Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Constantin Tuleasca, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois, Switzerland

#### REVIEWED BY Bowen Chang, Anhui Provincial Hospital, China Eduardo Lovo,

International Cancer Center, El Salvador \*CORRESPONDENCE Hao Long Ionghao@smu.edu.cn

Peidong Zhang ⊠ zhangpd2310@smu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 18 November 2024 ACCEPTED 27 December 2024 PUBLISHED 10 January 2025

#### CITATION

Hu Y, Zhang W, Chen Z, Wu X, Xue S, Mao Y, Yi P, Wei J, Qian D, Wang X, Zhang P and Long H (2025) Hypophysectomy, pituitary neuroadenolysis and pituitary radiosurgery for the treatment of refractory cancer pain: a historical review and mechanism investigation. *Front. Neurol.* 15:1529944.

doi: 10.3389/fneur.2024.1529944

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Hu, Zhang, Chen, Wu, Xue, Mao, Yi, Wei, Qian, Wang, Zhang and Long. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Hypophysectomy, pituitary neuroadenolysis and pituitary radiosurgery for the treatment of refractory cancer pain: a historical review and mechanism investigation

Yuchen Hu<sup>(b1,2,3†</sup>, Wanghao Zhang<sup>1,2,3†</sup>, Zijian Chen<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Xiaoyan Wu<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Shuaishuai Xue<sup>1,2</sup>, Yangqi Mao<sup>1,2</sup>, Peiyao Yi<sup>(b1,2</sup>, Jiezuo Wei<sup>1,2</sup>, Dadi Qian<sup>1,2</sup>, Xingqin Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Peidong Zhang<sup>(b1,2\*)</sup> and Hao Long<sup>(b1,2\*)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>Institute of Brain Diseases, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>3</sup>The First Clinical Medical College, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China

Refractory cancer pain affects 10-20% of patients with advanced malignancies and is not adequately controlled by opioids. The intrathecal therapy is an effective interventional procedure for referral, but the implanted infusion pumps are costly and the refilling requires technical expertise. Hypophysectomy, in its three stages-surgical, chemical, and radiosurgical-has emerged as an alternative for managing this pain. However, the underlying mechanism remains elusive, with existing hypotheses unable to comprehensively account for both the initial and long-term analgesic effects. This literature review explores the historical evolution, clinical outcomes, and hypothesized mechanisms of hypophysectomy for pain relief. Surgical hypophysectomy initially demonstrated an 85.5% success rate but carried significant risks like diabetes insipidus and hypopituitarism. Chemical hypophysectomy reduced invasiveness, achieving 75.1% pain relief with fewer complications. Modern pituitary radiosurgery has improved safety while maintaining high efficacy (initial relief: 95.9%, long-term: 73.5%). The mechanisms underlying pain relief remain unclear but include tumor regression, increased  $\beta$ -endorphins, neuroendocrine modulation, and hypothalamic involvement. A new hypothesis suggests that radiosurgery induces hormone redistribution (e.g., oxytocin, vasopressin) through hypothalamic-pituitary modulation, contributing to both immediate and long-term analgesia. Despite its potential, unresolved issues such as optimal radiation dose, pain assessment standardization, and precise mechanisms limit widespread adoption. This review underscores the need for larger, homogenous studies to validate the safety and efficacy of hypophysectomy in treating refractory cancer pain. These findings offer a promising avenue for improving palliative care in oncology.

#### KEYWORDS

analgesic effects, cancer pain, gamma knife radiosurgery, hypophysectomy, pituitary, pain management

# **1** Introduction

Severe pain occurs in approximately 80% of the patients with advanced-stage cancer with a variety of other symptoms, including fatigue, anorexia, cachexia, chronic nausea, dyspnea, anxiety, and depression (1, 2). Refractory cancer pain, not responsive to standard treatment with opioids and co-analgesics of at least 3 months duration, occurs in 10–20% of patients (3, 4). Pain management is crucial for adequate palliation of both physical and psychological symptoms to improve quality of life.

Opioids, the principal analgesics for severe pain, have high risks of addiction, tolerance, drug poisoning, and even overdose deaths. Clinical guidelines suggest that the failure to achieve adequate analgesia and the presence of intolerable adverse effects should be major indications for referral from opioids to interventional strategies. However, there is a lack of formal guidelines. The intrathecal therapy(also called intrathecal drug delivery system, IDDS) is the most widely-used procedure for referral, but the pumps are costly and require frequent device revisions (5, 6). The annual rate for IDDS complications requiring surgical intervention is 10.5% (1). Other interventional consultations including percutaneous vertebral augmentation, cementoplasty, neurodestructive procedures using spinal analgesics are mostly for specific syndromes such as bone lesions (6).

Hypophysectomy was carried out to produce objective regression of metastatic hormone-sensitive cancer in the first place, but was noted to have a consistent pain relief effect. The preliminary reports arouse little interest partly because of the complications and the limitations on high-risk patients. Later, the less invasive pituitary neuroadenolysis were devised using the transsphenoidal route. Since the advent of stereotactic radiosurgery targeting pituitary, small-scale clinical trials have been conducted in multiple centers worldwide. During the historical evolution, complications were reduced dramatically while the high efficacy was maintained.

Pituitary radiosurgery is a potential supplement of interventional treatment in patients with refractory malignant pain, although a larger and more homogenous sample is desired.

Here, we present a literature review of hypophysectomy of all three stages for the treatment of refractory cancer pain and the hypotheses of the mechanism. We also conclude the remaining questions not explained by the existing hypotheses and discuss the possible role of the hypothalamic–pituitary axis.

# 2 Methods

To investigate the historical evolvement of hypophysectomy, pituitary neuroadenolysis and pituitary radiosurgery and the possible mechanisms, we conducted a literature search using PubMed and make a supplement through manual searching. We used a combination of keywords and phrases, including (1) hypophysectomy, (2) pituitary neuroadenolysis or chemical hypophysectomy, (3) pituitary radiosurgery or gamma knife hypophysectomy, (4) cancer pain. Inclusion criteria were: (1) reports on hypophysectomy, pituitary neuroadenolysis(including alcohol-induced, cryohypophysectomy, radio-active implantation) or pituitary radiotherapy (including gamma knife and cyber knife) for the treatment of cancer-related pain (without limitation of cancer types); (2) reports on clinical outcomes of pain relief and/ or complications; (3) written in English language. Exclusion criteria were applied to filter out sources that did not meet the defined criteria or were not directly relevant to the review topic.

# **3** Results

# 3.1 History

## 3.1.1 Surgical hypophysectomy phase

Luft and Olivecrona first described pain relief after hypophysectomy in patients with malignant tumors related to hormonal milieu (7). This tactic was meant to suppress metastatic tumor growth related to a depressing action on the hormone production of the pituitary since it was a logical extension of hormonal manipulation by gonadectomy or adrenalectomy (8). Later, it was found that hypophysectomy as well as the antecedent operations produced pain relief effects more consistently than objective tumor regression. Transcranial approaches were replaced by transsphenoidal routes because of their appreciable morbidity and incomplete resection resulting from difficult access (7, 9–11).

The overall clinical results of initial efficacy for pain relief from advanced cancer after surgical hypophysectomy through both transcranial routes and transsphenoidal routes among 117 patients was 85.5% (ranging from 70.6 to 90.7%), the long-term efficacy was 85.1% (ranging from 64.7 to 92.6%) (Table 1). The most frequent adverse event is diabetes insipidus(DI) and hypopituitarism resulting from the removal of the pituitary. Others include cerebral spinal fluid(CSF) leak, damage to the optic and both olfactory nerves, intracranial clot need reoperation(1–6%), meningitis, acute cortisone deficiency, nasal infection, crusting and deaths caused by a cerebral hemorrhage and brain softening resulting from the transcranial route (Table 1) (10–14).

## 3.1.2 Pituitary neuroadenolysis phase

Pituitary neuroadenolysis, also called chemical hypophysectomy, is a less invasive procedure that can be repeated freely for pain recurrence or manifestations of tumor growth. Alcohol-induced adenolysis was the most widely used method in the 1970s, first performed by Greco and Moricca independently in 1957 and 1958. In a later presentation in 1975, Moricca reported an expanded series of 884 patients undergoing 2,120 procedures (15). Other methods include ultrasound destruction, cryoablation, thermocoagulation, radiotherapy, external irradiation with heavy particles (especially alpha rays and protons), and direct implantation of radioactive substances, such as seeds or pellets of <sup>90</sup>Y, <sup>198</sup>Au, and <sup>32</sup>P, suffering from the possibility of incomplete ablation (9, 16–19). Subsequent improvements include Moricca's larger volumes of alcohol, Corssen's decrease of needles used and Levin and Katz's introduction of the stereotactic head frame (9, 15, 20).

The overall clinical results for pain relief from advanced cancer after neuroadenolysis among 397 patients was 75.06% (Table 1). The main complication after neuroadenolysis is transient diabetes insipidus (40%) and hypopituitarism (15%) resulting from the

Abbreviations: β-END, β-endorphin; CSF, Cerebrospinal fluid; DRG, Dorsal root ganglia; FSH, Follicle stimulating hormone; IDDS, Intrathecal drug delivery system; LH, Luteinizing hormone; OXT, Oxytocin; PVN, Paraventricular nucleus of hypothalamus; SON, Supraoptic nucleus; VP, Vasopressin; VPL, Ventral posterolateral nucleus.

## TABLE 1 Pain relief outcomes of hypophysectomy and pituitary neuroadenolysis hypophysectomy.

Study	No. of	Procedure	Initial pain results		Long-term pain re	Adverse events	
	patients		Effects	Efficacy	Effects	Efficacy	
Transcranial							
			2 with pain relief, others without				
		Transcranial	quantification of pain				No deaths or serious
1953, Luft et al.	12	Hypophysectomy	improvement	-	1/2 recurrence	-	complications of any kind
		Transcranial					
1959, Cobb et al.	19	Hypophysectomy	19/19 with striking relief of pain	100%	not described	-	Not described
		Transcranial					
1962, Scott et al.*	-	Hypophysectomy	-	-	-	-	-
1974, Thompson		Transcranial					
et al.*	-	Hypophysectomy	-	-	-	-	-
Transphenoidal							
							DI (11, 17.5%), CSF
							rhinorrhea (4, 6.3%),
							deaths(6, 9.5%), hemorrhage
							(1, 1.6%), meningitis (1,
		Transphenoidal	No quantification of pain				1.6%), infection (1, 1.6%),
1969, Kapur et al.	63	Hypophysectomy	improvement	-	-	-	cortisol deficiency (3, 4.8%)
	All technique,	Transphenoidal					
1971, Hardy et al., *	no results	Hypophysectomy	-				-
1975, Gros et al.*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Transphenoidal					Partial DI (4, 66.7%), no
1977, Tindall et al.	6	Hypophysectomy	5/6 with pain relief	83.3%	1/5 recurrence	80.0%	other complications
			12/17 with subjective improvement				
			(improvement in bone pain,				
			increase in appetite, weight gain,		5 patients with remission: duration of		DI (6, 37%), CSF rhinorrhea
		Transphenoidal	and an increased sense of well-		11 months (range: 5-20); 7 patients without		(1, 16.7%), infection and
1977, Silverberg et al.	17	Hypophysectomy	being)	70.6%	remission of 3.8 months (range: 1-6)	-	meningitis (1, 16.7%)
							DI (40, 75.5%; 32, permanent;
					6 to 18 mo survival: 19/21 with pain relief,		8, temporary), CSF
		Transphenoidal	39/43 with pain relief, mean relief		mean relief 6.6 mo; > 18 mo survival: 6/6		rhinorrhea (6, 11.3%), deaths
1979, Tindall et al.	53	Hypophysectomy	2.2 mo	90.7%	with pain relief, mean relief 11.0 mo	92.6%	(4, 7.5%)

## TABLE 1 (Continued)

Study	No. of	Procedure	Initial pain results		Long-term pain re	Adverse events	
	patients		Effects	Efficacy	Effects	Efficacy	
					The duration of pain relief was 8 mo (mean)		
					in group 1, 5 mo in group 2, and < 2 mo in		
					the nonresponding group * group 1: with		
					objective response (at least 25% shrinkage or		DI (41, 92%; 3 require
					cessation of growth of known lesions and no		vasopressin), CSF rhinorrhea
		Transphenoidal			new lesions) for more than 6 mo * group 2:		(3, 6.7%, early cases), nonfatal
1981, Schwarz et al.	45	Hypophysectomy	36/45 with pain relief	80.0%	with objective response lasting 3 to 6 mo	-	bacterial meningitis(1, 2.2%)
							DI (16/18, 88.9%), CSF
							rhinorrhea (4/18, 22.2%),
							meningitis (2/18, 11.1%),
							hemorrhage and death (1/18,
							5.6%); transient euphuria
							('common'), hyperthermia
		Transphenoidal					(4/18, 22.2%), hallucinations
1983, Takeda et al.	17	Hypophysectomy	15/17 complete	88.2%	4/15 recurrence	64.7%	(4/18, 22.2%)
		Transphenoidal			7/15 appreciable pain relief for >2 mo(mean		
1984, Smith Jr. et al.	15	Hypophysectomy	11/15 improvement of pain	73.3%	7 months, 4 to 16 mo)	46.7%	Not described
				84.6%		75.8%	
Neuroadenolysis of the	pituitary alcohol	adenolysis					1
1957, Greco et al. *#	-	Alcohol adenolysis	-	-	-	_	-
1965, Greco et al. *#	-	Alcohol adenolysis	-	-	-	_	-
1976, Moricca et al.*	-	Alcohol adenolysis	-	-	-	-	-
			13/24 complete +10/24				DI, ptosis and mydriasis (1,
			improvement; after second NALP:				4.2%), headaches, nosebleed
1977, Corssen et al.	24	Alcohol adenolysis	1/24 complete	100.0%	13/24 complete	54.2%	(1, 4.2%)
							DI need treatment (10,
							76.9%), extraocular nerve
1977, Katz et al.	13	Alcohol adenolysis	11/13 good to excellent pain relief	84.6%	-	-	palsies (3)
							Significant DI (8, 80.0%),
							transient CSF rhinorrhea (2,
							20.0%), CN3 palsy (1, 10.0%),
							bitemporal hemianopia (1,
1978, Levin et al.	10	Alcohol adenolysis	9/10 good to excellent pain relief	90.0%	9/10 good to excellent pain relief	90.0%	10.0%)
							Ocular-nerve palsies (2,
1979, Katz et al.	27	Alcohol adenolysis	26/27 good to excellent pain relief	96.3%	26/27 good to excellent pain relief	96.3%	7.4%)
							(Continued)

Study	No. of	Procedure	Initial pain re	esults	Long-term pain re	Adverse events	
	patients		Effects	Efficacy	Effects	Efficacy	
							DI, CSF rhinorrhoea (5,
							5.4%), death (4, 4.3%),
							temporary dilatation of a
							pupil (5, 5.4%), hemorrhage
					20%(18/92) complete pain relief for at least		(3, 3.3%, 1 probably
1978, Lipton et al.	92	Alcohol adenolysis	38/92 complete +28/92 partial	71.7%	4 mo	20.0%	contributed to a demise)
1979, Madrid et al.*	-	Alcohol adenolysis	-	-	-	-	-
1979, Miles et al.*	-	Alcohol adenolysis	-	-	-	-	-
							DI, CSF rhinorrhea (1, 3.4%),
					25/29(1 underwent a repeat injection, 1		CN3 palsy (4, 13.8%), visual
1980, Levin et al.	29	Alcohol adenolysis	27/29 good to excellent pain relief	93.1%	underwent a cordotomy)	86.2%	defect (3, 10.3%)
			5/11 complete(2 after second				
			injection), 3/11 moderate(1 after		5/11 complete(2 after second injection),		
1980, Williams et al.	11	Alcohol adenolysis	second injection)	72.7%	3/11 moderate(1 after second injection)	72.7%	DI (2, 18.2%)
							DI (6, 17.6%), CSF
							rhinorrhea (2, 5.9%),
							hemorrhage (1, 2.9%,
							abandoned procedure),
							headache (6, 17.6%),
							vomiting/nausea (3, 8.8%),
							visual defects (1, 2.9%), pupil
							change (3, 8.8%, temporary),
							epistaxis (1, 2.9%),
1981, Loyd et al.	34	Alcohol adenolysis	25/34 good analgesia	73.5%	Not described	-	myxoedema (1, 2.9%)
							DI (69/136, 50.7%), CSF
							rhinorrhea (1/136, 0.7%),
							meningitis (2/136, 1.5%),
							visual defects (10/136, 7.4%),
							transient ophthalmoplegis
							(4/136, 2.9%), temporary
					69/101 complete(16 after second NALP, 4		headaches (50/136,36.8%);
			83/101 complete(2 after second		after third NALP without description of		transient euphuria
			NALP) + 10/101 incomplete(5		efficacy) + 12/101 incomplete(2 after second		('common'), hyperthermia
1983, Takeda et al.	102	Alcohol adenolysis	after second NALP)	92.1%	NALP);	80.2%	('rare'), hallucinations ('few')

Hu et al.

#### TABLE 1 (Continued)

Study	No. of	Procedure	Initial pain results		Long-term pain re	Adverse events	
	patients		Effects	Efficacy	Effects	Efficacy	
			9 no more narcotic analgesics +5				
			oral narcotic analgesics+1				
1987, Waldman et al.	15	Alcohol adenolysis	incomplete pain control	-	-	-	Transient diplopia (1)
				84.8%		80.2%	
Cryohypophysectomy							
1964, Rand et al.*	-	Cryohypophysectomy	-	-	-	-	-
1971, Maddy et al.	20	Cryohypophysectomy	7/19 with remissions +5/19	63.2%	1 recurrence	57.9%	Transient DI (7, 35.0%), CSF
			without remissions				rhinorrhea (3, 15.0%), death
							(1, 5.0%), transient
							hyponatremia (5, 25.0%)
1979, West et al.*	-	Cryohypophysectomy	-	-	-	-	-
1982, Avellanosa et al.	-	Cryohypophysectomy	-	-	-	-	-
*							
1983, Duthie et al.	18	Cryohypophysectomy	>50% pain relief, 1 week: 15/18; 1	83.3%	-	-	CSF rhinorrhea (4, 22.2%,
			mo:11/14; 2 mo: 5/7; 3 mo: 3/4;				transient), headache (8,
							44.4%, severe but transient),
							slight blurring of vision (1,
							5.5%)
				73.0%		-	
Radio-active implantati	on						
1959, Forrest et al.	45	Radio-active	Pain not assessed	-	-	-	DI ('common'), CSF
		implantation(Y-90)					rhinorrhea (2/45, 4.4%),
							vision loss (8/45, 17.8%);
							CN3 palsy (2/45, 4.4%),
							meningitis and death (1/45,
							2.2%)
1962, Talairach	-	Radio-active	-				-
et al.*#		implantation(Y-90)					
1969, Notter et al.*	-	Radio-active	-	-	-	-	-
		implantation(Y-90)					
Other							
1969, Zervas et al.	164	Radiofrequency ablation	31% (of the 66) with pain				CSF rhinorrhea, meningitis
			improvement (without remission)				
1969, Arslan et al.*	-	Ultrasonic selective	-	-	-	-	-
		hypophysectomy					

\*No original articles, # non-English articles. \*DI, Diabetes Insipidus; CSF, Cerebral spinal fluid; NALP, Neuroadenolysis of the pituitar. The long-term effects are measured until the following terminal or the deaths.

pituitary destruction (21). Complications resulting from the transsphenoidal route include disturbances of surrounding tissues(mostly transient), headache, rhinorrhea/CSF leak(20%), and hemorrhage (9, 22, 23). Severe complications include infections such as meningitis, and death (Table 1) (22, 24).

## 3.1.3 Pituitary radiosurgery phase

Radiosurgery was first employed to treat refractory cancer pain targeting the centromedian thalamic nucleus and other terminal thalamic endpoints for the paleospinothalamic tract fibers (25–31). The pituitary is then proved to be a superior target (32). Pituitary radiosurgery is also referred to as gamma (knife) hypophysectomy, first applied in this field by Backlund in 1972 as an improved method of radioactive ablation (33). Following Leksell's study in thalamotomy, Backlund et al. administered 200 to 250 Gy doses targeting at the anterior two-thirds of the pituitary, which had similar efficacy to later studies with improved MRI and CT imaging that used 160 Gy (24, 33–38).

After 30 years, Hayashi et al. used Gamma knife surgery targeting the stalk of the pituitary gland with doses of 150–200 Gy (24). The patients have been followed for longer periods, filling in the blanks of long-term effects after this procedure. Few adverse effects have been reported in all the studies produced by different centers with the same targeting zone and doses, while those targeting the gland reported higher risks of diabetes insipidus and hypocortisolism (24, 34–37).

Lovo et al. placed the higher isodose lines in the most posterior part of the neurohypophysis, which may be a possible reason for the lower initial effects and higher rates of recurrence. In 2022, Lovo et al. induced a triple target irradiation in the hypophysis and bilateral thalamus, with a lower max dose of 90 Gy at each target, as a treatment alternative for the refractory oncological pain of mixed nature (nociceptive, neuropathic, and visceral).

The overall clinical results for initial complete pain relief after pituitary radiosurgery among 64 patients was 95.9% (ranging from 80.0 to 100.0%), for the long-term, was 73.5% (ranging from 30.0 to 100.0%) (Table 2). Backlund's original study reported frequent diabetes insipidus and hormonal defects, which may be associated with the high dose ranging from 200 to 250 Gy (33). Hayashi's series of studies reported no complications, while subsequent studies using the same parameters only reported individual cases of complications such as diabetes insipidus and hormone reduction (24, 35) (Table 2).

Table 3 summarizes the clinical outcomes and rate of complications after hypophysectomy of all three stages (Figure 1).

# 3.2 Mechanism hypotheses

The mechanisms of surgical and chemical hypophysectomy have proved to be fundamentally similar, but whether pituitary radiosurgery worked via the same mechanism is unknown. The three stages all appeared as modified procedures of the previous stage, with similar efficacy and different complications due to the routes and the techniques. This indicates the same key problem lying behind them (Figure 2).

## 3.2.1 Tumor regression

Hypophysectomy was originally conceived as a means of achieving hormone-sensitive tumor regression as a logical extension

of hormonal manipulation by gonadectomy or adrenalectomy. The earliest theories hypothesized that tumor regression contributed to pain reduction (15, 39). Takeda et al. demonstrated that neuroadenolysis resulted in tumor regression in 6.9% of cases with hormone-dependent carcinomas, while surgical hypophysectomy in 55.5% of cases. 88% of cases obtained pain relief (13). Hayashi et al. reported that the original cancer did not change in size, but the tumor markers transiently decreased 2 weeks after pituitary radiosurgery (24).

Various lines of evidence challenge the notion: (1) pain relief occurs in cases of thalamic pain and malignancies not hormonedependent (9, 12, 40–42); (2) some patients with breast and prostate carcinoma are unresponsive to hormone manipulation (10, 12, 42, 43); (3) patients may obtain relief despite a failure to obtain an objective remission (10–12, 19, 43). Levin et al. reported that 40 to 60% of the patients who obtain pain relief ultimately show no obvious tumor regression, suggesting that the hormonal sensitivity of the tumor may not be an adequate predictor of the potential for achieving pain relief either. However, they also mentioned the possibility that slight degrees of regression undetectable by roentgenogram may contribute to the relief. In conclusion, tumor regression may serve to complement pain relief, while the two processes may be independent (44).

## 3.2.2 $\beta$ -Endorphins/intrinsic morphine-like effect

 $\beta$ -endorphin is a kind of endogenous MOPr( $\mu$ -opioid receptors) agonist and plays a role in mediating opioid-dependent analgesia in acute pain through hyperpolarizing effects and suppression of neuronal activity (45). Its precursor localizes in the pituitary gland and the arcuate nucleus in the hypothalamus. It has been suggested that the removal of the pituitary may lead to a compensatory overproduction of  $\beta$ -endorphins precursors into the blood and cerebrospinal fluid (35, 36, 46). Takeda et al. demonstrated that there was a temporary sharp increase in CSF  $\beta$ -endorphins immediately after pituitary neuroadenolysis, but returned to baseline on the third day (47). While Deshpande et al. suggested that patients treated by alcohol-induced neuroadenolysis were still capable of secreting  $\beta$ -endorphin after more than 4 years (48). Endorphins may play a role in immediate pain relief after surgery but are not the sole cause of long-lasting analgesia (13, 20, 44, 46, 47). Some naloxone studies have shown positive results to reverse the pain-relieving effects, while others are the opposite. The failure of pain restoration does not necessarily exclude an opiate-mediated effect, considering of different classes of opiate receptors with varying affinities for naloxone (44, 49, 50). Naloxone insensitivity could be due to limited affinity rather than indicating a non-opioid mechanism. The reversal effect depends on the degree of pituitary destruction as well (46).

## 3.2.3 Neuroendocrine modulation

Several lines of evidence suggest that pain relief from hypophysectomy is not directly related to the expected decrease in levels of pituitary hormones (44). Instead, pain relief is believed to result from the modulation of central pain-inhibiting neurons through a humoral agent distributed by the cerebrospinal fluid or a direct neural stimulus. Increase of the peptides synthesized in the hypothalamic–pituitary axis and suppression of pituitary function are considered to exert a long-lasting suppressive effect on the mediation and perception of cancer pain through C-fibres and the central nervous system (11, 51).

## TABLE 2 Pain relief outcomes of pituitary radiosurgery.

Study	No. of patients	Type of pain	Method of delivery	Radiosurgical target	Max dose (Gy)	Pain results/ initial effects	Initial efficacy	Long-term effects	Long-term efficacy	Adverse events
										Hormonal
			2–3 isocenters, $3 \times 5$							deficiencies (8,
1972, Backlund			or $3 \text{ mm} \times 7 \text{ mm}$	Anterior two-thirds of		4/4 survivors pain				100%),
et al.	8	Bone metastases	cross-sectional beams	the pituitary	200 or 250	relief	100.0%	Not accessed	-	DI('frequent')
						Complete pain				
						relief lasted for				
1998, Liscák						24 months after				
et al.*#	1	-	-	Pituitary gland	150	SRS	_	-	-	Hypocortisolism
			1 isocenter,							
			$8 \text{ mm} \times 4 \text{ mm}$							
			patients;2 isocenters,	Junction between the						
2002, Hayashi			$4 \text{ mm} \times 5 \text{ mm}$	pituitary gland and		9/9 pain free		9/9 pain free		
et al.	9	Bone metastases	patients	stalk	150-200	within a few days	100.0%	(permanently)	100.0%	None
				Junction between the						
2003, Hayashi			1 isocenter, 8 mm	pituitary gland and		6/6 pain free		6/6 pain free (1-4		
et al.	6	Bone metastases	collimator	stalk	160	within a few days	100.0%	mo)	100.0%	None
			1 isocenter							
			$8 \text{ mm} \times 3 \text{ mm}$							
			patients;2 isocenters	Junction between the				5/7 pain		DI/
		Cancer	$4 \text{ mm} \times 4 \text{ mm}$	pituitary gland and		7/7 pain		relief(without		hypopituitarism
2004, Kwon et al.	7	metastases	patients	stalk	150-160	relief(>50%)	100.0%	relapse)	71.4%	(1, 14.3%)
						4/10 minimal to				
						no pain, 3/10				
						managed with				
						medications,				
		Bone metastases				1/10 < 50%, 2/10		3/10 pain relief,		
		or other organs	1 isocenter, 8 mm			no response within		2/10 reccurence,		
2019, Lovo et al.	11	affected	collimator	Neurohypophysis	150	2-5 days	80.0%	2/10 no response	30.0%	None

Study	No. of patients	Type of pain	Method of delivery	Radiosurgical target	Max dose (Gy)	Pain results/ initial effects	Initial efficacy	Long-term effects	Long-term efficacy	Adverse events
						The maximal				
						analgesic effect				
						was reached on the				
						5th day after SRS				
						and was				
						permanent.				
						Significant				
						reductions in				
						analgesic doses				
						and prominent				
				Junction between the		improvements in				
2020, Golanov				pituitary gland and		quality of life were				
et al.*#	1	-	-	stalk	150	noted	_	-	-	None
								10/10 pain		DI and
			1 isocenter, 8 mm					relief(>50%,		hypocortisolism(3,
			collimator (2			10/10 pain relief		average 24%		6.0%), transient
			segments modified to			within 2 to		remaining pain,		abducens nerve
2022, May et al.	20	Bone metastases	4 mm)	Pituitary gland	150-200	4 weeks	100.0%	permanently)	100.0%	palsy(1)
			1 isocenter at							
			hypophysis,							
			8(GK)/7.5(CK) mm							
			collimator, 2							
		Mixed pain	isocenters at bilateral							
		(nociceptive,	thalamus,	Hypophysis, and						
		neuropathic,	4(GK)/5(CK) mm	mesial structures of the		3/3 pain relief		3/3 pain relief (3 to		Excessive
2022, Lovo et al.	3	and visceral)	collimator	bilateral thalamus	90	within a few days	100.0%	5 weeks)	100.0%	sleepiness(1)
Total number of										
patients	64						47		36	
							95.9%		73.5%	

\*No original articles, # non-English articles. \*DI, Diabetes Insipidus; CSF, Cerebral spinal fluid; GK, Gamma Knife; CK, Cyber Knife.

#### TABLE 3 Summary of efficacy and safety after hypophysectomy by different treatment modalities.

	Surgical		Radiosurgery					
	hypophysectomy	Alcohol- induced	Cryoablation*	Radiation/ brachytech*				
Efficacy								
Initial pain results	84.6%	84.8%	73.0%	-	95.9%			
Long-term pain								
results	75.8%	80.2%	-	-	73.5%			
Safety								
DI	17.5-92.0%	17.6-80.0%	-	'common'	0-14.3%			
CSF rhinorrhea	0-22.2%	0.7-20.0%	-	4.4%	0%			

\*1 article for cryoablation and 2 for radiation/brachytech.



Historical evolution of hypophysectomy, pituitary neuroadenolysis and pituitary radiosurgery. The timeline of case report, technique adoption and method adjustion in the three stages of hypophysectomy. Stage 1, surgical hypophysectomy (red), stage 2, pituitary neuroadenolysis(yellow) and stage 3, pituitary radiosurgery(blue). This figure is created using Adobe Illustrator.

#### 3.2.3.1 Hormones

The specific working hormones remain unknown. Takeda et al. discussed the alterations of hypothalamic–pituitary axis action in detail and examined pituitary hormones including insulin, TRH, gonadotropins (LH, FSH), HGH, TSH, prolactin (PRL), ACTH, and vasopressin (47). The anterior pituitary hormones commonly decrease to varying degrees after surgery except ACTH. 85.7% of the cases with slight/moderate suppression of anterior pituitary function showed complete pain relief, while 90.9% in the markedly suppressed group. These pieces of evidence suggest a negative relationship between anterior pituitary function and pain relief. Both endorphins and ACTH have a common precursor, but in higher primates and man, the synthesis of these two peptides is regulated by separate mechanisms (48, 52). ACTH shows a significant increase in the early postoperative stage and is even more pronounced in CSF compared

to blood, even 2 months later in cases with complete pain relief, in contrast to the minimal elevation observed in cases without complete relief. TRH in CSF exhibited an abrupt increase after ablation, followed by a subsequent decline to a level slightly higher than the preoperative level. It then gradually increased again, demonstrating a fluctuating pattern. TRH was consistently elevated 24 h after surgery and continued to rise in all cases with pain relief. Vasopressin also showed an abrupt elevation in the CSF immediately after surgery, followed by a decrease, but remained at a level higher than the preoperative level even 3 weeks later. In contrast, the levels of vasopressin in serum did not show a significant increase and were consistently lower than those in the CSF (47). Lovo et al. proposed that the oxytocin is redirected when a high dose of radiation is administered to the hypophysis, and termed it the radio-endocrine-modulatory effect (53).



#### FIGURE 2

Mechanism hypotheses of hypophysectomy, pituitary neuroadenolysis and pituitary radiosurgery. VPL, ventral posterolateral nucleus; PVN, paraventricular nucleus of hypothalamus; SON, supraoptic nucleus; LH, luteinizing hormone; FSH, follicle stimulating hormone;  $\beta$ -END,  $\beta$ -endorphin; OXT, oxytocin; VP, vasopressin; DRG, dorsal root ganglia. The similar efficacy and different complications due to the routes and the techniques indicate the same key problem lying behind hypophysectomy, pituitary neuroadenolysis and pituitary radiosurgery. The existing mechanism hypotheses mainly include: (1) Tumor regression(red). Hypophysectomy was originally conceived as a means of achieving hormone-dependent tumor regression. The earliest theories hypothesized that tumor regression contributed to pain reduction. (2) Intrinsic morphine-like effect(blue).  $\beta$ -endorphin is a kind of endogenous opioid receptor agonist and plays a role in mediating opioid-dependent analgesia in acute pain. Its precursor localizes in the pituitary gland and the arcuate nucleus in the hypothalamus. It has been suggested that the removal of the pituitary may lead to a compensatory overproduction of  $\beta$ -endorphins precursors into the blood and cerebrospinal fluid. There was a temporary sharp increase in CSF  $\beta$ -endorphins immediately after neuroadenolysis, but returned to baseline on the third day after surgery. (3) Hypothalamus neuroendocrine modulation (green). The hypothalamic–pituitary axis is considered to exert a long-lasting suppressive effect on the mediation and perception of cancer pain through C-fibres and the central nervous system, while the specific working hormones remain unknown. It has been suggested that pain relief may result from the

#### FIGURE 2 (Continued)

modulation of central pain-inhibiting neurons through a humoral agent distributed by the cerebrospinal fluid or a direct neural stimulus. With the recent exploration of the analgesic effects of oxytocin and vasopressin, it is possible that these two hormones may play a role. This figure is under copyright with our institution, so we have submitted a license for the CNS to publish it. With permission from ©BioRender.com, with permission. All rights and ownership of BioRender content are reserved by BioRender.

## 3.2.3.2 Pain fiber pathways

Some scholars found that the efficacy of hypophysectomy remains the same regardless of the extent of pituitary gland destruction and may be caused by reactionary hyperactivity of the hypophyseal system exerting inhibitory influences on the pain pathways of the brain (46). Contrast media injected into the hypophysis spread beyond and destroyed the hypothalamus and adjacent structures (7, 40, 43, 46, 54, 55). These pieces of evidence indicate that hypophysectomy may interfere with neural pathways and result in the impairment of pain conduction and the ability to interpret pain properly (46).

Ample anatomic evidence shows extensive neural connections among the hypothalamus, thalamus, limbic system, and various portions of the central gray matter. These systems receive information from lower spinal areas such as the lateral spinothalamic tract (15). Stereotactic electrical stimulation of these areas in animals has been proven to decrease responses to noxious stimuli by enhancing mechanisms that modify pain appreciation and result in analgesia (56–60). Periventricular and central gray regions, in particular, are drought to produce a morphine-like substance that decreases the response to aversive stimuli (61). Takeda et al. indicate that the peptides mainly synthesized in the hypothalamic–pituitary axis would increase in the CSF after hypophysectomy, and suppress the mediation and perception of cancer pain through the C-fibers and the central nervous system (47).

It has been proven that peripheral pain receptor sensitivity is not related since hypophysectomy does not alter the normal sensitivity to pinprick or acute injury pain (62, 63).

#### 3.2.4 Hypothalamus

Some investigators have proposed that concomitant damage to the hypothalamus plays a critical role in producing pain relief (15, 40, 44, 62). Lipton et al. discovered that contrast medium could spread above the sella, ascend the pituitary stalk, and eventually breaking through into the third ventricle (64). Postmortem examinations conducted by Levin revealed subependymal gliosis along the floor of the third ventricle, significant cell loss in the supraoptic and paraventricular nuclei, and damage to the median eminence (40). These findings suggest that pain relief following alcohol injection may occur through the destruction of thalamic and hypothalamic nerve pathways and interfere with pain conduction or may impair the patient's ability to interpret pain properly. However, further pathological studies are needed to confirm this (9, 20). Other investigators have demonstrated that lesions in the posterior inferior peri-third ventricular area produced good relief in over 70% of patients with pain caused by malignant tumors, highlighting the importance of the posteromedial hypothalamus in pain control (44).

Later, Levin et al. proposed that the pain relief following hypophysectomy may be more directly related to the stimulation of hypothalamic function. Oophorectomy, adrenalectomy, and orchiectomy have all been found to produce prompt pain relief within hours after surgery in patients with metastatic breast or prostate carcinoma, even before objective remission occurs. The time of onset of pain relief seems to be similar to that after hypophysectomy. These observations suggest the existence of a common mechanism of pain relief for all four operations, possibly involving a hypothalamic pain-suppressing response that is triggered by the elimination of hormonal feedback (44).

Takeda and other researchers reported that, following neuroadenolysis, most patients experienced clinical manifestations of hypothalamic involvement, including temporary euphoric states, increased appetites, hypothermia, and hallucinations almost 2 days later, which continued for several days (13, 23, 47). In Hayashi's series of studies, they confirmed these clinical symptoms and discovered that MR spectroscopy demonstrated a stimulating effect in the hypothalamus, with a significant increase in the level of N-acetyl aspartate within 24 h after radiosurgery operations (24, 35). Nevertheless, the precise role of the hypothalamus in the pain-relief mechanism remains unclear.

# 4 Discussion

# 4.1 Remaining questions

### 4.1.1 Onset time and duration of pain relief

Pain relief typically begins a few hours after hypophysectomy and lasts for several months, none of the theories can explain both the immediate and long-term effects (24, 34–38). This suggests that different mechanisms may be responsible for each stage, with a possible overlap between them. We notice that in previous studies, the VAS scores typically change in the following mode: a sustained decline immediately after the operation, then rebounds and eventually a stablization around 20–30 days. The restable point may be the alteration of the short-term and long-term mechanisms (37, 53).

Two hypotheses may explain the immediate pain relief after the operation: endorphins and neuro-endocrine modulation. The role of endorphins remains unclear because some naloxone studies failed to reverse the pain-relieving effects. The rapid relief after operations indicates that it is mediated by the central nervous system rather than serum hormone concentration, but the specific hormones responsible for the pain relief effects are unknown either. Tumor regression is the only explanation for long-term pain relief, but pain relief continues even when the tumor progresses and normal pituitary function returns.

## 4.1.2 Type of pain

Pituitary radiosurgery is applied in poststroke thalamic pain using the same doses, indicating a central rather than a systemic mechanism. 71 to 76.5% of patients had initial pain reduction within 48 h, but only 21 to 38.5% had long-term effects (43, 52). Similar initial efficacy to cancer pain and different recurrence rates indicate separate mechanisms between the two phases. Projections from the paraventricular nucleus (PVN) innervate lamina I of the spinal dorsal horn, peri mesencephalic gray, and the nucleus raphe magnus are important pain-modulating centers and the PVN may be the key anatomic locus for pain control (44). Some scholars reported that patients with bone metastases have the best response to hypophysectomy (10, 11, 19). Jessiman et al. stated that they have seen osseous secondaries heal while soft tissue deposits enlarge. Some scholars suggested that the site of secondaries would not determine the response. Cade supposed that metastasis behaves according to the 'all or none phenomenon'; if one group of metastases responds then all others would do the same (44).

# 4.1.3 Pain assessment

There are some fundamental issues in pain assessment as well. First, there is no standardized definition of pain relief. Most studies employ pain rating scales, the quantity of analgesics or a combination. Second, the division of the short-term and long-term effects is unclear, and it should be noted that the survival times of cancer patients are hugely different. Third, the assessment is rarely based on the reports of independent observers. Last, few studies provide a careful account of the cause of pain in each patient (44).

## 4.1.4 Target area

Neurosurgical interventions mainly interrupt neural circuits involved in pain processing or modulation to relieve intractable pain. Thalamotomy targeted at the nuclei of the medial thalamus, which relays information related to the affective motivational (unpleasantness) dimension of pain. Only 51% of patients had initial pain relief and 35% had long-term effects during the follow-up periods, which is hugely different from hypophysectomy (65).

In radiosurgery hypophysectomy, different substructures of the pituitary have been targeted. Hayashi et al. targeted the junction of the pituitary gland and stalk, while Lovo et al. changed it to the neurohypophysis and failed (24, 35–37, 39). 20% of patients had no response, and 50% of patients presented recurrent pain at the end of life (37). Later, the target was changed to the hypophysis and mesial structures of the bilateral thalamus irradiating with a very small maximum dose of 90 Gy (53). They speculated that single-target radiation could only reduce pain but not eliminate it, and most cases would recur especially before death (53, 66).

## 4.1.5 Radiation dose

The mechanism behind surgical and chemical hypophysectomy is the destruction of substructures of the pituitary. The function of radiosurgery is now thought to be not only radiosurgical ablation but also radiomodulation, even radio-endocrine-modulation. It is still unknown whether the relief of cancer pain is due to damage or modulation, while the dose setting of gamma knife surgery is still empirically based and the optimal radiation dose is yet not determined.

In the triple target irradiation study of Lovo et al., they delivered only 90 Gy to each region instead of 150–200 Gy, a single target in other similar studies. However, they still made the pain more bearable and responded better to medication. Their experience also showed that these treatment strategies, either single, dual, or triple target irradiation, eliminate pain in most oncological patients. Still, they allowed unmanageable intense pain to be more bearable and to respond better to basal pain medication (53).

According to Hayashi et al., there was no evidence of destructive changes, no dysfunction of endocrinological status, and no morphological changes on follow-up MR images. Clinical symptoms and MR spectroscopy revealed a stimulating effect on the hypothalamus (24, 35).

# 4.2 A new hypothesis—role of hypothalamic–pituitary axis

Some researchers suggested radio-endocrine modulation of the hypothalamus as the cause of pain relief effect, rather than pituitary destruction (24, 35, 46). We believe the mechanism may be similar to the triphasic diabetes insipidus after the pituitary stalk injury during neurosurgical operations. In the early stages, due to the blockage of the pituitary stalk, some hormones stored in the hypothalamus are nowhere to be released and thus flow into the cerebrospinal fluid and modulate the central pain-inhibiting neurons-the sustained decrease of VAS scores in the few days after operations approve the hypothesis. The anterior pituitary function has been reported to not correlate with the analgesic effect, but that of the posterior pituitary is controversial. Some scholars found a significant association between the posthypophysectomy response and diuresis (a symptom of posterior pituitary function loss), while others disagreed (10, 54, 67, 68). We posit that patients suffering from DI after hypophysectomy certainly have pain relief effects at the same time, but there is no relevance between them (Table 4). Partial blockage of the pituitary stalk can lead to an analgesic effect without the occurrence of diabetes insipidus. It is postulated that only a few supraoptic nuclei need to remain to prevent polyuria (69). The working hormones may be vasopressin and oxytocin. They have common structures and can be traced back to the same ancestor (70). Oxytocin can directly and indirectly modulate pain through both the central and peripheral nervous systems (71). It has been observed that chronic pain reduction happened following oxytocin administration in humans, but it is not widely employed in pain management due to the short half-life and lack of specificity. Some evidence also indicates the role for vasopressin in pain (72). Vasopressin sharply increases in the cerebrospinal fluid several times within days after operations, consistent with the instantaneous pain relief effect. Then it gradually decreases to a level higher than pre-operation status, consistent with the longer effect. Radiosurgery hypophysectomy may redirect oxytocin toward hypothalamic regions affecting pain modulation (73). As the stored hormones are gradually consumed, the long-term mechanism begins to take effect, which we regard as the regeneration of the hypothalamus. Studies in craniopharyngioma have illustrated the remarkable regenerative capacity of neurohypophysis as early as 3 weeks after injury in animals. In humans, degeneration and regeneration of the hypothalamic nuclei are modest and happen at 3 days to 32 months after surgery.

# **5** Conclusion

From surgical hypophysectomy to pituitary neuroadenolysis and pituitary radiosurgery, these procedures have shown similar pain relief effects, but fewer complications. Hypophysectomy is a promising treatment of refractory malignant pain, although a larger and more homogenous sample and a standardized treatment protocol are desired. Many items need to be standardized, including the criteria of pain relief, the instrument of pain assessment, and the range of short-term and long-term effects. Hypophysectomy could be an effective supplement of current pain management protocols. We are carrying out a related prospective, single-center clinical research program in Nanfang Hospital at present. Based on previous research, the immediate and long-term

Study	No. of	Procedure	Relation of DI and initial pain relief			
	Patients		DI and pain relief	Pain relief without DI	DI without pain relief	
		Transphenoidal				
1969, Kapur et al.	63	hypophysectomy	27/57	2/57	18/57	
1979, Levin et al.	29	Alcohol adenolysis	25/29	2/29	1/29	
1980, Williams et al.	11	Alcohol adenolysis	2/10	6/10	0/10	
2002, Hayashi et al.	9	Pituitary radiosurgery	0/9	9/9	0/9	
2003, Hayashi et al.	6	Pituitary radiosurgery	0/6	6/6	0/6	
2004, Kwon et al.	7	Pituitary radiosurgery	1/7	6/7	0/7	
1978, Lipton et al.	92	Alcohol adenolysis	No relationship between the DI and the degree of pain relief, some patients had complete pain relief with no DI			
1983, Takeda et al.	102	Alcohol adenolysis	No significant difference in cases with and without polyuria Obtainability of complete pain relief			

TABLE 4 Diabetes insipidus and pain relief.

analgesic effects may not be attributed to a single mechanism. Several questions remain to be answered, including the contributing hormones in the neuro-endocrine modulation and the sustained mechanism. This would be a potential area for further work.

# Author contributions

YH: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. WZ: Software, Writing – review & editing. ZC: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. XiaW: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. SX: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. YM: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. PY: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. JW: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. DQ: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. XinW: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. PZ: Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. HL: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the Science and Technology Foundation of Guangdong Province under grant number (no. 2023A1515012382), the Clinical Research Program of Nanfang Hospital, Southern

# References

1. Aman MM, Mahmoud A, Deer T, Sayed D, Hagedorn JM, Brogan SE, et al. The American Society of Pain and Neuroscience (ASPN) best practices and guidelines for the interventional Management of Cancer-Associated Pain. *J Pain Res.* (2021) 14:2139–64. doi: 10.2147/JPR.S315585

2. Bruera E, Kim HN. Cancer pain. JAMA. (2003) 290:2476-9. doi: 10.1001/jama.290.18.2476

3. Currow DC, Spruyt O, Hardy J. Defining refractory pain in cancer for clinicians and researchers. J Palliat Med. (2012) 15:5–6. doi: 10.1089/jpm.2011.0326

Medical University (no. 2023CR030), Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (no. 2022A1515012085) and the Funding by Science and Technology Projects in Guangzhou (no. 2024A04J5231).

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# **Generative AI statement**

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

4. Hardy J, Quinn S, Fazekas B, Plummer J, Eckermann S, Agar M, et al. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to assess the efficacy and toxicity of subcutaneous ketamine in the management of cancer pain. *J Clin Oncol.* (2012) 30:3611–7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2012.42.1081

<sup>5.</sup> Almeida T, Monaco BA, Vasconcelos F, Piedade GS, Morell A, Ogobuiro I, et al. Everything old is new again. Revisiting hypophysectomy for the treatment of refractory cancer-related pain: a systematic review. *Neurosurg Rev.* (2024) 47:111. doi: 10.1007/s10143-024-02347-7

6. Swarm RA, Youngwerth JM, Agne JL, Anghelescu DL, Are M. Adult Cancer pain: clinical practice guidelines in oncology. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw.* (2023) 8:1046–86. doi: 10.6004/jnccn.2010.0076

7. Luft R, Olivecrona H. Experiences with hypophysectomy in man. J Neurosurg. (1953) 10:301–16. doi: 10.3171/jns.1953.10.3.0301

8. Huggins C. Endocrine-induced regression of cancers. *Science*. (1967) 156:1050–4. doi: 10.1126/science.156.3778.1050

9. Corssen G, Holcomb MC, Moustapha I, Langford K, Vitek JJ, Ceballos R. Alcoholinduced adenolysis of the pituitary gland: a new approach to control of intractable cancer pain. *Anesth Analg.* (1977) 56:414–21. doi: 10.1213/0000539-197705000-00021

10. Kapur TR, Dalton GA. Trans-sphenoidal hypophysectomy for metastatic carcinoma of the breast. Br J Surg. (1969) 56:332-7. doi: 10.1002/bjs.1800560504

11. Tindall GT, Payne NS, Nixon DW. Transsphenoidal hypophysectomy for disseminated carcinoma of the prostate gland. Results in 53 patients. *J Neurosurg*. (1979) 50:275–82. doi: 10.3171/jns.1979.50.3.0275

12. Silverberg GD. Hypophysectomy in the treatment of disseminated prostate carcinoma. *Cancer*. (1977) 39:1727–31. doi: 10.1002/1097-0142(197704)39:4<1727::aid-cncr2820390451>3.0.co;2-1

13. Takeda F, Fujii T, Uki J, Fuse Y, Tozawa R, Kitani Y, et al. Cancer pain relief and tumor regression by means of pituitary neuroadenolysis and surgical hypophysectomy. *Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo)*. (1983) 23:41–9. doi: 10.2176/nmc.23.41

14. Tindall GT, Nixon DW, Christy JH, Neill JD. Pain relief in metastatic cancer other than breast and prostate gland following transsphenoidal hypophysectomy. A preliminary report. *J Neurosurg.* (1977) 47:659–62. doi: 10.3171/jns.1977.47.5.0659

15. Katz J, Levin AB. Treatment of diffuse metastatic cancer pain by instillation of alcohol into the Sella turcica. *Anesthesiology*. (1977) 46:115–20. doi: 10.1097/00000542-197702000-00006

16. Duthie AM, Ingham V, Dell AE, Dennett JE. Pituitary cryoablation. The results of treatment using a transphenoidal cryoprobe. *Anaesthesia*. (1983) 38:448–51. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2044.1983.tb14028.x

17. Forrest AP, Blair DW, Brown DA, Stewart HJ, Sandison AT, Harrington RW, et al. Radio-active implantation of the pituitary. *Br J Surg.* (1959) 47:61–70. doi: 10.1002/bjs.18004720113

18. Zervas NT. Technique of radio-frequency hypophysectomy. *Confin Neurol.* (1965) 26:157–60. doi: 10.1159/000104019

19. Zervas NT. Stereotaxic radiofrequency surgery of the normal and the abnormal pituitary gland. *N Engl J Med.* (1969) 280:429–37. doi: 10.1056/NEJM196902202800808

20. Katz J, Levin AB. Long-term follow-up study of chemical hypophysectomy and additional cases. *Anesthesiology*. (1979) 51:167–8. doi: 10.1097/00000542-197908000-00018

21. Gianasi G. Neuroadenolysis of the pituitary of Moricca: an overview of development, mechanisms, technique, and resuits In: C Benedetti, CR Chapman and G Moricca, editors. Advances in pain research and therapy. New York: Raven Press (1984). 647–78.

22. Borius P-Y, Garnier SR, Baumstarck K, Castinetti F, Donnet A, Guedj E, et al. An open-label, analgesic efficacy and safety of pituitary radiosurgery for patients with opioid-refractory pain: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Neurosurgery*. (2018) 83:146–53. doi: 10.1093/neuros/nyx363

23. Waldman SD, Feldstein GS, Allen ML. Neuroadenolysis of the pituitary: description of a modified technique. *J Pain Symptom Manag.* (1987) 2:45–9. doi: 10.1016/s0885-3924(87)80045-3

24. Hayashi M, Taira T, Chernov M, Fukuoka S, Liscak R, Yu CP, et al. Gamma knife surgery for cancer pain-pituitary gland-stalk ablation: a multicenter prospective protocol since 2002. *J Neurosurg.* (2002) 97:433–7. doi: 10.3171/jns.2002.97.supplement\_5.0433

25. Keep MF, Mastrofrancesco L, Craig AD, Ashby LS. Gamma knife surgery targeting the centromedian nucleus of the thalamus for the palliative management of thalamic pain: durable response in stroke-induced thalamic pain syndrome. *J Neurosurg.* (2006) 105:222–8. doi: 10.3171/sup.2006.105.7.222

26. Leksell L. Cerebral radiosurgery. I. Gammathalanotomy in two cases of intractable pain. *Acta Chir Scand.* (1968) 134:585–95.

27. Leksell L, Meyerson BA, Forster DM. Radiosurgical thalamotomy for intractable pain. *Confin Neurol.* (1972) 34:264. doi: 10.1159/000103066

28. Steiner L, Forster D, Leksell L, Meyerson BA, Boëthius J. Gammathalamotomy in intractable pain. *Acta Neurochir.* (1980) 52:173–84. doi: 10.1007/BF01402072

29. Tasker RR. Thalamotomy. Neurosurg Clin N Am. (1990) 1:841–64. doi: 10.1016/S1042-3680(18)30776-9

30. Young RF, Jacques DS, Rand RW, Copcutt BC, Vermeulen SS, Posewitz AE. Technique of stereotactic medial thalamotomy with the Leksell gamma knife for treatment of chronic pain. *Neurol Res.* (1995) 17:59–65. doi: 10.1080/01616412. 1995.11740287

31. Young RF, Vermeulen SS, Grimm P, Posewitz AE, Jacques DB, Rand RW, et al. Gamma knife thalamotomy for the treatment of persistent pain. *Stereotact Funct Neurosurg.* (1995) 64:172–81. doi: 10.1159/000098777

32. Roberts DG, Pouratian N. Stereotactic radiosurgery for the treatment of chronic intractable pain: a systematic review. *Oper Neurosurg (Hagerstown)*. (2017) 13:543–51. doi: 10.1093/ons/opx095

33. Backlund EO, Rähn T, Sarby B, De Schryver A, Wennerstrand J. Closed stereotaxic hypophysectomy by means of 60 co gamma radiation. *Acta Radiol Ther Phys Biol.* (1972) 11:545–55. doi: 10.3109/02841867209129800

34. Chernov MF, Hayashi M. Pituitary radiosurgery for Management of Intractable Pain: Tokyo Women's medical university experience and literature review. *Acta Neurochir Suppl.* (2021) 128:133–44. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-69217-9\_15

35. Hayashi M, Taira T, Chernov M, Izawa M, Liscak R, Yu CP, et al. Role of pituitary radiosurgery for the management of intractable pain and potential future applications. *Stereotact Funct Neurosurg.* (2003) 81:75–83. doi: 10.1159/000075108

36. Kwon KH, Nam TK, Im YS, Lee JI. (2004) Pituitary irradiation by gamma knife in intractable Cancer pain.

37. Lovo EE, Campos FJ, Caceros VE, Minervini M, Cruz CB, Arias JC, et al. Automated stereotactic gamma ray radiosurgery to the pituitary gland in terminally ill Cancer patients with opioid refractory pain. *Cureus*. (2019) 11:e4811. doi: 10.7759/cureus.4811

38. May J, Liscak R. Effectiveness of Leksell gamma knife hypophysectomy on cancerrelated intractable pain - a single-center experience. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett.* (2022) 43:265–9.

39. Larkin MB, Karas PJ, McGinnis JP, McCutcheon IE, Viswanathan A. Stereotactic radiosurgery Hypophysectomy for palliative treatment of refractory Cancer pain: a historical review and update. *Front Oncol.* (2020) 10:572557. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2020.572557

40. Levin AB, Katz J, Benson RC, Jones AG. Treatment of pain of diffuse metastatic cancer by stereotactic chemical hypophysectomy: long term results and observations on mechanism of action. *Neurosurgery*. (1980) 6:258–62. doi: 10.1227/00006123-198003000-00006

41. Levin AB, Ramirez LF, Katz J. The use of stereotaxic chemical hypophysectomy in the treatment of thalamic pain syndrome. *J Neurosurg.* (1983) 59:1002–6. doi: 10.3171/jns.1983.59.6.1002

42. Tindall GT, Ambrose SS, Christy JH. Hypophysectomy in the treatment of disseminated carcinoma of the breast and prostate gland. *J Med Assoc Ga.* (1975) 64:69–74.

43. Maddy JA, Winternitz WW, Norrell H. Cryohypophysectomy in the management of advanced prostatic cancer. *Cancer*. (1971) 28:322–8. doi: 10.1002/1097-0142(197108)28:2<322::aid-cncr2820280210>3.0.co;2-j

44. Ramirez LF, Levin AB. Pain relief after Hypophysectomy. *Neurosurgery*. (1984) 14:499-504.

45. Higginbotham JA, Markovic T, Massaly N, Morón JA. Endogenous opioid systems alterations in pain and opioid use disorder. *Front Syst Neurosci.* (2022) 16:1014768. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2022.1014768

46. Yanagida H, Corssen G, Ceballos R, Strong E. Alcohol-induced pituitary adenolysis: how does it control intractable cancer pain?--an experimental study using tooth pulp-evoked potentials in rhesus monkeys. *Anesth Analg.* (1979) 58:279–87. doi: 10.1213/00000539-197907000-00004

47. Takeda F, Fujii T, Uki J, Tozawa R, Fuse Y, Kitani Y, et al. Alterations of hypothalamopituitary interaction and pain threshold following pituitary neuroadenolysis--a clinical investigation of the mechanism of cancer pain relief. *Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo)*. (1983) 23:551–60. doi: 10.2176/nmc.23.551

48. Deshpande N, Moricca G, Saullo F, Di Martino L, Kwa G. Some aspects of pituitary function after neuroadenolysis in patients with metastatic cancer. *Tumori.* (1981) 67:355–9. doi: 10.1177/030089168106700413

49. Hill RG. The status of naloxone in the identification of pain control mechanisms operated by endogenous opioids. *Neurosci Lett.* (1981) 21:217–22. doi: 10.1016/0304-3940(81)90385-2

50. Lord JA, Waterfield AA, Hughes J, Kosterlitz HW. Endogenous opioid peptides: multiple agonists and receptors. *Nature*. (1977) 267:495–9. doi: 10.1038/267495a0

51. Takeda F, Uki J, Fuse Y, Kitani Y, Fujita T. The pituitary as a target of antalgic treatment of chronic cancer pain: a possible mechanism of pain relief through pituitary neuroadenolysis. *Neurol Res.* (1986) 8:194–200. doi: 10.1080/01616412. 1986.11739754

52. Mains RE, Eipper BA, Ling N. Common precursor to corticotropins and endorphins. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. (1977) 74:3014–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.74.7.3014

53. Lovo EE, Moreira A, Cruz C, Carvajal G, Barahona KC, Caceros V, et al. Radiomodulation in mixed, complex Cancer pain by triple target irradiation in the brain: a preliminary experience. *Cureus*. (2022) 14:e25430. doi: 10.7759/ cureus.25430

54. Lipton S, Miles J, Williams N, Bark-Jones N. Pituitary injection of alcohol for widespread cancer pain. *Pain*. (1978) 5:73–82. doi: 10.1016/0304-3959(78)90026-X

55. Williams NE, Miles JB, Lipton S, Hipkin LJ, Davis JC. Pain relief and pituitary function following injection of alcohol into the pituitary fossa. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl.* (1980) 62:203–7.

56. Akil H, Mayer DJ. Antagonism of stimulation-produced analgesia by p-CPA, a serotonin synthesis inhibitor. *Brain Res.* (1972) 44:692–7. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(72)90338-1

57. Liebeskind JC, Guilbaud G, Besson JM, Oliveras JL. Analgesia from electrical stimulation of the periaqueductal gray matter in the cat: behavioral observations and inhibitory effects on spinal cord interneurons. *Brain Res.* (1973) 50:441–6. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(73)90748-8

58. Mayer DJ, Wolfle TL, Akil H, Carder B, Liebeskind JC. Analgesia from electrical stimulation in the brainstem of the rat. *Science*. (1971) 174:1351–4. doi: 10.1126/science.174.4016.1351

59. Reynolds DV. Surgery in the rat during electrical analgesia induced by focal brain stimulation. *Science*. (1969) 164:444–5. doi: 10.1126/science.164.3878.444

60. Valenstein ES, Beer B. Reinforcing brain stimulation in competition with water reward and shock avoidance. *Science*. (1962) 137:1052–4. doi: 10.1126/science.137.3535.1052

61. Liebeskind JC, Mayer DJ, Akil H. Central mechanisms of pain inhibition: studies of analgesia from focal brain stimulation. *Adv Neurol.* (1974) 4:261–8.

62. Miles J. Chemical hypophysectomy. Adv Pain Res Ther. (1979) 2:373-80.

63. Sweet WH. Central mechanisms of chronic pain (neuralgias and certain other neurogenic pain). *Res Publ Assoc Res Nerv Ment Dis.* (1980) 58:287–303.

64. Miles J, Lipton S. Mode of action by which pituitary alcohol injection relieves pain. New York: Raven Press (1976).

65. Franzini A, Rossini Z, Moosa S, Tropeano MP, Milani D, Attuati L, et al. Medial thalamotomy using stereotactic radiosurgery for intractable pain: a systematic review. *Neurosurg Rev.* (2022) 45:71–80. doi: 10.1007/s10143-021-01561-x

66. Lovo EE, Torres B, Campos F, Caceros V, Reyes WA, Barahona KC, et al. Stereotactic gamma ray radiosurgery to the Centromedian and Parafascicular complex of the thalamus for trigeminal neuralgia and other complex pain syndromes. *Cureus*. (2019) 11:e6421. doi: 10.7759/cureus.6421

67. French LA, Kennedy BJ, Peyton WT. Hypophysectomy in advanced breast cancer. N Engl J Med. (1956) 255:1165-72. doi: 10.1056/NEJM195612202552501

68. Reed PI, Pizey NC. Trans-sphenoidal hypophysectomy in the treatment of advanced breast cancer. Br J Surg. (1967) 54:369–74. doi: 10.1002/bjs.1800540512

69. Lipsett MB, Maclean JP, West CD, Li MC, Pearson OH. An analysis of the polyuria induced by hypophysectomy in man. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. (1956) 16:183–95. doi: 10.1210/jcem-16-2-183

70. Perisic M, Woolcock K, Hering A, Mendel H, Muttenthaler M. Oxytocin and vasopressin signaling in health and disease. *Trends Biochem Sci.* (2024) 49:361–77. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2024.01.010

71. Carter CS, Kenkel WM, MacLean EL, Wilson SR, Perkeybile AM, Yee JR, et al. Is oxytocin "Nature's medicine"? *Pharmacol Rev.* (2020) 72:829–61. doi: 10.1124/pr.120.019398

72. Zheng H, Lim JY, Kim Y, Jung ST, Hwang SW. The role of oxytocin, vasopressin, and their receptors at nociceptors in peripheral pain modulation. *Front Neuroendocrinol.* (2021) 63:100942. doi: 10.1016/j.yfrne.2021.100942

73. Goodin BR, Ness TJ, Robbins MT. Oxytocin - a multifunctional analgesic for chronic deep tissue pain. *Curr Pharm Des.* (2015) 21:906–13. doi: 10.217 4/1381612820666141027111843