Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Filippo Giorgio Di Girolamo, University of Trieste, Italy

REVIEWED BY

Vinod Kumar Paswan, Banaras Hindu University, India Mingjing Zheng, Jimei University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE

Junming Deng djunming@163.com Beiping Tan bptan@126.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Nutrition and Metabolism, a section of the journal Frontiers in Nutrition

RECEIVED 09 August 2022 ACCEPTED 28 September 2022 PUBLISHED 26 October 2022

CITATION

Liu Y, Fan J, Huang H, Zhou H, Cao Y, Zhang Y, Jiang W, Zhang W, Deng J and Tan B (2022) High dietary non-starch polysaccharides detrimental to nutrient digestibility, digestive enzyme activity, growth performance, and intestinal morphology in largemouth bass, *Micropterus salmoides*. *Front. Nutr.* 9:1015371. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.1015371

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Liu, Fan, Huang, Zhou, Cao, Zhang, Jiang, Zhang, Deng and Tan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

High dietary non-starch polysaccharides detrimental to nutrient digestibility, digestive enzyme activity, growth performance, and intestinal morphology in largemouth bass, *Micropterus salmoides*

Yu Liu^{1,2,3}, Jiongting Fan^{1,2,3}, Huajing Huang^{1,2,3}, Hang Zhou^{1,2,3}, Yixiong Cao^{1,2,3}, Yumeng Zhang^{1,2,3}, Wen Jiang^{1,2,3}, Wei Zhang^{1,2,3}, Junming Deng^{1,2,3*} and Beiping Tan^{1,2,3*}

¹College of Fisheries, Guangdong Ocean University, Zhanjiang, China, ²Aquatic Animals Precision Nutrition and High Efficiency Feed Engineering Research Centre of Guangdong Province, Zhanjiang, China, ³Key Laboratory of Aquatic, Livestock and Poultry Feed Science and Technology in South China, Ministry of Agriculture, Zhanjiang, China

An 8-weeks feeding trial was carried out to evaluate the effects of different levels of dietary non-starch polysaccharide on the growth, apparent nutrient digestibility, intestinal development, and morphology of largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides). Seven isoproteic and isolipidic experimental diets were formulated (crude protein 47.00%, crude lipid 12.50%), containing 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, and 18% non-starch polysaccharides (NSPs) (named Control, NSPs3, NSPs6, NSPs9, NSPs12, NSPs15, and NSPs18), respectively. Dietary inclusion of NSPs below 9% showed no negative impacts on fish growth and feed utilization efficiency, whereas dietary NSPs inclusion level above 9% decreased weight gain rate, specific growth rate, protein efficiency, protein deposition rate, apparent digestibility of dry matter and protein, and were accompanied by a reduction in intestinal protease, Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase and alkaline phosphatase activity and an increase in feed intake and feed coefficient. The activity of lipase was significantly decreased when dietary inclusion of 15 and 18% NSPs. Moreover, the lipid deposition rate and the apparent digestibility of lipids were significantly decreased since dietary inclusion of 9% NSPs. Dietary inclusion of NSPs above 12% significantly up-regulated intestinal GLP-2 gene's expression, and was accompanied by significant changes in hindgut morphology, including increases in villus length and width, muscularis thickness and number of goblet cell, as well as a decrease in crypt depth. Additionally, dietary inclusion of NSPs above 3% significantly increased intestinal length index, and the viserosomatic index was significantly increased when dietary NSPs exceeded 15%. The linear regression analysis based on weight gain rate and feed coefficient showed that the appropriate dietary NSPs level of juvenile largemouth bass should not above 5.51%. In conclusion, high dietary NSPs adversely affects digestive enzyme activity and intestinal morphology, which in turn reduced the apparent digestibility of dietary nutrients and growth of juvenile largemouth bass.

KEYWORDS

non-starch polysaccharides, largemouth bass, growth performance, apparent nutrient digestibility, intestinal morphology

Introduction

Non-starch polysaccharides (NSPs) are the main components of plant cell walls, including cellulose, hemicellulose, and pectin, and are highly abundant in plantbased raw materials (1). The NSPs content of plant-based raw materials commonly used in aquafeed production has been reported to be as high as 22.7-50.5% (2). In recent years, global fishmeal production has been unable to meet the rising demand for fishmeal in the feed industry, resulting in increasingly high fishmeal prices (3, 4). In this situation, a large amount of plant-based ingredients was used as substitutes for fishmeal in aquafeed production in order to control feed costs (5, 6), and ultimately leading to a dramatic increase in the content of aquafeed NSPs. However, due to the lack of endogenous NSPs degrading enzymes (e.g., cellulases, β-xylanases, and β-glucanases) in monogastric animals (including fish), NSPs are usually not directly utilized by fish and remain in the digestive tract with varying degrees of physiological effects (1). Several studies have shown that dietary supplementation of 5% NSPs can effectively enhance the protein efficiency and growth of aquatic animals (7), but dietary supplementation with NSPs above 8% significantly inhibits the digestion and absorption of feed nutrients and growth performance of aquatic animals (8, 9), and may even cause functional damage or lesions in metabolic organs, resulting in metabolic disorders (2, 10-12). However, dietary fiber consisting of dietary NSP is also believed to be beneficial in helping fish resist pathogenic microbial infections and regulate metabolic and immune activities (13, 14). To sum up, the beneficial or detrimental physiological effects of dietary NSPs on fish may be closely related to their levels, and the results of available studies are inconsistent.

The physicochemical properties of dietary NSPs can drastically change the physicochemical properties of chyme, for instance, NSP will expand the volume of chyme after absorbing water, the viscosity of NSPs can lead to an increase in the viscosity of chyme, and some fermentable NSPs can increase the fermentability of chyme, etc. (1). Changes in the physicochemical properties of chyme may affect their flow

rate in the intestine and the intensity of their friction with the intestine, and may produce different metabolites through fermentation, which in turn regulate the development and physiological state of the intestine. In pigs and poultry, chronic ingestion of NSPs led to an increase in the size and length of the digestive organs and caused an increase in the width of the jejunal and ileal villus and the depth of the crypt (15, 16). Similar reports were found in fish, dietary supplementation with NSPs (guar gum) significantly increased the gastrointestinal weight of African catfish (17), and dietary supplementation with 4% soy fiber significantly increased the length and number of hindgut villus and muscularis thickness but significantly decreased the width of hindgut villus and the number of goblet cells in largemouth bass, while increasing the soy fiber content to 8% significantly decreased the length of hindgut villus (18). Moreover, dietary fiber also dramatically altered the structural morphology of the intestinal tracts of larval cobia (Rachycentron canadum), gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata), zebrafish (Danio rerio), and Jian carp (Cyprinus carpio var. jian) (19-22). The intestine is the main place for fish to absorb feed nutrients, and the changes in its structure and morphology are closely related to the digestive and absorption functions and growth of fish (23). The alteration of the internal structural and morphology of the intestine by dietary NSPs inevitably affects the digestion and absorption of feed nutrients in fish. This may be the reason why dietary NSPs have been observed in some studies to inhibit the absorption of feed nutrients (8, 9, 24), but it has not been confirmed. Furthermore, there is still a lack of systematic studies on the effects of dietary NSPs on fish intestinal development, morphology, and nutrient digestion and absorption. In intensive farming conditions, in order to control the cost and quality of feed, a large amount of plantbased raw materials or binders (e.g., microcrystalline cellulose, carboxymethyl cellulose) are inevitably used in the design of feed formulations (14, 25), which means that aquatic animals will face the effects of dietary NSPs for a long time. Dietary NSPs may have more extreme effects on carnivorous fish relative to herbivorous and omnivorous fish, because carnivorous fish usually do not have NSPs in their natural diet and their ability

to utilize NSPs is relatively lacking. Therefore, it is urgent to clarify the potential physiological effects of dietary NSPs on carnivorous fish and provide data support for the production of carnivorous fish feed. This study aimed to evaluate the effects of different levels of dietary non-starch polysaccharide on the growth, apparent nutrient digestibility, intestinal development, and morphology of largemouth bass.

Materials and methods

Ethics approval

The juvenile largemouth bass used in this study was approved by the Animal Research and Ethics Committee of Guangdong Ocean University, China. Additionally, all experiments were performed in strict compliance with its guidelines.

Experimental diets

Seven isoproteic and isolipidic experimental diets were formulated (crude protein 47.00%, crude lipid 12.50%), containing 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, and 18% NSPs (named C, NSPs3, NSPs6, NSPs9, NSPs12, NSPs15, and NSPs18), respectively. Dietary NSPs were formulated with purified cellulose, β-glucan, mannan, arabinoxylan, and pectin in proportions as described in a previous study (12). Briefly, each portion of NSPs consisted of 4.52% β-glucan, 5.16% mannan, 19.35% arabinoxylan, 32.26% cellulose, and 38.71% pectin together, which were manually mixed homogeneously by the gradual mixing method. All ingredients were ground into powder and passed through a 0.30 mm diameter sieve, then accurately weighed and mixed with a Hobart-type mixer (JS-14, Zhejiang Zhengtai Elecric Co., Ltd., China). The fish oil, soybean oil, and soybean lecithin are mixed to prepare a mixed oil, then mixed with the raw ingredients and kneaded evenly by hand, and then pure water is added to make a dough. The experimental feed was prepared by extruding the dough through a 2.0 mm diameter die using a double-helix extruder (F-75, South China University of Technology, China). Finally, the feeds were air-dried at room temperature and stored at -20° C until used. The ingredients and proximate compositions of experimental diets are shown in Table 1.

Fish management

Juvenile largemouth bass provided by the freshwater aquaculture base of Guangdong Ocean University. After the largemouth bass juveniles were fasted for 24 h, 1,120 individuals with healthy physique, no disease or injury, and an average weight of 6.00 ± 0.01 g were randomly selected as test fish. The test fish were randomly divided into seven groups, each group had four net cages, and each net cage had 40 experimental fish (the cage size was $1.2 \text{ m} \times 0.8 \text{ m} \times 1.0 \text{ m}$). During the breeding period, the water quality was kept fresh, the water temperature was maintained at $28-31^{\circ}$ C, the dissolved oxygen was more than 6.0 mg/L, and the ammonia nitrogen was less than 0.02 mg/L. The feeding experiment lasted for 8 weeks, and the experimental fish were fed twice daily until they were apparently satiated (07:00 and 17:00). The feed consumption and the number of test fish mortalities in each cage were recorded.

Digestibility trial

The digestibility trial was performed during the feeding trial. Yttrium trioxide (99.9%, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) was used as an indicator in the experimental diets. After the test fish were acclimated to the test diet for 2 weeks, the collection of feces in each cage was started. The feces at the bottom of the cages were collected with a 200-mesh brail every day after the test fish had been fed for 5–7 h. The formed feces were then selected, dried at 65°C for 6 h, and stored at – 20°C for subsequent analysis. Fecal collection was continued for 6 weeks to ensure that the volume of fecal samples met the assay requirements (dry matter > 10 g).

Sample collection

The test fish were fasted 24 h at the end of the feeding trial, and then the fish in each net cage were weighed and counted to calculate the growth index. Before sampling, the test fish were anesthetized with 100 mg/L of MS-222 (Sigma, USA). Three fish were randomly selected from each cage and frozen at -20° C for whole-body composition analysis. Four fish were randomly selected from each cage, and the total length of the fish was measured, weighed, and then dissected; the visceral mass, liver and intestine were sequentially weighed, and the length of the intestine was measured. Specifically, intestinal weights were weighed after removal of mesenteric fat. Another two fish were randomly selected from each cage for dissection, and the intestines were taken out and placed in EP tubes with RNA letter, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored at -80° C for subsequent analysis.

Chemical analysis

The chemical composition analysis of the experimental diets, whole-body and feces refers to the standard method (26). The samples were dried at 105°C to constant weight to determine the moisture content. The crude protein was

TABLE 1 Formulation and proximate composition of experimental diet (%).

			Group			
Control	NSPs3	NSPs6	NSPs9	NSPs12	NSPs15	NSPs18
55.50	55.50	55.50	55.50	55.50	55.50	55.50
12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20
3.20	3.20	3.20	3.20	3.20	3.20	3.20
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
18.00	15.00	12.00	9.00	6.00	3.00	0.00
0.00	3.00	6.00	9.00	12.00	15.00	18.00
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50
0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
10.91	11.10	11.10	11.22	11.08	11.15	11.27
47.02	47.19	47.21	47.02	47.01	47.01	47.12
12.51	12.50	12.48	12.51	12.47	12.53	12.50
10.42	10.79	10.47	10.55	10.54	10.50	10.72
	Control 55.50 12.00 3.00 4.20 3.20 1.00 18.00 0.00 1.00 0.20 0.30 0.03 1.50 0.02 0.05 10.91 47.02 12.51 10.42	Control NSPs3 55.50 55.50 12.00 12.00 3.00 3.00 4.20 4.20 3.20 3.20 1.00 1.00 18.00 15.00 0.01 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.20 0.30 0.30 0.03 0.03 1.50 1.50 0.02 0.02 0.05 0.05 10.91 11.10 47.02 47.19 12.51 12.50 10.42 10.79	ControlNSPs3NSPs655.5055.5055.5012.0012.0012.003.003.003.004.204.204.203.203.203.201.001.001.0018.0015.0012.000.003.006.001.001.001.000.010.003.000.020.200.200.300.300.300.030.030.031.501.501.500.020.020.020.050.050.0510.9111.1011.1047.0247.1947.2112.5112.5012.4810.4210.7910.47	Group Control NSPs3 NSPs6 NSPs9 55.50 55.50 55.50 55.50 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 4.20 4.20 4.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 1.00 1.00 1.00 18.00 15.00 12.00 9.00 0.00 3.00 6.00 9.00 0.00 3.00 0.00 9.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.01 0.02 0.20 0.20 0.02 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 <	Control NSPs3 NSPs6 NSPs9 NSPs12 55.50 55.50 55.50 55.50 55.50 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 18.00 15.00 12.00 12.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.01 0.02 0.20 0.20 0.02 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05	Group Control NSPs3 NSPs6 NSPs9 NSPs12 NSPs15 55.50 55.50 55.50 55.50 55.50 55.50 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 18.00 15.00 12.00 9.00 6.00 3.00 0.00 3.00 6.00 9.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.01 0.02 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.30 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.30 0.30 0.02

^aSupplied by Zhanjiang Haibao Feed Co., Ltd. (Zhanjiang, China). Fish meal: 65.81% crude protein, 7.69% crude lipid; Fish oil, Soy oil, and Soy lecithin: 100% crude lipid.

 b Supplied by Kunming Saijie Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Kunming, China). NSPs, composed by cellulose (purity > 99.5%), arabinoxylan (purity > 99.5%), β -glucan (purity > 99.5%), mannan (purity > 99.5%), and pectin (purity > 99.5%).

 $^{\rm c}$ Supplied by Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd. (purity > 99.99%; Shanghai, China).

^dSupplied by Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (purity > 99.99%; Shanghai, China).

^eSupplied by Qingdao Master Biotech (Qingdao, China).

determined according to the Kjeldahl method ($N \times 6.25$); crude lipid by the Soxhlet extraction method; crude ash by burning in a muffle furnace at 550°C for 16 h. The determination of yttrium content in feed and feces was performed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (Agilent Agilent 7500cx).

Intestinal enzyme activity analysis

Intestinal protein concentration and amylase, lipase, protease, creatine kinase (CK), alkaline phosphatase (AKP) and Na^+/K^+ -ATPase activities were determined by commercial kits (ELISA, Shanghai Enzyme Link Biotechnology Co., Ltd.), and the sample processing and determination process were strictly in accordance with the kit instructions.

Morphological observation of hindgut

One fish was randomly selected from each cage for dissection, and the hindgut (1 cm) was taken out and fixed with 4% formaldehyde. After standing for 24 h, the tissue samples

were dehydrated in a series of graded ethanol, and embedded in paraffin after dehydration. After the paraffin was solidified, the intestinal paraffin was cut into slices with a thickness of 5 μ m with a microtome, followed by hematoxylin-eosin staining, and encapsulated to make H&E sections. The prepared sections were observed with a Nikon Ni-U microscope imaging system (Nikon Ni-U, Japan), and the intestinal villus height and width, crypt depth, muscularis thickness, and number of goblet cells were counted according to the method described in a previous study (27).

Another one fish was randomly selected from each cage in the control group, NSPS 6 and NSPS 18 groups for dissection, and the hindgut tissue was fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde. After 24 h of fixation, the tissues were transferred into phosphatebuffered saline containing 2% osmium tetroxide, and then the tissues were dehydrated with a series of graded ethanol. After dehydration, the tissue was embedded in epoxy resin 812 and then cut into ultrathin sections using an ultra-microtome (Leica EM UC7, Japan) for uranyl acetate and lead citrate staining. Finally, the morphology of intestinal microvilli and intestinal epithelial cells was observed using a transmission electron microscope (HITACHI HT7600, Japan).

Real-time quantitative RT-PCR assay

Intestinal total RNA was extracted with Trizol Reagent kit (Quanjin Bio, Beijing, China), followed by 1.2% denatured agarose to detect the integrity of total RNA, and a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop® ND-2000, Thermo, USA) to detect the purity and concentration of total RNA. Subsequently, the total RNA was used as a template to synthesize complementary DNA (cDNA) with a reverse transcription kit (Accurate Biology, China), and stored at -20° C until use. The primer sequences used for fluorescence quantification are shown in Table 2, and the primers were synthesized by Shanghai Sangon Biotech (Shanghai) Co., Ltd., China. The mRNA expression levels were detected using a high-throughput fluorescent quantitative PCR instrument (480II) (Light Cycler480II, Thermo) under a 10 µl SYBR® Green Premix Pro Taq HS qPCR Kit II (Accurate Biology, China) reaction system. Each sample was assayed in four replicates, and melting curve analysis was performed after each reaction to check product specificity. The mRNA expression of all genes was calculated by the $2^{-\Delta \Delta CT}$ method, and the mRNA expression of the target gene was normalized with the Ef1a mRNA expression of the control group as the standard.

Calculations

The formula used in the study is as follows.

Survival rate (SR, %) =
$$100 \times \left(\frac{\text{final fish number}}{\text{initial fishnumber}}\right)$$

Weight gain rate (WGR, %)

$$= \frac{100 \times (\text{final body weight} - \text{initial body weight})}{\text{initial body weight}}$$

Specific growth rate (SGR, %/d)

$$= \frac{100 \times [\text{Ln (final body weight)} - \text{Ln (initial body weight)}]}{\text{days}}$$

TABLE 2 Primer sequences for real-time PCR.

Gene	Primer type	Sequence 5′–3′	E-value (%)
Ef1α	$\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{a}}$	TGCTGCTGGTGTTGGTGAGTT	97.99
	R ^a	TTCTGGCTGTAAGGGGGGCTC	
GLP-2R	F	CTTCAAGAGTGCGATGTGC	97.96
	R	GCCATAGCCTGTTGGTTTACTG	
IGF-1	F	CCGAGCAACACTGGTACTGA	115.63
	R	GCTGAGAGTGAGGTTGACGA	

^aF, forward primer; R, reverse primer. IGF1, insulin-like growth factor 1; GLP-2, glucagon-like peptide 2.

Feed intake
$$\left(FI, \% \text{ body} \frac{\text{weight}}{d}\right)$$

= $100 \times \frac{2 \times \text{feed consumption} \times \text{days}}{(\text{final body weight} + \text{initial weight})}$

Feed conversion ratio (FCR) = $\frac{\text{feed intake}}{(\text{final body weight} - \text{initial weight})}$

Protein efficiency ratio (PER)
=
$$\frac{(\text{final body weight} - \text{initial body weight})}{\text{protein intake}}$$

Protein deposition rate (PDR, %) = $100 \times \frac{\text{protein retention}}{\text{protein intake}}$

LDR, Lipid deposition rate (%) \times 100 \times $\frac{\text{lipid retention}}{\text{lipid intake}}$

Apparent digestibility of dry matter (%)

 $= 100 \times \left[1 - \frac{\text{dietary Y content}}{\text{fecal Y content}} \right]$

Apparent digestibility of dry nutrient (%)

$$= 100 \times \left[1 - \left(\frac{\text{dietary Y content}}{\text{fecal Y content}} \right) = \left(\frac{\text{dietary Y content}}{\text{fecal Y content}} \right) \right]$$

Condition factor (CF, g/cm3) = $\frac{\text{body weight}}{\text{body length}^3}$
Organ index (OI, %) = $100 \times \frac{\text{organ weight}}{\text{body weight}}$
Hepasomatic index (HSI, %) = $100 \times \frac{\text{liver weight}}{\text{body weight}}$
Viserosomatic index (VSI, %) = $100 \times \frac{\text{intestinal weight}}{\text{body weight}}$

Intestinal length index (ILI, %) =
$$100 \times \frac{\text{intestinal length}}{\text{body weight}}$$

Statistical analysis

All data were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with SPSS (v22.0) software, and Turkey's multiple range test was performed when the difference was significant. P < 0.05 indicated that the difference between the two data was significant. Data analysis results are presented as mean \pm standard error (Means \pm SEM).

Results

Growth performance and feed utilization

Dietary inclusion of different levels of NSPs had no significant effect on the SR of juvenile largemouth bass (P > 0.05; **Table 3**). The W_t , WGR, PER, and PDR in the NSPs12, NSPs15, and NSPs18 groups were significantly lower than those in the control group, in contrast to the FCR and FI (P < 0.05). In addition, the LDR in the NSPs9, NSPs12, NSP15, and NSPs18 groups was significantly lower than that in the control group (P < 0.05). The linear regression analysis based on WGR and FCR showed that the appropriate dietary NSPs level of juvenile largemouth bass was 5.28–5.51% (**Figure 1**).

Morphological parameters and chemical composition

Dietary inclusion of different levels of NSPs had no significant effect on the moisture and crude ash contents of juvenile largemouth bass (P > 0.05; **Table 4**). The crude protein and lipid contents of whole-body in the NSPs9, NSPs12, NSP15, and NSPs18 groups were significantly lower than those in the control group (P < 0.05). The FCR in the NSPs3 group was significantly lower than that in the control group, and the OI in the treatment group was all significantly higher than that in the control group (P < 0.05). The HSI in the NSPs9 group and the VSI in the NSPs15 and NSPs18 groups were significantly higher than those in the control group (P < 0.05). The HSI in the NSPs9 group and the VSI in the NSPs15 and NSPs18 groups were significantly higher than those in the control group (P < 0.05). The HSI in the NSPs9 group and the than those in the control group (P < 0.05). The HSI in the NSPs9 group and the that the control groups were relatively equal (P > 0.05), while the ILI in the rest treatment groups was significantly higher than that in the control group (P < 0.05).

Nutrients apparent digestibility

The apparent digestibility of dietary crude lipids in the NSPs9 group was significantly lower than that in the control group (P < 0.05; **Table 5**). The apparent digestibility of dry matter, crude protein and lipid in the NSPs12, NSPs15, and NSPs18 groups were significantly lower than those in the control group (P < 0.05).

Intestinal digestive and absorption enzyme activity

Dietary inclusion of different levels of NSPs had no significant effect on the activity of intestinal CK in juvenile largemouth bass (P < 0.05; Table 6). The activities of the

TABLE 3 Effects of dietary inclusion of different levels of non-starch polysaccharides (NSPs) on growth performance and feed utilization of juvenile largemouth bass.

tem				Group			
	Control	NSPs3	NSPs6	NSPs9	NSPs12	NSPs15	NSPs18
inal body weight (g)	$74.99\pm0.67^{\mathrm{a}}$	74.88 ± 2.28^{a}	75.88 ± 1.97^{a}	$72.60\pm1.38^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$69.49\pm1.30^{ m bc}$	$68.58 \pm 1.55^{\rm bc}$	67.18 ± 1.62^{c}
urvival rate (%)	99.38 ± 0.63	98.13 ± 1.88	98.13 ± 1.88	99.38 ± 0.63	98.13 ± 0.63	97.50 ± 1.77	99.17 ± 0.83
Veight gain rate (%)	1151.55 ± 13.07^{a}	1147.56 ± 37.25^{a}	1162.48 ± 31.03^{a}	$1109.90 \pm 22.90^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$1057.93\pm20.97^{\rm b}$	$1041.57 \pm 26.45^{ m b}$	$1042.06 \pm 18.93^{ m b}$
pecific growth rate (%/day)	$4.51\pm0.02^{\rm a}$	$4.50\pm0.05^{\rm a}$	$4.53\pm0.04^{\rm a}$	$4.45\pm0.03^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$4.37\pm0.03^{ m bc}$	$4.35\pm0.04^{ m bc}$	$4.31\pm0.04^{ m c}$
eed intake (% BW/day).	$2.57\pm0.02^{ m b}$	$2.58\pm0.07^{ m b}$	$2.54\pm0.06^{ m b}$	$2.63\pm0.04^{\mathrm{ab}}$	2.75 ± 0.05^{a}	$2.78\pm0.06^{\rm a}$	$2.78\pm0.04^{\rm a}$
eed conversion ratio	$0.85\pm0.01^{ m b}$	$0.85\pm0.03^{ m b}$	$0.83\pm0.02^{ m b}$	$0.87\pm0.02^{\mathrm{ab}}$	$0.92\pm0.02^{ m ba}$	$0.93\pm0.02^{\mathrm{ba}}$	0.95 ± 0.03^{a}
Protein efficiency ratio	$2.25\pm0.01^{\rm a}$	2.21 ± 0.04^{a}	$2.18\pm0.04^{\rm a}$	2.18 ± 0.03^{a}	$2.05\pm0.03^{ m b}$	$2.00\pm0.01^{ m b}$	$2.04\pm0.02^{ m b}$
Protein deposition rate (%)	$39.40\pm0.40^{\mathrm{a}}$	38.47 ± 1.31^{a}	39.62 ± 1.08^{a}	$37.21\pm1.21^{\mathrm{a}}$	$33.74\pm0.69^{ m b}$	$33.55\pm0.83^{ m b}$	$32.66\pm0.87^{ m b}$
ipid deposition rate (%)	81.34 ± 0.79^{a}	81.45 ± 2.95^a	84.25 ± 2.21^{a}	$73.09\pm1.41^{ m b}$	$68.45 \pm 1.35^{\mathrm{bc}}$	$65.52\pm1.56^{\rm c}$	$63.79\pm1.64^{\rm c}$
W. hody weight. Values are presented	d as means + SEM ($n = 4$). In t	he same row. there is a significat	nt difference between data with	different superscripts $(P < 0.05)$.			



TABLE 4 Effects of dietary inclusion of different levels of non-starch polysaccharides (NSPs) on morphological parameters and body composition of juvenile largemouth bass.

Item				Group			
	Control	NSPs3	NSPs6	NSPs9	NSPs12	NSPs15	NSPs18
Morphological parameters							
Condition factor (g/cm ³)	2.17 ± 0.04^a	2.04 ± 0.03^{b}	2.30 ± 0.05^a	2.23 ± 0.06^a	2.21 ± 0.04^{a}	2.15 ± 0.04^a	2.23 ± 0.05^a
Organ index (%)	$7.55\pm0.19^{\rm c}$	$8.11\pm0.12^{\rm b}$	8.05 ± 0.16^{b}	$8.20\pm0.13^{\rm b}$	8.11 ± 0.17^{b}	8.58 ± 0.21^{a}	8.36 ± 0.06^a
Hepasomatic index (%)	1.85 ± 0.07^{bc}	1.97 ± 0.07^{bc}	2.01 ± 0.07^{ab}	2.23 ± 0.03^a	2.03 ± 0.08^{ab}	1.98 ± 0.04^{bc}	$1.77\pm0.08^{\rm c}$
Viserosomatic index (%)	$0.73\pm0.02^{\rm c}$	$0.77\pm0.01^{\rm c}$	0.73 ± 0.03^{c}	$0.76\pm0.02^{\rm c}$	0.78 ± 0.02^{c}	$0.87\pm0.02^{\rm b}$	0.96 ± 0.03^{a}
Intestinal length index (%)	$0.78\pm0.01^{\rm d}$	$0.82\pm0.01^{\rm d}$	$0.88\pm0.01^{\text{c}}$	$0.89\pm0.01^{\rm bc}$	0.90 ± 0.01^{ab}	0.92 ± 0.02^{ab}	0.95 ± 0.02^a
Body composition, %							
Moisture	72.94 ± 0.05	72.77 ± 0.33	73.02 ± 0.11	72.71 ± 0.24	72.44 ± 0.39	72.83 ± 0.33	72.62 ± 0.09
Crude protein	15.67 ± 0.06^a	15.37 ± 0.24^{a}	15.55 ± 0.15^a	$14.36\pm0.19^{\text{b}}$	$14.60\pm0.15^{\text{b}}$	14.71 ± 0.13^{b}	$14.69\pm0.17^{\rm b}$
Crude lipid	8.30 ± 0.05^a	8.14 ± 0.09^{a}	8.42 ± 0.21^{a}	$7.69\pm0.19^{\rm b}$	7.54 ± 0.17^{b}	7.36 ± 0.25^{b}	$7.31\pm0.10^{\rm b}$
Ash	3.62 ± 0.02	3.56 ± 0.04	3.66 ± 0.03	3.58 ± 0.03	3.55 ± 0.02	3.53 ± 0.02	3.67 ± 0.03

Values are presented as means \pm SEM (n = 4). In the same row, there is a significant difference between data with different superscripts (P < 0.05).

TABLE 5 Effects of dietary inclusion of different levels of non-starch polysaccharides (NSPs) on dietary apparent digestibility of juvenile largemouth bass (%).

Item				Group			
	Control	NSPs3	NSPs6	NSPs9	NSPs12	NSPs15	NSPs18
Dry matter	85.86 ± 0.29^{a}	$85.84\pm0.18^{\text{a}}$	85.68 ± 0.07^{a}	$84.68\pm0.16^{\text{b}}$	$84.60\pm0.31^{\text{b}}$	$84.77\pm0.07^{\rm b}$	81.77 ± 0.34^{c}
Crude protein	94.38 ± 0.22^{a}	94.19 ± 0.25^a	94.01 ± 0.29^{a}	93.68 ± 0.12^{a}	$93.25\pm0.14^{\text{b}}$	90.40 ± 0.25^{c}	$86.31\pm0.25^{\rm d}$
Crude lipid	94.50 ± 0.35^a	94.49 ± 0.37^a	94.42 ± 0.55^a	$91.45\pm0.25^{\text{b}}$	$90.45\pm0.19^{\text{c}}$	$90.41\pm0.25^{\text{c}}$	79.34 ± 0.33^d

Values are presented as means \pm SEM (n = 4). In the same row, there is a significant difference between data with different superscripts (P < 0.05).

intestinal protease, Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase and AKP in the NSPs12, NSPs15, and NSPs18 groups were significantly lower than those in the control group (P < 0.05). Additionally, the intestinal lipase activity in the NSPs15 and NSPs18 groups was significantly lower than that in the control group (P < 0.05). The intestinal amylase activity in the treatment group was significantly higher than that in the control group (P < 0.05).

Morphological observation of hindgut

Morphological observations of the hindgut are shown in **Figures 2**, 3 where the measurements are also labeled. Dietary inclusion of different levels of NSPs had no significant effect on the length of the intestinal microvillus in the hindgut of juvenile largemouth bass (P < 0.05; **Table 7**). The height of hindgut villus in the NSPs15 and NSPs18 groups was significantly higher

TABLE 6 Effects of dietary inclusion of different levels of non-starch polysaccharides (NSPs) on intestinal digestive and absorptive enzyme activities of juvenile largemouth bass.

Item				Group			
	Control	NSPs3	NSPs6	NSPs9	NSPs12	NSPs15	NSPs18
Protease (U/g protein)	4.47 ± 0.39^{a}	4.40 ± 0.26^{a}	4.73 ± 0.34^{a}	4.31 ± 0.21^{a}	$3.57\pm0.35^{\text{b}}$	3.71 ± 0.20^{b}	3.43 ± 0.24^{b}
Lipase (U/g protein)	0.96 ± 0.05^{a}	1.02 ± 0.03^{a}	1.01 ± 0.03^{a}	0.90 ± 0.07^{a}	0.93 ± 0.08^{a}	$0.83\pm0.03^{\text{b}}$	$0.78\pm0.05^{\rm b}$
Amylase (IU/g protein)	$0.25\pm0.03^{\rm b}$	0.32 ± 0.03^{a}	0.40 ± 0.04^{a}	0.33 ± 0.03^{a}	0.35 ± 0.03^{a}	0.32 ± 0.02^{a}	0.34 ± 0.03^{a}
Creatine kinase (U/mg protein)	0.15 ± 0.02	0.14 ± 0.02	0.16 ± 0.02	0.17 ± 0.02	0.15 ± 0.01	0.15 ± 0.01	0.13 ± 0.01
Na ⁺ /K ⁺ -ATPase (U/mg protein)	$23.50\pm0.42^{\text{a}}$	24.08 ± 1.04^{a}	23.88 ± 0.65^a	22.38 ± 1.17^{ab}	$21.44 \pm 1.28^{\text{b}}$	$21.47\pm0.30^{\text{b}}$	20.76 ± 0.43^{b}
Alkaline phosphatase (U/g protein)	208.14 ± 12.07^a	196.88 ± 9.34^a	225.69 ± 8.08^a	204.59 ± 7.47^a	$169.47\pm11.54^{\text{b}}$	$155.97\pm6.79^{\text{b}}$	$1255.88\pm4.60^{\text{b}}$

Values are presented as means \pm SEM (n = 4). In the same row, there is a significant difference between data with different superscripts (P < 0.05).



than that in the control group (P < 0.05). The width of hindgut villus in the NSPs9, NSPs12, NSPs15, and NSPs18 groups was significantly higher than that in the control group, in contrast to the crypt depth (P < 0.05). The muscularis thickness of hindgut in the NSPs12, NSPs15, and NSPs18 groups was significantly higher than that in the control group (P < 0.05). Except for the NSPs3 group, the relative goblet cell numbers of hindgut villus in the rest treatment groups were significantly higher than that in the control group (P < 0.05). Intestinal epithelial cell death was observed in the NSPs18 group (**Figure 4**).

Gene expression parameters of hindgut

The expression level of the IGF1 in the NSPs groups was dramatically lower than in the control group, whereas

GLP-2 gene's expression in the NSPs15 and NSPs18 groups was dramatically higher than that in the control group (P < 0.05; Figure 5).

Discussion

Generally, dietary NSPs are also considered dietary fiber (28), and are considered as dietary components of no or little nutritional value in fish diets (12). Although dietary fiber cannot be directly utilized by fish, it can still have varying degrees of physiological effects on fish (1). Some studies have shown that low dietary fiber diets benefit fish growth, while high dietary fiber diets inhibit fish growth (22, 29, 30). Therefore, NRC (31) recommends that fish dietary fiber levels should not exceed 10%. Due to the complex and diverse composition of NSPs and the immature extraction process, there are relatively few



FIGURE 3

Effects of different non-starch polysaccharides (NSPs) levels on hindgut morphology of juvenile largemouth bass (transmission electron microscope observation, magnification \times 7,000). Blue double-sided arrow: microvillus height; red arrow: epithelial cell interval.

studies on dietary NSPs in fish. In this study, the low NSPs diet (<9%) had no adverse effect on the growth of juvenile largemouth bass, while the high NSPs diet (>9%) significantly inhibited the growth of juvenile largemouth bass. Similarly, Deng et al. (12) also found that high NSPs (24.8% NSPs) diets significantly inhibited the growth performance of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Conversely, several studies have found that the dietary inclusion of high NSPs had no negative effects on the growth performance of rainbow trout (15% cellulose) and European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L., 15.5% cellulose) (32, 33). The differences in these results suggest that the effect of dietary NSPs on fish growth may depend on the composition of dietary NSPs and the species of fish tested.

The results of the linear regression analysis based on the weight gain rate and feed coefficient of the test fish showed that the level of dietary NSPs suitable for the growth of juvenile largemouth bass was 5.28–5.51%, and this value is slightly higher than the result reported by Shi (34) that the optimum dietary fiber level for largemouth bass (21.64 \pm 0.12 g) was 4.07%.

The differences in the two results may be related to factors such as the size of the experimental fish, the nutritional level of the feed, and the difference in the composition of dietary NSPs and dietary fiber (dietary fiber contains both dietary NSPs and lignin). This also reflects the difference in the tolerance of fish to dietary NSPs and dietary fiber. Furthermore, this value is far lower than the optimum fiber level of the Jian carp (9.19%) and grass carp (14.39-16.42%) (Ctenopharyngodon idella) (22, 35). The differences in these results fully indicate that carnivorous fish are far less tolerant of dietary fiber than herbivorous and omnivorous fish. Intestinal digestive enzymes are essential in the absorption of feed nutrient in fish, and their activity determines the nutrients absorption efficiency and growth rate of fish (36-38). Meanwhile, the characteristics and quantities of feed ingredients also affect the activity of digestive enzymes (39, 40). In this study, the activities of the intestinal protease, lipase, Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase and CK of largemouth bass juveniles under the high NSPs diet were significantly decreased, which was highly consistent with the growth performance of

Control NSF						
	NSPs3	NSPs6	NSP _s 9	NSPs12	NSPs15	NSPs18
Villus height (μ m) 465.56 ± 18.88 ^c 524.93 ±	$524.93 \pm 24.84^{ m bc}$	$544.40 \pm 13.29^{ m bc}$	$514.12\pm18.77^{ m bc}$	529.20 ± 19.57^{bc}	$575.56 \pm 27.48^{\rm b}$	649.11 ± 30.08^{a}
Villus width (μ m) 87.11 ± 1.26 ^c 91.64 ±	$91.64\pm3.36^{\mathrm{bc}}$	$91.65\pm3.25^{ m bc}$	$96.29\pm1.70^{ m b}$	$100.52\pm3.01^{ m b}$	$98.29\pm3.65^{\mathrm{b}}$	$107.67\pm4.54^{\rm a}$
crypt depth (μ m) 28.06 ± 1.03 ^a 28.12 ±	$28.12\pm1.74^{\rm a}$	$27.87\pm1.89^{\rm a}$	$22.69\pm1.91^{ m b}$	$23.69\pm1.45^{\rm b}$	$23.78\pm0.45^{\mathrm{b}}$	$24.13\pm1.26^{\rm b}$
Muscularis thickness (μ m) 96.30 \pm 8.25 ^b 95.15 \pm	$95.15\pm10.24^{\mathrm{b}}$	$96.57 \pm 7.03^{\rm b}$	$98.62\pm10.08^{ m b}$	136.03 ± 17.01^{a}	143.33 ± 8.61^{a}	148.67 ± 3.10^{a}
Goblet cell relative number (per 100 $\mu m)$ 12.17 \pm 0.70 $^{\rm d}$ 12.00 \pm	$12.00\pm0.52^{\rm d}$	14.50 ± 0.96^{c}	$17.00\pm0.63^{ m b}$	$17.00\pm0.62^{ m b}$	$17.60 \pm 0.90^{\mathrm{b}}$	19.75 ± 0.85^{a}
Microvillus height (μ m) 0.92 \pm 0.03 –	I	0.96 ± 0.04	I	I	I	0.92 ± 0.02

largemouth bass juveniles. This phenomenon indicates that the poor growth of fish caused by a high NSPs diet may be related to the inhibitory effect of dietary NSPs on the activities of intestinal digestion and absorption enzymes. Moreover, AKP is not only directly involved in the transfer and metabolism of phosphate units, but also considered as an important immune enzyme in fish, and its activity can reflect the immunity status of the fish organism (41, 42). Thus, it can be speculated that dietary inclusion of high levels of NSPs was detrimental to the intestinal health of juvenile largemouth bass in this study. Also, the observation of intestinal epithelial cell death in the NSPs18 group confirmed this hypothesis. Dietary protein and lipid need to be decomposed by protease

and lipase before they can be absorbed and utilized by the intestinal tract. Consequently, the apparent digestibility of dietary protein and lipid in fish was linearly correlated with the activity of intestinal digestive enzymes (43). In this study, diet inclusion of high levels of NSPs significantly reduced the apparent digestibility of feed dry matter, crude protein, and lipid, which was consistent with the changes in intestinal digestion and absorption enzyme activities. Therefore, it can be speculated that the significant decrease in the apparent digestibility of feed nutrients caused by the high NSPs diet in this study may be related to the decrease in the activities of intestinal digestion and absorption enzymes. Further, the decrease in digestive enzyme activity and apparent digestibility of feed nutrients ultimately caused reduced crude protein and lipid contents of the whole-body, as well as the PER, PDR, and LDR of juvenile largemouth bass. Meanwhile, some studies have suggested that dietary NSPs stimulate the secretion of mucus in the gut, thereby accelerating endogenous nitrogen loss (44, 45). Rgensen et al. (46) suggested that endogenous nitrogen loss caused by dietary NSPs is also one of the reasons for the decrease in apparent protein digestibility. Thus, dietary inclusion of high levels of NSPs leading to a significant reduction in the apparent digestibility of crude protein may also be associated with endogenous nitrogen loss.

The effects of dietary NSPs on the activity of intestinal digestive enzymes may be based on the physicochemical properties of NSPs. For instance, some soluble NSPs (SNSPs, e.g., arabinoxylan, mannans, and β -glucan) are naturally in viscous and can increase the viscosity of chyme and adhere to digestive enzymes, thereby inhibiting digestive enzymes (47); meanwhile, the adhesion of sticky granules to intestinal villus may also negatively affect the activity of digestive enzymes (48). In addition, the complexation of dietary NSPs with digestive enzymes or the encapsulation of substrates may also cause a decrease in digestive enzyme activity (1). Deng et al. (12) found that dietary inclusion of 16.8% SNSP extremely decreased the intestinal protease activity and growth performance of rainbow trout compared to inclusion of 24.8% NSPs. Therefore, it can be considered that the decrease of digestive enzyme activity caused by dietary NSPs may be related to SNSP components.

TABLE 7 Effects of dietary inclusion of different levels of non-starch polysaccharides (NSPs) on hindgut morphology of juvenile largemouth bass.



FIGURE 4

Effects of different levels of dietary non-starch polysaccharides (NSPs) on hindgut morphology of juvenile largemouth bass (transmission electron microscope observation, magnification \times 2,500). Red arrow: epithelial cell interval; black arrow: epithelial cell abnormalities; white arrow: epithelial cell death.

There are a large number of carboxyl and hydroxyl units that can interact with mineral elements in the chemical structure of polysaccharides that constitute dietary NSPs (49). The mineral elements bound with these functional units may cause the efflux of mineral elements from the intestine with dietary NSPs, which in turn reduces the concentration of mineral elements in the intestine and their absorption efficiency. Studies on fish found that diets rich in NSPs significantly reduced the uptake efficiency of dietary sodium (Na) in Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus L.) and calcium, magnesium, phosphorus (P) and Na in African catfish (Clarias gariepinus) (17, 50). Similarly, dietary supplementation with defatted soybean meal significantly inhibited the absorption of zinc, Na, and kalium (K) in Atlantic salmon, and fecal excretion of copper, ferrum, Na, and K were significantly increased when dietary supplementation with soybean NSPs (51); and increasing the concentration of dietary NSPs (cellulose) significantly increased fecal excretion of Na in rainbow trout (32). These results suggest that dietary NSPs may reduce the concentration of mineral elements (especially Na) in the fish gut, which may reduce the osmotic pressure in the gut content. Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase is a membrane enzyme that can transport K⁺ into and Na⁺ out of cells in reverse concentrations, and plays an important role in biological processes such as maintaining cellular osmotic pressure and nutrient uptake (52, 53). The activity of Na^+/K^+ -ATPase is usually affected by the osmotic pressure inside and outside the cell membrane (54), and is closely related to substrate ion concentration (53, 55). Gal-Garber et al. (53) have found that reducing the concentration of Na in the diet can significantly reduce the activity of Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase in the small intestine of chickens, but lead to a compensatory increase in the expression level of Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase gene. Thus, it can be hypothesized that the decrease of intestinal Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase caused by high levels of dietary NSPs in this study may be associated with the efflux of Na, P and other mineral elements with NSPs, but this hypothesis needs further testing.



Feed ingredients inevitably affect the development and morphology of the intestine, therefore, intestinal morphology is often used to assess the potential physiological effects of diet on the intestine (56). Moreover, the morphology of the fish intestine is closely related to its physiological functions such as digestion and absorption (57-59). For instance, changes in the number of intestinal folds and goblet cells, the height and distribution of villus may affect intestinal digestive and absorption functions (38, 60-62). It is generally believed that the factors that can increase the digestive area of the intestine can improve the digestion and absorption of feed nutrients in the intestine. In this study, dietary inclusion of high levels of NSPs significantly altered the intestinal morphology of juvenile largemouth bass, including the increase in the length and width of intestinal villus, muscularis thickness and the number of goblet cells, and decrease in crypt depth. The increase in the length and width of the intestinal villus and decrease in crypt depth imply a larger intestinal absorption area, while the increase in muscularis thickness indicates that the intestinal peristalsis is enhanced, all these changes are beneficial to the digestion and absorption of feed nutrients (63, 64). Furthermore, increased villus length also implies increased turnover of intestinal epithelial cells (65). This evidence suggests that dietary inclusion of high levels of NSPs enhanced the intestinal absorption capacity of juvenile largemouth bass in this study, however, this is in contrast to the results of decreased growth performance, apparent nutrient digestibility, and activity of intestinal digestion and absorption enzymes. Therefore, it can be speculated that the changes in intestinal morphology are an adaptation of fish to high levels of dietary NSPs, thereby resisting the reduced nutrient intake caused by high levels of dietary NSPs.

Mucin secreted by goblet cells is an important component of the immune barrier of the intestinal mucosa and plays an important role in the maintenance of the intestinal health of fish (66–68). Therefore, an increase in the number of goblet cells is generally considered beneficial in promoting intestinal health. However, Wang et al. (69) concluded that an excessive increase in the number of goblet cells will thicken the mucus layer, which is detrimental to the absorption of feed nutrients in the intestine. In addition, some studies have found that increasing dietary NSPs or fiber levels results in the efflux of mucus from the intestinal lumen with the chyme in monogastric animals (70-72), accompanied by an increase in the number of intestinal goblet cells (72). Sinha et al. (1) suggest that dietary NSPs increase the volume of chyme and physically scrape the intestinal mucus layer as it passes through the intestine, leading to mucus efflux. This evidence suggests that the increase in the number of intestinal goblet cells caused by high levels of dietary NSPs may be associated with intestinal mucus efflux, which requires more goblet cells to secrete mucus to keep the intestinal mucus layer complete. This may also be a repair strategy for the intestine to cope with damage to the mucosal layer, implying that high NSPs are detrimental to fish intestinal health.

Studies have revealed that IGF1 and GLP-2 play an important role in regulating nutrient metabolism and promoting cell proliferation and differentiation, and their expression levels can effectively reflect the development status of tissues (73-75). Additionally, these gene's expression level can be regulated by dietary ingredients (76, 77). In this study, dietary NSPs inclusion level above 15% significantly increased intestinal GLP-2 gene's expression, indicating that high levels of dietary NSPs were beneficial to intestinal development, which may explain the significant increase in VSI and ILI of juvenile largemouth bass. Based on the fact that excessive NSPs have adverse effects on fish digestion and absorption and growth, digestive tract lengthening and weighting may also be strategies for fish to cope with the negative effects of dietary NSPs. However, the mechanisms by which dietary NSPs up-regulate GLP-2 gene's expression and increase intestinal length and weight are still unclear and need to be further studied.

Conclusion

In summary, using weight gain rate and feed coefficient as evaluation indicators, the suitable level of NSPs for largemouth bass juveniles should not above 5.51%. Excessive dietary NSPs adversely affected digestive and absorptive enzyme activities and intestinal morphology, which in turn reduced the apparent digestibility of feed nutrients and the growth of juvenile largemouth bass. Overall, our data suggest that carnivorous fish have limited tolerance to dietary NSPs, and for juvenile largemouth bass, dietary NSPs should not exceed 5.51%.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in this study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

This animal study was reviewed and approved by Animal Research and Ethics Committee of Guangdong Ocean University.

Author contributions

YL: conceptualization, formal analysis, data curation, writing—original draft, and writing—review and editing. JF, HH, and HZ: methodology, project administration, and data curation. YC and YZ: conceptualization, formal analysis, and data curation. WJ and WZ: project administration and supervision. JD and BT: investigation, methodology, and resources. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the Program for Scientific Research Startup Funds of Guangdong Ocean University (060302022007), National Key R&D Program of China (2019YFD0900200), National Natural Science Foundation of China (31760761), Postgraduate Education Innovation Project of Guangdong Ocean University (202246), and Zhanjiang

References

1. Sinha AK, Kumar V, Makkar H, Boeck GD, Becker K. Non-starch polysaccharides and their role in fish nutrition – a review. *Food Chem.* (2011) 127:1409–26. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.02.042

2. Ren S, Cai C, Cui G, Ni Q, Ye Y. High dosages of pectin and cellulose cause different degrees of damage to the livers and intestines of *Pelteobagrus fulvidraco*. Aquaculture. (2020) 514:734445. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019. 734445

3. Zhang H, Pu X, Yang Q, Tan B, Dong XH, Chi SY, et al. Effects of replacing fish meal with high-protein cottonseed meal on growth performance, non-specific immune index and disease resistance for *Litopenaeus vannamei. J Guang Ocean Univ.* (2018) 38:20–6. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1673-9159.2018.04.003

4. Zhang Y, Chen P, Liang XF, Han J, Wu XF, Yang YH, et al. Metabolic disorder induces fatty liver in Japanese seabass, *Lateolabrax japonicas* fed a full plant protein diet and regulated by cAMP-JNK/NF-kB-caspase signal pathway. *Fish Shellfish Immun.* (2019) 90:223–34. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2019.04.060

5. Hodar A, Vasava R, Mahavadiya D, Joshi N. Fish meal and fish oil replacement for aqua feed formulation by using alternative sources: a review. *J Exp Zool.* (2020) 23:13–21.

6. Arriaga-Hernández D, Hernández C, Martínez-Montaño E, Ibarra-Castro L, Lizárraga-Velázquez E, Leyva-López N, et al. Fish meal replacement by soybean products in aquaculture feeds for white snook, *Centropomus viridis*: effect on growth, diet digestibility, and digestive capacity. *Aquaculture*. (2021) 530:735823. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735823

7. Volpe MG, Varricchio E, Coccia E, Santagata G, Stasio MD, Malinconico M, et al. Manufacturing pellets with different binders: effect on water stability and feeding response in juvenile *Cherax albidus. Aquaculture.* (2012) 29:324–5. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2011.10.029

Innovation and Entrepreneurship Team Cultivation "Pilot Program".

Acknowledgments

We thank Dong Xiaohui and Zhang Shuang for their help in raw material procurement and also to Huangyang, Long Shuisheng, Xu jia, Yin Bin, Lu Bingneng, Xiao Yousang, Wei Zhangbo, She Xiaoqi, Sun Mengya, and Liu Yongyin for their help during the feeding period.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

8. Amirkolaie AK, Leenhouwers JI, Verreth JAJ, Schrama JW. Type of dietary fibre (soluble versus insoluble) influences digestion, faeces characteristics and faecal waste production in nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.). *Aquac Res.* (2005) 36:1157–66. 01330.x doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2109.2005

9. Glencross B, Rutherford N, Bourne N. The influence of various starch and non-starch polysaccharides on the digestibility of diets fed to rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture*. (2012) 356–357:141–6. doi: 10.1016/j. aquaculture.2012.05.023

10. Cai C, Ren S, Cui G, Ni Q, Cao X. Short-term stress due to dietary pectin induces cholestasis, and chronic stress induces hepatic steatosis and fibrosis in yellow catfish, *Pelteobagrus fulvidraco. Aquaculture.* (2019) 516:734607. doi: 10. 1016/j.aquaculture.2019.734607

11. Gao S, Han D, Zhu X, Yang Y, Liu H, Xie S, et al. Effects of guar gum on the growth performance and intestinal histology of gibel carp (*Carassius gibelio*). *Aquaculture*. (2019) 501:90–6. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2018.11.009

12. Deng J, Zhang X, Sun Y, Mi H, Zhang L. Effects of different types of nonstarch polysaccharides on growth, digestive enzyme activity, intestinal barrier function and antioxidant activity of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Aquac *Rep.* (2021) 21:100864. doi: 10.1016/j.aqrep.2021.100864

13. Yarahmadi P, Miandare HK, Farahmand H, Mirvaghefi A, Hoseinifar SH. Dietary fermentable fiber upregulated immune related genes expression, increased innate immune response and resistance of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) against *Aeromonas hydrophila*. *Fish Shellfish Immun*. (2014) 41:326–31. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2014.09.007

14. Adorian TJ, Mombach PI, Goulart FR, Loureiro BB, Pianesso D, Silva L. P. Dietary fiber in the nutrition of silver catfish: prebiotic or antinutrient? *Anim Feed Sci Tech.* (2015) 209:167–73. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2015.07.017

15. Iji PA, Saki AA, Tivey DR. Intestinal development and body growth of broiler chicks on diets supplemented with non-starch polysaccharides. *Anim Feed Sci Tech.* (2001) 89:175–88. doi: 10.1016/s0377-8401(00)00223-6

16. McDonald DE. Dietary fibre for the newly weaned pig. *Influences on pig performance, intestinal development and expression of experimental post- weaning colibacillosis and intestinal spirochaetosis.* Murdoch University, Murdoch (2001). editor.

17. Leenhouwers JI, djei-Boateng DA, Verreth JAJ, Schrama JW. Digesta viscosity, nutrient digestibility and organ weights in African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) fed diets supplemented with different levels of a soluble non-starch polysaccharide. *Aquac Nutr.* (2006) 12:111–6. 00389.x doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2095. 2006

18. Lin S, Zhou X, Zhou Y, Kuang W, Chen Y, Luo L, et al. Intestinal morphology, immunity and microbiota response to dietary fibers in largemouth bass, *Micropterus salmoide. Fish Shellfish Immun.* (2020) 103:135-42. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2020.04.070

19. Salze G, McLean E, Schwarz MH, Craig SR. Dietary mannan oligosaccharide enhances salinity tolerance and gut development of larval cobia. *Aquaculture*. (2008) 274:148–52. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.11.008

20. Dimitroglou A, Merrifield DL, Spring P, Sweetman J, Moate R, Davies SJ. Effects of mannan oligosaccharide (MOS) supplementation on growth performance, feed utilisation, intestinal histology and gut microbiota of gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*). *Aquaculture*. (2010) 300:182–8. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture. 2010.01.015

21. Leigh CS, Nguyen-Phuc QB, German PD. The effects of protein and fiber content on gut structure and function in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). J Comparat Physiol. (2018) 188:237-53. doi: 10.1007/s00360-017-1122-5

22. Kuang W, Ma H, Zhong Y, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Luo L, et al. Effects of dietary fiber levels on growth, biochemical indexes and intestinal health of *Cyprinus carpio* var. jian. *J Fish China*. (2019) 43:2230–40. doi: 10.11964/jfc.20190811921

23. De Schryver P, Vadstein O. Ecological theory as a foundation to control pathogenic invasion in aquaculture. *ISME J.* (2014) 8:2360–8. doi: 10.1038/ismej. 2014.84

24. Lekva A, Hansen A, Rosenlund G, Karlsen G. Energy dilution with α -cellulose in diets for Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua* L.) juveniles — effects on growth, feed intake, liver size and digestibility of nutrients. *Aquaculture*. (2010) 300:169–75. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.01.001

25. Steinberg C. Nonstarch polysaccharides— 'neither sweet nor gluey— adverse?'. Aquatic Animal Nutr. (2022) 2022:22. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-872 27-4_22

26. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis. Gaithersburg: AOAC Int (2005).

27. Huang B, Zhang S, Dong X, Chi S, Yang Q, Liu H, et al. Effects of fishmeal replacement by black soldier fly on growth performance, digestive enzyme activity, intestine morphology, intestinal flora and immune response of pearl gentian grouper (*Epinephelus fuscoguttatus* $\wp \times Epinephelus lanceolatus <math>\wp$). Fish Shellfish Immun. (2022) 120:497–506. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2021.12.027

28. Bach Knudsen KE. The nutritional significance of "dietary fibre" analysis. Anim Feed Sci Tech. (2001) 90:3–20. doi: 10.1016/S0377-8401(01)00193-6

29. Dioundick OB, Stom DI. Effects of dietary [alpha]-cellulose levels on the juvenile tilapia, *Oreochromis mossambicus* (peters). *Aquaculture*. (1990) 91:311–5. doi: 10.1016/0044-8486(90)90196-t

30. Altan O, Korkut A. Appearent digestibility of plant protein based diets by european sea bass dicentrarchus Labrax L., 1758. *Turk J Fish Aquat Sci.* (2011) 11:87–92. doi: 10.4194/trjfas.2011.0112

31. NRC. Committee on the nutrient requirements of fish and shrimp, nutrient requirements of fish and shrimp. Washington, D. C: National Academies Press (2011).

32. Øvrum Hansen J, Storebakken T. Effects of dietary cellulose level on pellet quality and nutrient digestibilities in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture*. (2007) 272:458–65. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.09.005

33. Bonvini E, Bonaldo A, Parma L, Mandrioli L, Sirri R, Grandi M, et al. Feeding European sea bass with increasing dietary fibre levels: impact on growth, blood biochemistry, gut histology, gut evacuation. *Aquaculture*. (2018) 494:1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2018.05.017

34. Shi C. Nutritional and physiological response of dietary fiber of largemouth bass. Chongqing: Southwest University (2020).

35. Shao, C. Effects of Dietary Fiber on the Growth Performance, Digestive and Absorptive Capacities, Intestinal Health, as Well as the Underlying Mechanisms in on-Growing Grass Carp (Ctenopharyngodon idella). Yaan: Sichuan Agriculture University (2019).

36. Pan J, Han Y, Huo P, Su P, Jiang Z. Effects of dietary alginate oligosaccharide on intestinal morphology, activities of digestive enzymes and apparent digestibility

of turbot (Scophthalmus maximus L). J Guang Ocean Univ. (2016) 36:39-44. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1673-9159.2016.03.007

37. Li J, Wang C, Wang L, Zhao Z, Luo L, Xu Q. Effects of glutamate supplementation in low phosphorus diets on intestinal digestive enzyme activities and intestinal morphology of juvenile songpu mirror carp (*Cyprinus carpio L.*). J Guang Ocean Univ. (2019) 39:20–6. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1673-9159.2019.04.004

38. Lin A, Wang W, Chen G, Zhang J, Huang J, Pan C, et al. Effects of two lactic acid bacteria on growth performance and activities of digestive and non-specific immune enzymes of juvenile cobia (rachycentroncanadum). *J Guang Ocean Univ.* (2020) 40:112–7. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1673-9159.2020.05.014

39. Hu P, Ge Y, Yi G, Liu W, Tang W, Yan H, et al. Evaluation of amino acid content, immunity and digestibility of *Fenneropenaeus chinensis* juveniles for stock enhancement. *J Guang Ocean Univ.* (2018) 38:90–5. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1673-9159. 2018.06.014

40. Zhang M, Pan L, Fan D, He J, Su C, Gao S, et al. Study of fermented feed by mixed strains and their effects on the survival, growth, digestive enzyme activity and intestinal flora of *Penaeus vannamei*. *Aquaculture*. (2021) 530:735703. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735703

41. Yin Y, Zhang P, Yue X, Du X, Li W, Yin Y, et al. Effect of subchronic exposure to lead (Pb) and *Bacillus subtilis* on *Carassius auratus* gibelio: bioaccumulation, antioxidant responses and immune responses. *Ecotox Environ Safe.* (2018) 161:755–62. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.06.056

42. Yu Z, Zhao Y, Jiang N, Zhang A, Li M. Bioflocs attenuates lipopolysaccharideinduced inflammation, immunosuppression and oxidative stress in *Channa argus*. *Fish Shellfish Immun*. (2021) 114:218–28. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2021.05.006

43. Wang G, Sun Y, Niu F, He F. Effects of exogenous enzyme supplementation on digestive enzyme activity, apparent digestibility and fecal nitrogen and phosphorus content of juvenile yellow catfish. *J Guang Ocean Univ.* (2017) 37:19–26. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1673-9159.2017.06.004

44. Larsen FM, Moughan PJ, Wilson MN. Dietary fiber viscosity and endogenous protein excretion at the terminal ileum of growing rats. *J Nutr.* (1993) 123:1898–904. doi: 10.1093/jn/123.11.1898

45. Sales J. The effect of fish meal replacement by soyabean products on fish growth: a meta-analysis. *Brit J Nutr.* (2009) 102:1709–22. doi: 10.1017/S0007114509991279

46. Rgensen HJ, Zhao XQ, Theil PK, Gabert VM, Knudsen K. Energy metabolism and protein balance in growing rats fed different levels of dietary fibre and protein. *Archiv Für Tierernhrung.* (2003) 57:83–98. doi: 10.1080/0003942031000107280

47. Vahouny G. Dietary fiber and absorption of nutrients. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med.* (1985) 1985:180.

48. Nie G, Wang J, Zhu M, Zhou H. The influences of xylanase added in wheat basal diet on intestine chyme viscosity and the development of villi and microvilli of *Tilapia nilotica*. J Fish China. (2007) 31:54–61.

49. Torre M, Rodriguez AR, Saura-Calixto F. Interactions of Fe (II), Ca (II) and Fe (III) with high dietary fibre materials: a physicochemical approach. *Food Chem.* (1995) 54:23–31. doi: 10.1016/0308-8146(95)92658-7

50. Leenhouwers JI, Ortega RC, Verreth JAJ, Schrama JW. Digesta characteristics in relation to nutrient digestibility and mineral absorption in nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.) fed cereal grains of increasing viscosity. *Aquaculture*. (2007) 273:556-65. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.10.044

51. Kraugerud OF, Penn M, Storebakken T, Refstie S, Krogdahl Å, Svihus B. Nutrient digestibilities and gut function in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) fed diets with cellulose or non-starch polysaccharides from soy. *Aquaculture*. (2007) 273:96–107. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.09.013

52. Geering K. Subunit assembly and functional maturation of Na,K-ATPase. J. Membrane Biol. (1990) 115:109–21. doi: 10.1007/bf01869450

53. Gal-Garber O, Mabjeesh S, Sklan D, Uni Z. Nutrient transport in the small intestine: Na+,K+-ATPase expression and activity in the small intestine of the chicken as influenced by dietary sodium. *Poultry Sci.* (2003) 82:1127–33. doi: 10. 1093/ps/82.7.1127

54. Cui Q, Chen B, Qiu L, Fu X, Yang B, Han Y, et al. Influence of low salinity stress on the gill Na+/K+-ATPase, liver antioxidase and non-specific immune enzyme in juvenile *Pleuronectes yokohama. J Guang Ocean Univ.* (2017) 37:26–32. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1673-9159.2017.06.005

55. Gjevre A, Næss LIM. Intestinal Na+K+-ATPase activity in salmonids. Comparat Biochem Physiol Part A Physiol. (1996) 115:159–68. doi: 10.1016/0300-9629(96)00029-1

56. Hartviksen M, Vecino JLG, Ringø E, Bakke AM, Wadsworth S, Krogdahl Å, et al. Alternative dietary protein sources for Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) effect on intestinal microbiota, intestinal and liver histology and growth. *Aquacult. Nutr.* (2014) 20:12087. doi: 10.1111/anu. 12087

57. Deng J, Mai K, Ai Q, Zhang W. Effects of soybean oligosaccharides on nutritional charactres of japanese flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*): II. digestibility and digestive physiology. *Acta Hydrobiol Sin.* (2009) 33:369–75. doi: 10.3724/SP.J. 0000.2009.30369

58. Fang H, Xie J, Liao S, Tian Y, Guo S, Yong J. Effects of dietary inclusion of shrimp paste on growth performance, digestive enzymes activities, antioxidant and immunological status and intestinal morphology of hybrid snakehead (*Channa maculata* $\varphi \times Channa \ argus \ \sigma$). Front Physiol. (2019) 10:1027. doi: 10.3389/fphys. 2019.01027

59. Li J, Wang C, Zhang Y, Wu D, Fan Z, Wang L. Effect of arginine supplementation in high starch diets on intestinal digestive enzyme activities and intestinal morphology of songpu mirror carp (*Cyprinus carpio L.*). J Guang Ocean Univ. (2020) 41:39–46. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1673-9159.2021.01.006

60. Farhangi M, Carter CG. Growth, physiological and immunological responses of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) to different dietary inclusion levels of dehulled lupin (*Lupinus angustifolius*). Aquac Res. (2001) 32:329–40. doi: 10.0000/026999497378403

61. Sang HM, Fotedar R. Effects of mannan oligosaccharide dietary supplementation on performances of the tropical spiny lobsters juvenile (*Panulirus ornatus*, Fabricius 1798). *Fish Shellfish Immun.* (2010) 28:483–9. doi: 10.1016/j.fsi.2009.12.011

62. Liu H, Li L, Ayiku S, Tang Z, Fan W, Tan B, et al. Effects of dietary yeast culture supplementation on growth, intestinal morphology, immunity, and disease resistance in *Epinephelus fuscoguttatus* $\varphi \times$ *Epinephelus lanceolatu* σ . J Guang Ocean Univ. (2021) 41:1–11. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1673-9159.2021.03.001

63. Caspary WF. Physiology and pathophysiology of intestinal absorption. Am J Clin Nutr. (1992) 55:2998–3088. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/55.1.299s

64. Li J, Xu Q, Wang C, Luo L, Zhao Z. Effects of glutamine and its precursors on intestinal digestive enzyme activity and intestinal morphology of songpu mirror carp (*Cyprinus carpio* specularis). *Chin J Animal Sci.* (2014) 26:1347–52. doi: 10. 3969/j.issn.1006-267x.2014.05.028

65. Fan YK, Croom J, Christensen VL, Black BL, Bird AR, Daniel LR, et al. Jejunal glucose uptake and oxygen consumption in turkey poults selected for rapid growth. *Poultry Sci.* (1997) 76:1738–45. doi: 10.1093/ps/76.12.1738

66. Zheng Q, Wu Y, Xu H. Effect of dietary oxidized Konjac glucomannan on Schizothorax prenanti growth performance, body composition, intestinal morphology and intestinal microflora. Fish Physiol Biochem. (2015) 41:733–43. doi: 10.1007/s10695-015-0042-0 67. Martín R, Chamignon C, Mhedbi-Hajri N, Chain F, Derrien M, Escribano-Vázquez U, et al. The potential probiotic *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* CNCM I-3690 strain protects the intestinal barrier by stimulating both mucus production and cytoprotective response. *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9:41738. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-41738-5

68. Tan X, Sun Z. Dietary dandelion extract improved growth performance, immunity, intestinal morphology and microbiota composition of golden pompano *Trachinotus ovatus. Aquac Rep.* (2020) 18:100491. doi: 10.1016/j.aqrep.2020.100491

69. Wang Y, Liu Y, Gao M, Jiang W, Wei L, Wang Z, et al. Effects of dietary copper level on the liver biochemical indexes, and intestinal and liver histological structure of swamp eel (*Monopterus albus*). Acta Agric Univ Jiang. (2020) 42:1204–12. doi: 10.13836/j.jjau.2020134

70. Refstie S, Svihus B, Shearer KD, Storebakken T. Nutrient digestibility in Atlantic salmon and broiler chickens related to viscosity and non-starch polysaccharide content in different soyabean products. *Anim Feed Sci Tech.* (1999) 79:331–45. doi: 10.1016/S0377-8401(99)00026-7

71. Lien KA, Sauer WC, He JM. Dietary influences on the secretion into and degradation of mucin in the digestive tract of monogastric animals and humans. *J Animal Feed Sci.* (2001) 10:223–45. doi: 10.1016/S0739-7240(00)00088-6

72. Christelle P, Lucile M, Bernard S, Jean-Paul L. Increasing digesta viscosity using carboxymethylcellulose in weaned piglets stimulates ileal goblet cell numbers and maturation. *J. Nutr.* (2005) 1:86–91. doi: 10.3164/jcbn.36.83

73. Park JHY, Vanderhoof JA, Darcy B, Macdonald RG. Characterization of type I and type II insulin-like growth factor receptors in an intestinal epithelial cell line. *Endocrinology.* (1990) 6:2998. doi: 10.1210/endo-126-6-2998

74. Dvorák B, Kolínská J, Mcwilliam DL, Williams CS, Koldovský O. The expression of epidermal growth factor and transforming growth factor- α mRNA in the small intestine of suckling rats: organ culture study. *Febs Lett.* (1998) 435:119. doi: 10.1016/S0014-5793(98)01050-3

75. Sato S, Hokari R, Kurihara C, Hozumi H, Ueda T, Sato H, et al. Tu1829 dietary lipid and sweetener regulate secretion of glucagon-like peptide-2 (GLP-2) from intestine in a different manner. *Gastroenterology*. (2012) 142:856. doi: 10.1016/S0016-5085(12)63316-2

76. Drucker DJ. Gut adaptation and the glucagon-like peptides. Gut.~(2002) 50:482–435. doi: 10.1136/gut.50.3.428

77. Li Z, Chen R, Bai F, Jing P, Sun Y, Qian Q. Effects of L-malic acid on intestinal histology and function, and TCA cycle efficiency of the liver of *Gift tilapia* (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *J Fish China*. (2014) 38:119–26. doi: 10.3724/SP.J.1231. 2014.48796