Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Daniele Corbo, University of Brescia, Italy

REVIEWED BY Shihao He, Peking Union Medical College Hospital (CAMS), China Chanon Ngamsombat, Mahidol University, Thailand

*CORRESPONDENCE Yongjun Ni nyj2826726@163.com

RECEIVED 19 September 2024 ACCEPTED 24 October 2024 PUBLISHED 08 November 2024

CITATION

Wang C, Wang C and Ni Y (2024) FLAIR vascular hyperintensity is associated with functional outcome in patients with ischemic stroke receiving endovascular treatment: a meta-analysis. *Front. Neurol.* 15:1497504.

[doi: 10.3389/fneur.2024.1497504](https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2024.1497504)

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Wang, Wang and Ni. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [License \(CC BY\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[FLAIR vascular hyperintensity is](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2024.1497504/full) [associated with functional](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2024.1497504/full) [outcome in patients with](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2024.1497504/full) [ischemic stroke receiving](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2024.1497504/full) [endovascular treatment: a](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2024.1497504/full) [meta-analysis](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2024.1497504/full)

Chunyan Wang¹, Chuanliu Wang² and Yongjun Ni^{3*}

1 Department of Radiology, The Quzhou Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, (Quzhou People's Hospital), Quzhou, China, ²Department of Neurology, The Quzhou Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, (Quzhou People's Hospital), Quzhou, China, ³Department of Radiology, Jiaxing Maternity and Child Health Care Hospital, Jiaxing, China

Background: Fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) vascular hyperintensity (FVH) might be useful for predicting and functional outcome in ischemic stroke patients after endovascular thrombectomy (EVT), but its clinical benefit remains controversial. Thus, this study aimed to evaluate the association of FVH on prognosis in ischemic stroke patients who received EVT.

Methods: PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, and Wanfang databases were searched for potentially eligible studies published up to March 2024. Pooled standard mean difference (SMD), risk ratios (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were employed to assess the association of FVH on prognosis in ischemic stroke patients who received EVT. All statistical analyses were conducted using STATA 12.0 software.

Results: A total of 10 studies were included in our study. The results indicated that higher FVH score were associated with better prognosis (SMD: 0.80, 95% CI 0.63–0.97). Moreover, the presence of FVH was significant associated with better functional outcome in ischemic stroke patients who received EVT (RR: 0.68, 95% CI, 0.58–0.79).

Conclusion: The current meta-analysis suggests that FVH is related the prognosis of ischemic stroke patients after EVT.

KEYWORDS

vascular hyperintensity, FLAIR, ischemic stroke, endovascular thrombectomy, meta-analysis

Introduction

Stroke is the second leading cause of death and disability globally, with ischemic stroke accounting for 60–80% of all strokes, playing a heavy burden on society because of the associated high mortality and disability rates ([1](#page-6-0)). Endovascular thrombectomy (EVT) is becoming a frontline treatment for patients with ischemic stroke ([2](#page-6-1)). Identifying irreversible infarcted areas and salvageable penumbral zones is crucial for guiding treatment decisions. Previous studies have suggested that the ischemic penumbra only suffers functional impairment rather than structural damage, and timely reperfusion of occluded vessels can prevent the occurrence of cerebral infarction [\(3\)](#page-6-2). Therefore, the identification and evaluation of diseased vessels and infarcted lesions in patients with acute ischemic stroke are crucial for clinical treatment and prognosis assessment. In recent years, digital subtraction angiography has been considered the gold standard for evaluating collateral circulation due to its high spatial and temporal resolution ([4](#page-6-3)). However, considering the complications of irreversible kidney damage caused by contrast agent injection, as well as the inability of patients with renal insufficiency or contrast agent allergies to undergo contrast agent imaging, it is necessary to find a less invasive imaging method to evaluate collateral circulation.

Fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) vascular hyperintensity (FVH) is considered a potential surrogate marker for collateral status [\(5\)](#page-6-4). FVH is characterized by punctate, tubular, or serpentine high signal intensity along the course of vessels on FLAIR sequences ([6](#page-6-5)). The main mechanism of its formation is the slow flow velocity in the artery proximal to severe stenosis or occlusion or in the distal pial collateral artery (retrograde flow) after severe stenosis or occlusion, leading to the disappearance of flow voids within the vessel [\(7\)](#page-6-6). Many studies have confirmed the association of FVH with the severity of circulatory and hemodynamic impairment [\(8,](#page-6-7) [9](#page-6-8)). However, its clinical significance remains controversial. Some studies have reported that pretreatment FVH is associated with good functional outcomes in ischemic stroke patients undergoing endovascular therapy, while the authors of other studies have reached the opposite conclusion ([10–](#page-6-9)[13](#page-6-10)). Therefore, the aim of this meta-analysis was to determine the relationship between FVH and the prognosis of ischemic stroke patients who received endovascular therapy.

Methods

The study was performed in accordance with Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) [\(14\)](#page-6-11).

Search strategy

Published studies were retrieved from PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, and Wanfang databases. Databases were searched from inception to March 2024. The search strategy was based on a combination of the following keywords: stroke, cerebral infarction, endovascular thrombectomy, arterial hyperintensity, and hyperintense vessels. There was no language or other search restrictions. The references of related articles were also manually searched to ensure that no studies were omitted.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients (aged \geq 18) were diagnosed with ischemic stroke and received endovascular therapy; (2) received brain MRI test after admission and before endovascular therapy; (3) prospective or retrospective cohort study. The exclusion criteria were: (1) preclinical studies; (2) duplicated publications; (3)

Data collection and quality evaluation

Two authors independently reviewed the title, abstracts, and full text of the retrieved studies to determine their eligibility for inclusion. Then, relevant information from the eligible studies was extracted into a pre-specified form. The data extraction included: first author, country, year of publication, study design, age, sample sizes, and site of occlusion. The methodological quality of each study was assessed independently by two researchers using the Newcastle Ottawa scale (NOS) [\(15\)](#page-6-12).

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using Stata software (v.12.0). The forest plots were generated to present the standard mean difference (SMD), risk ratios (RR), and 95% confidence intervals (CI). The heterogeneity of the studies was evaluated by *I* 2 statistics (25% = low, 50% = medium, 75% = high). A fixed-effects model was used for heterogeneity <50%, and a random-effects model was used for heterogeneity >50%. Sensitivity analysis was conducted by removing studies one by one to assess the influence of individual studies on the estimate of the overall effect. Publication bias was determined using Begg and Egger's test for funnel plot asymmetry. A two-sided *p*-value of <0.05 indicates statistical significance.

Result

Study selection and characteristics

Based on the search strategy, the initial literature search identified 77 relevant studies, of which 22 were excluded due to duplication. After title and abstract screening, 15 articles remained. During the full-text assessment, 5 studies were excluded because irrelevant outcome $(n = 3)$ and incomplete data $(n = 2)$. Finally, 10 eligible articles were selected for this meta-analysis ([10](#page-6-9)–[13](#page-6-10), [16](#page-6-13)[–21](#page-6-14)) [\(Figure 1\)](#page-2-0). The included studies were published between 2016 and 2023, and the sample size ranged from 53 to 316. A total of 5 studies were prospective design, while 5 studies were retrospective. The detailed characteristics of the included articles are summarized in [Table 1](#page-2-1). In addition, the NOS scores ranged from 6 to 8, suggesting a moderate and high quality of all included studies [\(Table 2](#page-3-0)).

Association of FVH with functional outcome

Six studies reported the FVH score in the good functional outcome and poor functional outcome groups. As shown in [Figure 2,](#page-4-0) higher FVH scores were associated with better prognosis (SMD: 0.80, 95% CI 0.63–0.97, *p*<0.001). No significant heterogeneity across studies was found ($I^2 = 12.6\%$, $p = 0.334$). Four studies reported the

TABLE 1 Basic characteristics of the included studies.

ICA, internal carotid artery; LVO, large vessel occlusion; MCA, middle cerebral artery.

relationship between FVH presence and unfavorable functional outcomes. Overall, compared with no FVH, the presence of FVH was significantly associated with better functional outcomes who had endovascular therapy (RR: 0.68, 95% CI, 0.58-0.79, $p < 0.001$) ([Figure 3\)](#page-4-1). Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

Sensitivity analyses were conducted using the study-by-study approach, and no significant changes were found, indicating that results were reliable [\(Figure 4](#page-5-0)). In addition, Begg and Egger tests did not show a significant publication bias (Begg test, $p = 0.348$; Egger test, $p = 0.703$).

Discussion

The FLAIR sequence has high sensitivity and specificity for evaluating brain parenchymal lesions and subarachnoid spaces, and has been widely used in the diagnosis of ischemic Stroke and intracranial stenosis [\(22\)](#page-6-15). The advantages of the FLAIR sequence, such as its noninvasiveness, low time consumption, and few contraindications, are inherent to FVH. FVH can provide information on arterial stenosis, tissues at risk of infarction, and collateral circulation ([23](#page-6-16)). The limitation of FVH is its unclear clinical significance, which is the focus of this study. FVH can reflect the retrograde filling status of the pial collateral circulation and has been confirmed by cerebral angiography [\(24\)](#page-6-17). It has great reliability, practicality, and reproducibility and can be widely used in clinical practice and trials. Current meta-analyses suggest that baseline FVH is associated with the prognosis of ischemic Stroke patients undergoing endovascular treatment based on 10 relevant studies involving 961 patients.

FVH has previously been classified based on its appearance (dot sign, linear high signal, serpentine high signal), location (proximal and distal FVH), and score (low and high FVH) ([7](#page-6-6), [25](#page-6-18)). As mentioned earlier, the FVH score reflects the number of pial collateral vessels recruited during acute arterial flow interruption in the brain. Therefore, the higher the FVH score is, the more collateral vessels are shown on FLAIR MR images, indicating more highly detectable signals [\(26](#page-6-19)). On the other hand, the degree of FVH is a scale that reflects the degree of collateral vessel recruitment (i.e., quality). Therefore, the subtle appearance of high signals on MRI is considered to reflect a lower efficiency of collateral vessel recruitment, whereas prominent FVH may represent more severe collateral vessel involvement [\(27](#page-6-20)). The extent of the collateral response may be driven by the quality of cerebral blood flow, which is related to the degree of vascular response and the overall burden of cerebrovascular microangiopathy (including white matter lesions) ([28\)](#page-6-21). It should be noted that some variables may affect the FVH. Previous studies have found that MRI parameters such as echo time (TE) and flip angle (FA), as well as periodically rotated overlapping parallel lines with enhanced reconstruction techniques are independent factors that affect FVH intensity. Additionally, with the increase of TE, the signal intensity of FVH decreases ([29\)](#page-6-22). Since 3D-FLAIR is less sensitive to slow flow than 2D-FLAIR, the signal of FVH may

TABLE 2 Newcastle Ottawa scale

Newcastle Ottawa scale.

be mask obscured in acute stroke patients, which is possibly due to the reduction of refocusing FA ([30\)](#page-6-23). In addition, contrast agents can also hinder the detection of FVH, such as gadolinium significantly reduced FVH ([31\)](#page-6-24).

Within 44h of stroke onset, the prevalence of FVH ranges from 1.1 to 24%, increasing to 75.9–100% when severe stenosis or occlusion of the MCA or ICA is present [\(32](#page-7-0)). Derraz et al. ([27](#page-6-20)) suggested that FVH scores are associated with improved outcomes and may be helpful for patients choosing reperfusion therapy. However, the observation of persistent FVH after reperfusion therapy may indicate ongoing vascular occlusion and hemodynamic compromise [\(7\)](#page-6-6). Therefore, patients with FVH may be more susceptible to hemodynamic instability than those without FVH. This difference may be related to adverse outcomes in FVH patients during this period. Some studies that re-examined MR imaging in the hyperacute phase support this hypothesis [\(5,](#page-6-4) [7\)](#page-6-6). A

previous study revealed that the persistent presence of FVH on follow-up MR images was associated with decreased blood flow signal intensity on MRA, while the absence of FVH was visible when the blood flow signal intensity on MR images recovered [\(33](#page-7-1)). However, the observation of decreased FVH after reperfusion therapy indicates successful reperfusion, smaller infarct volumes, and a better prognosis. Nave et al. [\(20\)](#page-6-25) suggested that a low FVH was associated with a better 90-day functional prognosis. However, Li et al. [\(19\)](#page-6-26) found no correlation between the FVH score and 90-day clinical functional outcomes, indicating that the FVH score cannot be used as a predictor of short-term clinical outcomes. Our metaanalysis results revealed that FVH scores can be used to predict the prognosis of ischemic stroke patients undergoing endovascular treatment, with patients with high FVH scores having a better prognosis.

Good collateral vessels are associated with increased odds of a larger volume of surviving brain tissue by maintaining the ischemic penumbra and are thus associated with better clinical outcomes ([11](#page-6-27)). Patients with FVH-DWI mismatch had smaller initial DWI volumes upon admission, lower DWI volume growth at follow-up, and smaller final DWI volumes [\(18](#page-6-28)). This finding is consistent with that of Lee et al. ([34](#page-7-2)), who reported that patients with more prominent distal high-signal vessels had smaller initial and 24-h ischemic lesion volumes. In contrast, Hohenhaus et al. ([35](#page-7-3)) reported that patients with an FVH score of >4 had larger initial DWI lesions and final infarct volumes. The differences between studies may be due to the use of different FVH scoring methods. Currently, there is no standardized classification for FVH, with three main approaches commonly used to evaluate FVH. For example, Lee et al. ([34](#page-7-2)) divided FVH in the proximal middle cerebral artery (MCA) into two categories based on the presence or absence of FVH. For the distal MCA territory, FVH is classified into three grades: none, subtle, and prominent. Olindo et al. ([36](#page-7-4)) used the origin of the M1 segment of

the MCA as a reference, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) images are divided into 10 layers. If no FVH is present in any layer, the score is 0; the presence of FVH in one layer is scored as 1, continuing similarly, with a maximum total score of 10. The Alberta Stroke Program Early Computerized Tomography Score (ASPECTS) divided the MCA territory into 7 regions on FLAIR imaging. Each region showing FVH is assigned 1 point [\(37\)](#page-7-5). Recent research has found that differences in FVH classification may be responsible for these inconsistent results [\(34](#page-7-2)). Our meta-analysis revealed that the presence of FVH was associated with favorable recovery in patients who received endovascular therapy.

Several limitations in this study should be mentioned. First, relatively few studies included outcomes other than FVH scores, and more research is needed to further confirm the conclusions. Second, in the present study, more retrospective studies with many confounding factors and a small overall sample size were included, which may have led to a greater risk of bias. Third, there were differences in stroke subtypes and etiology among the included studies, all of which could impact the prognosis of the patients. Due to the limited number of studies analyzed, further analysis could not be conducted using these inconsistent data.

In summary, the current meta-analysis suggested that FVH is closely related to the prognosis of ischemic stroke patients after EVT. We believe that FVH may be a useful prognostic biomarker, although more well-designed and larger sample sizes and high-quality studies are needed to further validate our findings.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

CnW: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. CuW: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YN: Conceptualization, Investigation, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

1. Font MA, García-Sánchez SM, Mengual JJ, Mena L, Avellaneda C, Serena J, et al. Determinants and trends of the use of intravenous thrombolysis for minor stroke: a population-based study, 2016 to 2020. *Stroke*. (2022) 53:3289–94. doi: [10.1161/](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.122.038996) [STROKEAHA.122.038996](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.122.038996)

2. Wagner B, Lorscheider J, Wiencierz A, Blackham K, Psychogios M, Bolliger D, et al. Endovascular treatment for acute ischemic stroke with or without general anesthesia: a matched comparison. *Stroke*. (2022) 53:1520–9. doi: [10.1161/STROKEAHA.121.034934](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.121.034934)

3. Hwang J, Kalra A, Shou BL, Whitman G, Wilcox C, Brodie D, et al. Epidemiology of ischemic stroke and hemorrhagic stroke in venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. *Crit Care*. (2023) 27:433. doi: [10.1186/s13054-023-04707-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-023-04707-z)

4. Jansen IGH, Berkhemer OA, Yoo AJ, Vos JA, Lycklama À Nijeholt GJ, Sprengers MES, et al. Comparison of CTA- and DSA-based collateral flow assessment in patients with anterior circulation stroke. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. (2016) 37:2037–42. doi: [10.3174/ajnr.A4878](https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A4878)

5. Shang WJ, Chen HB, Shu LM, Liao HQ, Huang XY, Xiao S, et al. The association between FLAIR vascular hyperintensity and stroke outcome varies with time from onset. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. (2019) 40:1317–22. doi: [10.3174/ajnr.A6142](https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A6142)

6. Etherton MR, Wu O, Giese AK, Rost NS. Normal-appearing white matter microstructural injury is associated with white matter hyperintensity burden in acute ischemic stroke. *Int J Stroke*. (2021) 16:184–91. doi: [10.1177/1747493019895707](https://doi.org/10.1177/1747493019895707)

7. Lee KY, Kim JW, Park M, Suh SH, Ahn SJ. Interpretation of fluid-attenuated inversion recovery vascular hyperintensity in stroke. *J Neuroradiol*. (2022) 49:258–66. doi: [10.1016/j.neurad.2021.01.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurad.2021.01.009)

8. Li G, Huang R, Bi G. The impact of FLAIR vascular hyperintensity on clinical severity and outcome: a retrospective study in stroke patients with proximal middle cerebral artery stenosis or occlusion. *Neurol Sci*. (2021) 42:589–98. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-020-04513-3) [s10072-020-04513-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-020-04513-3)

9. Zeng L, Chen J, Liao H, Wang Q, Xie M, Wu W. Fluid-attenuated inversion recovery vascular hyperintensity in cerebrovascular disease: a review for radiologists and clinicians. *Front Aging Neurosci*. (2021) 13:790626. doi: [10.3389/fnagi.2021.790626](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2021.790626)

10. Jiang L, Chen YC, Zhang H, Peng M, Chen H, Geng W, et al. FLAIR vascular hyperintensity in acute stroke is associated with collateralization and functional outcome. *Eur Radiol*. (2019) 29:4879–88. doi: [10.1007/s00330-019-06022-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-019-06022-0)

11. Kaewumporn U, Songsaeng D, Kunapinun A, Chaisinanunkul N. FLAIR vascular hyperintensity-DWI mismatch and DWI-FLAIR mismatch ASPECTS for prediction of good outcome after recanalization in anterior circulation stroke; multicenter observational study. *Eur J Radiol*. (2023) 163:110837. doi: [10.1016/j.ejrad.2023.110837](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2023.110837)

12. Liu D, Scalzo F, Rao NM, Hinman JD, Kim D, Ali LK, et al. Fluid-attenuated inversion recovery vascular hyperintensity topography, novel imaging marker for revascularization in middle cerebral artery occlusion. *Stroke*. (2016) 47:2763–9. doi: [10.1161/STROKEAHA.116.013953](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.116.013953)

13. Xu HB, Sheng XC, Xin C, Ji YG, Wen J. The value of FVH/DWI mismatch in predicting the revascularization and functional outcome in stroke patients after endovascular thrombectomy. *J Clin Radiol*. (2020) 39:1248–52.

14. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*. (2021) 372:n71. doi: [10.1136/bmj.n71](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71)

15. Stang A, Jonas S, Poole C. Case study in major quotation errors: a critical commentary on the Newcastle–Ottawa scale. *Eur J Epidemiol*. (2018) 33:1025–31. doi: [10.1007/s10654-018-0443-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-018-0443-3)

16. Derraz I, Pou M, Labreuche J, Legrand L, Soize S, Tisserand M, et al. Clot burden score and collateral status and their impact on functional outcome in acute ischemic stroke. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. (2021) 42:42–8. doi: [10.3174/ajnr.A6865](https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A6865)

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

17. Jiang L, Peng M, Chen H, Geng W, Zhao B, Yin X, et al. Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) ischemic volume is related to FLAIR hyperintensity-DWI mismatch and functional outcome after endovascular therapy. *Quant Imaging Med Surg*. (2020) 10:356–67. doi: [10.21037/qims.2019.12.05](https://doi.org/10.21037/qims.2019.12.05)

18. Legrand L, Turc G, Edjlali M, Beaumont M, Gautheron V, Ben Hassen W, et al. Benefit from revascularization after thrombectomy according to FLAIR vascular hyperintensities-DWI mismatch. *Eur Radiol*. (2019) 29:5567–76. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-019-06094-y) [s00330-019-06094-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-019-06094-y)

19. Li YX, Ma YH, Peng MY, Geng W, Yin XD. FLAIR vascular hyperintensity in acute stroke before and after endovascular therapy: associations with collateralization and functional outcome. *J Clin Radiol*. (2019) 38:2021–5.

20. Nave AH, Kufner A, Bücke P, Siebert E, Kliesch S, Grittner U, et al. Hyperintense vessels, collateralization, and functional outcome in patients with stroke receiving endovascular treatment. *Stroke*. (2018) 49:675–81. doi: [10.1161/](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.117.019588) [STROKEAHA.117.019588](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.117.019588)

21. Wang Y, Zhou Z, Ding S. FLAIR vascular hyperintensity-DWI mismatch most likely to benefit from recanalization and good outcome after stroke. *Medicine*. (2020) 99:e18665. doi: [10.1097/MD.0000000000018665](https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000018665)

22. Aoki J, Kimura K, Iguchi Y, Shibazaki K, Sakai K, Iwanaga T. FLAIR can estimate the onset time in acute ischemic stroke patients. *J Neurol Sci*. (2010) 293:39–44. doi: [10.1016/j.jns.2010.03.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2010.03.011)

23. Zhu L, Jiang F, Wang M, Zhai Q, Zhang Q, Wang F, et al. Fluid-attenuated inversion recovery vascular hyperintensity as a potential predictor for the prognosis of acute stroke patients after intravenous thrombolysis. *Front Neurosci*. (2022) 15:808436. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2021.808436) fnins. 2021.808436

24. Li CC, Hao XZ, Tian JQ, Yao ZW, Feng XY, Yang YM. Predictors of short-term outcome in patients with acute middle cerebral artery occlusion: unsuitability of fluidattenuated inversion recovery vascular hyperintensity scores. *Neural Regen Res*. (2018) 13:69–76. doi: [10.4103/1673-5374.224375](https://doi.org/10.4103/1673-5374.224375)

25. Dong X, Bai C, Nao J. Influential factors and clinical significance of fluidattenuated inversion recovery vascular hyperintensities in transient ischemic attacks of carotid arterial system. *Neuroradiology*. (2017) 59:1093–9. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00234-017-1906-z) [s00234-017-1906-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00234-017-1906-z)

26. Kobayashi J, Uehara T, Toyoda K, Endo K, Ohara T, Fujinami J, et al. Clinical significance of fluid-attenuated inversion recovery vascular hyperintensities in transient ischemic attack. *Stroke*. (2013) 44:1635–40. doi: [10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.000787](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.000787)

27. Derraz I, Ahmed R, Mourand I, Dargazanli C, Cagnazzo F, Gaillard N, et al. FLAIR vascular hyperintensities predict functional outcome after endovascular thrombectomy in patients with large ischemic cores. *Eur Radiol*. (2022) 32:6136–44. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-022-08683-w) [s00330-022-08683-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-022-08683-w)

28. Maruyama D, Yamada T, Murakami M, Fujiwara G, Komaru Y, Nagakane Y, et al. FLAIR vascular hyperintensity with DWI for regional collateral flow and tissue fate in recanalized acute middle cerebral artery occlusion. *Eur J Radiol*. (2021) 135:109490. doi: [10.1016/j.ejrad.2020.109490](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2020.109490)

29. Ahn SJ, Lee KY, Ahn SS, Suh H, Kim BS, Lee SK. Can FLAIR hyperintense vessel (FHV) signs be influenced by varying MR parameters and flow velocities? A flow phantom analysis. *Acta Radiol*. (2016) 57:580–6. doi: [10.1177/0284185115592060](https://doi.org/10.1177/0284185115592060)

30. Kakeda S, Korogi Y, Hiai Y, Ohnari N, Sato T, Hirai T. Pitfalls of 3D FLAIR brain imaging: a prospective comparison with 2D FLAIR. *Acad Radiol*. (2012) 19:1225–32. doi: [10.1016/j.acra.2012.04.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acra.2012.04.017)

31. Dani KA, Latour LL, Warach SNINDS Natural History of Stroke Investigators. Hyperintense vessel sign on fluid-attenuated inversion recovery MR imaging is reduced by gadolinium. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. (2012) 33:E112–4. doi: [10.3174/ajnr.A2482](https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A2482)

32. Sakuta K, Saji N, Aoki J, Sakamoto Y, Shibazaki K, Iguchi Y, et al. Decrease of hyperintense vessels on fluid-attenuated inversion recovery predicts good outcome in t-PA patients. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. (2016) 41:211–8. doi: [10.1159/000443533](https://doi.org/10.1159/000443533)

33. Sanossian N, Saver JL, Alger JR, Kim D, Duckwiler GR, Jahan R, et al. Angiography reveals that fluid-attenuated inversion recovery vascular hyperintensities are due to slow flow, not thrombus. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. (2009) 30:564–8. doi: [10.3174/ajnr.A1388](https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A1388)

34. Lee KY, Latour LL, Luby M, Hsia AW, Merino JG, Warach S. Distal hyperintense vessels on FLAIR: an MRI marker for collateral circulation in acute stroke? *Neurology*. (2009) 72:1134–9. doi: [10.1212/01.wnl.0000345360.80382.69](https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000345360.80382.69)

35. Hohenhaus M, Schmidt WU, Brunecker P, Xu C, Hotter B, Rozanski M, et al. FLAIR vascular hyperintensities in acute ICA and MCA infarction: a marker for mismatch and stroke severity? *Cerebrovasc Dis*. (2012) 34:63–9. doi: [10.1159/000339012](https://doi.org/10.1159/000339012)

36. Olindo S, Chausson N, Joux J, Saint-Vil M, Signate A, Edimonana-Kaptue M, et al. Fluid-attenuated inversion recovery vascular hyperintensity. *Arch Neurol*. (2012) 69:1462–8. doi: [10.1001/archneurol.2012.1310](https://doi.org/10.1001/archneurol.2012.1310)

37. Barber PA, Demchuk AM, Zhang J, Buchan AM. Validity and reliability of a quantitative computed tomography score in predicting outcome of hyperacute stroke
before thrombolytic therapy. ASPECTS Study Group. Alberta Stroke Programme Early
CT Score. Lancet. (2000) 355:1670–4. doi: 10.1016/s0140-673