Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Afshin A. Divani, University of New Mexico, United States

REVIEWED BY Elisabetta Farina, Fondazione Don Carlo Gnocchi Onlus (IRCCS), Italy Waleska Berrios, Hospital Italiano de Buenos Alres, Argentina

*CORRESPONDENCE Li-Tao Li ⊠ 90030101@hebmu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 18 October 2024 ACCEPTED 31 January 2025 PUBLISHED 12 February 2025

CITATION

Bu S-h, Hu X-z, Su Z and Li L-t (2025) Advances in the study of apathy related to cerebral small vessel disease. *Front. Neurol.* 16:1513574. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2025.1513574

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Bu, Hu, Su and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Advances in the study of apathy related to cerebral small vessel disease

Shuo-han Bu^{1,2}, Xin-zhu Hu³, Zhe Su¹ and Li-tao Li^{2,4}*

¹Department of Neurology, Hebei North College, Zhangjiakou, China, ²Department of Neurology, Hebei General Hospital, Shijiazhuang, China, ³Department of Neurology, Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang, China, ⁴Hebei Provincial Key Laboratory of Cerebral Networks and Cognitive Disorders, Hebei General Hospital, Shijiazhuang, China

Cerebral small vessel disease (CSVD) is a complex clinical-imaging pathological syndrome caused by small vessel lesions in the brain, which is characterized by aging-related, insidious onset and slow progression. Apathy is a key component of the common neuropsychiatric symptoms among CSVD patients, severely affecting their daily lives and social functioning. Moreover, there are fewer studies on CSVD-related apathy, and greater attention should be paid to this condition in clinical practice. This article describes the latest research advances in the concept, epidemiological features, pathogenesis, assessment and diagnosis, imaging and biomarkers, and treatment of CSVD-related apathy, aiming to serve as a reference for the clinical diagnosis and prevention of CSVD-related apathy.

KEYWORDS

cerebral small vessel disease, apathy, pathogenesis, biomarker, treatment

1 Introduction

Cerebral small vessel disease (CSVD) is linked to a series of clinical, imaging, and pathological syndromes caused by various etiologies affecting small arteries and their distal branches of micro arterioles, capillaries, microvessels, and small veins in the brain (1). CSVD is a type of cerebrovascular disease related to increasing age, and characterized by insidious onset, and slow progression. Imaging markers of CSVD include recent small subcortical infarct (RSSI), lacune of presumed vascular origin, white matter hyperintensity of presumed vascular origin (WMH), perivascular space (PVS), cerebral microbleed (CMB), cortical superficial siderosis (cSS), brain atrophy, cortical cerebral microinfarct (CMI), and Incidental DWI-positive lesion (2). The clinical manifestations of CSVD are highly heterogeneous and include symptoms such as cognitive dysfunction, gait disturbance, affective disorder, and diaphoresis.

Apathy is one of the common neuropsychiatric symptoms in CSVD and has been a significant research topic in recent years (3). Zhao et al. (4) reported that the prevalence of apathy in Alzheimer's Disease (AD) patients in China was 37. 33% and there was a correlation between apathy and CSVD imaging markers through a cross-sectional study of 150 CSVD patients. Li et al. (5) explored the relationship between CSVD-related apathy, cognitive function, and changes in deep gray matter structures by segmenting the deep gray matter structure of the cerebral hemispheres in CSVD patients using the FIRST tool. Their studies demonstrated that apathy was associated with a smaller volume and altered shape of the striatum, identifying it as an independent factor contributing to cognitive impairment in CSVD patients. However, the above studies have not confirmed that changes in deep gray matter structures mediate apathy and cognitive impairment. This article will discuss recent studies on the concept, epidemiological characteristics, pathogenesis, assessment, imaging and

biomarkers, and treatment of CSVD-related apathy, thereby providing a reference for future clinical diagnosis and treatment of CSVDrelated apathy.

2 Concept and epidemiology of CSVD-related apathy

Apathy, as a behavioral syndrome, is now widely accepted as a decrease in goal-directed activities across cognitive, behavioral, affective, or social domains of a patient's life (6). Furthermore, related studies have shown that it is associated with cognitive function and overall health status (7). It is widespread in all types of neuropsychiatric disorders, with prevalence rates ranging from 24 to 85% in AD, 43-89% in vascular dementia (8), 20-92% in progressive supranuclear palsy (9), and 10.7-44.8% in mild cognitive impairment (10). Apathy is increasingly recognized as an early clinical manifestation in patients with CSVD and plays a significant role in cognitive function changes and dementia prediction (11). Wang et al. (12) utilized the apathy evaluation scale-clinical (AES-C) to assess apathy in CSVD patients and healthy controls. Their findings revealed that CSVD patients had higher AES-C scores and a greater incidence of apathy compared to the control group. Similarly, Cai et al. (13) found in a cross-sectional study that the prevalence of apathy among Chinese CSVD patients reached 37.50%. Additionally, other studies have shown that apathy affects more than one-third of hospitalized older adults with CSVD (4). Xia et al. (14) reported that the diagnostic rate of apathy syndrome in elderly patients with sporadic CSVD was 34.33%, with the most significant reductions observed in cognitive and behavioral activities, followed by social activities. However, the study's relatively small sample size limits the generalizability of these results, highlighting the need for future research. In summary, these findings indicate that apathy is relatively common in CSVD patients and is closely related to impairments in daily functioning and cognitive ability. With the aging population, the incidence of CSVD-related apathy is on the rise, which demonstrates the seriousness of the issue of CSVD-related apathy.

3 Pathogenesis of CSVD-related apathy

Research on the pathogenesis of CSVD-related apathy is still in its early stages. Most studies have focused on the pathogenesis of apathy in neurodegenerative diseases and healthy populations, with the underlying mechanisms remaining poorly understood. Some studies proposed the hypothesis of dysfunctional cortico-subcortical circuits, suggesting that reduced microstructural integrity of white matter is closely related to apathy, particularly in the limbic association bundles such as the anterior cingulate, fornix, and leptomeningeal bundles (15). Additional literature indicates that various regions of the prefrontal cortex are associated with different dimensions of apathy. For example, the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex is related to the generation of cognitive planning or action goals, the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex is associated with self-initiated actions, and the orbitofrontal cortex is related to emotional evaluation (16). Furthermore, Tay et al. (3) proposed a brain network-based model conceptualizing apathy as a result of damage to goal-directed behavior (GDB)-related networks. This model correlates focal or diffuse lesions of CSVD with neurobiological changes. It suggests that focal lesions, such as lacunar infarcts (LI) occurring at key nodes within brain networks, lead to a decrease in the efficiency of these nodes, impairing the function of the entire network. Similarly, diffuse lesions such as WMH lead to extensive loss of white matter fiber connectivity, resulting in inefficient brain network connectivity. Other studies have shown that poorer structural and functional coupling of brain networks, along with lower cognitive function, correlates with the severity of apathy (17, 18). These neurobiological changes not only negatively impact patient's daily lives but also have a long-lasting negative effect on their cognitive functioning. In addition, Saleh et al. (19) investigated the effect of altered responses to reward and effort on apathy by using Bayesian drift-diffusion modeling. They found that apathetic patients had a poor response to low-level rewards, and more apathetic patients accepted fewer proposals under high effort. This suggests that the efficiency of the reward network, which is primarily governed by dopamine-projecting fibers, is negatively correlated with apathy. In recent years, some studies have proposed the vascular apathy hypothesis, which suggests that CSVD is the primary causative factor of apathy and is the only cause of apathy. This hypothesis is supported by the findings that apathy is associated with the traditional vascular risk factors for CSVD, such as hypertension and cardiovascular diseases. However, the relationship between CSVD and apathy still lacks robust evidence and remains somewhat controversial (20). In summary, dysfunction of cortico-subcortical circuits, impairment of brain networks, and deficits in effort-based reward decision-making caused by impaired dopamine transmission may represent the main pathogenesis mechanisms underlying CSVDrelated apathy.

4 Assessment of CSVD-related apathy

The assessment of CSVD-related apathy primarily consists of scalebased assessment and objective assessment. Currently, no assessment tool is universally recognized as the "gold standard." Scales for the assessment of apathy focus on assessing an individual's performance of apathy in affective, cognitive, and behavioral domains. These scales have been widely applied in various studies to assess apathy symptoms in AD, mild cognitive impairment, and other neurodegenerative disorders. Common scales include the Apathy Evaluation Scale (AES), Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI), Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS), Frontal Systems Behavior Scale (FrSBe), Apathy Inventory (AI), and Caregiver Burden Scale (CBS), etc. (4, 21, 22). MARIN proposed the AES, which consists of 18 items, including self-reported (AES-S), informant-reported (AES-I), and clinician-interviewed (AES-C) dimensions, can assess and quantify the emotional, behavioral, and cognitive aspects of apathy. The total AES score ranges from 0 to 72. Although no clear reference value has been established, the higher the AES score, the greater the severity of apathy (23). Furneri et al. (24) conducted an AES-C assessment on patients with mild cognitive impairment and AD compared to a healthy control group. Their results showed that AES-C was effective for assessing apathy in mild cognitive impairment and AD patient groups. Additionally, the NPI apathy measure consists of 1 screening question and 8 assessment questions, scored on a scale of 0 to 12. A score of 3 to 4 or higher is generally defined as apathy, with higher scores indicating greater severity. While the GDS primarily assesses depression in older adults, some studies have found that the GDS is also suitable for evaluating apathy. It allows for the analysis of both conditions, which can help better differentiate between apathy and depression and provide more accurate treatment plans for patients. Therefore, different assessment scales can be selected based on specific clinical situations, or multiple scales may be used in combination for a more comprehensive evaluation. Some scholars have argued that despite the widespread use of apathy assessment scales, there is a lack of an objective basis for determining their cut-off values. In addition, there are some variabilities in how raters are being trained to administer and score the instrument in different clinical trials, which may affect the accuracy of the apathy diagnosis (25).

Cai et al. (13) conducted a cross-sectional study of patients with CSVD by using a somatic motion analyzer. They found that the daily sagittal amplitude was lower in CSVD patients with apathy compared to those without apathy. Additionally, the diurnal daily sagittal amplitude and the total bedtime of CSVD patients were negatively correlated with the severity of apathy. This suggests that the somatic motion analyzer is an objective tool for measuring sleep quality and a promising technique for assessing CSVD-related apathy. Consequently, the somatic motion analyzer is a noninvasive and simple tool, and current studies highlight its potential for detecting CSVD-related apathy, thereby offering significant assistance for early intervention in apathy. Furthermore, information and communication technologies, such as infrared sensors and, remote monitoring (26), provide potentially objective methods for the diagnosis of apathy and allow for a more accurate assessment of it. In summary, the accuracy of apathy assessment can be improved through the combined use of scale-based assessment and advanced information and communication technology. Early identification and intervention in apathy are important for improving patients' quality of life and prognosis.

5 Imaging and biomarkers of CSVD-related apathy

5.1 Imaging markers of CSVD-related apathy

Brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the most commonly used method for diagnosing CSVD, enabling the visual assessment of CSVD-related apathy by detecting hemorrhagic and ischemic brain parenchymal lesions in CSVD (27). Kleber et al. (28) measured the relationship between WMH volume and CSVD-related apathy using automated brain MRI segmentation software. They found that patients with CSVD and apathy exhibited a larger WMH volume, suggesting that disruption of extensive white matter structures plays a key role in the development of apathy. Research indicates that brain network disruption underlies the relationship between CSVD imaging markers and apathy, with apathy being related to impaired network connectivity in premotor and cingulate regions (29). Furthermore, studies have shown that patients with CSVD and apathy have higher Fazekas scores compared to non-apathetic patients (30), which is consistent with previous findings. Clancy et al. (31) conducted an in-depth analysis of apathetic subtypes (executive, affective, and initiating apathy), demonstrating that executive and affective apathy were more strongly associated with WMH than initiating apathy. As research progresses, the association between apathy and white matter regions of the brain responsible for emotional processing has been gradually recognized. The severity of apathy is positively correlated with the severity of WMH (13, 14). These findings may provide potential therapeutic targets, though further validation is needed in future studies. Another major imaging marker of CSVD-related apathy is lacunar. TAY et al. (29) used pathway analysis to demonstrate a correlation between lacunar cerebral infraction and apathy, consistent with previous studies. Douven et al. (32) found more severe brain atrophy and smaller right hippocampal volumes in patients with post-stroke apathy in a prospective cohort study, suggesting a correlation between brain atrophy and apathy. However, the relationship between apathy and other markers of CSVD is currently controversial and requires further research.

In recent years, an increasing number of studies have shown that the severity of apathy is related to cortical thickness. In a study on frontotemporal dementia with apathy, it was found that the severity of apathy was significantly associated with cortical thinning in the lateral regions of the right frontal, temporal and parietal lobes (33). Furthermore, a clinically based longitudinal memory study Matuskova et al. (34) showed that the formation of apathy was strongly related to lower hippocampal volume. In conclusion, WMH, lacunar cerebral infarction, cerebral atrophy, changes in cortical thickness and hippocampal volume are important imaging markers of CSVD-related apathy, which are helpful for diagnosis and prognostic assessment.

5.2 Apathy-related biomarkers

Studies on biomarkers of apathy have been a hot research topic in recent years, although most have focused on populations with neurodegenerative diseases. Biomarkers of CSVD-related apathy remain relatively understudied and will need refinement in future research. Sun et al. (35) found that frontal Amyloid $\beta(A\beta)$ deposition was related to the risk of apathy development by cerebrospinal fluid immunoassay in AD patients. Furthermore, apathy has been associated with a higher brain $A\beta$ burden, indicating a bidirectional relationship between apathy syndrome and Aß pathology. The study also revealed that the impact of apathy severity on cognition and quality of life was related to prefrontal area Aβ. However, the study's small sample size and controversial results highlight the need for further validation with larger multidimensional analyses. In an animal model of AD, investigators found that AB triggered intermittent aberrant excitatory neuronal activity in the cerebral cortex and hippocampus, leading to significant remodeling of inhibitory circuits and increased inhibition of granule cells. This process was shown to contribute to the development of apathy (36). However, it is important to note that this study was conducted on AD animal models, with several limitations, which require further validation in human studies. Matteo et al. (37) analyzed the correlation between cerebrospinal fluid tau protein levels and neuropsychiatric symptoms. Their results indicated that apathy scores were positively correlated with cerebrospinal fluid total tau protein (t-tau) and phosphorylated tau protein (p-tau) levels. Other studies have identified tau proteins in the orbitofrontal cortex as potential contributors to focal neurotoxicity in the orbitofrontal cortex, which in turn disrupts the orbitofrontal cortex-leptomeningeal bundle network, leading to the emergence and progression of apathy in AD patients. Future studies should cover a broader range of brain regions

to further investigate their relationship with apathy (38). In addition, studies have indicated a significant interaction between cerebral microbleeds and cerebrospinal levels of total tau (t-tau) and A β 42 in apathy, suggesting that these biomarkers and imaging markers are synergistic to some extent (39). Additionally, some studies suggested that brain-derived neurotrophic factors and tumor necrosis factoralpha were related to apathy, but the studies are still scarce and need to be verified by further research. Currently, biomarker studies of apathy are focused on neurodegenerative disease populations, and markers of CSVD-related apathy are relatively understudied. Therefore, further studies are needed to improve this area in the future. In summary, studies on neurodegenerative diseases suggest that imaging and biomarker studies can provide a deeper understanding of the pathogenesis of CSVD-related apathy and represent a reference for clinical diagnosis and treatment.

6 Treatment of CSVD-related apathy

In current clinical practice, trials of pharmacologic treatments for apathy symptoms in patients with neurodegenerative diseases are underway, which offer new options for the treatment of apathy. At present, the treatment of apathy mainly includes two types: pharmacological therapy and non-pharmacological therapy. The study suggested that dysfunction of cortico-subcortical circuits was the primary mechanism for the development of apathy (15). Christopher et al. (16) found that methylphenidate improved apathy by enhancing norepinephrine and dopamine activity in the prefrontal-striatal-thalamocortical circuit. A randomized controlled trial by Chittaranjan et al. (40) showed that while methylphenidate reduced the severity of apathy, it did not improve daily activities or quality of life. Therefore, this has led to some controversy regarding the clinical significance of methylphenidate, and more in-depth research is needed. Additionally, some studies have demonstrated the clinical effectiveness of dopamine receptor agonists in treating apathy in Parkinson's disease, while cholinesterase inhibitors also play a supportive role in managing apathy (41). Selective 5-hydroxytryptamine reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) has been associated with an increased incidence of apathy, which is consistent with previous findings (42). Ketamine has been proved reversing motivation-related deficits in reward processing, but it has not yet been tested for the treatment of apathy and could be intensively studied as a potential treatment in the future (43). Additionally, non-pharmacological therapies include exercise therapy, music therapy, transcranial direct current stimulation, and repeated Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS), etc. (44, 45). Exercise therapy improves mood by increasing endorphin release and enhancing social activity. Music therapy helps improve the living environment and promote emotional expression and regulation (44). Lisoni et al. (45) conducted a randomized controlled trial and found that bilateral prefrontal transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) could effectively improve apathy in schizophrenic patients. Lefaucheur et al. (46) found that rTMS could regulate neuronal electrical activity and change synaptic plasticity, and thus produce long-lasting potential effects, inducing reconnection of brain networks after interruption. There is no approved pharmacologic treatment for apathy, and thus the treatment of CSVD-related apathy faces significant challenges.

7 Prospects

Apathy is a specific manifestation of CSVD and plays a significant role in determining the quality of life of CSVD patients. However, at present, the mechanism of CSVD-related apathy remains largely speculative. Future studies should focus on exploring the specific pathogenesis mechanisms of CSVD-related apathy. Additionally, the development of new diagnostic tools and biomarkers to more accurately identify and quantify CSVD-related apathy represents an important direction for future research. Finally, large-scale international collaborative studies to collect more clinical information on CSVD-related apathy would enhance our understanding of the condition and provide a robust scientific basis for developing future therapeutic strategies.

Author contributions

S-hB: Writing – original draft. X-zH: Writing – original draft. ZS: Writing – original draft. L-tL: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by Hebei Provincial Natural Science Foundation Key Funding Program, Grant/Award Number: H2022307073 and Hebei Provincial Government Subsidized Clinical Medicine Outstanding Talent Program, Grant/Award Number: Zf2024009.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the staff of the Department of Neurology, The Affiliated Department of Neurology of Hebei General Hospital, China.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the study was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. 胡文立,杨磊,李譞婷,等.中国脑小血管病诊治专家共识2021.中国卒中杂志. (2021) 16:716-26. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1673-5765.2021.07.013

2. Duering M, Biessels GJ, Brodtmann A, Chen C, Cordonnier C, de Leeuw FE, et al. Neuroimaging standards for research into small vessel disease-advances since 2013. *Lancet Neurol.* (2023) 22:602–18. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(23)00131-X

3. Tay J, Lisiecka-Ford DM, Hollocks MJ. Network neuroscience of apathy in cerebrovascular disease. *Prog Neurobiol.* (2020) 188:101785. doi: 10.1016/jpneurobio.2020.101785

4. Zhào H, Liu Y, Xia Z. Diagnosis and assessment of apathy in elderly Chinese patients with cerebral small vessel disease. *Front Psychol.* (2021) 12:688685. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.688685

5. Li H, Cui L, Wang M. Apathy is related with striatal atrophy and cognitive impairment in cerebral small vessel disease. *J Affect Disord*. (2023) 328:39–46. doi: 10.1016/jjad.2023.02.004

6. Tay J, Morris RG, Markus HS. Apathy after stroke: diagnosis, mechanisms, consequences, and treatment. Int J Stroke. (2021) 16:510–8. doi: 10.1177/1747493021990906

7. Calamia M, Markon K, Tranel D. The structure of apathy symptoms. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. (2023) 45:377–88. doi: 10.1080/13803395.2023.2245605

8. Leung DK, Chan WC, Spector A. Prevalence of depression, anxiety, and apathy symptoms across dementia stages: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. (2021) 36:1330–44. doi: 10.1002/gps.5556

9. Flavell J, Nestor PJ. A systematic review of apathy and depression in progressive Supranuclear palsy. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol.* (2022) 35:280–92. doi: 10.1177/0891988721993545

10. Ma L. Depression, anxiety, and apathy in mild cognitive impairment: current perspectives. Front Aging Neurosci. (2020) 12:9. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2020.00009

11. Fresnais D, Humble MB, Bejerot S, Meehan AD, Fure B. Apathy as a predictor for conversion from mild cognitive impairment to dementia: a systematic review and Metaanalysis of longitudinal studies. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol.* (2023) 36:3–17. doi: 10.1177/08919887221093361

12. 王晓静, 陈晨, 曹珊珊, 等. 脑小血管病淡漠与功能脑网络及认知的相关性. 中国神经精神疾病杂志. (2021) 47:341-7. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1002-0152.2021.06.004

13. Cai X, Zhào H, Li Z, Ding Y, Huang Y. Detecting apathy in patients with cerebral small vessel disease. *Front Aging Neurosci.* (2022) 14:933958. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2022.933958

14. 夏振西,黄敏莹,赵弘轶,等.脑小血管病患者淡漠综合征的临床评定.中国卒中杂志. (2020) 15:354-9. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1673-5765.2020.04.005

15. Hollocks MJ, Lawrence AJ, Brookes RL, Barrick TR, Morris RG, Husain M, et al. Differential relationships between apathy and depression with white matter microstructural changes and functional outcomes. *Brain.* (2015) 138:3803–15. doi: 10.1093/brain/awv304

16. Van Dyck CH, Arnsten AFT, Padala PR. Neurobiologic rationale for treatment of apathy in Alzheimer's disease with methylphenidate. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. (2021) 29:51–62. doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2020.04.026

17. Tay J, Düring M, Van Leijsen EMC. Network structure-function coupling and neurocognition in cerebral small vessel disease. *Neuroimage Clin.* (2023) 38:103421. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2023.103421

18. Wagner F, Rogenz J, Opitz L. Reward network dysfunction is related with cognitive impairment after stroke. *Neuroimage Clin.* (2023) 39:103446. doi: 10.1016/j. nicl.2023.103446

19. Saleh Y, Le Heron C, Petitet P. Apathy in small vessel cerebrovascular disease is related with deficits in effort-based decision making. *Brain*. (2021) 144:1247–62. doi: 10.1093/brain/awab013

20. Wouts L, Marijnissen RM, Oude Voshaar RC. Strengths and weaknesses of the vascular apathy hypothesis: a narrative review. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* (2023) 31:183–94. doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2022.09.016

21. Weiser M, Garibaldi G. Quantifying motivational deficits and apathy: a review of the literature. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.* (2015) 25:1060–81. doi: 10.1016/j. euroneuro.2014.08.018

22. Bertens AS, Moonen JE, Waal MW. Validity of the three apathy items of the geriatric depression scale (Gds-3A) in measuring apathy in older persons. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. (2017) 32:421–8. doi: 10.1002/gps.4484

23. Marin RS, Biedrzycki RC, Firinciogullari S. Reliability and validity of the apathy evaluation scale. *Psychiatry Res.* (1991) 38:143–62. doi: 10.1016/0165-1781(91)90040-V

24. Furneri G, Platania S, Privitera A, Martelli F, Smeriglio R, Razza G, et al. The apathy evaluation scale (Aes-C): psychometric properties and invariance of Italian version in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2021) 18:9597. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18189597

25. Connor DJ, Sabbagh MN, Cummings JL. Comment on administration and scoring of the neuropsychiatric inventory in clinical trials. *Alzheimers Dement*. (2008) 4:390–4. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2008.09.002

26. Beattie Z, Miller LM, Almirola C, Au-Yeung WTM, Bernard H, Cosgrove KE, et al. The collaborative aging research using technology initiative: an open, sharable, technology-agnostic platform for the research community. *Digit Biomark*. (2020) 4:100–18. doi: 10.1159/000512208

27. Mooldijk SS, Ikram MA. Cerebral small vessel disease in population-based research: what are we looking at - and what not? *Aging Dis.* (2024) 15:1438–1446. doi: 10.14336/Ad.2023.0323

28. Martins-Filho RK, Rodrigues G, Da Costa RF. White matter Hyperintensities and Poststroke apathy: a fully automated Mri segmentation study. *Cerebrovasc Dis.* (2023) 52:435–41. doi: 10.1159/000526939

29. Tay J, Tuladhar AM, Hollocks MJ. Apathy is related with large-scale white matter network disruption in small vessel disease. *Neurology*. (2019) 92:e1157–67. doi: 10.1212/Wnl.0000000000007095

30. Zhao H, Li H, Ding Y. The relationship between apathy and nonparametric variables of rest activity rhythm in older adults with cerebral small vessel disease. *Chronobiol Int.* (2023) 40:1574–81. doi: 10.1080/07420528.2023.2282467

31. Clancy U, Radakovic R, Doubal F, Hernández MCV, Maniega SM, Taylor AM, et al. Are neuropsychiatric symptoms a marker of small vessel disease progression in older adults? Evidence from the Lothian birth cohort 1936. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. (2023) 38:e5855. doi: 10.1002/gps.5855

32. Douven E, Staals J, Freeze WM. Imaging markers related with the development of post-stroke depression and apathy: results of the cognition and affect after stroke - a prospective evaluation of risks study. *Eur Stroke J.* (2020) 5:78–84. doi: 10.1177/2396987319883445

33. Basavaraju R, Feng X, France J, Huey ED, Provenzano FA. Depression is related with preserved cortical thickness relative to apathy in frontotemporal dementia. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol.* (2022) 35:78–88. doi: 10.1177/0891988720964258

34. Matuskova V, Ismail Z, Nikolai T. Mild behavioral impairment is related with atrophy of entorhinal cortex and Hippocampus in a memory clinic cohort. *Front Aging Neurosci.* (2021) 13:643271. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2021.643271

35. Sun L, Li W, Li G. Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative prefrontal A β pathology influencing the pathway from apathy to cognitive decline in non-dementia elderly. *Transl Psychiatry*. (2021) 11:534. doi: 10.1038/s41398-021-01653-8

36. Palop JJ, Chin J, Roberson ED. Aberrant excitatory neuronal activity and compensatory remodeling of inhibitory hippocampal circuits in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. *Neuron.* (2007) 55:697–711. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2007.07.025

37. Cotta Ramusino M, Perini G, Vaghi G. Correlation of frontal atrophy and Csf tau levels with neuropsychiatric symptoms in patients with cognitive impairment: a memory clinic experience. *Front Aging Neurosci.* (2021) 13:595758. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2021.595758

38. Kitamura S, Shimada H, Niwa F, Endo H, Shinotoh H, Takahata K, et al. Tauinduced focal neurotoxicity and network disruption related to apathy in Alzheimer's disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. (2018) 89:1208–14. doi: 10.1136/ jnnp-2018-317970

39. Zeng QZ, Wang YB, Wang SY. Cerebrospinal fluid amyloid- β and cerebral microbleed are related with distinct neuropsychiatric sub-syndromes in cognitively impaired patients. *Alzheimers Res Ther.* (2024) 16:69. doi: 10.1186/s13195-024-01434-7

40. Andrade C. Methylphenidate and other pharmacologic treatments for apathy in Alzheimer's disease. *J Clin Psychiatry.* (2022) 83:22f14398. doi: 10.4088/ Jcp.22f14398

41. Costello H, Husain M, Roiser JP. Apathy and motivation: biological basis and drug treatment. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol.* (2024) 64:313–38. doi: 10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-022423-014645

42. Padala PR, Padala KP, Majagi AS. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors-related apathy syndrome: a cross sectional study. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. (2020) 99:e21497. doi: 10.1097/Md.000000000021497

43. Nogo D, Jasrai AK, Kim H, Nasri F, Ceban F, Lui LMW, et al. The effect of ketamine on anhedonia: improvements in dimensions of anticipatory, consummatory, and motivation-related reward deficits. *Psychopharmacology*. (2022) 239:2011–39. doi: 10.1007/s00213-022-06105-9

44. Manera V, Abrahams S, Aguera-Ortiz L. Recommendations for the nonpharmacological treatment of apathy in brain disorders. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. (2020) 28:410–20. doi: 10.1016/j.jagp.2019.07.014

45. Lisoni J, Baldacci G, Nibbio G, Zucchetti A, Butti Lemmi Gigli E, Savorelli A, et al. Effects of bilateral, bipolar-nonbalanced, frontal transcranial direct current stimulation (tdcs) on negative symptoms and neurocognition in a sample of patients living with schizophrenia: results of a randomized double-blind sham-controlled trial. J Psychiatr Res. (2022) 155:430–42. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2022.09.011

46. Lefaucheur JP, Aleman A, Baeken C, Benninger DH, Brunelin J, di Lazzaro V, et al. Evidence-based guidelines on the therapeutic use of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rtms): an update (2014-2018). *Clin Neurophysiol*. (2020) 131:474–528. doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2019.11.002