



## OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY  
Frontiers Editorial Office,  
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

\*CORRESPONDENCE  
Chuanzhou Li  
✉ chuanzhouli@hust.edu.cn  
Zhijun Liu  
✉ 2017xh0351@hust.edu.cn

†These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 25 August 2023  
ACCEPTED 30 August 2023  
PUBLISHED 12 September 2023

CITATION  
Chu F, Xu J, Wang Y, Li Y, Wang Y, Liu Z and Li C (2023) Corrigendum: Novel mutations in GJB1 trigger intracellular aggregation and stress granule formation in X-linked Charcot-Marie-Tooth Disease.  
*Front. Neurosci.* 17:1282954.  
doi: 10.3389/fnins.2023.1282954

COPYRIGHT  
© 2023 Chu, Xu, Wang, Li, Wang, Liu and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Corrigendum: Novel mutations in GJB1 trigger intracellular aggregation and stress granule formation in X-linked Charcot-Marie-Tooth Disease

Fan Chu<sup>1†</sup>, Jiaming Xu<sup>2†</sup>, Yong Wang<sup>2†</sup>, Yingjie Li<sup>1</sup>, Yaling Wang<sup>2</sup>, Zhijun Liu<sup>2\*</sup> and Chuanzhou Li<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Genetics, School of Basic Medicine, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurology, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

## KEYWORDS

GJB1, gap junction, aggregation, stress granule, Charcot-Marie-Tooth

## A corrigendum on

[Novel mutations in GJB1 trigger intracellular aggregation and stress granule formation in X-linked Charcot-Marie-Tooth Disease](#)

by Chu, F., Xu, J., Wang, Y., Li, Y., Wang, Y., Liu, Z., and Li, C. (2022). *Front. Neurosci.* 16:972288. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2022.972288

In the published article, there was an error in “Table 1” as published. We are correcting the error in the original Table 1, i.e., the p.R164Q mutation we reported should be corrected as p.Y157H. The corrected “Table 1” and its caption “The clinical presentations and genetic analysis of four CMT probands” appear below.

In the published article, there was an error. The mutation p.R164Q we reported should be corrected as p.Y157H. A correction has been made to “Pages 1, 4, 6, and 12”.

This sentence previously stated:

Page 1. Abstract

“Using targeted exome-sequencing, we investigated four CMT families from central-southern China and identified two novel missense variants (p.F31S and p.W44G) and two previously reported variants (p.R220Pfs\*23 and p.R164Q) of GJB1.”

Page 4. Results section, paragraph 1

“After tering and validation by Sanger sequencing, four probable pathogenic variants, including two variants previously reported as pathogenfilic variants (c.469T>C, p.R164Q, Li et al., 2016 and c.657dupC, p.R220Pfs\*23) (Lu et al., 2017) and two novel variants (c.130T>C, p.F31S and c.92T>C, p.W44G), were identified in four CMT families (Figure 1).”

Page 6, right column, paragraph 1

“The proband 4 (III:2) was a 28-year-old male, carrying the p.R164Q variant in *GJB1*, who presented with right lower limb weakness at the age of 15.”

Page 6, right column, paragraph 2

“Since functional alternation by R164Q variation has been briefly explored previously (Li et al., 2016), we have not included this mutation in our study.”

Page 12, Discussion section, paragraph 1

“Using targeted exome-sequencing, we identified two known *GJB1* mutations (p.R164Q and p.R220Pfs\*23) and two novel pathogenic variants in *GJB1* (p.F31S and p.W44G) among four CMT families in central-southern China. F31S and W44G were first found in our cohort, expanding the mutation spectrum in GJB1-CMT1X.”

Page 12, Discussion section, paragraph 1

“Both variations of R164Q and R220Pfs\*23 have been previously reported, whereas only the R164Q mutation has been briefly explored functionally *in vitro*.”

The corrected sentence appears below:

Page 1. **Abstract**

“Using targeted exome-sequencing, we investigated four CMT families from central-southern China and identified two novel missense variants (p.F31S and p.W44G) and two previously reported variants (p.R220Pfs\*23 and p.Y157H) of *GJB1*.”

Page 4. **Results** section, paragraph 1

“After filtering and validation by Sanger sequencing, four probable pathogenic variants, including two variants previously reported as pathogenic variants (c.469T>C, p.Y157H, (Li et al., 2016) and c.657dupC, p.R220Pfs\*23) (Lu et al., 2017) and two novel variants (c.130T>C, p.F31S and c.92T>C, p.W44G), were identified in four CMT families (Figure 1).”

Page 6, right column, paragraph 1

“The proband 4 (III:2) was a 28-year-old male, carrying the p.Y157H variant in *GJB1*, who presented with right lower limb weakness at the age of 15.”

Page 6, right column, paragraph 2

“Since functional alternation by Y157H variation has been briefly explored previously (Li et al., 2016), we have not included this mutation in our study.”

Page 12, **Discussion** section, paragraph 1

“Using targeted exome-sequencing, we identified two known *GJB1* mutations (p.Y157H and p.R220Pfs\*23) and two novel pathogenic variants in *GJB1* (p.F31S and p.W44G) among four CMT families in central-southern China. F31S and W44G were first found in our cohort, expanding the mutation spectrum in GJB1-CMT1X.”

Page 12, **Discussion** section, paragraph 1

“Both variations of Y157H and R220Pfs\*23 have been previously reported, whereas only the Y157H mutation has been briefly explored functionally *in vitro*.”

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

- Li, L. X., Zhao, S. Y., Liu, Z. J., Ni, W., Li, H. F., Xiao, B. G., et al. (2016). Improving molecular diagnosis of Chinese patients with Charcot-Marie-Tooth by targeted next-generation sequencing and functional analysis. *Oncotarget* 7, 27655–27664. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.8377
- Lu, Y. Y., Lyu, H., Jin, S. Q., Zuo, Y. H., Liu, J., Wang, Z. X., et al. (2017). Clinical and genetic features of Chinese X-linked charcot-marie-tooth type 1 disease. *Chin. Med. J.* 130, 1049–1054. doi: 10.4103/0366-6999.204925

TABLE 1 The clinical presentations and genetic analysis of four CMT probands.

Characteristics	Proband 1	Proband 2	Proband 3	Proband 4
Age, years	29	23	25	28
Sex	Male	Male	Male	Male
Age at onset, years	23	22	Early childhood	15
Initial symptoms	Weakness and atrophy in hands	Weakness of right hands	Running difficulty	Right lower limbs weakness
Pathogenic variants in <i>GJB1</i>	p.W44G	p.F31S	p.R220Pfs*23	p.Y157H
Muscle atrophy UL/LL	+/++	++/+	++/++	++/+ ++
Deep tendon reflexes	Decreased	Decreased	Disappeared	Disappeared
Pes cavus	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sensory loss	No	Yes	No	Yes
Brain MRI	Normal	Normal	Hyperintensity in the bilateral corona radiate on T2WI	Normal
Other features	-	-	Stroke-like episodes	-
CMAP in median/ulnar nerves, mv	1.0/3.5	0.3/0.7	1.0/3.1	NA
MCV in median/ulnar nerves, m/s	29.9/32.4	25.3/26.8	28.6/31.1	NA
SNAP in median/ulnar nerves, uv	5.5/7.0	4.0/4.5	4.6/6.3	NA
SCV in median/ulnar nerves, m/s	38.2/37.3	32.1/33.4	35.4/36.5	NA

UL, upper limbs; LL, low limbs; +, mild; ++, moderate; + + +, severe; CMAP, compound motor action potential; MCV, motor conduction velocity; SNAP, sensory nerve action potential; SCV, sensory conduction velocity; NA, not available.