Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Paula Midori Castelo, Federal University of São Paulo, Brazil

REVIEWED BY Selma Cvijetić, Institute for Medical Research and Occupational Health, Croatia Gaetano Isola, University of Catania, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Luo Yi medoryi18.27@163.com Song Jukun songjukun@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share senior authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Nutritional Epidemiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Nutrition

RECEIVED 20 September 2022 ACCEPTED 23 November 2022 PUBLISHED 08 December 2022

CITATION

Jiaxin H, Zhu C, Jing Y, Qianhui S, Fuqian J, Qiyuan P, Yi L and Jukun S (2022) Plasma elaidic acid level is associated with periodontal health in American adults: A cross-sectional study. *Front. Nutr.* 9:1034841.

doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.1034841

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Jiaxin, Zhu, Jing, Qianhui, Fuqian, Qiyua, Yi and Jukun. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Plasma elaidic acid level is associated with periodontal health in American adults: A cross-sectional study

Hu Jiaxin^{1,2}, Chen Zhu¹, Yang Jing¹, Shi Qianhui¹, Jin Fuqian^{1,2}, Pang Qiyuan¹, Luo Yi^{1,2*†} and Song Jukun^{3*†}

¹Guiyang Hospital of Stomatology, Guiyang, Guizhou, China, ²School of Stomatology, Zunyi Medical University, Zunyi, Guizhou, China, ³The Affiliated Stomatological Hospital and Stomatology of Guizhou Medical University, Guizhou Medical University, Guiyang, China

Background: Whether there is an association between TFAs and periodontitis is unclear. The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship between moderate/severe periodontitis and plasma level of elaidic acid, a major trans-fatty acid component, in American adults.

Methods: The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) years 2009–2010 were used to screen a total of 1,610 people. The independent variable of interest is plasma elaidic acid level, the dependent variable is periodontitis, and the covariates include socio-demographic variables, lifestyle variables, systemic diseases, etc. The distribution of variables in the covariate differences between the different independent groups according to tertile was investigated using a multiple linear regression model. To examine the association between plasma elaidic acid levels and moderate/severe periodontitis, three models were used.

Results: Multiple logistic regression analysis showed a significant association between plasma elaidic acid level and moderate/severe periodontitis after adjustment for potential confounders (OR = 1.021, 95%CI: 1.004-1.039, P = 0.01394). Subjects with the highest tertile of plasma elaidic acid levels were 51.9% more likely to have periodontitis compared with subjects with the lowest tertile of plasma elaidic acid levels (OR = 1.519, 95% CI: 1.136-2.030, P = 0.00477). No possible sources of heterogeneity were identified in the subgroup analyses.

Conclusion: Plasma elaidic acid levels are associated with periodontal disease in American adults.

KEYWORDS

NHANES, inflammation, periodontal health, trans fatty acids, elaidic acid, trans-9-octadecenoic acid, TFA

Introduction

Periodontitis is a chronic inflammation and a destructive disease, eventually leading to the gradual loosening of teeth if not diagnosed and treated (1). Massive tooth loss can result in poor diet, which can cause weight loss (2). It may also impair speech and appearance, which could harm one's mental health and sense of self. Approximately 46% of American adults aged 30 and older have periodontitis, which is a high prevalence (3). It was ranked as the sixth most common illness worldwide.

The main pathogenesis of periodontitis is the accumulation of pathogenic microorganisms in plaque, which leads to host inflammatory immune response (4–6). Usually, the periodontitis is controlled by mechanical removal of tartar, and severe cases can be further treated by surgery. However, numerous risk factors, such as a person's lifestyle, underlying illnesses, and sociological factors, can aggravate that degree of periodontitis or increase the probability of occurrence of periodontitis (7–10). And if the aforementioned risk factors are disregarded, the government may struggle to effectively address the national prevalence of periodontitis by raising awareness of periodontal treatment alone (11, 12).

An inflammatory dietary pattern is linked to the prevalence of periodontitis, according to recent studies (13–17). It should be noted that the majority of trans fatty acid (TFA)-rich foods, including cookies, candies, chocolate, potato chips, French fries, other fried foods, and fast food, are inflammatory foods (18, 19).

TFA are non-conjugated unsaturated fatty acids that contain one or more trans double bonds in the molecule. Only a minor quantity of TFA are formed in ruminant rumen fermentation (20), while the majority of TFA are produced in industrial processing by partial hydrogenation of polyunsaturated fatty acid-rich vegetable oils (21). Elaidic acid (EA, 9t18:1, Trans-9-Octadecenoic acid) is the main isomer of TFA, accounting for 80-90% of the total TFA content (22). The addition of EA can make food get better taste and longer shelf-life, so it was often used as a food additive. However, since the 1950s, there has been increasing evidence that EA intake is associated with cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes (23-25). Both a observational study (26) and a short-term randomized trial (27) have shown that systemic inflammation may be a potential mechanism between EA consumption and diabetes and coronary artery disease. Some countries have promulgated restrictions or completely prohibited food companies from adding EA to foods. However, not every country pays attention to this problem, and EA may be ingested by us unconsciously.

Meanwhile, recent studies have found that EA consumption in the diet has a negative impact on the oral condition of mice, associated with periodontal bleeding (28). And for patients after periodontal treatment, banning EA-rich food intake can improve the periodontal prognosis (29).

No previous cross-sectional studies have investigated the relationship between plasma EA and moderate/severe periodontitis. Our aim was to examine the relationship between plasma EA and moderate/severe periodontitis using data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). The NHANES is a national initiative that uses interviews, physicals, and oral exams to collect health data from representative samples of the American population [CDC, National Center for Health Statistics, (30)]. It measures the concentration of EA in plasma from thousands of individuals. Additionally, it includes standardized periodontal examinations performed by dentists, which improves the precision of periodontitis diagnosis. As a result, we carried out the research using the only NHANES plasma EA examination cycle in almost two decades (2009-2010), and we controlled for confounding variables like sociodemographic variables, lifestyle variables, and systemic disease. And in view of the relationship of the role of EA in promoting inflammation, it is assumed that the level of EA in plasma of patients with moderate/severe periodontitis may be higher. In our research, understanding the relationship between periodontitis and plasma EA may help dentists and policymakers create periodontitis prevention strategies that are effective.

Materials and methods

This is a cross-sectional study that uses the published NHANES data set. The NHANES is a continuous national representative sample survey of the US population. Every 2 years since 1999, NHANES data have been made available. Every year, the poll evaluates a sample of 5,000 persons who are roughly nationally representative. These individuals are dispersed around the nation's counties and travel to 15 of them annually. We used a survey cycle of NHANES 2009-2010. Details are available elsewhere (30). The agreement required all participants to provide informed consent, and the National Center for Health Statistics' ethical review committee approved it. As these data are public, the research is exempt from additional approval from the ethical review committee of the local institution. Detailed information about NHANES laboratory/medical technologist methods, anthropometry, laboratory procedures, blood sample collection, stockpiling, diagnostics and quality standards are described elsewhere (31-34) (accessed 19 August 2013).¹

Abbreviations: NHANES, The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; AAP, American Academy of Periodontology; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; T, Tertile; BMI, Body mass index; EA, Elaidic acid; PPD, Probing pocket depth; CAL, Clinical attachment loss; IL-6, Intercleukin-6; EC, endothelial cells; CRP, c-reactive protein; ICAM-1, Intercellular cell adhesion molecule I; NF- κ B, Nuclear factor- κ B; TLR4, Toll like receptors 4; MAPKs, mitogen-activated protein kinases.

 $^{1 \}quad http://www.cdc.gov/NCHS/data/nhanes/nhanes_09_10/CRP_F_met.pdf$

The inclusion criteria included participants who received full periodontal examination (FMPE) and had plasma EA measurements. According to the NHANES guidelines, only subjects 30 years of age and older received a periodontal examination (35). Exclusion criteria include: (1) Incomplete plasma EA test or outliers of plasma EA (Based on variable distribution lookup: find outliers in data by looking at maximum and minimum values); (2) Individuals with the age is less than 30 years old, missing teeth and no periodontal measurement data. A total of 10,537 people were screened, of which 1,610 were interviewed by sampling (**Supplementary Figure 1**).

Periodontal examination and classification

In the discovery dataset (NHANES 2009–2010), each participant's full mouth (four quadrants) was evaluated for no/mild or moderate/severe periodontitis. All participants were examined by trained and calibrated dentists. The probing pocket depth (PPD) and clinical attachment loss (CAL) both show good reliability; interclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) range from 0.80 to 0.90 and 0.79 to 0.86, respectively. Periodontists probed six sites per tooth (mesio-, mid-, disto-buccal and mesio-, mid-, disto-lingual) during the periodontal examination. In order to assess periodontal health, only 28 teeth and 168 sites per individual could be examined due to the exclusion of third molars.

For the classification of periodontal disease, we used the CDC/AAP (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and American Academy of Periodontology) case definitions (36). The term "no or mild periodontitis" was defined as no evidence of moderate, or severe periodontitis; Moderate/Severe: ≥ 2 interproximal areas, CAL ≥ 4 mm (not on the same tooth), or ≥ 2 interproximal areas, PPD ≥ 5 mm; the participants were divided into two groups based on their level of periodontitis, no/mild and moderate/severe (34).

Plasma elaidic acid

Blood samples were taken from participants' anterior elbow veins by professional doctors to evaluate the concentration of selected TFA in plasma. This is part of the NHANES agreement. Following acidic and basic hydrolysis, fatty acids in plasma are converted to free fatty acids. Liquid-liquid extraction was used to extract the free fatty acids, which were then derivatized with pentafluorobenzyl bromide (PFB-Br). Capillary gas chromatography was used to separate the fatty acids, and negative chemical ionization mass spectrometry was used to detect them. The specific mass to charge ratio of the ions formed in the ion source, as well as the chromatographic retention times of fatty acids, are then used to identify them. After that, the retention times are compared to those obtained using previously defined criteria. Internal standards were stable isotope-labeled fatty acids, which were quantified using standard solutions. This measurement procedure was used to determine 29 fatty acids and calculate TFA as a percentage of total fatty acids. These fatty acids constitute 95 percent of all fatty acids found in plasma. The approach describes four TFAs, one of which is EA (37).

Potential confounders

Sociodemographic variables were age, gender, body mass index (BMI) (38), race/ethnicity, education level, and annual household income. We stratified the age of the continuous variables into \leq 60 years, > 60 years and Separate non-Hispanic whites and others by race/ethnicity (39). Then, we stratified how many days use dental floss/device: 0-1, 2-4, and ≥ 5 days in the past week (40). Education levels were lower than high school, bachelor's degree, and above, and family income was divided into three categories: under \$20,000, between \$20,000 and \$75,000, and over \$75,000. Lifestyle variables included smoker (smoked at least 100 cigarettes in life), drinker (have had at least 12 alcoholic drinks in 1 year), how healthy is the diet and vitamin C intake (on the first day's total nutrient intake) (41). Systemic disease with a doctor diagnosis (hypertension, diabetes, sleep disorder, high cholesterol level, nephrasthenia). We opted to adapt our analysis to account for these potential confounding factors because periodontal disease may be linked to the covariates described above (10, 42-44).

Statistical analysis

According to the standard of CDC guidelines, the data were statistically analyzed.² The mean and standard deviation were used to describe continuous variables with a normal distribution, while categorical variables were stated in frequency or percentage. In order to know whether the plasma EA concentration of the participants included in the study is related to the severity of periodontitis, we divided the statistical analysis into three main steps. To begin, the plasma EA concentrations were separated into three groups based on the tertile level, and the baseline data distribution of participants in this study in various plasma EA concentrations (tertile and overall) was provided (45). The differences between the three tertile groups are demonstrated using the chi-square test (categorical variables), One-Way ANOVA (normal distribution), or Kruskal-Wallis test (skewed distribution). To calculate adjusted risk ratios and examine the relationship between plasma EA and moderate

² https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/tutorials/default.aspx

TABLE 1 Characteristics of participants (N = 1,610).

OverallT1 (2.66-10.60)T2 (10.70-16.30)T3 (16.40-39.40)No. of participants1.610534534542Plasma EA (µmol/L, mean \pm SD)14.80 \pm 7.038.06 \pm 1.7013.41 \pm 1.6022.82 \pm 5.53<0.00Age (years, mean \pm SD)52.11 \pm 14.2150.39 \pm 13.7951.82 \pm 14.1354.08 \pm 14.47<0.00Vitamin C (mg, mean \pm SD)85.15 \pm 92.4395.70 \pm 101.9181.71 \pm 83.4178.16 \pm 90.280.00Age group, n (%)0.010.01 < 60 years472 (29.32%)137 (25.66%)136 (71.16%)361 (66.61%)> 60 years472 (29.32%)137 (25.66%)243 (45.51%)252 (46.49%)Gender, n (%)0.010.01Male770 (47.83%)275 (51.50%)243 (45.51%)252 (46.49%)Female840 (52.17%)259 (48.50%)291 (54.49%)290 (53.51%)RMI (Kg/m², mean \pm SD)29.50 \pm 6.6927.53 \pm 5.6529.68 \pm 6.8731.25 \pm 6.94<0.00Racelethnicity, n (%)0.010.01Non-Hispanic whites752 (46.71%)227 (42.51%)246 (46.07%)279 (51.48%)Others858 (53.29%)307 (57.49%)283 (43.63%)343 (63.28%)<0.00College470 (27.6%)147 (27.53%)164 (30.71%)136 (25.09%)<0.00College376 (23.35%)180 (33.71%)135 (25.28%)61 (11.25%)<0.00Annual household income, n (%)20.
No. of participants 1.610 534 534 542 Plasma EA (µmol/L, mean \pm SD) 14.80 \pm 7.03 8.06 \pm 1.70 13.41 \pm 1.60 22.82 \pm 5.53 <0.00 Age (years, mean \pm SD) 52.11 \pm 14.21 50.39 \pm 13.79 51.82 \pm 14.13 54.08 \pm 14.47 <0.00 Age group, n (%)
Plasma EA (µmol/L, mean \pm SD) 14.80 \pm 7.03 8.06 \pm 1.70 13.41 \pm 1.60 22.82 \pm 5.53 <0.00
Age (years, mean \pm SD)52.11 \pm 14.2150.39 \pm 13.7951.82 \pm 14.1354.08 \pm 14.47<0.00Vitamin C (mg, mean \pm SD)85.15 \pm 92.4395.70 \pm 101.9181.71 \pm 83.4178.16 \pm 90.280.00Age group, n (%)
Age group, $n(\%)$ 0.00 ≤ 60 years 1,138 (70.68%) 397 (74.34%) 380 (71.16%) 361 (66.61%) > 60 years 472 (29.32%) 137 (25.66%) 154 (28.84%) 181 (33.39%) Gender, $n(\%)$
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $
> 60 years 472 (29.32%) 137 (25.66%) 154 (28.84%) 181 (33.39%)Gender, n (%) 70 (47.83%) 275 (51.50%) 243 (45.51%) 252 (46.49%)Male 70 (07.83%) 275 (51.50%) 243 (45.51%) 290 (53.51%)BMI (Kg/m²,nean \pm SD) 29.50 ± 6.69 27.53 ± 5.65 29.68 ± 6.87 31.25 ± 6.94 <0.00 Racc/ethnicity, n (%) 752 (46.71%) 227 (42.51%) 246 (46.07%) 279 (51.48%) <0.00 Non-Hispanic whites 752 (46.71%) 227 (42.51%) 246 (46.07%) 279 (51.48%) <0.00 Others 853.298 307 (53.76%) 288 (53.93%) 263 (48.52%) <0.00 Education level (%) 752 (46.71%) 207 (38.76%) 233 (43.63%) 343 (63.28%) <0.00 \leq High school 783 (48.63%) 207 (38.76%) 233 (43.63%) 343 (63.28%) <0.00 \leq College 474 (27.76%) 147 (27.53%) 164 (30.71%) 136 (25.09%) <0.00 \leq College 470 (27.6%) 147 (27.53%) 164 (30.71%) 127 (21.59%) <0.00 \leq College 376 (23.35%) 180 (33.71%) 135 (25.28%) 61 (11.25%) <0.00 \leq Colloge 273 (16.96%) 65 (12.17%) 91 (17.04%) 171 (21.59%) <0.00 \leq Colloge 273 (16.96%) 254 (48.5%) 264 (48.7%) 15 (2.7%) \leq Colloge 7500 (66 (41.0%) 254 (48.8%) 264 (48.7%) 151 (2.7%) \leq Colloge 511 ($13.$
Gender, n (%) 70 (47.83%) 275 (51.50%) 243 (45.51%) 252 (46.49%) Fenale 840 (52.17%) 259 (48.50%) 291 (54.49%) 290 (53.51%) BMI (Kg/m ² ,mean ± SD) 29.50 ± 6.69 27.53 ± 5.65 29.68 ± 6.87 31.25 ± 6.94 <0.00
Male 770 (47.83%) 275 (51.50%) 243 (45.51%) 252 (46.49%)Female 840 (52.17%) 259 (48.50%) 291 (54.49%) 290 (53.51%)BMI (Kg/m ² ,mean \pm SD) 29.50 ± 6.69 27.53 ± 5.65 29.68 ± 6.87 31.25 ± 6.94 <0.00 Race/ethnicity, n ($\%$) 752 (46.71%) 227 (42.51%) 246 (46.07%) 279 (51.48%) <0.00 Non-Hispanic whites 752 (46.71%) 227 (42.51%) 246 (46.07%) 279 (51.48%) <0.00 Others 858 (53.29%) 307 (57.49%) 288 (53.93%) 263 (48.52%) <0.00 Education level ($\%$) 752 (46.71%) 207 (38.76%) 233 (43.63%) 343 (63.28%) <0.00 College 474 (27.76%) 147 (27.53%) 164 (30.71%) 136 (25.09%) <0.00 $> College$ 376 (23.35%) 180 (33.71%) 135 (52.28%) 61 (11.25%) <0.00 $Annual household income, n (\%)273 (16.96\%)65 (12.17\%)91 (17.04\%)117 (21.59\%)<0.002,0000273 (16.96\%)25 (46.8\%)26 (48.7\%)15 (2.77\%)<0.00<20,000510 (11.07\%)219 (11.01\%)159 (29.78\%)133 (24.54\%)<0.00>75,00066 (4.10\%)25 (46.8\%)26 (48.7\%)15 (2.77\%)<0.00<75,00066 (4.10\%)219 (41.01\%)159 (29.78\%)133 (24.54\%)<0.007673$
Female840 (52.17%)259 (48.50%)291 (54.49%)290 (53.51%)BMI (Kg/m²,mean ± SD)29.50 ± 6.6927.53 ± 5.6529.68 ± 6.8731.25 ± 6.94<0.00
BMI (Kg/m ² ,mean ± SD) 29,50 ± 6.69 27,33 ± 5.65 29.68 ± 6.87 31.25 ± 6.94 <0.00
Rac/ethnicity, n (%) 0.0 Non-Hispanic whites 752 (46.71%) 227 (42.51%) 246 (46.07%) 279 (51.48%) Others 858 (53.29%) 307 (57.49%) 288 (53.93%) 263 (48.52%) Education level (%) <
Non-Hispanic whites 752 (46.71%) 227 (42.51%) 246 (46.07%) 279 (51.48%)Others 858 (53.29%) 307 (57.49%) 288 (53.93%) 263 (48.52%)Education level (%) $< < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < $
Others858 (53.29%)307 (57.49%)288 (53.93%)263 (48.52%)Education level (%)<
Education level (%) <
$ \leq \text{High school} $ 783 (48.63%) 207 (38.76%) 233 (43.63%) 343 (63.28%) College 447 (27.76%) 147 (27.53%) 164 (30.71%) 136 (25.09%) > College 376 (23.35%) 180 (33.71%) 135 (25.28%) 61 (11.25%) Missing data 4 (0.25%) 0 (0.00%) 2 (0.37%) 2 (0.37%) Annual household income, n (%) $< 273 (16.96\%) 65 (12.17\%) 91 (17.04\%) 117 (21.59\%) 20,000 - 75,000 760 (47.20%) 225 (42.13%) 258 (48.31%) 277 (51.11%) > 75,000 66 (4.10%) 25 (4.68%) 26 (4.87%) 15 (2.77%) Missing data 511 (31.74%) 219 (41.01%) 159 (29.78%) 133 (24.54%) Smoker, n (%) Yes 673 (41.80%) 206 (38.58%) 212 (39.70%) 255 (47.05%)No 937 (58.20%) 328 (61.42%) 322 (60.30%) 255 (47.05%)Di he (%) $
College $447 (27.76\%)$ $147 (27.53\%)$ $164 (30.71\%)$ $136 (25.09\%)$ > College $376 (23.35\%)$ $180 (33.71\%)$ $135 (25.28\%)$ $61 (11.25\%)$ Missing data $4 (0.25\%)$ $0 (0.00\%)$ $2 (0.37\%)$ $2 (0.37\%)$ Annual household income, $n (\%)$ $-2 (0.37\%)$ $2 (0.37\%)$ -0.00 < $20,000$ \$ $273 (16.96\%)$ $65 (12.17\%)$ $91 (17.04\%)$ $117 (21.59\%)$ $20,000$ -75,000\$ $760 (47.20\%)$ $225 (42.13\%)$ $258 (48.31\%)$ $277 (51.11\%)$ > 75,000\$ $66 (4.10\%)$ $219 (41.01\%)$ $159 (29.78\%)$ $133 (24.54\%)$ Smoker, $n (\%)$ $-75 (32.6\%)$ $206 (38.58\%)$ $212 (39.70\%)$ $255 (47.05\%)$ No $937 (58.20\%)$ $206 (38.58\%)$ $212 (39.70\%)$ $255 (47.05\%)$ No $937 (58.20\%)$ $206 (38.58\%)$ $212 (0.30\%)$ $255 (47.05\%)$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Missing data $4 (0.25\%)$ $0 (0.00\%)$ $2 (0.37\%)$ $2 (0.37\%)$ Annual household income, $n (\%)$ <
Annual household income, n (%) <0.00
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
>75,000\$ 66 (4.10%) 25 (4.68%) 26 (4.87%) 15 (2.77%) Missing data 511 (31.74%) 219 (41.01%) 159 (29.78%) 133 (24.54%) Smoker, n (%) 0.00 Yes 673 (41.80%) 206 (38.58%) 212 (39.70%) 255 (47.05%) No 937 (58.20%) 328 (61.42%) 322 (60.30%) 287 (52.95%)
Missing data 511 (31.74%) 219 (41.01%) 159 (29.78%) 133 (24.54%) Smoker, n (%) 0.00 Yes 673 (41.80%) 206 (38.58%) 212 (39.70%) 255 (47.05%) No 937 (58.20%) 328 (61.42%) 322 (60.30%) 287 (52.95%)
Smoker, n (%) 0.00 Yes 673 (41.80%) 206 (38.58%) 212 (39.70%) 255 (47.05%) No 937 (58.20%) 328 (61.42%) 322 (60.30%) 287 (52.95%)
Yes 673 (41.80%) 206 (38.58%) 212 (39.70%) 255 (47.05%) No 937 (58.20%) 328 (61.42%) 322 (60.30%) 287 (52.95%)
No 937 (58.20%) 328 (61.42%) 322 (60.30%) 287 (52.95%)
Drinker, n (%) 0.0:
Yes 1,089 (67.64%) 362 (67.79%) 365 (68.35%) 362 (66.79%)
No 405 (25.16%) 126 (23.60%) 125 (23.41%) 154 (28.41%)
Missing data 116 (7.20%) 46 (8.61%) 44 (8.24%) 26 (4.80%)
Hypertension, <i>n</i> (%) 0.00
Yes 582 (36.15%) 176 (32.96%) 175 (32.77%) 231 (42.62%)
No 1,026 (63.73%) 358 (67.04%) 358 (67.04%) 310 (57.20%)
Missing data 2 (0.12%) 0 (0.00%) 1 (0.19%) 1 (0.18%)
Diabetes, <i>n</i> (%) 0.14
Yes 185 (11.49%) 62 (11.61%) 46 (8.61%) 77 (14.21%)
No 1,386 (86.09%) 460 (86.14%) 474 (88.76%) 452 (83.39%)
Borderline 37 (2.30%) 11 (2.06%) 14 (2.62%) 12 (2.21%)
Missing data 2 (0.12%) 1 (0.19%) 0 (0.00%) 1 (0.18%)
Sleep disorder, <i>n</i> (%) 0.60
Yes 119 (7.39%) 38 (7.12%) 44 (8.24%) 37 (6.83%)
No 1,487 (92.36%) 494 (92.51%) 488 (91.39%) 505 (93.17%)
Missing data 4 (0.25%) 2 (0.37%) 2 (0.37%) 0 (0.00%)

(Continued)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Characteristic		Plasma EA, µmol/L								
	Overall	T1 (2.66–10.60)	T2 (10.70–16.30)	T3 (16.40-39.40)						
High cholesterol level, <i>n</i> (%)					< 0.001					
Yes	536 (33.29%)	141 (26.40%)	185 (34.64%)	210 (38.75%)						
No	704 (43.73%)	287 (53.75%)	229 (42.88%)	188 (34.69%)						
Missing data	370 (22.98%)	106 (19.85%)	120 (22.47%)	144 (26.57%)						
Nephrasthenia, n (%)					0.639					
Yes	30 (1.86%)	8 (1.50%)	8 (1.50%)	14 (2.58%)						
No	1,573 (97.70%)	524 (98.13%)	523 (97.94%)	526 (97.05%)						
Missing data	7 (0.43%)	2 (0.37%)	3 (0.56%)	2 (0.37%)						
How many days use dental floss/devi	ice				< 0.001					
1	627(38.94%)	180 (33.71%)	213 (39.89%)	234 (43.17%)						
2-4	420(26.09%)	132 (24.72%)	136 (25.47%)	152 (28.04%)						
5–7	563(34.97%)	222 (41.57%)	185 (34.64%)	156 (28.78%)						
How healthy is the diet					< 0.001					
Excellent	128(7.95%)	70 (13.11%)	35 (6.55%)	23 (4.24%)						
Very good	319(19.81%)	141 (26.40%)	106 (19.85%)	72 (13.28%)						
Good	714(44.35%)	211 (39.51%)	237 (44.38%)	266 (49.08%)						
Fair	381(23.66%)	101 (18.91%)	127 (23.78%)	153 (28.23%)						
Poor	67(4.16%)	10 (1.87%)	29 (5.43%)	28 (5.17%)						
Don't know	1(0.06%)	1 (0.19%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)						
CDC/AAP case definition, <i>n</i> (%)					< 0.001					
Non/mild periodontitis	876 (54.41%)	315 (58.99%)	309 (57.87%)	252 (46.49%)						
Moderate/severe periodontitis	734 (45.59%)	219 (41.01%)	225 (42.13%)	290 (53.51%)						

AAP, American Academy of Periodontology; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; T, Tertile; BMI, Body mass index; EA, elaidic acid.

TABLE 2 Multivariate logistic regression model for association between plasma EA (umol/L) and moderate/severe periodontitis in different models.

Exposure	Model (OR, 95% CI,	I P-value)	Model (OR, 95% CI,	II P-value)	Model I (OR, 95% CI, J	II P-value)	
Plasma EA (umol/L)	1.029 (1.014, 1.043)	0.00009	1.028 (1.013, 1.043)	0.00021	1.021 (1.004, 1.039)	0.01394	
Plasma EA (umol/L)							
T1 (2.66–10.60)	1.0		1.0		1.0		
T2 (10.70–16.30)	1.047 (0.821, 1.336)	0.70951	1.068 (0.827, 1.379)	0.61555	1.075 (0.814, 1.420)	0.60835	
T3 (16.40-39.40)	1.655 (1.300, 2.107)	0.00004	1.660 (1.288, 2.139)	0.00009	1.519 (1.136, 2.030)	0.00477	
<i>p</i> -value for trend	0.00004		0.00008		0.00452		

CI, confidence interval.

Model I adjust for: None. Model II adjust for: Age; Gender.

Model III adjust for: Age; Gender; Race/ethnicity; Body mass index; Education level; Annual household income; Smoker; Drinker; Hypertension; Diabetes; Sleep disorder; High cholesterol level; Nephrasthenia; How many days use dental floss/device; How healthy is the diet, Vitamin C.

to severe periodontal disease, we used multivariable projected marginal proportions from logistic regression models in the second stage. There are three statistical models are constructed: model I, without adjusting covariates; Model II, only the age and gender are adjusted; Model III, adjust all covariates: Age; Gender; Race/ethnicity; BMI; Education level; Annual household income; Smoker; Drinker; Hypertension; Diabetes; Sleep disorder; High cholesterol level; Nephrasthenia; How many days use dental floss/device; How healthy is the diet, Vitamin C. Finally, we looked at age, gender, and race/ethnicity subgroups to see if there were any sources of heterogeneity.

It is worth noting that due to the missing data in NHANES database, we need to be filled for data integrity. If only complete cases are used for data analysis, the sample size will be insufficient, and our findings may be biased. Therefore, we use mean interpolation to the missing values (co-variates of continuous variables), whose main purpose is to maximize the statistical ability and avoid the loss of samples as much as possible. We conducted a sensitivity analysis to ensure the

Age	N								OR	95%C	I	P for interaction
≤60 years	1138			+	-				1.021	(1.000, 1.	042)	0.5674
>60 years	472				-				1.020	(0.988, 1.	054)	
Gender												
Male	770					•			1.040	(1.014, 1.	065)	0.1593
Female	840			•					1.003	(0.979, 1.	028)	
Race/ethnicity												
Non - Hispanic Whites	752				-				1.020	(0.995, 1.	046)	0.2879
Others	858			-	+				1.024	(1.000, 1.	049)	
		0.960	0.980	1.000	1.020	1.040	1.060	1.080				

Subgroup analyses of the associations between the tertiles of plasma EA and moderate/severe periodontitis by age, gender and race/ethnicity in the discovery dataset (NHANES 2009–2010; n = 1,610). The adjustment model was the same as model III in **Table 2** except that the covariates tested as effect modifiers were not adjusted. Periodontitis is defined by the CDC/AAP case definition.

accuracy of the data analysis. All analyses are made by statistical software R (R Foundation)³ and Empower Stats.⁴ *P*-value less than 0.05 (two sides) is regarded as statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of the study sample

Baseline characteristics for study participants were divided into three groups (Tertiles, T1-T3) by plasma EA level (Table 1). Gender, diabetes, sleep disorder and nephrasthenia were similar in the different groups of plasma EA (all *p*-values > 0.05).

The average age of the participants was 52.11 years, 770 (47.83%) were male and 840 (52.17%) were female. A total of 45.59% of participants have moderate or severe periodontitis. Compared with T1 and T2, lower proportion of T3 participants \leq 60 years of age. Participants in T3 (individuals with high plasma EA concentrations) were more likely to be non-Hispanic white, less educated, to floss/use devices on a relatively infrequent basis, to need to improve their dietary health, to consume less vitamin C, and to have lower annual household income as compared to T1 (individuals with low plasma EA concentrations). In addition, they smoke and drink more, and have higher BMI, hypertension prevalence rate, cholesterol levels and moderate/severe periodontal disease prevalence rate.

Plasma elaidic acid and periodontal disease

 Table 2 lists the association between plasma EA level and moderate/severe periodontitis. The model I showed that plasma

EA level increased the odds of moderate/severe periodontitis, with OR 1.029 (CI: 1.014, 1.043), P = 0.00009. A similar result was detected after adjusting the age group and gender in model II. Under the completely adjusted model (model III), adjusting age group, gender, race/ethnicity, BMI, education level, annual household income, smoker, drinker, hypertension, diabetes, sleep disorder, high cholesterol level, nephrasthenia, how many days use dental floss/device; how healthy is the diet, and vitamin C intake. The model III showed that plasma EA level increased the odds of moderate/severe periodontitis, with OR = 1.021 (95%CI: 1.004–1.039), P = 0.01394. It is means that for every unit of plasma EA level increased, the odds of moderate/severe periodontitis increased by 2.1%.

To ensure the results' robustness, convert continuous independent variables into classified variables. The plasma EA levels were stratified into categorical variables according to the Tertile, and the trend P was estimated (**Table 2**). In the full adjustment model, the estimated risk rate of plasma EA levels in T2 and T3 were 7.5 and 51.9%, respectively, as compared to the reference T1, and the trend P = 0.00452.

Subgroup analyses

The subgroup analysis was conducted to identify possible sources of heterogeneity. The interaction term did not reach significance (P _{interaction} > 0.05) when analyses were stratified by age, gender and race/ethnicity (**Figure 1**). Interaction analysis showed a stronger association between plasma EA levels and moderate/severe periodontitis in the male population (OR = 1.040, 95% CI: 1.014–1.065), although there was no statistical significance for the interaction (P_{interaction} = 0.1593).

Discussion

According to this population-based observational study (NHANES 2009-2010), plasma EA is associated to

³ http://www.rproject.org

⁴ http://www.empowerstats.net/cn/download.html

moderate/severe periodontitis in American adults. The primary findings remained consistent and robust across all validations and sensitivity analyses after adjustment for a variety of potential confounders. It's interesting that we discovered higher odds of periodontitis in participants with higher tertile. This means that target groups with high EA consumption, such as those with food insecurity and obesity, should prioritize EA restrictions (46, 47). In addition, compared with the participants in the lowest tertile, the percentage of participants in the highest tertile who are younger than 60 years old is lower. This could be because the use of TFAs (EA) in the food sector has long been unrestricted, resulting in higher cumulative EA intake among the elderly (48).

The association between TFA and a pro-inflammatory dietary pattern as well as the relationship between a pro-inflammatory dietary pattern and periodontitis are increasingly known (19, 39). This relationship is the premise of our study hypothesis that TFA as a typical pro-inflammatory food may be potential odds for periodontitis. A cross-sectional study found that people who consumed more TFA had an increased percentage of bleeding sites on periodontal probing (49). And in a prospective study, the diet of patients undergoing nonsurgical periodontal treatment was optimized for 4 weeks (intake of vitamins, fiber, and restriction of TFA intake). This study found that the reduction of full-mouth bleeding score and PPD was more significant in the population with optimized diet than in the group with only periodontal treatment (29). In a cross-sectional study of patients with stable coronary artery disease (50), it was found that dietary patterns of patients with periodontitis favor the eating of fried foods, which are known to be rich in TFA. However, these clinical studies contain too many confounding factors, and whether TFA play a real role in it is not known.

Recently reported studies have found that EA has proinflammatory potential, which seems to be able to link this result with TFAs, pro-inflammatory dietary pattern and the relationship with periodontitis (21). The proinflammatory effect may be the reason why it becomes odds factor for periodontitis. EA has been demonstrated in animal models to increase c-reactive protein (CRP) in blood and cerebrospinal fluid (51). It is incorporated into endothelial cells (EC) in a dose-dependent manner, and most likely plays a major role in inflammation after incorporation into cell membranes (52). It stimulates the development of pro-inflammatory biomarkers including increased intercellular cell adhesion molecule I (ICAM-1) and nuclear factor- KB (NF- KB) (53). The activation of toll like receptors 4 (TLR4) in the cell membrane is thought to be responsible for the production of inflammation in

EC by EA (54). It then causes mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) to be phosphorylated, which increases the release of inflammatory proteins like Interleukin-6 (IL-6), Vascular cell adhesion molecule-1, and ICAM-1 mRNA. Another mechanism of EA is that it can deeply activate the NF-KB signal, manifested as an approximately twofold increase in inhibitor of NF-KB phosphorylation, followed by an increase in IL-6 concentration and TNF α expression (55). Notably, circulating inflammatory mediators such as TNF- α , IL-6 and CPR serve as the link between systemic chronic inflammatory diseases and chronic periodontitis, and better early diagnosis of periodontitis by these inflammatory biomarkers (56, 57). In conclusion, EA may increase the odds of periodontitis if it significantly affects systemic inflammation and leads to chronic inflammatory diseases.

The approach we looked at has some drawbacks. We are unable to more thoroughly assess the impact of plasma EA on periodontitis because of the limited amount of data available in the NHANES database. Second, because our results are based on the population of the United States, they might not be applicable to other nations. Finally, a crosssectional study is the type of research design used. We are unable to determine a causal link between plasma EA levels and moderate or severe periodontitis due to the method's inherent limitations.

At the same time, our study has some advantages. Firstly, the periodontal diagnosis is based on a comprehensive examination of six parts of each tooth, which is considered the gold standard method (58). Secondly, because NHANES data was collected on all 7 days of the week throughout the year, the possibility of selection bias is quite minimal. And unlike data of dietary retrospective interview, data for plasma EA levels avoid the potential for recall bias.

Conclusion

Our study found that moderate/severe periodontitis in adults in the United States may be associated with plasma EA levels. For target groups with high EA consumption such as food insecurity and obesity, the periodontal health benefits of reducing EA intake may be more pronounced. Those findings provide further support for the recommendation to limit transfatty acid consumption. The relationship between EA levels in plasma and periodontitis needs more studies to confirm.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/.

Author contributions

HJ designed the research, contributed to data interpretation, and drafted the manuscript. CZ, YJ, JF, PQ, and SQ contributed to data interpretation and the manuscript. LY and SJ reviewed the article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research was funded by Science and Technology Fund Project of Guizhou Provincial Health Commission (gzwkj2022-161), the Science and Technology Plan Project of Guiyang in 2014 ([2014]003) and 2019 ([2019]9-7-13), and Guiyang Hospital of Stomatology.

Acknowledgments

We thank the NHANES staff and investigators. We also thank to the financial support of Guizhou Provincial Health Commission and Guiyang Municipal Bureau of Science and Technology.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fnut.2022.1034841/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Kinane DF, Stathopoulou PG, Papapanou PN. Periodontal diseases. *Nat Rev Dis Primers.* (2017) 3:17038. doi: 10.1038/nrdp. 2017.38

2. Griffin SO, Jones JA, Brunson D, Griffin PM, Bailey WD. Burden of oral disease among older adults and implications for public health priorities. *Am J Public Health.* (2012) 102:411–8. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2011.30 0362

3. Eke PI, Dye BA, Wei L, Slade GD, Thornton-Evans GO, Borgnakke WS, et al. Update on prevalence of periodontitis in adults in the United States: NHANES 2009 to 2012. *J Periodontol.* (2015) 86:611–22. doi: 10.1902/jop.2015.14 0520

4. Cekici A, Kantarci A, Hasturk H, Van Dyke TE. Inflammatory and immune pathways in the pathogenesis of periodontal disease. *Periodontol 2000.* (2014) 64:57–80. doi: 10.1111/prd.12002

5. Haffajee AD, Socransky SS. Microbial etiological agents of destructive periodontal diseases. *Periodontol.* (2000) 1994:78–111. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0757. 1994.tb00020.x

6. Lo Giudice G, Lo Giudice R, Matarese G, Isola G, Cicciù M, Terranova A, et al. Valutazione dei sistemi di ingrandimento in odontoiatria conservativa e restaurativa. Studio in vitro - Evaluation of magnification systems in restorative dentistry. An in-vitro study. *Dental Cadmos.* (2015) 83:296–305. doi: 10.1016/S0011-8524(15)30036-2

7. Choi SE, Sima C, Pandya A. Impact of treating oral disease on preventing vascular diseases: a model-based cost-effectiveness analysis of periodontal treatment among patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* (2020) 43:563–71. doi: 10.2337/dc19-1201

8. Demmer RT, Jacobs DR Jr., Desvarieux M. Periodontal disease and incident type 2 diabetes: results from the First National Health epidemiologic Examination Survey and Nutrition and its follow Diabetes Care. (2008) 31:1373-9. doi: 10.2337/dc08up study. 0026

9. Kang J, Smith S, Pavitt S, Wu J. Association between central obesity and tooth loss in the non-obese people: results from the continuous National Health and

Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 1999-2012. J Clin Periodontol. (2019) 46:430–7. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.13091

10. Gay IC, Tran DT, Paquette DW. Alcohol intake and periodontitis in adults aged =30 years: NHANES 2009-2012. *J Periodontol.* (2018) 89:625–34. doi: 10.1002/JPER.17-0276

11. Chapple IL. Time to take periodontitis seriously. *Bmj.* (2014) 348:g2645. doi: 10.1136/bmj.g2645

12. Bouchard P, Carra MC, Boillot A, Mora F, Rangé H. Risk factors in periodontology: a conceptual framework. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2017) 44:125–31. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12650

13. Alhassani AA, Hu FB, Li Y, Rosner BA, Willett WC, Joshipura KJ. The associations between major dietary patterns and risk of periodontitis. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2021) 48:2–13. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.13380

14. Aoki Y, Yee J, Mortensen ME. Blood cadmium by race/hispanic origin: the role of smoking. *Environ Res.* (2017) 155:193–8. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2017.02.016

15. Bawadi HA, Khader YS, Haroun TF, Al-Omari M, Tayyem RF. The association between periodontal disease, physical activity and healthy diet among adults in Jordan. *J Periodontal Res.* (2011) 46:74–81. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0765.2010. 01314.x

16. Cavicchia PP, Steck SE, Hurley TG, Hussey JR, Ma Y, Ockene IS, et al. A new dietary inflammatory index predicts interval changes in serum high-sensitivity C-reactive protein. *J Nutr.* (2009) 139:2365–72. doi: 10.3945/jn.109.114025

17. Wirth MD, Burch J, Shivappa N, Violanti JM, Burchfiel CM, Fekedulegn D, et al. Association of a dietary inflammatory index with inflammatory indices and metabolic syndrome among police officers. *J Occup Environ Med.* (2014) 56:986–9. doi: 10.1097/JOM.00000000000213

18. Kris-Etherton PM, Lefevre M, Mensink RP, Petersen B, Fleming J, Flickinger BD. Trans fatty acid intakes and food sources in the U.S. population: NHANES 1999-2002. *Lipids*. (2012) 47:931–40. doi: 10.1007/s11745-012-3704-z

19. Mazidi M, Gao HK, Shivappa N, Wirth MD, Hebert JR, Kengne AP. The relationship of plasma Trans fatty acids with dietary inflammatory index among US adults. *Lipids Health Dis.* (2017) 16:147. doi: 10.1186/s12944-017-0527-1

20. Bendsen NT, Christensen R, Bartels EM, Astrup A. Consumption of industrial and ruminant trans fatty acids and risk of coronary heart disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* (2011) 65:773–83. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2011.34

21. Oteng AB, Kersten S. Mechanisms of action of trans fatty acids. *Adv Nutr.* (2020) 11:697–708. doi: 10.1093/advances/nmz125

22. Mozaffarian D, Aro A, Willett WC. Health effects of trans-fatty acids: experimental and observational evidence. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* (2009) 63(Suppl 2):S5–21. doi: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602973

23. Johnston PV, Johnson OC, Kummerow FA. Occurrence of trans fatty acids in human tissue. *Science*. (1957) 126:698–9. doi: 10.1126/science.126.3276.698.b

24. Mazidi M, Wong ND, Katsiki N, Mikhailidis DP, Banach M. Dietary patterns, plasma vitamins and Trans fatty acids are associated with peripheral artery disease. *Lipids Health Dis.* (2017) 16:254. doi: 10.1186/s12944-017-0635-y

25. Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, Colditz GA, Speizer FE, Rosner BA, et al. Intake of trans fatty acids and risk of coronary heart disease among women. *Lancet.* (1993) 341:581–5. doi: 10.1016/0140-6736(93)90350-P

26. Mozaffarian D, Pischon T, Hankinson SE, Rifai N, Joshipura K, Willett WC, et al. Dietary intake of trans fatty acids and systemic inflammation in women. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2004) 79:606–12. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/79.4.606

27. Baer DJ, Judd JT, Clevidence BA, Tracy RP. Dietary fatty acids affect plasma markers of inflammation in healthy men fed controlled diets: a randomized crossover study. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2004) 79:969–73. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/79.6.969

28. Wang T, Lim JN, Lee JS, Lee SB, Hwang JH, Jung US, et al. Effects of dietary trans-9 octadecenoic acid, trans-11 vaccenic acid and cis-9, trans-11 conjugated linoleic acid in mice. *Mol Med Rep.* (2015) 12:3200–6. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2015.3767

29. De Angelis P, Gasparini G, Manicone PF, Passarelli PC, Azzolino D, Rella E, et al. The effect of an optimized diet as an adjunct to non-surgical periodontal therapy in subjects with periodontitis: a prospective study. *Healthcare.* (2022) 10:583. doi: 10.3390/healthcare10030583

30. Zipf G, Chiappa M, Porter KS, Ostchega Y, Lewis BG, Dostal J. National health and nutrition examination survey: plan and operations, 1999-2010. *Vital Health Stat 1*. (2013) 1:1–37.

31. Mazidi M, Michos ED, Banach M. The association of telomere length and serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels in US adults: the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Arch Med Sci.* (2017) 13:61–5. doi: 10.5114/aoms.2017.64714

32. Ogbera AO. Prevalence and gender distribution of the metabolic syndrome. Diabetol Metab Syndr. (2010) 2:1. doi: 10.1186/1758-5996-2-1

33. Liu B, Du Y, Wu Y, Snetselaar LG, Wallace RB, Bao W. Trends in obesity and adiposity measures by race or ethnicity among adults in the United States 2011-18: population based study. *Bmj.* (2021) 372:n365. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n365

34. Weintraub JA, Lopez Mitnik G, Dye BA. Oral diseases associated with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in the United States. *J Dent Res.* (2019) 98:1219–26. doi: 10.1177/0022034519866442

35. Eke PI, Borgnakke WS, Genco RJ. Recent epidemiologic trends in periodontitis in the USA. *Periodontol 2000.* (2020) 82:257–67. doi: 10.1111/prd. 12323

36. Page RC, Eke PI. Case definitions for use in population-based surveillance of periodontitis. *J Periodontol.* (2007) 78(7 Suppl):1387–99. doi: 10.1902/jop.2007. 060264

37. Teegala SM, Willett WC, Mozaffarian D. Consumption and health effects of trans fatty acids: a review. J AOAC Int. (2009) 92:1250–7. doi: 10.1093/jaoac/92.5. 1250

38. Suvan J, D'Aiuto F, Moles DR, Petrie A, Donos N. Association between overweight/obesity and periodontitis in adults. A systematic review. *Obes Rev.* (2011) 12:e381-404. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-789X.2010.00808.x

39. Li A, Chen Y, Schuller AA, van der Sluis LWM, Tjakkes GE. Dietary inflammatory potential is associated with poor periodontal health: a populationbased study. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2021) 48:907–18. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.13472

40. Cepeda MS, Weinstein R, Blacketer C, Lynch MC. Association of flossing/inter-dental cleaning and periodontitis in adults. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2017) 44:866–71. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12765

41. Li W, Song J, Chen Z. The association between dietary vitamin C intake and periodontitis: result from the NHANES (2009-2014). *BMC Oral Health.* (2022) 22:390. doi: 10.1186/s12903-022-02416-7

42. Nascimento GG, Gastal MT, Leite FRM, Quevedo LA, Peres KG, Peres MA, et al. Is there an association between depression and periodontitis? A birth cohort study. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2019) 46:31–9. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.13039

43. Parsegian K, Randall D, Curtis M, Ioannidou E. Association between periodontitis and chronic kidney disease. *Periodontol 2000.* (2022) 89:114–24. doi: 10.1111/prd.12431

44. Sanz M, Ceriello A, Buysschaert M, Chapple I, Demmer RT, Graziani F, et al. Scientific evidence on the links between periodontal diseases and diabetes: consensus report and guidelines of the joint workshop on periodontal diseases and diabetes by the International Diabetes Federation and the European Federation of Periodontology. J Clin Periodontol. (2018) 45:138–49. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12808

45. Hong M, Park JB, Kim YS, Lee DH, Kim H, Lee JI, et al. Association between Cockroach-specific Immunoglobulin E and periodontitis in Korean male adults Based on Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:46373. doi: 10.1038/srep46373

46. Mazidi M, Vatanparast H. Serum trans-fatty acids level are positively associated with lower food security among american adults. *Nutr Diabetes.* (2018) 8:17. doi: 10.1038/s41387-017-0008-7

47. Wang YY, Tian T, Pan D, Zhang JX, Xie W, Wang SK, et al. The relationship between dietary patterns and overweight and obesity among adult in Jiangsu Province of China: a structural equation model. *BMC Public Health*. (2021) 21:1225. doi: 10.1186/s12889-021-11341-3

48. Wilczek MM, Olszewski R, Krupienicz A. Trans-fatty acids and cardiovascular disease: urgent need for legislation. *Cardiology*. (2017) 138:254–8. doi: 10.1159/000479956

49. DeMayo F, Molinsky R, Tahir MJ, Roy S, Genkinger JM, Papapanou PN, et al. Diet quality and periodontal disease: results from the oral infections, glucose intolerance and insulin resistance study (ORIGINS). *J Clin Periodontol.* (2021) 48:638–47. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.13450

50. Mendonça DD, Furtado MV, Sarmento RA, Nicoletto BB, Souza GC, Wagner TP, et al. Periodontitis and tooth loss have negative impact on dietary intake: a cross-sectional study with stable coronary artery disease patients. *J Periodontol.* (2019) 90:1096–105. doi: 10.1002/JPER.19-0036

51. Tetri LH, Basaranoglu M, Brunt EM, Yerian LM, Neuschwander-Tetri BA. Severe NAFLD with hepatic necroinflammatory changes in mice fed trans fats and a high-fructose corn syrup equivalent. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol.* (2008) 295:G987–95. doi: 10.1152/ajpgi.90272.2008

52. Valenzuela CA, Baker EJ, De Souza CO, Miles EA, Calder PC. Differential effects of ruminant and industrial 18-carbon trans-monounsaturated fatty acids (trans vaccenic and elaidic) on the inflammatory responses of an endothelial cell line. *Molecules*. (2021) 26:5834. doi: 10.3390/molecules26195834

53. Harvey KA, Walker CL, Xu Z, Whitley P, Siddiqui RA. Trans fatty acids: induction of a pro-inflammatory phenotype in endothelial cells. *Lipids*. (2012) 47:647–57. doi: 10.1007/s11745-012-3681-2

54. Li J, Hu SB, He YM, Zhuo CF, Zhou RL, Chen F, et al. 9c11tCLA modulates 11t18:1 and 9t18:1 induced inflammations differently in human umbilical vein endothelial cells. *Sci Rep.* (2018) 8:1535. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-19729-9

55. Iwata NG, Pham M, Rizzo NO, Cheng AM, Maloney E, Kim F. Trans fatty acids induce vascular inflammation and reduce vascular nitric oxide production in endothelial cells. *PLoS One.* (2011) 6:e29600. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029600

56. Polak D, Shapira L. An update on the evidence for pathogenic mechanisms that may link periodontitis and diabetes. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2018) 45:150–66. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12803

57. Marchesan JT, Girnary MS, Moss K, Monaghan ET, Egnatz GJ, Jiao Y, et al. Role of inflammasomes in the pathogenesis of periodontal disease and therapeutics. *Periodontol 2000.* (2020) 82:93–114. doi: 10.1111/prd.12269

58. Botelho J, Machado V, Proença L, Mendes JJ. The 2018 periodontitis case definition improves accuracy performance of full-mouth partial diagnostic protocols. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:7093. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-63 700-6