Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Deming Wang, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China

REVIEWED BY Csaba Juhasz, Wayne State University, United States Anuruddha Padeniya, Lady Ridgeway Hospital for Children, Sri Lanka

\*CORRESPONDENCE Anne Comi [comi@kennedykrieger.org](mailto:comi@kennedykrieger.org)

RECEIVED 09 September 2024 ACCEPTED 06 November 2024 PUBLISHED 02 December 2024

#### CITATION

Solomon C and Comi A (2024) Sturge–Weber syndrome: updates in translational neurology. *Front. Neurol.* 15:1493873. [doi: 10.3389/fneur.2024.1493873](https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2024.1493873)

#### COPYPICHT

© 2024 Solomon and Comi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  [License \(CC BY\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# [Sturge–Weber syndrome:](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2024.1493873/full)  [updates in translational neurology](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2024.1493873/full)

### Chase Solomon<sup>1</sup> and Anne Comi<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

1 Neurology and Developmental Medicine, Kennedy Krieger Institute, Baltimore, MD, United States, 2Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, United States, 3Department of Pediatrics, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, United States

Sturge–Weber syndrome (SWS) is a rare congenital neurovascular disorder that initially presents with a facial port-wine birthmark (PWB) and most commonly associated with a R183Q somatic mosaic mutation in the gene *GNAQ*. This mutation is enriched in endothelial cells. Contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) diagnoses brain abnormalities including leptomeningeal vascular malformation, an enlarged choroid plexus, and abnormal cortical and subcortical blood vessels. Mouse SWS models identify dysregulated proteins important for abnormal vasculogenesis and blood brain barrier permeability. Recent clinical research has focused on early diagnosis, biomarker development, presymptomatic treatment, and development of novel treatment strategies. Prospective pilot clinical drug trials with cannabidiol (Epidiolex) or with sirolimus, an mTOR inhibitor, indicate possible reductions in seizure frequency and improved cognitive outcome. This review connects the most recent molecular research in SWS cell culture and animal models to developing new treatment methods and identifies future areas of research.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Sturge–Weber syndrome, models, blood brain barrier, treatment, seizure, diagnosis

# 1 Introduction

Sturge–Weber syndrome (SWS) is a rare neurovascular disorder present at birth that is characterized by a facial port-wine birthmark (PWB), abnormal blood vessels in the brain and eyes, including leptomeningeal involvement. Historically, it is categorized with other phakomatoses; disorders in this classification include neurofibromatosis 1, tuberous sclerosis complex, and von Hippel–Lindau Disease ([1\)](#page-7-0). These disorders are characterized by cutaneous lesions, neuro-ophthalmic defects, and tumor formation ([2,](#page-7-1) [3\)](#page-7-2); however, it is now known that SWS is pathophysiologically more like other genetic neurovascular disorders, including Cerebral Cavernous Malformation and Hereditary Hemorrhagic Telangiectasia, except that bleeding is not a prominent part of the presentation at least in children. In addition, SWS is not hereditary and rather occurs sporadically during fetal development. The estimated incidence of SWS is 1 in 20,000 to 50,000 live births, with more recent population studies obtaining incidence rates of 0.19 per 100,000 people per year and 3.08 per 100,000 people per year [\(4](#page-7-3), [5](#page-7-4)). Typical brain abnormalities of SWS patients include cortical and sub-cortical perivascular calcification, impaired venous drainage and perfusion, and brain atrophy of varying degree; tumors are not common. Individuals may present with seizures and stroke or strokelike episodes; as a result, they can experience both cognitive and neurodevelopmental deficits.

SWS is caused primarily by a c.548G $\rightarrow$ A (p. Arg183Gln) somatic mosaic mutation in *GNAQ*, hypothesized to be enriched in endothelial cells ([6–](#page-7-5)[8\)](#page-7-6). *GNAQ* codes for the protein Gαq; when expressed in mutant form, Gαq demonstrates impaired deactivation resulting in hyperactivation of downstream pathways, including the Ras–Raf–MEK–ERK (also known as the MAPK/ERK pathway) and the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathways [\(9](#page-7-7), [10\)](#page-7-8). Human SWS brain tissue immunohistochemistry has indicated increased expression of phosphorylated ERK (p-ERK) and decreased expression of CD34 in endothelial cells from abnormal blood vessels in the leptomeninges [\(11\)](#page-7-9). The presence of the R183Q *GNAQ* mutation in abnormal scleral tissue correlated with increased expression of p-ERK and p-JNK in endothelial cells that line blood vessels [\(12\)](#page-7-10). When comparing lesioned brain tissue from SWS patients to epilepsy controls, researchers noted a greater likelihood of phosphorylated-S6 staining in the leptomeningeal endothelial cell layer of SWS brain tissue [\(13\)](#page-7-11). Fibroblasts derived from SWS portwine birthmark skin showed significantly higher levels of fibronectin gene expression compared to SWS normal skin ([14](#page-7-12)). The R183Q mutation in *GNAQ* has also been identified as the primary mutation within blood vessels of PWBs ([15](#page-7-13)). Upregulated vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-A and VEGF receptor 2 (VEGFR2) are both found in PWB tissue and may contribute to abnormal MAPK/ERK pathway regulation [\(10,](#page-7-8) [16](#page-7-14)). Abnormal protein expression in human tissue and *in vitro* studies suggest that these pathways are targets to study with *in vivo* models and in clinical trials. Mouse models, using the R183Q mutation in *GNAQ,* have recently been developed to investigate abnormal molecular and vascular features of SWS and to promote preclinical drug and gene therapy research. The aim of this review is to highlight the clinical and genetic background of Sturge– Weber syndrome, provide an update on recent advances related to Sturge–Weber syndrome, and identify current gaps and potential future developments in molecular and clinical research.

# 2 Signs and symptoms

PWBs are commonly found in about 3 in 1,000 births. Only 6% of babies born with a facial PWB also have brain involvement and develop the common neurological deficits of SWS. A PWB on the forehead, temple region or upper eyelid yields a risk of 20 to 50% for disease brain involvement, and when a PWB covers both the upper and lower eyelid, the risk of eye involvement and glaucoma is 50% ([17](#page-7-15)). [Figure 1A](#page-2-0) depicts the typical phenotype of a high risk facial PWB. [Figure 1B](#page-2-0) shows the patient's corresponding T1 post-contrast MRI image, which shows typical SWS leptomeningeal involvement and abnormal blood vessels. Previous research has established a positive correlation between the size of a facial PWB, the degree of SWS brain involvement, and severity of SWS-related neurological outcomes [\(18\)](#page-7-16). Facial PWB size may be used as a predictor of the extent of neurological disability for an individual with SWS. Analyses of pattern distribution of a facial PWB are useful in determining SWS diagnosis. Commonly seen patterns of PWB include linear, frontotemporal, isolated cheek and canthus, combined linear and cheek, hemifacial, and median; hemifacial and median patterns are strongly associated with an increased risk of SWS [\(19](#page-7-17), [20](#page-7-18)). Facial PWB often respond well to laser treatment and can safely be initiated in young infants ([21](#page-7-19)).

Elevated eye pressure and glaucoma is seen in 30 to 70% of patients with a PWB that covers both the upper and lower eyelid. Glaucoma can present at birth with eye enlargement (bupthalmus), however increased eye pressure may also develop in infancy or later into adolescence. Because of this, it is suggested that an ophthalmological exam be performed as soon as possible, and that patients be regularly monitored for life. Untreated glaucoma can

ultimately result in ischemic ocular injuries and permanent vision loss ([22](#page-7-20)). Treatment includes eye drops, which lower eye pressure, and when unsuccessful, various surgical techniques may be implemented to accomplish this ([23](#page-7-21)–[25\)](#page-7-22). Thickening of the choroid and retinal detachment are complications which occur in a subset of patients; treatment of retinal detachment can help recover vision in some of these patients ([26](#page-7-23)).

The most common presenting neurologic symptom for children with SWS are seizures, which are exhibited in 75% of children with SWS within 1year post-birth and 90% within 2years post-birth [\(27\)](#page-7-24). Seizures, in babies and young children, can further impair blood flow to the brain and can accelerate neurological deterioration. Patients with bilateral brain involvement are more susceptible to seizures than patients with unilateral involvement; the age of onset in patients with bilateral involvement also tends to be earlier than those with unilateral involvement [\(28\)](#page-7-25). 75% of patients with unilateral involvement experience seizures; this increases to 95% for patients with bilateral involvement [\(28,](#page-7-25) [29\)](#page-7-26). Seizures are most commonly focal motor seizures with or without impaired consciousness; less commonly seen seizure types include myoclonic epilepsy or infantile spasms ([30](#page-7-27), [31\)](#page-8-0). It is important for a parent or guardian to be educated on typical seizure semiology in order to recognize the possible ways these children can present with seizures.

Seizures can trigger other symptoms such as stroke-like episodes and migraines. Conversely, migraines have the potential to trigger seizures and stroke-like episodes [\(22,](#page-7-20) [32](#page-8-1)). Status epilepticus is a classification of seizure which either lasts for at least 5minutes or involves multiple seizures without returning to a base level of consciousness between episodes. It is common for SWS patients and is associated with stroke-like episodes, which can be defined as transient unilateral weakness with or without prior seizure activity ([33\)](#page-8-2). Stroke-like episodes may be accompanied by resulting neurologic deficits; these can also be acquired over time without a stroke-like episode or seizure as a trigger [\(34](#page-8-3)). Because toddlers and children with SWS are more susceptible to stroke-like episodes from minor head injury, it is advisable for children to avoid contact-heavy recreational activities that involve constant physical use or involvement of the head ([22](#page-7-20)).

Cognitive impairments and deficits are common in SWS patients; these can include learning disabilities, attention problems, or other behavioral deficits. Bilateral brain involvement and an earlier age of onset of seizures both potentially contribute towards severe cognitive impairment ([29\)](#page-7-26). One retrospective study suggests that the combination of stroke-like episodes and seizures in SWS patients is a driving factor behind the development of hemiparesis and intellectual disorders as well as an increased risk in developing drug-refractory epilepsy, or DRE [\(35\)](#page-8-4). See Mesraoua et al. for a comprehensive review on DRE, which is first identified in a patient when they are given two separates, tolerated antiepileptic drug schedules and fail to experience seizure control ([36\)](#page-8-5). While DRE presents increasing medical challenges for SWS patients, it has been shown to be attenuated through more aggressive initial therapy [\(37\)](#page-8-6).

# 3 Genetics

In 2013, cause of SWS was discovered to be a single nonsynonymous mutation: the c.548G→A (p.Arg183Gln) mutation

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

FIGURE 1

(A) Image of a SWS patient with a left facial port-wine birthmark and (B) corresponding T1 post-contrast MRI indicating leptomeningeal involvement and abnormal blood vessels, indicated by red arrows. Yellow arrows indicate enlarged deep veins that flow into the cortex

in the gene *GNAQ* present in roughly 90% of patients [\(6](#page-7-5)) in affected brain, skin and eye tissue. The R183Q mutation has also been reported to be enriched in endothelial cells ([8\)](#page-7-6). It is hypothesized that this somatic mutation occurs during the early stages of embryonic development [\(38\)](#page-8-7); however, the exact timing in which the mutation occurs in fetal development may vary and mutations that occur later on into development will have a local effect. Mutations that occur early on likely involve more cell types and have a greater chance to cause disease brain involvement ([39](#page-8-8)).

*GNAQ* codes for the protein Gαq, which is a component of the trimeric G protein complex and associates with G protein coupled receptors (GPCRs). GPCRs associated with Gαq include glutamate, histamine, angiotensin, and vasopressin receptors and generally impact cellular processes affecting protein activity and phosphorylation regulating cellular proliferation and differentiation ([40](#page-8-9)–[43\)](#page-8-10). The R183Q mutation in *GNAQ* results in the hyperactivation of Gαq due to impaired auto-hydrolysis, and thus a decreased rate of dissociation of Gαq from GTP and re-association with GDP. In the case of R183Q in *GNAQ*, hyperactive Gαq leads to hyperactivation of downstream pathways in endothelial cells, resulting in capillary malformations [\(7\)](#page-7-28). Downstream hyperactive pathways such as the Ras–Raf–MEK–ERK pathway and the mTOR pathway are shown in [Figure 2.](#page-3-0) The abnormal regulation of such pathways and their role in vascular malformation in SWS is highlighted in previous studies and a focus of studies currently taking place  $(8, 11)$  $(8, 11)$  $(8, 11)$ .

While the R183Q *GNAQ* mutation is found most commonly in SWS patients, other somatic and germ line mutations have been reported to induce SWS brain involvement. *GNA11* is a paralogue

gene of *GNAQ*; mutations of *GNA11* have been reported in individuals with SWS in two separate studies [\(44,](#page-8-11) [45](#page-8-12)). Hyperpigmentation is commonly seen in *GNA11-*related SWS cases, while SWS patients with the *GNAQ* mutation, are more likely to experience significant hemispheric brain atrophy and have seizures [\(46\)](#page-8-13). The aforementioned differences between individuals with SWS with the R183Q *GNAQ* and the R183C *GNA11* mutation are important to consider in developing future treatment applications. Another study reported a novel somatic mutation (p.K78E) in the gene *GNB2*, which encodes for the *β* subunit of the G-protein complex in a skin biopsy from a patient with SWS. The mutation, which occurs via the substitution of lysine to glutamic acid results in the loss of the cationic ammonium from Lys78 and disrupts the salt bridge. The loss of an ammonium creates a charge repulsion and impairs the binding affinity of the *β* subunit to the *α* subunit. Functional studies with cells with the *GNB2* mutation demonstrated no downstream effect on MAPK signaling. However, both the *GNB2* and R183Q *GNAQ* mutation have direct influence on Yes-associated protein (YAP) expression, as endothelial cell YAP is reduced in both cases [\(47](#page-8-14)). The similarities in YAP expression between the *GNB2* and *GNAQ* mutation suggest that YAP expression levels through the Hippo pathway may play a role in the pathogenesis of SWS, although further evidence of this is needed.

Recent research suggests that genetic testing should be performed when atypical features are present in an individual with a facial portwine birthmark [\(48](#page-8-15)). Other somatic variants in individuals with atypical features of SWS include G48V in *GNAQ*, R183C in *GNA11*, M1043I in *PIK3CA*, and a mosaic deletion involving *PTPRD* and *PTPRD-AS2*. Germ line mutations were detected in the *RASA1*, *EPHB4*, and *KIT*

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

#### FIGURE 2

Diagram of endothelial cell mutant Gαq signaling (p.R183Q in *GNAQ*) and the primary affected downstream pathways, the Ras–Raf–MEK–ERK and mTOR pathways, within the context of the blood brain barrier. The alpha subunit becomes active when bound to GTP, which promotes PLCß to cleave PIP<sub>2</sub> into DAG and IP<sub>3</sub>. DAG is able to activate PKC, which can then phosphorylate a variety of proteins. In this case, PKC can initiate the activation of the Ras–Raf–MEK–ERK pathway or phosphorylate ZO, which interacts with claudin-5 to maintain the integrity of endothelial tight junctions. ERK may stimulate cellular proliferation or also play a role in PI3K/Akt inhibition. PIP<sub>2</sub> may also be converted into PIP<sub>3</sub> by PI3K; PTEN dephosphorylates PIP<sub>3</sub> back to PIP<sub>2</sub>. PIP<sub>3</sub> activates the Akt signaling pathway once bound to Akt, which can inhibit TSC1/2 via phosphorylation. TSC1/2 are negative regulators of mTOR due to their inhibitory mechanism on RHEB, an activator of mTOR. The inhibition of TSC1/2 by Akt allows for RHEB to activate mTOR. Proper expression of mTOR activates S6K1, which then phosphorylates the 40S ribosomal subunit S6 protein (phosphorylated-S6). Increased levels of phosphorylated-S6 result in increased cellular proliferation, protein synthesis and blood brain barrier permeability. Sirolimus acts as an inhibitor of mTOR by causing rapid inactivation of S6K1, and as a result, preventing phosphorylation of the S6 protein and further cellular proliferation as well as vascular growth. A. Abbreviations: phospholipase C ß (PLCß); phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-bisphophate (PIP<sub>2</sub>); diacylglycerol (DAG); inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphoate (IP3); protein kinase C (PKC); zonula occludens (ZO); phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphophate (PIP3); phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K); phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN); tuberous sclerosis proteins 1 and 2 (TSC1/2); Ras homolog enriched in brain (RHEB); p70 ribosomal S6 kinase (S6K1)

genes of patients with atypical SWS features. *RASA1* and *EPHB4* germ line mutations are generally associated with capillary malformationarteriovenous malformation syndrome, a disorder that increases the risk of fast-flow malformations and pleural effusion as well as other lymphatic anomalies [\(49](#page-8-16), [50\)](#page-8-17). Patients with reported mutations in either *RASA1* or *EPHB4* had family histories of capillary malformation. It is important to consider other genetic causes when atypical phenotypes are seen in skin presentation, MRI abnormalities, family history, or in other symptoms and features that deviate from typical SWS phenotype. Work is ongoing to better understand genotype–phenotype associations.

# 4 Updates on models for SWS

Accurate representation of SWS through models is necessary to continuously elucidate the impact of the R183Q *GNAQ* mutation on vascular development and for testing of new therapies and drug targets in the pre-clinical setting. It is important to differentiate models with the Q209L *GNAQ* mutation ([51](#page-8-18)[–53\)](#page-8-19) from models with the R183Q *GNAQ* mutation [\(54,](#page-8-20) [55\)](#page-8-21). While both are understood to be hyperactivating mutations, the Q209L mutation results in much greater hyperactivation [\(56,](#page-8-22) [57\)](#page-8-23). The Q209L *GNAQ* mutation has never been reported with SWS or facial PWB; rather the Q209L mutation has been associated with vascular tumors ([58](#page-8-24)[–60\)](#page-8-25). The PWBs seen in SWS are vascular malformations rather than vascular tumors [\(61,](#page-8-26) [62\)](#page-8-27).

### 4.1 R183Q GNAQ *in vitro* models

Modeling the R183Q *GNAQ* mutation *in vitro* began initially with transient transfection of cells and has progressed to stable transfection of cells from mice or humans, obtained from tissue, and studied continuously through cell culture [\(6](#page-7-5), [47](#page-8-14), [52\)](#page-8-28). Multiple models isolating endothelial cells for variants in *GNAQ* mutations have been generated recently. Skin samples from mice injected with mutant R183Q GNAQ endothelial cells combined with bone marrow mesenchymal progenitor cells had a greater percentage of enlarged vessels compared to skin samples injected with wild type endothelial cells [\(63\)](#page-8-29). The R183Q *GNAQ* mutation, when expressed in endothelial cells, resulted in constitutive activation of phospholipase Cβ (PLCβ), which plays a major role in cell signaling through the G-protein cycle. PLCβ generates other active molecules such as inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate  $(IP_3)$  and diacylglycerol (DAG). DAG, in particular, activates protein kinase C, which when activated, phosphorylates various proteins that can regulate membrane permeability, cellular proliferation, and control metabolic pathways. Angiopoietin-2 (Ang2) levels were increased in the abnormally large blood vessels of mice injected with mutant endothelial cells, and when treated with higher concentrations of AEB071, an inhibitor of PKC, levels of Ang2 were reduced to a normal, non-SWS phenotypic level ([63](#page-8-29)), suggesting that constitutive activation of PLCβ plays a role in the phenotype of enlarged blood vessels.

A recent brain tissue study in 4 samples from patients with SWS indicated the presence of cells with multiple macrophage-associated molecules such as MRC1, CD163, CD68, and LYVE1 that were absent in the human control brain tissue from 2 patients. ICAM1, an endothelial cell-specific protein that promotes leukocyte adhesion and regulates cellular responses in inflammation, was also expressed at higher levels in the human SWS brain tissue [\(64\)](#page-8-30). ICAM1 was also identified in the endothelial layer of some blood vessels. This work suggests that macrophages are potentially recruited to leaky perivascular areas where ICAM1 is expressed and play a role in the regulation of angiogenesis.

A common outcome of SWS seen in patients is brain calcification, likely due to the interactions of venous hypertension, brain ischemia, and seizures that worsen blood flow, with the R183Q *GNAQ* mutation in impacted cells. When  $IP_3$  is produced from phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2) being cleaved by PLCβ, it triggers an influx of calcium from the endoplasmic reticulum into the cell. Mutant R183Q HEK293 cells show increased production levels of IP<sub>3</sub> than wild-type cells, suggesting that the mutation results in a greater influx of calcium in the cytoplasm [\(65\)](#page-8-31). Hyperactivated calcium signaling has been identified in mutant R183Q telomerase-immortalized microvascular endothelial (TIME) cells, accompanied by increased inositol monophosphate, which indicates the presence of  $IP_3$  before initiating the release of calcium into the cell and degrading ([66](#page-8-32)). This however, was attenuated by inhibition of the calcium-release-activated channel. While inhibition in this case does not reverse previous calcification found in blood vessels, the dynamic of regulating calcium within cells is worth understanding further in the context of mutant Gαq and its affected pathways.

# 4.2 R183Q GNAQ *in vivo* models

A mouse model using cre-drivers to express the R183Q *GNAQ* mutation during embryonic development was created to investigate the impact of the mutation in different cell types during fetal development [\(54\)](#page-8-20). In *GnaqRQ<sup>wt/wt</sup>;β*-actin-Cre+x *GnaqRQ<sup>fl/wt</sup>* 

transgenic mice, which express the R183Q *GNAQ* mutation globally, no mice were born containing both the Cre driver and the conditional *GNAQ* allele, suggesting that the mutation results in complete embryonic lethality when expressed during very early stages of development. When the R183Q *GNAQ* mutation was expressed in endothelial cells in a mosaic manner (mutation is present in only some cells), the transgenic mice that survived after birth did not exhibit any notable vascular defects.

A tetO-*GNAQ*\*R183Q X *Tie2-rtTA/TRE-βGal* (R183Q *GNAQ* mice) transgenic mouse model was recently created using doxycycline induced expression of the mutation in endothelial cells in mutant mice at age P15 [\(55\)](#page-8-21). When perfused following injections with Evans Blue dye, a significantly higher percentage of mutant mice brains had severe Evans Blue staining in their brain; no littermate control mice exhibited severe Evans Blue staining. Phosphorylated-S6, which is an indicator of increased mTOR activity ([67](#page-8-33)), was found at increased expression levels in leptomeningeal blood vessels of mutant mice, compared to littermate control mice, a finding similar to that reported in human brain tissue [\(13\)](#page-7-11). Microvessels in the region of the retrosplenial cortex showed irregular and discontinuous expression patterns of both phosphorylated-S6 and claudin-5. Claudin-5 is a tight junction protein that contributes significantly to the integrity of the blood brain barrier ([68](#page-8-34)). These results suggest that the blood brain barrier of mutant, R183Q *GNAQ* mice are significantly more permeable than that of their littermate controls, and that mTOR is likely to be found at elevated levels in the leptomeninges, suggesting involvement of this pathway as well. Other studies link mTOR inhibition and PI3K/Akt pathway inhibition to restoring blood brain barrier integrity through increased expression of tight junction proteins and decreased autophagy ([69](#page-8-35), [70\)](#page-8-36). This suggests that mTOR inhibition may act in a similar manner for SWS, in which models already indicate increased phosphorylated-S6 and a compromised blood brain barrier [\(13](#page-7-11), [55\)](#page-8-21).

Angiopoietin-2, which acts as an antagonist towards angiopoietin-1, promotes vessel instability and leakiness by causing pericyte detachment ([71](#page-8-37)). Ang2 plays a large role in the permeability of the blood brain barrier during angiogenesis [\(63,](#page-8-29) [72](#page-8-38), [73\)](#page-8-39). Together, the recent data from the mouse model and human tissue to date supports that the R183Q mutation in *GNAQ* plays a role in both blood vessel overgrowth via constitutive activation of PLCβ3, which results in irregular downstream pathway activity in the MAPK/ERK and mTOR pathways, resulting in abnormally high Ang2 expression, and breakdown of the blood brain barrier (see [Figure 2\)](#page-3-0) as well as abnormal macrophage invasion of the involved cortex.

# 5 Advances in outcome measurements

The SWS-Neurological Rating Score (SWS-NRS), or Neuroscore, is used as a cumulative assessment of the extent of neurological impairment in SWS patients [\(74\)](#page-8-40). It is a metric that is comprised based off observed visual defects, seizure frequency, extent of hemiparesis, and degree of cognitive function. SWS Neuroscore has been used in various studies in comparison with MRI imaging and EEG evaluations and may serve as an important measurement when administering a certain treatment or therapy [\(75](#page-8-41)[–77\)](#page-8-42). The NIH Quality of Life in Neurological Disorders (Neuro-QoL) measures the physical, mental, Solomon and Comi [10.3389/fneur.2024.1493873](https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2024.1493873)

and social effects of neurological conditions in both children and adults. The extent of skin, total eyelid port-wine birthmark, eye, and overall SWS involvement were negatively correlated with cognitive function Neuro-QoL; as the involvement of SWS becomes more diffuse, patients typically see a drop in quality of life [\(78\)](#page-9-0). Previous research has also shed light on linking SWS to increased suicidality compared to other neurological disorders [\(79](#page-9-1)). Continued research is necessary to understand whether this is due the disease pathology of SWS, facial port-wine birthmark, epilepsy or other factors.

### 5.1 Updates in treatment

#### 5.1.1 Low dose aspirin

Multicenter studies for SWS have recently been conducted on individuals with SWS to understand current treatment patterns [\(77](#page-8-42), [80](#page-9-2)). Studies show that low-dose aspirin is often recommended and used for management of seizures and stroke-like episodes ([81](#page-9-3), [82](#page-9-4)). However, adverse effects to aspirin for Sturge–Weber patients have been reported previously as well [\(83,](#page-9-5) [84\)](#page-9-6). Lance et al. reports other side effects from SWS patients taking aspirin, however it is notable that only a small percentage of SWS patients with brain involvement in this study experienced side effects [\(81\)](#page-9-3). It is recommended to treat hemiparetic stroke-like episodes with aspirin, in hopes of abating ischemia due to vascular malformation ([85](#page-9-7)). Aspirin has also been taken along with levetiracetam in patients both pre-symptomatically and after the onset of symptoms [\(86,](#page-9-8) [87](#page-9-9)). When determining whether low-dose aspirin is an option for a SWS patient, one must consider the severity and degree of brain involvement as well as any symptoms that the patient already exhibits.

#### 5.1.2 Presymptomatic treatment

If SWS diagnosis is obtained prior to the onset of symptoms, presymptomatic treatment with aspirin and antiepileptic drugs in low doses may aid in delaying the onset of seizures [\(84](#page-9-6)). Screening for brain abnormalities (calcification, blood vessel abnormalities) prior to the onset of symptoms may help in calculating the risk of future brain involvement as well as encourage presymptomatic treatment ([88\)](#page-9-10). Early MRI with gadolinium enhancement, when possible, aids in accurate determination of SWS brain involvement and allows for the potential for presymptomatic treatment [\(89](#page-9-11)). Recent studies suggest that rapid "feed & wrap" non-contrast MRIs can be obtained in young infants, and show various vascular and parenchymal (indirect) signs of SWS that could be used to detect presymptomatic SWS brain involvement ([90\)](#page-9-12). The argument against this, however, presents that in infants, MRI requires anesthesia and can potentially show false-negative results that are more difficult to elucidate early on into postnatal development ([91\)](#page-9-13). Conversely, especially for high-risk patients, early detection of SWS brain involvement leading to presymptomatic treatment may be beneficial; this of course should be discussed and implemented on a case-by-case basis. Shortened MRI may be useful and effective in infants that are not sedated [\(92](#page-9-14)). Further prospective study of non-sedated, non-contrast MRI is being used by various centers, along with neurological examination and EEG to screen for brain involvement. This strategy may prove useful in future presymptomatic drug trials that would require pre-treatment verification of brain involvement [\(90](#page-9-12)). The potential drawbacks of

sedation in infants requiring MRI as well as different approaches to mitigate the risks of sedation have been previously described ([93\)](#page-9-15). Retrospective analyses show that presymptomatic treatment results in a noticeable delay in age of seizure onset as well as a lower (improved) hemiparesis Neuroscore ([94\)](#page-9-16). In this study, it is notable that the presymptomatic treatment group had a higher percentage of both bilateral brain involvement and skin involvement. Delaying the onset of seizures in infants with presymptomatic treatment allows for more normal neurological development with a lower likelihood of future brain injury and cognitive impairment ([95](#page-9-17)).

# 5.2 mTOR inhibition

#### 5.2.1 Mouse studies

Increased expression of phosphorylated-S6, which is a downstream target of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway, is observed in multiple models with the R183Q *GNAQ* mutation ([13,](#page-7-11) [55](#page-8-21), [96](#page-9-18)). Another animal model that used leukosomes to package rapamycin as a biomimetic drug delivery system showed that mice, when given rapamycin in encapsulated form, showed suppressed endothelial cell proliferation and recovery of normal vessel structure from an inflamed state [\(97](#page-9-19)). It is hypothesized that somatic mutations in *GNAQ* that cause hyperactivation of the Ras–Raf–MEK–ERK pathway, and specifically ERK expression, also result in increased activation of mTOR in mutated endothelial cells lining blood vessels. Elevated mTOR pathway activity is commonly known to increase transcription rates, enhance nucleotide, protein, and lipid synthesis, and promote cellular proliferation, which can lead to tumor growth [\(98](#page-9-20)). In SWS patients, the increased cellular proliferation is seen through dysregulated angiogenesis primarily in the brain, eye, and facial regions. Patients with SWS, therefore, could potentially receive effective treatment from an mTOR inhibitor.

Growth factors mediate the activation of mTOR and influence rates of protein synthesis. Sirolimus, also known as rapamycin, is able to inhibit the mTOR pathway, and thus protein synthesis, by causing rapid inactivation of S6K1, which is a downstream target of mTOR and essential for the phosphorylation of the ribosomal S6 protein, a protein directly involved in protein synthesis ([99,](#page-9-21) [100\)](#page-9-22). S6K1, when active, facilitates the activation of CREM $\tau$ , which belongs to the cAMP-response-element-binding family of transcription factors, and induces further gene transcription and transcription of proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), which plays an important role in cellular proliferation and DNA synthesis in the S-phase of the cell cycle [\(101,](#page-9-23) [102](#page-9-24)). Through inactivating S6K1, sirolimus is able to inhibit these downstream events. [Figure 2](#page-3-0) describes the application of sirolimus in mTOR inhibition in the context of G protein-coupled receptor signaling. Previously, sirolimus has been used in multiple mouse models to treat vascular malformation disorders [\(103,](#page-9-25) [104\)](#page-9-26). Sirolimus may also minimize the risk of stroke or stroke-like episodes and can potentially better stabilize seizures in mice ([105](#page-9-27)). A clinical trial using topical rapamycin combined with pulsed dye laser (PDL) on the lateral areas of a PWB showed decreased skin pigmentation and a reduced frequency of blood vessels throughout the brain tissue [\(106](#page-9-28)).

#### 5.2.2 Human studies

More recently, oral sirolimus has been proposed as another delivery mechanism for SWS patients. In an open-label prospective study with ten subjects with SWS brain involvement and cognitive impairments, patients were given oral sirolimus for 6months, which resulted in a consensus of improvements in quality of life, cognitive function, and improvements in processing speed [\(107\)](#page-9-29). The adverse effects of sirolimus for these ten patients were mild, concluding that sirolimus is generally safe for SWS patients and may contribute to benefits in cognitive ability specifically for patients that have previously experienced stroke-like episodes. For a group of patients with DRE, oral sirolimus controlled epileptic symptoms in all patients and resulted in improvements in hypertrophy of pathological tissue [\(108\)](#page-9-30). Oral sirolimus administered for a SWS patient with left facial hemihypertrophy resulted in depigmentation of their port-wine birthmark as well as decreased soft tissue overgrowth ([109](#page-9-31)). Notably, PDL was not used for this patient. Another case with diffuse choroidal hemangioma has been reported to be treated with oral sirolimus as an adjuvant therapy for PDL of the port-wine birthmark [\(110](#page-9-32)). There is a wider range of clinical application of mTOR inhibition in other mTOR pathway-linked diseases, especially tuberous sclerosis [\(111](#page-9-33)). A phase III trial of sirolimus for vascular malformations also indicates that side effects such as stomatitis are clinically manageable [\(112](#page-9-34)). More extensive research on the application of sirolimus for SWS patients is necessary, especially when treating patients with histories of stroke and strokelike episodes.

### 5.3 Cannabidiol

### 5.3.1 Mouse studies

Cannabidiol (CBD) has emerged as a novel therapeutic for many facets of health such as anxiety, depression, insomnia, PTSD, and schizophrenia amongst other diseases. There is growing literature on CBD and its potential application and benefits regarding epilepsy and seizure disorders ([113](#page-9-35)–[115\)](#page-9-36). In order to make the case for preclinical drug trials with CBD, animal models must be established proving clear improvements in seizure activity. Two models using CBD to attenuate seizure activity as part of Dravet syndrome each achieve seizure reduction by inhibiting GPR55 [\(116,](#page-9-37) [117](#page-9-38)). CBD has also produced anti-inflammatory effects in a mouse model for Parkinson's that also suggests GPR55 as a viable target ([118](#page-9-39)). GPR55 is a G protein-coupled receptor that functions as a cannabinoid receptor and is involved in regulating blood pressure as well as cytoskeletal modulation ([119\)](#page-9-40). Future clinical work may target GPR55 and other receptors associated with epilepsy and seizure reduction. Multiple mouse models for epilepsy have been produced, further demonstrating the beneficial effects CBD has on seizure reduction and prevention as well as improving social behavior ([120](#page-9-41)–[122](#page-9-42)). In another model looking at chronic CBD treatment, there was no apparent change to the frequency of seizures, and no delay to the onset of seizures ([123](#page-9-43)). There may be differences in outcome between short-term versus chronic administration of CBD that have yet to be explored. In mice given kainate injections to induce seizures, pharmaceutical CBD at the highest dose of 240 mg/kg significantly decreased the severity and frequency of seizures, whereas chronic administration of artisanal CBD did not reduce seizure severity to the same degree as pharmaceutical CBD ([124\)](#page-9-44). CBD has yet to be applied to a mouse model specifically for SWS and could potentially be explored in the future.

#### 5.3.2 Human studies

In 2018, the FDA approved Epidiolex, also known as cannabidiol (CBD), for the treatment of seizures for two separate pediatric disorders, Lennox–Gastaut syndrome and Dravet syndrome, which both involve epilepsy ([125\)](#page-9-45). Since then, Epidiolex has expanded towards treatment with other disorders relating to seizures and epilepsy, including SWS. A recent multicenter study which treated patients with epilepsy and myoclonic-atonic seizures as well as SWS patients with CBD allowed for reduced seizure frequency in all patients; over half of all patients saw a seizure reduction of at least 50% ([126](#page-9-46)). Epidiolex has also been used in clinical trials only involving SWS patients. The first, which aimed to abate seizure intensity as well as reduce the frequency of seizures in SWS patients, resulted in improved quality of life, significant seizure reduction, and other improvements such as cognitive function, speech and communication, and physical capability ([127](#page-10-0)). Another clinical trial with Epidiolex for ten SWS patients reported no seizures after 6 months of administering oral CBD ([128](#page-10-1)). This was also accompanied by noticeable improvements in SWS Neuroscore and patient-reported quality of life. CBD is suggested to have neuroprotective abilities by inhibiting the mTOR pathway indirectly through JNK inhibition ([129](#page-10-2)). It is a cannabinoid without the psychoactive properties, and administration has proven to be safe and effective for patients with treatment-resistant epilepsy [\(126,](#page-9-46) [130](#page-10-3)). Further investigation into CBD use for SWS patients as well as the potential role that CBD plays in the molecular inhibition of SWS-related abnormally regulated pathways is needed.

### 6 Discussion

Aggressive seizure management has been the mainstay of neurologic management in SWS for the last 25 years. In the last eleven years since the discovery of the p.R183Q mutation in *GNAQ*, the focus of research has shifted towards constructing transgenic animal models, whether *in vivo* or *in vitro*, to further understand the mutation and the downstream pathways and proteins it affects. Through the evidence presented in these models, we can start to implement known inhibitors (such as sirolimus) in prospective pilot trials. The way in which the clinical field has assessed diagnosis and treatment over the past decade has changed as well. MRI with and without contrast has become the gold standard for proper SWS identification. Patients and their families are encouraged to opt for presymptomatic treatment with low-dose aspirin or other anti-epileptic drugs in attempt to delay seizure onset and ameliorate neurocognitive function. Treatment focusing on mTOR inhibition, specifically with sirolimus, has become increasingly more common in the clinical setting. Further research is still necessary regarding the effects of sirolimus on SWS patients and the potential role it may play in management of symptoms for those who experience stroke or stroke-like episodes. Continued research with cannabidiol regarding managing SWS patients' symptoms as well as its context in an animal model for SWS will be important to reinforce its potential clinical use. It is important that research conducted in the clinical setting runs parallel to that in the bench-laboratory research setting; by modeling SWS through the R183Q mutation in *GNAQ* via cell culture and animal models, we can achieve a more lucid understanding of disease manifestation and progression.

# Author contributions

CS: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AC: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Faneca 66 Foundation and Celebrate Hope Foundation.

# References

<span id="page-7-0"></span>1. Kurlemann G. Neurocutaneous syndromes. *Handb Clin Neurol*. (2012) 108:513–33. doi: [10.1016/B978-0-444-52899-5.00046-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-52899-5.00046-0)

<span id="page-7-1"></span>2. Chan JW. Neuro-ophthalmic features of the neurocutaneous syndromes. *Int Ophthalmol Clin*. (2012) 52:73–85. doi: [10.1097/IIO.0b013e318259df76](https://doi.org/10.1097/IIO.0b013e318259df76)

<span id="page-7-2"></span>3. Haug SJ, Stewart JM. Retinal manifestations of the phakomatoses. *Int Ophthalmol Clin*. (2012) 52:107–18. doi: [10.1097/IIO.0b013e31823bbb7a](https://doi.org/10.1097/IIO.0b013e31823bbb7a)

<span id="page-7-3"></span>4. Rihani HT, Dalvin LA, Hodge DO, Pulido JS. Incidence of Sturge-weber syndrome and associated ocular involvement in Olmsted County, Minnesota, United States. *Ophthalmic Genet*. (2020) 41:108–24. doi: [10.1080/13816810.2020.1731834](https://doi.org/10.1080/13816810.2020.1731834)

<span id="page-7-4"></span>5. Ha A, Kim SH, Baek SU, Kim JS, Yoon HJ, Kim YK. Incidence of Sturge-weber syndrome and risk of secondary Glaucoma: a Nationwide population-based study using a rare disease registry. *Am J Ophthalmol*. (2023) 247:121–6. doi: [10.1016/j.ajo.2022.11.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2022.11.009)

<span id="page-7-5"></span>6. Shirley MD, Tang H, Gallione CJ, Baugher JD, Frelin LP, Cohen B, et al. Sturgeweber syndrome and port-wine stains caused by somatic mutation in GNAQ. *N Engl J Med*. (2013) 368:1971–9. doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa1213507](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1213507)

<span id="page-7-28"></span>7. Couto JA, Huang L, Vivero MP, Kamitaki N, Maclellan RA, Mulliken JB, et al. Endothelial cells from capillary malformations are enriched for somatic GNAQ mutations. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. (2016) 137:77e–82e. doi: [10.1097/PRS.0000000000001868](https://doi.org/10.1097/PRS.0000000000001868)

<span id="page-7-6"></span>8. Huang L, Couto JA, Pinto A, Alexandrescu S, Madsen JR, Greene AK, et al. Somatic GNAQ mutation is enriched in brain endothelial cells in Sturge-weber syndrome. *Pediatr Neurol*. (2017) 67:59–63. doi: [10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2016.10.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2016.10.010)

<span id="page-7-7"></span>9. Ho AL, Musi E, Ambrosini G, Nair JS, Deraje Vasudeva S, de Stanchina E, et al. Impact of combined mTOR and MEK inhibition in uveal melanoma is driven by tumor genotype. *PLoS One*. (2012) 7:e40439. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0040439](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0040439)

<span id="page-7-8"></span>10. Nguyen V, Hochman M, Mihm MC Jr, Nelson JS, Tan W. The pathogenesis of port wine stain and Sturge weber syndrome: complex interactions between genetic alterations and aberrant MAPK and PI3K activation. *Int J Mol Sci*. (2019) 20:2243. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20092243) [ijms20092243](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20092243)

<span id="page-7-9"></span>11. Wellman RJ, Cho SB, Singh P, Tune M, Pardo CA, Comi AM, et al. Gαq and hyperphosphorylated ERK expression in Sturge-weber syndrome leptomeningeal blood vessel endothelial cells. *Vasc Med*. (2019) 24:72–5. doi: [10.1177/1358863X18786068](https://doi.org/10.1177/1358863X18786068)

<span id="page-7-10"></span>12. Wu Y, Peng C, Huang L, Xu L, Ding X, Liu Y, et al. Somatic GNAQ R183Q mutation is located within the sclera and episclera in patients with Sturge-weber syndrome. *Br J Ophthalmol*. (2022) 106:1006–11. doi: [10.1136/](https://doi.org/10.1136/bjophthalmol-2020-317287) [bjophthalmol-2020-317287](https://doi.org/10.1136/bjophthalmol-2020-317287)

<span id="page-7-11"></span>13. McCann M, Cho A, Pardo CA, Phung T, Hammill A, Comi AM. Phosphorylated-S6 expression in Sturge-weber syndrome brain tissue. *J Vasc Anom*. (2022) 3:e046. doi: [10.1097/JOVA.0000000000000046](https://doi.org/10.1097/JOVA.0000000000000046)

<span id="page-7-12"></span>14. Comi AM, Hunt P, Vawter MP, Pardo CA, Becker KG, Pevsner J. Increased fibronectin expression in sturge-weber syndrome fibroblasts and brain tissue. *Pediatr Res*. (2003) 53:762–9. doi: [10.1203/01.PDR.0000058921.54071.19](https://doi.org/10.1203/01.PDR.0000058921.54071.19)

<span id="page-7-13"></span>15. Tan W, Nadora DM, Gao L, Wang G, Mihm MC Jr, Nelson JS. The somatic GNAQ mutation (R183Q) is primarily located within the blood vessels of port wine stains. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. (2016) 74:380–3. doi: [10.1016/j.jaad.2015.09.063](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2015.09.063)

# Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the patient and their family for providing consent for their photograph and neuroimaging.

# Conflict of interest

AC is an inventor on a patent involving cannabidiol for the treatment of Sturge-Weber syndrome.

The remaining author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

<span id="page-7-14"></span>16. Vural E, Ramakrishnan J, Cetin N, Buckmiller L, Suen JY, Fan CY. The expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and its receptors in port-wine stains. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. (2008) 139:560–4. doi: [10.1016/j.otohns.2008.07.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otohns.2008.07.015)

<span id="page-7-15"></span>17. Enjolras O, Riche MC, Merland JJ. Facial port-wine stains and Sturge-weber syndrome. *Pediatrics*. (1985) 76:48–51.

<span id="page-7-16"></span>18. Dymerska M, Kirkorian AY, Offermann EA, Lin DD, Comi AM, Cohen BA. Size of facial port-wine birthmark may predict neurologic outcome in Sturge-weber syndrome. *J Pediatr*. (2017) 188:205–209.e1. doi: [10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.05.053](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.05.053)

<span id="page-7-17"></span>19. Dutkiewicz AS, Ezzedine K, Mazereeuw-Hautier J, Lacour JP, Barbarot S, Vabres P, et al. A prospective study of risk for Sturge-weber syndrome in children with upper facial port-wine stain. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. (2015) 72:473–80. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2014.11.009) [jaad.2014.11.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2014.11.009)

<span id="page-7-18"></span>20. Tallman B, Tan OT, Trainor S, Morelli JG, Weston WL, Piepenbrink J, et al. Location of port-wine stains and the likelihood of ophthalmic and/or central nervous system complications. *Pediatrics*. (1991) 87:323–7. doi: [10.1542/peds.87.3.323](https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.87.3.323)

<span id="page-7-19"></span>21. Sharan S, Swamy B, Taranath DA, Jamieson R, Yu T, Wargon O, et al. Port-wine vascular malformations and glaucoma risk in Sturge-weber syndrome. *J AAPOS*. (2009) 13:374–8. doi: [10.1016/j.jaapos.2009.04.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaapos.2009.04.007)

<span id="page-7-20"></span>22. Comi AM. Presentation, diagnosis, pathophysiology, and treatment of the neurological features of Sturge-weber syndrome. *Neurologist*. (2011) 17:179–84. doi: [10.1097/NRL.0b013e318220c5b6](https://doi.org/10.1097/NRL.0b013e318220c5b6)

<span id="page-7-21"></span>23. Nagstrup AH. The use of benzalkonium chloride in topical glaucoma treatment: an investigation of the efficacy and safety of benzalkonium chloride-preserved intraocular pressure-lowering eye drops and their effect on conjunctival goblet cells. *Acta Ophthalmol*. (2023) 101:3–21. doi: [10.1111/aos.15808](https://doi.org/10.1111/aos.15808)

24. Farrar N, Yan DB, Johnson M. Modeling the effects of glaucoma surgery on intraocular pressure. *Exp Eye Res*. (2021) 209:108620. doi: [10.1016/j.exer.2021.108620](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exer.2021.108620)

<span id="page-7-22"></span>25. Sunaric Megevand G, Bron AM. Personalising surgical treatments for glaucoma patients. *Prog Retin Eye Res*. (2021) 81:100879. doi: [10.1016/j.preteyeres.2020.100879](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.preteyeres.2020.100879)

<span id="page-7-23"></span>26. Randon M, Lévy-Gabriel C, Abbas R, Dendale R, Lumbroso L, Desjardins L, et al. Results of external beam radiotherapy for diffuse choroidal hemangiomas in Sturge-weber syndrome. *Eye (Lond)*. (2018) 32:1067–73. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-018-0024-4) [s41433-018-0024-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-018-0024-4)

<span id="page-7-24"></span>27. Sujansky E, Conradi S. Sturge-weber syndrome: age of onset of seizures and glaucoma and the prognosis for affected children. *J Child Neurol*. (1995) 10:49–58. doi: [10.1177/088307389501000113](https://doi.org/10.1177/088307389501000113)

<span id="page-7-25"></span>28. Bebin EM, Gomez MR. Prognosis in Sturge-weber disease: comparison of Unihemispheric and Bihemispheric involvement. *J Child Neurol*. (1988) 3:181–4. doi: [10.1177/088307388800300306](https://doi.org/10.1177/088307388800300306)

<span id="page-7-26"></span>29. Oakes WJ. The natural history of patients with the Sturge-weber syndrome. *Pediatr Neurosurg*. (1992) 18:287–90. doi: [10.1159/000120677](https://doi.org/10.1159/000120677)

<span id="page-7-27"></span>30. Ewen JB, Comi AM, Kossoff EH. Myoclonic-astatic epilepsy in a child with Sturge-<br>weber syndrome. Pediatr Neurol. (2007) 36:115-7. doi: 10.1016/i. weber syndrome. *Pediatr Neurol*. (2007) 36:115–7. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2006.08.006) [pediatrneurol.2006.08.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2006.08.006)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>31. Barbagallo M, Ruggieri M, Incorpora G, Pavone P, Nucifora C, Spalice A, et al. Infantile spasms in the setting of Sturge-weber syndrome. *Childs Nerv Syst*. (2009) 25:111–8. doi: [10.1007/s00381-008-0705-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00381-008-0705-6)

<span id="page-8-1"></span>32. Kossoff EH, Hatfield LA, Ball KL, Comi AM. Comorbidity of epilepsy and headache in patients with Sturge-weber syndrome. *J Child Neurol*. (2005) 20:678–82. doi: [10.1177/08830738050200080901](https://doi.org/10.1177/08830738050200080901)

<span id="page-8-2"></span>33. Maria BL, Neufeld JA, Rosainz LC, Drane WE, Quisling RG, Ben-David K, et al. Central nervous system structure and function in Sturge-weber syndrome: evidence of neurologic and radiologic progression. *J Child Neurol*. (1998) 13:606–18. doi: [10.1177/088307389801301204](https://doi.org/10.1177/088307389801301204)

<span id="page-8-3"></span>34. Bachur CD, Comi AM. Sturge-weber syndrome. *Curr Treat Options Neurol*. (2013) 15:607–17. doi: [10.1007/s11940-013-0253-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11940-013-0253-6)

<span id="page-8-4"></span>35. Zhang Y, Niu J, Wang J, Cai A, Wang Y, Wei G, et al. Neurological function and drug-refractory epilepsy in Sturge-weber syndrome children: a retrospective analysis. *Eur J Pediatr*. (2024) 183:1881–90. doi: [10.1007/s00431-024-05448-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00431-024-05448-z)

<span id="page-8-5"></span>36. Mesraoua B, Brigo F, Lattanzi S, Abou-Khalil B, Al Hail H, Asadi-Pooya AA. Drugresistant epilepsy: definition, pathophysiology, and management. *J Neurol Sci*. (2023) 452:120766. doi: [10.1016/j.jns.2023.120766](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2023.120766)

<span id="page-8-6"></span>37. Alawadhi A, Poulin C. Spontaneous resolution of drug-resistant epilepsy in patients with Sturge-weber syndrome. *Child Neurol Open*. (2022) 9:2329048X221129678. doi: [10.1177/2329048X221129678](https://doi.org/10.1177/2329048X221129678)

<span id="page-8-7"></span>38. Happle R. Lethal genes surviving by mosaicism: a possible explanation for sporadic birth defects involving the skin. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. (1987) 16:899–906. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0190-9622(87)80249-9) [s0190-9622\(87\)80249-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0190-9622(87)80249-9)

<span id="page-8-8"></span>39. Ye Z, McQuillan L, Poduri A, Green TE, Matsumoto N, Mefford HC, et al. Somatic mutation: the hidden genetics of brain malformations and focal epilepsies. *Epilepsy Res*. (2019) 155:106161. doi: [10.1016/j.eplepsyres.2019.106161](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eplepsyres.2019.106161)

<span id="page-8-9"></span>40. Mao L, Yang L, Arora A, Choe ES, Zhang G, Liu Z, et al. Role of protein phosphatase 2A in mGluR5-regulated MEK/ERK phosphorylation in neurons. *J Biol Chem*. (2005) 280:12602–10. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M411709200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M411709200)

41. Zhang JZ, Nguyen AH, Miyamoto S, Heller Brown J, McCulloch AD, Zhang J. Histamine-induced biphasic activation of RhoA allows for persistent RhoA signaling. *PLoS Biol*. (2020) 18:e3000866. doi: [10.1371/journal.pbio.3000866](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000866)

42. Cao Y, van der Velden WJC, Namkung Y, Nivedha AK, Cho A, Sedki D, et al. Unraveling allostery within the angiotensin II type 1 receptor for Gα<sub>q</sub> and β-arrestin<br>coupling. *Sci Signal*. (2023) 16:eadf2173. doi: [10.1126/scisignal.adf2173](https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.adf2173)

<span id="page-8-10"></span>43. Zhu W, Tilley DG, Myers VD, Coleman RC, Feldman AM. Arginine vasopressin enhances cell survival via a G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2/β-arrestin1/ extracellular-regulated kinase 1/2-dependent pathway in H9c2 cells. *Mol Pharmacol*. (2013) 84:227–35. doi: [10.1124/mol.113.086322](https://doi.org/10.1124/mol.113.086322)

<span id="page-8-11"></span>44. Thorpe J, Frelin LP, McCann M, Pardo CA, Cohen BA, Comi AM, et al. Identification of a mosaic activating mutation in GNA11 in atypical Sturge-weber syndrome. *J Invest Dermatol*. (2021) 141:685–8. doi: [10.1016/j.jid.2020.03.978](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2020.03.978)

<span id="page-8-12"></span>45. Dompmartin A, van der Vleuten CJM, Dekeuleneer V, Duprez T, Revencu N, Désir J, et al. GNA11-mutated Sturge-weber syndrome has distinct neurological and dermatological features. *Eur J Neurol*. (2022) 29:3061–70. doi: [10.1111/ene.15452](https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.15452)

<span id="page-8-13"></span>46. Knöpfel N, Zecchin D, Richardson H, Polubothu S, Barberan-Martin S, Cullup T, et al. GNAQ/GNA11 mosaicism is associated with abnormal serum calcium indices and microvascular Neurocalcification. *J Invest Dermatol*. (2024) 144:820–832.e9. doi: [10.1016/j.jid.2023.09.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2023.09.008)

<span id="page-8-14"></span>47. Fjær R, Marciniak K, Sundnes O, Hjorthaug H, Sheng Y, Hammarström C, et al. A novel somatic mutation in GNB2 provides new insights to the pathogenesis of Sturgeweber syndrome. *Hum Mol Genet*. (2021) 30:1919–31. doi: [10.1093/hmg/ddab144](https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddab144)

<span id="page-8-15"></span>48. Yeom S, Cohen B, Weiss CR, Montano C, Wohler E, Sobreira N, et al. Genetic testing in the evaluation of individuals with clinical diagnosis of atypical Sturge-weber syndrome. *Am J Med Genet A*. (2023) 191:983–94. doi: [10.1002/ajmg.a.63106](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.63106)

<span id="page-8-16"></span>49. Revencu N, Fastre E, Ravoet M, Helaers R, Brouillard P, Bisdorff-Bresson A, et al. *RASA1* mosaic mutations in patients with capillary malformation-arteriovenous malformation. *J Med Genet*. (2020) 57:48–52. doi: [10.1136/jmedgenet-2019-106024](https://doi.org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2019-106024)

<span id="page-8-17"></span>50. Mologousis MA, Ostertag-Hill CA, Haimes H, Fishman SJ, Mulliken JB, Liang MG. Spectrum of lymphatic anomalies in patients with RASA1-related CM-AVM. *Pediatr Dermatol*. (2023) 40:1028–34. doi: [10.1111/pde.15443](https://doi.org/10.1111/pde.15443)

<span id="page-8-18"></span>51. Huang JL, Urtatiz O, Van Raamsdonk CD. Oncogenic G protein GNAQ induces uveal melanoma and Intravasation in mice. *Cancer Res.* (2015) 75:3384-97. doi: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-3229](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-3229)

<span id="page-8-28"></span>52. Galeffi F, Snellings DA, Wetzel-Strong SE, Kastelic N, Bullock J, Gallione CJ, et al. A novel somatic mutation in GNAQ in a capillary malformation provides insight into molecular pathogenesis. *Angiogenesis*. (2022) 25:493–502. doi: [10.1007/s10456-022-09841-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10456-022-09841-w)

<span id="page-8-19"></span>53. Schrenk S, Bischoff LJ, Goines J, Cai Y, Vemaraju S, Odaka Y, et al. MEK inhibition reduced vascular tumor growth and coagulopathy in a mouse model with hyperactive GNAQ. *Nat Commun*. (2023) 14:1929. doi: [10.1038/s41467-023-37516-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-37516-7)

<span id="page-8-20"></span>54. Wetzel-Strong SE, Galeffi F, Benavides C, Patrucco M, Bullock JL, Gallione CJ, et al. Developmental expression of the Sturge-weber syndrome-associated genetic mutation in Gnaq: a formal test of Happle's paradominant inheritance hypothesis. *Genetics*. (2023) 224:iyad077. doi: [10.1093/genetics/iyad077](https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/iyad077)

<span id="page-8-21"></span>55. Solomon CR, McCann M, Singh P, Nemeth CL, Comi AM. R183Q GNAQ Sturgeweber syndrome leptomeningeal and cerebrovascular developmental mouse model. *J Vasc Anom*. (2024) 5:e099. doi: [10.1097/JOVA.000000000000009](https://doi.org/10.1097/JOVA.000000000000009)

<span id="page-8-22"></span>56. Martins L, Giovani PA, Rebouças PD, Brasil DM, Haiter Neto F, Coletta RD, et al. Computational analysis for GNAQ mutations: new insights on the molecular etiology of Sturge-weber syndrome. *J Mol Graph Model*. (2017) 76:429–40. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmgm.2017.07.011) [jmgm.2017.07.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmgm.2017.07.011)

<span id="page-8-23"></span>57. Hammill AM, Boscolo E. Capillary malformations. *J Clin Invest*. (2024) 134:e172842. doi: [10.1172/JCI172842](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI172842)

<span id="page-8-24"></span>58. Strom RG, Shvartsbeyn M, Rosenblum MK, Hameed MR, Nafa K, Mikolaenko I, et al. Melanocytic tumor with GNA11 p.Q209L mutation mimicking a foramen magnum meningioma. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg*. (2012) 114:1197–200. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2012.02.030) [clineuro.2012.02.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2012.02.030)

59. Moore AR, Ran L, Guan Y, Sher JJ, Hitchman TD, Zhang JQ, et al. GNA11 Q209L mouse model reveals RasGRP3 as an essential signaling node in uveal melanoma. *Cell Rep*. (2018) 22:2455–68. doi: [10.1016/j.celrep.2018.01.081](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.01.081)

<span id="page-8-25"></span>60. Urtatiz O, Cook C, Huang JL, Yeh I, Van Raamsdonk CD.  $\text{GNAQ}^\text{Q209L}$  expression initiated in multipotent neural crest cells drives aggressive melanoma of the central nervous system. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res*. (2020) 33:96–111. doi: [10.1111/pcmr.12843](https://doi.org/10.1111/pcmr.12843)

<span id="page-8-26"></span>61. Bichsel CA, Goss J, Alomari M, Alexandrescu S, Robb R, Smith LE, et al. Association of Somatic GNAQ mutation with capillary malformations in a case of choroidal hemangioma. *JAMA Ophthalmol*. (2019) 137:91–5. doi: [10.1001/](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2018.5141) [jamaophthalmol.2018.5141](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2018.5141)

<span id="page-8-27"></span>62. Ratra D, Yadav H, Dalan D, Sodhi PKS, Ratra V. Retinal vascular abnormalities in Sturge-weber syndrome. *Indian J Ophthalmol*. (2019) 67:1223–6. doi: [10.4103/ijo.](https://doi.org/10.4103/ijo.IJO_1840_18) [IJO\\_1840\\_18](https://doi.org/10.4103/ijo.IJO_1840_18)

<span id="page-8-29"></span>63. Huang L, Bichsel C, Norris AL, Thorpe J, Pevsner J, Alexandrescu S, et al. Endothelial *GNAQ* p.R183Q increases ANGPT2 (Angiopoietin-2) and drives formation of enlarged blood vessels. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. (2022) 42:e27–43. doi: [10.1161/](https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.121.316651) [ATVBAHA.121.316651](https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.121.316651)

<span id="page-8-30"></span>64. Nasim S, Bichsel C, Dayneka S, Mannix R, Holm A, Vivero M, et al. MRC1 and LYVE1 expressing macrophages in vascular beds of GNAQ p.R183Q driven capillary malformations in Sturge weber syndrome. *Acta Neuropathol Commun*. (2024) 12:47. doi: [10.1186/s40478-024-01757-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40478-024-01757-4)

<span id="page-8-31"></span>65. Elbatsh AMO, Amin-Mansour A, Haberkorn A, Textor C, Ebel N, Renard E, et al. INPP5A phosphatase is a synthetic lethal target in GNAQ and GNA11-mutant melanomas. *Nat Cancer*. (2024) 5:481–99. doi: [10.1038/s43018-023-00710-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43018-023-00710-z)

<span id="page-8-32"></span>66. Zecchin D, Knöpfel N, Gluck AK, Stevenson M, Sauvadet A, Polubothu S, et al. GNAQ/GNA11 mosaicism causes aberrant calcium signaling susceptible to targeted therapeutics. *J Invest Dermatol*. (2024) 144:811–819.e4. doi: [10.1016/j.jid.2023.08.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2023.08.028)

<span id="page-8-33"></span>67. Iwenofu OH, Lackman RD, Staddon AP, Goodwin DG, Haupt HM, Brooks JS. Phospho-S6 ribosomal protein: a potential new predictive sarcoma marker for targeted mTOR therapy. *Mod Pathol*. (2008) 21:231–7. doi: [10.1038/modpathol.3800995](https://doi.org/10.1038/modpathol.3800995)

<span id="page-8-34"></span>68. Greene C, Hanley N, Campbell M. Claudin-5: gatekeeper of neurological function. *Fluids Barriers CNS*. (2019) 16:3. doi: [10.1186/s12987-019-0123-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12987-019-0123-z)

<span id="page-8-35"></span>69. van Skike CE, Jahrling JB, Olson AB, Sayre NL, Hussong SA, Ungvari Z, et al. Inhibition of mTOR protects the blood-brain barrier in models of Alzheimer's disease and vascular cognitive impairment. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. (2018) 314:H693–703. doi: [10.1152/ajpheart.00570.2017](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00570.2017)

<span id="page-8-36"></span>70. Yang B, Li Y, Ma Y, Zhang X, Yang L, Shen X, et al. Selenium attenuates ischemia/ reperfusion injury-induced damage to the blood-brain barrier in hyperglycemia through PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway-mediated autophagy inhibition. *Int J Mol Med*. (2021) 48:178. doi: [10.3892/ijmm.2021.5011](https://doi.org/10.3892/ijmm.2021.5011)

<span id="page-8-37"></span>71. Teichert M, Milde L, Holm A, Stanicek L, Gengenbacher N, Savant S, et al. Pericyte-expressed Tie2 controls angiogenesis and vessel maturation. *Nat Commun*. (2017) 8:16106. doi: [10.1038/ncomms16106](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms16106)

<span id="page-8-38"></span>72. Gurnik S, Devraj K, Macas J, Yamaji M, Starke J, Scholz A, et al. Angiopoietin-2 induced blood-brain barrier compromise and increased stroke size are rescued by VE-PTP-dependent restoration of Tie2 signaling. *Acta Neuropathol*. (2016) 131:753–73. doi: [10.1007/s00401-016-1551-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-016-1551-3)

<span id="page-8-39"></span>73. Zhu Y, Lee C, Shen F, Du R, Young WL, Yang GY. Angiopoietin-2 facilitates vascular endothelial growth factor-induced angiogenesis in the mature mouse brain. *Stroke*. (2005) 36:1533–7. doi: [10.1161/01.STR.0000170712.46106.2e](https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.0000170712.46106.2e)

<span id="page-8-40"></span>74. Reidy TG, Suskauer SJ, Bachur CD, McCulloch CE, Comi AM. Preliminary reliability and validity of a battery for assessing functional skills in children with Sturge-weber syndrome. *Childs Nerv Syst*. (2014) 30:2027–36. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00381-014-2573-6) [s00381-014-2573-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00381-014-2573-6)

<span id="page-8-41"></span>75. Hadjinicolaou A, Quinlan A, Liu S, Zhang B, Takeoka M, Sahin M, et al. Variation in neuroimaging and outcomes in patients with Sturge weber syndrome type III. *Brain and Development*. (2024) 46:244–9. doi: [10.1016/j.braindev.2024.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.braindev.2024.05.001)

76. Kossoff EH, Bachur CD, Quain AM, Ewen JB, Comi AM. EEG evolution in Sturge-Weber syndrome. *Epilepsy Res*. (2014) 108:816–9. doi: [10.1016/j.eplepsyres.2014.01.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eplepsyres.2014.01.023)

<span id="page-8-42"></span>77. Smegal LF, Sebold AJ, Hammill AM, Juhász C, Lo WD, Miles DK, et al. Multicenter research data of epilepsy Management in Patients with Sturge-Weber Syndrome. *Pediatr Neurol*. (2021) 119:3–10. doi: [10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2021.02.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2021.02.006)

<span id="page-9-0"></span>78. Harmon KA, Day AM, Hammill AM, Pinto AL, McCulloch CE, Comi AM, et al. Quality of life in children with Sturge-weber syndrome. *Pediatr Neurol*. (2019) 101:26–32. doi: [10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2019.04.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2019.04.004)

<span id="page-9-1"></span>79. Sebold AJ, Ahmed AS, Ryan TC, Cohen BA, Jampel HD, Suskauer SJ, et al. Suicide screening in Sturge-weber syndrome: an important issue in need of further study. *Pediatr Neurol*. (2020) 110:80–6. doi: [10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2020.03.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2020.03.013)

<span id="page-9-2"></span>80. Arnesen RA, Barbour KK, Wu A, Yozawitz EG, Nelson A, Wolf SM, et al. Multicenter assessment of Sturge-weber syndrome: a retrospective study of variations in care and use of natural history data. *Pediatr Neurol*. (2023) 138:8–16. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2022.08.009) [pediatrneurol.2022.08.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2022.08.009)

<span id="page-9-3"></span>81. Lance EI, Sreenivasan AK, Zabel TA, Kossoff EH, Comi AM. Aspirin use in Sturgeweber syndrome: side effects and clinical outcomes. *J Child Neurol*. (2013) 28:213–8. doi: [10.1177/0883073812463607](https://doi.org/10.1177/0883073812463607)

<span id="page-9-4"></span>82. Triana Junco PE, Sánchez-Carpintero I, López-Gutiérrez JC. Preventive treatment with oral sirolimus and aspirin in a newborn with severe Sturge-weber syndrome. *Pediatr Dermatol*. (2019) 36:524–7. doi: [10.1111/pde.13841](https://doi.org/10.1111/pde.13841)

<span id="page-9-5"></span>83. Greco F, Fiumara A, Sorge G, Pavone L. Subgaleal hematoma in a child with Sturge-weber syndrome: to prevent stroke-like episodes, is treatment with aspirin advisable? *Childs Nerv Syst*. (2008) 24:1479–81. doi: [10.1007/s00381-008-0662-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00381-008-0662-0)

<span id="page-9-6"></span>84. Arkush L, Prabhakar P, Scott RC, Aylett SE. Headache in children with Sturgeweber syndrome – prevalence, associations and impact. *Eur J Paediatr Neurol*. (2020) 27:43–8. doi: [10.1016/j.ejpn.2020.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpn.2020.05.001)

<span id="page-9-7"></span>85. Sanghvi J, Mehta S, Mulye S. Paroxysmal vascular events in Sturge-weber syndrome: role of aspirin. *J Pediatr Neurosci*. (2014) 9:39–41. doi: [10.4103/1817-1745.131483](https://doi.org/10.4103/1817-1745.131483)

<span id="page-9-8"></span>86. Day AM, Hammill AM, Juhász C, Pinto AL, Roach ES, McCulloch CE, et al. Hypothesis: Presymptomatic treatment of Sturge-weber syndrome with aspirin and antiepileptic drugs may delay seizure onset. *Pediatr Neurol*. (2019) 90:8–12. doi: [10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2018.04.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2018.04.009)

<span id="page-9-9"></span>87. John F, Maqbool M, Jeong JW, Agarwal R, Behen ME, Juhász C. Deep cerebral vein expansion with metabolic and neurocognitive recovery in Sturge-weber syndrome. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol*. (2018) 5:502–6. doi: [10.1002/acn3.546](https://doi.org/10.1002/acn3.546)

<span id="page-9-10"></span>88. Nabbout R, Juhász C. Sturge-Weber syndrome. *Handb Clin Neurol*. (2013) 111:315–21. doi: [10.1016/B978-0-444-52891-9.00037-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-52891-9.00037-3)

<span id="page-9-11"></span>89. Bar C, Pedespan JM, Boccara O, Garcelon N, Levy R, Grévent D, et al. Early magnetic resonance imaging to detect presymptomatic leptomeningeal angioma in children with suspected Sturge-weber syndrome. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. (2020) 62:227–33. doi: [10.1111/dmcn.14253](https://doi.org/10.1111/dmcn.14253)

<span id="page-9-12"></span>90. Catsman-Berrevoets CE, Koudijs SM, Buijze MSJ, de Laat PCJ, Pasmans SGMA, Dremmen MHG. Early MRI diagnosis of Sturge weber syndrome type 1 in infants. *Eur J Paediatr Neurol*. (2022) 38:66–72. doi: [10.1016/j.ejpn.2022.04.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpn.2022.04.002)

<span id="page-9-13"></span>91. Sánchez-Espino LF, Ivars M, Antoñanzas J, Baselga E. Sturge-weber syndrome: a review of pathophysiology, genetics, clinical features, and current management Approache. *Appl Clin Genet*. (2023) 16:63–81. doi: [10.2147/TACG.S363685](https://doi.org/10.2147/TACG.S363685)

<span id="page-9-14"></span>92. Rozovsky K, Ventureyra EC, Miller E. Fast-brain MRI in children is quick, without sedation, and radiation-free, but beware of limitations. *J Clin Neurosci*. (2013) 20:400–5. doi: [10.1016/j.jocn.2012.02.048](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocn.2012.02.048)

<span id="page-9-15"></span>93. Dong SZ, Zhu M, Bulas D. Techniques for minimizing sedation in pediatric MRI. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. (2019) 50:1047–54. doi: [10.1002/jmri.26703](https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.26703)

<span id="page-9-16"></span>94. Valery CB, Iannotti I, Kossoff EH, Zabel A, Cohen B, Ou Y, et al. Retrospective analysis of Presymptomatic treatment in Sturge-weber syndrome. *Ann Child Neurol Soc*. (2024) 2:60–72. doi: [10.1002/cns3.20058](https://doi.org/10.1002/cns3.20058)

<span id="page-9-17"></span>95. Ville D, Enjolras O, Chiron C, Dulac O. Prophylactic antiepileptic treatment in Sturge-weber disease. *Seizure*. (2002) 11:145–50. doi: [10.1053/seiz.2001.0629](https://doi.org/10.1053/seiz.2001.0629)

<span id="page-9-18"></span>96. Shirazi F, Cohen C, Fried L, Arbiser JL. Mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) is activated in cutaneous vascular malformations in vivo. *Lymphat Res Biol*. (2007) 5:233–6. doi: [10.1089/lrb.2007.1012](https://doi.org/10.1089/lrb.2007.1012)

<span id="page-9-19"></span>97. Boada C, Zinger A, Tsao C, Zhao P, Martinez JO, Hartman K, et al. Rapamycinloaded biomimetic nanoparticles reverse vascular inflammation. *Circ Res*. (2020) 126:25–37. doi: [10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.119.315185](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.119.315185)

<span id="page-9-20"></span>98. Szwed A, Kim E, Jacinto E. Regulation and metabolic functions of mTORC1 and mTORC2. *Physiol Rev*. (2021) 101:1371–426. doi: [10.1152/physrev.00026.2020](https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00026.2020)

<span id="page-9-21"></span>99. Van Damme A, Seront E, Dekeuleneer V, Boon LM, Vikkula M. New and emerging targeted therapies for vascular malformations. *Am J Clin Dermatol*. (2020) 21:657–68. doi: [10.1007/s40257-020-00528-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40257-020-00528-w)

<span id="page-9-22"></span>100. Sehgal SN. Sirolimus: its discovery, biological properties, and mechanism of action. *Transplant Proc*. (2003) 35:S7–S14. doi: [10.1016/s0041-1345\(03\)00211-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0041-1345(03)00211-2)

<span id="page-9-23"></span>101. Javier AF, Bata-Csorgo Z, Ellis CN, Kang S, Voorhees JJ, Cooper KD. Rapamycin (sirolimus) inhibits proliferating cell nuclear antigen expression and blocks cell cycle in the G1 phase in human keratinocyte stem cells. *J Clin Invest*. (1997) 99:2094–9. doi: [10.1172/JCI119382](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI119382)

<span id="page-9-24"></span>102. Strzalka W, Ziemienowicz A. Proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA): a key factor in DNA replication and cell cycle regulation. *Ann Bot*. (2011) 107:1127–40. doi: [10.1093/aob/mcq243](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcq243)

<span id="page-9-25"></span>103. Li L, Ren AA, Gao S, Su YS, Yang J, Bockman J, et al. mTORC1 inhibitor rapamycin inhibits growth of cerebral cavernous malformation in adult mice. *Stroke*. (2023) 54:2906–17. doi: [10.1161/STROKEAHA.123.044108](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.123.044108)

<span id="page-9-26"></span>104. Yin L, Ye S, Chen Z, Zeng Y. Rapamycin preconditioning attenuates transient focal cerebral ischemia/reperfusion injury in mice. *Int J Neurosci*. (2012) 122:748–56. doi: [10.3109/00207454.2012.721827](https://doi.org/10.3109/00207454.2012.721827)

<span id="page-9-27"></span>105. Zeng LH, Rensing NR, Wong M. The mammalian target of rapamycin signaling pathway mediates epileptogenesis in a model of temporal lobe epilepsy. *J Neurosci*. (2009) 29:6964–72. doi: [10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0066-09.2009](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0066-09.2009)

<span id="page-9-28"></span>106. Marqués L, Núñez-Córdoba JM, Aguado L, Pretel M, Boixeda P, Nagore E, et al. Topical rapamycin combined with pulsed dye laser in the treatment of capillary vascular malformations in Sturge-weber syndrome: phase II, randomized, double-blind, intraindividual placebo-controlled clinical trial. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. (2015) 72:151–8.e1. doi: [10.1016/j.jaad.2014.10.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2014.10.011)

<span id="page-9-29"></span>107. Sebold AJ, Day AM, Ewen J, Adamek J, Byars A, Cohen B, et al. Sirolimus Treatment in Sturge-Weber Syndrome. *Pediatr Neurol*. (2021) 115:29–40. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2020.10.013) [pediatrneurol.2020.10.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2020.10.013)

<span id="page-9-30"></span>108. Sun B, Han T, Wang Y, Gao Q, Cui J, Shen W. Sirolimus as a potential treatment for Sturge-weber syndrome. *J Craniofac Surg*. (2021) 32:257–60. doi: [10.1097/](https://doi.org/10.1097/SCS.0000000000007034) [SCS.0000000000007034](https://doi.org/10.1097/SCS.0000000000007034)

<span id="page-9-31"></span>109. Giacaman A, Salinas Sanz JA, Navarro Noguera S, Lastra Rodríguez J, Montis Palos MC, Martín-Santiago A. Facial hemihypertrophy in a girl with sturge-weber syndrome: treatment with oral sirolimus. *Pediatr Dermatol*. (2021) 38:469–71. doi: [10.1111/pde.14520](https://doi.org/10.1111/pde.14520)

<span id="page-9-32"></span>110. Kalantary A, Halbert A, Franchina M, Heath Jeffery RC, O'Day R, Chen FK. Oral Sirolimus for diffuse choroidal hemangioma in Sturge-weber syndrome. *JAMA Ophthalmol*. (2024) 142:585–7. doi: [10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2024.0854](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2024.0854)

<span id="page-9-33"></span>111. Conte E, Boccanegra B, Dinoi G, Pusch M, de Luca A, Liantonio A, et al. Therapeutic approaches to tuberous sclerosis complex: from available therapies to promising drug targets. *Biomol Ther*. (2024) 14:1190. doi: [10.3390/biom14091190](https://doi.org/10.3390/biom14091190)

<span id="page-9-34"></span>112. Seront E, Van Damme A, Legrand C, Bisdorff-Bresson A, Orcel P, Funck-Brentano T, et al. Preliminary results of the European multicentric phase III trial regarding sirolimus in slow-flow vascular malformations. *JCI Insight*. (2023) 8:e173095. doi: [10.1172/jci.insight.173095](https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.173095)

<span id="page-9-35"></span>113. Golub V, Reddy DS. Cannabidiol therapy for refractory epilepsy and seizure disorders. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. (2021) 1264:93–110. doi: [10.1007/978-3-030-57369-0\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-57369-0_7)

114. Talwar A, Estes E, Aparasu R, Reddy DS. Clinical efficacy and safety of cannabidiol for pediatric refractory epilepsy indications: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Exp Neurol*. (2023) 359:114238. doi: [10.1016/j.expneurol.2022.114238](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2022.114238)

<span id="page-9-36"></span>115. Moreira FA, de Oliveira ACP, Santos VR, Moraes MFD. Cannabidiol and epilepsy. *Int Rev Neurobiol*. (2024) 177:135–47. doi: [10.1016/bs.irn.2024.03.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.irn.2024.03.009)

<span id="page-9-37"></span>116. Kaplan JS, Stella N, Catterall WA, Westenbroek RE. Cannabidiol attenuates seizures and social deficits in a mouse model of Dravet syndrome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2017) 114:11229–34. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1711351114](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1711351114)

<span id="page-9-38"></span>117. Chuang SH, Westenbroek RE, Stella N, Catterall WA. Combined Antiseizure efficacy of Cannabidiol and clonazepam in a conditional mouse model of Dravet syndrome. *J Exp Neurol*. (2021) 2:81–5. doi: [10.33696/neurol.2.040](https://doi.org/10.33696/neurol.2.040)

<span id="page-9-39"></span>118. Celorrio M, Rojo-Bustamante E, Fernández-Suárez D, Sáez E, Estella-Hermoso de Mendoza A, Müller CE, et al. GPR55: a therapeutic target for Parkinson's disease? *Neuropharmacology*. (2017) 125:319–32. doi: [10.1016/j.neuropharm.2017.08.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2017.08.017)

<span id="page-9-40"></span>119. Silvestro S, Schepici G, Bramanti P, Mazzon E. Molecular targets of Cannabidiol in experimental models of neurological disease. *Molecules*. (2020) 25:5186. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25215186) [molecules25215186](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25215186)

<span id="page-9-41"></span>120. Klein BD, Jacobson CA, Metcalf CS, Smith MD, Wilcox KS, Hampson AJ, et al. Evaluation of Cannabidiol in animal seizure models by the epilepsy therapy screening program (ETSP). *Neurochem Res*. (2017) 42:1939–48. doi: [10.1007/s11064-017-2287-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11064-017-2287-8)

121. Lu H, Wang Q, Jiang X, Zhao Y, He M, Wei M. The potential mechanism of Cannabidiol (CBD) treatment of epilepsy in Pentetrazol (PTZ) kindling mice uncovered by multi-omics analysis. *Molecules*. (2023) 28:2805. doi: [10.3390/molecules28062805](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28062805)

<span id="page-9-42"></span>122. Shapiro L, Escayg A, Wong JC. Cannabidiol increases seizure resistance and improves behavior in an *Scn8a* mouse model. *Front Pharmacol*. (2022) 13:815950. doi: [10.3389/fphar.2022.815950](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2022.815950)

<span id="page-9-43"></span>123. Dearborn JT, Nelvagal HR, Rensing NR, Takahashi K, Hughes SM, Wishart TM, et al. Effects of chronic cannabidiol in a mouse model of naturally occurring neuroinflammation, neurodegeneration, and spontaneous seizures. *Sci Rep*. (2022) 12:11286. doi: [10.1038/s41598-022-15134-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-15134-5)

<span id="page-9-44"></span>124. Victor TR, Hage Z, Tsirka SE. Prophylactic Administration of Cannabidiol Reduces Microglial Inflammatory Response to Kainate-induced seizures and neurogenesis. *Neuroscience*. (2022) 500:1–11. doi: [10.1016/j.neuroscience.2022.06.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2022.06.010)

<span id="page-9-45"></span>125. Golub V, Ramakrishnan S, Reddy DS. Isobolographic analysis of adjunct antiseizure activity of the FDA-approved cannabidiol with neurosteroids and benzodiazepines in adult refractory focal onset epilepsy. *Exp Neurol*. (2023) 360:114294. doi: [10.1016/j.expneurol.2022.114294](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2022.114294)

<span id="page-9-46"></span>126. Caraballo RH, Reyes Valenzuela G, Fortini S, Espeche A, Gamboni B, Silva W, et al. Cannabidiol in children with treatment-resistant epilepsy with myoclonic-atonic seizures. *Epilepsy Behav*. (2023) 143:109245. doi: [10.1016/j.yebeh.2023.109245](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2023.109245)

<span id="page-10-0"></span>127. Kaplan EH, Offermann EA, Sievers JW, Comi AM. Cannabidiol treatment for refractory seizures in Sturge-weber syndrome. *Pediatr Neurol*. (2017) 71:18–23.e2. doi: [10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2017.02.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2017.02.009)

<span id="page-10-1"></span>128. Smegal LF, Vedmurthy P, Ryan M, Eagen M, Andrejow NW, Sweeney K, et al. Cannabidiol treatment for neurological, cognitive, and psychiatric symptoms in Sturge-weber syndrome. *Pediatr Neurol*. (2023) 139:24–34. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2022.10.014) [pediatrneurol.2022.10.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2022.10.014)

<span id="page-10-2"></span>129. Giacoppo S, Pollastro F, Grassi G, Bramanti P, Mazzon E. Target regulation of PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway by cannabidiol in treatment of experimental multiple sclerosis. *Fitoterapia*. (2017) 116:77–84. doi: [10.1016/j.fitote.2016.11.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fitote.2016.11.010)

<span id="page-10-3"></span>130. Szaflarski JP, Bebin EM, Comi AM, Patel AD, Joshi C, Checketts D, et al. Longterm safety and treatment effects of cannabidiol in children and adults with treatment-resistant epilepsies: expanded access program results. *Epilepsia*. (2018) 59:1540–8. doi: [10.1111/epi.14477](https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.14477)