following method. The patty sample of PBM dough was blended and molded, and 30 g of each on a 60  $\times$  15 mm patty sample was added to the cell culture plate (SPL, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea). The molded PBM was steamed for 10 min in a steamer preheated to 180°C and maintained at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until use.

Furthermore, the PBM patty samples for texture profile analysis (TPA) were thawed at room temperature  $(20^{\circ}C)$  and cooked evenly using an electric grill (Kitchen Art, Incheon, Republic of Korea). The test type was changed to TPA, Test Target Distance was 5.0 mm, and the Trigger Load was 9 N. This procedure was repeated in a triplicate way with the CT3 Texture Analyzer (Brookfield, Toronto, ON, Canada).

## Sensory evaluation

The sensory evaluation performance was followed by the sensory test guidance approved number SJU-HR-E-2019-010, Sejong University, Seoul, Korea. The sensory evaluation was conducted by nineteen trained students from the Department of Food Science and Biotechnology, at Sejong University. Accordingly, small pieces of different samples (2 cm  $\times$  2 cm  $\times$  2 cm) were prepared and random coding was assigned on a pre-positioned glass container (Pyrex, Charleroi, PA, USA).

The patty samples of PBM including SPI, DW, and YC were permitted at room temperature for 30 min and sequentially distributed among the panelists. To accomplish standardization, the special cabins assigned for sensory evaluation were provided with fluorescent light and sensory attributes were judged in a triplicate way by each panelist. The nine-point scale (1 = extremely weak or dislike, 9 = extremely strong or like) was used to evaluate, and Color, Odor, Flavor, Appearance, Oiliness, Juiciness, Chewiness, Springiness, and Overall acceptance (28).

#### Micronutrients analysis

Micronutrient analysis was requested from Chungnam National University's Agricultural Science Research Institute, and the analysis was conducted according to the following method. The mineral contents of Na, K, Zn, Mn, Fe, and Mg were analyzed by preparing a sample using the dry painting method. Samples of 2–5 g of PBM were placed in a self-made crucible, preliminarily preheated on a hot plate, incubated at 600°C for 2 h, and then cooled. Next, quantitative filter No. 6 (Advantec Co., Tokyo, Japan) was filtered using hot water, filtered to 100 ml, and analyzed using ICP-OES (iCAP 7400, THERMO). All reagents and distilled water were used for the mineral analysis.

For analysis As and Cd of samples, put a certain amount of sample into the microwave (QWAVE 2000, Questron technologies corp.), decompose with 70% nitric acid, give a quantification to 100 ml, and use ICP-OES (iCAP 7400, THERMO) analysis was carried out. All reagents and distilled water were used for purpose of mineral analysis. Mercury (Hg) was analyzed using a Mercury analyzer (DMA-80 Milestone) and calculated using a calibration curve obtained as a standard solution.

# BCA

Bicinchoninic acid protein assay (BCA) analysis was performed to confirm the protein concentration of PBM containing novel microalgae ingredients (29). PBM with SPI, YC, and DW added in different content was used for the analysis. Each sample was lyophilized and then crushed. 0.1 g of the sample was taken with 1 ml of RIPA buffer (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and vortexed for 10 s. The tube was placed on ice and stored in the refrigerator for 20 min. Samples were run for 10 min at 4°C, at 12,000 rpm in a centrifuge. Store at  $-70^{\circ}$ C until used.

Samples already diluted to  $10^1$  were diluted with sterile distilled water (D.W) until  $10^3$ . Using the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit

(Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), 25  $\mu$ l samples and a 200  $\mu$ l working agent (50 parts of bicinchoninic acid and 1 part of CuSO<sub>4</sub> solution) were distributed to the 96-well plate. At this time, the standard was Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA), and a concentration of 0–250  $\mu$ g/ml was used as a standard. The plate was mixed for 30 s and left for 30 min in a 37°C incubator. Photographed at 562 nm in a Microplate reader.

# DPPH radical scavenging activity

diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging activity was performed by modifying the methods of Thaipong et al. (30) and Moon et al. (31). Each lyophilized sample was finely ground and 0.1 g was taken and mixed with 1 ml 50% ethanol. The supernatant was recovered by centrifugal separation at 15,000 rpm for 10 min. The extraction process were conducted at room temperature for 12 h and the resultant extract were stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until further analysis.

A 0.2 mM DPPH solution was prepared by taking 7.8 mg of 2.2-Diphenyl-picrylhydrazyl (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and 100 ml of methanol. The sample groups already diluted with methanol and 10<sup>1</sup> were diluted to 10<sup>4</sup> and distributed 20  $\mu$ l of the sample in 96-well plates and 200  $\mu$ l of the DPPH solution. Absorbance was measured at 517 nm in a microplate reader. Currently, the reference material was L-ascorbic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and the control was 50% ethanol. Standard concentrations were 0–250  $\mu$ g/ml. DPPH free radical scavenging ability was calculated by the following equation.

Percentage of inhibition (%) =  $\frac{\text{Absorbance of extract}}{\text{Absorbance of DPPH Solution}} \times 100$ 

## Statistical analysis

Before analysis, Shapiro–Wilk's and Levene's tests were conducted to assess the normality and homoscedasticity assumptions. The results of PBM based on SPI, YW, and DW content are represented as the mean plus/minus standard deviation (SD). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to verify significant differences among the groups at the significance level of p < 0.05 with R studio (Ver. 4.0.2, NA, USA). All the experiments were conducted in a triplicate way. For multiple mean comparisons, Tukey's test was run at the level of 5%.

# **Results and discussion**

#### Visible appearance

The visible appearance and color features of PBM patties incorporated with various types and concentrations of microalgae are shown in **Figure 2**. Consequently, patties incorporated with higher concentrations of SP (SPI 1, SPI 0.7, and SPI 0.5%) have displayed a more intense green color with a declining trend as

concentration reduces. Likewise, concentration-oriented yellowish features were recorded for YC (YCI, YC2, and YC3%) with drifting toward light yellow coloration. Similarly, DW (DW1, DW0.7, and DW 0.5%) showed a brownish tinge toward light brown coloration as the concentration of novel incorporated ingredients increased.

Inconsistent with the current result previously (32) reported similar results in microalgae biomass as an alternative ingredient in cookies with intense greenish coloration in the final product. Whearse, beef patties incorporated with pulses and microalgal protein (chlorella and spirulina) showed a brownish opted visible appearance (22). Likewise, the visible appearance in broccoli soup incorporated with various concentrations of microalgae including SPI (0.5-2.0%) YC (0.5-2.0%), and Tetraselmis (0.5-2.0%) were reported (33). These authors further explained that the color differences between the control and the microalgae-containing soups were visible to the human eye, especially for soups prepared using SPI. Moreover, our previous studies indicated that cooking methods and various ingredients particularly binding agents and natural colorants play important role in the visible appearance of PBM patties (1, 15, 18). The profound variations in the visible appearance of food products could be due to higher pigment degradation with the baking process or with a pigment saturation effect, above certain microalgal protein concentrations.

# Color coordinates

The color coordinates of the PBM are enumerated in **Table 2**. The lightness (L\*) values of PBM was significantly lower in the DW 1.0 and the YC group tended to have higher indices. A declining trend was observed in all PBM compared to the control. Likewise, various concentrations of SPI and DW added in PBM suggesting a lighter and greener coloration. However, yellowness (b\*) was significantly different between the control and DW 0.7 (p < 0.05). YC 3 had the highest b\* values and the lowest values were reported for DWI (p < 0.05). The vast dissimilarities among color coordinates in PBM might be due to the different colors (green, blue-green, and yellow pigments) of the protein extracts from the microalgae species.

One of the most prominent characteristics of microalgae combined in PBM products are color features. Apart from chlorophyll, which is the major photosynthetic pigment, microalgae also contain phycobiliproteins and a wide variety of carotenoids. Chlorophyll, the primary photosynthetic pigment in all algal biomass, can be used in food products and pharmaceuticals because of its anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant properties (34). For instance, Phycobiliproteins are green-pigmented, a fluorescent antioxidative agent that existed in the form of phycocyanin in SPI (35). Similarly, lutein and  $\beta$ -carotene, zeaxanthin, neoxanthin, violaxanthin, and chlorophyll are present as natural pigments present in YC (36, 37). Whearse, DW are abundant in Chlorophyll, Carotenoid, and a-Tocopherol (38). Previous literature indicated that higher levels of algal protein concentrations have considerable effects on the color characteristics of the final product (39-41). Microalgae like YC have an abundance of carotenoid pigments resulting in yellow coloration in the final product (42, 43). In supporting literature previously (44) combined microalgae (30%) and soybean protein tissue to improve the nutritional

value, appearance, and color properties. Similarly, extrusion and extraction process algal proteins such as YC and SPI, have also been tested in the manufacturing of PBM alternatives with enhanced color characteristics (45-47).

Moreover, the differences in color indices were probably caused by the browning effect, which can be promoted by Maillard reactions (2, 22, 48). The Maillard reaction in these two manufacturing processes can lead to an undesired color and flavor formation in plant-based foods. The main coloration of plant-based proteins is the formation of melanoidin's on the furan backbone (49-51). This protein coloration is an irreversible condensation reaction between the carbonyl groups of melanoidins and the amino groups of lysine and arginine. Therefore, phenolic compounds with hydrophobic interactions with plant-based proteins are also considered the cause of coloring (52). The redness (a\*) of PBM in our current study was highest in the SPI group and no difference was found among the other treatment groups. Furthermore, the lower color and saturation indices in the treated group can be attributed to chlorophyll pigments as SPI and DW are chlorophyll-rich (53-55). However, the degree of redness of the SPI and DW depends on the types of extraction or processing. Brightness and yellowness were higher in the YC group because the color of YC itself was brighter than SPI and DW. The current results of color indices are consistent with the color coordinates of plant-based meat analog patties in relation to beef and pork patties and plant-based meat analog patties incorporated with red yeast and lactoferrin, respectively (1, 15).

#### Proximate composition

The proximate composition of the PBM incorporated with the novel ingredients is presented in Table 3. The overall moisture content did not differ significantly (p > 0.05) between the treated and control samples. However, a trend of decrement was observed in moisture content with the addition of a higher concentration of microalgae with the negligible statistical difference among treated and control groups. Similarly, there was no significant difference in crude fat content between the treated and control group except for YC3 treated groups which exhibited significantly higher crude fat content than the control. Additionally, the ash content values are expressively higher in treated groups SPI 1 (17.93%), DW 1 (18.28%), and YC 3 (16.64%) than in the control (9.76%), respectively.

Previously, (56) and (18) reported that PBM analog products have higher moisture content in treated patties than in controls. Additionally, the authors described that the rehydration process, led the TVP to captivate extra water as methylcellulose gelatin, and starch tends to adsorb excess water compared to the meat and muscle system. However, the available reports supports our results in noodles and tofu with a slight decline in moisture content and an increased level of spirulina addition (53, 57). Based on current observations regarding the incorporation of microalgae species in PBM, (58) reported 1.1% crude fat content in SPI and (59) testified 5.02% crude fat in DW. The large variations in the PBM products and control could be due to the non-homogeneous emulsion fat gel in the PBM. Similarly, SPI, DW, and YC have high mineral contents which is consistent with our results (58-60).

TABLE 2 Color indices of PBM incorporated with microalgae ingredients

(p < 0.05). <sup>1</sup>Control: PBM without treatment, <sup>2</sup>SPI 0.5: 0.5% of Spirulina in PBM, <sup>3</sup>SPI 0.7: 0.7% of Spirulina in PBM, <sup>4</sup>SPI 1: 1.0% of Spirulina

 $12.43 \pm 2.31^{abc}$ 

 $10.03\pm3.38^{bcd}$  $9.23 \pm 0.23^{b}$ 

 $12.63 \pm 1.45^{d}$ 

 $12.63 \pm 2.46^{abc}$ 

 $9.63 \pm 0.95^{
m cd}$  $4.13 \pm 0.23^{d}$ 

 $11.23\pm0.9^{abcd}$ 

 $11.83\pm2.42^{\rm abcd}$ 

 $2.77 \pm 0.15^{abc}$ 

The results shown as mean  $\pm$ 

PBM, <sup>5</sup>DW 0.5: 0.5% of Duck Weed in PBM, <sup>6</sup>DW 0.7: 0.7% of Duck Weed in PBM, <sup>7</sup>DW 1: 1.0% of Duck Weed in PBM, <sup>8</sup>YC 1: 1.0% of Yellow Chlorella in PBM, <sup>9</sup>YC 2: 2.0% of Yellow Chlorella in PBM, <sup>10</sup>YC 3: 3.0% of Yellow Chlorella in PBM, <sup>10</sup>YC 3: 0.0% of Yellow Chlorella in PBM,

standard deviation (n = 3). <sup>a,b,c,d</sup>Means with the different letter are significantly different

 $-53.73 \pm 1.38^{a}$  $10.47 \pm 0.64^{
m ab}$ 

 $-55.13 \pm 1.82^{a}$  $10.47\pm0.57^{\mathrm{ab}}$  $13.5 \pm 1.25^{ab}$ 

 $-55.37 \pm 0.8^{a}$  $9.77\pm1.05^{
m ab}$ 

 $-61.13 \pm 3.14^{d}$ 

 $-66.2 \pm 4.11^{cd}$ DW 0.76

 $-61.13 \pm 5.42^{bc}$ 

 $-64.97\pm0.3^{bcd}$ 

 $-63.1 \pm 2.69^{bc}$ 

 $60.37 \pm 2.25^{b}$ 0.52

 $61.53 \pm 1.37^{bc}$ 

 $5.17 \pm 0.55^{d}$ 

 $6.5 \pm 1.15^{\circ}$ 

 $11.1\pm0.9^{a}$ 

a, à

Å,

DW 0.5<sup>5</sup>

SPI

0.7<sup>3</sup>

SPI

SPI

Control<sup>1</sup>

<u>Parameters</u>

 $9.57 \pm 0.57^{b}$ 

 $9.7\pm1.04^{ab}$ 

YC 3<sup>10</sup>

 $(C 1^{\circ})$ 

 $DW 1^7$ 

Treatment

 $14.77 \pm 0.85^{a}$ 

#### TABLE 3 Proximate composition of PMB incorporated with microalgae ingredients.

Parameters					Tre	atment				
	Control <sup>1</sup>	SPI 0.5 <sup>2</sup>	SPI 0.7 <sup>3</sup>	SPI 1 <sup>4</sup>	DW 0.5 <sup>5</sup>	DW 0.7 <sup>6</sup>	DW 1 <sup>7</sup>	YC 1 <sup>8</sup>	YC 2 <sup>9</sup>	YC 3 <sup>10</sup>
Moisture content (%)	$11.76\pm1.59^a$	$12.33\pm1.44^{a}$	$9.63\pm2.28^a$	$10.0\pm1.49^{a}$	$10.23\pm0.99^{a}$	$8.9\pm0.58^{a}$	$4.17\pm3.54^{\rm b}$	$11.18\pm3.72^{a}$	$10.81\pm0.89^{a}$	$10.81\pm0.86^a$
Crude fat (%)	$5.37 \pm 1.65^{\text{b}}$	$6.76\pm2.52^{ab}$	$7.42\pm0.45^{ab}$	$8.1\pm0.56^{ab}$	$7.22 \pm 1.65^{ab}$	$9.32\pm5.05^{ab}$	$8.86\pm0.62^{ab}$	$6.55\pm0.51^{ab}$	$8.55\pm1.02^{ab}$	$9.73\pm3.42^{a}$
Ash (%)	$9.76\pm1.84b^{c}$	$8.41\pm2.17^{\rm c}$	$9.56\pm0.06^{\rm bc}$	$17.93 \pm 1.65^{\text{a}}$	$8.25 \pm 1.67^{c}$	$14.51\pm3.97^{abc}$	$18.28\pm3.32^{a}$	$14.05\pm6.21^{abc}$	$14.97\pm4.9^{abc}$	$16.64\pm2.58^{ab}$

The results shown as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (n = 3). <sup>a,b,c</sup>Means with the different letter are significantly different (p < 0.05). <sup>1</sup>Control: PBM without treatment, <sup>2</sup>SPI 0.5: 0.5% of Spirulina in PBM, <sup>3</sup>SPI 0.7: 0.7% of Spirulina in PBM, <sup>4</sup>SPI 1: 1.0% of Spirulina in PBM, <sup>5</sup>DW 0.5: 0.5% of Duck Weed in PBM, <sup>5</sup>DW 0.5: 0.5% of Duck Weed in PBM, <sup>9</sup>YC 1: 1.0% of Pulce Need in PBM, <sup>9</sup>YC 1: 1.0% of Yellow Chlorella in PBM, <sup>9</sup>YC 2: 2.0% of Yellow Chlorella in PBM.

#### TABLE 4 Texture profile analysis of PBM incorporated with microalgae ingredients.

Treatment				Textur	e profile			
	Chewiness (N x mm)	Hardness (N)	Fracturability (g)	Gumminess (N)	Springiness (mm)	Adhesiveness (N•s)	Cohesiveness (%)	Resilience (%)
Control <sup>1</sup>	$66.17 \pm \mathbf{4.24^{b}}$	$49.60\pm1.96^{bc}$	$49.60\pm1.96^{bc}$	$23.60\pm1.22^{b}$	$2.80\pm0.08^{a}$	$0.20\pm0.00^{a}$	$0.47\pm0.01^a$	$0.12\pm0.01^{ab}$
SP I 0.5 <sup>2</sup>	$41.83\pm3.45^{c}$	$44.16\pm1.96^{cd}$	$44.16\pm1.96_{cd}$	$17.32\pm0.68^{cd}$	$2.41\pm0.12^{\rm c}$	$0.07\pm0.06^{ab}$	$0.39\pm0.00^{\text{de}}$	$0.11\pm0.01^{ab}$
SPI 0.7 <sup>3</sup>	$42.07\pm7.07^{c}$	$41.57\pm2.90^{cd}$	$41.57\pm2.90^{cd}$	$16.84 \pm 1.80^{cd}$	$2.49\pm0.15^{bc}$	$0.07\pm0.06^{ab}$	$0.4\pm0.02^{\rm d}$	$0.11\pm0.01^{ab}$
SPI 1 <sup>4</sup>	$66.67\pm7.57^{\rm b}$	$56.64\pm4.74^{ab}$	$56.64\pm4.74^{ab}$	$23.50\pm2.30^{\rm b}$	$2.83\pm0.08^a$	$0.07\pm0.06^{ab}$	$0.42\pm0.01^{bcd}$	$0.11\pm0.01^{ab}$
DW 0.5 <sup>5</sup>	$42.57\pm3.88c$	$38.74 \pm \mathbf{4.73^d}$	$38.74 \pm 4.73^{d}$	$17.15\pm1.24^{cd}$	$2.73\pm0.08^{ab}$	$0.03\pm0.06^{\rm b}$	$0.45\pm0.01^{abc}$	$0.11\pm0.01^{ab}$
DW 0.7 <sup>6</sup>	$45.93\pm3.84c$	$42.04\pm 6.03^{cd}$	$42.04\pm6.03^{cd}$	$17.61 \pm 1.40^{cd}$	$2.61\pm0.08^{abc}$	$0.03\pm0.06^{\rm b}$	$0.46\pm0.02^{a}$	$0.12\pm0.01^{a}$
DW 1 <sup>7</sup>	$50.53\pm7.87c$	$42.33\pm4.17^{cd}$	$42.33\pm4.17^{cd}$	$18.57\pm2.36^{c}$	$2.39\pm0.31^{c}$	$0.17\pm0.15^{ab}$	$0.41\pm0.04^{cd}$	$0.1\pm0.02^{bc}$
YC 1 <sup>8</sup>	$27.27\pm3.99_d$	$42.60\pm1.42_{cd}$	$42.60\pm1.42^{cd}$	$14.49 \pm 1.33^{\rm d}$	$1.87\pm0.11^{\rm d}$	$0.10\pm0.10^{ab}$	$0.34\pm0.03^{\rm f}$	$0.08\pm0.01^{\rm c}$
YC 2 <sup>9</sup>	$38.50\pm12.8^{c}$	$48.66\pm6.87^{c}$	$48.66\pm6.87^{c}$	$17.94\pm3.67^{cd}$	$2.11\pm0.30^{\rm d}$	$0.03\pm0.06^{\rm b}$	$0.36\pm0.03^{ef}$	$0.1\pm0.02^{bc}$
YC 3 <sup>10</sup>	$78.13\pm2.32^a$	$62.61\pm4.74^a$	$62.61\pm4.74^{a}$	$28.14\pm0.94^a$	$2.78\pm0.06^{ab}$	$0.07\pm0.12^{ab}$	$0.45\pm0.02^{ab}$	$0.11\pm0.02^{a}$

The results shown as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (n = 3). <sup>a,b,c,d,e,f</sup>Means with the different letter are significantly different (p < 0.05). <sup>1</sup>Control: PBM without treatment, <sup>2</sup>SPI 0.5: 0.5% of Spirulina in PBM, <sup>3</sup>SPI 0.7: 0.7% of Spirulina in PBM, <sup>4</sup>SPI 1: 1.0% of Spirulina in PBM, <sup>5</sup>DW 0.5: 0.5% of Duck Weed in PBM, <sup>6</sup>DW 0.7: 0.7% of Duck Weed in PBM, <sup>7</sup>DW 1: 1.0% of Duck Weed in PBM, <sup>8</sup>YC 1: 1.0% of Yellow Chlorella in PBM, <sup>9</sup>YC 2: 2.0% of Yellow Chlorella in PBM, <sup>10</sup>YC 3: 3.0% of Yellow Chlorella in PBM.

80

Frontiers in Nutrition

## TPA

The TPA results for PBM are described in **Table 4**. Chewiness and Gumminess were similar between the control and SPI 1 groups, whereas other indices were significantly different (p < 0.05). Hardness and fracturability were similar among experimental groups, while there was a significant difference in DW 0.5 and YC 3 (p < 0.05). Similarly, springiness and cohesiveness showed significant differences among the treatment (SPI, and YC groups) (p < 0.05). The adhesiveness of DW 0.5 to 0.7 and YC 2 was significantly different from that of the control.

It is essential to regulate and determine the textural attributes of PBM, as these features determine the eating quality of the ultimate products. The incorporation of novel microalgae-based ingredients tremendously affected the texture profile of PBM. The integration of novel ingredients enhanced the chewy sensation in all groups as the content of the treatment increased and SPI 1 and YC 3 have a stronger chewing sensation than the control. This is because protein-rich ingredients influenced the strengthening of the gluten network (57). The current results are also supported by Fradique et al. (61) who described that the addition of microalgae pasta had higher firmness than other treated samples. Additionally, (57) reported an increase in hardness, springiness, cohesiveness, gumminess, and chewiness values in raw noodles mixed with Spirulina. The detrimental factor related to higher values of texture and chewing feeling can be caused by a decrease in the moisture level, which may reduce the feeling of sloppiness and resolve the heterogeneity in the mouth (53, 57). However, in contrast to our findings (22) reported insignificant textural attributes in beef patties replaced with pules and microalgal proteins.

#### Sensory evaluation

One of the most important aspects of using microalgal plants in food systems is related to the palatability of microalgae proteins in terms of sensory input induced by aroma, color, taste, and textural mouthfeel (62). The sensory evaluation of PBM incorporated with various concentrations of microalgal protein has been shown in **Figure 3**.

In the sensory evaluation, there was no significant difference among the treatment groups (p > 0.05), nevertheless, SPI 1 showed the lowest value in color and appearance (p < 0.05) compared to the control. This agrees with the chromaticity outcome in Table 2 with redness values tending to be lowest in the SPI group. There was no significant difference in flavor, taste, and overall preference among the treatment groups (p > 0.05), which suggests the possibility of using SPI, DW, and YC as PBM additives. Previous literature indicated a similar distribution of sensory characteristics in beef patties replaced with pulses and algal proteins which did not affect the sensory profile (22). The outcome is revealing that the integration of soy and algal proteins could not make a substantial effect on the taste profile of beef patties. Whereas YC 3 was significantly brighter in saturation, but not significant in red and yellow, like the control, therefore, it is considered the best ingredient in the current formulation of PBM.

Furthermore, other studies have reported that the addition of a high concentration of microalgae and algal proteins might result

in negative effects on the color and flavor of the final product, which depends on algae species and end product, decreasing consumers' acceptance (63). For this reason, a concentration of a maximum of 5% (w/w) of algal biomass has been generally utilized and incorporated in algal-food products (32). To minimize the off-flavors in algal food products, the appropriate selection of algal strain, screening, and optimization of culture conditions, phytochemical studies, and characterization of odorant compounds are some key steps toward the successful development of the algalfood product (64-66). Similarly, SPI 1 and YC 3 showed excellent chewiness and gumminess, and YC 3 showed better effects than the control in the sensory evaluation. In addition, in the color measurement, the redness of meat was similar when comparing the DW and YC groups with commercial beef. The overall acceptance in the sensory evaluation were similar for all treatment groups, so suggesting that SPI, DW, and YC may be used to study the taste, color, and texture of PBM.

#### Micronutrients and trace elements

A major challenge in developing PBM is the assurance of the nutritional profile of innovative products. Meat encompasses broader levels of proteins, as well as essential micronutrients, such as zinc, iron, and vitamin B. Besides, these micronutrients are frequently present in a highly bioavailable form within animal products (67). Therefore, it is essential to prepare PBM that are supplemented with bioavailable forms of these micronutrients. Consequently, in the current study, novel formulae were designed with the incorporation of microalgae protein in PBM patties (Table 5).

The highest level of Na was detected in SPI 1 and the lowest in DWI, while K and Mn is displaying a higher trend in DW 1 and YC 3, respectively. Similarly, Zn had the highest values in YC 3 and the lowest in DW 0.5. Likewise, Fe and Mg were significantly higher in YC 3 and SPI 0.5 treated samples, and the lowest concentration for both elements was detected in SPI 0.5 and DW 0.5, respectively. Moreover, Cd, Hg, and As were either undetected or detected below 0.01. The current results demonstrated that micronutrients tended to be increased as concentration levels increased in treated samples. Micronutrients analyzed for Na, K, Zn, Mn, Fe, Mg, Cd, Hg, As, Na, and K did not differ significantly. However, consistent with the current results (68) reported that SPI was rich in Zn, and our results also showed high values for SPI 1 and YC 3. Previously, the technological and nutritional quality of bread wheat pasta supplemented with SPI has been investigated, and the outcomes of the study demonstrated that consuming SPI-based food had promising results for protein, minerals, and phenolic compounds (69). The literature regarding the incorporation of microalgae into PBM remains limited, particularly regarding micronutrients. However, some reports suggested that SPI, DW, and YC are rich in Mn and Mg (59, 70, 71).

# BCA and protein contents

The protein concentration of the PBM incorporated with novel microalgae protein are presented in **Figure 4**. Standard curves were



determined based on Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) (R2 = 0.9988). The BCA analysis demonstrates the most significant change in the DW group of PBM. Conversely, SPI 0.5 (2004.633 µg/g), YC 1 (2013.98 µg/g), and YC 2 (2140.98 µg/g) did not change significantly (p > 0.05) compared to Control (1924.41 µg/g). As SPI, DW, and YC displayed a higher level of protein than the control hence these algal ingredients are more likely to be incorporated as functional and protein sources in future PBM products.

In supporting literature previously Suter (71) determined that SPI contains 63% protein and DW contains 29.05% while (59) reported that YC contains 55.76%. In contrast, the DW group with the lowest protein content depended on the concentration, followed by the SPI and YC groups. This is equivalent to the consumption of about 19% to 33% protein per 100 g of PBM. These results suggest that higher concentrations of DW led to increased protein content. Higher protein content in SPI and YC was reported in beef in patties replaced with alternative proteins (22). Hence, food products incorporated with microalgae have attracted the attention of investigators due to their extraordinary protein content which is reflected to be one of the tremendous protein sources of microalgal origin, with protein levels equivalent to that in conventional meat (13). Additionally, proteins and microalgae are the foundation of numerous valuable compounds with health assistance such as polyunsaturated fatty acids, carbohydrates, vitamins, and essential minerals, which can increase the nutritional value of food products upon incorporation (72).

## DPPH radical scavenging activity

The DPPH radical scavenging activity of PBM-containing treatment was measured based on standard curves with L-ascorbic acid (R2 = 0.9996). The results of measuring DPPH free radical scavenging ability on the antioxidant scale of PBM prepared by different amounts of treatment are shown in **Figure 5**. All groups were affected by treatment, and DPPH free radical scavenging ability increased as the higher concentration level was added. The control showed slight antioxidant activity. The highest free radical scavenging activity was detected in SPI 1 (20.36%) and the lowest free radical scavenging activity was observed in DW 0.5 (0.94%). Compared with the control, the DW group and YC 1, 2 showed



#### FIGURE 4

BCA analysis of PBM incorporated with novel microalgae ingredients. The results shown mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (n = 4). <sup>a,b,c,d,e,f</sup>Means with the different letters above a bar are significantly different (p < 0.05).



no significant difference (p > 0.05). Antioxidant substances that delay or prevent the oxidation of substrate and their antioxidant activity eliminate reactive oxygen species (ROS) that are produced during metabolism. A higher concentration of free radicals in any specific formulation may lead to complications such as aging and inflammation (73). Therefore, antioxidant activity in food materials is important for the inhibition of higher levels of free radicals. The majority of previous studies have indicated that microalgae proteins including SPI, DW, and YC demonstrated antioxidant activity which is consistent with our results (61, 74–76). SPI 1 had the greatest ability to eliminate free radicals whereas the low antioxidant capacity of the DW group may be due to the loss of vitamin C due to hot water extraction (77).

Furthermore, SPI contains molecules such as phycocyanin, b-carotene, xanthophyll pigments, g-tocopherol, and phenolic compounds, which are responsible for the antioxidant activities

					Treat	ment				
	Control <sup>1</sup>	SPI 0.5 <sup>2</sup>	SPI 0.7 <sup>3</sup>	SPI 1 <sup>4</sup>	DW 0.5 <sup>5</sup>	DW 0.76	$DW1^7$	ΥC 1 <sup>8</sup>	YC 2 <sup>9</sup>	ΥC 3 <sup>10</sup>
Na (%)	$0.38\pm0.03^{ m bc}$	$0.38\pm0.06^{bc}$	$0.41\pm0.03^{ m bc}$	$0.42\pm0.03^{c}$	$0.35\pm0.03^{ m abc}$	$0.37\pm0.03^{ m bc}$	$0.3\pm0.02^{a}$	$0.34\pm0.01^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$0.35\pm0.01^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$0.41\pm0.03^{\mathrm{cd}}$
K (%)	$0.18\pm0.03^{a}$	$0.2\pm0.01^{a}$	$0.21\pm0.03^{a}$	$0.2\pm0.02^{\mathrm{a}}$	$0.22\pm0.01^{a}$	$0.24\pm0.02^{a}$	$0.3\pm0.025^{ m b}$	$0.18\pm0.03^{\rm a}$	$0.19\pm0.01^{a}$	$0.24\pm0.06^{\rm a}$
Zn (mg/kg)	$27.92\pm0.06^{ab}$	$41.26\pm1.06^{\rm bc}$	$45.31 \pm 2.86^{cd}$	$49.72 \pm 5.46^{cd}$	$16.99 \pm 5.95^{a}$	$25.33\pm6.92^{ab}$	$46.67 \pm 8.3^{cd}$	$39.34\pm7.76^{bv}$	$51.46\pm2.33^{cd}$	$58.15\pm9.46^{\rm d}$
Mn (mg/kg)	$10.65\pm0.04^{\rm a}$	$10.84\pm0.5^{a}$	$10.45\pm1.07^{\mathrm{a}}$	$15.36\pm2.64^a$	$32.65\pm0.65^{\mathrm{b}}$	$20.35 \pm 7.28^{a}$	$18.65 \pm 12.57^{a}$	$11.02\pm2.28^{a}$	$15.94 \pm 9.46^{a}$	$42.27 \pm 7.76^{\mathrm{b}}$
Fe (mg/kg)	$36.11\pm0.01^{\mathrm{b}}$	$22.21 \pm 1.43^{a}$	$23.02 \pm 3.73^{a}$	$24.87 \pm 4.14^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$23.29 \pm 10.35^{a}$	$28.09\pm4.61^{cd}$	$50.45\pm2.46^{\mathrm{c}}$	$23.4\pm1.8^{a}$	$35.33\pm6.73^{\mathrm{b}}$	$64.48\pm5.76^{\rm d}$
Mg (mg/kg)	$386.05 \pm 3.65^{ab}$	$450.29 \pm 3.73^{ m abc}$	$456.16 \pm 14.21^{abc}$	$469.92 \pm 4.14^{\rm bc}$	$372.72 \pm 8.88^{a}$	$534.07 \pm 46.03^{\circ}$	$644.21 \pm 31.14^{d}$	$476.72 \pm 10.4.92^{ m bc}$	$480.4 \pm 25.77^{\rm bc}$	$761.15\pm0.85^{\rm e}$
Cd	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Hg (ppm)	0.01 ↓	0.01 ↓	$0.01\downarrow$	ND	$0.01 \downarrow$	$0.01 \downarrow$	0.01 ↓	ND	ND	ND
As	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
ND = not detected. 0.7% of Duck Weed	The results shown as me: in PBM, <sup>7</sup> DW 1: 1.0% of	an 土 standard deviation f Duck Weed in PBM, <sup>8</sup> Y	(n = 2). <sup>1</sup> Control: PBM wit C 1: 1.0% of Yellow Chlore	:hout treatment, <sup>2</sup> SPI 0.5 Ila in PBM, <sup>9</sup> YC 2: 2.0%	5: 0.5% of Spirulina in P of Yellow Chlorella in 1	<sup>3</sup> SPI 0.7: 0.7% of S <sub>F</sub> PBM, <sup>10</sup> YC 3: 3.0% of Ye	virulina in PBM, <sup>4</sup> SPI 1: 1 ·llow Chlorella in PBM. T	.0% of Spirulina in PBM, <sup>5</sup> 1 he results shown as mean \$	DW 0.5: 0.5% of Duck W standard deviation $(n = 2)$	eed in PBM, <sup>6</sup> DW 0.7: 2). <sup>a,b, c,d, e, f</sup> Means with

of these microalgae (78, 79). The evidence of DPPH scavenging activity and antioxidant capacity of various microalgae (SPI and YC) incorporated into broccoli soup has been described (33). De Marco et al. (80) reported that pasta substituted with higher concentration SPI had higher antioxidant and phenolic content. There are many valuable antioxidants in YC, e.g., chlorophyll, carotenoids, astaxanthin, lutein, and phycobiliproteins (81). Furthermore, the protective effects of YC and its antioxidant activity are attributed to their content of phenolic compounds as there is a close positive relationship between the quantity of these compounds and their antioxidant activities due to their redox properties that play a vital role in capturing and scavenging free radicals, oxygen suppression and peroxide decomposition (82). Similarly, the DPPH radical scavenging of various types of YC were assessed in meat substitutes by Song et al. (83). Bioactive compounds such as carotenoids, phytosterols, and other pigments are naturally synthesized in duckweed with numerous antioxidants and anti-inflammatory properties that have been widely used in many foods and nutraceuticals (24, 84). As PBM products are usually consumed after cooking by

As PBM products are usually consumed after cooking by heating with added seasoning to improve taste. Song et al. (85) reported DPPH radical scavenging activity of meat substitutes increased by heating at various temperatures. The enhancement of DPPH radical scavenging activity was dependent on the heating temperature. The authors further demonstrate the increase in DPPH radical scavenging activity was also dependent on the temperature employed. As the temperature increased, the antioxidant activity of meat substitutes also increased. The possible reason could be, various reductones produced in the Maillard reaction process may contribute to the increased DPPH radical scavenging activity of meat substitutes by heating. Due to limited available information further argumentation about heating and DPPH radical scavenging activity of meat substitutes is not possible.

# Conclusion

Novel microalgae ingredients including SPI, DW, and YC, improved the physiochemical properties of PBM, and accordingly desirable results were obtained from the sensory evaluation. In contrast, the nutritional composition of PBM mixed with SPI, DW, and YC did not differ from each other. In addition, these ingredients showed better antioxidant activities than the control group. Owing to its antioxidant activity, PBM is expected to be a potential health-beneficial product. Moreover, micronutrient analysis indicated that PBM is heavy metal-free. Therefore the current results demonstrate that microalgae proteins could be useful candidates for the manufacture of novel alternative products. Further research is necessary to optimize the health functionality, texture, and palatability of PBM with these new ingredients.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in this study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

TABLE 5

Micronutrient of PBM incorporated with microalgae ingredients.

letter are significantly different (p < 0.05)

the different

# Author contributions

AB and JP: basic methodology, accomplish experiment, and writing the original draft. KB: visualization and supervision. BK: conceptualization, visualization, and data curation. SS: basic resources, methodology, and conceptualization. AR: revise and edit the manuscript. SP: basic methodology, funding acquisition, project administration, and writing—review and editing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

The authors are grateful to the Technology Innovation Program (20012411, Alchemist Project) funded by the Ministry of Trade, Industry and Energy (MOTIE). This work was carried out with the support of "Cooperative Research Program for Agriculture Science and Technology Development (Project No. PJ01689101)" Rural Development Administration, Republic of Korea.

# References

1. Bakhsh A, Lee E, Bakry A, Rathnayake D, Son Y, Kim S, et al. Synergistic effect of lactoferrin and red yeast rice on the quality characteristics of novel plant-based meat analog patties. *LWT Food Sci Technol.* (2022) 171:114095. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2022. 114095

2. Sakai K, Sato Y, Okada M, Yamaguchi S. Synergistic effects of laccase and pectin on the color changes and functional properties of meat analogs containing beet red pigment. *Sci Rep.* (2022) 12:1168.

3. Sakai K, Sato Y, Okada M, Yamaguchi S. Improved functional properties of meat analogs by laccase catalyzed protein and pectin crosslinks. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:1–10.

4. Bakhsh A, Lee E, Ncho C, Kim C, Son Y, Hwang Y, et al. Quality characteristics of meat analogs through the incorporation of textured vegetable protein: a systematic review. *Foods*. (2022) 11:1242. doi: 10.3390/foods11091242

5. Yoo S, Kook S, Park S, Shim J, Chin K. Physicochemical characteristics, textural properties and volatile compounds in comminuted sausages as affected by various fat levels and fat replacers. *Int J Food Sci.* (2007) 42:1114–22. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2621. 2006.01402.x

6. Sakai K, Sato Y, Okada M, Yamaguchi S. Cyclodextrins produced by cyclodextrin glucanotransferase mask beany off-flavors in plant-based meat analogs. *PLoS One.* (2022) 17:e0269278. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0269278

7. Forghani Z, Eskandari M, Aminlari M, Shekarforoush S. Effects of microbial transglutaminase on physicochemical properties, electrophoretic patterns and sensory attributes of veggie burger. *J Food Sci Technol.* (2017) 54:2203–13. doi: 10.1007/s13197-017-2614-8

8. Asgar M, Fazilah A, Huda N, Bhat R, Karim A. Nonmeat protein alternatives as meat extenders and meat analogs. *Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf.* (2010) 9:513–29. doi: 10.1111/j.1541-4337.2010.00124.x

9. Kahleova H, Tura A, Klementova M, Thieme L, Haluzik M, Pavlovicova R, et al. A plant-based meal stimulates incretin and insulin secretion more than an energy-and macronutrient-matched standard meal in type 2 diabetes: a randomized crossover study. *Nutrients.* (2019) 11:486. doi: 10.3390/nu1103 0486

10. Kyriakopoulou K, Keppler J, van der Goot A. Functionality of Ingredients and Additives in Plant-Based Meat Analogues. *Foods.* (2021) 10:600. doi: 10.3390/foods10030600

11. Bakhsh A, Lee S, Lee E, Hwang Y, Joo S. Traditional plant-based meat alternatives, current, and future perspective: a review. *J Agric Life Sci.* (2021) 55:1–10. doi: 10.14397/jals.2021.55.1.1

12. Samard S, Ryu GHA. comparison of physicochemical characteristics, texture, and structure of meat analogue and meats. *J Sci Food Agric.* (2019) 99:2708–15. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.9438

13. Kyriakopoulou K, Dekkers B, van der Goot A. Plant-based meat analogues. Sustainable meat production and processing. In: Galanakis C editor. *Sustainable meat production and processing*. Cambridge, MA: Academic Press (2019). p. 103–26.

# **Conflict of interest**

SS was employed by Healthy Food Technology, Sunjin Co., Ltd., Icheon, Republic of Korea.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

14. Bohrer B. An investigation of the formulation and nutritional composition of modern meat analogue products. *Food Sci Hum.* (2019) 8:320–9. doi: 10.1016/j.fshw. 2019.11.006

15. Bakhsh A, Lee S, Lee E, Hwang Y, Joo S. Evaluation of rheological and sensory characteristics of plant-based meat analog with comparison to beef and pork. *Food Sci Anim Resour.* (2021) 41:983–96. doi: 10.5851/kosfa.20 21.e50

16. Bakhsh A, Lee S, Lee E, Hwang Y, Joo S. Characteristics of beef patties substituted by different levels of textured vegetable protein and taste traits assessed by electronic tongue system. *Foods*. (2021) 10:2811. doi: 10.3390/foods10112811

17. Wild F, Czerny M, Janssen A, Kole A, Zunabovic M, Domig K. The evolution of a plant-based alternative to meat. *Agro Food Industry Hi Tech.* (2014) 25:45–9. doi: 10.1057/s11369-023-00302-w

 Bakhsh A, Lee S, Lee E, Sabikun N, Hwang Y, Joo S-TA. novel approach for tuning the physicochemical, textural, and sensory characteristics of plant-based meat analogs with different levels of methylcellulose concentration. *Foods.* (2021) 10:560. doi: 10.3390/foods10030560

19. Malav O, Talukder S, Gokulakrishnan P, Chand S. Meat analog: a review. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. (2015) 55:1241-5. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2012.689381

20. Hunt J. Moving toward a plant-based diet: are iron and zinc at risk?. Nutr Rev. (2002) 60:127-34. doi: 10.1301/00296640260093788

21. Ekpenyong C. Essential trace element and mineral deficiencies and cardiovascular diseases: facts and controversies. *Int J Food Sci Nutr.* (2017) 6:53–64. doi: 10.11648/J.IJNFS.20170602.11

22. Žugèiæ T, Abdelkebir R, Barba F, Rezek-Jambrak A, Gálvez F, Zamuz S, et al. Effects of pulses and microalgal proteins on quality traits of beef patties. *J Food Sci Technol.* (2018) 55:4544–53. doi: 10.1007/s13197-018-3390-9

23. Lupatini A, Colla L, Canan C, Colla E. Potential application of microalga Spirulina platensis as a protein source. *J Sci Food Agric*. (2017) 97:724–32. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.7987

24. Appenroth K, Sree K, Böhm V, Hammann S, Vetter W, Leiterer M, et al. Nutritional value of duckweeds (Lemnaceae) as human food. *Food Chem.* (2017) 217:266–73. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.08.116

25. Bito T, Okumura E, Fujishima M, Watanabe F. Potential of Chlorella as a dietary supplement to promote human health. *Nutrients*. (2020) 12:2524. doi: 10.3390/ nu12092524

26. Wi G, Bae J, Kim H, Cho Y, Choi M. Evaluation of the physicochemical and structural properties and the sensory characteristics of meat analogues prepared with various non-animal based liquid additives. *Foods.* (2020) 9:461. doi: 10.3390/foods9040461

27. AOAC. Official methods of analysis. 16th ed. Washington DC: Association of Official Analytical (2002).

28. Sabikun N, Bakhsh A, Rahman M, Hwang Y, Joo S. Evaluation of chicken nugget properties using spent hen meat added with milk fat and potato mash at different levels. *J Food Sci Technol.* (2020) 1:2783–91. doi: 10.1007/s13197-020-04787-7

29. Khramtsov P, Kalashnikova T, Bochkova M, Kropaneva M, Timganova V, Zamorina S, et al. Measuring the concentration of protein nanoparticles synthesized by desolvation method: comparison of Bradford assay, BCA assay, hydrolysis/UV spectroscopy and gravimetric analysis. *Int J Pharm.* (2021) 599:120422. doi: 10.1016/j. ijpharm.2021.120422

30. Thaipong K, Boonprakob U, Crosby K, Cisneros-Zevallos L, Byrne D. Comparison of ABTS, DPPH, FRAP, and ORAC assays for estimating antioxidant activity from guava fruit extracts. *J Food Compos Anal.* (2006) 19:669–75. doi: 10.1016/j.jfca.2006.01.003

31. Moon H, Lim D, Suh M. Fabrication of metal nanoparticles in metal-organic frameworks. *Chem Soc Rev.* (2013) 42:1807–24. doi: 10.1039/c2cs35320b

32. Batista A, Niccolai A, Fradinho P, Fragoso S, Bursic I, Rodolfi L, et al. Microalgae biomass as an alternative ingredient in cookies: sensory, physical and chemical properties, antioxidant activity and in vitro digestibility. *Algal Res.* (2017) 26:161–71. doi: 10.1016/j.algal.2017.07.017

33. Lafarga T, Acién-Fernández F, Castellari M, Villaró S, Bobo G, Aguiló-Aguayo I. Effect of microalgae incorporation on the physicochemical, nutritional, and sensorial properties of an innovative broccoli soup. *LWT Food Sci Technol.* (2019) 111:167–74. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2019.05.037

34. Ferruzzi M, Blakeslee J. Digestion, absorption, and cancer preventative activity of dietary chlorophyll derivatives. *Nutr Res Rev.* (2007) 27:1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.nutres. 2006.12.003

35. Papadopoulos K. Food chemistry research developments. New York, NY: Nova Science Publishers Inc (2008). p. 296.

36. Grudzinski W, Krzeminska I, Luchowski R, Nosalewicz A, Gruszecki W. Strong-light-induced yellowing of green microalgae Chlorella: a study on molecular mechanisms of the acclimation response. *Algal Res.* (2016) 16:245–54. doi: 10.1016/j. algal.2016.03.021

37. Schuler L, Greque de Morais E, Trovao M, Machado A, Carvalho B, Carneiro M. Isolation and characterization of novel *Chlorella vulgaris* mutants with low chlorophyll and improved protein contents for food applications. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol.* (2020) 8:469. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2020.00469

38. Stewart J, Adams IW, López-Pozo M, Doherty Garcia N, McNamara M, Escobar C, et al. Features of the duckweed Lemna that support rapid growth under extremes of light intensity. *Cells.* (2021) 10:1481. doi: 10.3390/cells10061481

39. Arufe S, Della Valle G, Chiron H, Chenlo F, Sineiro J, Moreira R. Effect of brown seaweed powder on physical and textural properties of wheat bread. *Eur Food Res Technol.* (2018) 244:1–10. doi: 10.1007/s00217-017-2929-8

40. Jiménez-Colmenero F, Cofrades S, López-López I, Ruiz-Capillas C, Pintado T, Solas M. Technological and sensory characteristics of reduced/low-fat, low-salt frankfurters as affected by the addition of konjac and seaweed. *Meat Sci.* (2010) 84:356–63. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2009.09.002

41. Batista A, Gouveia L, Bandarra N, Franco J, Raymundo A. Comparison of microalgal biomass profiles as novel functional ingredient for food products. *Algal Res.* (2013) 2:164–73. doi: 10.1016/j.algal.2013.01.004

42. Caporgno M, Haberkorn I, Böcker L, Mathys A. Cultivation of *Chlorella protothecoides* under different growth modes and its utilisation in oil/water emulsions. *Bioresour Technol.* (2019) 288:121476. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2019.121476

43. Caporgno M, Mathys A. Trends in microalgae incorporation into innovative food products with potential health benefits. *Front Nutr.* (2018) 5:58. doi: 10.3389/ fnut.2018.00058

44. Caporgno M, Böcker L, Müssner C, Stirnemann E, Haberkorn I, Adelmann H, et al. Extruded meat analogues based on yellow, heterotrophically cultivated Auxenochlorella protothecoides microalgae. *Innov Food Sci Emerg Technol.* (2020) 59:102275. doi: 10.1016/j.ifset.2019.102275

45. Bleakley S, Hayes M. Algal proteins: extraction, application, and challenges concerning production. *Foods.* (2017) 6:33. doi: 10.3390/foods6050033

46. Grahl S, Palanisamy M, Strack M, Meier-Dinkel L, Toepfl S, Mörlein D. Towards more sustainable meat alternatives: how technical parameters affect the sensory properties of extrusion products derived from soy and algae. *J Clean Prod.* (2018) 198:962–71. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.07.041

47. Zhao D, Huang L, Li H, Ren Y, Cao J, Zhang T, et al. Ingredients and process affect the structural quality of recombinant plant-based meat alternatives and their components. *Foods*. (2022) 11:2202. doi: 10.3390/foods11152202

48. Ahmad M, Qureshi S, Akbar M, Siddiqui S, Gani A, Mushtaq M, et al. Plantbased meat alternatives: compositional analysis, current development and challenges. (2022) 2:100154. doi: 10.1016/j.afres.2022.100154

49. Friedman M. Food browning and its prevention: an overview. *J Agric Food Chem.* (1996) 44:631–53. doi: 10.1021/jf950394r

50. Zhang Y, Zhang Y. Formation and reduction of acrylamide in Maillard reaction: a review based on the current state of knowledge. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* (2007) 47:521–42. doi: 10.1080/10408390600920070

51. Sakai K, Okada M, Yamaguchi S. Decolorization and detoxication of plantbased proteins using hydrogen peroxide and catalase. *Sci Rep.* (2022) 12:22432. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-26883-8

52. How J, Morr C. Removal of phenolic compounds from soy protein extracts using activated carbon. *J Food Sci.* (1982) 47:933–40. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2621.1982.tb12 749.x

53. Kim K, Chung H. Quality characteristics of yellow layer cake containing different amounts of chlorella powder. *Korean J Food Cook Sci.* (2010) 26:860–5.

54. Ahn Y, Seong N, Ham I, Choi H. Study on the effect of medicinal herbs used as Bu pyung (S. *polyrhiza* and *L. paucicostata*) on immune and anti-cancer. *Korean J Herbology*. (2004) 19:117.

55. Song W, Choi J. Total phenols, flavonoid contents, and antioxidant activity of Spirodela polyrhiza extracts. J Life Sci. (2017) 27:180-6. doi: 10.5352/JLS.2017.27.2.180

56. Amboon W, Tulyathan V, Tattiyakul J. Effect of hydroxypropyl methylcellulose on rheological properties, coating pickup, and oil content of rice flourbased batters. *Food Bioproc Tech.* (2012) 5:601–8. doi: 10.1007/s11947-010-0 327-3

57. Lee Y, Wok S, Kim H, Lee J, Kim M. Quality characteristics of raw and cooked spirulina added noodles during storage. *Korean J Food Preserv*. (2009) 16:23–32.

58. Nandeesha M, Gangadhar B, Varghese T, Keshavanath P. Effect of feeding Spirulina platensis on the growth, proximate composition and organoleptic quality of common carp, *Cyprinus carpio L. Aquac Res.* (1998) 29:305–12. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2109.1998.00163.x

59. Anderson K, Lowman Z, Stomp A, Chang J. Duckweed as a feed ingredient in laying hen diets and its effect on egg production and composition. *Int J Poult Sci.* (2011) 10:4–7. doi: 10.3923/ijps.2011.4.7

60. Shin K, Boo H, Jeon M, Ko J. Chemical components of native plant, Salicornia herbacea L. Korean J Plant Res. (2002) 15:216–20.

61. Fradique M, Batista A, Nunes M, Gouveia L, Bandarra N, Raymundo A. Incorporation of *Chlorella vulgaris* and *Spirulina maxima* biomass in pasta products. Part 1: preparation and evaluation. *J Sci Food Agric.* (2010) 90:1656–64. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.3999

62. Hosoglu M. Aroma characterization of five microalgae species using solidphase microextraction and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry/olfactometry. *Food Chem.* (2018) 240:1210-8. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.0 8.052

63. Geada P, Moreira C, Silva M, Nunes R, Madureira L, Rocha C, et al. Algal proteins: production strategies and nutritional and functional properties. *Bioresour Technol.* (2021) 332:125125. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2021.125125

64. Matos ÂP, Novelli E, Tribuzi G. Use of algae as food ingredient: sensory acceptance and commercial products. *Front Food Sci.* (2022) 2:989801. doi: 10.3389/ frfst.2022.989801

65. Latsos C, Bakratsas G, Moerdijk T, van Houcke J, Timmermans K. Effect of salinity and pH on growth, phycoerythrin, and non-volatile umami taste active compound concentration of Rhodomonas salina using a D-optimal design approach. *J Appl Phycol.* (2021) 33:3591–602. doi: 10.1007/s10811-021-02547-4

66. Coleman B, Van Poucke C, Dewitte B, Ruttens A, Moerdijk-Poortvliet T, Latsos C, et al. Potential of microalgae as flavoring agents for plant-based seafood alternatives. *Future Foods.* (2022) 5:100139. doi: 10.1016/j.fufo.2022.100139

67. Julian M, Grossmann LA. brief review of the science behind the design of healthy and sustainable plant-based foods. *NPJ Sci Food*. (2021) 5:17. doi: 10.1038/s41538-021-00099-y

68. Tuhy ?, Samoraj M, Witkowska Z, Chojnacka K. Biofortification of maize with micronutrients by Spirulina. *Open Chem.* (2015) 13:1119–26. doi: 10.1515/chem-2015-0126

69. Lucas B, de Morais M, Santos T, Costa J. Spirulina for snack enrichment: nutritional, physical and sensory evaluations. *LWT Food Sci Technol.* (2018) 90:270–6. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2017.12.032

70. Christaki E, Florou-Paneri P, Bonos E. Microalgae: a novel ingredient in nutrition. Int J Food Sci Nutr. (2011) 62:794–9. doi: 10.3109/09637486.2011.582460

71. Suter P. Vitamin A, nutrition, and health values of algae: Spirulina, Chlorella, and Dunaliella. J Pharm Nutr. (2011) 1:111–8. doi: 10.6000/1927-5951.2011.01.02.04

72. Wells M, Potin P, Craigie J, Raven J, Merchant S, Helliwell K, et al. Algae as nutritional and functional food sources: revisiting our understanding. *J Appl Phycol.* (2017) 29:949–82. doi: 10.1007/s10811-016-0974-5

73. Choi J, Oh J, Hwang I, Kim S, Chun J, Lee B, et al. Application and high throughput screening of DPPH free radical scavenging activity by using 96-well plate. *Korean J Pestic Sci.* (2003) 7:92–9.

74. Tang H, Chen M, Garcia M, Abunasser N, Ng K, Salley S. Culture of microalgae Chlorella minutissima for biodiesel feedstock production. *Biotechnol Bioeng.* (2011) 108:2280–7. doi: 10.1002/bit.23160

75. Deng R, Chow T. Hypolipidemic, antioxidant, and antiinflammatory activities of microalgae Spirulina. *Cardiovasc Ther.* (2010) 28:33–45. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-5922. 2010.00200.x

76. Kim M, Shim C, Kim Y, Hong S, Park J, Han E, et al. Effect of Chlorella sp. on improving antioxidant activities and growth promotion in organic soybean sprout cultivation. *Korean J Org.* (2015) 23:939–50. doi: 10.11625/KJOA.2015.23. 4.939

77. Cheigh C, Lee J, Chung M. Effects of soft steam treatments on quality characteristics of potatoes. *Korean J Food Nutr.* (2012) 25:50–6. doi: 10.9799/ksfan. 2012.25.1.050

78. Miranda M, Cintra R, Barros SB, Mancini-Filho J. Antioxidant activity of the microalga Spirulina maxima. *Braz J Med Biol Res.* (1998) 31:1075–9. doi: 10.1590/s0100-879x1998000800007

79. Patel A, Mishra S, Ghosh P. Antioxidant potential of C-phycocyanin isolated from cyanobacterial species Lyngbya, Phormidium and Spirulina spp. *Indian J Biochem Biophys.* (2006) 43:25–31.

80. De Marco E, Steffolani M, Martínez C, León A. Effects of spirulina biomass on the technological and nutritional quality of bread wheat pasta. *LWT Food Sci Technol.* (2014) 58:102–8. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2014.02.054

81. Ahmed F, Fanning K, Netzel M, Turner W, Li Y, Schenk P. Profiling of carotenoids and antioxidant capacity of microalgae from subtropical coastal and brackish waters. *Food Chem.* (2014) 165:300–6. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.05.107

82. del Pilar Ramírez-Anaya J, Samaniego-Sánchez C, Casta?eda-Saucedo MC, Villalón-Mir M, de la Serrana HL-G. Phenols and the antioxidant capacity of Mediterranean vegetables prepared with extra virgin olive oil using different domestic cooking techniques. *Food Chem.* (2015) 188:430–8.

83. Song H, He M, Gu C, Wei D, Liang Y, Yan J, et al. Extraction optimization, purification, antioxidant activity, and preliminary structural characterization of crude polysaccharide from an arctic *Chlorella* sp. *Polymers*. (2018) 10:292. doi: 10.3390/polym10030292

84. Pagliuso D, Jara C, Grandis A, Lam E, Ferreira M, Buckeridge M. Flavonoids from duckweeds: potential applications in the human diet. *RSC Adv.* (2020) 10:44981–8. doi: 10.1039/D0RA06741E

85. Song H, Bae J, Park I. Effect of heating on DPPH radical scavenging activity of meat substitute. *Prev Nutr Food Sci.* (2013) 18:80–4. doi: 10.3746/pnf.2013.18.1.080