

# Performance of Single-Shot Echo-Planar Imaging in Diffusion Tensor Imaging in Rat Sciatic Nerve Compared With Readout-Segmented Echo-Planar Imaging

**OPEN ACCESS** 

#### Edited by:

Felix Tobias Kurz, Heidelberg University Hospital, Germany

#### Reviewed by:

Han Lv, Capital Medical University, China Chengde Liao, Yunnan Cancer Hospital, China Johann M. E. Jende, Heidelberg University Hospital, Germany

#### \*Correspondence:

Hanqing Lyu 13510269808@139.com Xiaofeng Lin linxf22@mail.sysu.edu.cn † These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Brain Imaging Methods, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neuroscience

Received: 28 December 2021 Accepted: 29 March 2022 Published: 12 May 2022

#### Citation:

Chen Y, Pan Z, Meng F, Li Z, Hu Y, Yu X, Gao J, Guo Y, Lyu H and Lin X (2022) Performance of Single-Shot Echo-Planar Imaging in Diffusion Tensor Imaging in Rat Sciatic Nerve Compared With Readout-Segmented Echo-Planar Imaging. Front. Neurosci. 16:844408. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2022.844408 Yueyao Chen<sup>1†</sup>, Zhongxian Pan<sup>1†</sup>, Fanqi Meng<sup>1</sup>, Zhujing Li<sup>1</sup>, Yuanming Hu<sup>1</sup>, Xuewen Yu<sup>2</sup>, Jinyun Gao<sup>1</sup>, Yihao Guo<sup>3</sup>, Hanqing Lyu<sup>1\*</sup> and Xiaofeng Lin<sup>4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Radiology, Shenzhen Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, The Fourth Clinical Medical College of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Shenzhen, China, <sup>2</sup> Department of Pathology, Shenzhen Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, The Fourth Clinical Medical College of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Shenzhen, China, <sup>3</sup> Magnetic Resonance Collaboration, Siemens Healthcare, Guangzhou, China, <sup>4</sup> Department of Nuclear Medicine, The Seventh Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Shenzhen, China

**Objectives:** To compare the performances of single-shot echo-planar imaging (SS–EPI) and readout-segmented echo-planar imaging (RS–EPI) for diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) of the rat sciatic nerve.

**Methods:** Eight healthy adult male Sprague-Dawley rats were anesthetized and scanned with a 3T MRI scanner using SS–EPI and RS–EPI DTI sequences. The image quality in terms of the morphology of the nerve, distortions of the nearby femur, muscles, and homogeneity of neuromuscular were evaluated and scored. The correlations between the DTI parameters including fractional anisotropy (FA), axial diffusivity (AD), radial diffusivity (RD), apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC), and histopathological parameters were calculated by using the Pearson correlation coefficient and compared by the modified Fisher *Z*-transform, respectively.

**Results:** The quality scores were higher for the images from the SS–EPI sequence compared with the RS–EPI sequence for characteristics such as sharpness of the sciatic nerve margin (P = 0.008), artifacts of the sciatic nerve (P = 0.008), and homogeneity of the neuromuscular region (P = 0.007), as well as the contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) of DW images (P < 0.001). The correlation coefficients were higher for the FA and RD values from the SS–EPI sequence compared with those from the RS–EPI sequence. Furthermore, the correlation coefficients between FA and myelin thickness (P = 0.027), FA and diameter of the myelinated fiber (P = 0.036), as well as RD and myelin thickness (P = 0.05) were statistically higher for the SS–EPI sequence.

1

**Conclusion:** Diffusion tensor imaging analysis of the rat sciatic nerve showed that the image quality from the SS–EPI sequence was significantly higher compared with that from the RS–EPI sequence. Furthermore, the FA and RD derived from the SS–EPI sequence are promising and sensitive biomarkers to detect the histopathological changes in the rat sciatic nerve.

Keywords: magnetic resonance imaging, diffusion tensor imaging, single-shot echo-planar imaging, readoutsegmented echo-planar imaging, peripheral nerve

#### INTRODUCTION

Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) is a functional non-invasive MRI sequence that is widely used to evaluate cerebral white matter fiber tracts in the brain. DTI is also routinely used to assess the integrity of the peripheral nerves, especially in experimental animal studies of nerve injury. Several preclinical and animal studies have shown that DTI parameters such as fractional anisotropy (FA) and radial diffusivity (RD) are associated with axon density and myelin abnormalities (Chen et al., 2017; Farinas et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2021). Therefore, DTI parameters such as FA and RD are highly sensitive biomarkers for the early detection of peripheral nerve degeneration and regeneration (Chen et al., 2017; Farinas et al., 2017; Farinas et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2021).

Deep and prolonged anesthesia significantly increases the risk of animal death during *in-vivo* MRI studies. Furthermore, the risk of death from anesthesia is higher while performing continuous MRI to monitor peripheral nerve repair because the same animal is anesthetized and revived several times during the examination. Hence, to minimize the risk of death from anesthesia, DTI needs to be carried out in a shorter duration while ensuring sufficient quality. Furthermore, higher resolution is required for optimal analysis of the sciatic nerves in small experimental animals. Therefore, scan time, nerve display, and parameter accuracy are critically important while using DTI for peripheral nerve MRI studies in experimental animals.

Single-shot echo-planar imaging (SS-EPI) and readoutsegmented echo-planar imaging (RS-EPI) are the two main types of DTI sequences. The conventional SS-EPI is more prone to susceptibility artifacts such as geometric distortions, image blurring, and ghosting artifacts (Drake-Pérez et al., 2018). Therefore, readout-segmented echo-planar imaging (RS-EPI) was developed to reduce distortion artifacts and increase image resolution (Porter and Heidemann, 2009). Better performance of the RS-EPI sequence in terms of image quality and lesion detection has improved the diagnostic performance of DTI in the brain (Kida et al., 2016), skull base and orbit (Yeom et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2020), breast (Bogner et al., 2012), kidney (Friedli et al., 2017), pelvis (Thian et al., 2014), and the sacroiliac joint (Zhang et al., 2021). However, RS-EPI significantly increases the scan time and is sensitive to movement artifacts. These two characteristics are the main obstacles in animal MRI studies.

In our preliminary study, the image quality from the SS-EPI sequence was significantly higher compared with the RS-EPI sequence for the diffusion tensor imaging of sciatic nerve repair in rats. In the liver and pediatric brains, SS-EPI sequences showed better image quality with reduced susceptibility and motion artifacts compared with the RS–EPI sequence (Yeom et al., 2013; Xie et al., 2021). However, the performances of SS– EPI DTI and RS–EPI DTI have not been compared with assessing peripheral nerves in small animals. Therefore, in this study, we compared the image quality of the sciatic nerve between the SS– EPI and RS–EPI sequences. We also analyzed the correlation between DTI parameters from the SS–EPI and RS–EPI sequences and the histological parameters of the rat sciatic nerve to identify morphological and histological changes in the rat sciatic nerve.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Subjects

All interventions and animal care procedures were performed in accordance with the Guidelines and Policies and were approved by the Institutional Animal Use and Care Committee. All animals were obtained from the Animal Experiment Center of Guangdong province. The animals were housed in a standard animal facility with 12-h on/off light conditions and allowed free access to standard food and water. Eight male adult healthy Sprague-Dawley rats weighing  $250 \pm 20$  g were used in this study.

#### **Magnetic Resonance Imaging**

In total, eight healthy adult male Sprague-Dawley rats were anesthetized to deep sleep (7% chloralhydrate, 5 ml/kg, intraperitoneal injection) and scanned at 3T (MAGNETOM Prisma, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany). After anesthesia, each rat was placed prone in a rat coil (6-cm diameter, 8 channel, Suzhou Medcoil Healthcare Co., Ltd.) with their limbs fixed with medical adhesive tape to further prevent movement. Both hind limbs were positioned symmetrically.

Two Axial DTI sequences (SS–EPI and RS–EPI) were performed according to the parameters as follows (see **Table 1** for detailed parameters): SS–EPI DWI (TE/TR 72/3,500 ms, slice thickness 1.5 mm, the field of view 70 × 70, matrix size  $100 \times 100$ , voxel size 0.7 mm × 0.7 mm, *b*-values 0 and 800 s/mm<sup>2</sup>, readout segments 1); RS–EPI DWI (TE/TR 58/4,000 ms, slice thickness 1.5 mm, the field-of-view 70 × 70, matrix size  $100 \times 100$ , voxel size 0.7 mm × 0.7 mm, *b*-values 0 and 800 s/mm<sup>2</sup>, readout segment 7). The axial plane was perpendicular to the long axis of the sciatic nerve. A coronal fat-suppressed T2-weighted image was obtained to display the morphology of bilateral sciatic nerves and to ensure the correct position of the region of interest (ROI) for DTI parameters measurement in the sciatic nerve (see **Figure 1**). **TABLE 1** Sequence parameters for single-shot and readout-segmented echo-planar imaging.

Sequence parameter	Single-shot echo-planar imaging (SS-EPI)	Readout-segmented echo-planar imaging (RS-EPI)			
Diffusion mode	MDDW	MDDW			
Diffusion directions	20	20			
Diffusion schema	Monopolar	Monopolar			
<i>b</i> -value (s/mm <sup>2</sup> )	0, 800	0, 800			
Fat suppression	fat saturation	fat saturation			
Repetition time (ms)	3,500	4,000			
Echo time (ms)	72	58			
Field of view (mm <sup>2</sup> )	70 × 70	70 × 70			
Voxel size (mm <sup>2</sup> )	$0.7 \times 0.7$	$0.7 \times 0.7$			
Slice thickness (mm)	1.5	1.5			
Matrix	100 × 100	100 × 100			
No. of sections	20	20			
Section thickness (mm)	1.5	1.5			
Intersection gap (%)	0	0			
Phase-encoding direction	Anteroposterior	Anteroposterior			
Echo spacing (ms)	1.13	0.54			
No. of readout segments	1	7			
Acquisiton time (min:s)	4:06	14:12			

#### **Image Analysis**

Image analysis was performed by two independent readers (Reader 1, a radiologist with 10 years of experience in DTI of the nerve; Reader 2, with 3 years of experience in DTI of the nerve). Both readers were blinded to the histopathologic results. Data of the two DTI sequences were transferred to the workstation (Syngo Via 2, Siemens) and post-processed for image quality evaluation and DTI parameters measurement. DTI images including b = 0,800 images, FA, AD, RD, and ADC maps were generated simultaneously in Neuro 3D modules. The image quality was assessed qualitatively on DWI b = 800 image based on the following factors: sharpness of nerve margin, artifacts of nerve, artifacts of the femur, artifacts of ventral muscles, artifacts of dorsal muscles, homogeneity of neuromuscular. All images were rated according to a scale: 1, unacceptable image quality severely deteriorated by artifacts; 2, acceptable image quality with mild artifacts; 3, artifact-free image without distortions and with great anatomic detail (Thian et al., 2014). Contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) was defined as the difference between the mean signal intensity of the nerve (S nerve) and that of the muscle surrounding on DW images (S muscle) divided by the SD on the lesion ROI ( $\sigma$  nerve) and normal tissue ROI ( $\sigma$  muscle) of the subtraction dataset as follows (Bogner et al., 2012)

$$CNR = \frac{S_{nerve} - S_{muscle}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{nerve}^2 - \sigma_{muscle}^2}}$$

The diffusion tensor parameters (FA, AD, RD, and ADC) were measured and calculated on the corresponding map generated on a workstation (*Syngo Via 2*, Siemens). ROIs of approximately 4 mm<sup>2</sup> were manually drawn on the adjacent 3 slices of the sciatic nerve. The three measurements were averaged for data analysis.

Special attention was paid to positioning the ROIs as precisely as possible to minimize the partial volume effect. Transverse DTI images were linked with coronal T2-weighted images to ensure the correct and consistent position of ROIs in the sciatic nerve. Tractography was obtained on the same workstation. A multiple ROI method was used to reconstruct diffusion tensor tractography (DTT). The threshold of FA was set to be 0.15, the maximum fiber angle was 35° and the minimum fiber length was 15 mm (Chen et al., 2017).

#### **Histopathologic Assessment**

Animals were executed after MRI by transcardial perfusion with PBS followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.4). The middle stumps of the sciatic nerves were harvested and postfixed in 4% glutaraldehyde. As shown in Figures 5A,B, transverse semi-thin sections (1 µm thickness) were prepared and stained with toluidine blue to detect nerve myelin. For the quantification of toluidine blue staining, sections of the middle stumps were analyzed morphometrically. In brief, an objective magnification of ×1,000 was used to take digital images of the entire cross-sectional area of the nerve ( $60 \times 40$  $\mu$ m, 13.7 pixels/ $\mu$ m) on a microscope (Olympus BX60, Japan) for detailed histological quantification. Of these images, five randomly selected measured images (per image area, 240  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>; total area, 1,200  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> of different regions per nerve segment and animal were analyzed, as described previously. ImageJ software<sup>1</sup> was used to perform analysis to determine the percentage of axon area (POAA), percentage of myelin area (POMA), thickness of myelin (TOM), and diameter of myelinated fibers (DOMF). The final value used for statistical analysis represents the mean of five measuring images per nerve segment and animal.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

The image quality scores between SS-EPI and RS-EPI were compared using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test on several aspects (sharpness of nerve margin, artifacts of nerve, artifacts of femur, artifacts of ventral muscles, artifacts of dorsal muscles, and homogeneity of neuromuscular). The inter-observer variability between the two radiologists for the scores was evaluated using a linear-weighted inter-rater agreement (Kappa) test with a calculation of 95% CI. The values of Kappa over 0.75, from 0.40 to 0.75, and below 0.4 were regarded as excellent, fair to good, and poor, respectively. The Shapiro-Wilk test showed that all DTI parameters were normally distributed (P > 0.05 for all parameters). Differences in these parameters (FA, AD, RD, and ADC) between SS-EPI and RS-EPI were compared using the paired *t*-test. The degree of association between DTI parameters and histopathological parameters was calculated by using the Pearson correlation coefficient. These coefficients of SS-EPI and RS-EPI were then compared by using the modified Fisher Z-transform (Meng et al., 2014; Friedli et al., 2017). A two-sided P-value of 0.05 or less indicated a significant result. Statistical analysis was performed by using SPSS (version 23, IBM SPSS, Chicago) and MedCalc (version 19.1.2, MedCalc Software by,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://imagej.net





Ostend, Belgium). Plots were created by using GraphPad Prism (Version 7.0) and RStudio (Version 1.4.1717).

# RESULTS

## Morphology of Rat Sciatic Nerve

The bilateral sciatic nerve was best displayed on the coronal view of T2WI STIR. They split above the knee joint into tibial and peroneal nerves, and 2–3 sub-branches can be shown downward (**Figure 1M**). In our study, the thickness of the normal rat sciatic nerve trunk ranged from 1 mm to 2 mm.

# **Comparison of Image Quality**

The image quality scores of the two DTI images for the sharpness of nerve margin, artifacts of nerve, artifacts of the femur, artifacts of ventral muscles, artifacts of dorsal muscles, and homogeneity of neuromuscular are shown in **Table 2** and **Figures 1A–L**, **2**. The interobserver agreement between the two independent radiologists was excellent (Kappa = 0.837-0.937). **Figure 2** showed the image quality scores rated by reader 1 (the more senior radiologist). The image quality scores of SS–EPI were significantly higher than those of RS–EPI in terms of blurring of nerve margin (P = 0.008), artifacts of the nerve (P = 0.008), and homogeneity of neuromuscular (P = 0.007). RS–EPI scored

TABLE 2 | Comparisons of image quality scores and inter-observer agreements between SS-EPI and RS-EPI.

Image quality evaluation	Reader 1		Reader 2	Kappa		
	SS-EPI/RS-EPI Median (range)	P-value	SS-EPI/RS-EPI Median (range)	P-value		
Sharpness of the nerve margin	3 (3–3)/2 (1–2)	0.008*	3 (2–3)/2 (1–2)	0.008*	0.837 (0.626–1.000)	
Artifacts of the nerve	3 (3–3)/1 (1–2)	0.008*	3 (3–3)/1 (1–2)	0.007*	0.937 (0.817–1.000)	
Artifacts of the femur	2 (1–3)/3 (2–3)	0.257	2 (1–3)/2.5 (2–3)	0.414	0.899 (0.703–1.000)	
Artifacts of the ventral muscles	2 (1–3)/3 (3–3)	0.024*	2 (1–3)/3 (2–3)	0.023*	0.921 (0.766–1.000)	
Artifacts of the dorsal muscles	1 (1–2)/3 (2–3)	0.010*	1 (1–2)/2.5 (2–3)	0.015*	0.862 (0.684–1.000)	
Homogeneity of the neuromuscular region	3 (2–3)/1.5 (1–2)	0.007*	2.5 (2–3)/1.5 (1–2)	0.011*	0.920 (0.766–1.000)	

Data are listed for both readers as reader1/reader 2.

Two independent readers rated the parameters on a scale from 1 (low) to 3 (high).

SS-EPI, single-shot echo-planar imaging; RS-EPI, readout-segmented echo-planar imaging; CI, confidence interval.

\*P ≤ 0.05.



higher than SS–EPI with regard to artifacts of ventral muscles (P = 0.024) and artifacts of dorsal muscles (P = 0.010). As shown in **Figure 3**, the CNR of SS–EPI was significantly higher than that of RS–EPI ( $5.26 \pm 1.17$  vs.  $2.28 \pm 1.07$ , P < 0.001).

# Comparison of Diffusion Tensor Imaging Parameters and Diffusion Tensor Tractography

Figure 4 shows the comparison of DTI parameters between SS-EPI and RS-EPI. The FA of SS-EPI was significantly

higher than that of RS–EPI (0.661 ± 0.010 vs. 0.607 ± 0.009, P < 0.001), and the RD of SS–EPI was significantly lower than that of RS–EPI (0.593  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>/ms ± 0.020 vs. 0.693  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>/ms ± 0.018, P < 0.001). There were not significantly different between SS–EPI and RS–EPI for ADC (1.134  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>/ms ± 0.040 vs. 1.179  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>/ms ± 0.048, P = 0.061) and AD (2.128  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>/ms ± 0.068 vs. 2.060  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>/ms ± 0.127, P = 0.280).

DTT: SS-EPI DTI can generate more fibers compared with RS-EPI DTI. They displayed a more condensed bundle and realistic architecture. Conversely, fibers generated by RS-EPI



DTI were sparse and discrete, some of which were deviated and in random order.

# **Correlation Between Diffusion Tensor Imaging Parameters and Histopathological Parameters**

Table 3 shows the correlation coefficients between DTI parameters and histopathological parameters for both SS-EPI and RS-EPI. For both sequences, FA was correlated with all of the histopathological parameters (r = 0.913-0.963 for SS-EPI, and r = 0.741 - 0.897 for RS-EPI,  $P \le 0.001 \sim 0.036$ ), except the diameter of myelinated fibers for RS-EPI (r = 0.623, P = 0.099); and RD was correlated with all of the histopathological parameters (r = -0.886 to -0.948 for SS-EPI, and r = -0.709 to -0.812 for RS-EP,  $P \leq 0.001 \sim 0.049$ ), except the percentage of axon area for RS-EPI (r = -0.691, P = 0.058). ADC derived from the SS-EPI sequence was related to all of the histopathological parameters (r = -0.738 to -0.769,  $P < 0.026 \sim 0.037$ ), except the diameter of myelinated fibers (r = -0.686, P = 0.060); While ADC from the RS-EPI sequence was not correlated with any of the histopathological parameters (all P > 0.05). No statistical correlation was found between AD for either sequence and any of the histopathological parameters (all P > 0.05).

The difference between two correlation coefficients obtained from SS–EPI and RS–EPI was assessed and the results are shown in **Table 3** and **Figure 5**. The overall correlation coefficients of FA and RD obtained with SS–EPI were numerically higher than that with RS–EPI, and the coefficients between FA and myelin thickness (P = 0.027), FA and myelinated fiber diameter (P = 0.036), and RD and myelin thickness (P = 0.05) for SS–EPI were statistically higher than that for RS–EPI.

#### DISCUSSION

In this study, the single-shot echo-planar imaging (SS–EPI) sequence showed better image quality of the rat sciatic nerve DTI compared with the readout-segmented echo-planar imaging (RS–EPI) sequence. Furthermore, the SS–EPI-derived DTI parameters were superior and showed stronger linear correlations with the histopathological parameters of the rat sciatic nerve compared with the RS–EPI-derived DTI parameters.

In this study, the image quality scores of the SS-EPI sequence were significantly higher than those of the RS-EPI sequence regarding the clarity of the sciatic nerve margins, sciatic nerve artifacts, and neuromuscular junction homogeneities. The differences between SS-EPI and RS-EPI results in this study can be explained by three plausible reasons. Firstly, RS-EPI is extremely sensitive to motion artifacts compared with SS-EPI. The invisible trembling after anesthesia can lead to motion artifacts, especially in small structures such as the sciatic nerve. Furthermore, the acquisition time is longer for RS-EPI compared with SS-EPI because multiple shots with shorter echo train lengths are captured along the readout direction for the *k*-space in RS-EPI (Friedli et al., 2017). This may account for the blurred margins and more obvious artifacts of the sciatic nerve in the RS-EPI images in this study. Moreover, the scanning time for SS-EPI in our study was significantly shorter compared with the scanning time for RS-EPI (4:06 min vs. 14:12 min). Shorter scanning times reduce the risk of motion artifacts. In our study, seven readout segments (14:12 min) were chosen as the upper limit to complete other functional sequences during the anesthesia time. The small size of the peripheral nerves in small experimental animals poses a challenge for MRI scanning analysis. MRI with smaller voxels enhanced the spatial resolution of the images but also introduced motion artifacts (Van der Pol et al., 2018). Furthermore, stronger signals from the smaller coils increased the motion artifacts (Gruber et al., 2018; Vergara Gomez et al., 2019).

Second, the main advantage of RS-EPI compared with SS-EPI is the significant reduction of geometric distortion caused by susceptibility artifacts (Bogner et al., 2012; Yeom et al., 2013). The performances of RS-EPI and SS-EPI are comparable when the susceptibility artifacts are less severe. Yeom et al. (2013) demonstrated that the overall image quality of RS-EPI was significantly higher than that of SS-EPI for anatomical regions prone to distortion, such as the orbit, skull base, and posterior fossa. These areas are adjacent to the paranasal sinus gas and bone tissues, which are easily prone to magnetic susceptibility artifacts. The sciatic nerve is located deep inside the muscle mass. Furthermore, differences in the magnetization rate are small between the sciatic nerve and the adjacent tissues. Therefore, magnetic sensitivity artifacts are not commonly observed in this region. Hence, SS-EPI shows better image quality. The image scores for the femur and muscles (adjacent to the air) are superior for RS-EPI compared with the SS-EPI sequence in this study for the same reasons mentioned above.

Thirdly, in this study, SS-EPI showed superior never tissue contrast. The CNR for SS-DWI was higher compared with the RS-DWI. This is because the nerve signal intensity for SS-DWI is higher compared with RS-DWI, while the signal intensities of the



**FIGURE 4** Violin plot comparing the DTI parameters of SS–EPI and RS–EPI. (**A**) The FA of SS–EPI was significantly higher than that of RS–EPI. (**B**) The RD of SS-EPI was significantly lower than that of RS–EPI. (P < 0.001). (**C**,**D**) There was no significant difference between SS–EPI and RS–EPI for ADC and AD (P > 0.05).

	FA	4	<i>P</i> -value	RD		P-value	AD		P-value	ADC		P-value
	SS-EPI	RS-EPI		SS-EPI	RS-EPI		SS-EPI	RS-EPI		SS-EPI	RS-EPI	
POAA	0.913 (P = 0.002*)	0.897 (0.003*)	0.853	-0.898 (0.002*)	-0.691 (0.058)	0.158	-0.298 (0.474)	-0.226 (0.591)	0.916	-0.769 (0.026*)	-0.232 (0.581)	0.214
POMA	0.952 (<0.001*)	0.769 (0.026*)	0.077	-0.948 (<0.001*)	-0.812 (0.014*)	0.160	-0.415 (0.307)	-0.082 (0.847)	0.631	-0.753 (0.031*)	-0.193 (0.647)	0.208
TOM	0.963 (<0.001*)	0.741 (0.036*)	0.027*	-0.944 (<0.001*)	-0.709 (0.049*)	0.050*	-0.377 (0.357)	-0.089 (0.834)	0.681	-0.738 (0.037*)	-0.144 (0.734)	0.196
DOMF	0.923 (0.001*)	0.623 (0.099)	0.036*	-0.886 (0.003*)	-0.716 (0.046*)	0.245	-0.400 (0.326)	0.005 (0.990)	0.566	-0.686 (0.060)	-0.073 (0.863)	0.209

The independent P-value is the result of comparison of correlated correlations. The P-value in parentheses is the result of correlation.

DTI, Diffusion tensor imaging; FA, fractional anisotropy; RD, radial diffusivity; AD, axial diffusivity; ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient; SS-EPI, single-shot echo-planar imaging; RS-EPI, readout-segmented echo-planar imaging; POAA, percentage of axon area; POMA, percentage of myelin area; TOM, thickness of myelin; DOMF, diameter of myelinated fiber.

 $^{*}P \le 0.05.$ 

muscles for the two DWIs were close. Besides, the additional T2 contrast in SS–EPI DWI resulted in the T2 shine-through effect. T2 shine-through effect is advantageous in evaluating lesions with high T2 intensity that occur within the brain parenchyma or are found immediately adjacent to the brain parenchyma (Yeom et al., 2013). However, RS–EPI with shorter TE reduced the T2

contrast in the DTI images (Chen et al., 2020). In addition, the k-space coverage of SS-EPI is more efficient (Bogner et al., 2012). This might explain the better tissue contrast of rat sciatic nerve (T2 high intensity) on SS-EPI DWI compared with RS-EPI in our study. Thus, the sharper nerve edge, fewer artifacts, and better tissue contrast of SS-DWI made the ROI for sciatic



nerve measurements easier to draw and more accurate. This explains why SS-EPI DTI can generate richer fibers with a more condensed bundle compared with RS-EPI DTI as well.

In our study, the ADC values for SS–EPI and RS–EPI were not significantly different and were comparable to previously published values (Bogner et al., 2012; Friedli et al., 2017). The FA and RD values for SS–EPI were significantly different from those for RS–EPI, which corroborates with the significantly higher motion artifacts and lower SNRs and T2 contrast in the rat sciatic nerve DTI with the RS–EPI sequence. These factors could affect the accuracy of the measured values and interfere with the precision of the ROI.

DTI is a useful technique for accurately assessing peripheral nerve pathology because it provides quantitative information regarding the orientation and structural features. FA quantifies the packing density of axons within a voxel and represents the degree of directed water diffusion; RD quantifies the diffusion perpendicular to the axonal orientation; AD quantifies the diffusion along the axons in parallel with the predominant fiber orientation and represents the average diffusivity in all spatial directions (Jeon et al., 2018). FA and RD values are the most stable and sensitive biomarkers among the DTI metrics to evaluate peripheral nerve regeneration (Naraghi et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2017). Previous studies on peripheral nerve repair have shown that the density and integrity of myelin increase during the process of nerve fiber regeneration, thereby resulting in higher FA and lower RD values (Chen et al., 2017; Farinas et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2021). Conversely, nerve anisotropy (FA) values decrease, and RD values increase during peripheral nerve degeneration in healthy older individuals or individuals with peripheral nerve disease because of decreased number of myelinated fibers (Jeon et al., 2018; Lichtenstein et al., 2021). Therefore, FA and RD values are sensitive biomarkers to detect minor changes in the myelination of the peripheral nerves. In our study, the FA and RD values for both SS-EPI and RS-EPI significantly correlated with several myelin-related pathological parameters of the rat sciatic nerve. However, the overall correlation coefficients of FA and RD from the SS-EPI sequence were higher than

those from the RS–EPI sequence. Furthermore, the correlation coefficients between FA and myelin thickness, FA and myelinated fiber diameter, and RD and myelin thickness for SS–EPI were statistically higher than the corresponding correlation coefficients for RS–EPI. This explains the better image quality of SS–EPI, as shown in **Figure 1**. These data demonstrate that SS–EPI provides better image quality of the rat sciatic nerve. Moreover, SS–EPI provided more sensitive and accurate histological information regarding the rat sciatic nerve compared with the RS–EPI.

There are several reasons for not choosing coronal planes for EPI of the rat sciatic nerve. First, a rat sciatic nerve is very small, with diameters that can range between 1 and 2.5 mm; diameters can also be 1 mm when atrophied. Accurately displaying the sciatic nerve in a single plane because of its curvature and the ROI in the coronal view can also be challenging. Partial volume effects also diminish the accuracy of the measured values. Second, the upper and lower edges of the image in the coronal view are closer to both ends of the coil. The loss of signal encountered at the coil edge can significantly affect DTI quality and evaluation. However, the cross-sectional scan is performed at the center of the coil, thereby avoiding the above-mentioned problems.

This study has a few limitations. First, since healthy adult rats were used in this study, the differences in the DTI parameters for the pathological changes in the sciatic nerve were small. In future studies, RS-EPI and SS-EPI parameters should be compared in studies examining rats with sciatic nerve injury. Second, we could not prevent the voluntary movement of live animals during MRI scanning; therefore, we performed deep anesthesia and secured the limbs with tape to prevent movement. However, this did not prevent motion artifacts in the RS-EPI sequence. In future MRI experiments, it is advised that an embedded device should be used for better immobilization of rats with reduced voluntary movements. Third, RS-EPI with various readout segments was not performed in this study. It is plausible that reducing readout segments with short acquisition times may lower the motion artifacts and improve the performance of RS-EPI in detecting rat sciatic nerve pathology. Last, the image quality scoring and ROI drawing in quantitative measurement of DTI metrics in our study were subjective to some extent. The objective assessment method should be used in the future (Jende et al., 2021, 2022).

## CONCLUSION

For the rat sciatic nerve DTI imaging, the SS-EPI sequence has significantly higher image quality compared with the RS-EPI sequence. The FA and RD derived from the SS-EPI sequence

#### REFERENCES

- Bogner, W., Pinker-Domenig, K., Bickel, H., Chmelik, M., Weber, M., Helbich, T. H., et al. (2012). Readout-segmented echo-planar imaging improves the diagnostic performance of diffusion-weighted MR breast examinations at 3.0 T. *Radiology* 263, 64–76. doi: 10.1148/radiol.12111494
- Chen, H. H., Hu, H., Chen, W., Cui, D., Xu, X. Q., Wu, F. Y., et al. (2020). Thyroidassociated orbitopathy: evaluating microstructural changes of extraocular muscles and optic nerves using readout-segmented echo-planar imaging-based

might be more sensitive and quantitative biomarkers to detect the histopathological change of the rat sciatic nerve.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

#### ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, Jennio Biotech Co., Ltd.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

YC: data collection and analysis, experiment design, and writing of the manuscript. FM and ZL: rat MRI scan and sequence optimization. YG: technical assistance. YH: sequence optimization guidance, editing, and discussion and revision of the manuscript. ZP: statistical analysis of data and creation of statistical charts. XY: pathological staining and analysis. XL and HL: full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## FUNDING

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Code: 81903960) and the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (Grant Code: 2020A1515010732).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the national application master Gui Jin Li from Siemens Healthcare for his contribution to technical support and sequence optimization on Prisma 3T MR. We thank the SYNGO application support of Asia Pacific Soon Fee Boon from Siemens Healthcare for her excellent assistance in DTI post-processing and analysis. We thank Suzhou Medcoil Healthcare Co., Ltd. for the MRI animal coil supplied for our study.

diffusion tensor imaging. Korean J. Radiol. 21, 332–340. doi: 10.3348/kjr.2019. 0053

- Chen, Y. Y., Zhang, X., Lin, X. F., Zhang, F., Duan, X. H., Zheng, C. S., et al. (2017). DTI metrics can be used as biomarkers to determine the therapeutic effect of stem cells in acute peripheral nerve injury. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* 45, 855–862. doi: 10.1002/jmri.25395
- Drake-Pérez, M., Boto, J., Fitsiori, A., Lovblad, K., and Vargas, M. I. (2018). Clinical applications of diffusion weighted imaging in neuroradiology. *Insights Imaging* 9, 535–547. doi: 10.1007/s13244-018-0624-3

- Farinas, A. F., Manzanera Esteve, I. V., Pollins, A. C., Cardwell, N. L., Kaoutzanis, C., Nussenbaum, M. E., et al. (2020). Diffusion magnetic resonance imaging predicts peripheral nerve recovery in a rat sciatic nerve injury model. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 145, 949–956. doi: 10.1097/PRS.00000000006638
- Friedli, I., Crowe, L. A., de Perrot, T., Berchtold, L., Martin, P. Y., de Seigneux, S., et al. (2017). Comparison of readout-segmented and conventional singleshot for echo-planar diffusion-weighted imaging in the assessment of kidney interstitial fibrosis. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* 46, 1631–1640. doi: 10.1002/jmri. 25687
- Gruber, B., Froeling, M., Leiner, T., and Klomp, D. (2018). RF coils: a practical guide for nonphysicists. Journal of magnetic resonance imaging. *JMRI* 48, 590–604. doi: 10.1002/jmri.26187
- Jende, J. M. E., Kender, Z., Mooshage, C., Groener, J. B., Alvarez-Ramos, L., Kollmer, J., et al. (2021). Diffusion tensor imaging of the sciatic nerve as a surrogate marker for nerve functionality of the upper and lower limb in patients with diabetes and prediabetes. *Front. Neurosci.* 3:642589. doi: 10.3389/fnins. 2021.642589
- Jende, J. M. E., Mooshage, C., Kender, Z., Kopf, S., Groener, J. B., Heiland, S., et al. (2022). Magnetic resonance neurography reveals smoking-associated decrease in sciatic nerve structural integrity in type 2 diabetes. *Front. Neurosci.* 15:811085. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2021.811085
- Jeon, T., Fung, M. M., Koch, K. M., Tan, E. T., and Sneag, D. B. (2018). Peripheral nerve diffusion tensor imaging: overview, pitfalls, and future directions. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* 47, 1171–1189. doi: 10.1002/jmri.25876
- Kida, I., Ueguchi, T., Matsuoka, Y., Zhou, K., Stemmer, A., and Porter, D. (2016). Comparison of diffusion-weighted imaging in the human brain using readoutsegmented EPI and PROPELLER Turbo Spin echo with single-shot EPI at 7 T MRI. *Invest. Radiol.* 51, 435–439. doi: 10.1097/RLI.00000000000248
- Lichtenstein, T., Sprenger, A., Weiss, K., Große Hokamp, N., Maintz, D., Schlamann, M., et al. (2021). MRI DTI and PDFF as biomarkers for lower motor neuron degeneration in ALS. *Front. Neurosci.* 15:682126. doi: 10.3389/ fnins.2021.682126
- Meng, X. L., Rosenthal, R., and Rubin, D. B. (2014). Comparing correlated correlation coefficients. *Psychol. Bull.* 111, 172–175. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909. 111.1.172
- Naraghi, A. M., Awdeh, H., Wadhwa, V., Andreisek, G., and Chhabra, A. (2015). Diffusion tensor imaging of peripheral nerves. *Semin. Musculoskelet. Radiol.* 19, 191–200. doi: 10.1055/s-0035-1546824
- Porter, D. A., and Heidemann, R. M. (2009). High resolution diffusion-weighted imaging using readout-segmented echo-planar imaging, parallel imaging and a two-dimensional navigator-based reacquisition. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 62, 468– 475. doi: 10.1002/mrm.22024
- Thian, Y. L., Xie, W., Porter, D. A., and Weileng Ang, B. (2014). Readoutsegmented echo-planar imaging for diffusion-weighted imaging in the pelvis at 3T-A feasibility study. *Acad. Radiol.* 21, 531–537. doi: 10.1016/j.acra.2014. 01.005

- Van der Pol, C. B., Chung, A., Lim, C., Gandhi, N., Tu, W., McInnes, M., et al. (2018). Update on multiparametric MRI of urinary bladder cancer. J. Magn. Resonan. Imaging 48, 882–896. doi: 10.1002/jmri.26294
- Vergara Gomez, T. S., Dubois, M., Glybovski, S., Larrat, B., de Rosny, J., Rockstuhl, C., et al. (2019). Wireless coils based on resonant and nonresonant coupledwire structure for small animal multinuclear imaging. *NMR Biomed.* 32:e4079. doi: 10.1002/nbm.4079
- Xie, S., Masokano, I. B., Liu, W., Long, X., Li, G., Pei, Y., et al. (2021). Comparing the clinical utility of single-shot echo-planar imaging and readout-segmented echo-planar imaging in diffusion-weighted imaging of the liver at 3 tesla. *Eur. J. Radiol.* 135:109472. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2020.109472
- Yeom, K. W., Holdsworth, S. J., Van, A. T., Iv, M., Skare, S., Lober, R. M., et al. (2013). Comparison of readout-segmented echo-planar imaging (EPI) and single-shot EPI in clinical application of diffusion-weighted imaging of the pediatric brain. *AJR Am. J. Roentgenol.* 200, W437–W443. doi: 10.2214/AJR.12. 9854
- Zhang, H., Huang, H., Zhang, Y., Tu, Z., Xiao, Z., Chen, J., et al. (2021). Diffusion-weighted MRI to assess sacroiliitis: improved image quality and diagnostic performance of readout-segmented echo-planar imaging (EPI) over conventional single-shot EPI. AJR Am. J. Roentgenol. 217, 450–459. doi: 10. 2214/AJR.20.23953
- Zheng, C., Yang, Z., Chen, S., Zhang, F., Rao, Z., Zhao, C., et al. (2021). Nanofibrous nerve guidance conduits decorated with decellularized matrix hydrogel facilitate peripheral nerve injury repair. *Theranostics* 11, 2917–2931. doi: 10.7150/thno.50825

**Conflict of Interest:** YG was employed by the company Siemens Healthineers, Guangzhou, China.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Chen, Pan, Meng, Li, Hu, Yu, Gao, Guo, Lyu and Lin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.