Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Florian Chouchou, Université de la Réunion, France

REVIEWED BY Glenda Lacerda, Universidade Federal do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil Dènahin Hinnoutondji Toffa, University of Montreal Hospital Centre (CRCHUM), Canada

*CORRESPONDENCE Jung Bin Kim kjbin80@korea.ac.kr Dong-Joo Kim dongjookim@korea.ac.kr

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 23 January 2024 ACCEPTED 16 April 2024 PUBLISHED 09 May 2024

CITATION

Lee S, Kim H, Kim JH, So M, Kim JB and Kim D-J (2024) Heart rate variability as a preictal marker for determining the laterality of seizure onset zone in frontal lobe epilepsy. *Front. Neurosci.* 18:1373837. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2024.1373837

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Lee, Kim, Kim, So, Kim and Kim. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Heart rate variability as a preictal marker for determining the laterality of seizure onset zone in frontal lobe epilepsy

Seho Lee^{1,2†}, Hayom Kim^{3†}, Jin Hyung Kim¹, Mingyeong So³, Jung Bin Kim^{3*} and Dong-Joo Kim^{1,3,4*}

¹Department of Brain and Cognitive Engineering, Korea University, Seoul, Republic of Korea, ²Department of Artificial Intelligence, Korea University, Seoul, Republic of Korea, ³Department of Neurology, Korea University Anam Hospital, Korea University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea, ⁴NeuroTx, Co., Ltd., Seoul, Republic of Korea

Determining the laterality of the seizure onset zone is challenging in frontal lobe epilepsy (FLE) due to the rapid propagation of epileptic discharges to the contralateral hemisphere. There is hemispheric lateralization of autonomic control, and heart rate is modulated by interactions between the sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems. Based on this notion, the laterality of seizure foci in FLE might be determined using heart rate variability (HRV) parameters. We explored preictal markers for differentiating the laterality of seizure foci in FLE using HRV parameters. Twelve patients with FLE (6 right FLE and 6 left FLE) were included in the analyzes. A total of 551 (460 left FLE and 91 right FLE) 1-min epoch electrocardiography data were used for HRV analysis. We found that most HRV parameters differed between the left and right FLE groups. Among the machine learning algorithms applied in this study, the light gradient boosting machine was the most accurate, with an AUC value of 0.983 and a classification accuracy of 0.961. Our findings suggest that HRV parameter-based laterality determination models can be convenient and effective tools in clinical settings. Considering that heart rate can be easily measured in real time with a wearable device, our proposed method can be applied to a closed-loop device as a real-time monitoring tool for determining the side of stimulation.

KEYWORDS

frontal lobe epilepsy, heart rate variability, laterality, seizure onset zone, autonomic nervous system

1 Introduction

Frontal lobe epilepsy (FLE) is the second most common subtype of focal onset epilepsy, and \sim 30 % of patients with FLE develop drug resistance, making them candidates for surgical treatment or non-invasive neuromodulation (Baumgartner et al., 1997). Although demonstrating the laterality of the seizure onset zone is a fundamental step in presurgical evaluation (Jobst et al., 2000; Miller and Fine, 2022) and in mapping for targeted stimulation (Fisher and Velasco, 2014), it is challenging to determine it in FLE due to the rapid propagation of epileptic discharges to the contralateral hemisphere through the corpus callosum and widespread connectivities (Matsumoto et al., 2007; Chu et al., 2017). Electroencephalography (EEG) data acquisitions through long-term monitoring and high-density channels have been applied for lateralization and localization of precise seizure foci (Lantz et al., 2003), but the examination process is complicated and requires a considerable time and economic burden. Therefore, surrogate markers derived from data that are convenient to acquire and can be processed quickly would have clinical utility in determining the laterality of seizure foci in FLE.

It is well-known that there is hemispheric lateralization of autonomic control (Oppenheimer et al., 1992; Yoon et al., 1997; Kim et al., 2014, 2021; Phillips et al., 2020), and that heart rate (HR) is modulated by interactions between the sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems. Based on this knowledge, heart rate variability (HRV) has been widely used to determine the hemispheric lateralization of autonomic control (Rajendra Acharya et al., 2006). Indeed, differences in HRV changes according to hemispheric laterality were demonstrated during unilateral amobarbital injection, suppressing the ipsilateral brain function, in patients with temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE; Yoon et al., 1997). Specifically, sympathetic activation was derived from left hemisphere injection, and parasympathetic activation was derived from right hemisphere injection (Yoon et al., 1997). The capability of HRV parameters for determining the laterality of seizure foci was reaffirmed in TLE (Dono et al., 2020; Sivathamboo and Perucca, 2021). Since the various frontal cortices (e.g., anterior cingulate cortex) are known as fundamental components of the central autonomic network (CAN; Benarroch, 1993), as well as structures in the temporal lobe (e.g., insular cortex), the lateralization of seizure foci in FLE might also be determined using HRV parameters. Considering that recent technological advances in digital health system have allowed the heart rate (HR) to be monitored reliably and conveniently using wearable devices (e.g., smart watch; Lee et al., 2018), HR-based markers for determining the laterality of seizure foci in FLE may be useful in closed-loop neuromodulation systems (Supplementary Figure 1). To the best of our knowledge, no study has investigated the applicability of HRV parameters to determine the laterality of seizure foci in FLE.

Herein, we aimed to compare HRV parameters between right and left FLE. In addition, if there were differences in HRV parameters, we sought to evaluate the applicability of HRV parameters as surrogate markers for determining the laterality of seizure foci in FLE using machine learning algorithms. We hypothesized that HRV parameters reflecting sympathovagal balance might shift toward sympathetic dominance in right FLE and parasympathetic dominance in left FLE. We also hypothesized that HRV parameter-based machine learning models might accurately classify the laterality of seizure foci in FLE.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Subjects and data acquisition

This study was based on a retrospective review of an inpatient long-term video-EEG monitoring database at Korea University Anam Hospital between January 2019 and December 2020. From the entire database, we first screened patients with EEG data containing ictal discharges and selected patients confirmed to have FLE through a comprehensive evaluation, including neurological examination, electroclinical diagnosis by boardcertified epileptologists (HK, JBK), and neuroimaging studies. All participants had epilepsy with no underlying structural lesions, except for transient seizure-related signal changes. Only FLE patients having EEG data with two or more ictal discharges originating in the frontal lobe of entirely consistent laterality were included in this study, and when seizure activity occurred repeatedly at intervals of <10 min, to such an extent that the timing of ictal onset and termination was unclear, were excluded. The criteria for determining the laterality of the seizure onset zone were established when all of the following conditions were met: (1) the presence of seizure semiology that clearly suggests laterality; (2) demonstration of at least two ictal EEGs compatible with the seizure semiology; and (3) the laterality of the seizure onset zone in electrical source imaging consistent with both clinical and electrophysiological findings. EEG recordings were conducted using a 32-channel recording system (Comet-PLUS, Grass Technologies Inc., West Warwick, RI, USA) with electrodes placed according to the International 10-20 system. EEG data were sampled at 200 Hz, and the bandpass filter was set between 0.1 and 70 Hz. The study followed the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Korea University Anam Hospital (No. 2020AN0435).

2.2 Exact Low-Resolution Brain Electromagnetic Tomography

Exact Low-Resolution Brain Electromagnetic Tomography (eLORETA) was developed to minimize source localization errors by estimating deeper source locations from standard LORETA (Pascual-Marqui et al., 2011; Aung et al., 2022). eLORETA was calculated to solve the three-dimensional (3D) linear solutions in a three-shell spherical head model, which includes the scalp, skull, and brain tissues. The LORETA solution consists of the voxel current density with estimable power spectral density from EEG on the scalp. eLORETA is a reference-free EEG analysis method that calculates the equal source distribution from independent EEG data. These methods estimate cortical sources that reflect the synchronization of synaptic neural currents associated with local field potentials. To reconfirm the laterality of seizure onset zone, electrical source imaging analysis was performed using EEG data for 1 min immediately before seizure onset (Figure 1; Kovac et al., 2014). In this study, eLORETA analysis was conducted utilizing the Head Atlas Model and the Boundary Element Method (BEM) template for enhanced standardization and comparability across subjects. A symmetric matrix is used as a parameterization for the family of linear imaging methods, where the symmetric matrix is denoted as C and \hat{j}_i is an estimator of neuronal activation at the ith voxel. By considering the actual source as an arbitrary point test source at each voxel, it is possible to refine the localization capability of the linear imaging method, where K_i is the lead field matrix and A is a vector containing information of dipole moments for a source. An estimator of neuronal activation can be calculated using the following (Equation 1):

$$\hat{j}_i = \left[(K_i^T C K_i)^{-1/2}) K_i^T C \right] \varphi, \varphi = k_j A$$
(1)

These equations can be used to write estimation values as (Equation 2):

$$\left\|\hat{J}_i\right\|^2 = A^T K_j^T C K_i (K_i^T C K_i)^+ K_i^T C K_j A$$
(2)



Electrical source imaging. An illustration of the exact low-resolution brain electromagnetic tomography (eLORETA) solutions is shown for two patients with left frontal lobe epilepsy [FLE (**upper row**)] and two patients with right FLE. The source current density of electroencephalography data during 1 min immediately before seizure onset is presented as a bright color. The left side of each image represents the left side of the brain.

With regard to eLORETA, we can obtain the density estimate at the ith voxel using following (Equation 3):

$$\hat{j}_{i} = W_{i}^{-1} K_{i}^{T} (KW^{-1}K^{T} + \alpha H)^{+} \varphi$$
(3)

With the equations given above, exact, zero error localization is possible by selecting the weights derived from (Equation 4):

$$W_{i} = \left[K_{i}^{T}(KW^{-1}K^{T} + \alpha H)^{+}K_{i}\right]^{1/2}$$
(4)

2.3 Electrocardiography recording and HRV analysis

The ECG signal was simultaneously recorded with the EEG, at a sampling rate of 200 Hz. The single-channel ECG data were extracted during the EEG recording, visually inspected for accuracy and quality, and used for HRV analysis. Ectopic beats and artifacts were discarded, and only normal-to-normal beats were selected for analysis (Camm et al., 1996). Since HR changes dynamically during the transition to ictal onset, it may be appropriate to use HRV parameters analyzed from HR data immediately before ictal onset to accurately determine the laterality of seizure onset zone (Jirsa et al., 2014); herefore, time and frequency domain HRV parameters

were calculated using ECG data from 1 min immediately before seizure onset and compared between the left and right FLE groups (Pecchia et al., 2018; Moya-Ramon et al., 2022). The timedomain HRV parameters used in this study are as follows (Camm et al., 1996): mean NN interval (interbeat intervals with artifacts removed, Equation 4), SDNN (standard deviation of NN intervals, Equation 5), SDSD (standard deviation of successive NN interval differences, Equation 6), RMSSD (root mean square of successive NN interval differences, Equation 7), and pNNx (percentage of successive intervals differing by more than x ms, Equation 8).

Mean NN interval(ms) =
$$\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} RR_{i+1} - RR_i$$
 (5)

$$SDNN(ms) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (RR_i - RR_{mean})^2}$$
 (6)

SDSD(ms) =

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (RR_i^2 - RR_{mean}^2) - \frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (RR_i - RR_{mean})^2}$$
(7)

$$RMSSD(ms) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (RR_{i+1} - RR_i)^2}$$
(8)

$$pNNx = \frac{NNx}{n-1} \tag{9}$$

where x in pNNx indicates threshold of difference between adjacent NN intervals.

The frequency domain of HRV parameters was estimated from the power spectral density (PSD) for NN interval series. The three main frequency component was calculated: the very low frequency (VLF) components under 0.04 Hz, the low frequency (LF) components between 0.04 and 0.15 Hz, and the high frequency (HF) between 0.15 and 0.4 Hz. For estimate the balance of sympathetic tone and parasympathetic tone, the LF/HF ratio was calculated. For estimate the balance of sympathetic tone and parasympathetic tone, the LF/HF ratio was calculated.

2.4 Machine learning and statistical analysis

The AutoML technique was implemented for applying various classifiers to determine the laterality of seizure onset in FLE using HRV parameters as input features (He et al., 2021; Qi et al., 2022). Four frequency domain (i.e., VLF, LF, HF, and LF/HF ratio) and six time domain HRV parameters (i.e., mean NN interval, SDNN, RMSSD, SDSD, pNN20, and pNN50) were utilized as input features. Since the range of values in each HRV parameter differs, z-scaled normalized values were applied in the machine learning. The validation of the machine learning classification was conducted through an automated, random, and unbiased selection process. This process utilized a stratified fivefold cross-validation technique to ensure a balanced and representative division between the training and test sets, thereby minimizing potential biases and enhancing the reliability of the results. Specifically, 551 epochs of HRV parameters were randomly divided into five groups for

cross-validation. Four groups were assigned to the learning set, and one group to the test set to validate classification accuracy. The same process was repeated five times, and the average value was reported as the final classification performance. The models were learned using optimal parameters selected through grid search analysis. The performance of each classifier was evaluated using a confusion matrix containing the parameters of precision, recall, and accuracy. The recall and specificity were used to generate a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) was also calculated. The Shapley Additive Explanations (SHAP) method was applied to the classifier models, showing the most accurate performance in evaluating and ranking the contribution of each variable to the model (Lundberg and Lee, 2017). Group comparisons of demographic and clinical variables were performed using Mann-Whitney U-test and Fisher's exact test, where appropriate. HRV parameters were compared between groups using an independent *t*-test. Statistical significance was set to p < 0.05. Statistical analyzes were performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (Version 25.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, USA).

3 Results

3.1 Demographic and clinical characteristics

A flow chart of participant selection is presented in Figure 2. Among the 84 patients with focal epilepsy in the database, EEG data with two or more ictal discharges from 35 patients were initially screened in this study. Twenty-three patients having seizure onset zone other than frontal lobe (19 temporal, three parietal, one occipital, and 10 multifocal) were excluded. A total of 12 patients with FLE (6 right and 6 left) were included in the analyzes. A total of 551 (460 left FLE and 91 right FLE) 1 min epoch ECG data were used for HRV analysis. The laterality of seizure onset zone determined by eLORETA was in accordance with that on the basis of electroclinical diagnosis in all patients with FLE. There were no differences in age, age of seizure onset, sex, and proportion of having comorbidities, including hypertension, cardiac arrhythmia, diabetes, and dyslipidemia, between the left and right FLE groups (Table 1).

3.2 HRV parameters

Details of the HRV parameters and statistical results are presented in Table 1. Among the frequency domain HRV parameters, LF and HF power were greater, while VLF and LF/HF ratio were lesser in the left FLE group than in the right FLE group. Compared to the left FLE group, all time domain HRV parameters (i.e., SDNN, RMSSD, SDSD, pNN20, and pNN50), except the mean NN interval, were greater in the right FLE group.

3.3 Machine learning

The performance of machine learning algorithms is presented in Figure 3 and Table 2. Among the algorithms for classification,



TABLE 1 Between group comparisons.

Characteristic	Left FLE (460 epochs)	Right FLE (91 epochs)	<i>p</i> -value					
Demographic and clinical variables								
Age (median, IQR)	62.50 (46.7-70.0)	60.00 (57.5–72.3)	NS					
Age of seizure onset (median, IQR)	57.50 (26.3–70.0)	60.00 (57.5–72.3)	NS					
Sex (women, %)	50.00	16.67	NS					
Hypertension (%)	33.33	50.00	NS					
Cardiac arrhythmia (%)	0.00	0.00	NS					
Diabetes mellitus (%)	0.00	33.33	NS					
Dyslipidemia (%)	0.00	16.67	NS					
Heart rate variability								
Frequency domain								
VLF (ms ²)	15.14 ± 12.45	25.93 ± 17.13	<0.001					
LF (ms ²)	53.09 ± 17.68	47.63 ± 18.69	<0.001					
HF (ms ²)	31.77 ± 23.00	26.44 ± 25.38	0.048					
LF/HF ratio	4.46 ± 7.19	10.18 ± 20.47	<0.001					
Time domain								
Mean NN interval (ms)	627.35 ± 245.43	629.70 ± 173.45	NS					
SDNN (ms)	19.2 ± 13.08	35.55 ± 36.68	<0.001					
RMSSD (ms)	11.98 ± 12.70	23.2 ± 30.65	<0.001					
SDSD (ms)	8.03 ± 10.675	15.73 ± 21.575	<0.001					
pNN20 (%)	0.57 ± 3.85	7.88 ± 16.95	<0.001					
pNN50 (%)	0.24 ± 2.33	1.84 ± 5.57	<0.001					

FLE, frontal lobe epilepsy; HE, high frequency; IQR, interquartile range; LE, low frequency; NN, normal beat-to-beat; NS, not significant; RMSSD, root mean square of the successive differences; SDNN, standard deviation of NN interval; SDSD, standard deviation of successive RR interval differences; VLE, very low frequency.

05



FIGURE 3

Performance of machine learning classification. (A) Performance of each machine learning algorithm is presented. Error bars indicate standard deviations of 5-fold cross-validation. (B) Shapley Additive Explanations (SHAP) values extracted from light gradient boosting machines are presented. ADA, adaptive boosting; AUC, area under the curve; DT, decision tree; ET, extra trees; GBC, gradient boosting classifier; HF, high frequency; LF, low frequency; LightGBM, light gradient boosting machine; NN, normal beat-to-beat; RMSSD, root mean square of the successive differences; SDNN, standard deviation of NN interval; SDSD, standard deviation of successive RR interval differences; VLF, very low frequency.

TABLE 2	Details in	performance of	machine	learning	classification.
---------	------------	----------------	---------	----------	-----------------

Model	AUC	Accuracy	Recall	Precision
Light gradient boosting machine	0.983 (0.964)	0.961 (0.940)	0.866 (0.849)	0.910 (0.784)
CatBoost	0.975 (0.969)	0.953 (0.958)	0.836 (0.867)	0.891 (0.872)
Gradient boosting classifier	0.956 (0.967)	0.950 (0.951)	0.836 (0.832)	0.882 (0.859)
Adaptive boost	0.959 (0.918)	0.948 (0.940)	0.779 (0.849)	0.917 (0.789)
Decision tree	0.857 (0.886)	0.930 (0.935)	0.746 (0.815)	0.835 (0.802)
Random forest	0.967 (0.963)	0.930 (0.940)	0.685 (0.780)	0.885 (0.847)
Extra trees	0.962 (0.945)	0.925 (0.935)	0.643 (0.727)	0.901 (0.830)

Values present performance using overall parameters per se [460 epochs in left frontal lobe epilepsy (FLE) vs. 91 epochs in right FLE]; values in parentheses indicate the performance of each classifier after upsampling (460 epochs in left FLE vs. 460 epochs in right FLE).

the light gradient boosting machine was the most accurate, with an AUC value of 0.983 and classification accuracy of 0.961. Among the algorithms applied in AutoML methods, CatBoost, gradient boosting classifier, adaptive boost, decision tree, random forest, and extra trees also achieved a high classification accuracy of more than 0.900.

4 Discussion

In this study, we compared HRV parameters derived from preictal period data to investigate the applicability of the HRV parameters to classify the laterality of seizure foci in patients with FLE. We found that most HRV parameters differed between the left and right FLE groups. The groups were classified with a high accuracy using machine learning algorithms with the HRV parameters as input features.

Since the neural activity in the seizure focus is enhanced during the transition from the interictal to the ictal period in epilepsy (Jirsa et al., 2014), sympathetic and parasympathetic activities during the preictal period would be increased in epilepsy with seizure focus on the right and left, respectively. Considering the presence of hemispheric laterality in the modulation of the sympathetic and parasympathetic activities (Oppenheimer et al., 1992; Yoon et al., 1997; Kim et al., 2014, 2021; Phillips et al., 2020), HRV parameters reflecting sympathovagal balance (Rajendra Acharya et al., 2006) can be surrogate markers to determine the laterality of seizure foci. Based on the aforementioned notion, our findings of increased LF/HF ratio and decreased HF power in the right FLE group relative to the left FLE group suggest that FLE patients with seizure foci on the right had increased sympathetic activity during the preictal period and those in the left FLE group suggest the opposite.

There are several lines of evidence indicating that frequency domain HRV parameters could be useful surrogate markers for determining the laterality of seizure foci in TLE (Yoon et al., 1997; Dono et al., 2020). Our findings of the tendency of HRV parameters according to the laterality of seizure foci in FLE were consistent with those in TLE reported previously. CAN is composed of a wide range of areas and is known to regulate autonomic function through functional connectivity between major components such as the brainstem, the insular cortex of the temporal lobe, and the anterior cingulate cortex of the frontal lobe (Benarroch, 1993). Our results suggest that in the context of autonomic function control through CAN, determining seizure foci laterality in FLE patients is possible through HRV parameters, as in TLE.

Indeed, several machine learning algorithms using HRV parameters acquired during the preictal period without other clinical information could classify the laterality of seizure foci with a high accuracy of over 0.900. These findings imply that HRV parameters may be useful surrogate markers for discriminating the laterality in FLE. Given that the ECG data acquisition period was short (i.e., 1 min), HRV parameter-based laterality determination can be a convenient and effective tool in a clinical setting.

The present study had several limitations. First, the sample sizes in the left and right FLE groups were unbalanced, with a relatively small number of epilepsy patients analyzed. Therefore, to avoid biased classification performance, we applied an upsampling technique, which is one of the methods developed and widely used to minimize the impact of results due to imbalance problems. We found that high classification accuracy, over 0.900, was preserved in all algorithms using up-sampled data; therefore, we believe our results were unlikely to be affected by the data imbalance issue. Second, we could not externally validate the accuracy of machine learning models. Therefore, the generalizability of the accuracy of the differential diagnosis between left and right FLE might be limited, although internal validation has been statistically performed. Third, since frontal lobe encompasses a wide range of regions, the impact on autonomic function can vary by seizure onset zone within specific region of frontal lobe. Further studies in large populations could verify the applicability of determining the laterality of seizure onset zone using HRV parameters according to regions within the frontal lobe. Finally, HRV parameters derived from 1 min recordings may be limited in their ability to serve as a sufficient indicator of autonomous function. However, there are several lines of evidence that HRV parameters derived from short-term recordings were not different from those from 5 min recordings (Pecchia et al., 2018; Moya-Ramon et al., 2022). Therefore, we considered that HRV parameters, derived from 1 min in our study, could serve as appropriate indices for timely forecasting the laterality of seizure onset during real-time monitoring. Moreover, integrated pattern of multiple HRV parameters would be more valuable as a predictor than the independent contribution of individual HRV parameters.

5 Conclusion

Using HRV parameters, we explored surrogate markers for differentiating the laterality of seizure foci in FLE patients. Our findings show that machine learning algorithms using HRV parameters could determine the laterality of seizure foci in FLE with a high accuracy of more than 90%. Our results provide insights into the differentiating autonomic states during the preictal period according to the laterality of the seizure onset zone in FLE patients, and HRV parameter-based laterality determination models can be convenient and effective tools in the clinical setting. Furthermore, given the recent advances in application of wearable devices using photoplethysmography signals for healthcare systems (Loh et al., 2022), the laterality of the seizure onset zone in FLE could be determined by using wearable or simple portable devices.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this article are not readily available because of ethical restrictions on the sharing of participant data. Requests to access the datasets should be directed to JK; kjbin80@korea.ac.kr or brainbin80@gmail.com.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Institutional Review Board of Korea University Anam Hospital (No. 2020AN0435). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

SL: Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Visualization. HK: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. JHK: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. MS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. JBK: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. D-JK: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by a grant of the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI), funded by the Ministry of Health and Welfare, Republic of Korea under Grant: HI22C0946.

Conflict of interest

D-JK serves as the Chief Executive Officer at NeuroTx.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest. The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the

References

Aung, T., Tenney, J. R., and Bagić, A. I. (2022). Contributions of magnetoencephalography to understanding mechanisms of generalized epilepsies: Blurring the boundary between focal and generalized epilepsies? *Front. Neurol.* 13:831546. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2022.831546

Baumgartner, C., Czech, T., Feucht, M., Schindler, E., and Podreka, I. (1997). Presurgical diagnosis of epilepsy and surgical epilepsy treatment. *Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift* 109, 180–191.

Benarroch, E. E. (1993). The central autonomic network: functional organization, dysfunction, and perspective. *Mayo Clin. Proc.* 68, 988–1001. doi: 10.1016/S0025-6196(12)62272-1

Camm, A. J., Malik, M., Bigger, J. T., Breithardt, G., Cerutti, S., Cohen, R. J., et al. (1996). Heart rate variability: standards of measurement, physiological interpretation and clinical use. task force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. *Circulation* 93, 1043–1065.

Chu, H., Chung, C. K., Jeong, W., and Cho, K.-H. (2017). Predicting epileptic seizures from scalp EEG based on attractor state analysis. *Comput. Methods Progr. Biomed.* 143, 75–87. doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2017.03.002

Dono, F., Evangelista, G., Frazzini, V., Vollono, C., Carrarini, C., Russo, M., et al. (2020). Interictal heart rate variability analysis reveals lateralization of cardiac autonomic control in temporal lobe epilepsy. *Front. Neurol.* 11:842. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2020.00842

Fisher, R. S., and Velasco, A. L. (2014). Electrical brain stimulation for epilepsy. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* 10, 261–270. doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2014.59

He, X., Zhao, K., and Chu, X. (2021). Automl: a survey of the state-of-the-art. *Knowl.* Based Syst. 212:106622. doi: 10.1016/j.knosys.2020.106622

Jirsa, V. K., Stacey, W. C., Quilichini, P. P., Ivanov, A. I., and Bernard, C. (2014). On the nature of seizure dynamics. *Brain* 137, 2210–2230. doi: 10.1093/brain/awu133

Jobst, B. C., Siegel, A. M., Thadani, V. M., Roberts, D. W., Rhodes, H. C., and Williamson, P. D. (2000). Intractable seizures of frontal lobe origin: clinical characteristics, localizing signs, and results of surgery. *Epilepsia* 41, 1139–1152. doi: 10.1111/j.1528-1157.2000.tb00319.x

Kim, J., Suh, S. I., Seo, W. K., Koh, S. B., and Kim, J. H. (2014). Right insular atrophy in neurocardiogenic syncope: a volumetric MRI study. *Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 35, 113–118. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A3611

Kim, J. B., Kim, H., Lee, C.-N., Park, K.-W., and Kim, B.-J. (2021). Regional gray matter volume changes in parkinson's disease with orthostatic hypotension. *Brain Sci.* 11:294. doi: 10.3390/brainsci11030294

Kovac, S., Chaudhary, U. J., Rodionov, R., Mantoan, L., Scott, C. A., Lemieux, L., et al. (2014). Ictal EEG source imaging in frontal lobe epilepsy leads to improved lateralization compared with visual analysis. *J. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 31, 10–20. doi: 10.1097/WNP.0000000000022

Lantz, G., De Peralta, R. G., Spinelli, L., Seeck, M., and Michel, C. (2003). Epileptic source localization with high density EEG: how many electrodes are needed? *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 114, 63–69. doi: 10.1016/S1388-2457(02)00337-1

reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins.2024. 1373837/full#supplementary-material

Lee, S. P., Ha, G., Wright, D. E., Ma, Y., Sen-Gupta, E., Haubrich, N. R., et al. (2018). Highly flexible, wearable, and disposable cardiac biosensors for remote and ambulatory monitoring. *NPJ Digit. Med.* 1:2. doi: 10.1038/s41746-017-0 009-x

Loh, H. W., Xu, S., Faust, O., Ooi, C. P., Barua, P. D., Chakraborty, S., et al. (2022). Application of photoplethysmography signals for healthcare systems: an in-depth review. *Comput. Methods Progr. Biomed.* 216:106677. doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2022.10 6677

Lundberg, S. M., and Lee, S.-I. (2017). A unified approach to interpreting model predictions. Adv. Neural Inform. Process. Syst. 30. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1705.07874

Matsumoto, R., Nair, D. R., LaPresto, E., Bingaman, W., Shibasaki, H., and Lüders, H. O. (2007). Functional connectivity in human cortical motor system: a cortico-cortical evoked potential study. *Brain* 130, 181–197. doi: 10.1093/brain/awl257

Miller, K. J., and Fine, A. L. (2022). Decision-making in stereotactic epilepsy surgery. *Epilepsia* 63, 2782–2801. doi: 10.1111/epi.17381

Moya-Ramon, M., Mateo-March, M., Peña-González, I., Zabala, M., and Javaloyes, A. (2022). Validity and reliability of different smartphones applications to measure HRV during short and ultra-short measurements in elite athletes. *Comput. Methods Progr. Biomed.* 217:106696. doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2022.106696

Oppenheimer, S. M., Gelb, A., Girvin, J. P., and Hachinski, V. C. (1992). Cardiovascular effects of human insular cortex stimulation. *Neurology* 42, 1727–1727.

Pascual-Marqui, R. D., Lehmann, D., Koukkou, M., Kochi, K., Anderer, P., Saletu, B., et al. (2011). Assessing interactions in the brain with exact low-resolution electromagnetic tomography. *Philos. Trans. Royal Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 369, 3768–3784. doi: 10.1098/rsta.2011.0081

Pecchia, L., Castaldo, R., Montesinos, L., and Melillo, P. (2018). Are ultrashort heart rate variability features good surrogates of short-term ones? State-of-the-art review and recommendations. *Healthc. Technol. Lett.* 5, 94–100. doi: 10.1049/htl.2017.0090

Phillips, Z., Kim, J. B., Paik, S.-H., Kang, S.-Y., Jeon, N.-J., Kim, B.-M., et al. (2020). Regional analysis of cerebral hemodynamic changes during the head-up tilt test in parkinson's disease patients with orthostatic intolerance. *Neurophotonics* 7:e045006. doi: 10.1117/1.NPh.7.4.045006

Qi, B., Boscenco, S., Ramamurthy, J., and Trakadis, Y. J. (2022). Transcriptomics and machine learning to advance schizophrenia genetics: a case-control study using post-mortem brain data. *Comput. Methods Progr. Biomed.* 214:106590. doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2021.106590

Rajendra Acharya, U., Paul Joseph, K., Kannathal, N., Lim, C. M., and Suri, J. S. (2006). Heart rate variability: a review. *Med. Biol. Eng. Comput.* 44, 1031–1051. doi: 10.1007/s11517-006-0119-0

Sivathamboo, S., and Perucca, P. (2021). Interictal autonomic dysfunction. Curr. Opin. Neurol. 34, 197–205. doi: 10.1097/WCO.000000000000906

Yoon, B.-W., Morillo, C. A., Cechetto, D. F., and Hachinski, V. (1997). Cerebral hemispheric lateralization in cardiac autonomic control. *Archiv. Neurol.* 54, 741–744.