#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Ahmed El-Sohemy, University of Toronto, Canada

REVIEWED BY Julian R. F. Walters, Imperial College London, United Kingdom Naoki Tanaka, Shinshu University, Japan Kejun Zhou, Human Metabolomics Institute, Inc., China Chun-Feng Guo, Northwest A&F University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Moul Dey Moul.Dey@sdstate.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Nutrigenomics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Nutrition

RECEIVED 27 April 2022 ACCEPTED 27 September 2022 PUBLISHED 20 October 2022

#### CITATION

Dhakal S and Dey M (2022) Resistant starch type-4 intake alters circulating bile acids in human subjects. *Front. Nutr.* 9:930414. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.930414

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Dhakal and Dey. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Resistant starch type-4 intake alters circulating bile acids in human subjects

#### Samitinjaya Dhakal and Moul Dey\*

School of Health and Consumer Sciences, South Dakota State University, Brookings, SD, United States

**Background:** Resistant starch (RS) type 4 (RS4) is a type of RS, a class of non-digestible prebiotic dietary fibers with a range of demonstrated metabolic health benefits to the host. On the other hand, bile acids (BA) have recently emerged as an important class of metabolic function mediators that involve host-microbiota interactions. RS consumption alters fecal and cecal BA in humans and rodents, respectively. The effect of RS intake on circulating BA concentrations remains unexplored in humans.

Methods and results: Using available plasma and stool samples from our previously reported double-blind, controlled, 2-arm crossover nutrition intervention trial (Clinicaltrials.gov: NCT01887964), a liquidchromatography/mass-spectrometry-based targeted multiple reaction monitoring, and absolute quantifications, we assessed BA changes after 12 weeks of an average 12 g/day RS4-intake. Stool BA concentrations were lower post RS4 compared to the control, the two groups consuming similar macronutrients (n = 14/group). Partial least squares-discriminant analysis revealed distinct BA signatures in stool and plasma post interventions. The increased circulating BA concentrations were further investigated using linear mixed-effect modeling that controlled for potential confounders. A higher plasma abundance of several BA species post RS4 was observed (fold increase compared to control in parenthesis): taurocholic acid (1.92), taurodeoxycholic acid (1.60), glycochenodeoxycholic acid (1.58), glycodeoxycholic acid (1.79), and deoxycholic acid (1.77) (all, p < 0.05). Distinct microbiome orthologsignatures were observed between RS4 and control groups (95% CI), derived using the Piphillin function-prediction algorithm and principal component analysis (PCA) of pre-existing 16S rRNA gene sequences. Association of Bifidobacterium adolescentis with secondary BA such as, deoxycholic acid (rho = 0.55, p = 0.05), glycodeoxycholic acid (rho = 0.65, p = 0.02), andtaurodeoxycholic acid (rho = 0.56, p = 0.04) were observed in the RS4-group, but not in the control group (all, p > 0.05).

**Conclusion:** Our observations indicate a previously unknown in humans-RS4-associated systemic alteration of microbiota-derived secondary BA. Follow-up investigations of BA biosynthesis in the context of RS4 may provide molecular targets to understand and manipulate microbiomehost interactions.

KEYWORDS

circulating bile acid, resistant starch type 4, metabolic syndrome, microbiota, dietary fiber, metabolomics

### Introduction

Bile acids (BA) are a family of steroid molecules synthesized from cholesterol in hepatocytes, stored in the gallbladder, and are released into the intestine to assist the absorption of dietary fats and vitamins. BA also function as signaling molecules through the membrane G protein-coupled receptor 5 and the Farnesoid X receptor to influence various metabolic processes and energy homeostasis (1, 2). The BA pool consists of primary and secondary BA species as well as their glycine and taurine conjugates (2). In humans, cholic acid and chenodeoxycholic acid are the two primary BA species. They are produced in the liver utilizing two biosynthetic pathways. The main BA pathway is initiated by cholesterol 7a-hydrolase (CYP7A1) to produce cholic acid and chenodeoxycholic acid, and the alternative pathway is initiated by sterol-27-hydroxylase to produce chenodeoxycholic acid. The main pathway is the major source of both primary BA species (1, 3). Most BA are then conjugated with glycine or taurine in the hepatocytes with the enzymes such as bile acid: CoA synthase and bile acid: amino transferase (2, 3). Gut microbiota metabolizes the conjugated or unconjugated primary BA into secondary BAdeoxycholic acid, lithocholic acid, and ursodeoxycholic acidthrough deconjugation, dehydroxylation, and dehydrogenation in the colon (4). Most secondary BA are reabsorbed in the brush border membrane of the intestine into portal blood circulation, followed by glycine and/or taurine conjugation in the liver before being stored in the gall bladder. Microbial involvement results in increased diversity and hydrophobicity of the BA pool, thus, altering the rate of intestinal reabsorption and fecal elimination of BA (4).

Dietary modulation of the gut microbiota may influence circulating BA with potential downstream effects on the host (4-7). We and others have shown that resistant starches (RS) represent fermentable carbohydrates with a range of potential health benefits (8-10). RS are also naturally low in calories

due to escaping enzymatic digestion in the small intestine (11-13). Physicochemical properties and physiological impact on the host may vary by RS type (RS1, RS2, RS3, and RS4) (14). It is also possible that the differences in the chemical structures among RS determined their variable accessibility to different gut microbes (9, 10, 13, 15, 16), potentially resulting in distinct modulation of microbial metabolites. In this context, human studies reporting the effects of RS on BA are a handful: van Munster et al. reported increased primary BA in feces after RS2 intake (17). Langkilde et al. observed decreased total BA in ileostomy excreta post RS3 consumption, while Grubben et al. reported lower total and secondary BA concentrations in fecal water after feeding RS2 (18, 19). In contrast, animal model studies have demonstrated a range of alterations related to the fecal and cecal BA in response to RS2, RS3, or RS4 intake (16, 20-27). More recently, Bindels et al. observed that RS2 and RS4 could alleviate Western-type diet-induced cecal BA signature in mice (16). However, the effect of any RS on circulating BA is less clear, with only one mouse study observing an RS2-induced increase in circulating primary BA and deoxycholic acid (28). We are not aware of any human subject or animal-model studies reporting RS4-induced circulating BA signature.

Phosphorylated cross-linked RS4 produced from wheat starch is marketed as a dietary fiber that can be used as a stealth ingredient to swap higher-calorie starches without compromising palatability or major recipe alterations (29-31). We and others have shown that a diet supplemented with this food-ingredient may attenuate blood glucose and cholesterols, increase satiety, and lower body weight, fat, and inflammation (8, 16, 29, 31, 32). A similar RS4 product derived from maize has also demonstrated metabolic health benefits (33, 34). This study leveraged available stool and plasma samples from our previously reported doubleblind controlled two-arm crossover trial of RS4 intervention completed over 26 weeks involving Hutterite participants living in the upper Midwestern US (29). Hutterites represent a culturally unique and homogenous minority group of central European ancestry, practicing a communal lifestyle. During the active trial period, the participants consumed  $\sim 12$  g/day of RS4 or regular wheat starch as control, each for 12 weeks without any gastrointestinal side effects. Previously, we have reported the impact of RS4 consumption on anthropometric

Abbreviations: BA, Bile Acid; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; LC-MS, Liquid Chromatography-Mass spectroscopy; OTU, Operational Taxonomic Unit; PLS-DA, Partial Least Square Discriminant Analysis; PCA: Principal Component Analysis; RS (RS4), Resistant Starch (type 4); VIP, Variable Importance in Projection.

characteristics, biomarkers of inflammation, lipid and glucose metabolism, microbiota composition and diversity, and shortchain fatty acids (8, 29). Here we examined the effects of RS4 intake on individual BA species and microbiota functional capacity in adults with metabolic syndrome. The effect of RS4 on human fecal and circulating BA remains poorly understood.

# Materials and methods

#### Clinical trial overview

The parent clinical trial from which this study originates was registered as NCT01887964 at clinicaltrials.gov. The trial was approved by the Institutional Review Board for Human Subjects Research at South Dakota State University (1112012-CR) and followed the Declaration of Helsinki. Details about the trial, primary outcomes, and microbiome output have been previously reported (8, 29). Briefly, the trial was conducted in two Hutterite colonies in eastern South Dakota, USA, as a double-blind (participants and investigators), controlled, twoarm crossover study (Figure 1). Each arm of the intervention was 12-weeks long separated by a 2-weeks washout period. The sequence of the intervention was cluster-randomized due to community living and dining practices: one colony received control wheat flour first, while the other colony received RS4 flour first, and then were crossed over. RS4 flour was made by substituting 30% (v/v) wheat flour with RS4 (Fibersym RW, MGP Ingredients Inc., Atchison, KS). Chemical modification is used to produce this RS4 by crosslinking wheat starch with sodium trimetaphosphate. The process yields a phosphorylated cross-linked RS4 with 90% minimum dietary fiber content and with no more than 0.4% phosphorous. During the intervention participants exclusively used provided flours for all flour-based recipes. Flour-based food items were served at every meal, breakfast, lunch, and dinner. Centralized menu and meal preparations as well as uniform dining schedules and serving sizes within the colonies resulted in minimal interpersonal differences in feeding patterns among study participants resembling a fully controlled feeding. Even the colony members who did not participate in the study consumed the same foods and portion sizes due to the uniform menu and absence of alternatives. Dining outside of colonies is rare among the Hutterites. Thus, despite the intervention being conducted under freeliving conditions and participants consuming their habitual diet, consistent dietary intakes were observed (29). Although many aspects of the Hutterite diet resemble typical low-in-seafood continental Midwestern dietary patterns such as the use of baked goods, red meats, dairy, etc., one major difference is minimal use of processed, ready-to-eat, food items from supermarkets.

#### Participants

An on-site screening and informed consent signing included a one-on-one interview on health history and medication usage with individual participants by trained research personnel. Inclusion criteria were age 18 years or older, both sexes, and willingness to comply with the research diet requirements, as well as sample and data collection protocols. Exclusion criteria included: pregnancy, lactation, long-term antibiotic therapy, immune-compromised, cancer, and other conditions that would affect the ability to provide informed consent or comply with the study protocol. Figure 1 summarizes the trial profile and number of participants in the parent trial as well as how 14 participants with metabolic syndrome were selected for this secondary analysis. The selected cohort included nine females and five males, aged 33-69 years with BMI ranging from 26.7 to 40.37 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. The presence of metabolic syndrome at baseline was determined based on the International Diabetes Federation criteria which we previously described (29).

#### Biospecimen and data collection

Data (height, weight, waist, hip, fat and lean mass, diet record, medication usage) and biospecimens (stool and plasma) were collected on-site at baseline, 12 (end of first diet-phase), 14 (end of washout period), and 26 (end of second diet-phase) weeks. As appropriate, samples were immediately refrigerated or snap-frozen for transporting back to South Dakota State University's Nutrigenomics Research Laboratory. Overnight fasted blood samples were collected by venipuncture from the antecubital vein in heparin-coated vacutainer tubes (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ). The biospecimens (both stool and plasma) were stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until analyzed for downstream analyses. A self-administered semi-quantitative 3-day (2 weekdays and 1 weekend day) food frequency questionnaire featuring Hutterite food items was analyzed using Nutritionist Pro (Axxya Systems, Redmond, WA). A WebMD portion-size guide was printed and provided to participants for reference purposes.

#### Chromatographic and mass spectrometric conditions for absolute quantification of bile acids

Plasma and fecal samples were shipped on dry ice to the NIH Metabolomics Center at UC-Davis (Davis, CA) and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C upon arrival. For assaying, their published protocols for BA using an isotopically labeled surrogate standard mixture (glycocholic acid-d4, taurochenodeoxycholic acid-d4, cholic acid-d4, glycochenodeoxycholic acid-d4, chenodeoxycholic acid-d4, and



Lithocholic acid-d5) and multiple reaction monitoring method in LC-MS/MS were used (35–38). BA were targeted using specific precursor and product ions, and the quantitative data was generated using calibrated standard curves for each BA.

#### Sample preparation

Plasma aliquots (50 µL/sample) were thawed on ice, briefly vortexed, and transferred to a polypropylene 96-well plate for extraction. The samples were spiked with 25  $\mu$ L of a prior-optimized panel of isotope-labeled BA internal standards. The mixture was treated with 25 µL of antioxidant solution consisting of 0.2 mL/mL butylated hydroxytoluene and ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid. Next, 25 µL of 1,000 nanomolar (nM) 1-cyclohexyluriedo-3-dodecanoic acid and 1-phenyl 3hexadecanoic acid urea were added to quantify surrogate standard recoveries which served as a quality control check for the extraction process. 125 µL of 1:1 v/v mixture of acetonitrile: methanol was added to a final volume of 250 µL. The samples were then vortexed for 30 min to precipitate protein and were centrifuged at 15,000 relative centrifugal force for 5 min. The resulting supernatant was then transferred to a 0.2 µm polyvinylidene fluoride membrane filter plate. The resulting solutions were stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until analyzed in new polypropylene 96-well plates.

Stool samples (25 mg/sample) were thawed on ice. The samples were spiked with 20  $\mu$ L of the isotope-labeled internal standard panel (for recovery quantification). The mixture was then treated with 10 µL of antioxidant solution of 1:5 butylated hydroxytoluene: ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid. Next, 500 µL of methanol was added as extraction solvent with stainless steel grinding balls followed by homogenization using GenoGrinder (SPEX SamplePrep, Metuchen, NJ) for 2  $\times$  30 s at 1,350 rotation per minute. The homogenized mixture was centrifuged at 10,000 relative centrifugal force and the resulting supernatant was transferred to a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube. The pellets were again subjected to the second round of homogenization to improve extraction efficiency. Recovered supernatants were then evaporated to dryness before reconstituting with 200 µL of 100 nM 1-cyclohexyluriedo-3-dodecanoic acid and 1-phenyl 3-hexadecanoic acid urea in 1:1 methanol/acetonitrile mixture. The reconstituted samples were again centrifuged at 10,000 relative centrifugal force for 3 min, the supernatant was transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membrane filter plate and again centrifuged for 6 min. Finally, the resulting solutions were stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C polypropylene 96-well plates.

#### Instrument analysis and data processing

A total of 5  $\mu$ L samples were injected onto Waters Acquity Ethylene Bridged Hybrid C18 column (1.7  $\mu$ m particle size,

2.1 mm × 150 mm) in a Waters Acquity Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography I-Class system (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA) with an additional pre-column (1.7 µm particle size, 2.1 mm  $\times$  5 mm) with a column temperature at 45°C. The mobile phases consisted of LC-MS grade water with 0.1% formic acid for mobile phase (A) and acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid for mobile phase (B). The 20 min gradient elution was carried out with a constant column flow of 400  $\mu$ L/min. The spectral data were collected in negative electrospray ionization mode using scheduled multiple reaction monitoring on Sciex 4000 QTrap (AB Sciex, Framingham, MA). Multiple reaction monitoring was done utilizing optimized collision energies, declustering potentials, and collision cell exit potentials to target individual BA (more information in Supplementary Table 1). The targeted BA were then quantified against 6-point calibration curves using the internal standards. Peak integration, peak area computation, and quantification were carried out using MultiQuant 3.0 (AB Sciex, Framingham, MA). Finally, the average concentrations (nM) of two technical replicates were used for downstream analyses.

# Functional prediction from targeted metagenomics sequence

We have previously published the methodologies used for microbiota compositional analyses (8). Briefly, stool DNA was extracted using QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA) following the manufacturer's instructions. Samples were then quantified via the Qubit Quant-iT dsDNA Broad-Range Kit (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY). DNA samples were sent to Second Genome (South San Francisco, CA) for 16S V4 rRNA gene sequencing and operational taxonomic unit (OUT) identification (8). Sequencing was carried out on Illumina MiSeq for 250 cycles with paired-end sequencing. Multiplexed sequence reads were converted to taxonomic and phylogenetic profiles to construct an OTU table using QIIME and open reference OTU-picking against Greengenes reference database clustered at 97% by uclust (closed reference OTU picking). The longest sequence from each OTU was then assigned taxonomic classification via Mothur's Bayesian classifier, trained against the Greengenes database clustered at 98%. Raw sequences are deposited in NCBI sequence read archive (SRA, accession number SRP035338), belonging to BioProject accession number PRJNA308315. Here, we used the existing 16s rRNA gene sequences to infer the functional potential of the microbial signatures detected in human stools from the representative orthologs using Piphillin algorithm (Second Genome Inc., South San Francisco, CA, USA) (39, 40). While no practical markers are available to quantify the metabolic functions of the gut microbiome, tools such as Piphillin can be used to predict the metabolic capacity of the microbial community by mapping the 16S rRNA gene sequences to known reference genomes. It utilizes sequence similarities as a measure of phylogenetic distances to predict metagenomic dynamics with respect to Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) orthologs (41, 42). Briefly, a raw OTU count table and the associated sequence data were uploaded to the publicly available Piphillin server using a 97% sequence identity cutoff to retrieve auto-generated data for downstream statistical analyses.

#### Statistics and bioinformatics

#### General statistical considerations

Data analyses were performed in R version 4.1.0 (2021-05-18) and the data visualizations were carried out using R studio (packages: ggplot2, corrplot, ggcorrplot, ggheatmap, lattice, reshape2, ggpubr, Hmisc), MicrobiomeAnalyst (43), or MetaboAnalyst 5.0 (44) using our previously published workflow (8, 39, 40). We assessed the normality of the data using Shapiro-Wilk test, and when required, non-parametric transformations were carried out. Statistical significance was considered at  $p \leq 0.05$ , while a *p*-value between 0.05 and 0.08 indicates approaching significance, when shown. All data are presented as either mean with standard deviation or as least-square means with standard error. Data analyses were performed using two approaches: effects of RS4 intervention were determined by comparing end-point data post-diet with respect to control, while effects of RS4 intervention alone were presented by comparing with baseline data. Statistical testing was done using linear mixed-effect models adjusted for weight, diet sequence, and inter-individual variations. The difference between microbiome functional capacity was evaluated using principal component analysis (PCA) and heatmap analysis with Euclidean distance matrix and Ward clustering algorithm, and the correlations were analyzed using Spearman's rankbased method.

#### Bile acid analysis

BA that were detected below the limit of quantification value as mentioned in **Supplementary Table 1** were excluded from statistical analyses. Individual BA concentrations were subjected to generalized log transformation for reducing skewness from the data before downstream analyses. Multivariate techniques used were: (i) partial least square-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA), a technique to identify metabolites that carry the greatest group-separating information derived from a weighted sum of the squared correlations between metabolites, represented as latent variables. Optimum number of components for the classification with PLS-DA was performed using 10-fold cross validation method with Metaboanalyst 5.0. (ii) Variable importance in projection (VIP), VIP scores estimate the relative importance of a variable in the PLS-DA model, derived from a weighted sum of the squared correlations between PLS-DA



#### FIGURE 2

Estimated nutrient intakes at baseline and post diets. Bar graphs with mean  $\pm$  SD showing similar macronutrient intakes at baseline and after interventions (all, p > 0.05). The difference in fiber intake was due to RS4 supplementation in the test group; n = 14/group; RS4, resistant starch type 4; CHO, Carbohydrates.

components and metabolites. The cut-off for the significant metabolite features was set as VIP scores > 1.0, (iii) heatmap analysis, to visualize the differences in abundance between individual BA, and (iv) PCA, as an unsupervised method of dimensionality reduction. The main purpose of multivariate techniques in the study is exploratory to identify varying BA between intervention and control and were not designed to be statistically predictive. Therefore, further univariate analyses were performed as mentioned above with linear mixed-effect model to identify statistical significance.

### **Results**

# Dietary intakes during interventions and participant characteristics

Differences in macronutrient intake can potentially influence the gut microbiota, confounding the effects of the intervention on BA (45, 46). Although meals were not provided by the researchers, the Hutterite cultural practices of communal kitchen and dining created a habitual-diet feeding model in a real-world setting closely matching a controlled-feeding approach-the gold standard for showing causality in freeliving humans. As a result, digestible macronutrient intakes were similar between RS4 and control groups with  $\sim$ 17, 46, and 33% of calories from protein, carbohydrate, and fat, respectively (all, p > 0.05 comparing the two interventions, Figure 2). The levels of macronutrient intake were within the Dietary Reference Intake ranges (47). Average energy intake per day in the selected sub cohort for this study (Figure 1) was similar between the intervention groups:  $1,549 \pm 511$  kcal and 1,546  $\pm$  431 kcal in RS4 and control, respectively. The similarity in total calories and macronutrients intake between the control and RS4 resulted in minimal differences in body weight, body mass index, waist circumference, fat and lean

TABLE 1 Anthropometric and clinical characteristics of the study participants at baseline and post-interventions.

Features	Baseline mean $\pm$ SD	Control mean ± SD	RS4 mean ± SD
Sex (M/F)	5/9	5/9	5/9
Age (years)	$51.8\pm11.2$	$51.8 \pm 11.2$	$51.8\pm11.2$
Height (cm)	$167.9\pm8.9$	$167.9\pm8.9$	$167.9\pm8.9$
Weight (kg)	$91.6\pm10.8$	$91.2\pm11.3$	$91.1\pm11.3$
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$32.6\pm3.9$	$32.4\pm3.9$	$32.4\pm4.0$
Waist (cm)	$107.8\pm10.0$	$107.4\pm9.9$	$105.9\pm9.9$
Fat mass (kg)	$33.5\pm 6.6$	$34.1\pm 6.1$	$33.8 \pm 6.6$
Lean mass (kg)	$58.2 \pm 12.1$	$58.1 \pm 12.1$	$58.3 \pm 12.3$

Data are mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 14; RS4, resistant starch type-4; M/F, male/female; BMI, body mass index; all p > 0.05, no significantly different features between the timepoints.

mass, and blood pressure, therefore, further reducing the confounding variables (**Table 1**). Due to the supplementation of RS4, there was a 12 g/day difference in fiber intake between the control and RS4 groups. RS4 content counted toward total dietary fiber since this specific food ingredient is on Food and Drug Administration's approved dietary fiber list. Total daily fiber consumption in the RS4 group met the recommended fiber intake levels of the U.S. Dietary Guidelines (14 g/day per 1,000 calorie intake, DRI source: USDA, 2020-2025) (48). Fiber intake was significantly lower than recommended at baseline and in the control intervention group (both, p < 0.001, **Figure 2**).

## Impact of resistant starch type 4 intake on overall plasma and stool bile acids (multivariate analyses)

Multiple reaction monitoring-based high throughput metabolomics identified 23 BA species in plasma and stool samples collected at various time points. Fifteen of these 23



compounds met the pre-determined limit of quantification cut-off (more information in Supplementary Table 1) and were analyzed further using the PLS-DA model and subsequently also visualized as three-dimensional scores plots to reveal metabolites that carry the greatest group-separating information, represented as latent variables between control and RS4 groups (Figures 3A,B). The three-dimensional PLS-DA explained a total of 79.3% (x, 48.9%; y, 16%; and z, 14.4%) and 80.8% (x, 36%; y, 20.4%; and z, 24.4%) variations in plasma and stool BA characteristics, respectively, between the intervention groups. Applying a > 1 VIP score cutoff, we identified five BA species that contributed maximally to the observed variations in overall stool and plasma BA profiles, respectively, distinguishing RS4 from the control intervention. These species were: glycodeoxycholic acid, cholic acid, tauroursodeoxycholic acid, taurodeoxycholic acid, and glycoursodeoxycholic acid that increased in plasma post-RS4; and cholic acid, chenodeoxycholic acid, glycolithocholic acid, glycodeoxycholic acid, and ursodeoxycholic acid in stool that decreased after RS4 intake. When the BA species were grouped as total, primary, and secondary for each of stool and plasma and analyzed using linear mixed-effect modeling, an increase in primary, secondary, and total BA from baseline to post-RS4 in plasma was observed (all,  $p \le 0.05$ ), whereas, similar shifts

were not determined in the stool (all, p > 0.1) (Figure 3C). In addition, increase in total secondary BA in plasma was approaching significance in the RS4 group relative to the control (p = 0.06). We speculated that individual BA species may have contributed to the observed overall changes in plasma BA, which was further examined using univariate analyses.

## Impact of resistant starch type 4 intake on circulating bile acid species (univariate analyses)

Linear mixed-effect modeling analyses were performed individually for each of the 15 circulating BA species. Glycochenodeoxycholic acid ( $\geq$  33%, highest abundance), deoxycholic acid, ursodeoxycholic acid, chenodeoxycholic acid, taurochenodeoxycholic acid, and glycodeoxycholic acid were the six most abundant BA at all-time points. As for within-group changes, the circulating concentrations for these six BA increased from baseline to post-RS4: 452–1,183 nM (glycochenodeoxycholic acid, p = 0.01), 170–546 nM (deoxycholic acid, p = 0.004), 145–260 nM (ursodeoxycholic acid, p = 0.04), 143–280 nM (chenodeoxycholic acid, p = 0.07), 98–210 nM (taurochenodeoxycholic acid, p = 0.04), and



TABLE 2	RS4 specific correlation of <i>Bifidobacterium adolescentis</i>
with seco	ondary bile acids in plasma.

Bile acids	Control flour		Resistant starch 4	
	rho	P-value	rho	P-value
Deoxycholic acid	0.23	0.46	0.55	0.05
Glycodeoxycholic acid	0.46	0.12	0.65	0.02
Taurodeoxycholic acid	0.43	0.14	0.56	0.04

Presented data calculated using Spearman's rank correlation method. n = 14/group.

77–263 nM (glycodeoxycholic acid, p = 0.01) (**Figure 4A**). These individual increases from baseline also contributed to an increase in total circulating BA post RS4 (1,108–3,072 nM, p = 0.057). Of note, 10 out of 15 BA were significantly enriched with the RS4 diet, while none of those same species were modulated in the control diet group (**Figure 4A**).

Comparing between the intervention groups, RS4 group had higher plasma concentration of total BA and several individual species with respect to the control group: total (3,072 nM vs. 2,275 nM, p = 0.057), taurocholic acid (41 nM vs. 21

nM, p = 0.042), taurodeoxycholic acid (49 nM vs. 31 nM, p = 0.058), glycochenodeoxycholic acid (1,183 nM vs. 748 nM, p = 0.039), glycodeoxycholic acid (263 nM vs. 147 nM, p = 0.009), and deoxycholic acid (546 nM vs. 309 nM, p = 0.001) (**Figures 4B,C**). These species belong to the conjugated or secondary (microbiota-dependent) BA classes. A similar trend of higher circulating primary BA concentration (cholic acid and chenodeoxycholic acid) was not observed in the RS4 group (**Figure 4B**). Thus, we speculate that the impact of RS4 on BAmetabolism may be primarily directed through its modulation of the gut microbiota.

# Association of the microbiota with secondary bile acids

The potential role of microbial species to upregulate secondary BA was explored using Spearman's rank-based test. The gut microbiota species that our group previously reported (8) as altered after RS4 consumption were re-assessed for the possible associations with the secondary BA in this sub-cohort. *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* showed RS4 specific association, with multiple secondary BA species (**Table 2**):

deoxycholic acid (rho = 0.55, p = 0.05), glycodeoxycholic acid (rho = 0.65, p = 0.02), and taurodeoxycholic acid (rho = 0.56, p = 0.04), whereas, similar correlation was not observed after control intervention (all, rho < 0.5 and p > 0.1). We also used a prediction analysis of KEGG ortholog-based functional capability associated with the microbiota differences between control and RS4 groups. Principal component analyses demonstrated separation and overlap of 8,621 unique KEGG orthologs based on cluster predictions (Figure 5A). However, the same crossed-over participants in the control intervention group did not produce similar tight clustering; this is illustrated by the dotted ellipse showing a substantially wider 95% confidence interval. This also shows that the range of interindividual variability in microbiome functional output was much greater after control flour intake compared to RS4 consumption. A heatmap analysis further supports betweengroup variations (Figure 5B), showing differential up or downregulations of KEGG orthologs between the groups to indicate RS4-specific modulation of the gut microbiota.

### Discussion

The study of diet-induced and microbiota-mediated metabolites helps better understand and when necessary, intervene the host-microbiota interactions in the context of health and diseases. It has increasingly become clear that BA are not only representative of host-microbiota interactions, but are also major regulators of metabolic processes in addition to their digestive functions. We believe that this human subject study enabled us to address knowledge gaps related to the impact of dietary RS4-with a range of previously demonstrated prebiotic and metabolic health effects-on BA. Our results show that circulating secondary and conjugated BA features were altered after RS4 intake in individuals with metabolic syndrome. Furthermore, B. adolescentis had an RS4 specific association with certain BA species-deoxycholic acid (secondary species), and its conjugates (glycodeoxycholic acid and taurodeoxycholic acid). Results also indicate that regular RS4 consumption influenced the functional capability of the gut microbiota.

We are not aware of an existing study reporting effects of RS4 on human circulating BA to compare our data with. However, a whole grain diet compared to a refined grain diet was shown to increase multiple human circulating BA species (49). Increased circulating deoxycholic acid reported in mice after RS2 intervention also aligns with our observation (28). Future research providing independent validation will be critical to contextualize previously reported metabolic health benefits of RS4 since changes in BA composition and pool size were proposed to be clinically relevant for healthcare applications (50, 51).

We note that the biological activities of individual BA species in the context of metabolic diseases remain poorly

understood at this time due to inadequate research and a lack of scientific consensus. While some primary BA species have been linked with inflammation, insulin resistance and diabetes risk (49, 52–54), in mice, deoxycholic acid was shown to reduce inflammation, postprandial triglycerides, and cholesterol levels (55, 56). On the other hand a few observational data that remains unverified in clinical trials indicate cancerpromoting effect of deoxycholic acid (57, 58). Interestingly, synthetic deoxycholic, and ursodeoxycholic acids are approved pharmaceuticals to treat certain human ailments such as primary biliary cirrhosis, gallstones, hepatobiliary disorders, and reduction of subcutaneous fat deposits (59).

Our results indicate that RS4 consumption resulted in a higher circulating BA, especially the secondary as well as tauro- and glyco- conjugated species. The fecal excretion following consumption of RS4 was minimal or unchanged. This potentially indicates alteration of BA reabsorption in the intestine. Chiang et al. described that conjugation of BA species increases in vitro ionization and solubility at the physiological pH, improving rate of active transport in the intestinal brush border (3). Therefore, it is possible that modulation of enzymes involved in conjugation and deconjugation can potentially result in the elevation of circulating conjugated BA. This may also include microbial alteration of enzymes involved in secondary BA production. Notably, RS4 modulated the gut microbiota as well as the secondary BA pool size. Thus, the hypothesis is supported by our observation of the RS4-specific association between B. adolescentis and DCA and its conjugates. As Martínez et al. (9) and we have previously (8) reported, prebiotic effects of RS4 included enrichment of B. adolescentis, a species that is currently marketed as probiotics. Bifidobacterial species including B. adolescentis possess carbohydrate active enzymes which makes them well adapted for plant-based carbohydrate utilization (60, 61). B. adolescentis also shows the strain-specific immunomodulatory activity as well as the ability to feed other gut bacteria using RS as a prebiotic substrate (60). It is also speculated that Bifidobacterium directly or indirectly influence BA metabolism by altering bile salt hydrolase activity (62, 63). Future prospective studies may help elaborate RS4-mediated modulation of this species in the context of BA metabolism.

Experimental research has strengths and limitations. This exploratory study benefits from the robust double-blinded, placebo-controlled, crossover design of the parent trial that allowed for matching by age, sex, and other variability in participant features between the intervention groups. Unlike pharmaceutical trials, defining placebo-control and accomplishing double-blinding of dietary interventions are less common in nutrition research. Since all participants must consume food, identifying an appropriate placebo and then masking any organoleptic differences for the participants is not always possible, frequently confounding outcomes. The stealth properties of the RS4 ingredient used in the study Dhakal and Dey



Impact of RS4 on gut microbiota functional capability. (A) Principal component analysis (PCA) of REGG orthologs based on 16s rRNA gene sequences showing higher variability in control as illustrated by wider 95% CI (elliptical boundaries) vs. RS4 intervention that clustered the predicted orthologs toward zero on both axes within a narrow spatial area; the x and y axes explain 31 and 16.5% variability, respectively, in the control vs. RS4 the distinction between diets was less evident with baseline vs. RS4; each dot represents a unique signature of an individual participant's gut microbiota for the 8,621 KEGG orthologs; dataset was log transformed. (B) Heatmap showing individual study participants on x-axis and KEGG orthologs on y-axis to present differential abundance of orthologs between individuals and groups; the colored cells denote abundance (red- high abundance, blue- low abundance); variables were autoscaled; and n = 14/group; RS4, resistant starch type 4; KEGG, Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes.

and availability of the parent wheat flour used for crosslinked RS4 production made a double-blinded, placebo-controlled design feasible. In addition, the 12-week intervention in freeliving, yet socio-economically homogenous participants who lived in small communities and dined together, potentially minimized confounding due to environmental variations in the gut microbiome. It is possible that these robust design-related features contributed to the identification of differential BA changes in the RS4 group despite a smaller sample size that was not specifically power-estimated for this exploratory analysis. Multiple reaction monitoringbased assay design improves repeatability, increases linear

dynamic range, and avoids inaccurate peak quantification or false-positive peak detections. Furthermore, the targeted approach for metabolite identification and quantification using isotope-labeled internal standard-based calibration curves for individual BA species allowed generation of robust data. Given the lack of intervention studies assessing dietary impacts on human BA at this time, the absolute concentrations reported in this study can also be compared with future studies to establish clinical relevance. We noted that our study population was overweight or obese with metabolic syndrome features and although of European descent, belonged to a cultural-minority group. This specific population may have a different BA metabolism compared to healthy participants. Thus, caution is necessary for generalizing the findings to other populations. Future evaluations are warranted in larger cohorts with a range of clinical and anthropometric phenotypes.

# Conclusion

In conclusion, RS4 is a prebiotic food ingredient with metabolic health benefits as well as has stealth properties that can potentially mitigate dietary compliance issues faced by chronic health promotion programs. Despite an established role of the microbiota in BA metabolism and known ability of RS4 to reform microbiota, knowledge about the effects of RS4 on BA remained limited, especially in humans. The results from this hypothesis-generating study indicate that RS4 supplementation in the diet increases fiber consumption and suggests for the first time that RS4 modulates circulating BA in humans. Given the role of BA signaling in metabolic functions, future studies may reveal if BA alterations by RS4 contribute to its previously reported cholesterol-lowering and other metabolic health effects.

#### Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/, SRA, #SRP035338, BioProject #PRJNA308315.

## **Ethics statement**

The studies involving human participants was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board for Human Subject Research at the South Dakota State University (approval number: 1112012-CR). The trial was registered at clinicaltrials.gov as NCT01887964. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

## Author contributions

MD: conceptualization, project administration, funding acquisition, methodology and data collection, manuscript editing, and final approval. SD: formal data curation and analyses, manuscript writing, and final approval. Both authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### Funding

This research was supported by the National Institute of Food and Agriculture (#1019557, USDA Hatch).

# Acknowledgments

We thank West Coast Metabolomics Center at the University of California Davis, for targeted multiple reaction monitoring-based bile acid assays and data generation (NIH U2C ES030158) and the study participants for providing samples. We also thank all of our collaborators who assisted with the parent trial.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fnut.2022.930414/full#supplementary-material

# References

1. Fiorucci, S, Mencarelli A, Palladino G, Cipriani S. Bile-acid-activated receptors: targeting tgr5 and farnesoid-X-receptor in lipid and glucose disorders. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* (2009) 30:570–80. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2009.08.001

2. Thomas C, Gioiello A, Noriega L, Strehle A, Oury J, Rizzo G, et al. Tgr5mediated bile acid sensing controls glucose homeostasis. *Cell Metab.* (2009) 10:167–77. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2009.08.001

3. Chiang JY. Bile acids: regulation of synthesis. J Lip Res. (2009) 50:1955–66. doi: 10.1194/jlr.R900010-JLR200

4. Wahlström A, Sayin SI, Marschall HU, Bäckhed F. Intestinal crosstalk between bile acids and microbiota and its impact on host metabolism. *Cell Metab.* (2016) 24:41–50. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2016.05.005

5. Staley C, Weingarden AR, Khoruts A, Sadowsky MJ. Interaction of gut microbiota with bile acid metabolism and its influence on disease states. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.* (2017) 101:47–64. doi: 10.1007/s00253-016-8006-6

6. Ridlon JM, Kang DJ, Hylemon PB, Bajaj JS. Bile acids and the gut microbiome. Curr Opin Gastroenterol. (2014) 30:332-8. doi: 10.1097/mog.0000000000000057

7. Li T, Chiang JY. Bile acids as metabolic regulators. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol.* (2015) 31:159–65. doi: 10.1097/mog.00000000000156

8. Upadhyaya B, McCormack L, Fardin-Kia AR, Juenemann R, Nichenametla S, Clapper J, et al. Impact of Dietary resistant starch type 4 on human gut microbiota and immunometabolic functions. *Sci Rep.* (2016) 6:28797. doi: 10.1038/srep28797

9. Martínez I, Kim J, Duffy PR, Schlegel VL, Walter J. Resistant starches types 2 and 4 have differential effects on the composition of the fecal microbiota in human subjects. *PLoS One.* (2010) 5:e15046. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0015046

10. Bindels LB, Walter J, Ramer-Tait AE. Resistant starches for the management of metabolic diseases. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. (2015) 18:559–65. doi: 10.1097/mco.0000000000223

11. Wanders AJ, van den Borne JJ, de Graaf C, Hulshof T, Jonathan MC, Kristensen M, et al. Effects of dietary fibre on subjective appetite, energy intake and body weight: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Obesity Rev Offi J Int Assoc Study Obesity*. (2011) 12:724–39. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-789X.2011.00895.x

12. Clark MJ, Slavin JL. The effect of fiber on satiety and food intake: a systematic review. J Am College Nutr. (2013) 32:200–11. doi: 10.1080/07315724.2013.791194

13. Haub MD, Hubach KL, Al-Tamimi EK, Ornelas S, Seib PA. Different types of resistant starch elicit different glucose reponses in humans. *J Nutr Metab.* (2010) 2010:230501. doi: 10.1155/2010/230501

14. Englyst HN, Kingman SM, Cummings JH. Classification and measurement of nutritionally important starch fractions. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* (1992) 46:S33–50.

15. Si X, Zhou Z, Strappe P, Blanchard CA. Comparison of Rs4-type resistant starch to Rs2-type resistant starch in suppressing oxidative stress in high-fat-diet-induced obese rats. *Food Funct.* (2017) 8:232–40. doi: 10.1039/c6fo01225f

16. Bindels LB, Segura Munoz RR, Gomes-Neto JC, Mutemberezi V, Martínez I, Salazar N, et al. Resistant starch can improve insulin sensitivity independently of the gut microbiota. *Microbiome*. (2017) 5:12. doi: 10.1186/s40168-017-0230-5

17. van Munster IP, Tangerman A, Nagengast FM. Effect of resistant starch on colonic fermentation, bile acid metabolism, and mucosal proliferation. *Digest Dis Sci.* (1994) 39:834–42. doi: 10.1007/bf02087431

18. Langkilde AM, Ekwall H, Björck I, Asp NG, Andersson H. Retrograded highamylose corn starch reduces cholic acid excretion from the small bowel in ileostomy subjects. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* (1998) 52:790–5. doi: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1600648

19. Grubben MJ, van den Braak CC, Essenberg M, Olthof M, Tangerman A, Katan MB, et al. Effect of resistant starch on potential biomarkers for colonic cancer risk in patients with colonic adenomas: a controlled trial. *Digest Dis Sci.* (2001) 46:750–6. doi: 10.1023/a:1010787931002

20. Hylla S, Gostner A, Dusel G, Anger H, Bartram HP, Christl SU, et al. Effects of resistant starch on the colon in healthy volunteers: possible implications for cancer prevention. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (1998) 67:136–42. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/67.1.136

21. Jacobasch G, Dongowski G, Schmiedl D, Müller-Schmehl K. Hydrothermal treatment of novelose 330 results in high yield of resistant starch type 3 with beneficial prebiotic properties and decreased secondary bile acid formation in rats. *Br J Nutr.* (2006) 95:1063–74. doi: 10.1079/bjn20061713

22. Trautwein EA, Forgbert K, Rieckhoff D, Erbersdobler HF. Impact of betacyclodextrin and resistant starch on bile acid metabolism and fecal steroid excretion in regard to their hypolipidemic action in hamsters. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. (1999) 1437:1–12. doi: 10.1016/s0005-2760(98)00174-x

23. Dongowski G, Jacobasch G, Schmiedl D. Structural stability and prebiotic properties of resistant starch type 3 increase bile acid turnover and lower secondary bile acid formation. *J Agric Food Chem.* (2005) 53:9257–67. doi: 10.1021/jf0507792

24. Han KH, Iijuka M, Shimada K, Sekikawa M, Kuramochi K, Ohba K, et al. Adzuki resistant starch lowered serum cholesterol and hepatic 3-hydroxy-3methylglutaryl-coa mrna levels and increased hepatic ldl-receptor and cholesterol 7alpha-hydroxylase mrna levels in rats fed a cholesterol diet. *Br J Nutr.* (2005) 94:902-8. doi: 10.1079/bjn20051598

25. Liu X, Ogawa H, Kishida T, Ebihara K. Hypolipidaemic effect of maize starch with different amylose content in ovariectomized rats depends on intake amount of resistant starch. *Br J Nutr.* (2009) 101:328–39. doi: 10.1017/s000711450801221x

26. Verbeek MJ, De Deckere EA, Tijburg LB, Van Amelsvoort JM, Beynen AC. Influence of dietary retrograded starch on the metabolism of neutral steroids and bile acids in rats. *Br J Nutr.* (1995) 74:807–20.

27. Shang W, Si X, Zhou Z, Li Y, Strappe P, Blanchard C. Characterization of fecal fat composition and gut derived fecal microbiota in high-fat diet fed rats following intervention with chito-oligosaccharide and resistant starch complexes. *Food Funct.* (2017) 8:4374–83. doi: 10.1039/c7fo01244f

28. Koay YC, Wali JA, Luk AWS, Macia L, Cogger VC, Pulpitel TJ, et al. Ingestion of resistant starch by mice markedly increases microbiome-derived metabolites. *FASEB J Offi Publi Federat Am Soc Exp Biol.* (2019) 33:8033–42. doi: 10.1096/fj. 201900177R

29. Nichenametla SN, Weidauer LA, Wey HE, Beare TM, Specker BL, Dey M. Resistant starch type 4-enriched diet lowered blood cholesterols and improved body composition in a double blind controlled cross-over intervention. *Mol Nutr Food Res.* (2014) 58:1365–9. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.201300829

30. Woo KS, Seib PA. Cross-linked resistant starch: preparation and properties. *Cereal Chem.* (2002) 79:819–25. doi: 10.1094/CCHEM.2002.79. 6.819

31. Emilien CH, Hsu WH, Hollis JH. Effect of resistant wheat starch on subjective appetite and food intake in healthy adults. *Nutrition*. (2017) 44:69–74. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2017.06.020

32. Steele TJ, Maningat CC, Seib PA, Haub MD, Rosenkranz SK. Metabolic responses to native wheat starch (Midsol(Tm) 50) versus resistant wheat starch type 4 (Fibersym(<sup>®</sup>) Rw): standard versus marketplace testing protocols. *Curr Dev Nutr.* (2021) 5:nzab011. doi: 10.1093/cdn/nzab011

33. Mah E, Garcia-Campayo V, Liska D. Substitution of corn starch with resistant starch type 4 in a breakfast bar decreases postprandial glucose and insulin responses: a randomized, controlled, crossover study. *Curr Dev Nutr.* (2018) 2:nzy066. doi: 10.1093/cdn/nzy066

34. Stewart ML, Wilcox ML, Bell M, Buggia MA, Maki KC. Type-4 resistant starch in substitution for available carbohydrate reduces postprandial glycemic response and hunger in acute, randomized, double-blind, controlled study. *Nutrients.* (2018) 10:20129. doi: 10.3390/nu10020129

35. Barupal DK, Zhang Y, Shen T, Fan S, Roberts BS, Fitzgerald P, et al. A comprehensive plasma metabolomics dataset for a cohort of mouse knockouts within the international mouse phenotyping consortium. *Metabolites*. (2019) 9:50101. doi: 10.3390/metabo9050101

36. Wei R, Li G, Seymour AB. High-throughput and multiplexed Lc/Ms/Mrm method for targeted metabolomics. *Analy Chem.* (2010) 82:5527–33. doi: 10.1021/ac100331b

37. Cajka T, Fiehn O. Toward merging untargeted and targeted methods in mass spectrometry-based metabolomics and lipidomics. *Analy Chem.* (2016) 88:524–45. doi: 10.1021/acs.analchem.5b04491

38. La Frano MR, Hernandez-Carretero A, Weber N, Borkowski K, Pedersen TL, Osborn O, et al. Diet-induced obesity and weight loss alter bile acid concentrations and bile acid-sensitive gene expression in insulin target tissues of C57bl/6j mice. *Nutr Res.* (2017) 46:11–21. doi: 10.1016/j.nutres.2017.07.006

39. Dhakal S, McCormack L, Dey M. Association of the gut microbiota with weight-loss response within a retail weight-management program. *Microorganisms*. (2020) 8:1246. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8081246

40. Dhakal S, Moazzami Z, Perry C, Dey M. Effects of lean pork on microbiota and microbial-metabolite trimethylamine-N-oxide: a randomized controlled non-inferiority feeding trial based on the dietary guidelines for americans. *Mol Nutr Food Res.* (2022) 2022:e2101136. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.202101136

41. Narayan NR, Weinmaier T, Laserna-Mendieta EJ, Claesson MJ, Shanahan F, Dabbagh K, et al. Piphillin predicts metagenomic composition and dynamics from dada2-corrected 16s rdna sequences. *BMC Genomics*. (2020) 21:56. doi: 10.1186/s12864-019-6427-1

42. Iwai S, Weinmaier T, Schmidt BL, Albertson DG, Poloso NJ, Dabbagh K, et al. Piphillin: improved prediction of metagenomic content by direct inference from human microbiomes. *PLoS One.* (2016) 11:e0166104. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0166104

43. Chong J, Liu P, Zhou G, Xia J. Using microbiomeanalyst for comprehensive statistical, functional, and meta-analysis of microbiome data. *Nat Proto.* (2020) 15:799–821. doi: 10.1038/s41596-019-0264-1

44. Pang Z, Chong J, Zhou G, de Lima Morais DA, Chang L, Barrette M, et al. Metaboanalyst 5.0: narrowing the gap between raw spectra and functional insights. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2021) 49:W388–96. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkab382

45. Fava F, Gitau R, Griffin BA, Gibson GR, Tuohy KM, Lovegrove JA. The type and quantity of dietary fat and carbohydrate alter faecal microbiome and short-chain fatty acid excretion in a metabolic syndrome 'at-risk' population. *Int J Obes.* (2013) 37:216–23. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2012.33

46. Jumpertz R, Le DS, Turnbaugh PJ, Trinidad C, Bogardus C, Gordon JI, et al. Energy-balance studies reveal associations between gut microbes, caloric load, and nutrient absorption in humans. Am J Clin Nutr. (2011) 94:58–65. doi: 10.3945/ajcn. 110.010132

47. Trumbo P, Schlicker S, Yates AA, Poos M. Dietary reference intakes for energy, carbohydrate, fiber, fat, fatty acids, cholesterol, protein and amino acids. *J Am Dietetic Assoc.* (2002) 102:1621–30. doi: 10.1016/s0002-8223(02)90346-9

48. Snetselaar LG, de Jesus JM, DeSilva DM, Stoody EE. Dietary guidelines for americans, 2020–2025. *Nutr Today.* (2021) 56:287–95.

49. Ginos BNR, Navarro SL, Schwarz Y, Gu H, Wang D, Randolph TW, et al. Circulating bile acids in healthy adults respond differently to a dietary pattern characterized by whole grains, legumes and fruits and vegetables compared to a diet high in refined grains and added sugars: a randomized, controlled, crossover feeding study. *Metabolism.* (2018) 83:197–204. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2018. 02.006

50. Chiang JY. Recent advances in understanding bile acid homeostasis. *F1000Res.* (2017) 6:2029. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.12449.1

51. Staels B, Fonseca VA. Bile acids and metabolic regulation: mechanisms and clinical responses to bile acid sequestration. *Diabetes Care.* (2009) 32:S237-45. doi: 10.2337/dc09-S355

52. Jiang Z, Sun TY, He Y, Gou W, Zuo LS, Fu Y, et al. Dietary fruit and vegetable intake, gut microbiota, and type 2 diabetes: results from two large human cohort studies. *BMC Med.* (2020) 18:371. doi: 10.1186/s12916-020-01842-0

53. Lu J, Wang S, Li M, Gao Z, Xu Y, Zhao X, et al. Association of serum bile acids profile and pathway dysregulation with the risk of developing diabetes among normoglycemic chinese adults: findings from the 4c study. *Diabetes Care.* (2021) 44:499–510. doi: 10.2337/dc20-0884

54. Paik D, Yao L, Zhang Y, Bae S, D'Agostino GD, Zhang M, et al. Human gut bacteria produce T(H)17-modulating bile acid metabolites. *Nature.* (2022) 603:907–12. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-04480-z

55. Farr S, Stankovic B, Hoffman S, Masoudpoor H, Baker C, Taher J, et al. Bile acid treatment and Fxr agonism lower postprandial lipemia in mice. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol.* (2020) 318:G682–93. doi: 10.1152/ajpgi.00386.2018

56. Sinha SR, Haileselassie Y, Nguyen LP, Tropini C, Wang M, Becker LS, et al. Dysbiosis-induced secondary bile acid deficiency promotes intestinal inflammation. *Cell Host Microbe*. (2020) 27:659.e–70.e. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2020. 01.021

57. Frommherz L, Bub A, Hummel E, Rist MJ, Roth A, Watzl B, et al. Age-related changes of plasma bile acid concentrations in healthy adults-results from the crosssectional karmen study. *PLoS One.* (2016) 11:e0153959. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0153959

58. Ocvirk S, Wilson AS, Posma JM, Li JV, Koller KR, Day GM, et al. Prospective cohort analysis of gut microbial co-metabolism in alaska native and rural african people at high and low risk of colorectal cancer. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2020) 111:406–19. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqz301

59. Winston JA, Rivera A, Cai J, Patterson AD, Theriot CM. Secondary bile acid ursodeoxycholic acid alters weight, the gut microbiota, and the bile acid pool in conventional mice. *PLoS One.* (2021) 16(2):e0246161. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0246161

60. Jung DH, Kim GY, Kim IY, Seo DH, Nam YD, Kang H, et al. Bifidobacterium adolescentis P2p3, a human gut bacterium having strong non-gelatinized resistant starch-degrading activity. *J Microbiol Biotechnol.* (2019) 29:1904–15. doi: 10.4014/jmb.1909.09010

61. Duranti S, Milani C, Lugli GA, Mancabelli L, Turroni F, Ferrario C, et al. Evaluation of genetic diversity among strains of the human gut commensal bifidobacterium adolescentis. *Sci Rep.* (2016) 6:23971. doi: 10.1038/srep23971

62. Tsai CC, Lin PP, Hsieh YM, Zhang ZY, Wu HC, Huang CC. Cholesterollowering potentials of lactic acid bacteria based on bile-salt hydrolase activity and effect of potent strains on cholesterol metabolism in vitro and in vivo. *Sci World J.* (2014) 2014;690752. doi: 10.1155/2014/690752

63. Choi SB, Lew LC, Yeo SK, Nair Parvathy S, Liong MT. Probiotics and the Bsh-related cholesterol lowering mechanism: a jekyll and hyde scenario. *Crit Rev Biotechnol.* (2015) 35:392–401. doi: 10.3109/07388551.2014.88 9077