Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Giorgia Querin, Hôpitaux Universitaires Pitié Salpêtrière, France

*CORRESPONDENCE Thibaut Burg Thibaut.burg@kuleuven.be

RECEIVED 24 February 2025 ACCEPTED 07 March 2025 PUBLISHED 18 March 2025

CITATION

Burg T, Tzeplaeff L, Cassel R and Lingor P (2025) Editorial: Innovative approaches to catalyze preclinical and clinical research on amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and related disorders. *Front. Neurosci.* 19:1582539. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2025.1582539

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Burg, Tzeplaeff, Cassel and Lingor. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Innovative approaches to catalyze preclinical and clinical research on amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and related disorders

Thibaut Burg^{1,2*}, Laura Tzeplaeff³, Raphaelle Cassel⁴ and Paul Lingor^{3,5,6}

¹Department of Neurosciences, Experimental Neurology and Leuven Brain Institute (LBI), KU Leuven-University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium, ²Laboratory of Neurobiology, Center for Brain & Disease Research, VIB, Leuven, Belgium, ³Clinical Department of Neurology, School of Medicine, rechts der Isar Hospital, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany, ⁴UMR-S 1329, Strasbourg Translational Neuroscience & Psychiatry STEP-CRBS, University of Strasbourg, INSERM, Strasbourg, France, ⁵German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Munich, Germany, ⁶Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology (SyNergy), Munich, Germany

KEYWORDS

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), motor neuron, neurodegeneration, clinical research, biomarker, therapy

Editorial on the Research Topic

Innovative approaches to catalyze preclinical and clinical research on amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and related disorders

Introduction

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) stands as a rare disease associated with a substantial socioeconomic burden and a lifetime risk of around 1/350 (Heinrich et al., 2023; Ryan et al., 2019). Characterized by the degeneration of motor neurons (MNs), ensuing muscle weakness, and progressive paralysis, ALS typically results in a devastatingly short survival time of 2–5 years after the initial diagnosis (Masrori and Van Damme, 2020). A major challenge in ALS research stems from the disease's remarkable heterogeneity. This heterogeneity manifests in various aspects, including clinical presentation, rate of progression, genetics, and underlying pathophysiological mechanisms, making it difficult to develop universally effective treatments (Goyal et al., 2020). The urgent need for accelerated research is evident, as enhancing our understanding of ALS holds the promise of unraveling broader insights into neurodegenerative processes. This Research Topic brings together studies that push the boundaries of our understanding and pave the way for novel therapeutic strategies.

Beyond motor neuron degeneration

ALS is increasingly recognized as a multisystem disease, extending beyond its traditional classification as an MN disorder. Recent research has revealed that ALS affects

various non-motor systems, including cognitive, behavioral, autonomic, and sensory functions (Masrori and Van Damme, 2020). Shi et al. employed bidirectional two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR) using genome-wide association study data for ALS and various brain structures to establish causal relationships between brain structural changes and ALS risk. They show that morphometric changes, such as cortical surface area or cortical thickness, are associated with the risk for ALS. In addition, extramotor atrophy in the temporal lobes further supports the mechanistic similarities of ALS with frontotemporal dementia.

Knowledge of the cell types involved in ALS pathogenesis is an essential step to understanding the complete picture of the disease, as non-cell autonomous mechanisms contribute to MN vulnerability (Schweingruber and Hedlund, 2022). Goffin et al. shed light on an often-overlooked cell population: spinal interneurons. Their comprehensive review highlights how these cells, which regulate MN activity, may contribute to disease onset and progression. The authors proposed the working hypothesis that functional interactions between spinal interneurons and MNs are dysregulated before MN degeneration or symptom onset. These changes may stem from intrinsic defects or compensatory mechanisms to subtle MN disturbances. This hypothesis underscores the intricate nature of ALS pathogenesis, involving multiple cellular components and mechanisms beyond MNs alone.

Biomarkers of disease progression

Identifying and characterizing biomarkers of disease progression is crucial for ALS, as they help stratify patients into homogeneous groups, allowing for more effective clinical trials with sensitive detection of therapeutic effects. Prognostic biomarkers aid in tailoring treatment to individual progression rates, permitting more accurate and personalized care, ultimately leading to better outcomes for ALS patients (Witzel et al., 2022).

Hong et al. provide additional evidence for the role of systemic inflammation in ALS progression. Their study on Chinese ALS patients reveals that markers such as neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), lymphocyte-tomonocyte ratio (LMR), and systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) serve as independent predictors of rapid disease progression. The researchers found that NLR, PLR, and SII were significantly higher in ALS patients compared to controls, while LMR was lower. Importantly, higher NLR and lower LMR were associated with shorter survival time. These inflammation markers are highly dependent on race, sex, and age (Walsh et al., 2023). Hence, further multi-center studies with larger sample sizes and more varied populations are required to validate their clinical potential.

The D50 model describes the disease course of individual patients as a sigmoidal curve from full health to complete functional loss (Steinbach et al., 2020). Meyer et al. compared three different measures of disease progression speed: D50 (overall disease aggressiveness), cFL (calculated functional loss-rate), and DPR (disease progression-rate) in ALS patients. The authors demonstrated the advantage of the D50 model in quantifying disease aggressiveness and its robust correlation with cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) levels of phosphorylated Neurofilament-Heavy-chain

(pNfH) compared to other methods. Interestingly, CSF pNfH concentration was independent of the disease phase but strongly correlated with overall disease aggressiveness as quantified by D50. Although independent multi-center studies are necessary to replicate the results, these findings reinforce the potential of CSF pNfH levels as a robust prognostic marker.

Novel therapeutic approaches

Finally, Berthiaume et al. present promising preclinical results for ATH-1105, a small-molecule positive modulator of the hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) signaling system. The authors assessed the effects of the drug in rat primary neurons *in vitro* and in a transgenic rodent model (hemizygous Prp-TDP43^{A315T} mice) *in vivo*. ATH-1105 not only promoted cell survival pathways, manifested by phosphorylation of MET, Akt, and Erk, but also attenuated Glutamate- and LPS-induced toxicity. Motor function as well as histological readouts, were improved in the animal model, supporting further analyses of ATH-1105 as a promising therapeutic for ALS.

Conclusion

The diverse approaches presented in this Research Topic reflect the multifaceted nature of ALS research. This Research Topic impressively highlights the current focal points in the field of ALS research: studies on the understanding of disease mechanisms, biomarker investigations, and new therapeutic approaches. We hope that this Research Topic will further stimulate engagement with this important field and draw more scientific attention to this rare but severe disease.

Author contributions

TB: Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. LT: Writing – review & editing. RC: Writing – review & editing. PL: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. TB is supported by an FWO postdoctoral fellowship (12AIK24N). PL is supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy within the framework of the Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology (EXC 2145 SyNergy – ID 390857198). LT is supported by the JPND-2021funded project "premodiALS," BMBF grant no. 01ED2204A.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Goyal, N. A., Berry, J. D., Windebank, A., Staff, N. P., Maragakis, N. J., van den Berg, L. H., et al. (2020). Addressing heterogeneity in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis CLINICAL TRIALS. *Muscle Nerve.* 62, 156–166. doi: 10.1002/mus. 26801

Heinrich, F., Cordts, I., Günther, R., Stolte, B., Zeller, D., Schröter, C., et al. (2023). Economic evaluation of Motor Neuron Diseases: a nationwide cross-sectional analysis in Germany. *J. Neurol.* 270, 4922–4938. doi: 10.1007/s00415-023-11 811-1

Masrori, P., and Van Damme, P. (2020). Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a clinical review. *Eur. J. Neurol.* 27, 1918–1929. doi: 10.1111/ene.14393

Ryan, M., Heverin, M., McLaughlin, R. L., and Hardiman, O. (2019). Lifetime risk and heritability of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *JAMA Neurol.* 76, 1367–1374. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.2044

Schweingruber, C., and Hedlund, E. (2022). The cell autonomous and non-cell autonomous aspects of neuronal vulnerability and resilience in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Biology* 11:1191. doi: 10.3390/biology11081191

Steinbach, R., Batyrbekova, M., Gaur, N., Voss, A., Stubendorff, B., Mayer, T. E., et al. (2020). Applying the D50 disease progression model to gray and white matter pathology in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Neuroimage Clin.* 25:102094. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2019.102094

Walsh, C. P., Lindsay, E. K., Grosse, P., Natale, B. N., Fairlie, S., Bwint, A., et al. (2023). systematic review and meta-analysis of the stability of peripheral immune markers in healthy adults. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 107, 32–46. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2022.09.011

Witzel, S., Mayer, K., and Oeckl, P. (2022). Biomarkers for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Curr. Opin. Neurol.* 35, 699–704. doi: 10.1097/WCO.000000000001094